A high accuracy variant of the iterative alternating decomposition explicit method for solving the heat equation

M.S. Sahimi*, N.A. Mansor, N.M. Nor and N.M. Nusi

Department of Engineering Sciences and Mathematics, Universiti Tenaga Nasional, 43009 Kajang, Selangor, Malaysia E-mail: Sallehs@uniten.edu.my *Corresponding author

N. Alias

Department of Mathematics, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 UTM Skudai, Johor, Malaysia E-mail: norma ally@hotmail.com

Abstract: We consider three level difference replacements of parabolic equations focusing on the heat equation in two space dimensions. Through a judicious splitting of the approximation, the scheme qualifies as an alternating direction implicit (ADI) method. Using the well known fact of the parabolic-elliptic correspondence, we shall derive a two stage iterative procedure employing a fractional splitting strategy applied alternately at each intermediate time step to the one dimensional heat equation. As the basis of derivation is the unconditionally stable (4,2) accurate ADI scheme, this method is convergent, computationally stable and highly accurate.

Keywords: alternating direction implicit (ADI) method; iterative alternating decomposition explicit (IADE) method; fractional splitting.

Reference to this paper should be made as follows: Sahimi, M.S., Mansor, N.A., Nor, N.M., Nusi, N.M. and Alias, N. (2006) 'A high accuracy variant of the iterative alternating decomposition explicit method for solving the heat equation', *Int. J. Simulation and Process Modelling*, Vol. 2, Nos. 1/2, pp.45–49.

Biographical notes: Mohd Salleh Sahimi obtained his BS from the Bandung Institute of Technology, Indonesia (1975), MA from the University of Lancaster, UK (1976) and PhD from the Loughborough University of Technology, UK (1986). He served in various academic executive capacities in the Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia including as Deputy Dean of the Faculty of Mathematical and Computer Sciences (1994) and Deputy Dean of the Faculty of Information Science and Technology (1997). He was awarded a Commonwealth Fellowship to conduct research in the UK (1993). Presently, he is a Professor in Numerical and Scientific Computing at the Department of Engineering Sciences and Mathematics, Universiti Tenaga Nasional.

Noreliza Abu Mansor obtained her BSc in Physics (1982) and MSc in Mathematics (1985), both from Ohio University, USA. She received her Diploma in Education (1988) from Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia. She started her career as a Teacher (1988) before joining as a Lecturer at Kolej Yayasan Pelajaran Mara (1994). She is currently a Mathematics Lecturer in the Department of Engineering Sciences and Mathematics, Universiti Tenaga Nasional.

Norhalena Mohd Nor obtained her BSc with honours in 1985 from the University of Tasmania, Australia and MSc in Pure Mathematics from the University of Leeds in 1987. She started her career as an Assistant Lecturer at the Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur. Currently she is a Senior Lecturer in the Department of Engineering Sciences and Mathematics at Universiti Tenaga Nasional.

Noraini Md. Nusi obtained her B.App.Sc Degree from Universiti Sains Malaysia in 1997 and MSc from Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia in 2002. She is currently a Mathematics Lecturer in the Department of Engineering Sciences and Mathematics, Universiti Tenaga Nasional.

Norma Alias obtained her BSc with Honours in 1991, MSc in 1997 and PhD in 2004 from the National University of Malaysia. Currently she lectures in mathematics in the Science Faculty of the Technology University of Malaysia. Her PhD study explores the use of high performance

computing in numerical methods for application in the manufacturing industry. She has also published and presented about 50 papers related to variants of the iterative alternating decomposition explicit and alternating group explicit class of methods. She has received various awards including medals for invention and innovation in national and international expositions.

