

ANALYSIS AND ASSESSMENT OF SEDIMENT QUALITY IN A TYPICAL
MALAYSIAN RIVER

TAN SIEW LING

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the
degree of Master of Engineering (Civil-Environmental Management)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
University Teknologi Malaysia

JULY 2007

To Mother Nature and my beloved parents

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Throughout the process of completing this project, I had come across various assistances from many parties. First of all, I would like to extend my highest appreciation to my main supervisor, Associate Professor Zainudin Mohamed Shamsudin, for his guidance and advices.

I also would like to express my special thanks to my second supervisor, Dr. Supiah Shamsudin, for her who inspired the idea of this project to me. My gratitude also goes to Dr. Zaiton Abdul Majid, for her assistance in laboratory analysis.

My sincere thanks to Mr. Shurbaini, Mr. Ismail and Mr. Zulkarnian, the laboratory technicians who assisted me in sampling jobs, for their skilled and experienced assistances.

Last but not least, many thanks to my family and friends who helped me in completing this project, either through physical or emotional supports.

ABSTRACT

Sediment quality receives little concern in aquatic monitoring programs due to its expensive analytical procedures. However, sediment contaminations can influence the overall quality of aquatic environment. Sediment provides habitats to benthic organisms, which some of them are the food sources to human. Due to the acidic condition in digestion track, sediment contaminants can become soluble to the organisms and lead to the occurrence of bioaccumulations. It also serves as diffuse sources of contamination to the overlying water body; slowly releasing the contaminant back into the water column (Marcus, 1991). Therefore, ensuring a good sediment quality is crucial to maintain a healthy aquatic ecosystem, which ensuring good protection of human health and aquatic life. The aim of this study was to analyse and assess the riverbed sediment quality in a typical Malaysian river. Sungai Linggi river basin at Negeri Sembilan had been chosen as the study area because of the multiple developments (commercial, industrial, agricultural etc.) within its basin boundary. Metals (Cd, Cr, Co, Fe, Pb, Mn, Hg, Zn, As and Al), nutrients (TKN and TP) and carbon content (loss of ignition) were selected to be the analysis parameters. The result was then being compared with the effect levels in published SQGs. In addition, sediment enrichment factors (SEFs) were calculated to assess the metal contaminants in collected sediment samples with relative to the Al content. SQGs and SEFs provided different conclusions of the major contaminants in the sediment samples. The SQGs approach indicated Hg and As were two major contaminants in this basin because many samples exceeded the severe effect level (SEL) (Hg = 80%; As = 26%). Conversely, Zn and As were the critical contaminants signified by SEFs approach. Percentages of samples with SEFs more than 2 for Zn and As were 56.52% and 43.48% respectively. These differences were expected because using SQGs in sediment quality assessment can be subjective due to the origin of SQGs; while SEFs provide more tailored approach because they were calculated based on the background concentrations of each sample.

ABSTRAK

Kualiti enapan sering diabaikan dalam program pemantauan kualiti alam akuatik. Hal ini berlaku kerana perbelanjaan yang mahal bagi langkah-langkah analisis enapan. Walau bagaimanapun, pencemaran enapan boleh mempengaruhi kualiti alam akuatik secara keseluruhannya. Ia membekalkan habitat kepada pelbagai hidupan akuatik yang juga merupakan sumber makan kepada manusia. Keadaan asid dalam salur pencernaan hidupan boleh menyebabkan bahan cemar dalam enapan menjadi mudah larut. Akibat daripadanya, bio-akumulasi berlaku di dalam badan hidupan akuatik. Selain itu, enapan dalam air juga menjadi sumber pencemaran pelbagai punca kepada lapisan air di atasnya (Marcus, 1991). Maka, kualiti enapan yang baik amat penting untuk memastikan eko-sistem akuatik yang selamat. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk menganalisis dan mengkaji enapan dasar sungai di kawasan legeh Malaysia yang tipikal. Sungai Linggi di Negeri Sembilan dipilih sebagai kawasan kajian kerana keadaan guna-tanah yang pelbagai dalam kawasan legehnya. Bahan cemar dalam enapan yang dikaji ialah logam (Cd, Cr, Co, Fe, Pb, Mn, Hg, Zn, As dan Al), nutrient (TKN dan TP) serta kandungan karbon (LoI). Dua cara penilaian kualiti enapan digunakan dalam kajian ini, iaitu perbandingan data dengan merujuk kepada panduan kualiti enapan (SQGs) dan pengiraan faktor kesuburan enapan (SEFs). Rujukan kepada SQGs memutuskan Hg dan As adalah bahan cemar dalam enapan yang utama. Manakalan pengiraan SEFs menunjukkan Zn dan As sebagai bahan cemar yang critical dalam enapan. Penilaian kualiti enapan berdasarkan SQGs lebih subjektif kerana keadaan asal SQGs yang diterbitkan mungkin berbeza daripada kawasan kajian ini. Penilaian kualiti enapan menggunakan SEFs dianggap lebih spesifik bagi kajian ini kerana pengiraannya berdasarkan kandungan latar belakang bahan cemar dan Al dalam setiap sampel.

