

**TRANSIENT VOLTAGE STABILITY ENHANCEMENT USING
GENETIC NEURAL PROPORTIONAL INTEGRAL DERIVATIVE
FINE-TUNED BY FUZZY CONTROLLER**

ABDULLAH JUBAIR HALBOOS AL GIZI

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

TRANSIENT VOLTAGE STABILITY ENHANCEMENT USING GENETIC
NEURAL PROPORTIONAL INTEGRAL DERIVATIVE FINE-TUNED BY
FUZZY CONTROLLER

ABDULLAH JUBAIR HALBOOS AL GIZI

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Electrical Engineering)

Faculty of Electrical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2015

To my wife and parents, with love and gratitude

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praise and thanks are due to Allah ,and peace and blessing of Allah be upon our prophet. Muhammad and upon all his family and companions. Thanks to Allah who give me good health in my life and thanks to Allah for everything. Without the help of Allah, I was not able to achieve anything in this is research.

First and foremost, I would like to extend my deepest gratitude to my supervisor and teacher, Prof. Ir. Dr. Mohd Wazir bin Mustafa, for giving me the opportunity to work in an amazing field of research. His constant encouragement, criticism and guidance were the key to bringing this project to fruitful completion, especially during the final period of the research. I have learned and gained much, not only in research skills, but also in the lessons of life, which has helped shaped my character.

Finally, I would like to thank my family for always being there for me, through thick and thin. Especially to my parents, they are such wonderful role models and respected members of the society. Their role in my life is something I will always need and constantly appreciate.

ABSTRACT

Improving the transient response of power generation systems using the automation control in a precise manner remain challenging. Developing the Automatic Voltage Regulator (AVR) of the synchronous generator with a high potency and prompt response for the stable electric power service is ever-demanding. The proposed techniques for determining the Proportional Integral Derivative (PID) controller parameters of the AVR system such as Real-Coded Genetic Algorithm (RGA) and a Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) have failed to achieve the desired precision. Enhancing the transient stability responses of synchronous generation using automation control remains the challenging issue. This thesis presents a novel design method for determining the PID controller parameters of an AVR system using combined Genetic Algorithm (GA), Radial-Basis Function Neural Network (RBF-NN) and Sugeno Fuzzy logic approaches for implementation in enhancing the transient stability responses. This new approach renders the design of synchronous generator voltage controller by introducing a complete and modified model of synchronous generator. The problem of obtaining the optimal AVR and PID controller parameters is formulated as an optimization problem and RBF-NN tuned by GA is applied to solve the optimization problem. Meanwhile, RBF-NN is used to enhance the PID parameters obtained from GA to design a fuzzy PID controller of the AVR system for various operating conditions namely Genetic Neural Fuzzy PID (GNFPID). GNFPID is further designed to transfer in Programmable Logic Controllers (PLCs) for implementing the practical AVR system in the experimental model. An inherent control interaction between the excitation current and terminal voltage is revealed. The simulation and experimental results demonstrate the proposed approach has superior features, including easy implementation, stable convergence characteristic, and good computational efficiency. The proposed GA is applied to optimize PID controller parameters. The algorithms for the proposed GA and RBF-NN are coded using MATLAB and executed on a laptop with Intel core (TM) 2 Duo CPU 5550@1.83 GHz with 3GB RAM laptop. This algorithm effectively searches for a high-quality solution to improve the system's response (~ 0.005 sec) and transient response of the AVR system for 13.8 kV and 400 V are found to be 0.0025 and 0.001, respectively. Furthermore, the results of the numerical simulation offer a high sensitive response of the novel design compares to the RGA, LQR, PSO and GA. The GNFPID controller configures the control signal based on interaction and thereby reduces the voltage error and the oscillation in the terminal voltage. The GNFPID controller achieves an excellent voltage control performance by testing the proposed fuzzy PID controller on a practical AVR system in synchronous generator with the sizeable improved transient response. The proposed method is indeed more efficient and robust in improving the system's response and the transient response of an AVR system. It is asserted that this novel approach may be useful for the development of voltage control of power systems in real industrial practices under severe fault.

ABSTRAK

Memperbaiki sambutan fana sistem penjanaan kuasa menggunakan kawalan automasi berkejituan tinggi masih menjadi cabaran. Membangunkan Pengatur Voltan Automatik (AVR) janakuasa segerak dengan sambutan potensi yang tinggi dan segera untuk perkhidmatan kuasa elektrik stabil sentiasa mendapat permintaan. Teknik yang dicadangkan untuk menentukan parameter yang Pekadaran Pengamiran Pembezaan (PID) sesuatu sistem AVR seperti Algoritma Genetik Kod Sebenar (RGA) dan Pengoptimaan Kerumunan Zarah (PSO) yang gagal mencapai kejituan yang dikehendaki. Meningkatkan tindak balas kestabilan sementara generasi segerak menggunakan kawalan automasi kekal isu yang mencabar. Tesis ini membentangkan satu kaedah reka bentuk baru bagi menentukan parameter PID sesuatu sistem AVR menggunakan gabungan Algoritma Genetik (GA), Rangkaian Neural Fungsi Berasaskan Jejari (RBF-NN) dan kaedah-kaedah logik Kabur Sugeno untuk perlaksanaan dalam meningkatkan sambutan kestabilan fana. Kaedah baru ini membawa kepada reka bentuk pengawal voltan janakuasa segerak dengan memperkenalkan satu model lengkap dan modifikasi bagi janakuasa segerak. Adalah menjadi masalah bagi mendapatkan parameter pengawal AVR dan PID optima kerana dibuat sebagai satu masalah pengoptimaan dan RBF-NN dilaras dengan GA yang diaplikasikan bagi menyelesaikan masalah pengoptimaan. Sementara itu, RBF-NN digunakan bagi meningkatkan parameter PID yang diperolehi daripada GA untuk mereka bentuk pengawal kabur PID bagi sistem AVR untuk pelbagai keadaan operasi yang dinamakan Genetik Neural Kabur PID (GNFPID). GNFPID selanjutnya direka bentuk untuk dipindahkan dalam Pengawal-Pengawal Logik kebolehpogram (PLCs) bagi melaksanakan sistem AVR praktikal dalam model eksperimen. Satu interaksi kawalan yang wujud di antara arus ujaan dan voltan terminal ditunjukkan. Keputusan simulasi dan eksperimen membuktikan kaedah dicadangkan mempunyai ciri-ciri yang lebih baik termasuk perlaksanaan yang mudah, sifat pertumpuan stabil dan keberkesanan pengiraan yang baik. GA yang dicadangkan diaplikasi bagi mengoptimumkan parameter pengawal PID. Algoritma GA yang dicadangkan dan RBF-NN dikod menggunakan MATLAB dan dijalankan dengan komputer riba *Intel core(TM) 2 DuoCPU 5550@1.83GHz* dengan 3GB RAM. Algoritma ini secara efektif mencari satu penyelesaian bermutu tinggi bagi memperbaiki respon sistem (~ 0.005 saat) dan sambutan fana sistem AVR untuk 13.8 kV dan 400 V didapati sebanyak 0.0025 dan 0.001 setiap satu. Tambahan lagi, keputusan simulasi angka memberikan sambutan bersensitif tinggi bagi reka bentuk baru tersebut dibanding dengan RGA, LQR, PSO dan GA. Pengawal GNFPID mengkonfigur isyarat pengawal berdasarkan interaksi dan seterusnya mengurangkan kesilapan voltan dan ayunan dalam voltan terminal. GNFPID menala prestasi kawalan voltan yang cemerlang dengan menguji pengawal PID kabur yang dicadangkan ke atas sistem AVR praktikal dalam janakuasa segerak dengan sambutan fana diperbaiki dengan sangat ketara. Kaedah dicadangkan sesungguhnya lebih efisien dan lasak dalam yang sambutan sistem dan sambutan fana sesuatu sistem AVR. Maka dinyatakan bahawa kaedah baru ini mungkin berguna untuk pembangunan pengawal voltan sistem-sistem kuasa dalam amalan-amalan industri sebenar di bawah kesilapan yang serius.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTER | TITLE | PAGE |
|----------|-------------------------------|----------|
| | DECLARATION | ii |
| | DEDICATION | iii |
| | ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | iv |
| | ABSTRACT | v |
| | ABSTRAK | vi |
| | TABLE OF CONTENTS | vii |
| | LIST OF TABLES | xi |
| | LIST OF FIGURES | xii |
| | LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xvi |
| | LIST OF SYMBOLS | xix |
| | LIST OF APPENDICES | xx |
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1 Overview | 1 |
| | 1.2 Research Background | 4 |
| | 1.3 Problem Statement | 8 |
| | 1.4 Research Objectives | 10 |
| | 1.5 Scope of the Research | 10 |
| | 1.6 Significance of the Study | 11 |
| | 1.7 Thesis Organization | 12 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW | 13 |
| 2.1 | Introduction | 13 |
| 2.2 | Research Background | 14 |
| 2.3 | Fuzzy Logic Controllers | 15 |
| 2.3.1 | Fuzzy controllers | 17 |
| 2.3.2 | Fuzzy Iyapunov Controller | 18 |
| 2.3.3 | Takuge Sugeno Type Fuzzy Logic Controller | 20 |
| 2.3.4 | Controller Design with Common Input Matrix | 22 |
| 2.3.5 | Linear Controller Using Robust Control Approach | 23 |
| 2.3.6 | Fuzzy Controller using LMI Technique | 26 |
| 2.4 | Neural Control | 26 |
| 2.4.1 | Adaptive Control Paradigms | 27 |
| 2.4.2 | Direct Adaptive Control | 27 |
| 2.4.3 | Indirect Adaptive Control | 28 |
| 2.4.4 | The Composite Adaptive Controllers | 30 |
| 2.5 | Neural Network Models | 31 |
| 2.5.1 | Feed Forward Networks | 31 |
| 2.5.2 | Recurrent Networks | 32 |
| 2.5.3 | Dynamical Neural Network | 33 |
| 2.5.4 | CMAC Networks | 34 |
| 2.5.5 | Self-organizing map | 36 |
| 2.6 | Genetic Algorithm | 38 |
| 2.6.1 | Population | 39 |
| 2.6.2 | Reproduction | 40 |
| 2.6.3 | Crossover | 40 |
| 2.6.4 | Mutation | 42 |
| 2.7 | GA process | 43 |
| 2.7.1 | Exclusiveness | 45 |
| 2.7.2 | Object Function or Fitness Function | 46 |
| 2.8 | Application of Genetic Algorithm | 46 |
| 2.8.1 | PID Control Application | 47 |
| 2.9 | Automatic Voltage Regulator | 48 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 2.10 Summary | 52 |
| 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 53 |
| 3.1 Introduction | 53 |
| 3.2 Modeling of AVR System | 54 |
| 3.3 Formulation of New Fuzzy Controller Tuned by Genetic-Neural Algorithm | 58 |
| 3.4 Genetic Algorithm | 61 |
| 3.4.1 Reproduction | 61 |
| 3.4.2 Crossover Operation | 62 |
| 3.4.3 Mutation Operation | 63 |
| 3.5 RBF Neural Network | 63 |
| 3.6 GA for PID Controller Tuning | 69 |
| 3.6.1 Variable Representation | 69 |
| 3.6.2 Fitness Function | 69 |
| 3.7 Sugeno Fuzzy Model Background | 70 |
| 3.8 Development of Sugeno Fuzzy Model For Design PID Controller | 72 |
| 3.8.1 Design Fuzzy PID Based on Excitation Parameters | 72 |
| 3.8.2 Design Fuzzy PID Based on Generator Parameters | 73 |
| 3.9 Develop Simulink and Experimental Models of Synchronous Generator | 73 |
| 3.9.1 Speed Control of Genetic Algorithm | 74 |
| 3.9.2 Simulink model 1 | 75 |
| 3.9.3 Simulink model 2 | 78 |
| 3.9.4 Experimental Models of Synchronous Generator | 80 |
| 3.10 Simulink Data Transfer to PLC | 82 |
| 3.10.1 GNFPID-PLC Controller | 86 |
| 3.11 Summary | 90 |

