

COMFORT ANALYSIS IN COMMERCIAL  
VEHICLE'S PASSENGER SEAT

TAM WEE KONG

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Mechanical)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

AUGUST 2006

*To my dearest parents and brother...*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Associate Professor Haji Mustafa bin Yusof and my co-supervisor, Professor Dr. Roslan Abdul Rahman, for their assistance, contribution and valuable guidance through this research, as well as great patience I shall not forget.

I want to thank Miss Kartina, Mr. Syam and Mr. Romaizi, for their help with research material collecting, test rig construction, testing and data processing. To those friends who had assisted me as the subjects in all my experiments, thank you for your precious time and tolerance.

I would also like to acknowledge the support provided by the technicians; Mr. Fazli from Strength Lab and Mr. Shamsuddin from Composite Centre.

I wish to express my appreciation to Miss Deborah Lim Shin Fei for providing me with encouragement, understanding and assistance in the completion of this thesis work.

Finally, to my family, especially to my parents who love and believe in me, thank you for all your advice, encouragement and support. I dedicate this thesis to them.

## ABSTRACT

A passenger seat is one of the main components to be considered when defining comfort in a moving vehicle. Experience shows that a seat produces different levels of comfort in different conditions. The comfort of automotive seats is dictated by a combination of static and dynamic factors. This research attempts to study the static and dynamic characteristics of a bus passenger seat for comfort through subjective and objective evaluations. The discomfort factors to be studied are the seat structure and pressure distribution at the human-seat interface. Two surveys including a pilot test were carried out to study the subjective evaluation through direct response from local users on seat comfort during their journey on the road. For the objective evaluation, two tests were conducted; SEAT (Seat Effective Amplitude Transmissibility) test and pressure distribution test. An SAE Sit-pad Accelerometer was used to measure vibration on the seat. Whereas, the pressure distribution at the human-seat interface was measured using pressure mapping system. Both tests had been carried out under controlled and uncontrolled conditions. Experimental works in the laboratory were considered as controllable. Uncontrolled condition refers to the road trials or field tests carried out in a moving vehicle which produced random vibrations. The results showed that, besides the postures and size of the passenger, the road conditions also have effects on the pressure distribution and SEAT data. A proposed seat structure with spring and damper properties was used and proved to be more effective in achieving seat vibration comfort. The SEAT values of this proposed seat were lower than the values for the current existing seat. A lower SEAT value means better ride comfort. By improving the seat parameters using the said method, vehicle seats, such as bus seats, could be developed with better ride comfort for local purposes.

## ABSTRAK

Tempat duduk penumpang merupakan salah satu komponen yang perlu dipertimbangkan untuk mendefinisikan keselesaan dalam suatu kenderaan yang sedang bergerak. Pengalaman menunjukkan bahawa suatu tempat duduk memberikan tahap keselesaan yang berlainan dalam keadaan yang berbeza. Keselesaan tempat duduk kenderaan terbentuk daripada gabungan faktor-faktor statik dan dinamik. Penyelidikan ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji sifat-sifat statik dan dinamik pada suatu tempat duduk penumpang bas untuk keselesaan melalui penilaian secara subjektif dan objektif. Faktor-faktor ketakselesaan yang ditumpukan ialah struktur tempat duduk dan taburan tekanan pada permukaan antara manusia dan tempat duduk. Dua kajian soal selidik termasuk ujian pandu telah diadakan untuk mengkaji penilaian subjektif secara langsung daripada pengguna tempatan terhadap keselesaan tempat duduk semasa perjalanan mereka. Dua ujian bagi penilaian objektif telah dijalankan, iaitu ujian *SEAT (Seat Effective Amplitude Transmissibility)* dan ujian taburan tekanan. Sebuah meter pecut *SAE Sit-pad* digunakan untuk mengukur getaran pada tempat duduk. Manakala, taburan tekanan pada permukaan antara manusia dan tempat duduk diukur dengan menggunakan sistem pemetaan tekanan. Kedua-dua jenis ujian telah dijalankan dalam keadaan terkawal dan tidak terkawal. Kerja eksperimen dalam makmal dianggap sebagai ujian terkawal. Ujian tidak terkawal dijalankan dalam sebuah kenderaan yang bergerak di mana getaran rawak terhasil. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa keadaan jalan mempengaruhi data taburan tekanan dan data SEAT, selain kedudukan tubuh dan saiz penumpang. Suatu struktur tempat duduk dengan fungsi pegas dan peredam telah dicadangkan dan dibuktikan lebih berkesan dalam mencapai keselesaan tempat duduk. Nilai-nilai SEAT untuk tempat duduk yang dicadangkan itu adalah lebih rendah daripada nilai-nilai bagi tempat duduk yang wujud kini. Nilai SEAT yang rendah bererti keselesaan duduk yang lebih baik. Dengan memperbaiki parameter-parameter tempat duduk berdasarkan kaedah yang tersebut di atas, keselesaan tempat duduk kenderaan seperti tempat duduk bas dapat ditingkatkan untuk kegunaan tempatan.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	<b>DECLARATION OF THE THESIS STATUS</b>	
	<b>SUPERVISOR DECLARATION PAGE</b>	
	<b>TITLE PAGE</b>	<b>i</b>
	<b>DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY</b>	<b>ii</b>
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xi</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xiii</b>
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xvii</b>
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xviii</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Objectives	2
	1.3 Scope of work	3
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>4</b>
	2.1 Seat Comfort	4
	2.2 Seat Vibration	5

