

LOW PRESSURE REVERSE OSMOSIS MEMBRANE FOR REJECTION OF
HEAVY METALS

MYZAIRAH BINTI HAMDZAH

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

This thesis is dedicated to my beloved husband, Mohd Amri Yahaya, mama, Hafizah Jaaffar, abah, Hamdzah Md Daly, atuk, Hj Jaaffar Hj Asri, nenek, Hjh Hasnah Tohirang, my brother, Mohd Eezan, my sister, Mazeeha Hamdzah and particularly to my little princess, Kayra Humaira Mohd Amri.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the Name of God, the Most Merciful

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, and academicians. They have contributed towards my understanding and thought. The first and foremost, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main thesis supervisor, Professor Dr. Zaini Ujang, for encouragement and guidance critics. I am also very thankful to my co-supervisor Professor Dr. Hiroaki Ozaki for his guidance, advices and motivation. Without their continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here. I also thank Dr. Azmi Aris for his help in the experimental design and statistical analysis.

I am also indebted to Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (MOSTI) for financial support. Furthermore, this study would have been impossible without technical support from Environmental Laboratory, Faculty of Civil Engineering, ATAMA Tech., and Chemistry Laboratory, Faculty of Science, UTM, special thanks for their assistance.

My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistance throughout my MSc work. Their views and tips are useful indeed. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space. And finally, my deepest gratitude, as always, to my husband, Mohd Amri Yahaya and all my family members for their support and encouragement.

ABSTRACT

Low Pressure Reverse Osmosis Membrane (LPROM) has been introduced to water and wastewater industries in the past few years due to the high cost of operational and maintenance of conventional high-pressure RO membrane system. LPROM may remove more than 90% of heavy metals depending on the operating conditions of the system. LPROM with operating pressure less than 100 psi is commercially available to make treatment system more affordable and cost effective. Therefore, the aim of this study was to produce high-quality drinking water using LPROM system by removing heavy metals and other contaminants. The main objective of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of LPROM for rejection of heavy metals, under different operating parameters (i.e. pressure, feed concentrations and pH). A commercially available LPROM (ES20) system manufactured by Nitto Denko Company was used in this study. The experimental design was carried out using Response Surface Methodology (RSM). Two types of wastewater containing heavy metals (i.e. synthetic polluted water containing copper and magnesium from copper chloride and magnesium sulphate solutions, and raw water from ex-mining pool from Tasik Biru, Sarawak) were studied. The experimental study for copper showed that higher operating pressure increased permeate flux and higher feed concentration and pH values increased the percentage of removal. However, the two-way interaction parameter (i.e. pressure vs. pH, pressure vs. feed concentration and pH vs. feed concentration) showed insignificant effects in determining permeate flux and copper removal. For magnesium, all parameters and all two-way interaction were significant in determining the percentage of magnesium removal. The higher the operating pressure resulted in a higher permeate flux and percentage of magnesium removal. A higher the value of pH has caused a lower permeate flux. However, it will increase the percentage of magnesium removal. Besides, the higher the feed concentration of magnesium was also resulted the higher percentage of magnesium removal. The optimum range of operating pressure for both copper and magnesium removal was between 90 to 120 psi and at pH between 5.5 and 7.5. The optimum statistical model for these processes based on the experimental conditions of this study indicates that operating pressure was the most significant parameter in determining the permeate flux. However, the statistical analysis of heavy metals removal was statistically insignificant and showed that the range of parameters in the study appears to be less significant to develop a sensitive and comprehensive model. This was due to the transport or separation mechanism between micropollutants and membrane surface, effect of chemical characteristics as well as effect of metal complexation. As a conclusion, operating conditions such as operating pressure and pH must be taken into account when designing the LPROM system for an optimum process in order to achieve a better heavy metals removal with higher permeate flux.

