THE INFLUENCE OF E-PARTICIPATION AS ANTECEDENT ON BEHAVIOURAL INTENTION TO USE AMONG SARAWAK E-FILERS

LIM AI LING

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

THE INFLUENCE OF E-PARTICIPATION AS ANTECEDENT ON
BEHAVIOURAL INTENTION TO USE AMONG SARAWAK E-FILERS

LIM AI LING

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of degree of
Doctor of philosophy

UTM Razak School of Engineering and Advanced Technology
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

SEPTEMBER 2015

DEDICATION

Firstly

To my parents

My father

Lim Tiang Kim

and my mother

Kang Lee Chu

Who set the foundation for my education and who have strong faith in my ability to

sustain the challenging journey to accomplish my PhD.

Secondly

To my two late brothers

Lim Hock Kheng and Ir. Lim Hock Pheng

Who provided spiritual guidance while I went through the hardship and solitude

during my PhD journey.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

ABSTRACT

It is a global trend that many governments use web-based technologies to keep pace with the various changes arising from the economic environment of the times and to encourage greater participatory government. However, despite tremendous e-Government initiatives to improve efficiency of the government management systems, the actual e-Participation among citizens is still lagging. Hence, this study aimed to explore major factors that might explain e-Participatory behaviour. To this effect, the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) model was extended and utilised to: (i) determine the level of e-Participation among Sarawak citizens in the income tax e-Filing initiative; (ii) analyse the performance expectancy, facilitation conditions and effort expectancy of e-Filing services; (iii) determine the level of citizens' satisfaction of the e-Filing systems and (iv) measure the e-Filing information quality and systems quality from Sarawak citizens' perspective. Quantitative methodology was used and self-administered questionnaire technique was adopted for data collection. The sample size in this study is 507. The results indicated that e-Participation (β=. 229), Systems Quality (β=.449), User Satisfaction (β=.066), and Performance Expectancy (β=.149) are significant factors for taxpayers' intention to use the e-Filing whereas Information Quality (β=-.159), Effort Expectancy (β=-.005), and Facilitating Condition (β=-.039) are not supported. The findings from this research shed light to the Inland Revenue Board of Malaysia (IRBM) especially for Information Systems managers or Information Technology and Human Resource managers to increase efforts to improve the structure of the Information Systems and System Quality which would benefit users of e-Government services.

ABSTRAK

Tren global telah mendorong kebanyakan kerajaan menggunakan teknologi berasaskan web untuk berdaya saing dengan pelbagai perubahan persekitaran ekoran perkembangan ekonomi, dan menggalakkan penyertaan kerajaan menggunakan teknologi terkini. Walaupun banyak usaha telah dijalankan oleh kerajaan elektronik (e-Kerajaan) untuk meningkatkan kecekapan sistem pengurusan kerajaan, penyertaan secara elektronik (e-Penyertaan) yang sebenar dalam kalangan rakyat masih lagi kurang. Oleh itu, matlamat penyelidikan ini adalah untuk mengkaji faktor utama yang boleh menerangkan perihal kelakuan e-Penyertaan. Model 'Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT)' telah dikembangkan dan digunakan untuk: (i) menentukan tahap e-Penyertaan rakyat Sarawak terhadap inisiatif e-Pemfailan cukai pendapatan; (ii) menganalisa jangka prestasi, keadaan kemudahan dan jangka usaha perkhidmatan e-Pemfailan; (iii) menentukan tahap kepuasan rakyat terhadap sistem e-Pemfailan, dan (iv) mengukur kualiti maklumat e-Pemfailan dan kualiti sistem daripada perspektif rakyat Sarawak. Metodologi kuantitatif telah digunakan dan teknik soal selidik tadbir sendiri digunapakai untuk mengumpul data. Persampelan kajian ini adalah sebanyak 507 sampel. Hasil keputusan menunjukkan e-Penyertaan (β=. 229), Kualiti Sistem ((β=.449), Kepuasan Pengguna (β=.066), dan Janka Prestasi (β=.149) merupakan faktor penting bagi niat pembayar cukai menggunakan e-Pemfailan manakala Kualiti Maklumat (β=-.159), Jangka Usaha (β=-.005) dan Keadaan Mudah guna (β=-.039) tidak mempengaruhi pembayar cukai. Dapatan kajian ini memberi implikasi kepada Lembaga Hasil Dalam Negeri (LHDM) terutama bagi pengurus Sistem Maklumat atau Teknologi Maklumat, dan pengurus Sumber Manusia untuk mempertingkatkan usaha bagi menambah baik struktur sistem maklumat dan kualiti sistem yang akan memberi manfaat kepada pengguna perkhidmatan e-Kerajaan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF APPENDICES

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1   Introduction

This chapter discusses the research background on e-Government services in Malaysia and the adoption of e-Filing. The background of the research is presented in Section 1.2. The problem statement is presented in Section 1.3 followed by research questions in Section 1.4 and research objectives in Section 1.5. The rationale and the significance of this research are included in Section 1.6 and the scope of research in Section 1.7. Subsequently, the operational definitions of terms which would be applied throughout this work are given in Section 1.8. The chapter ends with the organization of the research.

1.2   Background of Research

Governments around the world have developed e-Government applications especially the e-Filing of income taxes to deliver services to citizens and businesses. However, its outright adoption by citizens' adoption has not reached desired levels. The e-File program in the U.S, introduced to facilitate tax-filing and to enhance compliance, has seen increased adoption over the years from 52.9 million filed returns in 2003, approximately 68 million in 2005 (VanDenburgh and Harmelink, 2006), 80 million in 2007 to approximately 90 million in 2008 (IRS, 2009), 95 million in 2009, 98 million in 2010, 106 million in 2011, 119 million in 2012, 122 million in 2013 and 2014 million in 2014 (IRS, 2015). However, these figures still

fall short of the goal to have 80% of all U.S. citizens file income tax returns electronically.

On the other hand, in India the India Income Tax Department's Vision Documents 2010 had identified quality tax-payer service as a key area of development. The main objective of the department had been defined as "to enable taxpayers to meet their normal tax obligations in a convenient manner without visiting the Income Tax office" (FINMIN, 2008, p.137). In order to achieve this objective, e-Filing income tax return was introduced in India. However, out of 13.5 million salaried taxpayers in India only 27,200 taxpayers submitted their tax returns through e-Filing in year 2007 to 2008, which does not even work out to 1 percent of the total taxpayers. In year 2012, the situation had improved when 7.3 million returns (63.3% of the total taxpayers) were filed online (FINMIN, 2013). However, this figure showed that the citizen participation in e-Filing is still lagging.

In line with the worldwide trend of e-Filing services, the Malaysian government has formulated the Malaysia Vision 2020, which focuses on effective and efficient government services delivery to all Malaysian citizens, enabling the government to be more responsive to the needs of its citizens. The objectives of the Vision 2020 are accelerated through seven innovative Flagship Applications, which is represented by the Multimedia Super Corridor (MSC) in August 1996 (Ramlah et al., 2007). The aim of the MSC is to mount initiatives and create a multimedia haven for innovative producers and users of multimedia technology. Local and foreign companies also have the opportunity to communicate with various government agencies to co-operations that enhance the socio-economic development of Malaysia. One of the seven innovative Flagship Applications in Malaysia is e-Government. (Muhd Rais and Nazariah, 2003).

