

**SIMULATION ANALYSIS OF SLOPE STABILITY: A CASE STUDY
ON SLOPE FAILURE AT NEW LABORATORY OF FACULTY OF
MECHANICAL ENGINEERING,UTM**

FELIX LING NGEE LEH

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the award of
the degree of Master of Engineering (Civil-Geotechnics)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

OCTOBER 2003

Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS ♦

JUDUL: SIMULATION ANALYSIS OF SLOPE STABILITY:
A CASE STUDY ON SLOPE FAILURE AT NEW LABORATORY
OF FACULTY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING UTM

SESI PENGAJIAN: 2003/2004 I

Saya

FELIX LING NGE E LEH

(HURUF BESAR)

mengaku membenarkan tesis (PSM/Sarjana/Doktor Falsafah)* ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Teknologi Malaysia dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

1. Tesis adalah hakmilik Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
2. Perpustakaan Universiti Teknologi Malaysia dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja.
3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
4. **Sila tandakan (✓)

SULIT

(Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia seperti yang termaktub di dalam AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972)

TERHAD


(Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan)

TIDAK TERHAD



(TANDATANGAN PENULIS)

Disahkan oleh



(TANDATANGAN PENYELIA)

Alamat Tetap: 4G, Jalan Apollo Barat,
96000 Sibul,
Sarawak.

EN. AZMAN KASSIM


(Nama Penyelia)

Tarikh: 8 OKTOBER 2003Tarikh: 8 OKTOBER 2003

CATATAN :

- * Potong yang tidak berkenaan.
- ** Jika tesis ini SULIT atau TERHAD, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak berkuasa/organisasi berkenaan dengan menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh tesis ini perlu dikelaskan sebagai SULIT atau TERHAD.
- ♦ Tesis dimaksudkan sebagai tesis bagi Ijazah Doktor Falsafah dan Sarjana secara penyelidikan, atau disertasi bagi pengajian secara kerja kursus dan penyelidikan, atau Laporan Projek Sarjana Muda (PSM).

**“I declare that this project report is my own work except for the quotations and
summarizes which I have explained the source”**

Signature : 

Name of Author : FELIX LING NGEE LEH

Date : 8 October 2003

To my be loved ones and those who love me

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor of master project, Mr. Azman Kassim for his guidance, inspiration, support and friendship.

I am very grateful to lecturers of the faculty, especially Madam Fauziah Kassim, Mr. Nazli Ali and Associate Professor Z'aba Ismail for their guidance and knowledge that went into this project report.

I would like to thank my employee Kolej Universiti Teknologi Tun Hussein Onn (KUiTTHO) who made it possible for me to study here. I would like to thank my parents, brothers, sisters and my friends for their love and support.

ABSTRACT

The soil movement on a failed slope had caused substantial failure of sheet pile wall positioned at about 6m from the new laboratory of Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM). To facilitate investigating causes of the failure, a computer simulation of slope stability using PLAXIS 7.2 is performed to simulate slope conditions before and after construction of the study area. The results of simulation analysis establishes the fact that global soil mass movement started from the elevation of the newly filled water tank to downhill direction toward to installed sheet pile generates a combination of mobilized shear force and lateral pressure larger than the capacity or strength of the sheet pile. The associated stresses also create the occurrence of the up heaving underneath the road pavement adjacent to the new buildings. Furthermore, the simulation analysis deduces that the slope instability becomes greater as moisture or pore-water pressure in the slope increases or decreasing in soil's shear strength.