ABSTRAK

Sistem membran osmosis balikan bertekanan rendah telah diperkenalkan dalam proses olahan air dan airtsisa industri berikutan daripada kos operasi dan penyelenggaraan yang tinggi oleh sistem konvensional osmosis balikan bertekanan tinggi. Sistem ini dapat menyingkirkan lebih dari 90% bahan cemar bersaiz mikro bergantung kepada keadaan operasi sistem. Kini, ia telah dikomersialkan dengan tekanan kurang daripada 100 psi bagi menjadikan sistem ini lebih berkemampuan dan kos efektif. Matlamat utama kajian ini adalah untuk menghasilkan air minum berkualiti tinggi menggunakan osmosis balikan bertekanan rendah di samping menyingkirkan bahan cemar bersaiz mikro. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk menilai keberkesanan membran osmosis balikan bertekanan rendah dalam menyingkirkan bahan cemar bersaiz mikro bergantung kepada parameter operasi yang berbeza seperti tekanan, pH dan kepekatan larutan. Membran komersial (ES20) diperbuat oleh *Nitto Denko Company* digunakan dalam kajian ini. Rekabentuk ujikaji dilaksanakan dengan menggunakan *Response Surface Methodology* (RSM). Dua jenis airtsisa telah diuji, iaitu airtsisa sintetik daripada larutan kuprum klorida dan magnesium sulfat dan air mentah dari kawasan bekas perlombongan, Tasik Biru, Sarawak. Keputusan bagi larutan kuprum menunjukkan bahawa lebih tinggi tekanan yang digunakan dapat meningkatkan hasil fluks dan lebih tinggi kepekatan masukan larutan dan nilai pH, meningkatkan hasil penyingkiran. Walau bagaimanapun, interaksi parameter dua hala (tekanan lwn. pH, tekanan lwn. kepekatan larutan masukan dan pH lwn. kepekatan masukan larutan) tidak mempengaruhi dalam penentuan hasil fluks dan juga peratus penyingkiran kuprum. Untuk magnesium, semua parameter dan interaksi dua hala parameter mempengaruhi dalam menentukan peratus penyingkiran magnesium dan juga hasil fluks. Lebih tinggi tekanan yang digunakan, dapat meningkatkan hasil fluks dan juga peratus penyingkiran magnesium. Nilai pH yang tinggi menyebabkan hasil fluks berkurang. Walau bagaimanapun, ia akan meningkatkan peratus penyingkiran. Di samping itu, kepekatan masukan yang lebih tinggi juga akan menghasilkan peratus penyingkiran yang tinggi. Julat optimum untuk operasi tekanan bagi proses ini adalah antara 90 hingga 120 psi dan pada pH antara 5.5 dan 7.5. Model statistik yang optimum bagi proses ini menunjukkan bahawa tekanan adalah parameter penting dalam menentukan hasil fluks. Namun, analisis statistik untuk penyingkiran bahan cemar bersaiz mikro menunjukkan bahawa julat parameter dalam kajian ini tidak begitu mempengaruhi dalam membentuk model yang peka dan merangkumi proses. Ini berikutan daripada mekanisme pergerakan atau pemisahan antara bahan cemar bersaiz mikro dan permukaan membran, kesan daripada sifat kimia serta kesan daripada kompleksasi logam. Kesimpulannya, parameter operasi seperti tekanan dan pH adalah penting dalam merekabentuk sistem membran osmosis balikan bertekanan rendah bagi menghasilkan proses yang optimum dalam mencapai penyingkiran bahan cemar dan hasil fluks yang tinggi.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTER | TITLE | PAGE |
|----------------|---|-------------|
| | TITLE PAGE | i |
| | DECLARATION PAGE | ii |
| | DEDICATION PAGE | iii |
| | ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | iv |
| | ABSTRACT | v |
| | ABSTRAK | vi |
| | TABLE OF CONTENTS | vii |
| | LIST OF TABLES | xi |
| | LIST OF FIGURES | xiv |
| | LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATION | xviii |
| | LIST OF APPENDICES | xxii |
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1 Background of the Study | 1 |
| | 1.2 Objectives of the Study | 4 |
| | 1.3 Scope of the Study | 5 |
| | 1.4 Importance of the Study | 6 |
| | 1.5 Organization of the Thesis | 7 |