Among the e-Government services that are gaining importance is the electronic Filing (e-Filing) systems, which was introduced by the Inland Revenue Board of Malaysia (IRBM) in 2005 (Ramlah et al., 2010). In this effort to promote paperless services, the IRBM offers e-Filing to enable taxpayers to perform their tax

filing duty via the Internet, as an alternative to the usual manual hardcopy submission.

The effort should be able to tap potential synergies from the interaction among technologies, the level of education and the enabling environment of knowledge based economies through the provision of various Information Communication Technology (ICT) tools. The focus of e-Government is not technology innovation, but rather utilising information technology in the process of government functions with the purpose of providing better governance, facilitating communication, modernising and improving interactions with citizens.

There is also an immediate action for e-Government and electronic-Commerce (e-Commerce) revolution that would move Malaysia into the information age (Ambali, 2009) and the materialisation of knowledge-based society (Hazman and Ala-aldin, 2000). Hence, the Malaysian government is using the ICT, especially the Internet or web-based networks, to provide service to the people (Zaharah, 2007). The Internet has become a valuable tool for reaching people everywhere and at any time.

The objectives of the Vision 2020 are accelerated through seven innovative Flagship Applications, which is represented by the Multimedia Super Corridor (MSC) in August 1996 (Ramlah et al., 2007). The aim of the MSC is to mount initiatives and create a multimedia haven for innovative producers and users of multimedia technology. It also gives a chance for both local and foreign companies to communicate with various government agencies that would enhance the socio-economic development of Malaysia. Thus, e-Government was one of the seven innovative Flagship Applications in Malaysia (Muhd Rais and Nazariah, 2003). One of the e-Government services that is gaining importance in Malaysia is the electronic Filing (e-Filing) system, which was introduced by the Inland Revenue Board of Malaysia (IRBM) in 2005 (Ramlah et al., 2010). E-Filing allowed taxpayers to submit their income tax details online as an alternative to the usual manual paper submission. Malaysians and non-Malaysians need to perform their tax filing duty to

the Malaysian Government through tax e-Filing via the Internet as the IRBM decided to aim for paperless services.

The IRBM has set its 2011 tax collection target at RM91 billion and also hoped to see a 30 percent increase in the use of the e-Filing system every year (Inland Revenue Board of Malaysia, 2011). According to Dato' Dr. Mohd Shukor B. Hj. Mahfar, the Deputy Director General, Tax Operation Inland Revenue Board of Malaysia (IRBM), the number of e-Filing submissions in year 2006 was below expectation; the Board received only 448,742 tax files, out of 6.4 million taxpayers in Malaysia at that time (The Star, 2006). It was reported that taxpayers found it inconvenient to queue up at the IRBM for a PIN number, while they were also sceptical about the security and privacy of their personal data if the data were to be furnished through an e-Filing system (Ramayah et al., 2007). However, the number of taxpayers who made use of the e-Filing system reached the one and half million mark in year 2009. In the following year 2010, taxpayers' submissions through the e-Filing system increased to 1,544,000 (Inland Revenue Board of Malaysia, 2011), and the number increased to 2,356,212 in 2011, 2,859,437 in 2012 and 3,257,223 in 2013 (Inland Revenue Board of Malaysia, 2014). Despite the encouraging trend, these figures still have not reached to include all the 6.4 million taxpayers in Malaysia.

In Sarawak, the number of e-Filing submissions in Kuching in year 2009 was 50,868 and in year 2010 increased to 58,234, year 2011 increased to 66,881, year 2012 increased to 74,533 and year 2013 increased to 84,059 (Inland Revenue Board of Malaysia, 2014). In Sibu the figure was 24,552 in year 2009, 28,900 in year 2010, 33,130 in year 2011, 38,391 in year 2012 and 44,920 in year 2013 (Inland Revenue Board of Malaysia, 2014). Likewise in Miri in year 2009 the figure was 26,135, year 2010 increased to 29,018, year 2011 increase to 32,159, year 2012 increased to 36,685 and year 2013 increased to 42,367 (Inland Revenue Board of Malaysia, 2014). In Bintulu figure in year 2009 was 13,853, year 2010 increased to 17,280, year 2011 increased to 18,528, year 2012 increased to 21,038 and year 2013 increased to 24,599. Although there was an increase in online submissions, the target of getting all the taxpayers to file returns electronically has still not been reached. Table 1.1 shows the online submission (e-Filing) statistics in Sarawak.

Table 1.1 : Online submission statistics in Sarawak

Kuching	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Total of Taxpayers	323,075	331,103	346,032	362,155	380,780
Total of taxpayers using e-Filing	50,868	58,234	66,881	74,533	84,059
Percentage of using e-Filing	16%	18%	19%	21%	22%
Sibu	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Total of Taxpayers	166,230	171,447	176,690	183,671	190,200
Total of taxpayers using e-Filing	24,552	28,900	33,130	38,391	44,920
Percentage of using e-Filing	15%	17%	19%	21%	24%
Miri	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Total of Taxpayers	179,253	189,130	197,025	205,587	213,148
Total of taxpayers using e-Filing	26,135	29,018	32,159	36,685	42,367
Percentage of using e-Filing	14%	15%	16%	18%	20%
Bintulu	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Total of Taxpayers	71,826	75,345	78,972	83,564	88,885
Total of taxpayers using e-Filing	13,853	17,280	18,528	21,038	24,599
Percentage of using e-Filing	19%	22%	23%	25%	28%

(Source: Inland Revenue Board of Malaysia (IRBM), 2014)

Despite the increase in citizen participation in e-Filing system, it has not been fully utilized by all taxpayers. The low acceptance by taxpayers needed to be examined. The exploratory research conducted by Ramayah et al. (2007) showed that many taxpayers were still using manual filing due to a lack of skills in technology utilisation, or scepticism of online transactions. In contrast with e-Filing, manual filing is the traditional method where taxpayers fill in the form by hand, calculate the amount of annual income or company revenue manually and return the tax forms by post. Upon receiving the tax return forms, the IRBM enters the tax particulars from which the amount of tax due would be processed and calculated. These processes are cumbersome, time-consuming and paper-intensive for both taxpayers and tax agencies.

There is no specific date when manual filing will be put to an end by the IRBM. The Board hopes that through the e-Filing system, it could easily update individual and company databases, which would reduce both the cost of printing tax return forms and the processing time to reach a paperless Taxation Systems (The Star, 1 May 2006). Based on Forrester's research (2001), proper use of electronic tax systems could lead to 70 percent saving of current cost in collecting taxes while improving service quality.

The electronic tax filing method uses tax preparation software. This software uses the personal Digital Certificate which taxpayers can download through the website free of charge. All personal particulars of the taxpayers are available and the software checks submissions for errors and provides suggestions for best return options to taxpayers. Who can immediately submit their form electronically to the IRBM. An acknowledgement page is used as proof of submission for taxpayers. However, the IRBM needs to know the effectiveness of their investment in this e-Government system and be informed about the perceptions of citizens of the system.