| | | |
|----------|--|------------|
| 4 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 91 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 91 |
| 4.2 | Performance of GA–PID Controller | 92 |
| 4.3 | Performance of RBF–PID Controller | 94 |
| 4.4 | Design Fuzzy PID Based on Excitation Parameters | 101 |
| 4.4.1 | Implementation of Electrical Power Generation | 108 |
| 4.4.2 | Transient Response Calculations | 114 |
| 4.4.3 | Comparison with Other Findings | 117 |
| 4.5 | Design of Fuzzy PID Based on Generator Parameters | 119 |
| 4.5.1 | Implementation of Electrical Power Generation | 124 |
| 4.5.2 | Transient Response Calculations | 130 |
| 4.5.3 | Comparison with Other Findings | 132 |
| 4.6 | Experimental Consideration | 134 |
| 4.6.1 | Experimental Modified Model | 134 |
| 4.6.2 | GNFPID-PLC Controller | 136 |
| 4.6.3 | Comparison Calculation of AVR1, AVR2, AVR3 and Simulation | 147 |
| 4.6.4 | Comparison with Other Findings | 151 |
| 4.7 | Summary | 154 |
| 5 | CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK | 155 |
| 5.1 | Overall Conclusions | 155 |
| 5.1.1 | GRBF-NN Controller | 156 |
| 5.1.2 | Fine Tuning Fuzzy Controller | 157 |
| 5.1.3 | Tuning of fuzzy PID controller by GA and RBF-NN | 157 |
| 5.2 | Future Work | 161 |
| | REFERENCES | 162 |
| | Appendices A-F | 181-211 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------|--|------|
| 1.1 | Critical review to describe the advantages and disadvantages of the previous methods | 7 |
| 2.1 | Critical review of the previous methods | 50 |
| 3.1 | The transfer function of AVR components [180, 181, 2] | 58 |
| 4.1 | Optimal value the control parameter obtained using the proposed GA | 93 |
| 4.2 | The PID parameters for LQR , Binary-coded GA and RGA and and transient response parameters | 98 |
| 4.3 | PID gains and transient response parameters of GA | 98 |
| 4.4 | Comparison of PID gains and transient response parameters for the different methods | 99 |
| 4.5 | The table for the fuzzy rule is formulated for K_p , K_d and K_i and is summarized in Table (a), (b) and (c), respectively | 105 |
| 4.6 | The proposed method calculations and information explanation | 116 |
| 4.7 | Comparison between the proposed method and the previous methods | 116 |
| 4.8 | The table for the fuzzy rule is formulated for K_p , K_d and K_i and is summarized in this table | 121 |
| 4.9 | The proposed method calculations and information explanation | 131 |

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 4.10 | Comparison between the proposed method and the previous methods | 131 |
| 4.11 | Standardize deviation calculation of voltage and frequency for AVR1with GNFPID, AVR2, AVR3 and simulation [201,2,24] | 149 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------|---|-------|
| 2.1 | Mamdani type of Fuzzy Logic Controller [43-45] | 16 |
| 2.2 | The general characteristics of PI/PD/PID response the rule base is formed LE, ME and SE denotes LARGE, MEDIUM and SMALL error, respectively [43-45] | 17 |
| 2.3 | Single link manipulator | 20 |
| 2.4 | Scheme of the direct adaptive control [91,93] | 28 |
| 2.5 | Scheme of the indirect adaptive control [106] | 29 |
| 2.6 | An $(n + p)$ input and n output RBF network [121] | 32 |
| 2.7 | Basic recurrent network [121] | 33 |
| 2.8 | Single dynamics neural [123] | 34 |
| 2.9 | The architecture of the binary CMAC network [129] | 35 |
| 2.10 | The architecture of self-organizing map [72] | 36 |
| 2.11 | The block diagram self-organizing map [72] | 36 |
| 2.12 | Flowchart of GA | 44 |
| 3.1 | The research framework | 55-56 |
| 3.2 | The block diagram of AVR system along with PID controller [2,24], [180,181] | 58 |

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 3.3 | The flowchart of GA in Appendix A | 59 |
| 3.4 | Schematic representation of BLX-a crossover in one-dimension [182] | 62 |
| 3.5 | The block diagram of RBF neural network structure | 65 |
| 3.6 | The MATLAB-Simulink model of electrical power generation system along with fuzzy PID controller GNFPID | 76 |
| 3.7 | Novel fuzzy PID controller GNFPID | 77 |
| 3.8 | The MATLAB-Simulink model of Electrical Power Generation System along with Sugeno fuzzy PID controller | 79 |
| 3.9 | SIMATIC IPC427C | 81 |
| 3.10 | Experimental set up for testing the GNFPID controller in AVR systems | 83 |
| 3.11 | The block diagram of the experimental setup | 84 |
| 3.12 | Functional mechanism for the experimentation | 85 |
| 3.13 | The AVR and governor system | 88 |
| 3.14 | Three types of AVR used | 89 |
| 4.1 | Shows the convergence characteristics of GA algorithm | 93 |
| 4.2 | MATLAB-Simulink model of AVR system along with PID controller | 94 |
| 4.3 | Flowchart Of Who To Tuning RBF-NN Controller By GA And RGA[2] | 96 |
| 4.4 | Characteristics of RBF PID controller tuning by GA and, RGA | 97 |
| 4.5 | Step response of RBF-PID change in the terminal voltage | 99 |
| 4.6 | MATLAB-Simulink model test system includes two completely regular areas connected jointly by two 230 kV lines each of 220 km length | 100 |
| 4.7 | Terminal voltage response under 3 phases to | |

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| | ground fault with GRBF PID | 101 |
| 4.8 | Illustrated the thematic factory operation of AVR system with $K_e=2,9$ and $\tau_e=0.5,1$ necessary for | 103 |
| 4.9 | The discretization of the membership functions displaying the structure of novel GNFPID controller | 107 |
| 4.10 | The MATLAB-Simulink model of Electrical Power Generation System along with Sugeno fuzzy PID controller (GNFPID) | 110 |
| 4.11 | Terminal voltage response of excitation system with Sugeno fuzzy PID controller | 111 |
| 4.12 | The response of the terminal voltage and frequency under 3 phase ground fault with GNFPID controller | 112 |
| 4.13 | The response of the terminal voltage under 3 phase ground fault with GNFPID controller | 112 |
| 4.14 | The high sensitiveness of the terminal voltage to tiny a change (0.005) | 113 |
| 4.15 | Seen comparison of the overall method of LQR, PSO, RAG and binary-coded GA with novel GNFPID | 113 |
| 4.16 | Terminal voltage response under three phase fault | 114 |
| 4.17 | Transient response specifications | 115 |
| 4.18 | A thematic factory operation of AVR system with $K_g=0.7,0.9$ and $\tau_g=1,1.6$ | 120 |
| 4.19 | The discretization of the membership functions displaying the structure of novel GNFPID controller | 123 |
| 4.20 | The MATLAB-Simulink model of Electrical Power Generation System along with fuzzy PID controller GNFPID | 126 |

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 4.21 | Terminal voltage response of excitation system with novel controller GNFPID | 127 |
| 4.22 | The terminal voltage and frequency response under 3 phases to ground fault with GNFPID controller | 127 |
| 4.23 | The response of the terminal voltage under 3 phases to ground fault with GNFPID controller | 128 |
| 4.24 | High sensitivity voltage to tiny change (0.005) | 128 |
| 4.25 | Comparison the GNFPID data with other methods including LQR, RAG and binary-coded GA | 129 |
| 4.26 | Terminal voltage response under three phases to ground fault | 129 |
| 4.27 | Transient response specifications | 130 |
| 4.28 | Experimental set up for testing the GNFPID controller in AVR systems | 135 |
| 4.29 | The block diagram of the experimental setup | 135 |
| 4.30 | The AVR and governor system | 137 |
| 4.31 | Three types of use AVR | 138 |
| 4.32 | (a) Voltage control curve for AVR1 adjusted with GNFPID and (b) Voltage control curve for severe disturbance | 140 |
| 4.33 | (a) Voltage control curve for AVR2 adjusted without GNFPID and (b) voltage control curve for severe disturbance | 141 |
| 4.34 | (a) Voltage control curve for AVR3 adjusted without GNFPID and (b) voltage control curve for severe disturbance | 142 |
| 4.35 | Voltage response with disturbance | 143 |
| 4.36 | Voltage control curve for a disturbance with AVR2 and AVR3 | 144 |
| 4.37 | Voltage response under severe disturbance of AVR1, AVR2 and AVR3 | 145 |

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 4.38 | Frequency control curve for a disturbance with AVR1 GNFPID | 145 |
| 4.39 | Frequency control response with a disturbance for AVR2 and AVR3 | 146 |
| 4.40 | Frequency response of AVR1, AVR2 and AVR3 systems under severe disturbance | 147 |
| 4.41 | Comparison errors, calculation of AVR1 (GNFPID) with AVR2 and AVR3 | 150 |
| 4.42 | Types of fault dependent response of GNFPID controller | 151 |
| 4.43 | The voltage response of GNFPID controller | 153 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | | |
|--------|---|---|
| AC | - | Alternating Current |
| ANN | - | Artificial Neural Network |
| AVR | - | Automatic Voltage Regulator |
| BSNN | - | B-Spline Neural Network |
| CAC | - | Composite Adaptive Controller |
| CB | - | Circuit Breaker |
| CMAC | - | Cerebellar Model Articulation Controller |
| CUEP | - | Controlling Unstable Equilibrium Point |
| DAC | - | Direct Adaptive Controller |
| DARLA | - | Distinct Action Reinforcement Learning Automata |
| DC | - | Direct Current |
| DECS | - | Digital Excitation Systems |
| DPF | - | Discrete Probability Functions |
| DTCs | - | Direct Torque Control Schemes |
| FLC | - | Fuzzy Logic Controller |
| FLSs | - | Fuzzy Logic System |
| FNN | - | Fuzzy Neural Network |
| FSs | - | Fuzzy Sets |
| GA | - | Genetic Algorithm |
| GNFPID | - | Genetic Neural Fuzzy Proportional Integral Derivative |
| GUPFC | - | Generalized Unified Power Flow Controller |
| IAC | - | Indirect Adaptive Controller |
| IGA | - | Improved GA |
| IPMSM | - | Interior Permanent Magnetic Synchronous Machine |
| IT2 | - | Interval Type-2 |