1 Introduction

Consider the heat equation,

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial^2 x} \tag{1}$$

subject to given initial and Dirichlet boundary conditions over a rectangular domain with a uniformly spaced network whose mesh points are $x_i = i\Delta x$, $t_j = j\Delta t$. Its corresponding equation in two space is given by,

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial^2 x} + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial^2 y}.$$
 (2)

Following (Mitchell and Griffiths, 1980), a stable and (4,2) accurate three level difference replacement of equation (2) is given by,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 + \left(\frac{1}{12} - \frac{2}{3}\lambda\right)\delta_{x}^{2} \end{pmatrix} \left(1 + \left(\frac{1}{12} - \frac{2}{3}\lambda\right)\delta_{y}^{2}\right)u_{i,j,k+1} \\ = \frac{2}{3}\lambda \left(\delta_{x}^{2} + \delta_{y}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{x}^{2}\delta_{y}^{2}\right)u_{i,j,k} \\ + \left(1 + \left(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{2}{3}\lambda\right)(\delta_{x}^{2} + \delta_{y}^{2})\right)u_{i,j,k-1} \\ + \left(\frac{1}{12} - \frac{2}{3}\lambda\right)^{2}\delta_{x}^{2}\delta_{y}^{2}(2u_{i,j,k} - u_{i,j,k-1})$$
(3)

where δ is the usual central difference operator and $\lambda = \Delta t/(\Delta x)^2 = \Delta t/(\Delta y)^2$, the mesh ratio for equidistance spacing. The difference scheme equation (3) can be split as follows, to qualify as an alternating direction implicit (ADI) scheme,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 + \left(\frac{1}{12} - \frac{2}{3}\lambda\right)\delta_{x}^{2} \end{pmatrix} u_{i,j,k+1/2} \\ = -\left(\frac{1}{12} - \frac{2}{3}\lambda\right)\delta_{y}^{2}(2u_{i,j,k} - u_{i,j,k-1}) \\ + \frac{2}{3}\lambda\left(\delta_{x}^{2} + \delta_{y}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{x}^{2}\delta_{y}^{2}\right)u_{i,j,k} \\ + \left(1 + \left(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{2}{3}\lambda\right)(\delta_{x}^{2} + \delta_{y}^{2})\right)u_{i,j,k-1}$$
(4)

and

$$\left(1 + \left(\frac{1}{12} - \frac{2}{3}\lambda\right)\delta_{y}^{2}\right)u_{i,j,k+1} = u_{i,j,k+1/2} + \left(\frac{1}{12} - \frac{2}{3}\lambda\right)\delta_{y}^{2}(2u_{i,j,k} - u_{i,j,k-1}).$$
(5)

As the temperature reaches steady state over time, $U \rightarrow$ constant and $(\partial U/\partial t) \rightarrow 0$ and the parabolic equation (5) reduces to the elliptic equation (Laplace's equation),

$$\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial y^2} = 0 \tag{6}$$

whose numerical solution can be solved iteratively using the same ADI technique,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 + \left(\frac{1}{12} - \frac{2}{3}r\right)\delta_x^2 \right) u_{i,j}^* \\ = \left(-\left(\frac{1}{12} - \frac{2}{3}r\right)\delta_y^2 + \frac{2}{3}r\left(\delta_x^2 + \delta_y^2 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_x^2\delta_y^2\right) \\ + \left(1 + \left(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{2}{3}r\right)\left(\delta_x^2 + \delta_y^2\right)\right) \right) u_{i,j}^{(p)}$$

$$(7)$$

and

$$\left(1 + \left(\frac{1}{12} - \frac{2}{3}\right)\delta_y^2\right)u_{i,j}^{(p+1)} = u_{i,j}^* + \left(\frac{1}{12} - \frac{2}{3}r\right)\delta_y^2u_{i,j}^{(p)}$$
(8)

where r is the acceleration parameter.

2 The iterative alternating decomposition explicit (IADE) method

Note from the composite formula equation (3) that the iterative procedure converges if

$$u_{i,j,k-1} = u_{i,j,k} = u_{i,j,k+1} = u_{i,j}$$

for k sufficiently large, leading to

$$\left(\delta_x^2 + \delta_y^2 + \frac{1}{6}\delta_x^2\delta_y^2\right)u_{i,j} = 0$$

which represents a nine point difference replacement of the Laplace's equation (6). Hence we are motivated by this well known fact of the parabolic-elliptic correspondence (Varga, 1962; Wachspress, 1966; Yanenko, 1971) to develop a new iterative scheme for the solution of equation (1) by considering the following two step iterates corresponding to equations (7) and (8),