The IRBM also provides complementary services in relation to e-Filing systems. These include e-Payment (e-Bayaran), e-Registration (e-Daftar), Tax Agent e-Filing, TAMPS, PCB's calculator, e-data PCB, e-PCB and e-Kemaskini for the convenience of the citizen. Among these services, E-bayaran enables taxpayers to

make their tax payments online thus expediting the payment processes while providing additional payment channels (Suhani, 2010). E-Filing and e-Bayaran systems are both introduced to facilitate taxpayers in fulfilling their tax obligations. However, citizens are still reluctant to take up these convenient services due to a lack of awareness and trust of the e-Bayaran. The functions of the above mentioned e-services of IRBM are shown in Table 1.2.

Table 1.2 : Description of IRBM's e-service applications

IRBM's e-Service	Description
e-Filing	Application that integrates tax preparation, tax filing and planning for tax payment.
e-Daftar	Allows individuals and companies to register income tax.
TAeF	Enables tax agents to file income tax returns on behalf of their clients.
e-Bayaran	Allows individuals to pay income tax through online channel facility.
STAMPS	An electronic system of Assessment and Payment for stamp duty. STAMPS would eventually replace the manual system of using Franking Machine and Hasil stamp.
PCB's calculator	Calculator that helps individuals determine their total payments and schedule their tax deduction.
e-Data PCB	Potongan Cukai Bulanan (PCB) is the Malaysian version of Scheduler Tax deduction. This system helps employers to check the format and upload the CP39 text file online. This file gives the employers guidelines on the submission of data.
e-PCB	System that is provided to employers who do not use computerized payroll systems to help calculate and check PCB. This system helps employers to keep employee information and submit PCB payment data

	online to the IRBM and to ensure that the PCB is calculated correctly and accurately.
e-Kemaskini	An application that allows taxpayers to update their personal particulars in IRBM's database online.

(Source: Inland Revenue Board of Malaysia (IRBM), 2011)

Although technology issues such a standards, data integration, privacy and security are main challenges facing e-Government implementation (Mohsin, 2007). The awareness of the e-Filing concept among our citizens is still in question and not widespread. Therefore, in determining the readiness of Malaysians in using the e-Filing system it is important to gain information such as the significance of transition from manual filing to e-Filing point of view and understanding of self-confidence or behavioural issues towards e-Filing. The low system acceptance by the citizens is an even more prominent hurdle to the proliferation of e-Government projects (Sahu and Gupta, 2007).

The IRBM's main objective is to create and implement an effective tax management system for the taxpayers. Understanding why people are reluctant to accept an e-Government application would throw problem of underutilization and inform the Malaysian government in implementing strategies to increase citizens' adoption of e-Filing. Therefore, in order to implement e-Filing more successfully the government needs to take into consideration that not only taxpayers have to be familiar with the electronic version, the authorities also need to know taxpayers' comfort levels in submitting information online and the system satisfaction of e-Filing.

1.3 Problem Statement

Carter and Belanger (2005) assert that successful e-Government is based on technology and also contingent upon citizen willingness to adopt it. To date, many governments, including governments in the developed countries are still facing the problems of low-level citizen adoption and low acceptance on e-Government

services (Prins, 2001; Fu et al., 2006; Kumar et al., 2007; Belanger and Carter, 2008; Gupta et al., 2008). While preferences and interests of the citizens' actions vary considerably (Gross, 2000), a threshold level of service requirements and satisfaction derived from systems deliveries should be analysed in order to achieve meaningful participation from citizens (Gross, 2000).

The study on citizen participation has been scanty especially on the understanding of user behavioural intention to participate in e-Filing and also on the quality construct on e-Filing in Malaysia (Anna and Yusniza, 2010). To date the issue had been debated by adapting the theoretical approach mainly based on the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and Diffusion of Innovation (DOI) investigating attributes such as perceptions, attitudes and other conceptual matters as the pillars of their studies (Anna and Yusniza, 2010).

Few researchers have studied cognitive styles, personalities and demographics (Zmud, 1979). There is also limited study on individual beliefs towards usage intention of new technology (Agrawal and Prasad, 1999) and the strength of one's intention in performing specific behaviour (Sheppard, Hartwick and Warsaw, 1988). Likewise, the usage intention towards the e-Filing system is also another area that requires more study (Lai et al, 2004). In this study, UTAUT theory will help to explain the mechanisms of user acceptance and usage behaviour by looking into the core concepts of UTAUT such as performance expectancy, effort expectancy and facilitation condition of e-Filing users in Sarawak.

One of the challenges to the intention to use the e-Filing system is the systems quality, evaluated by ease of use, functionality, responsiveness and efficiency (Ambali, 2009). Users in Sarawak may feel demotivated if the navigation is unclear and the download speed is slow. Therefore, perceived website quality which depends on how users evaluate the website's features in meeting users' needs, is a determinant of the overall excellence of the website (Aladwani and Palvia, 2002). In addition, users are more likely to adopt a system perceived to be easier to use and less complex (Teo et al., 1999).

E-Filing may be useful but many people may not want to use it because they perceive the content of the website is not frequently updated, and not carefully managed to meet user satisfaction to use the system. In other words, the content quality of the information does not fulfil their needs of getting pertinent information and users still need to go to the IRBM office to ask for clarifications. The aspect of content quality has further raised another question of how the government could improve website content in an effort to increase the citizens' adoption of e-Filing in Sarawak.

The concept of e-Government is still new in Malaysia. In particular, the State of Sarawak is still facing many constraints with regard to the Information Communication Technology (ICT). The constraints are in many forms such as the lack of Internet savviness, government bureaucracy and the general public's attitude towards public dialogues (Harris et al., 2001). The public dialogues require members of the community to actively participate in the decision-making process (Harris et al., 2001). Community participation in decision-making would eventually improve the process of quality development, which in turn enables the government to be more responsive to the needs of the citizens especially in e-Filing (Ramayah et al., 2006).

However, many more studies should be conducted to improve our knowledge on e-Participation and citizens' perceptions on participating in decision-making, and to compare findings across different countries (Fung and Wright, 2001; Vitri, 2011). While a large amount of literature exists on democratic processes and participation in policymaking, most of the literature does not investigate e-Participation as a facilitator for citizen feedback and performance monitoring of e-Government services (Schaupp et al., 2010). Therefore, this study concentrates on how participatory research can be applied in decision-making to enhance e-Government services, focusing on Sarawak tax e-Filing system, through e-Participation.

1.4   Research Questions

In seeking to achieve the objectives, this study attempts to answer the following research questions:

i.   Do information quality and systems quality explain citizens' intentions towards user satisfaction of e-filing services?

ii.   What is the level of citizens' perceived user satisfaction towards performance expectancy of e-Filing system?

iii.   Do citizens perceive the performance expectancy, facilitating conditions and effort expectancy towards behaviour intention to use?

iv.   Do citizens assess the level of citizens' e-Participation of e-Filing towards Facilitating condition?

