

ROBUST WATERMARKING FOR MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGES WITH
AUTOMATIC REGION OF INTEREST DETECTION

SEYED MOJTABA MOUSAVI KHORZOUGH

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

ROBUST WATERMARKING FOR MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGES WITH
AUTOMATIC REGION OF INTEREST DETECTION

SEYED MOJTABA MOUSAVI KHORZOUGH

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Electrical Engineering)

Faculty of Electrical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2015

To my beloved family

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Laudation to Allah of majesty and glory! Obedience to him is cause of approach and gratitude in increase of benefits.

First, I offer my sincerest gratitude to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Syed Abdul Rahman Syed Abu-Bakar for the continuous support of my Ph.D. study and research, patience, motivation, enthusiasm, and immense knowledge. His guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis. Without his supervision, the outcome of this thesis cannot be realized. My sincere thanks also goes to my co-supervisor, Assistant Professor Dr. Alireza Naghsh for his constructive comments and suggestions throughout the course of this research work. Besides, I thank my fellow lab-mates in **CwiP**.

Also many thanks to the Malaysian International Scholarship (MIS) for funding and supporting this research and providing excellent research environment in which this work was conducted and the scientific research targets fully accomplished.

Last but not the least; I would like to thank my family: my parents and my only brother, for their support and patience.

ABSTRACT

Medical image watermarking requires special considerations compared to ordinary watermarking methods. The first issue is the detection of an important area of the image called the Region of Interest (ROI) prior to starting the watermarking process. Most existing ROI detection procedures use manual-based methods, while in automated methods the robustness against intentional or unintentional attacks has not been considered extensively. The second issue is the robustness of the embedded watermark against different attacks. A common drawback of existing watermarking methods is their weakness against salt and pepper noise. The research carried out in this thesis addresses these issues of having automatic ROI detection for magnetic resonance images that are robust against attacks particularly the salt and pepper noise and designing a new watermarking method that can withstand high density salt and pepper noise. In the ROI detection part, combinations of several algorithms such as morphological reconstruction, adaptive thresholding and labelling are utilized. The noise-filtering algorithm and window size correction block are then introduced for further enhancement. The performance of the proposed ROI detection is evaluated by computing the Comparative Accuracy (CA). In the watermarking part, a combination of spatial method, channel coding and noise filtering schemes are used to increase the robustness against salt and pepper noise. The quality of watermarked image is evaluated using Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio (PSNR) and Structural Similarity Index (SSIM), and the accuracy of the extracted watermark is assessed in terms of Bit Error Rate (BER). Based on experiments, the CA under eight different attacks (speckle noise, average filter, median filter, Wiener filter, Gaussian filter, sharpening filter, motion, and salt and pepper noise) is between 97.8% and 100%. The CA under different densities of salt and pepper noise (10%-90%) is in the range of 75.13% to 98.99%. In the watermarking part, the performance of the proposed method under different densities of salt and pepper noise measured by total PSNR, ROI PSNR, total SSIM and ROI SSIM has improved in the ranges of 3.48-23.03 (dB), 3.5-23.05 (dB), 0-0.4620 and 0-0.5335 to 21.75-42.08 (dB), 20.55-40.83 (dB), 0.5775-0.8874 and 0.4104-0.9742 respectively. In addition, the BER is reduced to the range of 0.02% to 41.7%. To conclude, the proposed method has managed to significantly improve the performance of existing medical image watermarking methods.

ABSTRAK

Penandaan genang imej perubatan memerlukan pertimbangan khas berbanding dengan kaedah penandaan genang biasa. Isu pertama ialah pengesanan bahagian penting imej yang dikenali sebagai *Region of Interest* (ROI) sebelum memulakan proses penandaan genang. Kebanyakan prosedur pengesanan ROI sedia ada berasaskan kaedah manual, manakala kaedah segmentasi secara automatik yang mantap terhadap serangan hingar yang disengajakan atau tidak disengajakan tidak mendapat perhatian yang meluas. Isu kedua berkaitan kemantapan penandaan genang yang terkandung terhadap pelbagai serangan. Kelemahan umum daripada kaedah penandaan genang sedia ada ini adalah kelemahan terhadap hingar garam dan lada. Penyelidikan yang dijalankan dalam tesis ini menangani isu-isu pengesanan ROI secara automatik untuk imej resonans magnetik yang mantap terhadap serangan terutamanya hingar garam dan lada dan mereka bentuk kaedah penandaan genang baharu yang dapat bertahan daripada hingar garam dan lada pada ketumpatan yang tinggi. Dalam bahagian pengesanan ROI, gabungan beberapa algoritma seperti pembinaan semula morfologi, pengembangan dan pelabelan adaptif digunakan. Algoritma penapisan hingar dan blok pembetulan saiz tettingkap kemudiannya diperkenalkan untuk penambahbaikan selanjutnya. Prestasi pengesanan ROI yang dicadangkan dinilai dengan mengira Kejituan Perbandingan (CA). Dalam bahagian penandaan genang, skim gabungan kaedah ruangan, pengekodan saluran, dan penapisan hingar diguna untuk menambah kemantapan terhadap hingar garam dan lada. Kualiti imej penandaan genang dinilai menggunakan Nisbah Puncak Isyarat ke Hingar (PSNR) dan Indeks Persamaan Struktur (SSIM), dan kejituan penandaan genang yang diekstrak ditaksir dengan Kadar Ralat Bit (BER). Berdasarkan eksperimen, CA untuk lapan jenis serangan (hingar bintik, penapis purata, penapis tengah, penapis Wiener, penapis Gaussian, penapis penajaman, hingar bergerak, dan hingar garam dan lada) adalah di antara 97.8% hingga 100%. CA untuk pelbagai ketumpatan hingar garam dan lada (10%-90%) adalah dalam julat antara 75.13% hingga 98.99%. Dalam bahagian penandaan genang, prestasi kaedah yang dicadangkan di bawah pelbagai ketumpatan hingar garam dan lada yang diukur dengan jumlah PSNR, ROI PSNR, jumlah SSIM dan ROI SSIM masing-masing telah bertambah daripada julat 3.48-23.03 (dB), 3.5-23.05 (dB), 0-0.4620 dan 0-0.5335 kepada 21.75-42.08 (dB), 20.55-40.83 (dB), 0.5775-0.8874 dan 0.4104-0.9742. Di samping itu, BER telah berkurangan kepada julat daripada 0.02% kepada 41.7%. Kesimpulannya, kaedah yang dicadangkan telah dapat memperbaiki prestasi kaedah sedia ada dengan begitu nyata sekali.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xviii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxi
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxiii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 History of Watermarking	1
	1.2 Importance of Watermarking for Medical Images	2
	1.3 Problem Statements	2
	1.3.1 Problems of ROI Detection Systems for Medical Images	3
	1.3.2 Problem of Robust Watermarking Methods against the Salt and Pepper Noise	4
	1.4 Project Objectives	5
	1.5 Project Scope	5
	1.6 Significance of Study	6
	1.7 Thesis Outline	7

2	LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1	Introduction	9
2.2	Different Classification of Watermarking Methods	9
2.3	Basic Concepts in Watermarking Scheme	11
2.3.1	Overview of a Data Security System	11
2.3.2	Different Parts of a Typical Watermarking System	13
2.3.3	Distortion and Attacks on Watermarking Systems	14
2.3.4	Digital Watermarking System Applications	16
2.3.5	Digital Image Watermarking System Requirements	16
2.4	Overview of Watermarking Techniques	18
2.4.1	Spatial Domain Techniques	18
2.4.2	Transform Domain Techniques	20
2.4.2.1	Discrete-Fourier Transform	20
2.4.2.2	Discrete-Cosine Transform	22
2.4.2.3	Discrete Wavelet Transform	23
2.4.2.4	Contourlet Transform	26
2.4.2.5	Singular Value Decomposition	26
2.5	Overview of Watermarking Benchmarks and Performance Analysis	28
2.5.1	Imperceptibility Evaluation of Watermarked Image	28
2.5.2	Robustness Evaluation of Extracted Watermark	31
2.6	Medical Image Watermarking	33
2.6.1	Importance of Medical Image Watermarking	33
2.6.2	Advantages of Medical Image Watermarking	33
2.6.3	Requirements of Medical Image Watermarking	34