$$(\mathbf{I} + \alpha \mathbf{G}_1)\mathbf{u}^{(p+1/2)} = (-\alpha \mathbf{G}_2 + \hat{\omega}(\mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{G}_2 + (1/2)\mathbf{G}_1\mathbf{G}_2) + \mathbf{I} + \omega(\mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{G}_2))\mathbf{u}^{(p)} - 2r\mathbf{f}$$
(9)

and

$$(\mathbf{I} + \alpha \mathbf{G}_2)\mathbf{u}^{(p+1)} = \mathbf{u}^{(p+1/2)} + \alpha \mathbf{G}_2 \mathbf{u}^{(p)}$$
(10)

where

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{12} - \frac{2}{3}r, \quad \omega = \frac{1}{12} + \frac{2}{3}r, \quad \hat{\omega} = \frac{2}{3}r$$

with r > 0 being the acceleration parameter of the iterative process.

Noting that $\mathbf{u}^{(p+1)} = \mathbf{u}^{(p)}$ as $p \to \infty$, we have,

at the (p + 1/2) iterate,

$$(\mathbf{I} + \alpha \mathbf{G}_2)\mathbf{u}^{(p+1/2)} = (\mathbf{I} + (\alpha + 2r)\mathbf{G}_1)(\mathbf{I} + (2r\mathbf{G}_2) + \beta \mathbf{G}_1\mathbf{G}_2)\mathbf{u}^{(p)} - 2r\mathbf{f}$$
(11)

and at the (p + 1) iterate,

$$(\mathbf{I} + \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{G}_2) \mathbf{u}^{(p+1)} = \mathbf{u}^{(p+1/2)} + \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mathbf{G}_2 \mathbf{u}^{(p)}$$
(12)

where $\beta = 2r(3\alpha - 2r)/3$, and **G**₁ and **G**₂ are lower and upper bidiagonal matrices given by,

Elimination of $\mathbf{u}^{(p+1/2)}$ from equations (11) and (12) leads to the single composite formula,

$$(\mathbf{I} + \alpha \mathbf{G}_1)(\mathbf{I} + \alpha \mathbf{G}_2)\mathbf{u}^{(p+1)}$$

= ((\mathbf{I} + (\alpha + 2r)\mathbf{G}_1)(\mathbf{I} + 2r\mathbf{G}_2) + \beta \mathbf{G}_1\mathbf{G}_2)\mathbf{u}^{(p)}
- 2r\mathbf{f} + \alpha (\mathbf{I} + \alpha \mathbf{G}_1) + \mathbf{G}_2\mathbf{u}^{(p)}.

As $p \to \infty$, $\mathbf{u}^{(p)}$, $\mathbf{u}^{(p+1)} \to \mathbf{u}$ resulting in

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{G}_2 + \frac{1}{6}\mathbf{G}_1\mathbf{G}_2 \tag{15}$$

and

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f} \tag{16}$$

A is a tridiagonal matrix which arises from the difference method used to approximate the parabolic equation (1). For example, if the familiar weighted approximation,

$$-\lambda \theta u_{i-1,j+1} + (1+2\lambda \theta)u_{i,j+1} - \lambda \theta u_{i+1,j+1}$$

= $\lambda (1-\theta)u_{i-1,j} + (1-2\lambda (1-\theta))u_{ij} + \lambda (1-\theta)u_{i+1,j}$

is used with order of accuracy of (1,2), (2,2), (2,4) and (1,2) when $\theta = 1, 1/2, (1/2 - 1/12\lambda)$ and 0 respectively, then its totality can be displayed in matrix form equation (16) as,

Using equations (11) and (12), we have,

$$\mathbf{u}^{(p+1/2)} = (\mathbf{I} + \alpha \mathbf{G}_1)^{-1} ((\mathbf{I} + (\alpha + 2r)\mathbf{G}_1)(\mathbf{I} + (2r\mathbf{G}_2) + \beta \mathbf{G}_1\mathbf{G}_2)\mathbf{u}^{(p)} - 2r\mathbf{f})$$
(18)

and

$$\mathbf{u}^{(p+1)} = (\mathbf{I} + \alpha \mathbf{G}_2)^{-1} (\mathbf{u}^{(p+1/2)} + \alpha + \mathbf{G}_2 \mathbf{u}^{(p)})$$
(19)