| | | |
|----------|---|----------|
| 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW | 8 |
| 2.1 | The Emergence of Membranes in Water and Wastewater Applications | 8 |
| 2.1.1 | Regulatory Pressure | 9 |
| 2.1.2 | Water Scarcity | 10 |
| 2.1.3 | Market Forces | 11 |
| 2.2 | Principles of Membrane Processes | 12 |
| 2.2.1 | Reverse Osmosis Membrane | 13 |
| 2.2.2 | Low Pressure Reverse Osmosis Membrane | 14 |
| 2.3 | Theory of Membrane Transport | 16 |
| 2.3.1 | Nonporous or Homogenous Membrane Models | 17 |
| 2.3.1.1 | Solution-diffusion Model | 17 |
| 2.3.1.2 | Solution-diffusion Imperfection Model | 19 |
| 2.3.2 | Pore-Based Model | 20 |
| 2.3.2.1 | Preferential Sorption Capillary Flow Model | 20 |
| 2.3.2.2 | Surface Force-pore Flow Model | 22 |
| 2.3.2.3 | Finely Porous Model | 23 |
| 2.3.3 | Irreversible Thermodynamics Model | 25 |
| 2.3.4 | Recent Development | 27 |
| 2.3.4.1 | Donnan Equilibrium Model | 28 |
| 2.3.4.2 | Extended Nernst-Planck Model | 30 |
| 2.3.4.3 | Donnan-Steric Pore Model | 31 |
| 2.4 | Application of LPROM | 32 |
| 2.4.1 | Industrial Water and Wastewater Application | 34 |
| 2.4.2 | Water Reclamation and Reuse | 36 |
| 2.5 | Micropollutants Removal | 36 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 2.6 | Metal Complexation - Membrane Processes | 40 |
| 2.6.1 | Complexation with H ₂ O or OH ⁻ | 41 |
| 2.6.2 | Complexes with Other Ligands | 42 |
| 3 | METHODOLOGY | 43 |
| 3.1 | Experimental Set-up | 43 |
| 3.1.1 | Aromatic Polyamide LPROM | 47 |
| 3.1.2 | Test Cell | 48 |
| 3.2 | Experimental Procedures | 50 |
| 3.2.1 | Feed Solutions | 50 |
| 3.2.2 | Sampling | 52 |
| 3.3 | Preliminary Phase | 53 |
| 3.3.1 | Experimental Procedures | 54 |
| 3.4 | Analytical Procedures | 54 |
| 3.5 | Experimental Design | 55 |
| 3.5.1 | Stage 1 (Statistical design using response surface methodology (RSM)) | 55 |
| 3.5.2 | Stage 2 (One-variable-at-time-method) | 58 |
| 3.5.3 | Stage 3 (Response surface methodology) | 58 |
| 3.6 | Data Analysis | 59 |
| 4 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 60 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 60 |
| 4.2 | Preliminary Stages | 61 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| | 4.2.1 Sodium Chloride | 62 |
| 4.3 | Stage 1 | 64 |
| | 4.3.1 Copper (Cu) | 64 |
| | 4.3.1.1 Factorial Analysis | 66 |
| | 4.3.1.2 Response Surface Analysis | 69 |
| | 4.3.2 Magnesium (Mg) | 77 |
| | 4.3.2.1 Factorial Analysis | 79 |
| | 4.3.2.2 Response Surface Analysis | 85 |
| 4.4 | Stage 2 | 91 |
| | 4.4.1 Permeate Flux | 91 |
| | 4.4.1.1 Feed Concentration | 91 |
| | 4.4.1.2 pH | 92 |
| | 4.4.1.3 Operating Pressure | 94 |
| 4.5 | Stage 3 | 95 |
| | 4.5.1 LPROM for ex-mining pool water treatment | 96 |
| | 4.5.2 LPROM for total arsenic removal from ex-mining pool water | 105 |
| 5 | CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 112 |
| | 5.1 Conclusions | 112 |
| | 5.2 Recommendations | 114 |
| | REFERENCES | 116 |
| | Appendix A-J | 130 - 166 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------------|--|-------------|
| 2.1 | Selected research done on micropollutants removal by LPRM | 40 |
| 3.1 | Specification of test cell unit | 48 |
| 3.2 | Composition of actual raw water collected from Tasik Biru, Sarawak. | 51 |
| 3.3 | Selected parameters for system monitoring | 55 |
| 3.4 | Coding system for design of experiment of LPRM process | 56 |
| 3.5 | Experimental runs conducted in LPRM for rejection of micropollutants (not in random order), generated from Minitab version 13.32 | 57 |
| 4.1 | Experimental results of LPRM conducted for copper chloride | 65 |
| 4.2 | ANOVA for permeate flux and percentage of copper removal | 66 |