1.5   Objectives of the Research

The aim of this research is to investigate factors that influence citizens' behaviour to use the e-Filing taxation systems. The objectives of this research are:

i.   To measure the e-Filing information quality and systems quality from Sarawak citizens' perspectives.

ii.   To determine the level of citizens' satisfaction of the e-Filing systems.

iii.   To analyse the performance expectancy, facilitation conditions and effort expectancy of e-Filing services.

iv.   To determine the level of e-participation among Sarawak citizens in the income tax e-Filing initiatives.

1.6   Significance of the Research

E-Filing taxation systems allows citizens to utilise a number of e-Government services such as electronic payments and submission of documents via internet. On the other hand, the government needs to gauge citizens' perceptions and responsibilities on the e-Filing systems. Hence, this research is significant to these groups of people. They include:

1.6.1   The Government

The Government of Malaysia should benefit from this research as it gives an overall view especially on the systems quality, information quality and e-Participation of the Sarawak citizens in the government websites, citizens' interest, perceptions and acceptance of e-Filing. These findings could assist the Malaysian government in improving the process of decision making on elements such as accountability, responsiveness as well as identify factors that influence taxpayers in adopting e-Filing systems in the future. The Malaysian Government could not only identify changes in citizen satisfaction but also changes in citizens' satisfaction over time, which is important to build up confidence in government programmes. The Government could use this research as a guide to plan its strategies to improve the usage level of the e-Filing system. In Malaysia, the e-Filing system is not compulsory and therefore, it is a challenge for the Malaysian government to encourage the citizens to submit tax returns online and to get citizens to appreciate the simplicity of online process compared to the manual system.

This study could assist IRBM to improve e-Filing performance. It would also help enhance the effectiveness of trainings and seminars targeted at increasing e-Filing usage and compliance.

1.6.2   Users

This research would be beneficial to the users of e-Filing as they would discover the reasons behind their decisions whether to adopt or not to adopt e-Filing and user perception of e-Filing. It can help them to be smarter in evaluating any future technology or innovation in meeting their needs in areas as efficiency in getting information and transparent information channels for the users. Some example of efficient information access include ease in returning files and downloading the forms.

1.6.3   Gateway Provider

With the data and research outcomes the gateway provider can improve the infrastructure to better facilitate e-Filing in Malaysia in the future. The gateway provider can improve the system design and maintain system quality and information quality effectively. It is believed that if citizens cannot complete transactions as anticipated, then the usage of the e-Government service would fall below expectations. The incomplete transaction is perhaps due to a lack of information from the website. Therefore, with these research outcomes, the gateway provider can get feedback from citizens whether information dissemination plays a role in low levels of acceptance of using the e-Filing. This research would give feedback to the gateway provider whether informational phrase is more dominant than the transaction phrase.

1.7   Scope of the Research

Since the e-Filing Taxation systems was not fully utilized by taxpayers from Sarawak, it is worthy to examine the reasons for a slow user acceptance rate for such an important e-government service.

This study showed how participatory research could be applied to enhance citizen participation in e-Government services. It focused on e-Participation behaviour by taxpayers in the state of Sarawak, Malaysia. Hence, e-Participation in e-Filing taxation systems should offer Sarawak citizens with an opportunity for using facilitating conditions provided under e-Government services.

This research examines adoption intentions individual of tax payers such as in working either in public and private sectors in Sarawak such as Kuching, Miri, Sibu and Bintulu. Those are the taxpayers who submitted their tax returns via e-Filing. In literature there are discussions on factors influencing taxpayers' intention in adopting e-Government applications. The demographic profile of Sarawak taxpayers also analysed to uncover the differences between age groups that may lead to different behaviourial intentions to use e-Filing systems. In this study, taxpayers' different intentions had been explored to analyse their impact on Sarawak taxpayers' acceptance on e-Filing services and also to determine the level of citizens satisfaction towards the e-Filing services focusing various aspects such as the systems quality (SQ) and information quality (IQ) features as had been perceived by taxpayers. This would generally determine the level of participation on e -Filing services among Sarawak taxpayers.

1.8   Operational Definition of Terms

1.8.1   E-Filing or Electronic Tax Filing

E-Filing is defined as the transmission of tax information directly to the tax administration using the Internet. According Lai et al., (2004), defines e-Filing a system that utilised Internet technology and tax software for tax administration and compliance.

1.8.2   E-Government

E-Government is defined as the use of information and technology to support and improve public policies and government operations, engage citizens, provide comprehensive and timely government services. Similarly, the World Bank (2008) defines e-Government as the use by government agencies of information technologies that have the ability to transform relations with citizens, business and other arms of the government.

1.8.3   System Quality

Systems quality is the measure of a computer system in terms of functionality, reliability, usability and efficiency as the determinants of overall user satisfaction.

1.8.4   Information Quality

Information quality is defined as the degree to which quality information is provided to the users to fulfil their needs of getting the appropriate information. The content of the website is the most important element of success and determinant of user satisfaction. Good website design must fulfil users' needs for information.

1.8.5   E-Participation

E-participation is defined as the use of ICT especially Internet-based technologies to promote citizen participation in the political sphere. E-Participation would be able to enhanced communication channels and better informed decision making by citizens.

1.8.6 Facilitating Condition

Facilitating conditions are the degree to which an individual believes that an organizational and technical infrastructure exists to support use of the system.

1.8.7 Performance Expectancy

Performance Expectancy is the degree to which an individual perceives the usefulness of a system in improving his/her job performance.

1.8.8 Effort Expectancy

Effort Expectancy is user perception/belief of the amount of effort required of the use of the system.

1.8.9 User Satisfaction

User satisfaction is the measure for the success or effectiveness of user experience related to Information System satisfaction and System quality satisfaction.

1.9 Organization of the Thesis

This section provides an overview of the entire contents of the thesis. The thesis is organised into six chapters.

Chapter 1 discussed the research background of e-Filing adoption, problem statement, followed by the research questions and objectives.

Chapter 2 reviewed literature relating to other researchers leading to their research findings. An overview on e-Government in Malaysia, major issues on the adoption of e-Government services and the integrated model of the UTAUT had been described in this Chapter.

Chapter 3 detailed the theoretical development of this research model and a rationale for the relationship within the proposed theoretical model. Include in this Chapter are research hypotheses.

Chapter 4 highlighted the approach and methodology that were used in gaining information and data collection, questionnaire development, analysis of research data.

Chapter 5 presented data analysis using SPSS version 20 and AMOS version 20 and discussion of results from hypotheses testing.

Chapter 6 provided conclusion based on results of this study, shared some contribution of this work from theoretical and practical perspectives and limitations of the study. Finally some recommendations for future work were forwarded.

REFERENCES

Accenture.(2005). Leadership in Customer. Retrieved on December 15, 2011, from http://www.accenture.com/xdoc/ca/locations/canada/insights/studies/leadership_cust.pdf

Agarkhani, M. (2005). The Effectiveness of e-Services in Local Government: A Case Study. *Electronic Journal of e-Government*. 3(4), 257-266.

Agarwal, R., and Prasad, J. (1997). The Role of Innovation Characteristics and Perceived Voluntariness in the Acceptance of Information Technologies. *Decision Sciences*. 28(3), 557-582.

