

**ONTOLOGY-BASED SEMANTIC HETEROGENEOUS DATA
INTEGRATION FRAMEWORK FOR LEARNING
ENVIRONMENT**

ARDA YUNIANITA

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

This thesis is dedicated to...

My Wife, who always give me full of support and spirit without failed.

*My Father, My Mother, my brothers that who always supporting me in my PhD
journey.*

*To my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Mohd Shahizan Othman, Co sv, Associate
ProfessorDr. Norazah Yusof. thanks for all your supports, attention and knowledge
for me. May Allah bless you and your family*

And all my friends.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Assalamualaikum

Alhamdulillah, I managed to complete my thesis successfully with the time frame given. Thank to Allah.

I am wanted to express my biggest heart to both my supervisors Dr. Mohd Shahizan and PM Dr. Norazah. They treated me like I am their son. Thank you also for the advices and guidance. Their supports from the beginning of my journey are touched and I am blessed with their persistence.

Special thanks to my family especially my wife Endah Fitriyas Kurniawati S.E., M.Acc, friend and also my brothers. Thank you for being here all the times, the encouragements, supports and the shoulder to me lie on.

Thanks to my my father Soekardjo and my mother Utik Purwaningsih S.Sos for believe in me. Believe what I am working on and what I choose in my life. My brothers, thank you for the time and the spirit to cheer me up when I am about to take it down.

Last but not least, thank you to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia for providing me great opportunities and study environment here. And also for all the cooperation given in my whole time study period.

ONTOLOGY-BASED SEMANTIC HETEROGENEOUS DATA INTEGRATION
FRAMEWORK FOR LEARNING ENVIRONMENT

ARDA YUNIANITA

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Computer Science)

Faculty of Computing
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

SEPTEMBER 2015

ABSTRACT

Nowadays, e-learning has become important supporting tools for effective learning. Therefore, integrating a good learning environment in e-learning can improve learning process. Good learning environment can provide new knowledge. Currently, there are many distributed systems and applications on learning environment that involve heterogeneity data in data level implementation. Different learning applications have different system designs and data representations. The main problem on learning environment is that every individual learning application has limited capability to share data and information. Moreover, existing data integration approaches still have weaknesses and there has been less research done on the learning environment of data integration. This research proposes a semantic data integration framework is to handle data heterogeneity on learning environment that integrates various learning information to produce new learning knowledge. This research focuses on semantic data integration using an ontology approach to handle semantic relationship between data sources. The research methodology consists of three main stages. The first stage is semantic data mapping to standardize the heterogeneity data representation from numerous data sources into a standard file format. The second stage is to design and develop the ontology knowledge to create semantic relationship between different data sources. The third stage is to combine the ontology knowledge with the semantic data mapping file to produce semantic data integration. Ontology validation process on this framework uses Resource Description Framework (RDF) validator by World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) standardization and Factplusplus (FaCT++) reasoning in order to check the consistency of classes, instances and properties. Moreover, to validate the framework, this research employs the quality criteria and the metric, based on the Quality Framework for Data Integration approach. The quality criteria focus on the completeness and consistency of the data sources, while the metric produces the quality factor to determine the degree of acceptance. This framework is then verified by adding three different learning systems with heterogeneity in data level implementation which are the Moodle e-learning system, the Question Bank system and the Student Grading system. This framework successfully integrates the different data sources with heterogeneity data representation using quality factor formulas and the result shows that this framework is capable to produce new learning knowledge that involves complex learning information.

ABSTRAK

Kini, e-pembelajaran menjadi alat sokongan penting bagi pembelajaran efektif. Oleh itu, mengintegrasikan sebuah persekitaran pembelajaran yang baik dalam e-pembelajaran dapat meningkatkan mutu proses pembelajaran. Persekitaran pembelajaran yang baik dapat mewujudkan pengetahuan baru. Kini, terdapat banyak sistem dan aplikasi teragih dalam persekitaran pembelajaran yang melibatkan kepelbagaian data pada peringkat pelaksanaan data. Aplikasi pembelajaran yang berbeza mempunyai sistem reka bentuk dan perwakilan data yang berbeza. Masalah utama persekitaran pembelajaran adalah setiap aplikasi pembelajaran individu mempunyai keupayaan yang terhad untuk berkongsi data dan maklumat. Selain itu, pendekatan integrasi data sedia ada masih mempunyai kelemahan dan kurang penyelidikan dilakukan ke atas persekitaran pembelajaran bagi integrasi data. Kajian ini mencadangkan rangka kerja integrasi data semantik untuk mengendalikan kepelbagaian data dalam persekitaran pembelajaran yang dapat mengintegrasikan pelbagai maklumat pembelajaran untuk menghasilkan pengetahuan pembelajaran yang baharu. Kajian ini tertumpu ke atas integrasi data semantik menggunakan pendekatan ontologi untuk mengendalikan hubungan semantik antara sumber data. Metodologi kajian terdiri daripada tiga peringkat utama. Peringkat pertama adalah pemetaan data semantik untuk menyelaraskan perwakilan kepelbagaian data dari pelbagai sumber data ke dalam format fail standard. Peringkat kedua adalah untuk mereka bentuk dan membangunkan pengetahuan ontologi untuk mencipta hubungan semantik antara pelbagai sumber data. Peringkat ketiga adalah untuk menggabungkan pengetahuan ontologi dengan fail pemetaan data semantik untuk menghasilkan integrasi data semantik. Proses pengesahan ontologi pada kajian ini menggunakan pengesah Rangka Kerja Perihal Sumber (RDF) oleh piawai Konsortium Jaringan Sejagat (W3C) dan pertimbangan Factplusplus (FaCT++) untuk memeriksa konsistensi kelas, keadaan dan sifat-sifat pada ontologi. Tambahan pula, untuk mengesahkan rangka kerja, kajian ini menggunakan kriteria kualiti dan metrik, berdasarkan pendekatan Kualiti Rangka Kerja untuk Integrasi Data. Fokus kualiti kriteria ialah ke atas kelengkapan dan konsistensi sumber data, manakala metrik menghasilkan faktor kualiti untuk mengenalpasti darjah penerimaan. Rangka kerja ini kemudian disahkan dengan menambah tiga sistem pembelajaran berlainan dengan kepelbagaian data dalam pelaksanaan peringkat data yang mana adalah; sistem e-pembelajaran Moodle, sistem Bank Soalan dan sistem Penggredan Pelajar. Rangka kerja ini berjaya mengintegrasikan pelbagai sumber data dengan perwakilan kepelbagaian data menggunakan pendekatan ontologi. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa cadangan rangka kerja ini dapat menghasilkan pengetahuan pembelajaran baru yang melibatkan maklumat pembelajaran kompleks.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTER | TITLE | PAGE |
|----------|---|-----------|
| | DECLARATION | ii |
| | DEDICATION | iii |
| | ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | iv |
| | ABSTRACT | v |
| | ABSTRAK | vi |
| | TABLE OF CONTENTS | vii |
| | LIST OF TABLES | xii |
| | LIST OF FIGURES | xiv |
| | LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xvii |
| | LIST OF APPENDICES | xviii |
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1 Overview | 1 |
| | 1.2 Background of the Problem | 3 |
| | 1.3 Statement of the Problem | 4 |
| | 1.4 Objectives of the Study | 5 |
| | 1.5 Scope of the Study | 5 |
| | 1.6 Significance of the Study | 6 |
| | 1.7 Structure of Thesis | 7 |
| | 1.8 Summary | 10 |
| 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW | 11 |
| | 2.1 Introduction | 11 |
| | 2.2 Heterogeneity on learning Environment | 13 |