ABSTRAK

Pergerakan bumi atas cerun telah menyebabkan kegagalan tembok cerucuk keping yang terletak kira-kira 6m daripada Bangunan Tambahan Fakulti Kejuruteraan Mekanikal (FKM), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM). Model simulasi dengan penggunaan perisian PLAXIS 7.2 telah dijalankan untuk menentukan kestabilan cerun sebelum dan selepas aktiviti pembinaan di kawasan tersebut. Model simulasi ini adalah bertujuan untuk mengenalpasti punca-punca dan sebab-sebab berlakunya kegagalan. Keputusan analisis simulasi menunjukkan bahawa pergerakan bumi ini adalah berbentuk serantau bermula daripada puncak bukit di mana lokasi tangki air yang baru dibina menuju ke arah kaki bukit di mana tembok cerucuk keping dibina. Daya ricih dan tekanan sisi yang diaruh oleh pergerakan ini adalah lebih besar daripada kekuatan cerucuk keping yang dibina dan telah menyebabkan bonggokkan tanah dibawah jalan raya sebelah bangunan baru tersebut. Keputusan analisis ini juga mendapati bahawa kestabilan cerun akan terjejas dengan kenaikan tekanan air atau dengan penurunan kekuatan ricih tanah.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	ITEM	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF FIGURES	x
	LIST OF TABLES	xv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvii
	LIST OF PHOTOS	xviii
CHAPTER I	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Background of the Study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objective and Scope of the Study	4
CHAPTER II	LITERATURE REVIEW	
	2.1 Introduction	5
	2.2 Investigation of Slope Failure	7
	2.2.1 Types of Slope Failure	8

2.2.2	Classification of Slope Failure	9
2.3	Review of Slope Stability Analysis	15
2.3.1	History of Development	17
2.3.2	Types of Analysis	17
2.4	Review of Limit Equilibrium Theory in Slope Stability Analyses	19
2.4.1	Method of Slices	23
2.5	Review of Finite Element Theory in Slope Stability Analysis	34
2.5.1	Enhanced Limit Methods	36
2.5.2	Direct Methods	39
2.5.3	Information Required for Finite Element Analysis	41
2.5.4	The Use of FE Software Package	42
2.6	Back Analysis	46
2.6.1	Application of Back Analysis in Slope Stability Analysis	46
2.6.2	Limitations of Back Analysis	49
2.7	Closing Remark	50

CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1	Introduction	53
3.2	Computer Modeling	54
3.2.1	PLAXIS	54
3.3	Parametric Study	55
3.3.1	Stability Analysis	55
3.3.2	Results of Analysis and Interpretations	61
3.3.3	Discussion of Parametric Study	66
3.4	Landslide Occurrence: A Case Study	67
3.4.1	General	67
3.4.2	Description of Study Area	67

3.4.3	General Geology of the Study Area	74
3.4.4	Simulation Modelling of Slope	74

CHAPTER IV ANALYSES AND DISCUSSIONS

4.1	Introduction	86
4.2	Results of Analysis and Interpretations	86
4.2.1	Case I- Original/natural Condition	87
4.2.2	Case II- After Cut to Platform Level	87
4.2.3	Case III- After Occurrence of Landslide	87
4.2.4	Back Analyses	87
4.2.5	Deformation of Sheet Pile Wall	88
4.3	Discussion of Case Study	88

CHAPTER V CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1	General	151
5.2	Conclusions	152
5.3	Recommendations for Future Work	153

REFERENCES	154
-------------------	------------

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	ITEM	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Types of slope failure	10
Figure 2.2	Velocity of movement for slope failure forms	14
Figure 2.3	Slopes, slices and general unknowns in limit equilibrium methods	20
Figure 2.4	Conventional effective stress analysis applied to critical CD case for unloading problem	22
Figure 2.5	The forces acting on a slice: Fellenius analysis	29
Figure 2.6	The forces acting on a slice: Bishop simplified analysis	29
Figure 2.7	Janbu's correction factor for non-circular failure surfaces	30
Figure 2.8	A typical element in the Morgenstern-Price method	33
Figure 2.9	Finite Element approaches proposed in computing the factor of safety in a slope stability analysis	35
Figure 2.10	Basic back analysis approaches applied for the slope forming materials obeying linear failure envelopes	48
Figure 2.11	Value of back analysis	51
Figure 2.12	Value of back analysis, continued	52
Figure 3.1	Overview of research methodology	56
Figure 3.2	The overview of parametric study	56
Figure 3.3	Cross section of idealized slope for parametric study	57
Figure 3.4	Location of study area	68
Figure 3.5	Initial proposed platform level	70
Figure 3.6	Extend of slides	71

