

**EXTENDED AERATION: A COMPARATIVE  
STUDY BETWEEN PREFABRICATED  
REINFORCED FIBERGLASS AND CONCRETE  
CAST IN-SITU PLANTS**

MOHD SHUKRI BIN ABDUL RAZIK

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Wastewater)

Faculty of Civil Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

May, 2007

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

This master thesis concludes my study at Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), for a Masters degree in Civil Engineering (Wastewater).

There are a number of persons I would like to thank. First of all, my supervisor, Dr. Azmi Aris for indispensable guidance and for interesting discussions regarding the work with this thesis. Thanks also to all lecturers involved with my study for being such a source of inspiration and motivation. I would also like to thank to my course mates, the personnel at IWK and my family for their interest in my work.

## ABSTRACT

The choice of wastewater treatment plants for any application depends on the quality of raw sewage, the required quality of treated water and the economics resources available to pay for both capital cost and operating cost of the treatment plants. The performance of any wastewater treatment plants does not only depend on the construction cost but will also cover the cost and method of operation and maintenance, quality of effluent treated, internal, external and design factors. While potential for identifying a better type of wastewater treatment plant does exist, very modest efforts have been attempted. This study compares and contrasts two of the most commonly used extended aeration systems for small to medium size sewage treatment plants, namely prefabricated reinforced fibreglass and cast in-situ systems. The selected treatment plants are under the jurisdiction of Indah Water Konsortium Sdn. Bhd. (IWK), Terengganu. The flow of raw sewage and the performance of the treatment plants based on effluent quality (i.e. BOD, COD and SS) and electricity cost were assessed. Three treatment plants from both types of systems were studied for a period of five months. It was found that a small to medium size treatment plants suffer high variation in term of flow and organic loading. It seemed obvious that the cast in-situ treatment plants not only built structurally better and ease of operation, but also giving better effluent standard and consumed lower electricity cost.

## ABSTRAK

Pemilihan loji pengolahan kumbahan untuk apa jua tujuan adalah bergantung kepada kualiti air kumbahan atau sisa, kehendak kualiti air yang diolah dan kemampuan sumber kewangan untuk membiayai kos pembinaan dan operasi loji kumbahan tersebut. Tahap pencapaian mana-mana loji pengolahan kumbahan bukan sahaja bergantung kepada kos pembinaannya, tetapi juga bergantung kepada kos dan cara ianya beroperasi dan diselenggarakan, kualiti kumbahan yang telah diolah, faktor-faktor dalaman, luaran dan rekabentuk loji pengolahan itu sendiri. Walaupun wujudnya potensi untuk mengenal pasti jenis-jenis loji pengolahan kumbahan yang lebih berdaya saing, namun usaha ke arah ini masih belum lagi dilaksanakan dengan lebih menyeluruh. Kajian ini adalah untuk membuat perbandingan dan mencari perbezaan antara dua loji pengolahan kumbahan jenis pengudaraan lanjutan yang paling popular, iaitu *prefabricated reinforced fiberglass* dan *cast in-situ*. Loji-loji pengolahan yang telah dipilih untuk kajian ini adalah di bawah seliaan Indah Water Konsortium Sdn. Bhd. (IWK), Terengganu. Kuantiti air kumbahan, dan pencapaian loji-loji pengolahan kumbahan dinilai berdasarkan kepada kualiti efluen (seperti BOD, COD dan SS) dan kos penggunaan tenaga elektrik. Tiga loji dari dua jenis sistem pengudaraan lanjutan telah dikaji dalam tempoh lima bulan. Adalah didapati bahawa loji-loji yang bersaiz kecil ke sederhana mengalami gangguan perbezaan influen yang ketara, atau pun perbezaan di antara influen dan beban pencemaran. Dalam kajian ini, loji *cast in-situ* bukan sahaja mempunyai struktur yang lebih baik dan lebih mudah untuk diselenggarakan, tetapi juga menghasilkan tahap efluen yang lebih baik dan penggunaan tenaga elektrik yang lebih rendah.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER PAGE	TITLE	
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	ii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	iii
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	iv
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	v
	<b>TABLES OF CONTENTS</b>	vi
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	ix
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xi
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xii
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Importance of Study	5
	1.3 Objective and Scope of Study	5
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>7</b>
	2.1 Source of Raw Sewage	7
	2.2 Characteristic of Raw Sewage	8
	2.2.1 Soluble and Insoluble Materials	10
	2.2.2 Organic and Inorganic Materials	11
	2.2.3 Suspended Solids	11