2.6.4	Overview of Medical Image Watermarking	
	Methods	35
	2.6.4.1 Authentication	35
	2.6.4.2 Data Hiding	37
	2.6.4.3 Data Hiding and Authentication	38
2.7	Robustness against the Salt and Pepper Noise	41
2.8	Background of Medical Image ROI Selection for Watermarking Application	43
2.9	Chapter Summary	45
3	AUTOMATIC AND ROBUST ROI DETECTION AND MEDICAL IMAGE WATERMARKING	46
3.1	Introduction	46
3.2	Introduction to Automatic and Robust ROI Detection	47
3.2.1	Automatic ROI Detection Method	48
	3.2.1.1 Morphological Reconstruction	49
	3.2.1.2 Morphological Opening by Reconstruction Filtering	51
	3.2.1.3 Gaussian Lowpass Filter	52
	3.2.1.4 Adaptive Thresholding and Filling Holes	52
	3.2.1.5 Labeling and Finding the Biggest Area	53
	3.2.1.6 Highlighting the Boundary of the Biggest Area	54
3.2.2	Robustness Evaluation of ROI Detection against Eight Common Attacks	54
3.2.3	Proposed Salt and Pepper Noise-Filtering Block	55
3.2.4	Improving and Evaluating the Robustness of ROI Detection against Some Attacks	60
3.3	Watermarking Methodology	62
	3.3.1 Watermark Generation	62

3.3.2	Embedding the Generated Watermark into the RONI	66
3.4	De-Watermarking Methodology	71
3.4.1	Salt and Pepper Filtering Block	71
3.4.2	Extraction Procedure	71
3.5	Chapter Summary	72
4	RESULTS, ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION	73
4.1	Introduction	73
4.2	Research Environment	73
4.3	ROI Detection Results	74
4.3.1	Analysis of Attacks	76
4.3.2	Evaluating the Robustness of ROI Detection Method against Attacks	78
4.3.3	Salt and Pepper Filtering Results	79
4.3.4	Improving the ROI Detection Robustness and its Evaluation	84
4.4	Watermarking and De-Watermarking Process	88
4.4.1	Watermark Information	89
4.4.2	Quality Evaluation of Watermarked Images	89
4.4.2.1	Quality Evaluation in the Db1	89
4.4.2.2	Quality Evaluation of Watermarked Image in all Databases	91
4.4.3	Robustness Evaluation of Watermark Bits against Salt and Pepper Noise	92
4.4.4	Performance Comparison with Other Methods in the Case of Salt and Pepper Noise	101
4.5	Chapter Summary	101
5	CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK	104
5.1	Introduction	104
5.2	Conclusions	104

5.3	Contributions	106
5.4	Recommendations for Future Work	107
REFERENCES		108
Appendices A-B		122-123

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	First classification for watermark attacks	15
2.2	Second classification for watermark attacks	15
2.3	Comparison between spatial and transform domain watermarking methods	20
2.4	Evaluating parameters for watermarked image	30
2.5	Evaluation parameters for extracted watermark	32
2.6	Summary of different watermarking methods: 1) image modality, 2) objective, 3) watermark, 4) embedding region, 5) embedding technique 6) reversibility, 7) tamper localisation, 8) tamper recovery, 9) fragility and robustness	40
2.7	Weakness of previous watermarking methods against salt and pepper noise	42
3.1	Rules for encoding the watermark bits using a ROI pixel	65
4.1	Applied databases in proposed method	73
4.2	CA (%) of ROI detection scheme against eight attacks	78
4.3	Mean CA (%) of ROI detection scheme against eight attacks	79
4.4	PSNR of altered image by salt and pepper attack; (a) before noise filtering, (b) after noise filtering	80
4.5	CA (%) of image altered by salt and pepper noise; (a) before noise filtering, (b) after noise filtering	82
4.6	Mean CA (%) after applying noise-filtering block and WSC technique with different C_p	84
4.7	CA (%) after noise-filtering and WSC techniques with the best C_p	85

4.8	Mean CA (%) after noise-filtering and WSC techniques with the best C_p	85
4.9	CA (%) of altered image by salt and pepper noise with different densities; (a) after using noise-filtering block, (b) after using both noise-filtering and WSC methods with the best C_p	87
4.10	Evaluation results for watermarked images in Db1 in the absence of any attack	90
4.11	Evaluation results for watermarked images in five databases in the absence of any attack	91
4.12	Comparing the mean of BER for extracted watermarks in five databases after salt and pepper attack with different densities	93
4.13	Comparison results for the mean of Total PSNR for watermarked images in five databases after salt and pepper attack with different densities: (a) before noise filtering; (b) after noise filtering	95
4.14	Comparison results for the mean of ROI PSNR for watermarked images in five databases after salt and pepper attack with different densities: (a) before noise filtering; (b) after noise filtering	96
4.15	Comparison results for the mean of Total SSIM of watermarked images in five databases after salt and pepper attack with different densities: (a) before noise filtering; (b) after noise filtering	98
4.16	Comparison results for the mean ROI SSIM of watermarked images in five databases after salt and pepper attack with different densities: (a) before noise filtering; (b) after noise filtering	99
4.17	BER (%) comparison of extracted watermark after salt and pepper attack	102
4.18	PSNR comparison of watermarked image after salt and pepper attack	103

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Overview of a data security system	12
2.2	Typical watermarking system framework	14
2.3	LSB watermarking procedure	19
2.4	DCT regions definition	22
2.5	Wavelet decompositions of an image	24
2.6	Contourlet decomposition filter bank. Multi-scale decomposition is computed by LPD and directional description is obtained by applying DFB on each bandpass channel	26
3.1	Overview of the entire proposed method	46
3.2	Overview of automatic and robust ROI detection procedure	47
3.3	Proposed automatic ROI detection procedure	48
3.4	Rectangular structuring element with 3 rows and 5 columns	49
3.5	Four morphological operations: (a) original image, (b) erosion, (c) dilation, (d) opening, (e) closing	51
3.6	Pseudo-code of adaptive thresholding	53
3.7	Robustness evaluation of the proposed automatic ROI detection method against some attacks	55
3.8	Robustness evaluation of proposed automatic ROI detection method against the salt and pepper noise after applying the noise-filtering block	56
3.9	Effect of various salt and pepper noise density on a sample image from Db3	57

3.10	Filtering method for removing salt and pepper noise	58
3.11	Proposed salt and pepper filtering method: a) original image from Db1, b) original image corrupted by 50% salt and pepper noise, c) result of proposed noise-filtering method	59
3.12	Window size correction	60
3.13	Robustness evaluation of proposed automatic ROI detection method against the attacks after applying the salt and pepper filtering block and WSC method	61
3.14	Overview of watermarking procedure for medical images	62
3.15	Partitioning ROI into 6×6 divisions	63
3.16	Example of binarized EPR before its partitioning	63
3.17	Partitioned EPR bits into 6×6 divisions	64
3.18	Graphical demonstration of an image pixel with 16-bit format	65
3.19	3D view of a 2D image by illustrating the construction bits of pixels as a cube	67
3.20	Bit representation for RONI pixels in 3D view	68
3.21	First and second LSBs of four neighbor RONI pixels (bottom view)	69
3.22	Third and fourth LSBs of four neighbor RONI pixels (bottom view)	70
3.23	Demonstration of eight cubes inside the RONI for embedding each EPR bits (bottom view)	70
3.24	Overview of de-watermarking procedure	71
4.1	Selected medical image samples employed in this work from Db1 to Db5	74
4.2	Comparing ROI detection results with and without applying the morphological opening reconstruction: a) with opening by reconstruction, b) without opening by reconstruction	75
4.3	ROI detection results with and without applying the lowpass filter: a) with lowpass filter, b) without lowpass filter	75
4.4	ROI detection results after using global and adaptive thresholding: a) global thresholding, b) adaptive thresholding	75