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 4.3 | Summary of p -value for the response surface modeling analysis for flux | 69 |
| 4.4 | Summary of p -value for the response surface modeling analysis for copper removal | 75 |
| 4.5 | Experimental results of LPRM conducted for magnesium sulfate | 78 |
| 4.6 | ANOVA for permeate flux and percentage of magnesium removal | 79 |
| 4.7 | Summary of p -value for the response surface modeling analysis for flux | 85 |
| 4.8 | Summary of p -value for the response surface modeling analysis for magnesium removal | 88 |
| 4.9 | Composition of raw water collected from Tasik Biru, Sarawak | 96 |
| 4.10 | Characteristic of treated water using LPRM | 97 |
| 4.11 | Summary of p -value for the response surface modeling analysis for flux | 99 |
| 4.12 | Summary of p -value for the response surface modeling analysis for copper removal from raw water | 100 |
| 4.13 | Summary of p -value for the response surface modeling analysis for magnesium removal from raw water | 100 |

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 4.14 | Summary of p -value for the response surface modeling analysis for permeate flux of arsenic removal from raw water. | 106 |
| 4.15 | Summary of p -value for the response surface modeling analysis for arsenic removal from actual raw water | 108 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|-------------------|--|-------------|
| 2.1 | Schematic representation of a two phase system separated by a membrane | 13 |
| 3.1 | Schematic diagram of LPROM system | 44 |
| 3.2 | Photo of LPROM system | 45 |
| 3.3 | The flow of the experimental study | 46 |
| 3.4 | Schematic diagram of C10-T module | 49 |
| 3.5 | Schematic diagram inside of C10-T module (From explanation note of C10T module by Nitto Denko Co., 1998) | 49 |
| 3.6 | Photo of Tasik Biru, Sarawak. | 51 |
| 3.7 | Sampling Map | 52 |
| 4.1 | Permeate flux pattern of distilled water in LPROM system | 62 |

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 4.2 | Rejection pattern of sodium chloride (500 mg/L) permeate flux in LPROM system at operating pressure at 552 kPa and pH 7 | 63 |
| 4.3 | Pareto chart for (a) permeate flux (b) percentage of copper removal | 67 |
| 4.4 | Main effect plot for copper (a) permeate flux; (b) percentage of removal | 68 |
| 4.5 | Comparison of permeate flux ($\text{m}^3/\text{m}^2\cdot\text{h}$) by using Solution-diffusion model and statistical model | 72 |
| 4.6 | Response surface representing relationship between operating pressure (psi), feed concentration of Copper (mg/L) and permeate flux | 73 |
| 4.7 | Contour plot representing relationship between operating pressure (psi), feed concentration of Copper (mg/L) and permeate flux | 74 |
| 4.8 | Response surface representing relationship between pH, feed concentration of copper (mg/L) and percentage of copper removal | 76 |
| 4.9 | Contour plot representing relationship between pH, feed concentration of copper (mg/L) and percentage of copper removal | 77 |
| 4.10 | Pareto chart for (a) permeate flux (b) percentage of magnesium removal | 80 |

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 4.11 | Main effect plot for (a) permeate flux; (b) percentage of magnesium removal | 81 |
| 4.12 | Interaction effect plot of permeate flux | 83 |
| 4.13 | Interaction effect plot between operating pressure and feed concentration for magnesium removal | 83 |
| 4.14 | Interaction effect plot between pH and feed concentration for magnesium removal | 84 |
| 4.15 | Interaction effect plot between operating pressure and pH for magnesium removal | 84 |
| 4.16 | Comparison of permeate flux ($\text{m}^3/\text{m}^2\cdot\text{h}$) by using Solution-diffusion model and statistical model. | 87 |
| 4.17 | Response surface representing relationship between pH, feed concentration of magnesium (mg/L) and percentage of magnesium removal | 90 |
| 4.18 | Contour plot representing relationship between pH, feed concentration of magnesium (mg/L) and percentage of magnesium removal | 90 |
| 4.19 | Comparison of experimental permeate flux with statistical model calculation for copper and magnesium depending on the change of feed concentration | 92 |
| 4.20 | Comparison of experimental permeate flux for copper | |

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| | and magnesium with statistical model calculation for copper depending on the change of pH | 93 |
| 4.21 | Comparison of experimental permeate flux for copper and magnesium with statistical model calculation for copper depending on the change of pressure | 95 |
| 4.22 | Comparison of permeate flux from statistical model of synthetic solutions (CuCl_2 and Mg_2SO_4) with raw water from Tasik Biru, Sarawak | 102 |
| 4.23 | Effect of pressure and pH on copper removal from CuCl_2 feed solutions | 103 |
| 4.24 | Effect of pressure and pH on copper removal from actual raw water | 103 |
| 4.25 | Effect of pressure and pH on magnesium removal from Mg_2SO_4 feed solutions | 104 |
| 4.26 | Effect of pressure and pH on magnesium removal from actual raw water | 105 |
| 4.27 | Effect of pressure and pH on permeate flux | 107 |
| 4.28 | Effect of pressure and pH on total arsenic removal from actual raw water | 109 |
| 4.29 | Contour plot for the effect of pressure and pH on total arsenic removal from actual raw water | 110 |