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 2.2.1 | Heterogeneity of Learning Resources on Learning Environment | 14 |
| 2.2.2 | Heterogeneity of Learning Applications on Learning Environment | 15 |
| 2.2.3 | Heterogeneity of Learning Information on Learning Environment | 17 |
| 2.2.3.1 | Constructive Alignment | 17 |
| 2.2.4 | Heterogeneity of Data on Learning Environment | 20 |
| 2.2.5 | The Complexity View Heterogeneity on Learning Environment | 23 |
| 2.3 | Ontology | 27 |
| 2.3.1 | Investigate the Existing Ontologies on Learning Environment | 28 |
| 2.3.2 | Ontology Language | 32 |
| 2.3.3 | Ontology Development Methodologies | 34 |
| 2.3.3.1 | Methodology Critical Review | 40 |
| 2.3.3.2 | The Best Ontology Development Methodology Phases | 44 |
| 2.4 | Investigate the Existing Semantic Data Integration Frameworks | 45 |
| 2.4.1 | Conclusion of Critical Analyse from Existing Integration Approach | 47 |
| 2.5 | Semantic Data Mapping on Data Integration | 49 |
| 2.6 | Data Integration Measurement Method | 54 |
| 2.7 | Summary | 59 |
| 3 | RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 61 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 61 |
| 3.2 | Research Steps | 62 |
| 3.3 | Conduct Literature Review | 64 |
| 3.4 | Define Research Problem | 65 |
| 3.5 | Design the Ontology-based Semantic Heterogeneous | |

| | | |
|----------|--|------------|
| | Data Integration Framework | 66 |
| | 3.5.1 Ontology-Based Semantic Heterogeneous Data Integration Framework | 66 |
| 3.6 | Develop learning Ontology | 71 |
| 3.7 | Conduct Semantic Data Mapping Process | 72 |
| 3.8 | Conduct Semantic Data Integration Process | 73 |
| 3.9 | Validate Ontology-Based Semantic Heterogeneous Data Integration Framework | 74 |
| 3.10 | Summary | 75 |
| 4 | DEVELOPMENT OF LEARNING ONTOLOGY | 79 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 79 |
| 4.2 | Methodology Phases to Develop Learning Ontology | 81 |
| 4.3 | Define the Purpose of Ontology Development | 83 |
| 4.4 | Identify the Resources from Learning Applications | 83 |
| 4.5 | Reengineer and Reuse the Identified Resources | 84 |
| 4.6 | Conceptualize All the Terms and Relationship | 87 |
| 4.7 | Restructure Resources | 90 |
| 4.8 | Formalize All Terms and Relationships into Diagram Design | 92 |
| 4.9 | Implement All Terms and Relationships into Ontology | 94 |
| 4.10 | Evaluate and Validate the Ontology | 98 |
| 4.11 | Refine the Ontology | 102 |
| 4.12 | Create Ontology Documentation | 103 |
| 4.13 | Summary | 103 |
| 5 | SEMANTIC DATA MAPPING PROCESS | 104 |
| 5.1 | Introduction | 104 |
| 5.2 | Identify the Heterogeneity of Data Schema and Information | 106 |
| 5.3 | Conduct Semi-Automatic Data Mapping Using D2RQ | 113 |

| | | |
|----------|---|------------|
| 5.4 | Customize Data Mapping Files | 118 |
| 5.5 | Consolidate the Data Mapping Files | 124 |
| 5.6 | Summary | 127 |
| 6 | SEMANTIC DATA INTEGRATION PROCESS | 128 |
| 6.1 | Introduction | 128 |
| 6.2 | Prepare Data Sources of Learning Applications | 130 |
| 6.3 | Prepare Semantic data mapping File and Learning Ontology Knowledge | 137 |
| 6.4 | Incorporate Semantic Data Mapping and Learning Ontology Knowledge | 138 |
| 6.5 | Obtain the data Integration Result | 140 |
| 7 | VALIDATION OF ONTOLOGY-BASED SEMANTIC HETEROGENEOUS DATA INTEGRATION FRAMEWORK | 146 |
| 7.1 | Introduction | 146 |
| 7.2 | Construct the Metric Quality Factors | 147 |
| 7.3 | Apply Formulas on Each Quality Factor | 148 |
| 7.3.1 | Quality Factor 1, Completeness-Schema (F1: GS and LS) | 149 |
| 7.3.2 | Quality Factor 2, Completeness-Schema (F2: LS and Ontology) | 150 |
| 7.3.3 | Quality Factor 3, Completeness-Mapping (F3: GS and LS) | 152 |
| 7.3.4 | Quality Factor 4, Consistency-Schema (F4: GS and LS) | 155 |
| 7.3.5 | Quality Factor 5, Consistency-Schema (F5: GS, LS and Ontology) | 160 |
| 7.3.6 | Quality Factor 6, Consistency-Schema (F6: LS) | 164 |
| 7.4 | Summary | 166 |