4.5	ROI detection procedure: a) input image, b) morphological reconstruction, c) Gaussian lowpass filter, d) binarizing by adaptive thresholding, e) filling holes, f) labeling and selecting biggest area, g) boundary of biggest area, h) detected ROI in a rectangle	76
4.6	Detected ROI selected from five databases; the columns (a) and (c) show original images, columns (b) and (d) show detected ROI using the proposed automatic and robust ROI detection method	77
4.7	Effects of different attacks on a medical image sample: a) original image, b) speckle noise (variance = 0.1) , c) average filter 3×3, d) median filter 3×3, e) Wiener filter 3×3, f) Gaussian filter 3×3, g) sharpening filter, h) motion (10,45), i) salt and pepper 20%	77
4.8	Weighted mean PSNR of image attacked by salt and pepper noise with different densities before and after proposed noise-filtering method in five databases	81
4.9	Comparison of weighted mean CA (%) of ROI detection scheme after altering the image by salt and pepper noise with different densities in five databases; before and after using noise-filtering method	83
4.10	Comparison of mean CA (%) after altering the image by eight different attacks in five databases; before and after noise-filtering and WSC methods with the best Cp	86
4.11	Comparison of weighted mean CA (%) before and after using WSC method after altering the image by salt and pepper noise with different densities in five databases	88
4.12	EPR characters for embedding inside each medical image	89
4.13	Medical images before and after watermarking; a) original images from Db1 to Db3, b) watermarked image, c) difference between the original and watermarked image	92
4.14	Weighted mean BER (%) of extracted watermark in five databases after salt and pepper attack with different densities	94
4.15	Weighted mean Total PSNR of watermarked image for five databases as a single database after salt and pepper attack with different densities, before and after noise-filtering	97
4.16	Weighted mean ROI PSNR of watermarked image for five databases as a single database after salt and pepper attack with different densities, before and after noise-filtering	97

4.17	Weighted mean of Total SSIM for watermarked image for five databases as a single database after salt and pepper attack with different densities, before and after noise-filtering	100
4.18	Weighted mean ROI SSIM of watermarked image for five databases as a single database after salt and pepper attack with different densities, before and after noise-filtering	100

LIST OF SYMBOLS

a	-	Numerical coefficient for converting ASCII to binary
a_i	-	Old coordinates of ROI
a'_i	-	New coordinates of ROI
A	-	Image used in morphological operation
A^c	-	Complement of A
AVG	-	Average of pixel values in noise-filtering method
b	-	Each bit in binary stream \mathbf{B}
\mathbf{B}	-	Binary stream of watermark bits
B	-	Structuring element used in morphological operation
$(B)_z$	-	Translation of structuring element B by point or vector z
(\widehat{B})	-	Reflection of structuring element B
$(\widehat{B})_z$	-	Translation of (\widehat{B}) by point or vector z
c_1	-	Constant coefficient for computing SSIM
c_2	-	Constant coefficient for computing SSIM
CB	-	Number of correct bits in extracted watermark
C_{Max_RONI}	-	Maximum embedding capacity in the RONI
C_p	-	Correction parameter in window size correction method
DB	-	Number of error bits in extracted watermark
E_{depth}	-	Number of bits used for embedding in each pixel
E_k	-	Energy of DWT levels
$F(., .)$	-	DFT coefficient
$ F(., .) $	-	Magnitude spectrum in polar format of Fourier transform
$G(., .)$	-	DCT coefficient
h	-	Difference value of two neighbor pixels in DE method
h'	-	Modified difference value of two neighbor pixels in DE method

H	-	Height of image
I	-	Imaginary part of Fourier transform
I_k	-	Coefficients of DWT subbands
I_w	-	Watermarked image
k	-	Maximum number of bits in an image pixels
k_0	-	First coefficient in window size correction method
k_1	-	Second coefficient in window size correction method
l	-	Average value of two neighbor pixels in DE method
L	-	Dynamic range of image pixel values
L_{EPR_Encod}	-	Length of the address after encoding a EPR bit by ROI
N_{EMB_bits}	-	Number of embedded watermark bits inside the image
N_{EPR_Char}	-	Number of EPR characters in ASCII format
N_{Gen_Wat}	-	Total number of generated watermark bits
$N_{Repetition}$	-	Number of repeating the embedding process
N_{ROI_Pixel}	-	Number of ROI pixels
N_{RONI_Pixel}	-	Number of RONI pixels
N_{Total_Pixel}	-	Total number of pixels in image
MAX	-	Maximum pixel value
min	-	Minimum operator
mod	-	Operator for dividing two numbers
NB	-	Total number of watermark bits
$NORM$	-	Normalizing operator
O_R	-	Opening by reconstruction
Q	-	Total number of images in each database in this thesis
R	-	Real part of Fourier transform
\mathbb{R}_A^D	-	Reconstruction by dilation of image A
S	-	Diagonal matrix in SVD transform
T_{avg}	-	Average threshold value
T_i	-	Threshold value of block i
u_i	-	i -th eigenvector of matrix U in SVD transform
U	-	Left orthogonal matrix in SVD transform
v_i	-	i -th eigenvector of matrix V in SVD transform
V	-	Right orthogonal matrix in SVD transform

\mathcal{W}	-	Width of image
$W(. , .)$	-	Original watermark
$W'(. , .)$	-	Extracted watermark
x	-	Pixel value in DE method
x'	-	Pixel value after embedding a watermark bit (b) in DE method
y	-	Pixel value in DE method
y'	-	Pixel value after embedding a watermark bit (b) in DE method
z	-	Point or vector in morphological operation
α	-	Constant coefficient in DCT transform
γ	-	Correction parameter
σ	-	Standard deviation
δ_i	-	Singular values of diagonal matrix (S) in SVD transform
σ_I^2	-	Variance of non-watermarked image
$\sigma_{I_W}^2$	-	Variance of watermarked image
λ	-	Salt and pepper noise density
μ_I	-	Average of non-watermarked image
μ_{I_W}	-	Average of watermarked image
$\phi(u, v)$	-	Phase spectrum of polar format of Fourier transform
\ominus	-	Erosion operator
\cap	-	Intersection of two sets
\emptyset	-	Null set
\oplus	-	Dilation operator
$\widehat{(\cdot)}$	-	Reflection operator
\circ	-	Opening operator
\bullet	-	Closing operator

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

2D	-	Two-dimensional
3D	-	Three-dimensional
MSB	-	Most Significant Bit
AR	-	Accuracy Ratio
BER	-	Bit Error Rate
CRC	-	Correlation Coefficient
CT	-	Computed Tomography
DCT	-	Discrete-Cosine Transform
dB	-	Decibel
DE	-	Difference Expansion
DFB	-	Directional Filter Banks
DFT	-	Discrete Fourier Transform
DICOM	-	Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine
DTCWT	-	Dual Tree Complex Wavelet transform
DWT	-	Discrete Wavelet Transform
EMD	-	Empirical Mode Decomposition
EPR	-	Electronic Patient Record
HVS	-	Human Visual System
IF	-	Image Fidelity
IWT	-	Integer Wavelet Transform
LBP	-	Local Binary Pattern
LPD	-	Laplacian Pyramid Decomposition
LSB	-	Least Significant Bit
MAC	-	Message Authentication Code
MRI	-	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
MSE	-	Mean Square Error
NC	-	Normalized Correlation Coefficient

NULC	-	New upper left corner
NRMSE	-	Normalized Root Mean Square Error
NVF	-	Noise Visibility Function
PSNR	-	Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio
ROI	-	Region of Interest
RONI	-	Region of Non-Interest
SIM	-	Similarity Measure
SSIM	-	Structural Similarity
SVD	-	Singular Value Decomposition
US	-	Ultrasound
ULC	-	Upper left corner
WPSNR	-	Weighted Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Proof for Window Size Correction Method	122
B	Publications	123

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 History of Watermarking

The watermarking concept goes back to the 13th century when the Italians tried to form a watermark by sewing a transparent layer onto a paper mould [1]. From a historical point of view, currency and stamps were usually watermarked. The advantage of currency watermarking is still being in use.