Figure 3.7	New proposed platform level & location of installed sheet pile	72
Figure 3.8	Schematic diagram of slope failures	73
Figure 3.9	The slope cross sections	77
Figure 3.10	The overview of case study	78
Figure 4.1	Case I- Without water tank (Zero pore water pressure)	92
Figure 4.2	Case I- Without water tank (Water table at 25m below crest of slope)	93
Figure 4.3	Case I- Without water tank (Water table at 10m below crest of slope)	94
Figure 4.4	Case I- Without water tank (Water table at 5m below crest of slope)	95
Figure 4.5	Case I- With water tank- No displacements (Zero pore water pressure)	96
Figure 4.6	Case I- With water tank – No displacements (Water table at 25m below crest of slope)	97
Figure 4.7	Case I- With water tank – No displacements (Water table at 10m below crest of slope)	98
Figure 4.8	Case I- With water tank – No displacements (Water table at 5m below crest of slope)	99
Figure 4.9	Case I- With water tank- Displacements allowed (Zero pore water pressure)	100
Figure 4.10	Case I- With water tank – Displacements allowed (Water table at 25m below crest of slope)	101
Figure 4.11	Case I- With water tank – Displacements allowed (Water table at 10m below crest of slope)	102
Figure 4.12	Case I- With water tank – Displacements allowed (Water table at 5m below crest of slope)	103
Figure 4.13	Case II- Without water tank (Zero pore water pressure)	105
Figure 4.14	Case II- Without water tank (Water table at 25m below crest of slope)	106
Figure 4.15	Case II- Without water tank (Water table at 10m below crest of slope)	107

Figure 4.16	Case II- Without water tank (Water table at 5m below crest of slope)	108
Figure 4.17	Case II- With water tank- No displacements (Zero pore water pressure)	109
Figure 4.18	Case II- With water tank – No displacements (Water table at 25m below crest of slope)	110
Figure 4.19	Case II- With water tank – No displacements (Water table at 10m below crest of slope)	111
Figure 4.20	Case II- With water tank – No displacements (Water table at 5m below crest of slope)	112
Figure 4.21	Case II- With water tank- Displacements allowed (Zero pore water pressure)	113
Figure 4.22	Case II- With water tank – Displacements allowed (Water table at 25m below crest of slope)	114
Figure 4.23	Case II- With water tank – Displacements allowed (Water table at 10m below crest of slope)	115
Figure 4.24	Case II- With water tank – Displacements allowed (Water table at 5m below crest of slope)	116
Figure 4.25	Case III- Without water tank (Zero pore water pressure)	118
Figure 4.26	Case III- Without water tank (Water table at 25m below crest of slope)	119
Figure 4.27	Case III- Without water tank (Water table at 10m below crest of slope)	120
Figure 4.28	Case III- Without water tank (Water table at 5m below crest of slope)	121
Figure 4.29	Case III- With water tank- No displacements (Zero pore water pressure)	122
Figure 4.30	Case III- With water tank – No displacements (Water table at 25m below crest of slope)	123
Figure 4.31	Case III- With water tank – No displacements (Water table at 10m below crest of slope)	124
Figure 4.32	Case III- With water tank – No displacements (Water table at 5m below crest of slope)	125

Figure 4.33	Case III- With water tank- Displacements allowed (Zero pore water pressure)	126
Figure 4.34	Case III- With water tank – Displacements allowed (Water table at 25m below crest of slope)	127
Figure 4.35	Case III- With water tank – Displacements allowed (Water table at 10m below crest of slope)	128
Figure 4.36	Case III- With water tank – Displacements allowed (Water table at 5m below crest of slope)	129
Figure 4.37	Combinations of back-calculated shear strength on failure plane for case without water tank when safety factor is equal to one	131
Figure 4.38	Combinations of back-calculated shear strength on failure plane for case with water tank (No deformation is allowed for water tank) when safety factor is equal to one	132
Figure 4.39	Combinations of back-calculated shear strength on failure plane for case with water tank (Deformation of water tank is allowed) when safety factor is equal to one	133
Figure 4.40	Deformation of sheet pile wall – with water tank – No displacements (zero pore water pressure)	135
Figure 4.41	Deformation of sheet pile wall – with water tank – No displacements (water table at 25m below crest of slope)	137
Figure 4.42	Deformation of sheet pile wall – with water tank – No displacements (water table at 10m below crest of slope)	139
Figure 4.43	Deformation of sheet pile wall – with water tank – No displacements (water table at 5m below crest of slope)	141
Figure 4.44	Deformation of sheet pile wall – with water tank – Displacements allowed (zero pore water pressure)	143
Figure 4.45	Deformation of sheet pile wall – with water tank – Displacements allowed (water table at 25m below crest of slope)	145