| | | |
|----------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| 8 | CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK | 168 |
| 8.1 | Research Summary and Achievements | 168 |
| 8.2 | Research Contribution | 170 |
| 8.3 | Future Work | 172 |
| | REFERENCES | 174 |
| | Appendices A - J | 183-265 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------------|--|-------------|
| 2.1 | The Type of Relationship between Elements | 25 |
| 2.2 | Existing Ontologies on Learning Domain | 29 |
| 2.3 | Existing Semantic Data Mapping Tools | 51 |
| 2.4 | Data Integration Measurement Dimensions | 56 |
| 3.1 | Summarized Research Activities, Problem, Research Question and Objectives | 76 |
| 4.1 | Terms | 88 |
| 4.2 | Relationships | 89 |
| 4.3 | Restructure All Terms into Classes and Sub Classes in Ontology Perspective | 90 |
| 4.4 | Data Properties | 92 |
| 5.1 | Data Mapping Between Ontology Elements and Data Sources | 119 |
| 6.1 | Learning Applications | 130 |
| 6.2 | Information from Student Grading System | 131 |
| 6.3 | Information from Question Bank System | 133 |
| 6.4 | Information from Moodle E-Learning System | 134 |
| 7.1 | The Matric Quality Factor Between Completeness, Consistency, Schema and Mapping | 147 |
| 7.2 | Consistency Analysis Between Local Schema and Global Schema | 158 |
| 7.3 | Real Word Concept and Local Schema | 161 |

| | | |
|-----|---|-----|
| 7.4 | Real Word Concept and Semantic Approach (Global Schema, Ontology) | 162 |
|-----|---|-----|

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|-------------------|--|-------------|
| 2.1 | Literature Review Content | 12 |
| 2.2 | Heterogeneity of Learning Resources on Learning Environment (Arch-int and Arch-int, 2013; Ko and Young, 2011) | 15 |
| 2.3 | Heterogeneity of Learning Application on Learning Environment, adapted from (Yunianta <i>et al.</i> , 2014a; Yunianta <i>et al.</i> , 2014b) | 16 |
| 2.4 | Constructive Alignment, adapted from (Biggs and Tang, 2007) | 18 |
| 2.5 | Three Elements Constructive Alignment Relate with Learning Information, adapted from (Biggs and Tang, 2007; Yunianta <i>et al.</i> , 2014a; Yunianta <i>et al.</i> , 2014b) | 19 |
| 2.6 | Data Heterogeneity on Learning Environment (Dong-mei <i>et al.</i> , 2012; Shyamala <i>et al.</i> , 2011; Verstichel <i>et al.</i> , 2011; Yunianta <i>et al.</i> , 2014b; Zhan and O'Brien, 2000) | 20 |
| 2.7 | Detail of Data Heterogeneity on Learning Environment (Arch-int and Arch-int, 2013; Dong-mei <i>et al.</i> , 2012; Shyamala <i>et al.</i> , 2011; Verstichel <i>et al.</i> , 2011) | 22 |
| 2.8 | Relationship between Elements in Heterogeneity on Learning Environment | 24 |
| 2.9 | Graphical representation of methodologies and its components, adopted from Gomez-Perez et al. (2003) | 36 |

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 2.10 | Phases of the methodologies on No Consideration for Collaborative and Distributed Construction Category | 39 |
| 2.11 | Phases of the Methodologies on Consideration of Collaborative and Distributed Construction Category | 40 |
| 2.12 | (Complete) Phases of the methodologies | 42 |
| 3.1 | Research Steps | 63 |
| 3.2 | Ontology-Based Semantic Heterogeneous Data Integration Framework on Learning Environment | 68 |
| 4.1 | Learning Ontology In the Ontology-based Semantic Heterogeneous Data Integration Framework | 80 |
| 4.2 | Phases of Learning Ontology Development Methodology | 82 |
| 4.3 | Data Sources on Each Learning Application | 84 |
| 4.4 | Design of Ontology Diagram | 93 |
| 4.5 | RDF File of Ontology Knowledge | 95 |
| 4.6 | Ontology Class Diagram | 97 |
| 4.7 | Validation Method Using Protégé 4.3 Tools | 99 |
| 4.8 | Inconsistency Ontology Result | 100 |
| 4.9 | W3 Home Page | 101 |
| 4.10 | Web Page Validation Result From W3 Home Page | 102 |
| 5.1 | Semantic Data Mapping Process | 105 |
| 5.2 | Student Grading System Data Schema | 107 |
| 5.3 | Moodle E-Learning System Data Schema | 108 |
| 5.4 | Question Bank System Data Schema | 110 |
| 5.5 | Heterogeneity from Three Learning Applications | 112 |
| 5.6 | Semantic Data Mapping File of Student Grading System | 115 |
| 5.7 | Semantic Data Mapping File of Moodle E-Learning System | 116 |
| 5.8 | Semantic Data Mapping File of Question Bank System | 117 |
| 5.9 | The Final Data Mapping File | 126 |
| 6.1 | Verification Part on Ontology-based Semantic Heterogeneous Data Integration Framework | 129 |
| 6.2 | Integration Process Code | 139 |
| 6.3 | Snippet Lines of Label Attributes | 140 |

| | | |
|-----|--|-----|
| 6.4 | Snippet Lines of Object Properties Attributes | 140 |
| 6.5 | Snippet Lines of Object Properties Attributes | 141 |
| 6.6 | Snippet Lines of Classes Attributes | 142 |
| 6.7 | Snippet Lines of Instances Attributes | 144 |
| 6.8 | The Small Part of Learning Knowledge from Data Integration Result | 144 |
| 6.9 | The Graph View of Learning Knowledge from Data Integration Result | 145 |
| 7.1 | Formula of Quality Factor 1 | 149 |
| 7.2 | Formula of Quality Factor 2 | 151 |
| 7.3 | Stages to Calculate Quality Factor 3 | 153 |
| 7.4 | Formula of Quality Factor 3 | 154 |
| 7.5 | Stages to Calculate Quality Factor 4 | 156 |
| 7.6 | Formula of Quality Factor 4 | 156 |
| 7.7 | Formula of Quality Factor 5 | 161 |
| 7.8 | Formula of Quality Factor 6 | 165 |
| 7.9 | Quality Factor Values | 167 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | | |
|-------|---|------------------------------------|
| (AI) | - | Artificial Intelligence |
| (CAT) | - | Constructive Alignment Theory |
| (DQ) | - | Data Quality |
| (EAI) | - | Enterprise Application integration |
| (EII) | - | Enterprise Information Integration |
| (ETL) | - | Extract, Transform and Load |
| (KBs) | - | Knowledge Bases |
| (LMS) | - | Learning Management System |
| (OE) | - | Ontology Engineering |
| (OWL) | - | Ontology Web Language |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX | TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------------|--|-------------|
| A | Resources | 183 |
| B | Diagram Validation Show From W3 Home Page | 190 |
| C | Ontology Source Code | 193 |
| D | Semantic Data Mapping Files | 201 |
| E | Complete Version Of Semantic Data Mapping File | 215 |
| F | The Number Of The Set Of Global Schema Mapping | 221 |
| G | Semantic Relationships Between Terms | 226 |
| H | Experts Validation Of Learning Ontology | 229 |
| I | Data Samples From Every Learning Application | 247 |
| J | Images Of Existing Semantic Integration Approaches | 250 |
| K | Investigation Of Existing Semantic Integration Approaches | 257 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