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATION

| | | |
|----------------|---|---|
| ϕ | - | dimensionless potential fraction of force exerted on a solute molecule by pore wall |
| Δp | - | applied pressure difference |
| δ | - | membrane thickness |
| πX_s | - | osmotic pressure corresponding to a mole fraction of solute X_s |
| ρ | - | dimensionless radial position in pore |
| α | - | dimensionless solvent velocity in pore |
| $\Delta\Phi_D$ | - | Donnan electrical potential (V) |
| τ | - | effective thickness of membrane |
| ε | - | fractional pore area of membrane |
| π | - | osmotic pressure |
| σ | - | reflection coefficient of solute |
| b | - | ratio of solute radius to the membrane pore radius |
| ΔC | - | concentration gradient |
| ΔP | - | pressure gradient |
| ΔT | - | temperature gradient |
| Δx | - | linear distance through the membrane |
| A | - | pure water permeability constant |
| ANOVA | - | Analysis of variance |
| APHA | - | American Public Health Association |
| As | - | Arsenic |
| AWWA | - | American Water Works Association |
| c | - | concentration |

| | | |
|----------------------|---|---|
| C | - | molar concentration of salt |
| C | - | molar density of solution |
| CCRD | - | Central Composite Rotatable Design |
| C_i | - | concentration of the i th solute in bulk solution (mol/l) |
| c_i | - | concentration of the i th solute inside membrane (mol/l) |
| $c_{j(m)}$ | - | concentration of ion j in the membrane |
| Cr^{3+} | - | Chromate |
| Cu | - | copper |
| CuCl_2 | - | Copper chloride |
| c_w | - | concentration of water in the membrane |
| D_{AB} | - | solute diffusivity in the free solution |
| $D_{j(m)}$ | - | diffusivity of ion j |
| D_{sm} | - | diffusivity coefficient in the membrane |
| D_{sm} | - | diffusion coefficient of salt in the membrane |
| DSPM | - | Donnan Steric Pore Model |
| D_w | - | diffusivity of water |
| DWSS | - | Department of Water Supply and Sewerage |
| E | - | Donnan potential |
| EQA | - | Environmental Quality Act |
| EU | - | European Union |
| F | - | faraday constant ($9.6487 \times 10^4 \text{C/mol}$) |
| F | - | Faraday's constant |
| H_2O | - | Water |
| HCl | - | Hydrogen chloride |
| HNO_3 | - | Nitrate acid |
| J_s | - | solute flux |
| J_w | - | water flux |
| J_v | - | refers to solvent volume flux. |
| K | - | solute distribution coefficient or partition coefficient |
| K | - | solute partition coefficient |
| K_i | - | refers to solute partition coefficient at location I |

| | | |
|------------|---|--|
| k_m | - | mass transfer coefficient on the upstream side of the membrane |
| k_s | - | distribution coefficient |
| l | - | membrane thickness |
| LPROM | - | Low pressure reverse osmosis membrane |
| m^2 | - | meter square |
| m^3 | - | meter cube |
| Mg | - | magnesium |
| Mg/L | - | milligram per liter |
| Mg_2SO_4 | - | Magnesium sulfate |
| NaCl | - | Sodium chloride |
| NaOH | - | Sodium hydroxide |
| OFAT | - | One-factor-at-time |
| OH^- | - | Hydroxide |
| P | - | permeability coefficient of solute through membrane |
| P_s | - | solute permeability coefficient |
| PSCF | - | Preferential sorption-capillary flow |
| psi | - | pounds per square inch |
| R | - | gas constant |
| R | - | gas constant (8.31 J/mol K) |
| RO | - | reverse osmosis |
| RSD | - | Response surface design |
| RSM | - | response surface methodology |
| T | - | absolute temperature |
| TDS | - | Total dissolved solid |
| UP | - | Ultra-pure |
| USEPA | - | United States Environmental Protection Agency |
| V_w | - | partial molar volume of water |
| WHO | - | World Health Organization |
| WRF | - | World Research Foundation |
| X_{AB} | - | friction between the solute and the solvent |
| X_{AM} | - | friction between the solute and the membrane material |