2.3	Pressure Distribution	8
2.4	Seat Depth	12
2.5	Discussion	12
<b>3</b>	<b>THEORITICAL ANALYSIS</b>	<b>13</b>
3.1	Seat Effective Amplitude Transmissibility (SEAT)	13
3.1.1	Frequency Weightings	16
3.2	Static Pressure Analysis	18
<b>4</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>22</b>
4.1	Subjective Evaluation	22
4.2	Objective Evaluation	24
4.2.1	Pressure Distribution Measurement at the Human-seat Interface	25
4.2.1.1	Apparatus	26
4.2.1.2	Static Pressure Distribution Test	27
4.2.1.3	Dynamic Pressure Distribution Test	28
4.2.2	Measurement of Seat Vibration Transmission Characteristics	29
4.2.3	Experiment Method	31
4.2.3.1	Laboratory Test	31
4.2.3.2	Road Trial	34
4.2.4	Sensor Positioning	35
<b>5</b>	<b>SUBJECTIVE EVALUATION</b>	
	<b>– RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>36</b>
5.1	Results	36
5.2	General Information Regarding Respondents' Journey	36

5.3	Evaluation of Seat Features	37
5.4	Evaluation of Body Part Discomfort (BPD)	40
5.5	Overall Evaluation	41
5.6	Correlation Analysis	42
<b>6</b>	<b>OBJECTIVE EVALUATION</b>	
	<b>– RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>46</b>
6.1	Laboratory Tests	46
	6.1.1 Static Measurement	46
	6.1.2 Laboratory Dynamic Measurement	59
6.2	Field Tests	67
	6.2.1 Pressure Distribution Test	68
	6.2.2 SEAT Test	76
	6.2.3 Repeatability	83
6.3	Overall Discussion for Subjective and Objective Evaluations	86
<b>7</b>	<b>PROPOSED SEAT DESIGN</b>	<b>88</b>
7.1	Pressure Distribution Test	90
7.2	SEAT Test	94
7.3	Repeatability	102
7.4	Overall Discussion	104
<b>8</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>105</b>
8.1	Recommendations / Suggestions	106



<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>107</b>
Appendices A-D	<b>111</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
5.1	Statistical Summary of Respondents	36
5.2	Mean and standard deviation value for seat feature evaluation	38
5.3	Frequencies (%) of Seat Features Evaluation Result	39
5.4	Mean and standard deviation for BPD	40
5.5	Frequencies (%) of BPD Scale Result	41
5.6	Correlations between variables	43
6.1	Anthropometry of 10 subjects	48
6.2	Pressure distribution test results for 10 subjects during sitting with normal straight posture	49
6.3	Pressure distribution test results for 10 subjects during sitting with 1 <sup>st</sup> inclination posture	49
6.4	Pressure distribution test results for 10 subjects during sitting with 2 <sup>nd</sup> inclination posture	50
6.5	Pressure mapping contour of 10 subjects for different postures; subject 1-5: male, subject 6-10: female	51
6.6	Percentage of pressure transmitted to the backrest	53
6.7	Example of the pressure distribution test results for subject 1(male) on the current existing seat	54
6.8	Example of the pressure distribution test results for subject 6(female) on the current existing seat	54
6.9	Peak pressure for 10 subjects during 3 seat backrest inclination	56

6.10	Contact area for 10 subjects during 3 seat backrest inclination	57
6.11	Example of the pressure distribution test results for subject 1(male) on the older seat	59
6.12	Graphs (g rms vs. Hz) showing seat base and seat pan acceleration according to frequencies (from 1Hz until 10 Hz)	60
6.13	Anthropometry of the field trial subjects	72
6.14	Crest Factors for 5 subjects (2 road conditions)	82
6.15	SEAT values for 5 subjects	82
7.1	SEAT values for 5 subjects on the proposed seat	116