2.2.4	Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)	11
2.2.5	Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	12
2.2.6	Interrelationships between BOD and COD	12
2.2.7	Contaminants of Concern in Sewage Treatment	13
2.3	Flow Rate of Domestic Wastewater	14
2.4	Wastewater collection	17
2.4.1	Sewer line	17
2.4.2	Pumping Stations	18
2.5	Wastewater Treatment Processes	18
2.5.1	Preliminary Treatment	19
2.5.2	Equalization or Balancing Tank	20
2.5.3	Primary Treatment	21
2.5.4	Biological or Secondary Treatment	21
2.5.5	Final Clarifier or Sedimentation Tank	23
2.5.6	Sludge Treatment	23
2.5.7	Flow Measurement	23
2.6	Energy Utilization	24
2.7	Extended Aeration System	24
2.7.1	Description of Extended Aeration Process	26
2.7.2	Prefabricated Reinforce Fiberglass Extended Aeration	27
2.7.3	Cast In-Situ Extended Aeration	27
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</b>	<b>30</b>
4.1	Flow Measurement	32
4.2	Characteristics of Raw Sewage	33
4.3	Performance of Treatment Plant	37
4.3.1	Treatment Plant Performance for 2006	41

	viii
4.4 Electricity Cost	42
4.4.1 Electricity Cost for 2006	44
4.5 Operational Problems	45
<b>5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	46
<b>REFERENCES</b>	48
Appendices A - C	50-71

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	
<b>PAGE</b>		
1.1	Classification of Sewerage Treatment Plant by Size (Malaysia)	2
1.2	Treatment Plant Total by Group	3
1.3	Land Area Requirements for Mechanized Plants	4
2.1	Typical Breakdown of Residential Water Uses	8
2.2	Typical Composition of Untreated Domestic Sewage	9
2.3	Typical Value of BOD/COD in Raw Sewage	12
2.4	Contaminants of Concern in Sewage Treatment	13
2.5	Recommended Population Equivalent	14
2.6	Sewer Pipes or Conduits	17
2.7	Definition of Common Terminology Used for Biological Wastewater	22
2.8	Major Biological Treatment Processes Used for Wastewater Treatment	22
2.9	DGSS Design Parameters for Extended Aeration	26
4.1	List of Selected Extended Aeration Treatment Plants Used in the Study	31
4.2	Standard B Interim Limit	32
4.3	Flow Measurement Results	33
4.4	Characteristics of the Raw Sewage	34
4.5	BOD/COD Ratios Value for Raw Sewage	36



4.6	Average Effluent BOD of Prefabricated and Cast In-Situ Plants (in mg/l)	37
4.7	Average Effluent COD of Prefabricated and Cast In-Situ Plants (in mg/l)	38
4.8	BOD/COD Ratio Values for Final Effluent	40
4.9	Average Effluent SS of Prefabricated and Cast In-Situ Plants (in mg/l)	40
4.10	Standard B Compliance of the Treatment Plants	41
4.11	Average Overall 2006 Sampling Result	41
4.12	Electricity Cost (RM) of the Treatment Plants	43
4.13	Overall Electricity Cost as a Function of Aeration Time for Prefabricated Plants	44
4.14	Overall Electricity Cost as a Function of Aeration Time for Cast In-Situ Plants	44
4.15	Electricity Cost (RM) for 2006	45

**LIST OF FIGURES**

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Typical Variation in Municipal Water Demand and Wastewater Flow	15
2.2	Ratios of Extreme Flow to Average Daily Flow (Peaking Factor) for Municipal Wastewater Under Dry Weather Conditions	16
2.3	Typical Elements and Process Flow Diagram of A Sewage Treatment Plant	19
2.4	Layout of Hi-Kleen Prefabricated Plant	25
2.5	Layout of Cast In-Situ Extended Aeration Plant	25
4.1	Relationship between BOD and COD in Raw Sewage	35
4.2	Relationship between BOD and SS in Raw Sewage	35
4.3	Relationship between SS and COD in Raw Sewage	36
4.4	Relationship between BOD and COD for Final Effluent (Prefabricated Plants)	38
4.5	Relationship between BOD and COD for Final Effluent (Cast In-Situ)	39