giving us the following computational formulae at each of the half-iterates,

at the (p + 1/2) iterate,

$$u_i^{(p+1/2)} = (s_{i-1}u_{i-1}^{(p)} + v_iu_i^{(p)} + \hat{s}u_{i+1}^{(p)} - w_{i-1}u_{i-1}^{(p+1/2)} - r * f_i)/A, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$
(20)

with

$$s_0 = v_0 = w_0 = 0$$
 and $A = 1 + \frac{(1+8r)}{12} \neq 0$

at the (p + 1) iterate,

$$u_{m+1-i}^{(p+1)} = (u_{m+1-i}^{(p+1/2)} + d_{m+1-i}u_{m+1-i}^{(p)} + \overline{d}u_{m+2-i}^{(p)} - \overline{d}u_{m+2-i}^{(p+1)})/(1 + d_{m+1-i})$$
(21)

with $d_i \neq 0$ for i = 1, 2, ..., m and

$$\begin{aligned} r^* &= -2r, \quad h = \frac{6}{7}b, \quad \alpha = \frac{1+8r}{12}, \\ q &= \frac{r^*(3\alpha - r^*)}{3}, \quad P = \alpha - 2r, \quad \hat{f} = r^*P, \\ g &= \hat{f} + q, \quad \hat{s} = h(r^* + f + q), \quad \overline{d} = \alpha h, \\ \hat{A} &= 1 + \alpha, \quad e_1 = \frac{6(\alpha - 1)}{7}, \quad k_i = \frac{6c}{6 + e_i}, \\ e_{i+1} &= \frac{6}{7}\left(\alpha - \frac{k_i h}{6} - 1\right), \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, m \\ s_{i-1} &= Pk_{i-1} + gk_{i-1}e_{i-1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m \\ v_i &= 1 + r^*e_i + P + g(hk_{i-1} + e_i), \\ w_{i-1} &= \alpha k_{i=1}, \quad d_i = \alpha e_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

The two-step iterative procedure of equations (20) and (21) corresponds to sweeping through the mesh, involving at

each iterate, the solution of an explicit equation. This is continued until convergence is reached, that is, when the convergence requirement $||\mathbf{u}^{(p+1)} - \mathbf{u}^{(p)}||_{\infty} \le \varepsilon$ is met where ε is the convergence criterion.

3 Numerical results

In this experiment we attempted to solve the following heat conduction problem (Saulev, 1964),

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial^2 x} \qquad 0 \le x \le 1$$
(22)

subject to the initial condition

U(x, 0) = 4x(1-x) $0 \le x \le 1$

and the boundary conditions

 $U(0, t) = U(1, t) = 0 \quad t \ge 0.$

The exact solution is given by

$$U(x,t) = \frac{32}{\pi^3} \sum_{k=1,(2)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^3} e^{-\pi^2 k^2 t} \sin(k\pi x).$$
(23)

Tables 1–3 provide a comparison of the accuracy of the methods under consideration in terms of the absolute errors as well as the root mean square error at the appropriate grid points for mesh ratios $\lambda = 0.1$, $\lambda = 0.5$ and $\lambda = 1.0$ at time levels of t = 0.05, t = 0.25 and t = 0.5. The results in these tables amply demonstrate that this new variant of the IADE (NVIADE) method has comparable accuracy with the highly accurate AGE method of the Peaceman-Rachford (Tien and Chawla, 1989) variant as well as the IADE scheme using the Mitchell-Fairweather variant (Sahimi et al., 1993). This is even more apparent when the (2,4)accurate Douglas finite difference approximation is used to approximate the parabolic equation (1). As the basis of derivation is the unconditionally stable (4,2) accurate ADI scheme, the NVIADE method is convergent and computationally stable and its accuracy compares well with the (4,2) accurate IADE-MF and (2,2) accurate AGE.