Agarwal, R., and Prasad, J.(1999). Are individual differences germane to the acceptance of new technologies. *Decision Sciences*. 30(2), 361-391.

Agre, P.E. (2002). Real Time Politics: The Internet and the Political Process. *The Information Society*. 18, 311-331.

Ahituv, N. (1980). A Systematic Approach toward Assessing the Value of an Information System. *MIS Quarterly*. 4(4), 61-75.

Ahmadi, Z. (2011). E-Government in Islamic Republic of Iran: Identifying the Obstacles of implementing and strategies for improving E-Government. *5th Symposium and Advance in Science and Technology*.

Ahn, T., Ryu, S., and Han, I. (2007). The Impact of Web Quality and Playfulness on User Acceptance of Online Retailing. *Information & Management*.44 (3), 263–275.

Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. Organizational Behavior and Human. *Decision Processes*. 50, 179–211.

AlAwadhi, S., Chartfiled, S., and Morris, A. (2008). The use of the UTAUT Model in the Adoption of E-government services in Kuwait. *Proceedings of Hawaii International Conference on System Science, A*, 219-229.

AlAwadhi, S. and Morris, A. (2008). The use of the UTAUT Model in adoption of e-government services in Kuwait. Proceedings of the *41th Hawaii International Conference on System Science*, 288-291.

Alba, J., Lynch, J., Weitz, B., Janiszewski, C., Lutz, R., Sawyer, A., and Wood, S. (1997). Interactive Home Shopping: Consumer, Retailer, and Manufacturer Incentives to Participate in Electronic Marketplaces. *Journal of Marketing*. 61 (3), 38-53.

Albert, T.C., Goes, P.B., and Gupta, A. (2004). GIST: A model for design and Management of content and interactivity of customer-centric web sites. *MIS Quarterly*. 28(2), 161-182.

Aladwani, A. M., and Palvia, P.C. (2002). Developing and Validating an Instrument for Measuring User-Perceived Web Quality. *Information and Management*. 39(6), 467-476.

Al-Gahtani, S. S., Hubona, G. S., and Wang, J. (2007). Information technology (IT) in Saudi Arabia: Culture and the acceptance and use of IT. *Information and Management*. 44(8), 681-691.

Alhujran, O., Mahmoud, A., and Anas, A. (2011). The Role of National Culture and Citizen Adoption of eGovernment Services: An Empirical Study. *Electronic Journal of e-Government*. 9(2), 93-106.

Al-Shafi, S., and Weerakkody, V. (2010). Factors affecting e-government adoption in the state of Qatar. *European and Mediterranean Conference on Information Systems (Emcis). Abu Dhabi. UAE*, 231-245.

Alshihi, H. (2006). Critical factors in the adoption and diffusion of E-government initiatives in Oman. *Journal of Computer Science*. 3(6), 365-375.

Alsudary, M. A. (2005), E-service quality strategy: Achieving customer satisfaction in online banking. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology*. 38(1), 6-24.

Amaratunga, D., Baldry, D., Sarshar, M., and Newton, R. (2002). Quantitative and Qualitative Research in the Built Environment: Application of Mixed Research Approah. *Emeral Work Study*. 51(1), 17-31.

Ambali, A, R. (2009). E-Government Policy: Ground Issues in E-Filing System. *European Journal of Social Sciences*. 11(2), 249-266.

American Society for Public Administration (ASPA). (2002).*Benchmarking e-government: A global perspective*. New York, NY.: U.N. Publications.

Aminul, Islam., Hasliz, Y., Sallha Y., and Atika J. (2012). Factors affecting user satisfaction in the Malaysian income tax e-filing system. *African Journal of Business Management*. 6(21), 6447-6455.

Amritesh., Subhas, C., and Jayanta, C. (2012). Examining Information Quality for EGovernment services: Towards a conceptual model. *Journal of Social Science*. 31 (2), 127-134.

Andersen, K., Henriksen, H., and Medaglia, R. (2007). Costs of e-participation: the management challenges Transforming Government: People and Policy. *Journal of Social Science*. 1(1), 29-43.

Anderson, J. C., and Gerbing, D. W. (1988). Structural equation modelling in practice: Are view and recommended two-step approach. *Psychological Bulletin*. 103(3), 411-423.

Angello, C., and Wema, E. (2010). Availability and usage of ICTs and e-resources by livestock researchers in Tanzania: Challenges and ways onward. *International Journal of Education and Development using Information and Communication Technology*. 6 (1).

Anna, C. A., and Yusniza, K. (2010). Adoption of tax e-filing: A conceptual paper. *African Journal of Business Management*. 4(5), 599-603.

Anna, C.Z., and Ng, L. B. (2010). The Acceptance of the e-Filing System by Malaysian Taxpayers: A Simplified Model. *Electronic Journal of e-Government*. 8(1), 13-22.

Anttiroiko, A. (2010). Innovation in Democratic E-government: Benefitting from Web 2.0 Application in Public Sector. *International Journal of Electronic Government Research*. 6(2), 18-36.

Arbuckle, J. and Wothke, W. (1999). AMOS 4 user's Reference Guide. Chicago, Smallwaters Corp.

Aryati, J. S., Ahmad, J. A.K., and Idawati, I.(2005). Perceptions Of Electronic Filing (E-Filing) Among Tax Agents, Research Report (Faculty Grant). *Faculty Of Accountancy*.: Universiti Utara Malaysia.

ASPA. (2001). American Society for Public Administration Home Page. American Society for Public Administration. Retrieved on May 12, 2002, from Http://www.aspanet.org

Au, N., Ngai, E.W.T., and Cheng, T.C.E. (2002). A Critical Review Of End-User Information System Satisfaction Research And A New Research Framework. Omega: *The International Journal of Management Science*. 7(3), 451-478.

Avgerou, C. and Walsham, G.(2000). *Information Technology in Context: Studies from the Perspective of Developing Countries*. (5th ed.).: Prentice Hall.

Azmi, A, C., and Ng B, L. (2010). The Acceptance Of The e-Filing System By Malaysian Taxpayers: A Simplified Model. *Electronic Journal of E-Government*. 8 (1), 13-22.

Baker, L., and Oswald, D. (2010). Shyness and Online Social Networking Services. *E-Publication*. 11(1), 1-17.

Bagozzi, R. P. (1988). Evaluating Structural Equation Models With Unobservable Variables And Measurement Error: A Comment. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 2(1), 375-381.

Bagozzi, R. P. (1992). The Self-Regulation Of Attitudes, Intentions And Behavior. *Social Psychology Quarterly*. 55(1), 178–204.

Bailey, J. E., and Pearson, S. W. (1983). Development of a Tool for Measuring and Analyzing Computer User Satisfaction. *Management Science*. 29(5), 530-545.

Balas, E. A., Austin, S. M., Mitchell, J. A., Ewigman, B. G., Bopp, K. D., and Brown, G. D.(1996). The Clinical Value of Computerized Information Services: A Review of 98 Randomized Clinical Trials. *Journal of Management Science*. 5(5), 271-278.

Ballou, D. (2006). Modelling Data and Process Quality In Multi-Input, Multi-Output Information System. *Management Science*. 34(2), 150-162.