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	
<b>PAGE</b>		
A	Sampling Results	50
B	Photograph of Treatment Plant	59
D	Flow Measurement Data	64

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Background**

The most important goal of the National Sewerage Policy is to ensure that the discarded water after it has been used is properly treated before being discharged in order to protect the receiving environment. The evolution of fully mechanized sewage treatment processes from primitive to primary and continued progress headed to secondary treatment system will help us save our environment from degradation. This trend created new and modern equipments ranging from pumps, screens, aeration systems, sludge process systems and other technological advancement equipments which continuously help us to reduce pollutants entering our water systems.

In 1998, only 4.9% population in Malaysia was served by central sewerage systems (from 3.4% in 1970). Individual septic tanks or communal treatment systems such as oxidation pond, trickling filter, extended aeration and imhoff tank served 34% of the population (up from 17.2 in 1970). Pour flush latrine and other less satisfactory systems served 52.9% of the population while 8.2% have no facilities at all [1].

Based on statistic released by the Department of Environment (DOE), domestic sewage contributed 46% of the total biological oxygen demand (BOD) load into inland waters in 1985 and the figure has increased to 69% in 1988 [2]. It is clearly shown that the domestic sewage is the number one BOD contributor in this country and to remain so if no proper mitigation measures take place.

The declared aim of the Government to promote the involvement of the private sector in the implementation, operation and management of the sewerage infrastructure project, has resulted in 1993, the appearance of Indah Water Konsortium Sdn. Bhd. (IWK) as the national public sewerage systems operator. The main idea of the privatization is to synchronize and harmonize planning, construction, maintenance and operations aspect of this industry under the Ministry of Energy, Water and Telecommunication. Sewerage Service Department (SSD) is the entrusted government agency to coordinates regulation, ordinance and enforcement of the sewerage systems in Malaysia.

The number of sewage treatment plant increase tremendously after the introduction of the National Sewerage Policy in 1994. It was found that in 2005, about 82% of the sewerage treatment plants serving not more than 2,000 PE as shown in Table 1.1 [2]. This increment coupled with technology improvement has taken the sewerage industries to a greater level.

**Table 1.1:** Classification of Sewage Treatment Plant by Size (Malaysia)

<b>PE</b> <b>Year</b>	<b>Less Than 150</b>	<b>150-2,000</b>	<b>2,001-5,000</b>	<b>5,001-25,000</b>	<b>25,001-50,000</b>	<b>More Than 50,001</b>	<b>Total No of STP</b>
1994	276 (26.4%)	537 (33.7%)	166 (15.9%)	55 (5.3%)	7 (0.7%)	2 (0.2%)	1,043
1997	2,204 (48.6%)	1,706 (37.6%)	416 (9.2%)	191 (4.2%)	11 (0.2%)	10 (0.2%)	4,538
2005	4,163 (47.4%)	3,053 (34.7%)	922 (10.5)	573 (6.5)	42 (0.5)	29 (0.3)	8,782

Source: [2]

In march 2007, out of 8,537 public sewage treatment plants maintained by IWK, 43% are communal septic tanks, 9% are imhoff tanks, 5% are oxidation ponds, 3% are mechanical plants with media and 40% are mechanical plant without media as shown in Table 1.2 [1].

**Table 1.2:** Treatment plant total by group.

<b>NO</b>	<b>TYPE OF STP</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>PERCENTAGE</b>	<b>PE</b>
1	Communal Septic Tank	3,637	43%	432,841
2	Imhoff Tank	767	9%	563,967
3	Oxidation Ponds	460	5%	1,892,318
4	Mechanical Plants with Media	247	3%	857,322
5	Mechanical Plant without Media	3,426	40%	11,527,075
	<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>8,537</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>15,273,523</b>

Source: [1]

The second most important goal of the National sewerage Policy is to manage the required wastewater treatment plants as cost effective as possible as the cost of the sewerage systems operation is being bore by the tax payer, an effective system is essential as to save money of the tax payer or the public as a whole. With many treatment systems currently available in the market today, proper selection of the systems is crucial and many factors need to be considered which include cost of construction, operation and maintenance, and performance of the systems.