| | | |
|--------|---|--|
| IWP | - | Inertia Wheel Setup |
| LE | - | Large Error |
| LMI | - | Linear Matrix Inequality |
| LQR | - | Linear-Quadratic Regulator |
| ME | - | Medium Error |
| MIMO | - | Multiple-Input–Multiple-Output |
| MLP | - | Multi-Layer Perceptron |
| MPC | - | Model Predictive Control |
| NA | - | Neural Algorithm |
| NCS | - | Nonlinear Control Systems |
| NN | - | Neural Network |
| PD | - | Proportional Derivative |
| PI | - | Proportional Integral |
| PID | - | Proportional Integral Derivative |
| PLC | - | Programmable Logic Controller |
| PLL | - | Phase-Locked Loop |
| PMSM | - | Permanent Magnetic Synchronous Machine |
| PSO | - | Particle Swarm Optimization |
| PSS | - | Power System Stabilizer |
| RBF-NN | - | Radial-Basis Function Neural Network |
| RGA | - | Real-Valued Genetic Algorithm |
| RNNs | - | Recurrent Neural Networks |
| RTWEC | - | Real-Time Workshop Embedded Coder |
| SA | - | Simulated Annealing |
| SE | - | Small Error |
| SFL | - | Sugeno Fuzzy Logic |
| SFM | - | Sugeno Fuzzy Model |
| SLM | - | Single Linked Manipulator |
| SMA | - | Snake-robot-based Manipulator Actuators |
| SMC | - | Sliding-Mode Control |
| SQL | - | Structured Query Language |
| SOM | - | Self-Organizing Map |
| SPMSM | - | Surface Permanent Magnetic Synchronous Machine |

| | | |
|--------|---|-------------------------------|
| T 1,T2 | - | Type-1,Type-2 Fuzzy Logic |
| T/S | - | Transient Stability |
| TEB | - | Tracking-Error-Based |
| TGA | - | Traditional Genetic Algorithm |
| TLA | - | Teacher Learning, Algorithmic |
| T-S | - | Takuge-Sugeno |
| T-SFM | - | Takuge_Sugeno Fuzzy Model |
| TSK | - | Takagi-Sugeno-Kang |
| UFLS | - | Under Frequency Load Shedding |
| ZN | - | Ziegler Nichols |

LIST OF SYMBOLS

| | | |
|-----------------|---|---|
| e | - | Errors |
| \dot{e} | - | Close loop error dynamics |
| u | - | Control input |
| \dot{x} | - | Lyapunov stable around the operating point |
| $V(x)$ | - | Lyapunov function |
| \dot{V} | - | Derivative of Lyapunov function candidate V |
| A_i | - | Linguistic variables |
| $f(i)$ | - | Linear function |
| m | - | Mass |
| L | - | Long |
| $\ddot{\Theta}$ | - | Angle |
| G | - | Ground acceleration |
| T | - | Dynamic model equation |
| $u_j(k)$ | - | Individual control input for discrete time |
| A_j | - | T-S fuzzy model stable equation |
| K_j | - | Individual gain matrices |
| B | - | Common input matrix |
| B_j | - | Constraint matrix |
| μ_j^i | - | Membership function of the fuzzy |
| δ_j | - | Membership function percentage |
| δ_i | - | Position quantity |
| $\dot{x}(t)$ | - | T-S fuzzy model |
| $f, h1, h2$ | - | Norm bounds of the controllers are designed to make |

| | | |
|------------------|---|--|
| | | the T-S fuzzy model Lyapunov stable |
| c_j | - | Neural radial center |
| $G(s)$ | - | Transfer function |
| K_p | - | Proportional gain of PID controller |
| K_d | - | Derivative gain of PID controller |
| K_i | - | Integral gain of PID controller |
| ΔV_t | - | Incremental change in terminal voltage |
| ΔV_{ref} | - | Incremental change in reference voltage |
| B | - | Weighting factor |
| θ_{sh} | - | Overshoot |
| T_s | - | Settling time |
| T_r | - | Rising time |
| E_{ss} | - | Steady-state error |
| τ_e | - | Exciter time constant |
| τ_g | - | Generator time constant |
| τ_s | - | Sensor time constant |
| τ_a | - | Amplifier time constant |
| K_a | - | Amplifier gain |
| K_e | - | Exciter gain |
| K_g | - | Generator gain |
| K_s | - | Sensor gain |
| r | - | Rules |
| \emptyset | - | Gaussian parameter |
| C_j | - | Node centre |
| h_j | - | Gaussian form of the radial function |
| Γ | - | The momentum factor and |
| η | - | The learning rate for the neural network |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX | TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------------|---|-------------|
| A | MATLAB Code for the proposed GA , RBF-NN | 181 |
| B | MATLAB Code for GA speed control | 195 |
| C | Details of induction motor parameters, generation parameters, SIMATIC IPC427C features and implementation SIMATIC IPC427C | 197 |
| D | Flowchart to explain how to implementation RBF-NN code with simulink to enhance the PID parameters obtained from GA to design a fuzzy PID controller | 205 |
| E | Details of major contribution | 207 |
| F | List of Publications | 211 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

Improving the stability, achieving high efficiency and enhancing the voltage response of electric power system even under disturbance in real industrial situation are ever-demanding quest. The stability of power system is defined as the ability to remain in a state of operational equilibrium under normal operating conditions and to recover a suitable state of balance in the presence of a disturbance. Instabilities in the power system are caused by many different ways depending on the operating mode and system configuration. The generation of electrical power depends on synchronous machines. Therefore, an essential condition for acceptable system operation is the synchronization of power systems. The feature of stability is critically guided by the power angle relationships and the dynamics of generator rotor angles. Instability which occurs in case of large disturbances is the relative angular instability which occurs because of large disturbances that cause loss of synchronisation among machines. Instabilities may also arise because of events that do not cause loss of synchronisation with a good protection system. A system containing a synchronous generator supplying an induction motor load during transmission may become unstable because of the failure of load voltage and current (active and reactive power). It is indeed customary to analyse the stabilities of a power system subjected to transient events. These events might be weak or strong depending upon the event types [1]. The transient voltage stability in electric power

systems is relatively a new domain of research and much of it are still unexplored. The voltage stability can be divided into short-term (transient), mid-term and long-term stability phenomena. The mid-term phenomena represent the transition from short-term to long-term responses. In mid-term stability studies the focus is on synchronizing power oscillation between machines including the effects of some of the slower phenomena and possibly large voltage or frequency excursions. Typical ranges of time periods are, (i) Short-term or transient: 0-10 seconds, (ii) Mid-term: 10 seconds-few minutes and (iii) Long-term: few minutes-10's of minutes [1]. The transient stability is primarily concerned with the maintenance of synchronism for large disturbances which is our main focus. There are two types of disturbance in voltage stability, namely the small and large-disturbances. The large one concerned with the system's ability to control voltages following a large disturbance such as system faults, loss of generation or circuit's contingencies.

Conversely, the small-disturbance voltage stability deals with the system's ability to control voltages following small perturbations such as incremental changes in system load. Many issues related to the voltage stabilities under disturbances are far from being understood due to lack of comprehensive models and careful simulation. One of the outstanding and challenging problems in this area is in the control of the voltage response with the associated severe faults, where the voltage at the terminals of the synchronous generator can drop significantly. Consequently, this temporary drop in the terminal voltage is a reduction in the all-important ability or overall efficiency to transfer synchronizing power out of the generator. Therefore, the solution to this problem is to achieve the terminal voltage return as soon as possible depending on generator's Automatic Voltage Regulator (AVR) inside the excitation system. The essential function of an excitation system is to provide direct current to the synchronous generator machine field winding. In addition, the excitation system performs control and protective functions essential to the satisfactory performance of the power system by controlling the field voltage and field current.

The control functions include the control of voltage and reactive power flow, and the enhancement of system stability. The factors responsible for the precise control of voltage and current response need in-depth studies. The protective functions ensure that the capability limits of the synchronous machine system and other equipment are not exceeded [1]. The performance requirements of the excitation system are determined by considering the synchronous generator and the power system [1]. The basic requirement is that the excitation system supply and automatically adjusts the field current of the synchronous generator. This maintains the terminal voltage as the output varies within the continuous capability of the generator. In addition, the excitation system must be able to respond to a transient disturbance with field, forcing consistent with the generator instantaneous and short-term capabilities. From the power system viewpoint, the excitation system should contribute to effective control of voltage and enhancement of the system stability. It must be capable of responding rapidly to a disturbance to enhance the transient stability and also modulates the generator field to improve small-signal stability. The excitation systems are classified into three broad categories based on the excitation power source used [1]. These excitations are Direct Current (DC), Alternating Current (AC) and static structures.

The DC excitation utilizes DC generators as a source of excitation power and provides current to the rotor of the synchronous machine through slip rings. The exciter may be driven by a motor or the shaft of the generator. It may be either self-excited or separately excited. The separately excited, the exciter field is supplied by a pilot exciter comprising a permanent magnet generator. The AC excitation systems utilize alternators (ac machines) as sources of the main generator excitation power. These excitation systems of this category utilize alternators (ac machines) as sources of the main generator excitation power. Usually, the exciter is on the same shaft of a turbine generator. The AC output is rectified either by controlled or non-controlled rectifiers to produce the direct current needed for the generator field. There are two types of AC excitation systems such as stationary rectifier and rotating rectifier. The other broad category called Digital Excitation Systems (DECS) comprised of microprocessor-based control device intended for generator power management. Programmability of system parameters and regulation settings enables the DECS to

be used in a wide range of application which provides greater flexibility [1] in excitation system optimization. The excitation system supply and automatically adjusts the field current of the synchronous generator and keep constant generator terminal voltage by AVR. The main objective of the AVR is to control the terminal voltage by adjusting the generator exciter voltage. The AVR must keep track of the generator terminal voltage all the time under any load condition and maintains the voltage within pre-established limits. Meanwhile, the Proportional Integral Derivative (PID) inside the AVR is responsible for the optimal control of AVR system. PID controller possesses three coefficients namely differential, proportional and integral coefficients. In most modern system, AVR is a controller that senses the generator output voltage (and sometimes the current) and then initiates corrective action by changing the exciter control in the desired direction.

Thus, the AVR plays a crucial role with respect to transient stability by attempting to maintain terminal voltage under faulty conditions. It also ensures a fast terminal voltage recovery profile after the fault is cleared under transient conditions. Determining the mechanisms responsible for transient response and speedy voltage recovery are the key issues in power systems.

1.2 Research Background

It is a prerequisite for stable electric power service to develop the AVR of the synchronous generator with a high potency and a prompt response. The foremost purpose of the AVR is to control the terminal voltage and regulate the generator exciter voltage by maintaining the stability of the generator terminal voltage all the time under any load and operating conditions. On most modern systems, the AVR is a controller that senses the generator output voltage (sometime current) and initiates corrective action by changing the exciter control in the desired direction.

These investigations concerning the improvement of the research process in the control system engineering propose different approaches to achieve better solutions.