Balutis, A. P. (2001). E-government 2001-Part 1: Understanding the Challenge And Evolving Strategies. *The Public Manager*. 5(2), 33-37.

Baron, R. M., and Kenny, D. A. (1986). The Moderator-Mediator Variable Distinction In Social Psychological Research: Conceptual, Strategic and Statistical Considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 51(1), 1173-1182.

Baum, C., and Maio, A.D. (2000).*Gartner's Four Phases of e-Government Model. e-Government Strategies-State and Local*. Stamford: Gartner Group Inc.

Bavarsad, B., and Mennatyan, A. (2013). A Study of The Effect Of Technology Acceptance Factors On Users' Satisfaction of e-Government Services. *World Applied Programming*. 3(5), 190-199.

Bayus, B.L. (1992).The Dynamic Pricing Of Next Generation Consumer Durables.*Marketing Science*. 11(3), 251–265.

Bearden, W.O., Netmeyer, R.G., and Mobley, M.F. (1993). *Handbook Of Marketing Scales: Multi-Item Measures For Marketing And Consumer Behaviour Research*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Becker, L. R., and Oswald, D. L. (2010). Shyness And Online Social Networking Services. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationship*. 27(7), 17-25.

Becker. T., and Ohlin, T. (2006). The Improbable Dream. Measuring Power Of Internet Deliberation In Setting Public Agenda And Influencing Public Planning Policies. *Journal of Public Deliberation*. 2(1), 25-35.

Belanger, F., and Carter, L. (2008). Trust and Risk in e-Government Adoption. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*. 17(2), 165-176.

Bem, D.J., Allen, A. (1974). On predicting some of the people some of the time: The Search for Cross-Situational Consistencies in Behavior. *Psychological Review*. 81(6), 506-520.

Ben, H. S., Gueniara, F.S. and Oudriga, N. B. (2002). Security Issues In e-Government Models: What Governments Should Do. *Conference Proceedings, IEEE International Conference on Systems*, Man and Cybermatics. Hammamet. Tunisia, 78-89.

Bergeron, F., Rivard, S., De Serre, L. (1990). Investigating the Support Role of the Information Center. *MIS Quarterly*, 14(3), 247-259.

Bernadette, S., and Scamell, R.W. (1993).The Effects of Information System User Expectations on Their Performance and Perceptions. *MIS Quarterly*. 17(4), 493 - 516.

Bentler, P. (1990). Comparative Fit Indexes In Structural Model. *Psychological Bulletin*, 238-246.

Best, S, J., and Krueger, B, S. (2005). Analyzing The Representativeness Of Internet Political Participation. *Political Behaviour*. 27(2), 183-216.

Bevan, N. (1999). Quality In Use: Meeting Use Needs for Quality. *Journal of System and Software*. 49(1), 89-96.

Bhattacherjee, A. (2001). Understanding Information Systems Continuance: An Expectation-Confirmation Model. *MIS Quarterly*. 25(3), 351 – 370.

Bhattacherjee, A., and Premkumar, G. (2004). Understanding Changes In Belief And Attitude Toward Information Technology Usage: A Theoretical Model And Longitudinal Test. *MIS Quarterly*. 28(2), 229 – 254.

Bhimani, A. (1996). Securing The Commercial Internet. *Communications of ACM*. 39(6), 29-35.

Bollen, K. A. (1989). *Structural Equation With Latent Variables*. New York: Willey.

Bollen, K., and Long, J. (1993). *Testing Structural Equation Models*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Bollen, K. A., and Stine, R. (1990). Direct and Indirect Effect: Classical And Bootstrap Estimates of Variability. *Sociological Methodology*. 20(1), 115-140.

Bonham, G, S., and Thorson, S. (2001). The Transformation Potential Of eGovernment: The Role Of Political Leadership. *Proceeding of the 4ᵗʰ Pan European International Relationship Conference, UK*, 45-59.

Boomsma, A. (1983). *On The Robustness Of LISREL Against Small Sample Size and Nonnormality*. Amsterdam: Sociametric Research Foundation.

Boomsma, A., and Hoogland, J.J. (2001). The Robustness of SEM Modelling: Present and Future. *Scientific Software International*. 139-168.

Boudriga, N. (2002). Technical Issues In Securing e-Government. *Conference Proceedings. IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybermatics*, Hammamet. Tunisia, 69-78.

Bovee, M. (2004). Empirical Validation Of The Structure Of An Information Quality Model.*International Conference on Information Quality*, 3(1), 123-156.

Bozionelos, N. (1996). Psychology of computer use: Prevalence of Computer Anxiety in British Managers and Professionals. *Psychological Report*, 78(3), 995-1002.

Boyd, D. M., and Ellison, N. B . (2007). Social Networking Sites: Definition , History And Scholarship. *Computer-Mediated Communication*. 13(1), 11-17.

Brandon, B. H., and Carlitz, R. D. (2003). *Online Rulemaking And Other Tools For Strengthening Our Civil Infrastructure*. Administrative Law Review Forthcoming: Prentice Hall.

Bray, J.H., and Maxwell, S. E. (1985). Multivariate Analysis of Variance. Newbury Park: Sage Publications.

Brown T. (2010). The Company And The Product: Corporate Associations And Consumer Product Responses. *Journal of Business Research*. 2(1), 61-69.

Browne, M., and Cudeck, R. (1993). *Alternative Ways Of Assessing Model Fit*. SAGE.

Brucher, H., and Baumberger, P. (2003). Using Mobile Technology To Support eDemocracy. *Proceedings of the 36ᵗʰ Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*. Hawaii International Conferences On System Sciences, 59-79.

Bruhn, M., and Georgi, D. (2006). Information-Based Analysis Of Service Quality Gaps – Managing Service Quality By Internal Marketing. *Journal of Professional Services Marketing*. 21(2), 105–124.

Bwoma, P.O., and Huang, Z. (2003). An Overview Of Critical Issues of e-Government. *Issues of Information Systems*. 4(1), 164-170.

Byrne, B. M. (2001). *Structural Equation Modelling With Amos. Basic concepts, applications and programming*. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers.

Cafferky, M.E. (1995). *Let Your Customers Do The Talking: Word of Mouth Marketing Tactics*. Chicago: Upstart Publishing.

Cairney, J., Hay, J., Faught, E., Corna, L., and Flouris, A. (2005). Developmental Coordination Disorder, Generalized Self-Efficacy Toward Physical Activity and Participation in Organized and Free Play Activities. *The Journal of Pediatrics*. 147(4), 515-520.

Carenini, M., Whyte, A., Bertovrello, L., and Vonanchi, M. (2007). Improving Communication In EDemocracy Using Natural Language Processing. *Journal of Computer Society*. 22(1), 20-27.

Carmines, G.E., and Zeller, R. A. (1979). *Reliability and Validity Assessment. Series of Quantitative Application In Social Sciences*. SAGE University Paper.

Carter, L., and Belanger, F. (2005). The Utilization of E-government Services: Citizen Trust. Innovation and Acceptance Factors. *Information Systems Journal*. 15(1), 5-25.

Carter, L., and Belanger, F. (2004). The Influence Of Perceived Characteristics Of Innovating On e-Government Adoption. *Electronic Journal of e-government*. 2(1), 11-20.