The term digital watermarking has become popular since the early 1990s, concurrent with the popularity of the World Wide Web, as traders searched for ways to protect ownership of their digital media as it circulated throughout the digital network.

Digital watermarking is a procedure for embedding information (the watermark) into a host object in a way that the watermark can be detected by authorised people [2]. The host media can be continuous, such as audio, video or animation, or it may be discrete such as an image, text, etc. The watermark can be an image logo, serial number, owner's ID, name, or any other information, which shows the ownership of the host signal, and should usually be converted into a binary sequence before embedding into the host signal.

1.2 Importance of Watermarking for Medical Images

The widespread emergence of computer networks and the popularity of electronic management of medical records has made it possible for digital medical images to be shared across the world for services such as telemedicine, teleradiology, telediagnosis, and teleconsultation. The ability to instantly diagnose and understand a particular disease, as well as a reduction in the number of misdiagnoses, has had extensive social and economic impact, clearly showing the need for efficient sharing of patient information between specialists at different hospitals. When handling medical images, the main priority is to protect a patient's documents against any act of tampering by unauthorised individuals. The main concern of any existing electronic medical system is thus to develop a standard solution to preserve the authenticity and integrity of the content of medical images [3,4].

Accordingly, one solution for tackling the above issue is the use of digital watermarking for medical images. Watermarking can enhance the security of medical images by inserting special information, called a watermark or hidden data, in a non-conspicuous way. Watermark information is usually inserted in a binary format to the pixel value of the host image. This information can later be retrieved and checked to determine whether the medical image was distributed with the actual source (authenticity), or any modification has been made to the medical image (integrity) [5].

1.3 Problem Statements

When watermarking medical images, a crucial issue is preserving the quality of an important part of the image called the Region of Interest (ROI). Since this region is used by physicians for diagnostic purposes, even a small distortion in this area cannot be tolerated, as it may cause misdiagnosis [6]. Each ROI and RONI (Region of Non-Interest) can be used for different purposes. For instance, the ROI can be used for authentication and integrity verification [7] by embedding the fragile watermark in it, while the RONI can be used for hiding the Electronic Patient Record

(EPR) robustly [8,9], in order to increase the security of this information against unauthorised users. In medical watermark embedding in either the ROI or RONI region or both, it is therefore essential to separate these two regions prior to starting the watermarking procedure.

The other issue in medical watermarking is robustness. In contrast to fragile watermarking, robustness implies resistance against a variety of intentional or unintentional media processing, known as attacks. Cropping, resizing, compression and noise addition are different examples of attacks which may be used by invaders to disable a watermark. Generally, any watermarking technique is developed to be robust against a group of attacks, but it cannot be designed to resist all groups of attacks. For instance, an embedded watermark in the magnitude component of the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) is robust against scaling and translation attacks [10], and DFT phase-based watermarking methods are robust against compression schemes such as JPEG [11], which is related to the inherent characteristic of the magnitude and phase elements of DFT transforms.

1.3.1 Problems of ROI Detection Systems for Medical Images

Most existing ROI detection methods for watermarking application described in the literature are manual-based [12-21]. This means that the ROI is selected manually prior to starting the watermarking procedure. Furthermore, the robustness against intentional or unintentional attacks in automatic methods has not been considered extensively [8,22,23]. For non-automatic and non-robust ROI detection applied in watermarking application, the coordinates of the ROI need to be hidden in a specific part of the RONI during the embedding procedure.

During transmission between hospitals or specialists through the network, or when archiving in hospital databases, image contents may be changed intentionally by an unauthorised person, or accidentally for unknown reasons. In both cases, it is assumed that the watermarked image has been attacked by noise. By modifying the contents of medical images, the embedded ROI vertices may also be changed thus

give the wrong ROI boundaries to the extractor system. This means that the embedded watermark inside the ROI or RONI cannot be extracted correctly and this is the weakness of the scheme.

A solution to this problem is to use a similar automatic ROI segmentation process in both embedding and extraction, instead of hiding the ROI vertices information in the medical images. In this case, the vital matter is generating a unique result for ROI coordinates in embedding and extraction operations, even after attacks on the content of medical images. Designing an automatic and robust ROI detection system to fulfil this requirement is thus desirable.

1.3.2 Problem of Robust Watermarking Methods against the Salt and Pepper Noise

In most previous watermarking methods, the transform domain is chosen to increase the robustness of embedded data against different attacks [10,24-32]. For instance, Multiband Wavelet transform and Empirical Mode Decomposition (EMD) in [33], Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) in [28,32], Contourlet and Discrete-Cosine Transform (DCT) in [26], Integer Wavelet Transform (IWT) in [25], Contourlet in [24,31], Multilayer Difference Expansion in [29] and DFT in [10] perform the watermarking operation in the transform domain. A common point between these methods, however, is their weakness against salt and pepper noise.

Spatial domain watermarking is another solution for increasing the robustness of embedded data against attacks. Although these methods are not congenitally robust, their combination with channel coding methods [30] can solve this problem. The effect of this procedure is only in reducing the Bit Error Rate (BER) of the extracted data without enhancing any quality of the watermarked image that is influenced by attacks.

The solution for increasing the robustness against the salt and pepper noise, as well as partly retrieval of the visual quality of a watermarked image, is

incorporation a salt and pepper noise-filtering block with a spatial watermarking method and channel-coding scheme. By integrating these three blocks, not only is the BER of the extracted watermark after salt and pepper noise reduced, but the quality of the watermarked image after attack can also be increased.

1.4 Project Objectives

The aim of this work is to develop a robust watermarking system with the following goals:

1. To design and evaluate an automatic ROI segmentation method for MRI images which is robust against different attacks, especially salt and pepper noise.
2. To develop and evaluate a watermarking method that protects the embedded EPR in the RONI against different densities of salt and pepper noise.

1.5 Project Scope

The scope of this study involves the following.

1. The developed method is for medical images with a single integrated ROI. In other words, medical images with more than one separate ROI are outside the scope of this work.
2. The developed method is to be performed on images with enough space in the RONI such that the watermark information can be embedded.

3. As previously described in Section 1.2, the importance of watermarking for medical images cannot be denied, and so the scope of this research is restricted to medical images. The method is intended for grayscale MRI medical images stored in DICOM (Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine) format since DICOM is the standard representation for medical images and the generated medical images by medical devices are in DICOM format.
4. Five different medical databases with 179 MRI images are utilized in this work.
5. In ROI segmentation part, the Comparative Accuracy (CA) is used for evaluating the robustness of the proposed segmentation method against different attacks.
6. In watermarking part, Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio (PSNR) and Structural Similarity Index (SSIM) are used for evaluating the quality of watermarked image and Bit Error Rate (BER) is applied to assess the accuracy of extracted watermark.
7. MATLAB software is used to implement the proposed method.
8. Even though the focus is on the salt and pepper noise, this research does cover other attacks such as JPEG 2000, DICOM JPEG 2000 and DICOM RLE.