A design method for determining the PID controller parameters of the AVR system using the real coded genetic algorithm (RGA) method has been proposed [2]. A hybrid Genetic Algorithm (GA) and bacterial foraging method has been developed to precisely tune the PID controller of an AVR [3]. The application of fuzzy system propose a replacement of the PI controller by a fuzzy logic controller to improve the transient performance of the DC link under fast load variations [4]. In another proposal a new fuzzy logic control based under frequency load shedding scheme has been implemented in mini hydro type-DG operating inislanded mode [5]. The transient stability enhancement of the power system interconnected with wind farm by Generalized Unified Power Flow Controller (GUPFC) having grid frequency switching similar multi-pulse converters has been demonstrated [6].

The performance of current hybrid fuzzy PID controller is somewhat poor and the changes in the system parameters require a new adjustable variable of PID controller. To overcome this difficulty, a hybrid system of fuzzy and fuzzy self-tuning PID controller have been developed [7]. The power system stabilizer for damping both local and global modes of an interconnected system based on the neuron fuzzy (hybrid) system has been developed [8]. An adaptive-network-based fuzzy logic Power System Stabilizer (PSS) is [9]. Propose the application of an adaptive fuzzy logic controller to both single and multi-machine power system simulation is previously reported [10]. The design and stability analysis of Takagi-Sugeno-Kang (TSK) -type full-scale fuzzy PID controller has been introduced [11].

There is a pressing need for the inclusion of on-line dynamic security assessment capabilities in energy management systems. The nonlinear time series is used to predict the transient stability of the system [12] as a possible solution. In this method, first the post-fault state trajectory is predicted with nonlinear time series forecasting algorithm and then by Controlling Unstable Equilibrium Point (CUEP) concept and kinetic and potential energies at CUEP clearing time, the transient

stability of the system is assessed. A distributed computing approach for piecewise analysis of synchronous fault in Transient Stability (T/S) studies for large-scale electrical network exploitation has been reported [13]. The increasing stability related complication in power systems involve electromechanical oscillations that need to be controlled. A systematic approach to design an optimal controller to damp the electromechanical oscillations based on bioinspired GA and Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) techniques have been proposed [14]. An emergency control scheme known as the combined Under Frequency Load Shedding (UFLS) and generator tripping has been developed in order to stabilize the system when unstable faults occurred in a power system [15]. The performance of the combined Under Frequency Load (UFL) and generator tripping scheme has been compared with the conventional control scheme and found to be efficient.

Computational techniques such as GA and fuzzy logic have been used for analytic solution [11, 16-18] which resulted the control field for implementing the real time manipulation based on the neural network. Furthermore, it has been established that Radial-Basis Function Neural Network (RBF-NN) has the ability to approximate any continuous function with any arbitrary accuracy [19, 20]. A tuning fuzzy logic approach to determine the optimal PID controller parameters in the AVR system by developing a fuzzy system can give the PID parameters on-line for different operating conditions [21]. A Linear-Quadratic Regulator (LQR) method has been implemented to improve the PID controller for a universal second-order system which required a good selection of weighting functions for acceptable performance [22]. An RGA and a PSO algorithm with new fitness function methods have been proposed to design a PID controller for the AVR system [23, 24].

A design method for determining the PID controller parameters of the AVR system using the PSO method has been proposed [25-27]. PSO is a population-based optimization technique, which is enthused by social performance patterns of organisms such as bird flocking and fish schooling. Undoubtedly, both GA and PSO are subjected to computational burden and memory expenses and are not appropriate for online applications. Nowadays, the most popular controller techniques are fuzzy

controllers in which expert knowledge can be incorporated into the design [21, 28, 29]. Most fuzzy controllers that are used in industry have the same structure of simulated PID as incremental PD or PID controllers. The drawback of both fuzzy logic control and Lyapunov-like madman type FLC is that the parameters associated with the FLC are heuristically updated. Neural networks have been widely used in the identification, estimation, and control of nonlinear systems offline estimation.

The following conclusions are summarised in Table 1.1. A critical review is presented describing the advantages and disadvantages of the previous methods and some review to overcome much of the existing complexity by combining GA, RBF-NN, and Sugeno fuzzy logic approaches to determine the optimal PID controller parameters in the AVR system.

Table 1.1 : Critical review to describe the advantages and disadvantages of the previous methods.

| Author year | Proposed Technology | Advantages | Disadvantages |
|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Mohammadi 2009[30] | New evolutionary methods for optimal design of PID controllers for AVR system | Successful in providing globally optimal results, due to high efficiency and lower computation time. | Off-line computational burden and algorithm complicated |
| Ahmed 2006[31] | Simulated Annealing Optimized and Neural Networks Self-Tuned PID Voltage Regulator for a Single-Machine Power System | The optimization search is based on a suitable objective function. | ANN is trained off-line. |
| Ramya 2013[32] | Optimization of synchronous generator excitation controller parameters | Good response of the excitation controller tuning by RGA. | Computational burden and require large memory storage |
| Hasanien 2013[33] | Design Optimization of PID Controller in AVR system using Taguchi combined GA method | The PID controller in the AVR system minimize the swing of the terminal voltage. | The analysis of variance depends on selection of the influential design variables. |
| Madinehi 2011[34] | Optimum design of PID controller in AVR system using intelligent methods | Intelligent controller design in an AVR system by using two techniques. | Long controller time response. |

The limitations of the existing work are the design of the novel fuzzy controller by combining GA and RBF-NN approaches in order to maintain the terminal voltage within pre-established limits and enhancing the transient response of synchronous generator under severe disturbances. The effective maintenance of the terminal voltage within pre-established limits and enhancing the transient response of the synchronous generator under severe disturbances is accomplished by using proposed fuzzy PLC controller in industrial control. This work addressing rang issues by using optimal PID gains obtained by combined GA and RBF-NN for various operating conditions are used to develop the rules based on the Sugeno fuzzy system. This algorithm effectively searches for a high-quality solution and improves the transient response of the AVR system.

1.3 Problem Statement

The transient voltage stability in electric power systems is significant and challenging issue requires to be addressed. The precise control of transient (short-term) stability primarily concerned with the maintenance of synchronism for large disturbances remains a major challenge. An important problem associated with severe faults, where the voltage at the terminals of the synchronous generator can drop significantly. The consequence of this temporary drop in terminal voltage is a reduction in the all-important ability to transfer synchronizing power out of the generator.

Therefore the solution is to get the terminal voltage back up as soon as possible. This depends on generator's AVR. The generator's AVR works through the excitation system to maintain constant generator terminal voltage. Despite some studies, the design of efficient AVR (AVR with genetic, neural and fuzzy voltage controller) system is still lacking and not much work is carried out to develop the mechanism of voltage recovery and to improve transient response in the AVR system

by using the combination of genetic, neural and fuzzy technics. Thus, the AVR plays a crucial role with respect to transient stability in maintaining terminal voltage under fault conditions. The controller system also ensures a fast terminal voltage recovery profile after the fault is cleared under transient conditions.

The modern power systems are non-linear and highly complex with continuous variations in their operating conditions over a wide range. The role of nonlinearity requires further attention. Lately, the most popular controller techniques are called intelligent and are developed with expert knowledge incorporated into the design. No comprehensive model, simulation, or systematic experiments yet exist to determine the mechanism of controller response, transient voltage stability enhancements, and efficiency.

Generally, AVR controls the terminal voltage by adjusting the generator exciter voltage, while the AVR system optimal control is performed by the PID inside the AVR. The drive of the approach is to design a high-sensitivity fuzzy PID controller depending on a hybrid model by combining the GA, RBF-NN, and Sugeno fuzzy logic and insert instead of traditional PID controller (PID or lead-lag controllers) of the AVR.

A novel Genetic Neural Fuzzy Proportional Integral Derivative (GNFPID) controller is used to achieve high stability, fast response and keeps track of the generator terminal voltage continually and under any load condition. It maintains the voltage within pre-established limits for enhancing the transient response of synchronous generator under severe disturbances. A novel Genetic Neural Fuzzy Proportional Integral Derivative (GNFPID) controller is used to achieve high stability, fast response and keeps track of the generator terminal voltage continually and under any load condition. It maintains the voltage within pre-established limits for enhancing the transient response of synchronous generator under severe disturbances.

1.4 Research Objectives

The objectives of the study are the following:

- i. To design novel, Fuzzy Controller tuned genetically via Genetic-Neural Algorithm (GNFPID) for improving the AVR responses.
- ii. To enhance the transient stability responses for the synchronous generator voltage controller (GNFPID).
- iii. To develop Simulink and experimental models of synchronous generator suitable in studying the transient stability response of the large scale power system.
- iv. To compare and validate the results of novel approaches (GNFPID) with other intelligent methods such as LQR, PSO, RAG and binary-coded GA.
- v. To determine the mechanism of improved transient response, voltage stability enhancements and efficiency under severe fault.

1.5 Scope of the Research

The scope of the research is as follows:

- i. The GA integrated by RBF-NN has been applied to generate the optimized parameter values of the fuzzy rule base and also in tuning the associated membership function parameters.
- ii. The RBF-NN is used to enhance the PID parameters obtained from GA.
- iii. The enhanced PID parameters are used to design the fuzzy PID controller (GNFPID) one-time tuned by excitation parameters (K_e, τ_e) and a second time tuned by generator parameter (K_g, τ_g).
- iv. The proposed GNFPID controllers are inserted in the AVR system to enhancement the transient response of the synchronous generator terminal voltages.

- v. These enhancements are well investigated through the simulated results by using MATLAB.
- vi. The experimental result is achieved by transfer of data design of the GNFPID controller from MATLAB to programmable logic controllers (PLC) for implementing the practical AVR system in the experimental model.
- vii. Detailed analysis includes a compare-and-validate of the results of the novel approach GNFPID with other intelligent methods.

1.6 Significance of The Study

The prime focus of this research is to design and characterize an intelligent system for a synchronous generator voltage controller highly suitable for industrial AVR system application. Through the fuzzy PID controller tuned by genetic and neural-network algorithms, a modified powerful controller called GNFPID is achieved, which is further used in a synchronous generator AVR system. The transient voltage stability enhancements obtained from this novel fuzzy controller are comparable with other conventional methods. By using this novel fuzzy controller with a complete model of synchronous generator it is possible to study the transient stability response of a synchronous generator in a large-scale power system. This methodology is beneficial for improving the power system operation control in terms of their stability, generator terminal voltage and enhancing the transient response of synchronous generator.

1.7 Thesis Organization

The thesis is organized as follows:

Chapter 1 begins with a general introduction and a brief overview showing the importance and growing demand of research on the power system stability. The main objectives, problem statements, scope and significance of the research are highlighted in this chapter.

Chapter 2 deals with the literature review related to intelligent control system. The classified control methods for overall intelligent control such as fuzzy, neural and GA are reviewed at length.

In Chapter 3 the research methodology is described by incorporating relevant schematic diagrams and theory relevant to our research. The MATLAB Simulink and data transfer design from MATLAB to PLC implemented in the AVR in experimental setups are described in detail for the diagnostics and measurements.

Chapter 4 presents the simulation results and discussions on simulation based on the novel design GNFPID controller, model, and present the experimental results and discussions on implementing novel design controller GNFPID by PLC type SIMATIC IPC427C. Simulation and experimental results are compared and validated with existing conventional methods.