Learning environment is an environment that provides information that benefits for learning process (Abualrub *et al.*, 2013; Spector and JonathanMichael, 2014). Having a rich learning environment is needed due to its ability to generate new knowledge for learning. The current e-learning system has limited learning environment, for example moodle e-learning system only provides information on course material and student involvement either in forum or task, while the student detail information is not stored in the moodle but stored in Student information system. Therefore, in order to have good learning environment should provides with more information related to learning. In the context of e-learning environment, the source of information are come from various type of information systems such as teaching and learning online application called e-learning system, academic information management system, student management and payment system, Question Bank System, Subject Courses evaluation system, student registration system, library application system and etc, as called as learning applications which are heterogenous in various aspect (Wang *et al.*, 2011). Heterogeneity aspects on learning environment becoming more widely and various (Bian *et al.*, 2011; Ko and Young, 2011).

There are a lot of heterogeneity aspects (Bian *et al.*, 2011; Huang *et al.*, 2012; Sandborn *et al.*, 2011; Zheng and Terpenney, 2013), this research is focuses on heterogeneity aspect on learning environment. According to comprehensive literature review there are four heterogeneity aspects on learning environment such as heterogeneity learning resources, learning applications, learning information and data. These heterogeneity aspects have causes the difficulty to share and integrate the information and data to gain learning knowledge (Dong-mei *et al.*, 2012; Kim *et al.*, 2011; Wang *et al.*, 2011).

Heterogeneity data representation problem is the one of element in heterogeneity aspects on learning environment. Heterogeneity data representation can cause data conflicts on learning environment. Data conflicts are about data that has same name but different meaning or data has same meaning but different name, this phenomenon called semantic problem. The semantic problem is to be a main problem in existing data integration approach (Sandborn *et al.*, 2011; Zheng and Terpenney, 2013).

Many researchers study on semantic data integration areas to handle the problem about heterogeneity of data, a lot of technology architectures and frameworks produce from this research. Existing semantic data integration approaches just tackle on heterogeneity data types, data structure, and database heterogeneity. However, they still have many problems on semantically aspects specific on semantic interoperability, semantic relationship and semantic meaning between data sources that still to be hot issues in the present (Kienast and Baumgartner, 2011; Sandborn *et al.*, 2011; Zheng and Terpenney, 2013). There has been less research study semantic data integration on the learning environment, where the existing research mostly done in the enterprise domain and business environment (Curl and Fertalj, 2009; Dirgahayu *et al.*, 2008; Jin and Zhao, 2013; Kokemueller and Weisbecker, 2010).

1.2 Background of the Problem

In current learning environment, there are many applications that support the learning process. The increasing demand to share learning information between existing learning resource systems on learning environment is to be the current needs (Arch-int and Arch-int, 2013). However, current learning applications with specific purposes are developed with different application design and with various data model representations. These situations can cause the heterogeneity problem and make every individual learning application does not have the capability to integrate data and information learning to gain learning knowledge (Kim *et al.*, 2011; Wang *et al.*, 2011).

Heterogeneity aspects are the main problem on learning environment. There are a lot of heterogeneity aspects on learning environment, such as type of learning information, database system, application system, data structure, data representation and many more aspects (Bian *et al.*, 2011; Ko and Young, 2011). The one of heterogeneity aspects namely data representation produce data conflicts on different data sources. Data conflicts are about data that has same name but different meaning or data has same meaning but different name. This phenomenon produce semantic problem, they are semantic interoperability and semantic relationship. These problem is to be a main problem in existing data integration approach (Sandborn *et al.*, 2011; Zheng and Terpenney, 2013).

Existing semantic data integration frameworks still have weaknesses and there has been less research done on data integration of the semantic aspect in learning environment, existing research mostly done in the enterprise domain and business environment (Curl and Fertalj, 2009; Dirgahayu *et al.*, 2008; Jin and Zhao, 2013; Kokemueller and Weisbecker, 2010). A lot of existing semantic data integration frameworks just tackles on heterogeneity of data type, data structure, and database system. But they still have many problems on semantically aspects specific on semantic interoperability, semantic relationship and semantic mapping between data sources, and these problems still to be hot issues in the present (Bergamaschi *et*

al., 2011; Berkani *et al.*, 2012; Bian *et al.*, 2011; Dong-mei *et al.*, 2012; Fernandes *et al.*, 2010; Guo and Zhang, 2009; Zheng and Terpenney, 2013).

1.3 Statement of the Problem

Current learning environment consists of numerous learning applications with heterogeneity data that leads to limited capability to share data and information with other learning applications and these conditions can cause semantic problem (such as semantic interoperability and semantic relationship) to communicate, integrate and share learning information to gain learning knowledge.

Main research question: *“How to design a framework for semantic data integration to handle heterogeneity problem on learning environment?”*

From the main research question, the following questions are addressed:

1. What are the heterogeneity aspects on learning environment?
2. What are the existing semantic data integration frameworks that have been implemented?
3. How to design Ontology-based semantic heterogeneous data integration framework on learning environment to handle heterogeneity and semantic problem?
4. How to develop Learning ontology to support the Ontology-based semantic heterogeneous data integration framework?
5. How to validate the learning ontology?
6. How to map the heterogeneity data with the semantic perspective?
7. How to verify an Ontology-based semantic heterogeneous data integration framework using ontology to handle semantic problem on learning environment?

8. How to measure the Ontology-based semantic heterogeneous data integration framework to integrate heterogeneity data to solve semantic problem on learning environment?