1.6 Significance of Study

The significant of this study can be generalised into the following.

1. Development of an automatic and robust ROI selection that is not reported in any previous works. There are two-fold benefits for using the proposed method: embedding capacity in the embedding process can be saved; and errors during the extraction part can be reduced. This is because the proposed method is able to generate unique coordinates for the ROI during the embedding and extraction processes even after being attacked.
2. Enhancement to the security of the embedded information inside the RONI by means of ROI.
3. Development of a watermarking method that is proven robust against the high density of salt and pepper noise.

1.7 Thesis Outline

This thesis consists of five chapters which are organised as follows:

Chapter 1: This chapter is an introduction to watermarking, the importance of watermarking for medical images, problem statements, objectives, scope and the significance of the study.

Chapter 2: In this chapter, a valuable literature survey of different concepts of watermarking methods are presented, including different types of digital watermarking; the application of digital watermarking; differences between steganography, cryptography and watermarking; different kinds of attacks; and the difference between medical and non-medical watermarking. Different types of attacks, advantages, requirements, benchmarks and techniques of medical image watermarking are investigated. Literature on previous ROI segmentation methods in the field of watermarking is presented.

Chapter 3: The proposed method for robust and automatic ROI segmentation as well as the robust watermarking method is given in this chapter.

Chapter 4: Implementation, analysis and discussion of the proposed method and a comparison with other methods are explained in this chapter.

Chapter 5: Conclusion and future works are presented in this chapter.

REFERENCES

1. Hunter, D. *Papermaking: The History and Technique of an Ancient Craft*. Gannon Distributing Company. 1978
2. Mohanty, S. P. Digital Watermarking: A Tutorial Review. 1999. 1-24.
3. Li-Qun, K., Yuan, Z. and Xie, H. A Medical Image Authentication System Based on Reversible Digital Watermarking. *1st International Conference on Information Science and Engineering*. 26-28 December. 2009. 1047-1050.
4. Bhatnagar, G. and Jonathan Wu, Q. M. Biometrics Inspired Watermarking Based on A Fractional Dual Tree Complex Wavelet Transform. *Future Generation Computer Systems*. 2013. 29(1): 182-195.
5. Pan, W., Coatrieux, G., Cuppens-Boulahia, N., Cuppens, F. and Roux, C. Medical Image Integrity Control Combining Digital Signature and Lossless Watermarking. In: Garcia-Alfaro J, Navarro-Arribas G, Cuppens-Boulahia N, Roudier Y. *Data Privacy Management and Autonomous Spontaneous Security*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. 153-162; 2010
6. Rathi1, S. C. and Inamdar, V. S. Analysis of Watermarking Techniques for Medical Images Preserving ROI. *Computer Science & Information Technology*. 26-27 October. Geroningen, Netherlands: 2012. 297–308.
7. Das, S. and Kundu, M. K. Effective Management of Medical Information through ROI-Lossless Fragile Image Watermarking Technique. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*. 2013. 111(3): 662-675.
8. Badran, E. F., Sharkas, M. A. and Attallah, O. A. Multiple Watermark Embedding Scheme In Wavelet-Spatial Domains Based on ROI of Medical Images. *National Radio Science Conference*. 17-19 March. 2009. 1-8.
9. Maity, H. K. and Maity, S. P. Joint Robust and Reversible Watermarking for Medical Images. *Procedia Technology*. 2012. 6: 275-282.

10. Cedillo-Hernandez, M., Garcia-Ugalde, F., Nakano-Miyatake, M. and Perez-Meana, H. Robust Watermarking Method in DFT Domain for Effective Management of Medical Imaging. *SIViP*. 2013. 1-16.
11. Muharemagic, E. and Furht, B. Survey of Watermarking Techniques and Applications. In: *Multimedia Watermarking Techniques and Applications*. Boca Raton: Auerbach Publication. 91 –130; 2006
12. Zain, J. M. and Clarke, M. Reversible Region of Non-Interest (RONI) Watermarking for Authentication of DICOM Images. *International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security*. 2007. 7(9): 19-28.
13. Al-Qershi, O. M. and Bee Ee, K. ROI-Based Tamper Detection and Recovery for Medical Images Using Reversible Watermarking Technique. *IEEE International Conference on Information Theory and Information Security*. 17-19 December. Beijing: IEEE. 2010. 151-155.
14. Navas, K. A., Thampy, S. A. and Sasikumar, M. EPR Hiding in Medical Images for Telemedicine. *International Journal of Biological and Life Sciences*. 2007. 3(1): 44-47.
15. Hyung-Kyo, L., Hee-Jung, K., Ki-Ryong, K. and Jong-Keuk, L. Roi Medical Image Watermarking using DWT and Bit-Plane. *Asia-Pacific Conference on Communications*, . October. Perth, Western Australia: 2005. 512-515.
16. Lin, C.-H., Yang, C.-Y. and Chang, C.-W. Authentication and Protection for Medical Image. In: Pan J-S, Chen S-M, Nguyen N. *Computational Collective Intelligence. Technologies and Applications*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. 278–287; 2010
17. Wakatani, A. Digital watermarking for ROI Medical Images by using Compressed Signature Image. *Proceedings of the 35th Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences* 7-10 January. 2002. 2043-2048.
18. Zain, J. M. and Fauzi, A. R. M. Evaluation of Medical Image Watermarking with Tamper Detection and Recovery (AW-TDR). *IEEE International Conference of the Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*. 22-26 August. 2007. 5661-5664.
19. Liew, S.-C., Liew, S.-W. and Zain, J. M. Reversible Medical Image Watermarking for Tamper Detection And Recovery With Run Length Encoding Compression. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*. 2010. 799-803.

20. Chiang, K.-H., Chang-Chien, K.-C., Chang, R.-F. and Yen, H.-Y. Tamper Detection and Restoring System for Medical Images Using Wavelet-based Reversible Data Embedding. *Journal of Digital Imaging*. 2008. 21(1): 77-90.
21. Agung, B. W. R., Adiwijaya and Permana, F. P. Medical Image Watermarking with Tamper Detection and Recovery using Reversible Watermarking with LSB Modification and Run Length Encoding (RLE) Compression. *IEEE International Conference on Communication, Networks and Satellite*. 12-14 July. Bali: 2012. 167-171.
22. Fotopoulos, V., Stavrinou, M. L. and Skodras, A. N. Medical Image Authentication and Self-Correction Through an Adaptive Reversible Watermarking Technique. *Proceedings of 8th IEEE International Conference on BioInformatics and BioEngineering*. 2008. 1-5.
23. Memon, N. A. and Gilani, S. A. M. Watermarking of Chest CT Scan Medical Images for Content Authentication. *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*. 2010. 88(2): 265-280.
24. Rahimi, F. and Rabbani, H. A Dual Adaptive Watermarking Scheme in Contourlet Domain for DICOM Images. *BioMed Eng OnLine*. 2011. 10(1): 1-18.
25. Memon, N. A., Chaudhry, A., Ahmad, M. and Keerio, Z. A. Hybrid Watermarking of Medical Images for ROI Authentication and Recovery. *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*. 2011. 88(10): 2057-2071.
26. Das, S. and Kundu, M. Hybrid Contourlet-DCT Based Robust Image Watermarking Technique Applied to Medical Data Management. In: Kuznetsov S, Mandal D, Kundu M, Pal S. *Pattern Recognition and Machine Intelligence*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. 286-292; 2011
27. Bi, N., Sun, Q., Huang, D., Yang, Z. and Huang, J. Robust Image Watermarking Based on Multiband Wavelets and Empirical Mode Decomposition. *Transaction Image Processing* 2007. 16(8): 1956-1966.
28. Al-Qershi, O. M. and Khoo, B. E. Authentication and Data Hiding using A Hybrid Roi-Based Watermarking Scheme for Dicom Images. *Journal of Digital Imaging*. 2011. 24(1): 114-125.
29. Shahidan, M. *Multilayer Reversible Watermarking Using Non-Underflow Difference Expansion*. Ph.D. Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia; 2012