Chapter 5 summarizes the main findings signifying the strength of the research, major contribution, fulfillment of the objectives and a few suggestions for further work in this important research domain.

REFERENCES

1. Kundur, P., Balu, N. J. and Lauby, M. G. Power system stability and control, Vol. 7: McGraw-hill New York. 1994.
2. Devaraj, D. and Selvabala, B. Real-coded genetic algorithm and fuzzy logic approach for real-time tuning of proportional-integral - derivative controller in automatic voltage regulator system. *Generation, Transmission and Distribution, IET*. 2009. 3(7): 641-649.
3. Kim, D. H., Abraham, A. and Cho, J. H. A hybrid genetic algorithm and bacterial foraging approach for global optimization. *Information Sciences*. 2007. 177(18): 3918-3937.
4. Gupta, N., Singh, S., Dubey, S. and Palwalia, D. Fuzzy Logic Controlled Three-Phase Three-Wired Shunt Active Power Filter for Power Quality Improvement. *International Review of Electrical Engineering*. 2011. 6(3).
5. Mokhlis, H., Laghari, J., Bakar, A. and Karimi, M. A fuzzy based under-frequency load shedding scheme for islanded distribution network connected with DG. *International Review of Electrical Engineering*. 2012. 7: 4992-5000.
6. Vural, A. and Bayindir, K. Transient Stability Enhancement of the Power System Interconnected with Wind Farm Using Generalized Unified Power Flow Controller with Simplex Optimized Self-Tuning Fuzzy Damping Scheme. *International Review of Electrical Engineering*. 2012. 7(4).
7. Sinthipsomboon, K., Hunsacharoonroj, I., Khedari, J., Pongae, W. and Pratumswan, P. A hybrid of fuzzy and fuzzy self-tuning PID controller for servo electro-hydraulic system. *Proceedings of the Industrial Electronics and Applications: IEEE*, 2011, 220-225.

8. Qureshi, M., Gabel, J., Khande, C. and Bharti, I. Application of hybrid system control method for real-time power system stabilization. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*. 2007. 158(24): 2687-2705.
9. Hariri, A. and Malik, O. Fuzzy logic power system stabilizer based on genetically optimized adaptive network. *Fuzzy sets and systems*. 1999. 102(1): 31-40.
10. Lown, M., Swidenbank, E., Hogg, B. and Smith, R. Adaptive fuzzy reference model control of multi-machine power systems. *Fuzzy sets and systems*. 1999. 102(1): 59-70.
11. Kim, J., Choi, O.-K. and Lee, J. S. Design and stability analysis of TSK-type full-scale fuzzy PID controllers. *Proceedings of the Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ-IEEE), 2012 IEEE International Conference on: IEEE*. 1-8.
12. Shamisa, A., Karrari, M. and Malik, O. Power System Transient Stability Assessment Using On-Line Measurement Data. *International Review of Electrical Engineering*. 2008. 3(4).
13. Koochaki, A. and Kouhsari, S. Piecewise Analysis of Simultaneous Fault in Transient Stability Studies. *International Review of Electrical Engineering*. 2009. 4(2).
14. Shivakumar, R., Lakshmipathi, R. and Panneerselvam, M. Power System Stability Enhancement Using Bio Inspired Genetic and PSO Algorithm Implementation. *International Review of Electrical Engineering*. 2010. 5(4).
15. Abdul Wahab, N. I. and Mohamed, A. Transient stability emergency control using generator tripping based on tracking area-based rotor angle combined with UFLS. *International Review of Electrical Engineering*. 2010. 5(5): 2317-2326.
16. Ming, Z. X. and Yu, L. S. Simulation Study on Fuzzy PID Controller for DC Motor Based on DSP. *Proceedings of the Industrial Control and Electronics Engineering (ICICEE), 2012 International Conference on: IEEE*. 1628-1631.
17. Xiong, M.-c. and Wang, L.-l. Intelligent Fuzzy-PID temperature controller design of drying system. *Proceedings of the Information Management, Innovation Management and Industrial Engineering (ICIII), 2012 International Conference on: IEEE*. 54-57.

18. Arulmozhiyal, R. and Kandiban, R. Design of Fuzzy PID controller for Brushless DC motor. *Proceedings of the Computer Communication and Informatics (ICCCI), 2012 International Conference on: IEEE.* 1-7
19. Liu, K., Wang, M. and Zuo, J. An optimal PID controller for linear servo-System using RBF neural networks. *Proceedings of the Computational Intelligence and Software Engineering, 2009. CiSE 2009. International Conference on: IEEE.* 1-4.
20. Zhang, J. and Zhang, H. Vehicle lateral stability control based on single neuron network. *Proceedings of the Control and Decision Conference (CCDC), 2010 Chinese: IEEE.* 290-293.
21. Farouk, N. and Bingqi, T. Application of self-tuning fuzzy PID controller on the AVR system. *Proceedings of the Mechatronics and Automation (ICMA), 2012 International Conference on: IEEE.* 2510-2514.
22. Yu, G.-R. and Hwang, R.-C. Optimal PID speed control of brush less DC motors using LQR approach. *Proceedings of the Systems, Man and Cybernetics, 2004 IEEE International Conference on: IEEE.* 473-478.
23. Leghmizi, S., Liu, S. and Naeim, F. Takagi-Sugeno Fuzzy Controller for a 3-DOF Stabilized Platform with Adaptive Decoupling Scheme. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology.* 2011. 60: 1803-1809.
24. Ching-Chang, W., S.-A.L.a.H.-Y.W., Optimal PID Controller Design for AVR System. *Tamkang Journal of Science and Engineering*, 2009. **12**(3): p. 259-270.
25. Valizadeh, S., Jamali, M. R. and Lucas, C. A particle-swarm-based approach for optimum design of BELBIC controller in AVR system. *Proceedings of the Control, Automation and Systems, 2008. ICCAS 2008. International Conference on.* 14-17 Oct. 2008. 2679-2684.
26. Zwe-Lee, G. A particle swarm optimization approach for optimum design of PID controller in AVR system. *Energy Conversion, IEEE Transactions on.* 2004. 19(2): 384-391.
27. Rahimian, M. and Raahemifar, K. Optimal PID controller design for AVR system using particle swarm optimization algorithm. *Proceedings of the Electrical and Computer Engineering (CCECE), 2011 24th Canadian Conference on: IEEE.* 000337-000340.

28. Balaji, M. and Porkumaran, K. A Mamdani-type fuzzy gain adapter for PID controller on a thermal system using PLC. *Proceedings of the India Conference (INDICON), 2012 Annual IEEE*. 7-9 Dec. 2012. 670-675.
29. Chen, W., Xing, M. and Fang, K. A PLC-based fuzzy PID controller for pressure control in Coke-oven. *Proceedings of the Control Conference (CCC), 2012 31st Chinese*. 25-27 July 2012. 4754-4758.
30. Mohammadi, S., Gharaveisi, A., Mashinchi, M. and Rafiei, S. New evolutionary methods for optimal design of pid controllers for avr system. *Proceedings of the PowerTech, 2009 IEEE Bucharest: IEEE*. 1-8.
31. Bensenouci, A. and Abdel Ghany, A. Simulated annealing optimized and neural networks self-tuned PID voltage regulator for a single-machine power system. *Proceedings of the IEEE Industrial Electronics, IECON 2006-32nd Annual Conference on: IEEE*. 241-246.
32. Ramya, R., Selvi, K. and Nivethitha, S. Optimization of synchronous generator excitation controller parameters. *Proceedings of the Power, Energy and Control (ICPEC), 2013 International Conference on: IEEE*. 585-590.
33. Madinehi, N., Shaloudegi, K., Abedi, M. and Abyaneh, H. A. Optimum design of PID controller in AVR system using intelligent methods. *Proceedings of the PowerTech, 2011 IEEE Trondheim: IEEE*. 1-6.
34. Hasanien, H. M. Design optimization of PID controller in automatic voltage regulator system using Taguchi combined genetic algorithm method. *Systems Journal, IEEE*. 2013. 7(4): 825-831.
35. Blom, J. Intelligent bed-side data management and control systems. *Biomed Tech (Berl)*. 1990. 35(3): 268-269.
36. Antsaklis, P. Intelligent Control, Encyclopedia of Electrical and Electronics Engineering. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. 1997.
37. Antsaklis, P. J., Stiver, J. A. and Lemmon, M. Hybrid system modeling and autonomous control systems. *Hybrid Systems: Springer*. 366-392; 1993.
38. Mamdani, E. H. Application of fuzzy algorithms for control of simple dynamic plant. *Proceedings of the Proceedings of the Institution of Electrical Engineers: IET*. 1585-1588:1974.
39. Zedeh ,L.A., A fuzzy –algorithmic approach to the delft ration of complex or imp rose concept Int. *Jour Man-Machine students* 1976. 18(249-291).

40. C.H, W. J. M. K. a. Mamdani Analysis of a fuzzy logic controller. *Fuzzy sets and systems* 1978. 1: 29-44.
41. Slagle, J. R., Gaynor, M. W. and Halpern, E. J. An intelligent control strategy for computer consultation. *Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on*. 1984(2): 129-136.
42. Mzumoto,M.,Relaxation of PID controller by fuzzy control methods. *Fuzzy Sets and systems*, 1996. **70** p. 171-182.
43. Michael ,M. ,and Lunghotz, G., Fuzzy lyapunov-based approach to design the fuzzy controllers. *Fuzzy sets and systems*. 1999. 106: 49-59.
44. Mann, G. K. I., Bao-Gang, H. and Gosine, R. G. Analysis of direct action fuzzy PID controller structures. *Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B: Cybernetics, IEEE Transactions on*. 1999. 29(3): 371-388.
45. Aceves-Lopez, A. and Aguilar-Martin, J., A simplified version of mamdani's fuzzy controller: the natural logic controller. *Fuzzy Systems, IEEE Transactions on*. 2006. 14(1): 16-30.
46. Takagi, T. and Sugeno, M., Fuzzy identification of systems and its applications to modeling and control. *Systems, Man and Cybernetics, IEEE Transactions on*, 1985. SMC-15(1): p. 116-132.
47. Kar, I., Prem Kumar, P. and Behera, L. On identification and stabilization of nonlinear plants using fuzzy neural network. *Proceedings of the Systems, Man and Cybernetics, 2005 IEEE International Conference on*. 10-12 Oct. 2005. 474-479 Vol. 471.
48. Prem Kumar, P., Kar, I. and Behera, L., Variable-Gain Controllers for Nonlinear Systems Using the Tand ndash;S Fuzzy Model. *Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B: Cybernetics, IEEE Transactions on*, 2006. 36(6): p. 1442-1449.
49. Zak, S.H., Stabilizing fuzzy system models using linear controllers. *Fuzzy Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, 1999. 7(2): p. 236-240.
50. Lam, H.K., Leung, F.H.F. and Tam, P.K.S., A linear matrix inequality approach for the control of uncertain fuzzy systems. *Control Systems, IEEE*, 2002. **22**(4): p. 20-25.
51. Coupland, S. and John, R., Geometric Type-1 and Type-2 Fuzzy Logic Systems. *Fuzzy Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, 2007. **15**(1): p. 3-15.