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Location of axis system as defined in ISO 2631	6
3.1	SEAT calculation (Griffin, 1990)	14
3.2	Moduli of the acceleration frequency weightings defined in BS6841 (British Standard Institution, 1987a)	17
3.3	Asymptotic approximations to frequency weightings $W_b$ , $W_c$ , $W_d$ , $W_e$ , $W_f$ , and $W_g$ for whole body vibration as defined in BS 6841 (British Standards Institution, 1987a)	17
3.4	A human model on the seat cushion with ENS (erect without back supported) posture.	19
3.5	Pressure distribution at human body-seat interface (Contact area is represented by green hatched area)	20
4.1	The design of the current existing bus seat structure	25
4.2	Xsensor pressure mapping system	27
4.3	Entran Sit-pad Accelerometer	30
4.4	Equipment set up for vibration testing under vertical vibration	32
4.5	Equipment set up for pressure mapping test under vertical vibration	33
5.1	Overall Evaluation	41
6.1	3-D static pressure distribution: male subject	47
6.2	3-D static pressure distribution: female subject	47
6.3	Normal, 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> inclination of the sitting position	48

6.4	Comparison of ENS pressure distribution between current existing cushion surface, old cushion surface and wooden surface (from left to right)	52
6.5	Seat position with cushion-added 1 (longer, narrower) and cushion-added 2 (shorter, wider)	55
6.6	Effect of subjects' weight onto peak pressure at buttock-seat interface	56
6.7	Effect of subjects' weight onto contact area at buttock-seat interface	58
6.8	Vertical (z-axis) seat transmissibility for the existing seat	63
6.9	Contour maps of the dynamic pressure interface between mass system which simulated human buttock and cushion for different frequencies from 1-10 Hz	64
6.10	Characteristics of pressure distribution shown by pressure sensors during laboratory dynamic test (IT – Ichial Tuberosities)	66
6.11	Average pressure (onto seat pan) against time for the field test on the bumpy road: 2 subjects with 2 positions each	68
6.12	Average pressure (onto seat pan) against time for the field test on the smooth-surfaced road: 2 subjects with 2 positions each	70
6.13	Average pressure (pink – pressure onto seat pan, turquoise – pressure onto backrest) with synchronized vibration on the seat base for 1 minute each for 5 subjects on bumpy road	72
6.14	Average pressure (pink – pressure onto seat pan, turquoise – pressure onto backrest) with synchronized vibration on the seat base for 1 minute each for 5 subjects on straight road	74
6.15	Power spectrum of Channel 1 and Channel 2 for the 5 subjects during bumpy road ride	76
6.16	Power spectrum of Channel 1 and Channel 2 for the 5 subjects during smooth-surfaced road ride	79

6.17	Effect of random vibration onto the seat base (Channel 1) measured with five subjects a, b, c, d, e through the bumpy roads	84
6.18	Effect of random vibration onto the seat pan (channel 2) measured with five subjects a, b, c, d, e through the bumpy roads	85
6.19	Effect of random vibration onto the seat base (channel 1) measured with five subjects a, b, c, d, e through the smooth-surfaced roads	85
6.20	Effect of random vibration onto the seat pan (channel 2) measured with five subjects a, b, c, d, e through the smooth-surfaced roads	86
7.1	Proposed seat structure	106
7.2	Proposed seat structure (with the center of structure and center of movement)	106
7.3	Average pressure (pink – pressure onto seat pan, light blue – pressure onto backrest) onto the proposed seat with synchronized vibration on the seat base for 1 minute each for 5 subjects on bumpy road	108
7.4	Average pressure (pink – pressure onto seat pan, light blue – pressure onto backrest) onto the proposed seat with synchronized vibration on the seat base for 1 minute each for 5 subjects on straight road	109
7.5	Power spectrum of Channel 1 and Channel 2 for the 5 subjects during bumpy road ride	111
7.6	Power spectrum of Channel 1 and Channel 2 for the 5 subjects during smooth-surfaced road ride	114
7.7	Graphs showing SEAT values against subjects' height and weight on both bumpy and smooth-surfaced roads for existing and proposed seats	118
7.8	Effect of random vibration onto the proposed seat base (Channel 1) measured with five subjects a, b, c, d, e through the bumpy roads	119
7.9	Effect of random vibration onto the proposed seat pan (Channel 2) measured with five subjects a, b, c, d, e through the bumpy roads	120