30. Rohith, S. and Bhat, K. N. H. A Simple Robust Digital Image Watermarking against Salt and Pepper Noise using Repetition Codes. *International Journal on Signal and Image Processing*. 2012. 3(1): 47-54.
31. Ranjbar, S., Zargari, F. and Ghanbari, M. A Highly Robust Two-Stage Contourlet-Based Digital Image Watermarking Method. *Signal Processing: Image Communication*. 2013. 28(10): 1526-1536.
32. Khalili, M. A Novel Effective, Secure and Robust CDMA Digital Image Watermarking in YUV Color Space Using DWT2. *International Journal of Computer Science Issues*. 2011. 8(3): 70-78.
33. Chan, C.-H., Kittler, J. and Messer, K. Multi-Scale Local Binary Pattern Histograms for Face Recognition Advances in Biometrics. In: Lee S-W, Li S. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Berlin / Heidelberg: Springer 809-818; 2007
34. Wu, N.-I. and Hwang, M.-S. Data Hiding Current Status and Key Issues. *International Journal of Network Security*. 2007. 4(1): 1-9.
35. Heylena, K. and Dams, T. An Image Watermarking Tutorial Tool using Matlab. *Proceedings of the SPIE*. 2008. 7075: 134-141.
36. Mohanty, S. P. and Ramakrishnan, K. R. A Dual Watermarking Technique for Images. in *Proceedings of the 7th ACM International Multimedia ACM Press*. 1999. 49-51.
37. Jabade, V. S. and Gengaje, S. R. Literature Review of Wavelet Based Digital Image Watermarking Techniques. *International Journal of Computer Applications*. 2011. 31(1): 28-35.
38. Tiwari, A. and Sharma, M. Comparative Evaluation of Semifragile Watermarking Algorithms for Image Authentication. *Journal of Information Security*. 2012. 3(3): 189-195.
39. KAUR, M. and KAUR, R. Reversible Watermarking of Medical Images Authentication and Recovery-A Survey. *Journal of Information and Operations Management*. 2012. 3(1): 241-244.
40. Cheddad, A., Condell, J., Curran, K. and Mc Kevitt, P. Digital Image Steganography: Survey and Analysis of Current Methods. *Signal Processing*. 2010. 90(3): 727-752.

41. Wan Adnan, W. A., Hitam, S., Abdul-Karim, S. and Tamjis, M. R. A Review of Image Watermarking. *Student Conference on Research and Development*. 25-26 August. 2003. 381-384.
42. Memon, N. A. *Watermarking of Medical Images for Content Authentication and Copyright Protection*. Ph.D. Thesis. GIK Institute of Engineering Sciences and Technology Topi; 2010
43. Hajjaji, M. A., Mtibaa, A. and Bourennane, E.-b. A Watermarking of Medical Image : New Approach Based on "Multi-Layer" Method. *International Journal of Computer Science Issues*. 2011. 8(4): 33-41.
44. Le, T. H. N., Nguyen, K. H. and Le, H. B. Literature Survey on Image Watermarking Tools, Watermark Attacks, and Benchmarking Tools. *Second International Conferences on Advances in Multimedia* 13-19 June. Athens, Greece: IEEE 2010. 67-73.
45. Maleka Hanhan, A. H. A. *Digital Image Watermarking*. MS.c. Thesis. AN-Najah National University; 2011
46. Navas, K. A. and Sasikumar, M. Survey of Medical Image Watermarking Algorithms. *International Conference Sciences of Electronic Technologies of Information and Telecommunications*. 25-29 March TUNISIA: 2007. 1-6.
47. Wenyin, Z. and Shih, F. Y. Semi-Fragile Spatial Watermarking Based on Local Binary Pattern Operators. *Optics Communications*. 2011. 284(16-17): 3904-3912.
48. Van Schyndel, R. G., Tirkel, A. Z. and Osborne, C. F. A Digital Watermark. *IEEE International Conference on Image Processing*. 13-16 November 1994. 86-90.
49. Celik, M. U., Sharma, G., Tekalp, A. M. and Saber, E. Lossless Gneralized-LSB Data Embedding. *IEEE Trans Image Process*. 2005. 14(2): 253-266.
50. Dehkordi, A. B., Esfahani, S. N. and Avanaki, A. N. Robust LSB Watermarking Optimized for Local Structural Similarity. *19th Iranian Conference Electrical Engineering*. 17-19 May. Tehran: IEEE. 2011. 1-6.
51. Bamatraf, A., Ibrahim, R. and Salleh, M. N. B. M. Digital Watermarking Algorithm using LSB. *International Conference on Computer Applications and Industrial Electronics*. 5-8 December. Kuala Lumpur: 2010. 155-159.
52. Jun-Dong, C., Bo-Hung, C. and Chwei-Shyong, T. LBP-Based Fragile Watermarking Scheme for Image Tamper Detection and Recovery. *IEEE*

- International Symposium on Next-Generation Electronics*. 25-26 February. Kaohsiung: IEEE. 2013. 173-176.
53. Shu, L., Wei, F., Chung, A. C. S. and Dit-Yan, Y. Facial Expression Recognition using Advanced Local Binary Patterns, Yallis Entropies and Global Appearance Features. *IEEE International Conference on Image Processing*. 8-11 October. 2006. 665-668.
 54. Wenhua, M., Lei, H. and Changping, L. Advanced Local Binary Pattern Descriptors for Crowd Estimation. *Pacific-Asia Workshop on Computational Intelligence and Industrial Application*. 19-20 December. 2008. 958-962.
 55. Ahonen, T., Hadid, A. and Pietikäinen, M. Face Recognition with Local Binary Patterns. In: Pajdla T, Matas J. *Computer Vision*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. 469-481; 2004
 56. Mousavi, S. M., Shahdi, S. O. and Abu-Bakar, S. A. R. Crowd Estimation Using Histogram Model Classification Based on Improved Uniform Local Binary Pattern. *International Journal of Computer and Electrical Engineering*. 2012. 4(3): 256-259.
 57. Ni, Z., Shi, Y.-Q., Ansari, N. and Su, W. Reversible Data Hiding. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*. 2006. 16(3): 354-362.
 58. Solachidis, V. and Pitas, L. Circularly Symmetric Watermark Embedding In 2-D DFT Domain. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*. 2001. 10(11): 1741-1753.
 59. Kaushik, A. K. A Novel Approach for Digital Watermarking of an Image using DFT *International Journal of Electronics and Computer Science Engineering*. 2004. 35-41.
 60. Ramkumar, M., Akansu, A. N. and Alatan, A. A. A Robust Data Hiding Scheme for Images Using DFT. *International Conference Proceedings on Image Processing*. 24-28 October. 1999. 211-215
 61. Ruanaidh, J. J. K. O., Dowling, W. J. and Boland, F. M. Phase Watermarking of Digital Images. *International Conference on Image Processing*. 16-19 September. 1996. 239-242.
 62. Kundur, D. and Hatzinakos, D. Digital Watermarking for Telltale Tamper Proofing and Authentication. *Proceedings of the IEEE*. 1999. 87(7): 1167-1180.