1.4 Objectives of the Study

The specific aim of this research is to propose an Ontology-based semantic heterogeneous data integration framework using ontology to handle data heterogeneity on learning environment.

This research has the following objectives:

1. To investigate the heterogeneity aspects on learning environment and existing semantic integration frameworks.
2. To propose the Ontology-based semantic heterogeneous data integration framework to handle heterogeneity and semantic data problem on learning environment.
3. To validate the semantic aspect in the proposed framework that able to integrate various learning information to produce new learning knowledge.

1.5 Scope of the Study

In order to produce Ontology-based semantic heterogeneous data integration framework, this study focused on the scope as follows:

1. This research focuses on data heterogeneity between structural data sources (database), heterogeneity in data representation, heterogeneity on information learning, heterogeneity on learning applications, semantic interoperability between data sources, semantic relationship between data sources and semantic meaning between data sources on learning environment.
2. Types of learning data that are integrated in this framework are teaching and learning experiences data, assessment task data, learning outcomes data and student result data based on constructive alignment theory.
3. This research focuses on ontology approach in Ontology-based semantic heterogeneous data integration framework.
4. Student's performance data, lecturer's activities data, and teaching-learning data are based on online activities using applications system.
5. The verification process of this research uses the teaching and learning experiences data and assessment data from the Moodle e-learning application employed in UTM for semester I in 2013-2014. Learning outcomes and student result data that are used in this research are SCSJ2013_Section3, SCSP1103_Section2, SCSP1103_Section8, and SCSP1103_Section9.
6. The validation process of this research focuses on completeness and consistency criteria. The performance and security criteria have also been beyond the scope of this research and have been identified as a future research direction in Chapter 8.

1.6 Significance of the Study

A lot of applications developed on learning environment, such as online teaching and learning application called e-learning system, academic information management system, student management and payment system, Question Bank System, Subject Courses evaluation system, student registration system, library application system and other learning applications (Wang *et al.*, 2011). The increasing demand to share learning information between existing learning applications on learning environment is to be the current needs (Arch-int and Arch-

int, 2013). Semantic approach using ontology knowledge is the best solution to solve heterogeneity aspects and semantic problems on learning environment (Alcaraz Calero *et al.*, 2010; Bittner *et al.*, 2005; Dong-mei *et al.*, 2012; Schulz and Martínez-Costa, 2013; Sonsilphong and Arch-int, 2013). The Ontology-based semantic heterogeneous data integration framework contributes to learning environment in which it able to integrate various learning applications to gain new knowledge and support the decision making process in the learning organization to improve the system, behaviour, quality and goal of organization. Without the proposed framework, the decision making is difficult and become costly.

The ultimate goal of this research is to create a self-organizing framework, which does not only understand the data and information, but also has the intelligence to understand when to use the knowledge. The essential contributions of this research are Ontology-based semantic heterogeneous data integration framework and learning ontology as the beginning point to support the intelligence era in order to produce valuable and rich information called knowledge. With ontology knowledge development on this framework, cognitive interpretation of information with adding semantic relationship in the knowledge representation can be made clear. This research have an ultimate contribution because this is a reusable framework and knowledge that can be adopted, edited and improved base on vision and mission in other organizations.

1.7 Structure of Thesis

This thesis is divided into 8 main chapters: chapter 1 for introduction, chapter 2 is the literature review section, chapter 3 for the description of research design and methodology, chapter 4 is to detail explanation to develop learning ontology for Ontology-based semantic heterogeneous data integration framework, chapter 5 for the semantic data mapping process as a standardization process in Ontology-based semantic heterogeneous data integration framework. Chapter 6 is to conduct semantic data integration process, chapter 7 is to measure and validate the Ontology-

based semantic heterogeneous data integration framework based on quality matrix criteria, chapter 8 is the conclusion and future works section. The content of the chapters are briefly illustrated as the followings:

Chapter 1

Chapter 1 introduces the problem background of this research, statement of the problem, objective of the study, scope of the study, significance of the study and structure of thesis.

Chapter 2

Chapter 2 discusses the fundamental theories of the thesis derived from previous works. Heterogeneity on learning environment, ontology, investigate the existing semantic data integration frameworks, semantic data mapping on data integration and data integration measurement method.

Chapter 3

Chapter 3 is devoted for the description of the research design and methodology, which is used to solve the problems outlined in chapter 1. This chapter also gives the detail mapping between research problems, research phases, research chapter, research questions, research activities and research objectives.

Chapter 4

Chapter 4 is a chapter dedicated to explain more detail the development of learning ontology. The systematic step to develop learning ontology is using custom ontology development methodology as the one of contribution of this research. The result of this chapter is the learning ontology as a main part on Ontology-based semantic heterogeneous data integration framework. The learning ontology result

combined with semantic data mapping file to conduct semantic data integration process in the final part in this framework.

Chapter 5

Chapter 5 is another contribution of this research as mentioned before is to conduct semantic data mapping process. There are four main activities on this section, the first activity is to identify the heterogeneity of data schemas and learning information on every data source, the second activity is to construct the semi-automatic data mapping using D2RQ, the third activity is to customize the data mapping files and the fourth activity is to consolidate the data mapping files. The final result of this chapter is to produce semantic data mapping file that to combine with the learning ontology to get all data and information in data sources to be knowledge.

Chapter 6

Chapter 6 is to conduct semantic data integration process to verify the Ontology-based semantic heterogeneous data integration framework with the real data. Semantic data integration process involves the real learning data from every data source on learning environment. Semantic data integration process are involves three data sources from three different learning applications, there are Question Bank system, Student Grading system and Moodle E-learning System. The purpose of this chapter is to real test the Ontology-based semantic heterogeneous data integration framework whether in accordance with the objectives of this research.

Chapter 7

Chapter 7 describes in details about validation steps and method to assess the Ontology-based semantic heterogeneous data integration framework based on quality data criteria. In this chapter shows the matrix quality factors to address the consistency and completeness criteria with the schema and mapping factor. Every

quality factor in quality matrix factor produces specific formula to assess the Ontology-based semantic heterogeneous data integration framework to get quality value of every quality factor.

Chapter 8

Chapter 8 is to covers conclusion and future works of the conducted research. This chapter is important to give a clear picture about the linkage between thesis goal and result that has been achieved on the thesis and also the limitation that need to be carried out in the future. The future work is presented as well to give an opportunity to other researchers in the future.