Figure 4.46	Deformation of sheet pile wall – with water tank – Displacements allowed (water table at 10m below crest of slope)	147
Figure 4.47	Deformation of sheet pile wall – with water tank – Displacements allowed (water table at 5m below crest of slope)	149

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	ITEM	PAGE
Table 2.1	Varnes (1978) classification system	12
Table 2.2	A classification of slope failures	13
Table 2.3	Basic solution requirements satisfied by the various methods of analysis	16
Table 2.4	List of commonly used method of slices: assumptions concerning interslice forces for different method of slices	24
Table 2.5	Characteristics of equilibrium methods of slope stability analysis	25
Table 3.1	Material properties of idealized slope	58
Table 3.2	Descriptions and assumptions for simulations of slope with zero pore water pressure	59
Table 3.3	Descriptions and assumptions for simulations of slope with piezometric line at three quarters of the slope height	60
Table 3.4	Changing of effective cohesion, c'	62
Table 3.5	Changing of effective friction angle, ϕ'	63
Table 3.6	Changing of unit weight, γ	64
Table 3.7	Changing of poisson ratio, ν	65
Table 3.8	Soil properties for simulation analysis	79
Table 3.9	Descriptions and assumptions for simulations of the slope stability analysis for original/natural slope	80
Table 3.10	Descriptions and assumptions for simulations of the slope stability analysis for slope after cut to platform level	81

Table 3.11	Descriptions and assumptions for simulations of the slope stability analysis for slope after occurrence of landslide	82
Table 3.12	Properties of the sheet pile section ESC-B8, Grade S275	83
Table 3.13	Descriptions and assumptions for back analysis of soil strengths at failure	85
Table 4.1	Calculated safety factor and deformation of original/ Natural slope	91
Table 4.2	Calculated safety factor and deformation of slope after cut to platform level	104
Table 4.3	Calculated safety factor and deformation of slope after occurrence of landslide	117
Table 4.4	Back-Calculated soil strength at failure	130
Table 4.5	Deformation of sheet pile wall	134

LIST OF SYMBOLS

SYMBOL	PARAMETER	UNITS
c'	Effective cohesion	kN/m^2 or kPa
E	Modulus Young	kN/m^2
i	Hydraulic gradient	/
k	Coefficient of permeability (or hydraulic conductivity)	m/s
k_h	Coefficient of permeability (horizontal flow)	m/s
k_v	Coefficient of permeability (vertical flow)	m/s
K_A	Coefficient of Active earth pressure	/
K_o	Coefficient of earth pressure at rest	/
K_p	Coefficient of Passive earth pressure	/
ϕ'	Effective friction angle	Degrees
ν	Poisson ratio	/
γ_{bulk}	Bulk unit weight	kN/m^3
γ_{dry}	Dry unit weight	kN/m^3
γ_{wet}	Wet unit weight	kN/m^3
τ	Shear stress	kN/m^2 or kPa

LIST OF PHOTOS

PHOTO	ITEM	PAGE
3.1	Physical damages of sheet pile wall – left side view	75
3.2	Physical damages of sheet pile wall – right side view	75

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Population growth and accompanying economic development have meant that civil engineering projects are increasingly being carried out in mountainous regions in tropical countries. Wesley (1994) found that probably highway construction is the most important among those hilly development, however hydroelectric construction, geothermal power plants, and recreational resorts also constitute major projects.

Over the last decade, we have had numerous landslides culminating in tragedies and it is no coincidence that they all happened in hilly regions. The fact is, slopes are inherently unstable. While erosion in the form of soil run-offs occurs daily, slopes can collapse and result in destructive landslides.