7.10	Effect of random vibration onto the proposed seat base (Channel 1) measured with five subjects a, b, c, d, e through the smooth-surfaced roads	120
7.11	Effect of random vibration onto the proposed seat pan (Channel 2) measured with five subjects a, b, c, d, e through the smooth-surfaced roads	121

**LIST OF SYMBOLS**

$G_{ss}(f)$	-	Seat acceleration power spectra
$G_{ff}(f)$	-	Floor acceleration power spectra
$W_i(f)$	-	Frequency weighting
$H(f)$	-	Transfer function
$a_w(t)$	-	Frequency weighted acceleration time history
$T$	-	Period of time over which vibration may occur
$W$	-	Body mass
$A$	-	Contact area
$a$	-	Length
$g$	-	Gravity = 9.81 m/s <sup>2</sup>
$f$	-	Frequency
$k$	-	Spring stiffness



**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	QUESTIONNAIRES AND RESULTS	111
B	SEAT DETAILS	130
C	OBJECTIVE TEST PROCEDURES	138
D	DATA FIGURES / TABLES	144

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Background**

Nowadays, comfortable seating in a vehicle is no longer considered a luxury, but as a requirement. A seat that is comfortable in a showroom may have poor dynamic characteristics that make it uncomfortable whilst on road. What is considered comfortable by a user also depends very much on the way a seat is used and how long it has been used. The optimum seat for one vehicle may not be the optimum seat for another vehicle. It is therefore important to consider both static and dynamic comfort when considering the quality of the in-vehicle experience.

Until now, there is still no local study on seat comfort for vehicles in Malaysia. Most of the automotive seats, especially commercial vehicle passenger seats, were designed not accordingly to the average size of Malaysian. For a long journey ride, the seat is important because it will affect the comfort feeling of the passenger. These are the reasons that the seat comfort need to be studied in detail.

A seat is formed by the seat cushion and the seat structure. The characteristics of the seat cushion can be categorized into three: physical, static and dynamic. The physical characteristics of the cushion include seat contour, softness, and seat inclination. Static pressure distribution is the characteristic to be studied in a static condition (vehicle remains static). Both the seat cushion and structure play important roles in affecting the seat comfort in dynamic condition. Therefore, transmissibility test is necessary for the study on dynamic characteristics of a seat, which will be mentioned in the later chapter. In this research, physical

characteristics of the seat cushion were briefly considered during a survey, whereas the seat static and dynamic characteristics were considered and subjected to the objective evaluation.

Static comfort can be evaluated using postural assessment, interface pressure distribution and other standard ergonomic techniques. Dynamic comfort is usually assessed by making vibration measurement on the surface of passenger seats using method based on ISO2631-1, ISO10326-1 and other international standards. These dictate that vibration on the seat must be measured using accelerometer mounted in a semi-rigid disk originally specified by the Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE Sit-pad).

Besides subjective method, SEAT (Seat Effective Amplitude Transmissibility) test and pressure distribution test had been applied onto the local vehicle passenger seat in this research, by using the subjects of average Malaysian size. The automotive seat aimed for both the subjective and objective analysis is the commercial vehicle (bus) passenger seat. The bus passenger seat was chosen as the commercial vehicles are still the main transportation in Malaysia for the people to travel from places to places. Most of the complaints of body pains after a long journey travel usually come from the bus passengers and not the car passengers.

## **1.2 Objectives**

The objectives to be achieved for this research are:

- a. To determine factors affecting seating discomfort through subjective method.
- b. To determine human-seat interface pressure distribution and Seat Effective Amplitude Transmissibility (SEAT) values of commercial vehicle passenger seat through objective methods.
- c. To determine Seat Effective Amplitude Transmissibility (SEAT) values of a proposed seat structure of commercial vehicle for passenger ride comfort.

### **1.3 Scope of work**

The scope of work for this research includes the following:

- a. To conduct surveys among public to gain the subjective evaluation towards the design of current existing bus seat. This subjective assessment would be conducted to gather information on existing commercial vehicle seats from public and to evaluate perceived comfort.
- b. To carry out a pressure mapping test to obtain the pressure distribution at the human-seat interface under static and dynamic conditions.
- c. To carry out vibration test to obtain the Seat Effective Amplitude Transmissibility (SEAT) values through both laboratory tests and field trials.
- d. To conduct road trials onto the proposed seat structure to obtain the Seat Effective Amplitude Transmissibility (SEAT) values.