| | | |
|------------------|---|--|
| X_{s2}, X_{s3} | - | mole fractions of solute in the high and low pressure side |
| $\gamma_{j(m)}$ | - | activity coefficient of ion j in the membrane |
| λ | - | frictional parameter |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX | TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------------|---|-------------|
| A | Data of permeate flux of NaCl collected every 30 minutes for a period of 240 minutes | 130 |
| B | Experimental runs conducted in LPRM for rejection of micropollutants | 131 |
| C | Data of permeate flux and percentage of copper removal (%) for 20 runs of experiment | 132 |
| D | Data of permeate flux and percentage of magnesium removal (%) for 20 runs of experiment | 134 |
| E1 | Factorial design for copper removal | 136 |
| E2 | Interaction effect plot for copper removal | 138 |
| E3 | Response surface design for copper removal | 141 |
| F | Experimental determination of membrane permeability | 145 |
| G1 | Factorial design for magnesium removal | 146 |

| | | |
|----|---|-----|
| G2 | Interaction effect plot for magnesium removal | 148 |
| G3 | Response surface design for magnesium removal | 149 |
| H | Comparison of the experimental, theory and statistical model results | 154 |
| I1 | Experimental results of LPRM conducted for actual raw water | 158 |
| I2 | Experimental results of LPRM conducted for actual raw water (Arsenic) | 159 |
| I3 | Response surface design for micropollutants removal from actual raw water | 160 |
| J | Paper cutting of Tasik Biru report | 166 |

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

This chapter presents an overview of the micropollutants contamination in ex-mining pools and previous studies conducted on membrane technology, especially using Low Pressure Reverse Osmosis Membrane (LPROM) to remove micropollutants for drinking water production. The objectives and background of the study are also stated in this chapter. The scope of work are defined and the importance of this study are presented. Lastly, this chapter also presents the structure of the thesis.

1.1 Background of the Study

Direct contamination of surface waters with micropollutants from mining, smelting and industrial manufacturing is a long-standing phenomenon. In Malaysia, water samples from ex-mining pools have been reported containing various micropollutants, especially heavy metals (Yusof *et al.*, 1996; Morgensen *et al.*, 2001).

Heavy metals are conservative pollutants and some of them are harmful to health. Some of these compounds may suppress the immune system, leading to increased susceptibility to disease while some may be carcinogenic. For example, some heavy metals such as copper, mercury and arsenic, for which the proposed guideline values for drinking water quality are quite low (in the range of $\mu\text{g/L}$ to a few mg/L) owing to their carcinogenic effects or other risk factors to public health (Crespo *et al.*, 2004).

Generally, these types of micropollutants contained in polluted raw water can cause problems in water treatment plants. They can inhibit the biological treatment processes and reduce the treatment efficiency of the treatment plants (Buckley *et al.*, 2001). Thus, various technologies have been applied to remove micropollutants in water and wastewater, such as coagulation, filtration, lime softening, activated carbon and membrane technology. Although many of them have proved to be technically feasible, other factors such as cost, operational requirements and aesthetic considerations have not been favourable in some cases.

Membrane technology is considered as one of the most effective processes for water and wastewater treatment. It is a compact system, economically feasible and has high rejection level of pollutants (Oh *et al.*, 2000). Membrane technology has been given special focus in water treatment processes because of its capability in removing physical and chemical matters at a higher-degree of purification. It is commonly divided into microfiltration, ultrafiltration and reverse osmosis (RO), which utilizes pressure differentials (Oh, 2001). Nowadays, RO is one of the effective technologies to remove almost all pollutants, especially those with low concentrations. RO technology is also used today in large water treatment plants. It produces good quality of potable water from brackish and seawater resources, reclaim contaminated water sources and reduce water salinity for industrial applications. In addition, the application spectrum of RO membrane elements covers household units to produce higher quality of drinking water (Wilf, 1998).

However, the use of RO system has been limited due to high operational cost to keep the pressure at high level and maintain its components. RO requires high pressure system and need extensive pre-treatment. Over the history of wastewater treatment and reclamation by RO, developments in membrane technology have resulted in a variety of advancements. These advancements included enhancements in salt rejection capabilities, chemical stability and perhaps most importantly, pressure requirements (Filteau and Moss, 1997). Hence, in the past few years, low pressure reverse osmosis membrane (LPROM) has been introduced to water and wastewater industries (Ujang and Anderson, 2000; Filteau and Moss, 1997; Hofman *et al.*, 1997; Ozaki *et al.*, 2001).