Slope failures increase when a naturally occurring weak zone or what is called a “fault plane” in geological terminology is present. Landslides on November 20, 2002 at Taman Hillview, Ampang, occurred in such a high-risk zone. Actually, this kind of tragedy is not a new story in our country for instance the infamous Highland Tower Tragedy that happened in 1993 which is just at the side of the tragedy that happened.

Landslides and other gravity-stimulated mass movements are important and costly problem, and they are a continual source of concern for geotechnical engineers and engineering geologists throughout the world, particularly in geologically ‘active’

regions. They occur worldwide and are described as sudden, short-lived geomorphic events that involve the rapid-to-slow descent of soil or rock in sloping terrains. They can occur on any terrain given the right conditions of soil, moisture and the angle of slope.

Risks of landslides are enhanced in the tropics, where thick, loose residual soil, the result of deep weathering, can be easily eroded. Until and unless a holistic stance in tackling landslides is taken, lives and properties will be at stake and taxpayers' money will be wasted on rescue missions.

Although many mitigation works had been planned and designed prior to the construction of the project, there still exist many uncertainties associated with the material, spanning from its complex origin. Hence, it comes the importance to analyze the stability of the existing slope. However, most of the times it is too costly or impossible to monitor the slope for the whole of its service life.

1.2 Problem Statement

There are many circumstances in slopes, where the civil engineer must investigate the stability of slope by performing slope stability analysis. Over the years, much research work had been carried out by researchers namely, Wu and Kraft (1970), Cornell (1971), Alonzo (1976), Tang et al. (1976), and Vanamrcke (1977), regarding the reliability analyses of slopes. They found that the uncertainties occur due to the variability of soil properties, systematic errors or model errors in measurement of properties, and model errors in analytical methods (Oka & Wu, 1990). It should be noted that all calculations for their work were made for the critical slip surface. The search for the critical failure surface, carried out over a number of admissible slip surfaces, is by and large still performed by repeated trials or by the grid search method (Nguyen, 1985).

Existing methods of slope stability analysis using slices (Bishop 1955, Janbu 1957) are based on the limit equilibrium theorem. An implicit assumption in

equilibrium analyses of slope stability is that the stress-strain behaviour of the soil is ductile, i.e., that the soil does not have a brittle stress-strain curve (where the shearing resistance drops off after reaching a peak). This limitation results from the fact that the methods provide neither information regarding the magnitudes of the strains within the slope, nor any indication about how they may vary along the slip surface (Duncan, 1996). Besides it, the analysis only considered force and moment acting on the slices with total disregard to the deformation developed in the slices. Thus, it is not possible to obtain reliable results from the analyses if solely based on the method of slices (Terado et al., 1999).

Thus, in order to obtain a unique solution it is necessary to introduce extra conditions. Better analysis should therefore take into account the displacement and deformation of the slices, and also the stresses in the soil mass in determining the stability of slope. However, the problem arises in incorporating these extra conditions in the conventional slope stability analysis.

In the other hand, the stability analyses are performed not only to provide a factor of safety once the soil properties are known, but also to establish field shear strengths from the study of failures. It is rational to carry out the study determining what actually happened after an unexpected instability has occurred. It is therefore necessary to do some analyses in reverse, which is usually termed as "back analysis". The investigation is not mean to blame who or whom should be responsive to the failure but it collects valuable information that could be used in designing the remedial works as well as guidelines for further projects. The awareness of importance of back analysis has resulted in development of various methods in back analysis. However, the problem always arises in determining the suitable method of analysis and the way back analysis can be carried out.

References

Azman, Kassim (2002). "Appraisal On Remedial Works of Landslide Occurrence at Bangunan Tambahan Fakulti Kejuruteraan Mekanikal, UTM." Skudai, Johor.

Azman, K. & Mohd For, M. A. (1999). "Failure On Natural Residual Soils Slope." *Technical Talk on Civil Engineering*, 6 February 1999, IEM (Southern Branch), Graduate and Student Section.

Bardet, J. P. (1997). "Experimental Soil Mechanics". United States of America: Prentice-Hall, Inc.