63. Hernandez, J. R., Amado, M. and Perez-Gonzalez, F. DCT-Domain Watermarking Techniques for Still Images: Detector Performance Analysis and A New Structure. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*. 2000. 9(1): 55-68.
64. Yang, B., Schmucker, M., XiaMu, N., Busch, C. and Sun, S. Reversible Image Watermarking by Histogram Modification for Integer DCT Coefficients. *IEEE 6th Workshop on Multimedia Signal Processing*. 29 September 2004. 143-146.
65. Yang, B., Schmucker, M., Funk, W., Busch, C. and Sun, S. Integer DCT-Based Reversible Watermarking for Images Using Compounding Technique. *Proceedings of the SPIE*. 2004. 5306: 405–415.
66. Rohani, M. and Avanaki, A. N. A Watermarking Method Based on Optimizing SSIM Index by using PSO in DCT Domain. *14th International Computer Conference*. 20-21 October. 2009. 418-422.
67. Langelaar, G. C., Setyawan, I. and Lagendijk, R. L. Watermarking Digital Image and Video Data. A State-Of-The-Art Overview. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*. 2000. 17(5): 20-46.
68. Long, M., Changjun, L. and Shuni, S. Digital Watermarking of Spectral Images using DWT-SVD. *International Conference on Communications, Circuits and Systems* June 2006. 15-18.
69. Kasmani, S. A. and Naghsh-Nilchi, A. A New Robust Digital Image Watermarking Technique Based on Joint DWT-DCT Transformation. *Third International Conference on Convergence and Hybrid Information Technology*. 11-13 November. 2008. 539-544.
70. Wang, M.-S. and Chen, W.-C. A Hybrid DWT-SVD Copyright Protection Scheme Based On K-Means Clustering And Visual Cryptography. *Computer Standards & Interfaces*. 2009. 31(4): 757-762.
71. Kalra, G. S., Talwar, R. and Sadawarti, H. Robust Blind Digital Image Watermarking using DWT and Dual Encryption Technique. *Third International Conference on Computational Intelligence, Communication Systems and Networks*. 26-28 July. Bali: IEEE. 2011. 225-230.
72. Keyvanpour, M.-R. and Merrikh-Bayat, F. Robust Dynamic Block-Based Image Watermarking in DWT Domain. *Procedia Computer Science*. 2011. 3: 238-242.

73. Makhloghi, M., Tab, F. A. and Danyali, H. A New Robust Blind DWT-SVD Based Digital Image Watermarking. *19th Iranian Conference on Electrical Engineering*. 17-19 May. Tehran: IEEE. 2011. 1-5.
74. Giakoumaki, A., Pavlopoulos, S. and Koutouris, D. A Medical Image Watermarking Scheme Based on Wavelet Transform. *International Conference Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*. 17-21 Sept. 2003. 2003. 856-859.
75. Wen-Nung, L., Tze-Liang, H. and Guo-Shiang, L. Verification of Image Content Integrity by using Dual Watermarking on Wavelets Domain. *International Conference on Image Processing*. 14-17 September. 2003. II-487-490.
76. Guorong, X., Chengyun, Y., Yizhan, Z., Shi, Y. Q. and Zhicheng, N. Reversible Data Hiding Based on Wavelet Spread Spectrum. *IEEE 6th Workshop on Multimedia Signal Processing*. 29 September. 2004. 211-214.
77. Kaarna, A. and Parkkinen, J. Multiwavelets In Watermarking Spectral Images. *IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium*. 20-24 September. 2004. 3225-3228.
78. Kamstra, L. and Heijmans, H. J. A. M. Reversible Data Embedding Into Images Using Wavelet Techniques and Sorting. *IEEE Transactions Image Processing*. 2005. 14(12): 2082-2090.
79. Na, L., Xiaoshi, Z., Yanling, Z., Huimin, W. and Shifeng, L. Robust Algorithm of Digital Image Watermarking Based on Discrete Wavelet Transform. *International Symposium on Electronic Commerce and Security*. 3-5 August. 2008. 942-945.
80. Lin, T.-C. and Lin, C.-M. Wavelet-Based Copyright-Protection Scheme for Digital Images Based on Local Features. *Information Sciences*. 2009. 179(19): 3349-3358.
81. Lin, W.-H., Wang, Y.-R. and Horng, S.-J. A Block-Based Watermarking Method using Wavelet Coefficient Quantization. In: Hua A, Chang S-L. *Algorithms and Architectures for Parallel Processing*. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer 156-164; 2009
82. Memon, N. A. and Gilani, S. A. M. Adaptive Data Hiding Scheme for Medical Images Using Integer Wavelet Transform. *International Conference on Emerging Technologies*. October. Islamabad: 2009. 221-224.

83. Youngseock, L., Jihah, N. and Jongweon, K. Digital Image Watermarking using Bidimensional Empirical Mode Decomposition in Wavelet Domain. *Proceedings of the 11th IEEE International Symposium on Multimedia*. 14-16 December. San Diego, CA, USA: 2009. 583-588.
84. Arsalan, M., Malik, S. A. and Khan, A. Intelligent Reversible Watermarking in Integer Wavelet Domain for Medical Images. *Journal of Systems and Software*. 2012. 85(4): 883-894.
85. Agreste, S. and Puccio, L. Wavelet-Based Watermarking Algorithms: Theory, Applications and Critical Aspects. *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*. 2011. 88(9): 1885-1895.
86. Giakoumaki, A., Pavlopoulos, S. and Koutsouris, D. Multiple Image Watermarking Applied to Health Information Management. *IEEE Transactions on Information Technology in Biomedicine*. 2006. 10(4): 722-732.
87. Selesnick, I. W., Baraniuk, R. G. and Kingsbury, N. C. The Dual-Tree Complex Wavelet Transform. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*. 2005. 22(6): 123-151.
88. Kingsbury, N. The Dual-Tree Complex Wavelet Transform: A New Efficient Tool for Image Restoration and Enhancement. *Proc. EUSIPCO*. September. 1998. 319-322.
89. Kingsbury, N. The Dual-Tree Complex Wavelet Transform: A New Technique for Shift Invariance and Directional Filters. *Proc. 8th IEEE DSP Workshop*. Aug. Bryce Canyon: 1998. 319-322.
90. Do, M. N. and Vetterli, M. Contourlets: A Directional Multiresolution Image Representation. *International Conference on Image Processing*. 2002. I-357-360.
91. Do, M. N. and Vetterli, M. The Contourlet Transform: An Efficient Directional Multiresolution Image Representation. *Image Processing, IEEE Transactions on*. 2005. 14(12): 2091-2106.
92. Khalighi, S., Tirdad, P. and Rabiee, H. R. A Contourlet-Based Image Watermarking Scheme with High Resistance to Removal and Geometrical Attacks. *EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing*. 2010. 1-13.

93. Aslantas, V. An Optimal Robust Digital Image Watermarking Based on SVD using Differential Evolution Algorithm. *Optics Communications*. 2009. 282(5): 769-777.
94. Al-Nuaimy, W., El-Bendary, M. A. M., Shafik, A., Shawki, F., Abou-El-azm, A. E., El-Fishawy, N. A., Elhalafawy, S. M., Diab, S. M., Sallam, B. M., Abd El-Samie, F. E. and Kazemian, H. B. An SVD Audio Watermarking Approach using Chaotic Encrypted Images. *Digital Signal Processing*. 2011. 21(6): 764-779.
95. Ali, M., Ahn, C. W. and Pant, M. A Robust Image Watermarking Technique using SVD and Differential Evolution in DCT Domain. *International Journal for Light and Electron Optics*. 2014. 125(1): 428-434.
96. Tsai, H.-H., Jhuang, Y.-J. and Lai, Y.-S. An SVD-Based Image Watermarking in Wavelet Domain using SVR and PSO. *Applied Soft Computing*. 2012. 12(8): 2442-2453.
97. Ali, M. and Ahn, C. W. An Optimized Watermarking Technique Based on Self-Adaptive DE in DWT–SVD Transform Domain. *Signal Processing*. 2014. 94(1): 545-556.
98. Liu, R. and Tan, T. An SVD-Based Watermarking Scheme for Protecting Rightful Ownership. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*. 2002. 4(1): 121-128.
99. Lei, B. Y., Soon, I. Y. and Li, Z. Blind and Robust Audio Watermarking Scheme Based on SVD–DCT. *Signal Processing*. 2011. 91(8): 1973-1984.
100. Lai, C.-C. An Improved SVD-Based Watermarking Scheme using Human Visual Characteristics. *Optics Communications*. 2011. 284(4): 938-944.
101. Patra, J. C., Phua, J. E. and Bornand, C. A Novel DCT Domain CRT-Based Watermarking Scheme for Image Authentication Surviving Jpeg Compression. *Digital Signal Processing*. 2010. 20(6): 1597-1611.
102. Mansouri, A., Mahmoudi Aznaveh, A. and Torkamani Azar, F. Secure Digital Image Watermarking Based on SVD-DCT. In: Sarbazi-Azad H, Parhami B, Miremadi S-G, Hessabi S. *Advances in Computer Science and Engineering*. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer 645-652; 2009
103. Mansouri, A., Mahmoudi Aznaveh, A. and Torkamani Azar, F. SVD-Based Digital Image Watermarking using Complex Wavelet Transform. *Sadhana*. 2009. 34(3): 393-406.