Table 1 Absolute errors of numerical solutions $\lambda = 0.1$, t = 0.05, $\Delta t = 0.001$, $\Delta x = 0.1$, $eps = 10^{-4}$

X method	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	Average of all absolute errors	Root mean square error	Number of iterations
Age												
IMP	1.47×10^{-3}	$2.63\times10^{\scriptscriptstyle -3}$	$3.34 imes 10^{-3}$	$3.67 imes 10^{-3}$	$3.76 imes 10^{-3}$	$3.67 imes 10^{-3}$	$3.35 imes 10^{-3}$	$2.63 imes 10^{-3}$	$1.47 imes 10^{-3}$	$2.89 imes 10^{-3}$	9.07×10^{-6}	2
CN	9.15×10^{-4}	1.64×10^{-3}	$2.09 imes 10^{-3}$	$2.30 imes 10^{-3}$	2.36×10^{-3}	$2.30 imes 10^{-3}$	$2.09 imes 10^{-3}$	$1.64 imes 10^{-3}$	$9.15 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.81 imes 10^{-3}$	3.56×10^{-6}	2
DG	1.67×10^{-6}	5.49×10^{-6}	1.15×10^{-5}	1.72×10^{-5}	$1.97 imes 10^{-5}$	$1.73 imes 10^{-5}$	$1.15 imes 10^{-5}$	$5.52 imes 10^{-6}$	$1.60 imes 10^{-6}$	1.01×10^{-5}	1.46×10^{-10}	2
NVIADE												
IMP	1.49×10^{-3}	$2.64\times10^{\scriptscriptstyle -3}$	$3.35 imes 10^{-3}$	$3.67 imes 10^{-3}$	$3.75 imes 10^{-3}$	$3.67 imes 10^{-3}$	$3.35 imes 10^{-3}$	$2.64 imes 10^{-3}$	1.47×10^{-3}	$2.89 imes 10^{-3}$	$9.08 imes 10^{-6}$	2
CN	9.17×10^{-4}	1.64×10^{-3}	2.10×10^{-3}	2.31×10^{-3}	$2.36\times10^{\scriptscriptstyle -3}$	2.31×10^{-3}	2.10×10^{-3}	$1.64 imes 10^{-3}$	$9.14 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.81 imes 10^{-3}$	$3.57 imes 10^{-6}$	2
DG	2.47×10^{-6}	1.50×10^{-7}	1.33×10^{-6}	4.09×10^{-6}	4.32×10^{-7}	$1.23 imes 10^{-7}$	$3.30 imes 10^{-7}$	$5.89 imes 10^{-7}$	4.12×10^{-6}	$4.13 imes 10^{-6}$	$1.22 imes 10^{-11}$	2
IADE-MF	,											
IMP	1.07×10^{-3}	1.88×10^{-3}	$2.74 imes 10^{-3}$	$3.19 imes 10^{-3}$	$3.36 imes 10^{-3}$	$3.34 imes 10^{-3}$	3.11×10^{-3}	$2.59\times10^{\scriptscriptstyle -3}$	$1.65 imes 10^{-3}$	$2.55 imes 10^{-3}$	$9.07 imes 10^{-6}$	4
CN	$7.94 imes 10^{-4}$	1.43×10^{-3}	$1.86 imes 10^{-3}$	$2.07 imes 10^{-3}$	2.14×10^{-3}	2.11×10^{-3}	$1.95 imes 10^{-3}$	$1.55 imes 10^{-4}$	$8.71 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.64 imes 10^{-3}$	$3.53 imes 10^{-7}$	3
DG	$5.84 imes 10^{-6}$	8.46×10^{-6}	$3.74 imes 10^{-7}$	$8.94 imes 10^{-6}$	$1.38 imes 10^{-5}$	$1.35 imes 10^{-5}$	$9.28 imes 10^{-6}$	$4.48 imes 10^{-6}$	1.39×10^{-6}	$7.34 imes 10^{-6}$	1.35×10^{-10}	3
Exact solution	0.1950648	0.3707705	0.5098716	0.5989617	0.6296137	0.5989617	0.5098716	0.3707705	0.1950648	-	-	-

Table 2 Absolute errors of numerical solutions $\lambda = 0.5$, t = 0.25, $\Delta t = 0.005$, $\Delta x = 0.1$, $eps = 10^{-4}$