Bromhead, E. N. (1992). "The Stability of Slopes (Second Edition)." Great Britain: Blackie Academic & Professional.

Brinkgreve, Ronald B. J. (2002). "The Changing Role of Computer Models in Geotechnical Engineering and Design." *Learned and Applied Soil Mechanics Out of Delft*, pp. 95-100, A. A. Balkema Publishers, Netherlands.

Brinkgreve, R. B. J. & Vermeer, P. A. (1998). "PLAXIS, Finite Element Code for Soil and Rock Analyses, Version 7, Users Manual." Balkema, Rotterdam.

Cao, Jिंगgang & Zaman M.M. (1999). "Analytical Method For Analysis of Slope Stability". *Int. J. Numer. Anal. Meth. Geomech.*, Vol. 23, pp. 439-449, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., United States of America.

Chandler, R. J. (1977). "Back Analysis Techniques for Slope Stabilization Works: A Case Record". *Geotechnique*, 27, pp. 479-495

Cheung, Raymond W.M. & Tang, Wilson H. (2000). "Bayesian Calibration Of Slope Failure Probability". *Slope Stability 2000 - Proceedings of Sessions of Geo-Denver 2000*, pp. 72-85, Denver, Colorado.

- Cho, S. E. & Lee S. R. (2001). "Instability of Unsaturated Soil Slopes due to Infiltration." *Computers and Geotechnics*, Vol. 28, pp. 185-208, Elsevier Science Ltd., Great Britain.
- Chowdhury, R. N. (1978). "Slope Stability." *Developments In Geotechnical Engineering*, Vol. 22, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, Netherlands.
- Dawson, E., Motamed, F., Nesarajah, S., & Roth, W.(2000). "Geotechnical Stability Analysis by Strength Reduction." *Slope Stability 2000*. Proceedings of Sessions of Geo-Denver 2000, pp. 99-113, ASCE, Colorado.
- Day, Robert W. (2000). "Geotechnical Engineer's Portable Handbook." United States of America: McGraw-Hill Companies.
- Debray, S. & Savage, W. Z. (2001). "A Preliminary Finite-Element Analysis of a Shallow Landslide in the Alki Area of Seattle, Washington". *Open-File Report 01-0357*, U. S. Geological Survey (USGS). Retrieved 7 May 2003 from the World Wide Web: <http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2001/ofr-01-0357/ofr-01-0357.pdf>
- Deng, J. H. & Lee, C. F. (2001). "Displacement Back Analysis for a Steep Slope at the Three Gorges Project Site". *Int. J. Rock Mechanics & Mining Sci.*, Vol. 38, 2001, pp. 259-268, Elsevier Science Ltd., Great Britain.
- Duncan, J. M. (1996). "State of the Art: Limit Equilibrium and Finite-Element Analysis of Slopes". *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, Vol. 122, No. 7, July, 1996, pp. 577- 596, ASCE, United States of America.
- Duncan, J.M. & Wright, S. G. (1980). "The Accuracy of Equilibrium Methods of Slope Stability Analysis." *Engineering Geology*, Vol. 16, pp. 5-17, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, The Netherlands.
- Dunncliff, J. (1988). "Geotechnical Instrumentation For Monitoring Field Performance." United States of America: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Eberhardt, Eric (phD) (2002). "Analysis & Design of Surface Excavations". *Class note of Lecture 13* WS 2002/03. Retrieved 7 May 2003 from the World Wide Web: http://www.geology.ethz.ch/eg/edu/07417/ce03_lecture-13.pdf

Fan, K., Fredlund, D. G., & Wilson, G. W. (1986). "An Interslice Force Function for Limit Equilibrium Slope Stability Analysis." *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, Vol. 23, No. 3, pp. 287-296, Canada.

Fauziah, Kasim (2002) "Simulation Analysis of Slope Stability Prior and Post Construction of Bangunan Tambahan Fakulti Kejuruteraan Mekanikal, UTM." Skudai, Johor.

Fauziah, Kasim (2002). "Determination of Safety Factors of Global Slope for Justification for Proposed Retaining Structures near the Water Tank and the Failed Sheet Pile Wall at UTM." Skudai, Johor.