Carter, L., and Schaupp, L. C. (2009). Relating Acceptance and Optimism Bias to e-File Adoption. *International Journal of Electronic Government Research*. 5(3), 62-74.

Carter, L., and Weerakkody, V. (2008). E-Government Adoption: A Cultural Comparison, Information Systems Frontiers. *Springer*. 10(4), 473-482.

Case of Travel Search Engines . In Information and Communication Technologies in Tourism. Vienna: *Springer*. 371-382.

Castillo, U. J. (2009). Convenience Sampling. *Electronic Market*. 23(1), 59-80.

Cavana, R., Delahaye, B. and Sekaran, H. (2001). *Applied Business Reseach: Qualitative and Quantitative Methods*. Australia: John Wiley and Sons.

Cecchini, S., and Raina, M. (2004). Electronic Government and the Rural Poor: The Case of Gyandoot. *Information Technologies and International Development*. 2(2), 65-75.

Chae, M., and Kim, J. (2002). Information Quality for Mobile Internet Services: A Theoretical Model with Empirical Validation. *Electronic Market*. 12(1), 38-46.

Chang, I. C., Hwang, H. G., Hung, W. F., and Li, Y.C. (2007). Physicians Acceptance Of Pharmacokinetics Based Clinical Decision Support System. *Expert Systems with Application*. 33(2), 296-303.

Chang, I., Li Y., Hung W., and Hung, H. (2005). An Empirical Study On The Impact Of Quality Antecedents On Taxpayers Acceptance of Internet Tax Filing Systems. *Government Information Quarterly*. 22(3), 389-410.

Chang, I.C., Li, Y.C., Hung, W.F., and Hwang, H. G. (2005). An Empirical Study On The Impact Of Quality Antecedents On Tax Payers' Acceptance Of Internet Tax-Filling Systems. *Government Information Quartely*. 22(3), 389-410.

Changchit, C., Holsapple, C. W., and Madden, D. L. (2001). Supporting Managers' Internal Control Evaluations: An Expert System And Experimental Results. *Decision Support Systems*. 30(4), 437-449.

Chau, P.Y.K., and Hu, P.J.H. (2001). Information Technology Acceptance By Individual Professional: A Model Comparison Approach. *Decision Science*. 32(4), 699-719.

Chen, C., Tseng, S., and Huang, H. (2006). A Comprehensive Study Of The Digital Divide Phenomenon In Taiwanese Government Agencies. *International Journal Of Internet And Enterprise Management*. 4(3), 244-256.

Chen, Yu-Che. (2010). Citizen-centric E-government Services: Understanding Integrated Citizen Service Information Systems. *Social Science Computer Review*. 28(4), 427-442.

Chin, W., and Todd, A. (1995). On The Use, Usefulness And Ease Of Use Of Structural Equation Modelling In MIS Research: A Note Of Caution. *MIS Quarterly*, 19(2), 237-246.

Choudrie, J. and Y. Dwivedi. (2005*). A Survey of Citizens Adoption and Awareness of E-Government Initiatives, The Government Gateway: A United Kingdom Perspective*. E-Government Workshop London: Brunel University.

Christos, H., Babis, M., Xeria , P., and Gregonis M. (2007). Classification And Synthesis Of Quality Approaches In e-Government Services. *Emeral*. 17(4), 378-401.

Ciborra, C., and Navarra, D. (2005). Good Governance, Development Theory And Aid Policy: Risks and Challenges of E-Government in Jordan. *Journal of Information Technology for International Development*. 11(2). 128-140.

Coakes, J.S. (2006). *SPSS for Windows. Analysis Without Anguish Using SPSS (Version 3)*. London: Jacaranda Wiley Ltd.

Colesca, S. (2009). Understanding Trust In e-Government. *Engineering Decisions Journal*. 3(1), 7-15.

Colesca, S.E., and Dobrica, L. (2008). Adoption And Use Of e-Government Services: The Case Of Romania. *Journal of Applied Research and Technology*. 6(3), 204-217.

Compeau, D. R., and Higgins, C. A. (1995). Application Of Social Cognitive Theory To Training For Computer Skills. *Information Systems Research*. 6(2), 118-143.

Conklin, A., and White, G. B. (2006). E-Government and Cyber Security: The Role Of Cyber Security Exercises. Conference Proceedings, *39th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, Hawaii.*

Cooper, C., and Schindler, P. (2008). *Business Research Methods* (10 ed.). Boston: McGraw-Hill.

Coursey, D., Yang, K., and Norris, D. (2007). E-government Adoption in U.S Local Government: Bridging Public Management and Institutional Explanation in a Pooled Time Series Model. *In the 9ᵗʰ Public Management Research Conference*, Arizona

Creighton, J.L .(2005). *The Public Participation Handbook: Making better decisions through citizen involvement San Francisco*. London: Jossey-Bass.

Criado, G., and Ramilo, M. (2003). E-Government In Practice: An Analysis Of Website Orientation To The Citizens In Spanish Municipalities. *The International Journal of Public Sector Management*. 126(3), 191-218.

Cummins, R.A. and Gullone, E.(2000). Why we should not use 5-point Likert scales: The case for subjective quality of life measurement. Proceedings, Second International Conference on Quality of Life in Cities (pp.74-93). Singapore, National University of Singapore.

Curwell, S., Deakin, M., Cooper, I., Paskaleva-Shapira, K., Ravetz, J., and Babicki, D. (2005). Citizen Expectations Of Information Cities: Implication For Urban Planning And Design. *Building Research and Information*. 33(1), 55-66.

D'Agostino, M., Schwester, R., Carizales, T., and Melitski, J. (2011). A study Of eGovernment and e-Governance. An Empirical Examination Of Municipal Websites. *Public Administration Quarterly*. 35(1), 3-25.

Dabholkar, P. A. (1994). Incorporating Choice Into An Attitudinal Framework: Analyzing Model of Mental Comparison Process. *Journal of Consumer Research*. 21(2), 100-118

Daire, H., Coughlan, J., and Mullen, M. (2008). Structural Equation Modelling: Guidelines for Determining Model Fit. *Electronic Journal of Business Research Methods*. 6(1), 53-60.

Dalakiouridou, E., Tambouris, E., and Tarabanis. E. (2009). Mapping The State Of Play in eParticipation. *The International Journal of Public Sector Management*. 12(3), 19-28.

Davis, F,D., Bagozzi, R,P., and Warshaw, P.R. (1992). Extrinsic and Intrasic Motivation to Use computers in the Workplace. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*. 22(14), 1111-1132.

Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use and User Acceptance of Information Technology. *MIS Quarterly*. 13(3), 319-339.

Dawes, J. (2008). Do Data Characteristic Change According To The Number Of Scale Points Used. *International Journal of Market Research*. 50(1), 61-77.

Dawes, J. (2008). Do Data Characteristic Change According To The Number Of Scale Points Used. *International Journal of Market Research*. 50(1), 61-77.

De Vaus, D. (1993). Research Design in Social Research. London: SAGE publication.