52. Mohammad, B., Melek, W., and Mendel, J., On the robustness of type-1 and interval type-2 fuzzy logic systems in modeling. *Information Sciences* 181.7 (2011): 1325-1347.
53. Linda, O., and Manic, M., Interval Type-2 Fuzzy Voter Design for Fault Tolerant Systems. in *Information Sciences*, 2011.181(14), 2933-2950.
54. Linda, O. and Manic, M., Comparative analysis of Type-1 and Type-2 fuzzy control in context of learning behaviors for mobile robotics. in *IECON 2010 - 36th Annual Conference on IEEE Industrial Electronics Society*. pp. 1092-1098). IEEE,2010.
55. Wu, D., and Mendel, J. M., Uncertainty measures for interval type-2 fuzzy sets. in *Information Sciences*, 2007. 4(177): p. 5378-5393.
56. Qun, R., Baron, L., Jemielniak, K. and Balazinski, M. Modelling of dynamic micromilling cutting forces using type-2 fuzzy rule-based system. Proceedings of the *Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ), 2010 IEEE International Conference on*. 18-23 July 2010. 1-7.
57. Linda, O., and Manic, M., Uncertainty modeling for interval Type-2 Fuzzy Logic Systems based on sensor characteristics. in *Advances in Type-2 Fuzzy Logic Systems (T2FUZZ), 2011 IEEE Symposium on*, pp. 31-37. IEE,2011.
58. Hagra, H., Type-2 FLCs: A New Generation of Fuzzy Controllers. *Computational Intelligence Magazine*, IEEE, 2007. 2(1): p. 30-43.
59. Castillo, O., and Melin, P., Type-2 Fuzzy Logic Theory and Applications. *Berlin: Springer-Verlag*, 2008.
60. Wu, D. and Tan, W.W., A type-2 fuzzy logic controller for the liquid-level process. in *Fuzzy Systems, 2004. Proceedings. 2004 IEEE International Conference on (Vol. 2, pp. 953-958)*. IEEE
61. Dongrui, W., On the Fundamental Differences Between Interval Type-2 and Type-1 Fuzzy Logic Controllers. *Fuzzy Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, 2012. 20(5): p. 832-848.
62. Liu, J., Zhang, Q., Wang, W., McMillan, L., and Prins, J., PoClustering: lossless clustering of dissimilarity data[C]. Proc of the *7th SIAM International Conference on Data Mining*, 2007: p. 55-81.
63. Ng, M. K., Li, M. J., Huang, J. Z. and Zengyou, H. On the Impact of Dissimilarity Measure in k-Modes Clustering Algorithm. *Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on*. 2007. 29(3): 503-507.

64. Hitchcock, D. B. and Z.-m. C. Smoothing dissimilarities to cluster binary data[J]. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*. 2008. 52(10): 4699-4711.
65. Aissaoui, A.G., M. A., A. Tahour and A. C. Megherbi, A Fuzzy Logic and Variable Structure Control for Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motors. *International Journal of Systems Control*. 2010. 1(1): 13-21.
66. Boldea, I., Paicu, M. C., Andreescu, G. D. and Blaabjerg, F. "Active flux" orientation vector sensorless control of IPMSM. Proceedings of the *Optimization of Electrical and Electronic Equipment, 2008. OPTIM 2008. 11th International Conference on*: IEEE. 161-168.
67. Moutinho, M. N., da Costa, C. T., Barra, W., Jr. and Barreiros, J. A. L. Identification, digital control and fuzzy logic techniques applied to a synchronous generator. *Latin America Transactions, IEEE (Revista IEEE America Latina)*. 2009. 7(2): 141-150.
68. Lin, P. T., Wang, C. H., and Lee, T. T., Time-Optimal Control of T-S Fuzzy Models via Lie Algebra. *Fuzzy Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, 2009. 17(4): p. 737-749.
69. Chia-Feng, J. and Cheng-Da, H., A Locally Recurrent Fuzzy Neural Network With Support Vector Regression for Dynamic-System Modeling. *Fuzzy Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, 2010. 18(2): p. 261-273.
70. Wang, Z., Ho, D. W., and Liu, X., A note on the robust stability of uncertain stochastic fuzzy systems with time-delays. *Systems, Man and Cybernetics, Part A: Systems and Humans, IEEE Transactions on*, 2004. 34(4): p. 570-576.
71. Wang, H., Kwong, S., Jin, Y., Wei, W. and Man, K.-F. Multi-objective hierarchical genetic algorithm for interpretable fuzzy rule-based knowledge extraction. *Fuzzy sets and systems*. 2005. 149(1): 149-186.
72. Zhang, Y., and Jiang, J., Bibliographical review on reconfigurable fault-tolerant control systems. *Annual reviews in control* 2008, 32(2), p. 229-252.
73. Hote, Y. V., Choudhury, D. R., and Gupta, J. R. P., Robust Stability Analysis of the PWM Push-Pull DC DC Converter. *Power Electronics, IEEE Transactions on*, 2009. 24(10): p. 2353-2356.

74. Tingshu, H., A Nonlinear-System Approach to Analysis and Design of Power-Electronic Converters With Saturation and Bilinear Terms. *Power Electronics, IEEE Transactions on*, 2011. **26**(2): p. 399-410.
75. Lu, D.C., and Agelidis, V. G., Photovoltaic-Battery-Powered DC Bus System for Common Portable Electronic Devices. *Power Electronics, IEEE Transactions on*, 2009. **24**(3): p. 849-855.
76. Foo, G., and Rahman.M. F., Direct torque and flux control of an IPM synchronous motor drive using a backstepping approach. *Electric Power Applications, IET* **3.5** (2009): 413-421.
77. Toufouti, R., Meziane, S., and Benalla, H., New Direct Torque Neuro-Fuzzy Control Based SVM for Dual Two Level Inverter-Fed Induction Motor. *Journal of Control Engineering and Applied Informatics*, 2009. **11**(2): p. 3-13.
78. Zarchi, A., and, Markadeh, A., Adaptive input-output feedback-linearization-based torque control of synchronous reluctance motor without mechanical sensor. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 2010. **57**(1): p. 375-384.
79. Fazeli, S. M., Ping, H. W., Rahman, M. A., Soltani, J. and Zarchi, H. A. A Modified DTC of speed sensorless IPMSM drives using variable structure approach. Proceedings of the *Industrial Electronics and Applications (ICIEA), 2010 the 5th IEEE Conference on*. 15-17 June 2010. 701-706.
80. Sayeef, S., Foo,G., and Rahman ,M.F., Rotor Position and Speed Estimation of a Variable Structure Direct-Torque-Controlled IPM Synchronous Motor Drive at Very Low Speeds Including Standstill. *Industrial Electronics, IEEE Transactions on*, 2010. **57**(11): p. 3715-3723.
81. Uddin, M.N. and Chy,M.M.I., A Novel Fuzzy-Logic-Controller-Based Torque and Flux Controls of IPM Synchronous Motor. *Industry Applications, IEEE Transactions on*, 2010. **46**(3): p. 1220-1229.
82. Wang, H.O., Tanaka, K., and Griffin,M.F., An approach to fuzzy control of nonlinear systems: stability and design issues. *Fuzzy Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, 1996. **4**(1): p. 14-23.
83. Tanaka, K., T. Ikeda, and Wang,H.O., Fuzzy regulators and fuzzy observers: relaxed stability conditions and LMI-based designs. *Fuzzy Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, 1998. **6**(2): p. 250-265.

84. Euntai, K. and Heejin,L.,New approaches to relaxed quadratic stability condition of fuzzy control systems. *Fuzzy Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, 2000. **8**(5): p. 523-534.
85. Euntai, K. and Dongyon,K., Stability analysis and synthesis for an affine fuzzy system via LMI and ILMI: discrete case. *Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B: Cybernetics, IEEE Transactions on*, 2001. **31**(1): p. 132-140.
86. Chun-Hsiung, F., Yung-Sheng, L., Shih-Wei, K., Lin, H. and Ching-Hsiang, L. A new LMI-based approach to relaxed quadratic stabilization of T-S fuzzy control systems. *Fuzzy Systems, IEEE Transactions on*. 2006. **14**(3): 386-397.
87. Giaouris, D., Banerjee, S., Zahawi, B. and Pickert, V. Stability Analysis of the Continuous-Conduction-Mode Buck Converter Via Filippov's Method. *Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers, IEEE Transactions on*. 2008. **55**(4): 1084-1096.
88. Giaouris, D., Banerjee, S., Zahawi, B. and Pickert, V. Control of Fast Scale Bifurcations in Power-Factor Correction Converters. *Circuits and Systems II: Express Briefs, IEEE Transactions on*. 2007. **54**(9): 805-809.
89. Tan, S. C., Lai, Y. M., and Tse, C. K., General design issues of sliding-mode controllers in DC–DC converters. *Industrial Electronics, IEEE Transactions on*, 2008. **55**(3): p. 1160-1174.
90. Guesmi, K., Hamzaoui, A. and Zaytoon, J. Control of nonlinear phenomena in DC–DC converters: Fuzzy logic approach. *International Journal of Circuit Theory and Applications*. 2008. **36**(7): 857-874.
91. Sanner, R.M. and Slotine, J.J.E., Gaussian networks for direct adaptive control. *Neural Networks, IEEE Transactions on*, 1992. **3**(6): p. 837-863.
92. Kuang-Yow, L., Jeih-Jang,L., and Chien-Yu, H., LMI-based Integral fuzzy control of DC-DC converters. *Fuzzy Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, 2006. **14**(1): p. 71-80.
93. Jagannathan, S. and Lewis, F.L., Multilayer discrete-time neural-net controller with guaranteed performance. *Neural Networks, IEEE Transactions on*, 1996. **7**(1): p. 107-130.
94. Noriega, J.R. and Hong,W., A direct adaptive neural-network control for unknown nonlinear systems and its application. *Neural Networks, IEEE Transactions on*, 1998. **9**(1): p. 27-34.

95. Chiman, K., Lewis, F.L., and Dawson, D.M., Robust neural-network control of rigid-link electrically driven robots. *Neural Networks, IEEE Transactions on*, 1998. **9**(4): p. 581-588.
96. Hovakimyan, N., Nardi, F., Calise, A. and Kim, N. Adaptive output feedback control of uncertain nonlinear systems using single-hidden-layer neural networks. *Neural Networks, IEEE Transactions on*. 2002. **13**(6): 1420-1431.
97. Shuzhi Sam, G. and Jin, Z., Neural-network control of nonaffine nonlinear system with zero dynamics by state and output feedback. *Neural Networks, IEEE Transactions on*, 2003. **14**(4): p. 900-918.
98. Ge, S. S., Zhang, J. and Lee, T. H. Adaptive neural network control for a class of MIMO nonlinear systems with disturbances in discrete-time. *Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B: Cybernetics, IEEE Transactions on*. 2004. **34**(4): 1630-1645.
99. Abdollahi, F., Talebi, H.A., and Patel, R.V., A stable neural network-based observer with application to flexible-joint manipulators. *Neural Networks, IEEE Transactions on*, 2006. **17**(1): p. 118-129.
100. Lidozzi, A., Serrao, V., Solero, L., Crescimbin, F. and Di Napoli, A. Direct Tuning Strategy for PMSM Drives. Proceedings of the *Industry Applications Society Annual Meeting, 2008. IAS'08. IEEE: IEEE*. 1-7.
101. Dannehl, J., Wessels, C., and Fuchs, F. W. Limitations of voltage-oriented PI current control of grid-connected PWM rectifiers with filters. *Industrial Electronics, IEEE Transactions on*, 2009. **56**(2): p. 380-388.
102. Lidozzi, A., Solero, L., Crescimbin, F. and Di Napoli, A. Direct tuning strategy for speed controlled PMSM drives. Proceedings of the *Industrial Electronics (ISIE), 2010 IEEE International Symposium on: IEEE*. 1265-1270.
103. Lidozzi, A., Solero, L., and Crescimbin, F. Adaptive Direct-Tuning Control for Variable-Speed Diesel-Electric Generating Units. *Industrial Electronics, IEEE Transactions on*, 2012. **59**(5): p. 2126-2134.
104. Hoskins, D.A., Hwang, J.N. and Vagners, J. Iterative inversion of neural networks and its application to adaptive control. *Neural Networks, IEEE Transactions on*, 1992. **3**(2): p. 292-301.