104. Gonzalez, R. C. and Woods, R. E. *Digital Image Processing*. 3rd ed.: Inc. Prentice-Hall. 2006
105. Guo, X. and Zhuang, T.-g. A Region-Based Lossless Watermarking Scheme for Enhancing Security of Medical Data. *Journal of Digital Imaging*. 2009. 22(1): 53-64.
106. Chang, C.-Y., Wang, H.-J. and Pan, S.-W. A Robust DWT-Based Copyright Verification Scheme with Fuzzy ART. *Journal of Systems and Software*. 2009. 82(11): 1906-1915.
107. Gunjal, B. L. and Mali, S. N. ROI Based Embedded Watermarking of Medical Images for Secured Communication in Telemedicine. *International Journal of Computer and Communication Engineering*. 2012. (68): 815-820.
108. Faragallah, O. S. Efficient Video Watermarking Based on Singular Value Decomposition in The Discrete Wavelet Transform Domain. *AEU - International Journal of Electronics and Communications*. 2013. 67(3): 189-196.
109. Kutter, M. and Petitcolas, F. A. P. A Fair Benchmark for Image Watermarking Systems. *Electronic Imaging Security and Watermarking of Multimedia Contents, Sans Jose, CA, USA*. 1999. 3657: 226-239.
110. Wai, C. K. and Ahmad, N. A. Robust DWT-SVD Image Watermarking with Hybrid Technique for Embedding Data in all Frequencies. *AIP Conference Proceedings*. 6–8 November Penang, Malaysia: 2014. 227-232.
111. Kundu, M. K. and Das, S. Lossless ROI Medical Image Watermarking Technique with Enhanced Security and High Payload Embedding. *20th International Conference on Pattern Recognition* 23-26 August. Istanbul: IEEE. 2010. 1457-1460.
112. Coatrieux, G., Montagner, J., Huang, H. and Roux, C. Mixed Reversible and RONI Watermarking for Medical Image Reliability Protection. *IEEE International Conference of the Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*. 22-26 August. 2007. 5653-5656.
113. Zain, J., Baldwin, L. and Clarke, M. Reversible Watermarking for Authentication of DICOM Images. *International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*. 2004. 3237-3240.

114. Al-Qershi, O. M. and Khoo, B. E. High Capacity Data Hiding Schemes for Medical Images Based on Difference Expansion. *Journal of Systems and Software*. 2011. 84(1): 105-112.
115. Tian, J. Reversible Data Embedding Using A Difference Expansion. *Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, IEEE Transactions on*. 2003. 13(8): 890-896.
116. Yeo, D.-G., Lee, H.-Y. and Kim, B. M. High Capacity Reversible Watermarking Using Differential Histogram Shifting and Predicted Error Compensation. *Journal of Electronic Imaging*. 2011. 20(1): 013001-013011.
117. Viswanathan, P. and Krishna, P. V. Fusion of Cryptographic Watermarking Medical Image System With Reversible Property. In: Venugopal KR, Patnaik LM. *Computer Networks and Intelligent Computing*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. 533–540; 2011
118. Khalid, S. K. A., Deris, M. M. and Mohamad, K. M. A Robust Digital Image Watermarking against Salt and Pepper using Sudoku. *The Second International Conference on Informatics Engineering & Information Science*. The Society of Digital Information and Wireless Communication. 2013. 96-106.
119. Huang, S.-C. and Lin, M.-S. A High-Capacity Reversible Data-hiding Scheme for Medical Images. *Journal of Medical and Biological Engineering*. 2010. 30(5): 289-296.
120. Irany, B. M., Guo, X. C. and Hatzinakos, D. A High Capacity Reversible Multiple Watermarking Scheme for Medical Images. *17th International Conference on Digital Signal Processing*. 6-8 July. Corfu: IEEE. 2011. 1-6.
121. Velumani, R. and Seenivasagam, V. A Reversible Blind Medical Image Watermarking Scheme for Patient Identification, Improved Telediagnosis and Tamper Detection with A Facial Image Watermark. *IEEE International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Computing Research*. 28-29 December. Coimbatore: IEEE. 2010. 1-8.
122. Fallahpour, M., Megias, D. and Ghanbari, M. High Capacity, Reversible Data Hiding In Medical Images. *Proceedings of the 16th IEEE International Conference on Image Processing*. 7-10 November. Cairo, Egypt: 2009. 4241-4244.

123. Lou, D.-C., Hu, M.-C. and Liu, J.-L. Multiple Layer Data Hiding Scheme for Medical Images. *Computer Standards & Interfaces*. 2009. 31(2): 329-335.
124. Boucherkha, S. and Benmohamed, M. A Lossless Watermarking Based Authentication System for Medical Images. *International Conference on Computational Intelligence*. 2004. 240-243.
125. Memon, N. A., Khan, A., Gilani, S. A. M. and Ahmad, M. Reversible Watermarking Method Based on Adaptive Thresholding and Companding Technique. *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*. 2011. 88(8): 1573-1594.
126. Memon, N. A. and Gilani, S. A. M. NROI Watermarking of Medical Images for Content Authentication. *IEEE International Multitopic Conference*. 23-24 December. 2008. 106-110.
127. Li, C.-T. and Li, Y. Medical Images Authentication through Repetitive Index Modulation Based Watermarking. In: *New Technologies for Digital Crime and Forensics: Devices, Applications, and Software*. Hershey, PA, USA: IGI Global. 202-209; 2011
128. Al-Qershi, O. M. and Khoo, B. E. Authentication and Data Hiding Using a Reversible ROI-based Watermarking Scheme for DICOM Images. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*. 2009. 801-806.
129. Alim, O. A., Hamdy, N. and El-Din, W. G. Determination of the Region of Interest in the Compression of Biomedical Images. *National Radio Science Conference*. 13-15 March. 2007. 1-6.
130. Vincent, L. Morphological Grayscale Reconstruction in Image Analysis: Applications and Efficient Algorithms. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*. 1993. 2(2): 176-201.
131. Tcheslavski, G. V. Morphological Image Processing: Basic Algorithms. 2009. Available in: <http://ee.lamar.edu/gleb/dip/index.htm> Accessed December 2014
132. Available in: <http://www.barre.nom.fr/medical/samples/> Accessed December 2014
133. Ramamurthy, N. and Varadarajan, S. The Robust Digital Image Watermarking using Quantization and Fuzzy Logic Approach in DWT Domain. *International Journal of Computer Science and Network*. 2012. 1(5): 13-19.

134. Feng, X. and Chen, Y. Digital Image Watermarking Based on Super-Resolution Image Reconstruction. *9th International Conference on Fuzzy Systems and Knowledge Discovery*. 29-31 May. Sichuan: IEEE. 2012. 1778-1782.