1.8 Summary

Growth of application and data on learning environment produce heterogeneity aspects on learning environment. Heterogeneity aspects are about collection of numerous applications with various learning sources and learning information that have heterogeneity of data in every learning application. The need of data sharing and integration makes integration process to be very importance and famous in recent days. Come out with heterogeneity phenomenon, data integration process need to improve and using the new approach to raise that problem. Existing semantic data integration frameworks still have lacks and weaknesses to handle the semantic problem (semantic interoperability, semantic relationship and semantic meaning between data sources). Semantic problem on data heterogeneity is the main issues to be tackle now. Development ontology as a new approach in data integration area is the best solution to tackle the problem and produce a new result, the valuable information that has intelligence meaning calls knowledge. This research goal is to develop Ontology-based semantic heterogeneous data integration framework for learning environment and build learning ontology to handle the semantic problem and produce intelligence information call learning knowledge.

REFERENCES

- “Glossary”. (2014). The glossary of education reform. Glossary of education reform
Retrieved July, 10, 2014, from <http://edglossary.org/learning-environment/>
- Abdullah, N. S., Sadiq, S. and Indulska, M. (2013). A study of ontology construction: the case of a compliance management ontology. In *Ontology-Based Applications for Enterprise Systems and Knowledge Management*, 276-291. IGI Global.
- Abualrub, I., Karseth, B. and Stensaker, B. (2013). The various understandings of learning environment in higher education and its quality implications. *Quality in Higher Education*, 19(1), 90-110.
- Alcaraz Calero, J. M., Marín Pérez, J. M., Bernal Bernabé, J., Garcia Clemente, F. J., Martínez Pérez, G. and Gómez Skarmeta, A. F. (2010). Detection of semantic conflicts in ontology and rule-based information systems. *Data & Knowledge Engineering*, 69(11), 1117-1137.
- Arch-int, N. and Arch-int, S. (2013). Semantic ontology mapping for interoperability of learning resource systems using a rule-based reasoning approach. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 40(18), 7428-7443.
- Arenas, M. and Libkin, L. (2008). XML data exchange: Consistency and query answering. *J. ACM*, 55(2), 1-72.
- Bellatreche, L., Dung, N. X., Pierra, G. and Hondjack, D. (2006). Contribution of ontology-based data modeling to automatic integration of electronic catalogues within engineering databases. *Computers in Industry*, 57.
- Bergamaschi, S., Guerra, F., Orsini, M., Sartori, C. and Vincini, M. (2011). A semantic approach to ETL technologies. *Data & Knowledge Engineering*, 70, 717–731.
- Berkani, N., Khouri, S. and Bellatreche, L. (2012). Generic methodology for semantic data warehouse design: from schema definition to ETL. 4th

- International Conference on Intelligent Networking and Collaborative Systems, 404 - 411.
- Bian, J., Zhang, H. and Peng, X. (2011). The research and implementation of heterogeneous data integration under ontology mapping mechanism. International Conference on Web Information Systems and Mining, 87-94.
- Biggs, J. (1996). Enhancing teaching through constructive alignment. Higher Education, 32(3), 347-364.
- Biggs, J. (2004). Aligning teaching for constructing learning. The Higher Education Academy.
- Biggs, J. and Tang, C. (2007). Teaching for quality learning at university (Vol. 3rd edition). Berkshire, England: Open University Press.
- Bittner, T., Donnelly, M. and Winter, S. (2005). Ontology and semantic interoperability. In D. Proserpi and S. Zlatanova (Eds.), Large-scale 3D Data Integration: Challenges and Opportunities, 139-160. CRCpress (Taylor & Francis).
- Bonifati, A., Chrysanthis, P., Ouksel, A. and Satter, K.-U. (2008). Distributed databases and peer-to-peer databases: past and present. SIGMOD Record, 37(1).
- Bouquet, P., Serafini, L. and Zanobini, S. (2004). Peer-to-peer semantic coordination. Journal of Web Semantics, 2(1), 81-97.
- Brown, J. S., Collins, A. and Duguid, P. (1989). Situated cognition and the culture of learning. Educational Researcher, 18(1), 32-42.
- Cain, A. and Woodward, C. J. (2012, 20-23 Aug. 2012). Toward constructive alignment with portfolio assessment for introductory programming. Teaching, Assessment and Learning for Engineering (TALE), 2012 IEEE International Conference on, H1B-11 - H1B-17.
- Calvanese, D., Giacomo, G., Lenzerini, M. and Rosati, R. (2004). Logical foundations of peer-to-peer data integration. 23rd ACM SIGMOD Symposium on Principles of Database Systems, PODS 2004, 241-251.
- Castano, S., Antonellis, V. and Vimercati, S. D. C. (2001). Global viewing of heterogeneous data sources. IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, 13(2), 277-297.

- Chen, Y. (2010). Knowledge integration and sharing for collaborative molding product design and process development. *Computers in Industry*, 61, 659-675.
- Curl, A. and Fertalj, K. (2009). EAI issues and best practices. 9th WSEAS international conference on Applied computer science, 135-139.
- Dentler, K., Cornet, R., Teije, A. t. and Keizer, N. d. (2011). Comparison of reasoners for large ontologies in the OWL 2 EL profile. *Semant. web*, 2(2), 71-87.
- Dietinger, T. (2003). *Aspects Of E-Learning Environments*. Graz University of Technology, Austria.
- Ding, L., Finin, T., Joshi, A., Pan, R., Cost, R. S., Peng, Y., et al. (2004). Swoogle: a search and metadata engine for the semantic web. *Proceedings of the thirteenth ACM international conference on Information and knowledge management*, 652-659.
- Dirgahayu, T., Quartel, D. and Sinderen, M. v. (2008). Designing interaction behaviour in service-oriented enterprise application integration. *Proceedings of the 2008 ACM symposium on Applied computing*, 1048-1054.
- Dong-mei, H., Yan-ling, D., Ming-hua, Z. and Chi, Z. (2012). Application of ontology-based automatic ETL in marine data integration. *Electrical & Electronics Engineering (EEESYM), 2012 IEEE Symposium on*, 11-13.
- Fagin, R., Kolaitis, P. and Popa, L. (2005). Data exchange: getting to the core. *ACM Trans, Database Syst*, 30(1), 174-210.
- Fernandes, R., Li, B., Benjamin, P. and Mayer, R. (2010). Applying semantic technologies for enterprise application integration. *2010 International Symposium on Collaborative Technologies and Systems, CTS 2010, May 17, 2010 - May 21, 2010*.
- Fonou-Dombeu, J. V. and Huisman, M. (2011). Combining ontology development methodologies and semantic web platforms for e-government domain ontology development. *IJWEST Journal*, 2(2), 12-25.
- Gandon, F. L. (2010). Ontologies in Computer Science: these new “software components” of our information systems. In *Ontology Theory, Management and Design: Advanced Tools and Models*, 1-26. IGI Global.