Fell, Robin (1994). "Landslide Classification". *Lecture 1 of 3-day course on Slope Stability and Landslides*, 17 – 19 August 1994, Parkroyal Kuala Lumpur, pp. 1-21, Malaysia.

Feng, T.Q., Yamagami, T. & Jiang, J. –C. (1999). "An FE Analysis of Anisotropic Soil Slopes and Back Analysis for Its Parameters". *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Slope Stability Engineering –IS-Shikoku'99*, Vol. I, pp. 317-322, A. A. Balkema, Rotterdam, Netherlands.

Fredlund, D.G., & Scoular R.E.G. (1999). "Using Limit Equilibrium Concepts in Finite Element Slope Stability Analysis". *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Slope Stability Engineering –IS-Shikoku'99*, Vol. I, pp. 31-47, A. A. Balkema, Rotterdam, Netherlands.

Griffiths, D. V. & Kidger, D. J. (1995). "Enhanced Visualization of Failure Mechanisms by Finite Elements". *Computers & Structures*, Vol. 55, No. 2, 1995, pp. 265-268, Elsevier Science Ltd, Great Britain.

Gue & Partners (2001). "Preliminary Opinion Report of Slope Failure at UTM, Skudai, Johor." Gue & Partners Sdn. Bhd.

Hicher, P. Y. & Michali, A. (1996). "Identifying Soil Parameters by Means of Laboratory and in-situ Testing". *Computers and Geotechnics*, Vol. 19, No. 2, 1996, pp. 153-170, Elsevier Science Ltd., Great Britain.

Huang, Scott L. & Yamasaki, Kenji (1993). "Slope Failure Analysis Using Local Minimum Factor-of-Safety Approach." *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, Vol. 119, No. 12, pp. 1974-1987, ASCE, United States of America.

Hunt, R. E. (1984). "Geotechnical Engineering Investigation Manual". New York: John Wiley & Sons.

Iain A Macleod (1991). "Required Knowledge For Finite Element Software Use". *Paper of Finite Element Analysis: Education and Training*, pp. 236-241 Elsevier Science Publishers Ltd, England.

Johnson, Robert B. & DeGraff, Jerome V. (1994). "Engineering Geology: a laboratory manual", pp. 94-100. United States of America: Macmillan Publishing Company.

Koelewijn, André R. (2002). "The Practical Value of Slope Stability Models." *Learned and Applied Soil Mechanics Out of Delft*, pp. 107-114, A. A. Balkema Publishers, Netherlands.

Ladd, Charles C. (1986). "Stability Evaluation During Staged Construction". The Twenty-Second Karl Terzaghi Lecture. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, Vol. 117, No. 4, pp. 537-615, ASCE, United States of America.

Ladd, Charles C. & Foott, Roger (1974). "New Design Procedure For Stability of Soft Clays." *Journal of the Geotechnical Engineering Division*, Vol. 100, No. GT7, pp. 763-786, ASCE, United States of America.

- Laouafa, F. & Darve, F. (2002). "Modelling of Slope Failure by A Material Instability Mechanism." *Computers and Geotechnics*, Vol. 29, 2002, pp. 301-325, Elsevier Science Ltd., Great Britain.
- Lancellotta, R. (1995). "Geotechnical Engineering". Rotterdam, Netherlands: A.A. Balkema.
- Laporan Tanah Runtuh Di Cadangan Fakulti Kejuruteraan Mekanikal (I), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. OMK Jurutera Perunding.
- Laporan Tanah Runtuh Di Cadangan Fakulti Kejuruteraan Mekanikal (II), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. OMK Jurutera Perunding.
- Laporan Tanah Runtuh Di Cadangan Fakulti Kejuruteraan Mekanikal (III)- Analysis and Design Report, July 2000. OMK Jurutera Perunding.
- Ledesma, A., Gens, A. & Alonso, E. E. (1996). "Estimation of Parameters in Geotechnical Back Analysis- I. Maximum Likelihood Approach". *Computers and Geotechnics*, Vol. 18, No. 1, 1996, pp. 1-27, Elsevier Science Ltd., Great Britain.
- Leonards, Gerald A. (1982). "Investigation of Failures". *Journal of the Geotechnical Engineering Division*, Vol. 108, No. GT2, pp. 187-246, ASCE, United States of America.
- Leshchinsky, Dov & Huang, Ching-Chuan (1992). "Generalized Slope Stability Analysis: Interpretation, Modification and Comparison." *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, Vol. 118, No. 10, October, 1992, pp. 1559-1576, ASCE, United States of America.
- Mostyn, Garry R. (1994). "Analysis of the Stability of Soil and Rock Slopes". *Lecture 7 of 3-day course on Slope Stability and Landslides*, 17 – 19 August 1994, Parkroyal Kuala Lumpur, pp. 1-31, Malaysia.