TABLE OF CONTENT

| CHAPTER | TITLE | PAGE |
|----------|---|------------|
| | DECLARATION OF THE STATUS OF THESIS SUPERVISORS' DECLARATION | |
| | TITLE | i |
| | DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY | ii |
| | DEDICATION | iii |
| | ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | iv |
| | ABSTRACT | v |
| | ABSTRAK | vi |
| | TABLE OF CONTENTS | vii |
| | LIST OF TABLE | x |
| | LIST OF FIGURES | xi |
| | LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xii |
| | LIST OF APPENDICES | xiv |
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1 Problem Statement | 2 |
| | 1.2 Aim of Study | 2 |
| | 1.3 Objectives | 2 |
| | 1.4 Scope of Work | 3 |
| | 1.4.1 Selection of Study Area. | 3 |
| | 1.4.2 Case Study Based on Selected River Basin. | 3 |

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| | 1.4.3 Riverbed Sediment Sampling and Laboratory Analysis | 3 |
| | 1.4.4 Elaboration of Published Sediment Quality Criteria and Guidelines | 3 |
| | 1.4.5 Result and Discussions | 4 |
| 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW ON SEDIMENT QUALITY ANALYSIS AND ASSESSMENT | 5 |
| | 2.1 Sediments in River | 5 |
| | 2.2 Composition of Sediment | 6 |
| | 2.3 Environmental Factors Influencing Sediment Quality | 10 |
| | 2.3.1 Sediment Grain Size | 10 |
| | 2.3.2 Form of Pollutant Bound to Particulate Matter | 11 |
| | 2.4 Analysis of Sediment | 13 |
| | 2.5 Analytical Compensation for Grain Size Effect | 16 |
| | 2.6 Sediment Quality Criteria | 17 |
| | 2.7 Sediment Quality Guidelines (SQGs) | 19 |
| | 2.7.1 Effect-Range Guidelines | 20 |
| | 2.7.2 Provincial Sediment Quality Guidelines for Metals and Nutrients | 21 |
| | 2.7.3 New York Sediment Screening Criteria | 21 |
| | 2.7.4 Interim Sediment Quality Values | 22 |
| | 2.8 Sediment Enrichment Factors (SEFs) | 25 |
| 3 | METHODOLOGY | 26 |
| | 3.1 Study Area | 27 |
| | 3.2 Sediments Sampling | 34 |
| | 3.3 Laboratory Analysis | 37 |
| | 3.4 Result and Discussion | 40 |
| | 3.4.1 Sediment Quality Guidelines (SQGs) | 40 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 3.4.2 Sediment Enrichment Factors (SEFs) | 41 |
| 3.5 Limitations | 42 |
| 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 43 |
| 4.1 Data Descriptions | 43 |
| 4.2 Sediment Quality Guidelines (SQGs) Approach | 44 |
| 4.2.1 Percentages of Sample in Each Effect Level Range | 48 |
| 4.3 Sediment Enrichment Factors (SEFs) Approach | 50 |
| 4.3.1 Background Level | 51 |
| 4.3.2 Calculation Of Sediment | 53 |
| 4.3 Discussion | 55 |
| 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 56 |
| REFERENCES | 58 |
| APPENDICES A – B | 61 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------------|---|-------------|
| 2.1 | Major Sediment Pollutants | 9 |
| 2.2 | Suggested Sediment Analyses For Three Levels Of Assessment Winth Increasing Complexity | 14 |
| 2.3 | Sediment Quality Guidelines (SQGs) | 20 |
| 2.4 | Summary of ER-L, ER-M and Overall Apparent Effects Thresholds Concentrations for Selected Chemicals in Sediment | 23 |
| 2.5 | Provincial Sediment Quality Guidelines for Metals and Nutrients | 24 |
| 2.6 | New York Sediment Criteria for Metals | 24 |
| 3.1 | Sampling Site Descriptions | 29 |
| 3.2 | Methods of Analysis | 39 |
| 4.1 | Rainfall Amount | 40 |
| 4.2 | Metals and Nutrients in Surface Sediment of Sg. Linggi and Its Tributaries with Interpretation to the LEL and SEL | 46 |
| 4.3 | Background Level Concentration for Metals in Sediments of Freshwater Ecosystems | 52 |
| 4.4 | Maximum SEFs Calculated for Linggi River and Its Tributaries | 54 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO | TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------|---|------|
| 2.1 | Major Origins Of Particulate Matter in Aquatic Systems and Their Distribution in Class Sizes | 6 |
| 2.2 | Sources of Pollutant to Sediments and the Associated Appropriate Sampling Operations for Survey of Particulate Matter | 8 |
| 2.3 | Copper in Various Grain-Size Fractions in Fly River Basin, Papua New Guinea | 10 |
| 2.4 | A System for the Complete Analysis of the Inorganic Components of Sediment | 15 |
| 3.1 | Sediment Sampling Station | 28 |
| 3.2 | Ponar Grabber | 36 |
| 3.3 | Shovel | 36 |
| 3.4 | Laboratory Analysis for Sediment Sample | 39 |
| 4.1 | Percentage of Samples amongst Range of SQGs in First Sediment Sampling | 49 |
| 4.2 | Percentage of Samples amongst Range of SQGs in Second Sediment Sampling | 49 |
| 4.3 | Ratio (%) Of Sediment Samples with SEF >2 | 54 |
| 4.4 | Ratio (%) of Sediment Samples with SEF > 2 – Separated Analysis of Linggi River and Tributaries | 54 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | | |
|-----------|---|--|
| AAS | - | Atomic Absorption Spectrometer |
| Al | - | Aluminum |
| As | - | Arsenic |
| Cd | - | Cadmium |
| Cu | - | Copper |
| Cr | - | Chromium |
| Cs | - | Cesium |
| DID | - | Department of Irrigation and Drainage |
| ER-L | - | Effect-Range Low |
| ER-M | - | Effect-Range Median |
| Fe | - | Ferrum (Iron) |
| GPS | - | Global Positioning System |
| Hg | - | Hydrargentum/Hydrargyrium (Mercury) |
| ISQV-High | - | Interim Sediment Quality Value - High |
| ISQV-Low | - | Interim Sediment Quality Value - Low |
| ISQVs | - | Interim Sediment Quality Values |
| LEL | - | Lower Effect Level |
| Li | - | Lithium |
| Mn | - | Manganese |
| N/A | - | Non-Applicable |
| N/D | - | Non-detectable |
| NOAA | - | National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration (US) |
| OC | - | Organic Carbon |
| PAHs | - | Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons |

| | | |
|-------|---|---|
| Pb | - | Plumbum (Lead) |
| PCB | - | Polychlorinated Biphenyls |
| Rb | - | Rubidium |
| SEFs | - | Sediment Enrichment Factors |
| SEL | - | Severe Effect Level |
| Si | - | Silicon |
| SQGs | - | Sediment Quality Guidelines |
| TKN | - | Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen |
| TP | - | Total Phosphorus |
| USEPA | - | United States Environmental Protection Agency |
| Zn | - | Zinc |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX | TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------------|---|-------------|
| A | Photographs of Sampling Site | 61 |
| B | Graphs of Element Concentrations vs. Sampling Locations | 74 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Sediments are one of the possible media in aquatic monitoring. Apart from water, sediments are also responsible of nutrients and pollutant transportation in aquatic environment. Sediments are known to capture hydrophobic chemicals pollutants entering water bodies (McCready, 2006). River sediment is the potential pollution source of toxicity to aquatic organisms and water quality.

According to Marcus (1991), sediment serves as diffuse sources of contamination to the overlying water body; slowly releasing the contaminant back into the water column. Therefore, ensuring a good sediment quality is crucial to maintain a healthy aquatic ecosystem, which ensuring good protection of human health and aquatic life. This can be achieved by establishing a specific level of protection: criterion.

A sediment criterion is described as a specific protection level from adverse contamination effects in sediment (USEPA, 1992). Department of Environment of New York State identified five levels of sediment protection regarding to the human health, aquatic life and wildlife (New York Sediment Screening Criteria, 1991). Numerous sediment quality guidelines (SQGs) were developed to assist in assessing potential adverse effect of sediment contaminants.

1.1 Problem Statement

River is a dynamic hydraulic system; the contamination mechanism in the water column could be ever-changing. However, it can take months, or even a few years to observe changes in the quality of deposited sediments (Meybeck, 1996). Disturbance event such as violent storm and dredging activities can result in re-suspension of pollutant into water column, thus affect the water quality. Four major categories of sediment pollutants are particulate organic matters, nutrients, toxic organic pollutants and toxic inorganic pollutants (Thomas & Meybeck, 1996).

1.2 Aim of Study

The aim of this study is to analyse and assess the sediment quality based on selected parameters for a typical river in Malaysia.

1.3 Objectives

1.3.1 Analyse and access trace elements aand nutrient level from sampled riverbed sediment.

1.3.2 Elaborate the existing sediment quality guidelines and make interpretation.

1.3.3 Evaluate the trend of sediment quality in the selected river based on the result of study.

1.4 Scope of Work

1.4.1 Selection of Study Area

The selected study area must at least be a model of typical Malaysian river basin, which main river and its tributaries run through lands with vary activities such as industries, commercials, agricultures and townships.

1.4.2 Case Study Based on Selected River Basin.

A case study was conducted on a selected river basin. The focus of this case study was to analyse and assess the riverbed sediment samples collected from the selected river basin.

1.4.3 Riverbed Sediment Sampling and Laboratory Analysis

Riverbed sediment samples were collected and preserved according to the standard operation procedures recommended by USEPA. Collected samples underwent pre-treatment processes before being analysed with standard laboratory methods.

1.4.4 Elaboration of Published Sediment Quality Criteria and Guidelines

Varies sediment quality criteria and guidelines were elaborated. References were made on previous sediment quality study.

1.4.5 Result and Discussions

Result of this study was discussed in detail. Determinations of the sediment contaminants will be made based on two approaches: Sediment Quality Guidelines (SQGs) and Sediment Enrichment Factors (SEFs).