- Gertz, M. (1998). Managing data quality and integrity in federated databases. In S. Jajodia, W. List, G. McGregor and L. M. Strous (Eds.), *Integrity and Internal Control in Information Systems*, 9, 211-229. Springer US.
- Goh, S. and Fraser, B. (1998). Teacher interpersonal behaviour, classroom environment and student outcomes in primary mathematics in Singapore. *Learning Environments Research*, 1(2), 199-229.
- Gómez-Pérez, A. and Rojas-Amaya, M. (1999). Ontological reengineering for reuse. In D. Fensel and R. Studer (Eds.), *Knowledge Acquisition, Modeling and Management*, 1621, 139-156. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Gruber, T. R. (1995). Toward principles for the design of ontologies used for knowledge sharing. *Int. J. Hum.-Comput. Stud.*, 43(5-6), 907-928.
- Gudanescu, N. (2010). Using modern technology for improving learning process at different educational levels. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 2(2), 5641-5645.
- Guo, Q.-l. and Zhang, M. (2009). Semantic information integration and question answering based on pervasive agent ontology. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 36(6), 10068-10077.
- Hiemstra, R. (1991). Aspects of effective learning environments. *New Directions for Adult and Continuing Education*, 1991(50), 5-12.
- Horrocks, I. (2007). Semantic web: the story so far. *Proceedings of the 2007 international cross-disciplinary conference on Web accessibility (W4A)*, 120-125.
- Dong-mei, H., Yan-ling, D., Ming-hua, Z. and Chi, Z. (2012, 24-27 June 2012). Application of ontology-based automatic ETL in marine data integration. Paper presented at the *Electrical & Electronics Engineering (EEESYM), 2012 IEEE Symposium on*, 11-13.
- Information, C. I. f. H. (2009). *The CIHI data quality framework*. Ottawa, Ontario: Canadian Institute for Health Information.
- Jaziri, W. and Gargouri, F. (2010). Ontology theory, management and design: an overview and future directions. In *Ontology Theory, Management and Design: Advanced Tools and Models*, 27-77. IGI Global.
- Jin, X. and Zhao, X. (2013). Research on enterprise application system integration based on web services and agent. *International Conference on Informatics*

- and Management Science, IMS 2012, December 21, 2012 - December 23, 2012, Kunming, China, 353-359.
- Jirkovsky, V., Kadera, P., Obitko, M. and Vrba, P. (2012). Diagnostics of distributed intelligent control systems: reasoning using ontologies and hidden markov models. 14th IFAC Symposium on Information Control Problems in Manufacturing (INCOM), 1315-1320.
- Jorg, T. and Debloch, S. (2008). Towards generating ETL processes for incremental loading. Proceedings of the 2008 International Symposium On Database Engineering, Applications, 101-110.
- Kashyap, V. and Sheth, A. (1997). Semantic heterogeneity in global information systems: the role of metedata, context and ontologies. In M. P. Papazoglou and G. Schlageter (Eds.), Cooperative information systems, 139–178. San Diego: Academic Press.
- Kienast, R. and Baumgartner, C. (2011). Semantic data integration on biomedical data using semantic web technologies. In D. M. A. Mahdavi (Ed.), Bioinformatics - Trends and Methodologies: InTech.
- Kim, S., Song, S.-M. and Yoon, Y.-I. (2011). Smart learning services based on smart cloud computing. Sensors, 11(8), 7835-7850.
- Kim, W. and Seo, J. (1991). Classifying schematic and data heterogeneity in multi database systems. IEEE Computer, 24(12), 12-18.
- Kirschner, P., Van Vilsteren, P., Hummel, H. and Wigman, M. (1997). The design of a study environment for acquiring academic and professional competence. Studies in Higher Education, 22(2), 151-171.
- Ko, C.-C. and Young, S.-C. (2011). Explore the next generation of cloud-based e-learning environment. In M. Chang, W.-Y. Hwang, M.-P. Chen and W. Müller (Eds.), Edutainment Technologies. Educational Games and Virtual Reality/Augmented Reality Applications, 6872, 107-114. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Kokemueller, J. and Weisbecker, A. (2010a). Enterprise information integration using a peer to peer approach. European Conference on Information Systems (ECIS), 1-14.

- Kokemueller, J. and Weisbecker, A. (2010b). Enterprise information integration using a peer to peer approach. 18th European Conference on Information Systems (ECIS), Pretoria, South Africa.
- Kovalenko, O. and Moser, T. (2011). Using explicit and machine-understandable engineering knowledge for defect detection in automation systems engineering. International Doctoral Symposium on Software Engineering and Advanced Applications (IDoSEAA), Oulu, Finland, 1-5.
- Krogstie, J. (2013). Evaluating data quality for integration of data sources. In J. Grabis, M. Kirikova, J. Zdravkovic and J. Stirna (Eds.), *The Practice of Enterprise Modeling*, 165, 39-53. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- LePendu, P. and Dou, D. (2011). Using ontology databases for scalable query answering, inconsistency detection, and data integration. *Springer Science+Business Media*, 37, 217-244.
- Liaw, S. T., Rahimi, A., Ray, P., Taggart, J., Dennis, S., de Lusignan, S., et al. (2013). Towards an ontology for data quality in integrated chronic disease management: A realist review of the literature. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 82(1), 10-24.
- Lieto, A. (2014). A computational framework for concept representation in cognitive systems and architectures: concepts as heterogeneous proxytypes. *Procedia Computer Science*, 41(0), 6-14.
- Lizzio, A., Wilson, K. and Simons, R. (2002). University students' perceptions of the learning environment and academic outcomes: implications for theory and practice. *Studies in Higher Education*, 27(1), 27-52.
- LOSHIN, D. and BRUNELLI, M. (2012). How to improve data quality on a tight budget [Electronic Version]. TechTarget Inc, 1-13,
- Melik-Merkumians, M., Zoitl, A. and Moser, T. (2010, 13-16 Sept. 2010). Ontology-based fault diagnosis for industrial control applications. *Emerging Technologies and Factory Automation (ETFA)*, 2010 IEEE Conference on, 1-4.
- Merrill, M. D. (2002). First principles of instruction. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 50(3), 43-59.
- Miñarro-Gimenez, J. A., Egaña Aranguren, M., Martínez Béjar, R., Fernández-Breis, J. T. and Madrid, M. (2011). Semantic integration of information about