105. Rezazadeh, A., Sedighzadeh, M. and Bayat, M. Neural Inverse Control of Wind Energy Conversion Systems. *International Review of Electrical Engineering*. 2011. 6(3).
106. Mistry, S.I., Chang, S.-L. and Nair, S.S. Indirect control of a class of nonlinear dynamic systems. *Neural Networks, IEEE Transactions on*, 1996. 7(4): p. 1015-1023.
107. Behera, L., Gopal, M., and Chaudhury, S. On adaptive trajectory tracking of a robot manipulator using inversion of its neural emulator. *Neural Networks, IEEE Transactions on*, 1996. 7(6): p. 1401-1414.
108. Yu, W. and Poznyak, A.S., Indirect adaptive control via parallel dynamic neural networks. *Control Theory and Applications, IEE Proceedings -*, 1999. 146(1): p. 25-30.
109. Behera, L., Quarry based model learning and stable tracking of a robot arm using radial base tuning network. *Computers and Electrical Engineering program*, 2003.29(4), 553-573.
110. Etien, E., Chaigne, C., and Bensiali, N., On the Stability of Full Adaptive Observer for Induction Motor in Regenerating Mode. *Industrial Electronics, IEEE Transactions on*, 2010. 57(5): p. 1599-1608.
111. Gadoue, S.M., Giaouris, D. and Finch, J.W., Sensorless Control of Induction Motor Drives at Very Low and Zero Speeds Using Neural Network Flux Observers. *Industrial Electronics, IEEE Transactions on*, 2009. 56(8): p. 3029-3039.
112. Orłowska-Kowalska, T., Dybkowski, M., and Szabat, K., Adaptive Sliding-Mode Neuro-Fuzzy Control of the Two-Mass Induction Motor Drive Without Mechanical Sensors. *Industrial Electronics, IEEE Transactions on*, 2010. 57(2): p. 553-564.
113. Salvatore, N., et al., Optimization of Delayed-State Kalman-Filter-Based Algorithm via Differential Evolution for Sensorless Control of Induction Motors. *Industrial Electronics, IEEE Transactions on*, 2010. 57(1): p. 385-394.
114. Patel, C., Ramchand, R., Sivakumar, K., Das, A. and Gopakumar, K. A rotor flux estimation during zero and active vector periods using current error space vector from a hysteresis controller for a sensorless vector control of IM drive. *Industrial Electronics, IEEE Transactions on*. 2011. 58(6): 2334-2344.
115. Zaky, M. S., Khater, M. M., Shokralla, S. S. and Yasin, H. A. Wide-speed-range estimation with online parameter identification schemes of sensorless

- induction motor drives. *Industrial Electronics, IEEE Transactions on*. 2009. 56(5): 1699-1707.
116. Zaky, M.S., Stability Analysis of Speed and Stator Resistance Estimators for Sensorless Induction Motor Drives. *Industrial Electronics, IEEE Transactions on*, 2012. **59**(2): p. 858-870.
 117. Patre, P. M., MacKunis, W., Kaiser, K. and Dixon, W. E. Asymptotic tracking for uncertain dynamic systems via a multilayer neural network feedforward and RISE feedback control structure. *Automatic Control, IEEE Transactions on*. 2008. 53(9): 2180-2185.
 118. Qu, Z., and Xu, J. X., Model-based learning controls and their comparisons using Lyapunov direct method. *Asian J. Control*, Mar 2002. 4(1): p. 99-110.
 119. Xian, B., Dawson, D. M., de Queiroz, M. S. and Chen, J. A continuous asymptotic tracking control strategy for uncertain nonlinear systems. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*. 2004. 49(7): 1206-1211.
 120. Patre, P. M., Bhasin, S., Wilcox, Z. D. and Dixon, W. E. Composite adaptation for neural network-based controllers. *Automatic Control, IEEE Transactions on*. 2010. 55(4): 944-950.
 121. Delgado, A., Kambhampati, C. and Warwick, K., Dynamic recurrent neural network for system identification and control. *Control Theory and Applications, IEE Proceedings -*, 1995. 142(4): p. 307-314.
 122. Lewis, F.L., Neural network control of robot manipulators. *IEEE Expert*, 1996. **11**(3): p. 64-75.
 123. Brdys, M.A. and Kulawski, G.J., Dynamic neural controllers for induction motor. *Neural Networks, IEEE Transactions on*, 1999. **10**(2): p. 340-355.
 124. Poznyak, A. S., Yu, W., Sanchez, E. N., and Perez, J. P., Nonlinear system adaptive trajectory tracking by dynamic neural control. *Neural Networks, IEEE Transactions on*, 10(6), 1402-1411. IEEE 1999.
 125. Zhengyu, L., Jiabin, W., and Howe, D., A Learning Feed-Forward Current Controller for Linear Reciprocating Vapor Compressors. *Industrial Electronics, IEEE Transactions on*, 2011. **58**(8): p. 3383-3390.
 126. Yunpeng, P., and Jun, W., Model Predictive Control of Unknown Nonlinear Dynamical Systems Based on Recurrent Neural Networks. *Industrial Electronics, IEEE Transactions on*, 2012. **59**(8): p. 3089-3101.

127. Jin, L., Nikiforuk, P.N. and Gupta, M.M., Adaptive control of discrete-time nonlinear systems using recurrent neural networks. *Control Theory and Applications, IEE Proceedings -*, 1994. 141(3): p. 169-176.
128. Chun-shin, L., and Kim, H., CMAC-based adaptive critic self-learning control. *Neural Networks, IEEE Transactions on*, 1991. 2(5): p. 530-533.
129. Lane, S.H., D.A. Handelman, and Gelfand, J.J., Theory and development of higher-order CMAC neural networks. *Control Systems, IEEE*, 1992. 12(2): p. 23-30.
130. Kohonen, T., The self-organizing map. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 1990. 78(9): p. 1464-1480.
131. Ben-Harush, O., Lapidot, I. and Guterman, H., Initialization of Iterative-Based Speaker Diarization Systems for Telephone Conversations. *Audio, Speech, and Language Processing, IEEE Transactions on*, 2012. 20(2): p. 414-425.
132. Hongbin, Z., Onitsuka, T. and Nagata, T., A self-organization learning algorithm for visuo-motor coordination in unstructured environments. *Artificial life and robotics 1997*, 1(3), 131-136
133. Lin, C. M., and Chen, C. H., Robust Fault-Tolerant Control for a Biped Robot Using a Recurrent Cerebellar Model Articulation Controller. *Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B: Cybernetics, IEEE Transactions on*, 2007. 37(1): p. 110-123.
134. Lin, C.-M. and Te-Yu, C., Self-Organizing CMAC Control for a Class of MIMO Uncertain Nonlinear Systems. *Neural Networks, IEEE Transactions on*, 2009. 20(9): p. 1377-1384.
135. Weilun, L. H.J., and With, P., Flexible human behavior analysis framework for video surveillance applications. *Int. J. Digital Multimedia Broadcast Jan.* 2010. 920(121): p. 1-9
136. Barr, P. J.N., and Biddle, R., Video game values: Human-computer interaction and games. *Interact. Comput.*, Mar. 2007. 19(2): p. 1880-195.
137. Song, B., Tuncel, E., and Roy-Chowdhury, A. K., Toward a multi-terminal video compression algorithm by integrating distributed source coding with geometrical constraints. *Journal of Multimedia*, 2007. 2(3): p. 9-16.
138. Shapiro, J. Genetic algorithms in machine learning. *Machine Learning and Its Applications: Springer*. 146-168; 2001.

139. Krohling, R.A. and Rey,J.P.,Design of optimal disturbance rejection PID controllers using genetic algorithms. *Evolutionary Computation*, IEEE Transactions on, 2001. 5(1): p. 78-82.
140. Iosifidis, A., Tefas,A. and Pitas,I., View-Invariant Action Recognition Based on Artificial Neural Networks. *Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, IEEE Transactions on, 2012. 23(3): p. 412-424.
141. Valarmathi,K. D.D., and Radhakrishnan, T.K.,Enhanced genetic algorithm based proportional integral controller tuning for pH process. *Instrument. Sci. Technol.*, 2007. 35(6): p. 619-635.
142. Kim, D. H.,Jarraya, Y. , Bouaziz, S. , Alimi, A.M. and Abraham, A., A Hybrid Computational Chemotaxis in Bacterial Foraging Optimization Algorithm for Global Numerical Optimization . *Cybernetics (CYBCONF)*, 2013 IEEE International Conference on 2013 , P: 213 - 218.
143. Mitsukura, Y., Yamamoto, T. and Kaneda, M. A design of self-tuning PID controllers using a genetic algorithm. *Proceedings of the American Control Conference, 1999. Proceedings of the 1999*: IEEE. 1361-1365.
144. Aldair, A. A. and Wang, W. J. Design of fractional order controller based on evolutionary algorithm for a full vehicle nonlinear active suspension systems. *International Journal of Control and Automation*. 2010. 3(4): 33-46.
145. Kwok, D.P. and Sheng F., Genetic algorithm and simulated annealing for optimal robot arm PID control. in *Evolutionary Computation, 1994. IEEE World Congress on Computational Intelligence., Proceedings of the First IEEE Conference on*. 1994.
146. Otsmani Z, K.M., and Chaker ,A., A genetic algorithm to minimize the periodic preventive maintenance cost in electrical system. *International Review of Electrical Engineering*, 2011. 6(3): p. 1439-45.
147. Gadoue, S. M., Giaouris, D., and Finch, J. W., Genetic Algorithm Optimized PI and Fuzzy Mode Speed Control for DTC drives.*In World Congress on Engineering* (pp. 475-480), 2007.
148. Davis, L., Handbook of Genetic Algorithms. *New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold*,1991.
149. Gotshall,S.,andRylander, B., Optimal Population Size And The Genetic Algoithm. *Proc On Genetic And Evolutionary Computation Conference*, 2008.