										Average	Root	N7 1
X										oj ali absolute	mean sauare	Number
method	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	errors	error	iterations
Age												
IMP	2.18×10^{-3}	4.15×10^{-3}	5.69×10^{-3}	6.70×10^{-3}	7.04×10^{-3}	6.69×10^{-3}	5.71×10^{-3}	4.14×10^{-3}	2.19×10^{-3}	4.94×10^{-3}	2.76×10^{-5}	2
CN	5.32×10^{-4}	1.02×10^{-3}	1.39×10^{-3}	1.64×10^{-3}	1.72×10^{-3}	1.64×10^{-3}	1.40×10^{-3}	1.01×10^{-3}	5.43×10^{-4}	1.21×10^{-3}	1.65×10^{-6}	2
DG	$7.38 imes 10^{-6}$	2.05×10^{-5}	3.06×10^{-5}	3.77×10^{-5}	4.11×10^{-5}	4.53×10^{-5}	3.62×10^{-5}	2.76×10^{-5}	1.17×10^{-5}	3.23×10^{-5}	1.20×10^{-9}	2
NVIADE												
IMP	$2.23 imes 10^{-3}$	4.19×10^{-3}	$5.76 imes 10^{-3}$	$6.77 imes 10^{-3}$	7.11×10^{-3}	$6.77 imes 10^{-3}$	$5.75 imes 10^{-3}$	4.18×10^{-3}	2.19×10^{-3}	$5.00 imes 10^{-3}$	2.82×10^{-5}	2
CN	$5.47 imes 10^{-4}$	1.03×10^{-3}	1.41×10^{-3}	$1.65 imes 10^{-3}$	1.74×10^{-3}	$1.65 imes 10^{-3}$	1.40×10^{-3}	1.02×10^{-3}	$5.32 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.22 imes 10^{-3}$	1.68×10^{-6}	2
DG	$7.38 imes 10^{-6}$	$2.05 imes 10^{-6}$	$3.06 imes 10^{-5}$	3.77×10^{-5}	4.11×10^{-6}	4.04×10^{-5}	3.57×10^{-5}	$2.74 imes 10^{-5}$	$1.63 imes 10^{-5}$	2.85×10^{-5}	9.37×10^{-10}	2
IADE-MF	7											
IMP	$2.20 imes 10^{-3}$	4.19×10^{-3}	$5.76 imes 10^{-3}$	$6.76 imes 10^{-3}$	7.10×10^{-3}	$6.75 imes 10^{-3}$	$5.74 imes 10^{-3}$	4.17×10^{-3}	$2.19 imes 10^{-3}$	$4.98\times10^{\scriptscriptstyle -3}$	2.81 ×10 ⁻⁵	3
CN	$5.37 imes 10^{-4}$	1.02×10^{-3}	1.41×10^{-3}	$1.65 imes 10^{-3}$	$1.74 imes 10^{-3}$	$1.65 imes 10^{-3}$	1.41×10^{-3}	1.02×10^{-4}	$5.38 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.22 imes 10^{-3}$	1.68×10^{-6}	3
DG	8.14×10^{-6}	$2.53 imes 10^{-5}$	$3.40 imes 10^{-5}$	3.97×10^{-5}	4.15×10^{-5}	3.92×10^{-5}	3.29×10^{-5}	2.31×10^{-5}	$1.03 imes 10^{-5}$	2.82×10^{-5}	$9.35 imes 10^{-10}$	2
Exact	2.704606	5.144467	7.080751	8.323922	8.7522990	8.323922	7.080751	5.144467	2.704606	-	-	-
solution	$\times 10^{-2}$											

Table 3 Absolute errors of numerical solutions $\lambda = 1.5$, t = 0.5, $\Delta t = 0.01$, $\Delta x = 0.1$, $eps = 10^{-4}$