Nguyen, Van Uu (1985). "Determination of Critical Slope Failure Surfaces." *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, Vol. 111, No. 2, pp. 238-250, ASCE, United States of America.

Oka, Yoneo & Wu, Tien H. (1990). "System Reliability of Slope Stability". *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, Vol. 116, No. 8, 1990, pp. 1185-1189, ASCE.

Report on the failure of Sheet Pile Wall at the Fakulti Kejuruteraan Mekanikal, UTM, January 2002. OMK Jurutera Perunding.

Riley, W. J. (1999). "The Calculation of Time Domain Frequency Stability". Last Revised 27 March 1999. Retrieved 9 May 2003 from the World Wide Web: <http://www.ieee-uffc.org/freqcontrol/paper1ht.html>

Sakurai, S. & Takeuchi, K. (1983). "Back Analysis of Measured Displacements of Tunnels". *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering*. Vol. 16, 1996, pp. 173-180, Springer- Verlag, United States of America.

Sarsby, Robert (2000). "Environmental Geotechnics". London: Thomas Telford Ltd.

Sonmez, H., Ulusay, R. & Gokceoglu, C. (1998). "A Practical Procedure for the Back Analysis of Slope Failures in Closely Jointed Rock Masses". *Int. J. Rock Mech. Min. Sci.* Vol. 35, No. 2, 1998, pp. 219-233, Elsevier Science Ltd., Great Britain.

Sung Eun Cho & Seung Rae Lee (2002). "Evaluation of Surficial Stability for Homogeneous Slopes Considering Rainfall Characteristics". *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, Vol. 128, No. 9, 2002, pp. 756-763, ASCE.

Swoboda, G., Ichikawa, Y., Dong, Qinxin & Mostafa Zaki (1999). "Back Analysis of Large Geotechnical Models". *Int. J. Numer. Anal. Meth. Geomech.*, Vol. 23, 1999, pp. 1455-1472, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Great Britain.

Terado, Y., Hazarika, H., Yamazaki, T. & Hayamizu, H. (1999). "Slope Stability Analysis considering the Deformation of Slices". *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Slope Stability Engineering –IS-Shikoku '99*, Vol. I, pp. 265-269, A. A. Balkema, Rotterdam, Netherlands.

U. S. Army Corps of Engineers. Appendix A: "Geotechnical Analysis by the Finite Element Method". *Technical Paper No. 1110-2-544 dated 31 July 1995*. Department of The Army, Washington, DC 20314-1000. Retrieved 7 May 2003 from the World Wide Web: <http://www.usace.army.mil/publications/eng-tech-ltrs/etl1110-2-544/a-a.pdf>

Wesley, L. D. (1973). "Some Basic Engineering Properties of Halloysite and Allophane Clays in Java, Indonesia." *Geotechnique*, Vol. 23, No.4, pp. 471-494

Wesley, L. D. (1977). "Shear Strength Properties of Halloysite and Allophane Clays in Java, Indonesia." *Geotechnique*, Vol. 27, No. 2, pp. 125-136

Wesley, L. D. (1994). "Slope Stability In Mountainous Tropical Regions." *GEOTROPIKA '94*, Regional Conference in Geotechnical Engineering '94, Malaysia.

Zienkiewicz, O. C. (2000). "Achievements and Some Unsolved Problems of the Finite Element Method." *Int. J. Numer. Meth. Engng.* Vol. 47, 2000, pp. 9-28, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Great Britain.