150. Mitsukura, Y., Yamamoto, T., and Kaneda, M., A Genetic Tuning Algorithm of PID Parameters. *IEEE Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, international conference*, 1997. 1: p. 923-928.
151. Chambers, Lance D., Ed., *Practical handbook of genetic algorithms: complex coding systems*. Vol. 3. CRC press, 1998.
152. Gen, M. and Cheng, R. *Genetic algorithms and engineering optimization*, Vol. 7: John Wiley and Sons. 2000.
153. Goldberg, D. E. and Holland, J. H. Genetic algorithms and machine learning. *Machine learning*. 1988. 3(2): 95-99.
154. Chipperfield, A. J., Fleming, P. J. and Fonseca, C. M., Genetic algorithm tools for control systems engineering . *In Proceedings of Adaptive Computing in Engineering Design and Control*, pp. 128-133. 1994.
155. Wang, Q., Spronck, P., and Tracht, R., An Overview Of Genetic Algorithms Applied To Control Engineering Problems. *In Machine Learning and Cybernetics, 2003 International Conference on* (Vol. 3, pp. 1651-1656). IEEE.
156. Dacheng, G., and Xiaoye, Q., The Application of PID Controller Optimized by Genetic Algorithm in Valve-Controlling Asymmetrical Cylinder System. *In Electronic and Mechanical Engineering and Information Technology (EMEIT), 2011 International Conference on* (Vol. 2, pp. 845-848). IEEE 2011.
157. Yu, R., and Chengyao, Z., Optimal PID controller design in PMSM based on Improved Genetic Algorithm. *In Industrial Mechatronics and Automation (ICIMA), 2010 2nd International Conference on* (Vol. 2, pp. 123-126). IEEE 2010.
158. Mukherjee, V., and Ghoshal, S. P., Intelligent particle swarm optimized fuzzy PID controller for AVR system. *Electric Power Research Systems* 2007.77(12):p. 1689-1698.
159. Hu, H., Hu, Q., Lu, Z., and Xu, D., Optimal PID Controller Design in PMSM Servo System Via Particle Swann Optimization. *Industrial Electronics Society*, Nov. 2005: p. 79-83
160. Fan, L., and Joo, E. M., Design for auto-tuning PID controller based on genetic algorithms. *Industrial Electronics and Applications*, 2009: p. 1924-1928.

161. Srinivas, M., and Patnaik, L. M., Genetic Algorithms *survey*. *A Computer*,1994,27(6), 17-26.
162. Martinez-Soto, R., Rodriguez, A., Castillo, O. and Aguilar, L. T. Gain optimization for inertia wheel pendulum stabilization using particle swarm optimization and genetic algorithms. *Int. J. Innovative Computing, Information and Control*. 2012. 8(6): 4421-4430.
163. Martínez-Soto, R., Castillo, O., Aguilar, L. T. and Rodriguez, A. A hybrid optimization method with PSO and GA to automatically design Type-1 and Type-2 fuzzy logic controllers. *International Journal of Machine Learning and Cybernetics*. 2013: 1-22.
164. Kim, D. H., Abraham, A.,A hybrid genetic algorithm and bacterial foraging approach for global optimization and robust tuning of PID controller with Disturbance Rejection. *In Hybrid Evolutionary Algorithms Springer Berlin Heidelberg*.2007 (pp. 171-199).
165. Krohling, R. A., Jaschek, H., and Rey, J. P., Designing PI/PID Controller for a Motion ontrol System Basedon Genetic Algorithm. InIntelligent Control, 1997. *Proceedings of the 1997 IEEE International Symposium on* (pp. 125-130). IEEE 1997.
166. Soundarrajan, A., Sumathi, S. and Sundar, C. Particle swarm optimization based LFC and AVR of autonomous power generating system. *IAENG International Journal of Computer Science*. 2010. 37(1): 37-31.
167. Zhao, Q. and Jiang, J. Robust controller design for generator excitation systems. *Energy Conversion, IEEE Transactions on*. 1995. 10(2): 201-209.
168. Mohammadi, M. and Ghadimi, N. Optimal location and optimized parameters for robust power system stabilizer using honeybee mating optimization. *Complexity*. 2014.
169. Dos Santos Coelho, L. and de Meirelles Herrera, B.A., Quantum Gaussian particle swarm optimization approach for PID controller design in AVR system. in *Systems, Man and Cybernetics, 2008. SMC 2008. IEEE International Conference on*pp. 3708-3713. IEEE 2008.
170. Dos Santos Coelho, L., Tuning of PID controller for an automatic regulator voltage system using chaotic optimization approach. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*,2009. 39(4), 1504-1514.

171. Kiyong, K., Rao, P., and Burnworth, J.A., Self-Tuning of the PID Controller for a Digital Excitation Control System. *Industry Applications, IEEE Transactions on*, 2010. **46**(4): p. 1518-1524.
172. Yao, M., Realization of Fuzzy PID controller used in turbine speed control system with FPGA. *in Future Information Technology and Management Engineering (FITME), 2010 International Conference on* vol. 1, pp. 261-264. IEEE, 2010.
173. Haifeng, S., Gang, H., Pengzhi, L. and Xu, L. Feed forward fuzzy PID controller for common-rail pressure control of diesel engine. Proceedings of the *Measuring Technology and Mechatronics Automation (ICMTMA), 2010 International Conference on*: IEEE. 264-267.
174. Femmy Nirmal, J. and Jeraldin Auxillia D., Adaptive PSO based tuning of PID controller for an Automatic Voltage Regulator system. *in Circuits, Power and Computing Technologies (ICCPCT), 2013 International Conference on*, pp. 661-666. IEEE, 2013.
175. Kavousi-Fard, A., A new fuzzy-based feature selection and hybrid TLA-ANN modelling for short-term load forecasting. *Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Artificial Intelligence*, 2013: p. 1-15.
176. Melin, P., Olivas, F., Castillo, O., Valdez, F., Soria, J. and Valdez, M. Optimal design of fuzzy classification systems using PSO with dynamic parameter adaptation through fuzzy logic. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 2013. **40**(8): 3196-3206.
177. Valdez, F., Melin, P., and Castillo, O., Evolutionary method combining particle swarm optimization and genetic algorithms using fuzzy logic for decision making. *in Fuzzy Systems, 2009. FUZZ-IEEE 2009*. IEEE International Conference on, pp. 2114-2119. IEEE, 2009.
178. Valdez, F., Melin, P., and Castillo, O., An improved evolutionary method with fuzzy logic for combining Particle Swarm Optimization and Genetic Algorithms. *Applied Soft Computing*, 2011. **11**(2): p. 2625-2632.
179. Zamani, M., Karimi-Ghartemani, M., Sadati, N. and Parniani, M. Design of a fractional order PID controller for an AVR using particle swarm optimization. *Control Engineering Practice*. 2009. **17**(12): 1380-1387.
180. Gozde, H., Taplamacioglu, M., and Kocaarslan, I., Application of artificial bee colony algorithm in an automatic voltage regulator (AVR) system.

- International Journal on Technical and Physical Problems of Engineering*, 2010. **1**(3): p. 88-92.
181. Panda, S., Sahu, B. and Mohanty, P. Design and performance analysis of PID controller for an automatic voltage regulator system using simplified particle swarm optimization. *Journal of the Franklin Institute*. 2012. 349(8): 2609-2625
 182. Devaraj, D. and Yegnanarayana, B., Genetic-algorithm-based optimal power flow for security enhancement. *IEE Proceedings-Generation, Transmission and Distribution*, 2005. 152(6): p. 899-905.
 183. Moody, J., and Darken, C., Learning with Localized Receptive Fields. *Proceedings of the 1988 Connectionist Models Summer School*, 1988: p. 133-143.
 184. Qasem, S. N., and Shamsuddin, S. M., Memetic Elitist Pareto Differential Evolution algorithm based Radial Basis Function Networks for classification problems. *Applied Soft Computing*, 2011. **11**(1): p. 5565–5581.
 185. Qasem, S. N., and Shamsuddin, S. M., Radial basis function network based on time variant multi-objective particle swarm optimization for medical disease diagnosis. *Applied Soft Computing*, 2011. **11**(1): p. 1427–1438.
 186. Al-geelani, N. A., Piah, M. A. M., and Shaddad, R. Q., Characterization of acoustic signals due to surface discharges on H.V. glass insulators using wavelet radial basis function neural networks. *Applied Soft Computing*, 2012. 12(4), 1239-1246.
 187. Yao-Lun, L., et al.. Design an Intelligent Neural-Fuzzy Controller for Hybrid Motorcycle. in Fuzzy Information Processing Society, 2007. NAFIPS '07. *Annual Meeting of the North American*, pp. 283-288. IEEE, 2007.
 188. Sang Jeon, H., May, G.S., and Dong-Cheol, P., Neural network modeling of reactive ion etching using optical emission spectroscopy data. *Semiconductor Manufacturing, IEEE Transactions on*, 2003. 16(4): p. 598-608.
 189. Zhao, S. K., Kim, M. W., Han, Y. S., Jeon, S. Y., Lee, Y. K., and Han, S. S., Radial Basis Function Network for Endpoint Detection in Plasma Etch Process. *Springer-Verlag*, 2010. **67**: p. 253–263.
 190. Ross, T. J. Fuzzy logic with engineering applications: John Wiley and Sons. 2009.

- 191 Al-Hadithi, B.M., A. Jiménez, and F. Matía, A new approach to fuzzy estimation of Takagi–Sugeno model and its applications to optimal control for nonlinear systems. *Applied Soft Computing*, 2012. **12**(1): p. 280-290.
- 192 Jiménez, A., et al., Improvement of Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy model for the estimation of nonlinear functions. *Asian Journal of Control*, 2012. **14**(2): p. 320-334.
193. Arulmozhiyal, R., and Baskaran, K., Speed Control of Induction Motor Using Fuzzy PI and Optimized Using GA. *International Journal of Recent Trends in Engineering*, 2009. 2(5):p. 43-47.
194. Siemens, SIMATIC WinAC S2O Wizard February 2012
195. Ahmed,M.S., Neural controllers for nonlinear state feedback L2- gain control. *IEE Proceedings of Control Theory, Applications*, 2000. **147**(3) 239-246.
196. Barnes, S. and Maekawa,S.,Generalization of Faraday’s law to include nonconservative spin forces. *Physical review letters*, 2007. **98**(24): p. 246601.
197. Khandani, K., Jalali, A. A., and Alipoor, M.,Particle Swarm Optimization based design of disturbance rejection PID controllers for time delay systems. *in Intelligent Computing and Intelligent Systems*, 2009. ICIS 2009. IEEE International Conference on vol. 1, pp. 862-866. IEEE, 2009.
198. Fan, L., and Joo, E. M.,Design for auto-tuning PID controller based on genetic algorithms. *Industrial Electronics and Applications.*, 2009: p. 1924-1928.
199. Liu, H., Li, S. and Chai,T.,Intelligent decoupling control of power plant main steam pressure and power output. *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy System*, 2003. 25(10): p. 809-819.
200. Arrofiq, M. and Saad N., Control of induction motor drives using modified-fuzzy logic methods. *In Systems Man and Cybernetics (SMC), 2010 IEEE International Conference on*, pp. 612-619. IEEE, 2010.
- 201 Chapra, S. C., and Canale, R. P., Numerical methods for engineers. New York: McGraw-Hill Higher Education, 2010.