- orthologs and diseases: The OGO system. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 44(6), 1020-1031.
- Moody, D. (2003). Measuring the quality of data models: an evaluation of the use of quality metrics in practice. *11th European Conf on Information Systems 2003 Proceedings*, 78.
- Naumann, F., Leser, U. and Freytag, J. C. (1999). Quality-driven integration of heterogenous information systems. *Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on Very Large Data Bases*, 447-458.
- Novák, P., Šindelář, R. and Mordinyi, R. (2014). Integration framework for simulations and SCADA systems. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, 47(0), 121-140.
- Pankowski, T. (2006). Management of executable schema mappings for XML data exchange. *Database Technologies for Handling XML Information on the Web, EDBT 2006 Workshops, LNCS 4254*.
- Pankowski, T. (2008). XML data integration in SixP2P - a theoretical framework. *Data Management in P2P Systems, ACM*, 11-18.
- Peralta, V., Ruggia, R., Kedad, Z. and Bouzeghoub, M. (2004). A framework for data quality evaluation in a data integration system. *The 19^o Simposio Brasileiro de Banco de Dados (SBBD'2004)*, Brasilia, Brasil.
- Preece, A., Jin, B., Pignotti, E., Missier, P., Embury, S., Stead, D., et al. (2006). Managing information quality in e-science using semantic web technology. In Y. Sure and J. Domingue (Eds.), *The Semantic Web: Research and Applications*, 4011, 472-486. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Redman, T. (2005). Measuring data accuracy. In e. R. R. Wang (Ed.), *Information Quality*. 21. Armonk NY: ME Sharpe, Inc.
- Salmi, J. (2009). *The challenge of establishing world-class universities*. World Bank Publications.
- Sandborn, P., Terpenney, J., Rai, R., Nelson, R., Zheng, L. and Schafer, C. (2011). Knowledge representation and design for managing product obsolescence. *Proceedings Of NSF Civil, Mechanical And Manufacturing Innovation Grantees Conference*, Atlanta, Georgia.
- Schulz, S. and Martínez-Costa, C. (2013). How ontologies can improve semantic interoperability in health care. *Process Support and Knowledge*

- Representation in Health Care, 8268, 1-10. Springer International Publishing.
- Shyamala, R., Sunitha, R. and Aghila, G. (2011). Towards learner model sharing among heterogeneous e-learning environments. *International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology (IJEST)*, 3(4), 2034-2040.
- Sonsilphong, S. and Arch-int, N. (2013). Semantic interoperability for data integration framework using semantic web services and rule-based inference: a case study in healthcare domain. *Journal of Convergence Information Technology(JCIT)*, 8(3), 150-159.
- Spector and JonathanMichael. (2014). Conceptualizing the emerging field of smart learning environments. *Smart Learning Environments*, 1(1), 1-10.
- Vanfretti, L. and Farrokhhabadi, M. (2013). Evaluating constructive alignment theory implementation in a power systems analysis course through repertory grids. *Education, IEEE Transactions on*, 56(4), 443-452.
- Vanfretti, L. and Milano, F. (2012). Facilitating constructive alignment in power systems engineering education using free and open-source software. *Education, IEEE Transactions on*, 55(3), 309-318.
- Verstichel, S., Ongenaë, F., Loeve, L., Vermeulen, F., Dings, P., Dhoedt, B., et al. (2011). Efficient data integration in the railway domain through an ontology-based methodology. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 19(4), 617-643.
- Vygotskii, L. S. and Cole, M. (1978). *Mind in society: the development of higher psychological processes*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Wang, Y. and Wang, R. Y. (1996). Anchoring data quality dimensions in ontological foundations. *Commun. ACM*, 39(11), 86-95.
- Wang, C.-C., Pai, W.-C. and Yen, N. Y. (2011). A sharable e-learning platform based on cloud computing. *3rd International Conference on Computer Research and Development (ICCRD)*, 1-5.
- Wang, J. (2012). A quality framework for data integration. In L. MacKinnon (Ed.), *Data Security and Security Data*, 6121, 131-134. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Wang, R. Y. (1998). A product perspective on total data quality management. *Commun. ACM*, 41(2), 58-65.

- Wang, R. Y. and Strong, D. M. (1996). Beyond accuracy: what data quality means to data consumers. *J. Manage. Inf. Syst.*, 12(4), 5-33.
- Warger, T. and Dobbin, G. (2009). Learning environments: where space, technology, and culture converge. *EDUCAUSE Learning Initiative (ELI)*.
- Xiaofei, L., Saddik, A. E. and Georganas, N. D. (2003). An implementable architecture of an e-learning system. *Electrical and Computer Engineering, 2003. IEEE CCECE 2003. Canadian Conference on*, 717-720 vol.712.
- Xuezhong, Z., Baoyan, L., Yinghui, W., Runsun, Z., Ping, L., Shibo, C., et al. (2008). Building clinical data warehouse for traditional chinese medicine knowledge discovery. *BioMedical Engineering and Informatics, 2008. BMEI 615-620*.
- Yunianta, A., Yusof, N., Jayadianti, H., Othman, M. S. and Suhaimi, S. (2014a). Ontology development to handle semantic relationship between moodle e-learning and question bank system. *International Conference on Soft Computing and Data Mining*, 691-701.
- Yunianta, A., Yusof, N., Othman, M. S., Aziz, A. and Dengen, N. (2014b). Analysis and identification of data heterogeneity on learning environment using ontology knowledge. *International Conference on Electrical Engineering, Computer Science and Informatics (EECSI 2014)*, Yogyakarta, Indonesia, 154-160.
- Zhan, C. and O'Brien, P. (2000). Domain ontology management environment. In *System Sciences, 2000. Proceedings of the 33rd Annual Hawaii International Conference on*. 9. IEEE, 4-7.
- Zheng, L. and Terpenney, J. (2013). A hybrid ontology approach for integration of obsolescence information. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 65(3), 485–499.