X method	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	Average of all absolute errors	Root mean square error	Number of iterations
AGE												
IMP	$6.28 imes 10^{-4}$	1.25×10^{-3}	1.64×10^{-3}	1.96×10^{-3}	2.04×10^{-3}	1.93×10^{-3}	1.69×10^{-3}	1.20×10^{-3}	6.98×10^{-4}	1.45×10^{-3}	2.76×10^{-6}	2
CN	$7.28 imes 10^{-5}$	1.46×10^{-4}	$1.90 imes 10^{-4}$	$2.27 imes 10^{-4}$	2.36×10^{-4}	2.24×10^{-4}	1.97×10^{-4}	1.39×10^{-4}	8.12×10^{-5}	1.21×10^{-3}	1.65×10^{-6}	2
DG	$1.64 imes 10^{-5}$	2.73×10^{-5}	4.33×10^{-5}	4.90×10^{-5}	$5.29 imes 10^{-5}$	5.10×10^{-5}	3.97×10^{-5}	3.11×10^{-5}	1.17×10^{-5}	$3.58 imes 10^{-5}$	$1.49 imes 10^{-9}$	2
NVIADE												
IMP	$7.01 imes 10^{-4}$	1.32×10^{-3}	1.82×10^{-3}	2.14×10^{-3}	$2.25 imes 10^{-3}$	2.14×10^{-3}	1.82×10^{-3}	$1.33 imes 10^{-3}$	$7.03 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.58 imes 10^{-3}$	2.82×10^{-6}	2
CN	9.17×10^{-4}	$1.64 imes 10^{-3}$	2.09×10^{-3}	2.30×10^{-3}	2.36×10^{-3}	2.31×10^{-3}	2.10×10^{-3}	1.64×10^{-3}	$9.14 imes 10^{-4}$	1.81×10^{-3}	3.56×10^{-6}	2
DG	$6.74 imes 10^{-6}$	1.52×10^{-5}	2.13×10^{-5}	2.52×10^{-5}	2.65×10^{-6}	2.53×10^{-6}	2.17×10^{-5}	1.59×10^{-5}	$8.68 imes 10^{-6}$	$1.85 imes 10^{-5}$	3.90×10^{-10}	2
IADE-M.	F											
IMP	$7.03 imes 10^{-4}$	1.33×10^{-3}	$1.79 imes 10^{-3}$	$2.08 imes 10^{-3}$	2.16×10^{-3}	$2.03 imes 10^{-3}$	1.72×10^{-3}	$1.25 imes 10^{-3}$	$6.63 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.53 imes 10^{-3}$	2.62×10^{-6}	4
CN	8.58×10^{-5}	1.73×10^{-4}	2.31×10^{-4}	$2.63 imes 10^{-4}$	$2.67 imes 10^{-4}$	2.47×10^{-4}	2.04×10^{-4}	1.43×10^{-4}	$7.25 imes 10^{-5}$	$1.87 imes 10^{-4}$	$3.99 imes 10^{-8}$	3
DG	6.14×10^{-6}	$8.45 imes 10^{-6}$	1.24×10^{-5}	1.57×10^{-5}	$1.75 imes 10^{-5}$	1.75×10^{-5}	1.56×10^{-5}	1.18×10^{-5}	$6.52 imes 10^{-6}$	1.24×10^{-5}	1.72×10^{-10}	3
Exact solution	2.293641 × 10 ⁻³	4.362764 × 10 ⁻³	6.004829×10^{-3}	7.059100 × 10 ⁻³	7.422377 ×10 ⁻³	7.059100 ×10 ⁻³	$6.004829 \\ imes 10^{-3}$	4.362764 × 10 ⁻³	2.293641 × 10 ⁻³	_	_	-

References

- Mitchell, A.R. and Griffiths, D.F. (1980) *The Finite Difference Method in Partial Differential Equations*, John Wiley and Sons, Chichester.
- Sahimi, M.S., Ahmad, A. and Bakar, A.A. (1993) 'The iterative alternating decomposition explicit (IADE) method to solve the heat conduction equation', *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, Vol. 47, pp.219–229.
- Saulev, V.K. (1964) Integration of Equations of Parabolic Type by the Method of Nets, Pergamon Press, Oxford.
- Tien, C.L. and Chawla, T.C. (1989) *Annual Review of Numerical Fluid Mechanics and Heat Transfer*, Vol. 2, Hemisphere Publishing Corporation, New York.
- Varga, R.S. (1962) *Matrix Iterative Analysis*, Prentice-Hall International, London.
- Wachspress, E.L. (1966) *Iterative Solution of Elliptic Systems*, Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs.
- Yanenko, N.N. (1971) The Method of Fractional Steps, Springer-Verlag, New York.