LPROM is not a new concept in membrane technology. Its inception could be traced back to the 1960s (Ujang and Anderson, 2000). At that time, LPROM system was not an attractive system because of low flux and non-reliable membrane materials. For the past 15 years, many improvements were made to the membrane and different models were introduced into the market. One of the goals of many studies carried out recently was to reduce the operating costs of RO, by lowering the required operating pressure of the system. In the mid-1990s, membrane manufacturers began marketing high-rejection-high-flux LPROM (Nemeth, 1998). Recently, LPROM with operating pressure less than 100 psi is available commercially to make the system more affordable and cost effective.

Most studies carried out so far on LPROM have been focused on bench-scale feasibility approach using various pollutants. The applications of LPROM for micropollutants removal, particularly the investigation of operating parameters effect (i.e. pressure, feed pH and feed concentration) on separation of metal chelates, study on transport phenomena based on electrostatic, steric hindrance and filtration effect of LPROM as well as the effect of metal complexation, have not been studied extensively up to date.

1.2 Objectives of the Study

The aim of this study was to produce high-quality drinking water, using LPROM system to remove micropollutants from feed water. This can be achieved by the following specific objectives:-

- i. To evaluate the effectiveness of LPROM for rejection of micropollutants, using synthetic wastewater, i.e. magnesium and copper under different operating parameters, such as pressure, feed concentrations and pH.
- ii. To analyze and optimize the pressure range and other associated operating parameters for rejection of micropollutants using response surface methodology.
- iii. To investigate the feasibility of micropollutant removal from an actual ex-mining pool water, Tasik Biru, Sarawak and to study the effect of metal complexation.
- iv. To develop a statistical model for the removal efficiency using LPROM.

1.3 Scope of the Study

This study focused on the performance of LPROM, which was evaluated by response parameters, i.e. permeate flux and the percentage of micropollutant removal. This study was conducted on an experimental rig and the analytical studies include physical and chemical procedures, particularly to evaluate the performance and effectiveness of LPROM system.

The experimental design was carried out using response surface methodology (RSM). RSM is a statistical and mathematical technique which is useful for developing, improving and optimizing processes. All experiments were investigated under different operating parameters, i.e. pressure, pH and feed concentration.

Two types of wastewater containing micropollutants were studied i.e. synthetic polluted water containing micropollutants i.e. copper (Cu) and magnesium (Mg) from copper chloride and magnesium sulphate solutions, and raw water from an ex-tin mining pool from Tasik Biru, Sarawak.

1.4 Importance of the Study

Various technologies have been applied to remove pollutants in raw water, such as ion exchange, activated carbon and membrane separation (Oh *et al.*, 2000). Due to high costs of operation and maintenance of conventional high-pressure RO membrane system, LPROM has been introduced to the water and wastewater industries in the past few years (Ujang and Anderson, 1996; Filteau and Moss, 1997; Hofman *et al.*, 1997; Ozaki *et al.*, 2001). The importance of this study are as follows:-

- i. Water and wastewater treatment using LPROM can remove more than 90% of micropollutants depending on the operating parameters of the system. In order to achieve the optimum value of removal and flux rate, this study will be useful to determine the best operating conditions for LPROM system.
- ii. This study will provide insight on the transport phenomenon of solutes through the LPROM charged membrane, which can affect the overall performance of LPROM systems.
- iii. This study will provide a statistical model which acts as the basic reference in identifying the effectiveness of LPROM system in treating water and wastewater.

1.5 The Organization of the Thesis

This thesis consists of five chapters. Chapter I gives an overview of micropollutants contamination in ex-mining pools and studies conducted on membrane technology, especially LPROM. An overview of the theoretical background of studies conducted on membrane technology, especially LPROM, and theory of membrane transport are presented in Chapter II. Chapter III presents the methodology used in this study including on the design of experiments. Factorial design analysis and response surface methods (RSM) were described in this chapter.

Chapter IV presents the results of the experimental studies that have been described in Chapter III. Findings are combined and discussed holistically in this chapter. The last chapter, Chapter V, stated the conclusions of this study. Recommendations for future studies are also outlined in this chapter.