The conversional extended aeration of prefabricated fiber reinforced glass and concrete in-situ are currently considered as the most popular systems particularly for small to medium size treatment plants. Due to the competitive edge on the marketing strategies, the initial capital cost or construction per population equivalent (PE) of these systems is about the same. Typical land area requirements for sitting of small to medium size wastewater treatment plants is also about the same as shown in Table 1.3 [3]. However, no specific study has been conducted to evaluate the performance and the efficiency of these two systems.

**Table 1.3:** Land Area Requirements for Mechanized Plants

Population Equivalent	Standard A *		Standard B *	
	(ha)	(acre)	(ha)	(acre)
2,000	0.17	0.42	0.17	0.42
3,000	0.22	0.42	0.17	0.55
4,000	0.27	0.66	0.27	0.66
5,000	0.31	0.76	0.31	0.76
10,000	0.78	1.93	0.66	1.63
15,000	1.00	2.47	0.84	2.09
20,000	1.19	2.95	0.99	2.44
25,000	1.37	3.38	1.13	2.79
30,000	1.53	3.79	1.26	3.11
35,000	1.81	4.48	1.65	4.08
40,000	1.97	4.88	1.79	4.43
45,000	2.12	5.25	1.93	4.77
50,000	2.23	5.52	2.03	5.02
55,000	2.37	5.84	2.15	5.31
60,000	2.52	6.22	2.29	5.66
65,000	2.67	6.61	2.43	6.00
70,000	2.93	7.23	2.66	6.57
75,000	3.27	8.07	2.82	6.96
80,000	3.49	8.61	3.03	7.49
85,000	3.69	9.12	3.23	7.99
90,000	3.89	9.61	3.42	8.46
95,000	4.07	10.06	3.60	8.90
100,000	4.25	10.49	3.77	9.32
110,000	4.57	11.29	4.09	10.10
120,000	4.87	12.02	4.38	10.81
130,000	5.14	12.70	4.64	11.47
140,000	5.39	13.32	4.89	12.08
150,000	5.63	13.90	5.12	12.64
160,000	5.84	14.44	5.33	13.17
170,000	6.05	14.95	5.53	13.67
180,000	6.25	15.43	5.72	14.14
190,000	6.43	15.89	5.90	14.58
200,000	6.60	16.32	6.07	15.00
250,000	7.36	18.20	6.81	16.83
300,000	7.98	19.73	7.41	18.32
450,000	9.36	23.14	8.76	21.65

Source: [3]

The required area does not include any buffer zone surrounding each plant. Appropriate setbacks and access paths within the plant have been included.

## **1.2 Importance of Study**

Presently the Department of Environment (DOE) governs the effluent standards while the Sewerage Service Department (SSD) is an approving authority for any construction of the treatment plants. It appears that no previous study has been conducted to monitor the overall performance efficiency and energy costs to operate any of the treatment plants in Malaysia. As the concessionaire public sewerage systems operator, IWK has to accept all the systems approved by SSD and to fulfill the DOE effluent requirement by bearing all the operations and maintenances costs.

It has been proven that operational improvement such as pumping system optimization, flexible tanks release strategies for water distribution, alternative aeration system optimization and use of low cost timer and controls has saved millions of dollars without compromising the effluent standard requirements [4]. Hence, effort should be made to select proper treatments plants, as it will improve the economic of the treatment plants operations.

## **1.3 Objective and Scope of Study**

The main objective of this study was to compare and contrast two most commonly used extended aeration systems for small to medium size sewage treatment plants namely prefabricated reinforced fiberglass and concrete in-situ systems.



This study was limited to the extended aeration systems of prefabricated fiber reinforced glass and cast in-situ plants of the same PE size ranging from 1,000 – 3,500 PE which were currently under the operation of IWK, Terengganu. The comparisons were based on the operational cost of the system, particularly the energy cost, the efficiency of the process and the ease of operation of the systems.