Debjani B., Umesh G., and M.P. Gupta. (2011). E-service Quality Model For Indian Government Portals: Citizens' Perspective. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*. 25(3), 246-271.

Deloitte, R. (2002). *At The Dawn of e-Government: The Citizens as Customer*. London: Public Sector Institute.

DeLone, W. H., and McLean, E. R. (1992). Information Systems Success: The Quest For The Dependent Variable. *Information Systems Research*. 3(1), 60-95.

DeLone, W.H., and McLean, E.R. (2003). The DeLone And McLean Model Of Information Systems Success: A Ten Year Update. *Journal of Management Information Systems*. 19(4), 9-30.

DeLone, W.H., and McLean, E.R. (2004). Measuring E-Commerce Success: Applying The DeLone and McLean Information Systems Success Model. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce.* 9(1), 31-47.

Department of ELX, (2011). Retrieved on December 2, 2011, from http://www.ELX.com.my

Department of GOE, (2011). Retrieved on December 2, 2011, from http://www.mampu.gov.my/web/bi_mampu/eng_GOE.

Department of Hasil (Inland Revenue Board Malaysia), (2011). Retrieved on December 2, 2011, from http://www.hasil.org.my.

Department of HRMIS, (2011). Retrieved on December 2, 2011, from http://www.mohr.gov.my.

Department of Jabatan Kehakiman Syariah Malaysia, (2011). Retrieved on December 2, 2011, from http://www.esyariah.gov.my.

Department of MATRADE, (2011). Retrieved on December 2, 2011, from http://www.matrade.gov.my.

Department of MITI, (2011). Retrieved on December 2, 2011, from http://www.miti.gov.my/cms/index.jsp.

Department of SPP, (2011). Retrieved on December 2, 2011, from http://www.sains.com.my/sains/solutions/gov_dpms.shtml.

Department of Statistic Malaysia (2010). Retrieved on December 2, 2011, from http://www.statistics.gov.my.

Department of TNB, (2011). Retrieved on December 2, 2011, http://www.tnb.com.my.

Department of Tourism Malaysia, (2011). Retrieved on December 2, 2011, from http://www.tourism.gov.my.

Dickson, J., Byblow, W. D., and Ryan, L. A. (1993). Order Effects And The Weighting Process In Workload Assessment. *Applied Ergonomics*. 2(5), 357-361.

Dimitrova, D., and Chen, Y. C. (2006). Profiling The Adopters Of e-Government Information Services: The Influence Of Psychological Characteristics Civic

Mindedness and Information Channels. *Social Science Computer Review*. 24(2), 172-188.

Dorasamy, M., Raman, M., and Kaliaman M. (2010). E- Government Services Online: An Exploratory Study On Tax e-Filing In Malaysia. *International Journal of Electronic Government Research*. 6(4), 430-449.

Downing, C. E. (1999). System Usage Behaviour as a Proxy for User Satisfaction: An Empirical Investigation. *Information and Management*. 35(4), 203-216.

Dunleavy, P., Margetts, H., Bastow, S., Tinkler, J., and Yared, H .(2001). Policy Learning and Public Sector Information Technology: Contractual and E-Government Changes in the UK, Australia, and New Zealand. Paper presented at the *Annual Conference of the American Political Science Association 2001*, 28 August -1 September, Hilton Hotel, San Francisco, US

Dwivedi, Y., and Irani, Z. (2009). Understanding The Adopters and Non-Adopters Of Broadband. *Communications of the ACM*. 52(1), 122-125.

Dwivedi, Y.K., Williams, M.D., and Lal, B. (2008). The Diffusion Of Research On The Adoption And Diffusion Of Information Technology, in *proceedings of the IFIP8.6 Conference*.

Ebrahim, Z., and Irani, Z. (2005). E-Government Adoption: Architecture and Barriers. *Business Process Management Journal*. 11 (5), 589-611.

Economist, T. (2008). The Good, Bad, And The Inevitable, In A Special Report On Government and Technology. Empirical Analysis Of The Role Of Use Facilitators. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*. 12(1), 67–75.

Ehsan, H., and Perwez, R. (2011). Analyzing Barriers In e-Government Implementation In Pakistan. *International Journal for Infonomics*. 4(3), 494-500.

European Information Society. (2004). *E-Government Interoperability and Pan European Services*. SAGE publication.

Evans, D., and Yen, D. (2006). E-Government: Evolving Relationship Of Citizens And Government, Domestic And International Development. *Government Information Quarterly*. 23(2), 207-235.

Fang, Z. (2002). E-Government In Digital Era: Concept, Practice, and Development. International Journal of The Computer. *The Internet and Management*. 10(2), 1-22.

Featherman, M.S., and Pavlou, P.A. (2003). Predicting e-Services Adoption: A Perceived Risk Facets Perspective. *International Journal of Human Computer Studies*. 59 (1), 451-474.

Ferber, R. (1977). Research by convenience. *Journal of Consumer Research*. 3(2), 57-58.

Field, A. (2005). *Discovering Statistics using SPSS*. (2nd ed.) London: SAGE publication.

Fink, A. (2006). *How to Conduct Surveys: A Step by Step Guide*. (4th ed.). USA: Cygnus Software Ltd.

FINMIN (2008), *Indian Income Tax Report*, Government of India, New Delhi.

FINMIN (2013), *Ministry of Finance Annual Report 2007-08*, Government of India, New Delhi.

Fishbein, M., and Ajzen, I. (1975). *Belief, Attitude, Intention and Behaviour: An Introduction to Theory and Research*. London: Addison-Wesley Reading.

Floropoulos, J., Spathis, C., Halvatzis, D., and Tsipouridou, M. (2010). Measuring The Success Of The Greek Taxation Information System. *International Journal of Information Management*. 30(1), 47-56.

Fornell, C., and Larcker, D. (1981). Evaluating Structural Equation Models With Unobservable Variables and Measurement Error. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 18(1), 39-50.

Forrester Research Inc., April. (2001). e-Filing Kick-Starts Government. *Government Information Quarterly*. 20(1), 333-352.

Fu, J. R., Farn, C. K., and Chao, W. P. (2006). Acceptance of Electronic Tax Filing: A Study of Taxpayer Intentions. *Informantion & Management*. 43(2), 109-126.

Fung, A., and Wright, E. (2001). Deepening Democracy: Innovations in Empowered Participatory Governance. *Politics & Society*. 29(1), 5-41.

Gallant, L., Culnan, M. and McLoughlin, P. (2007). Why People e-File (or Don't e-File) their Income Taxes. *Proceedings of the 40th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*,107-112.

George, D. and Mallery, P. (2003). *SPSS for window step by step: A simple guide and references* (4thed): London: Pearson Education, Inc.

George, H. (1996). *The good usability handbook*. (3rd ed.). London: McGraw-Hill.

Ghose, R. (2005). The Complexities Of Citizen Participation Through Collaborative Governance. *Space and Polity*. 9(1), 61-75.

Gichoya, D. (2005). Factor Affecting the Successful Implementation of ICT Projects In Government. *The Electronic Journal of eGovernment*. 3(4), 175-184.

Gilbert, D., Balestrini, P., and Littleboy, D. (2004). Barriers and Benefits in The Adoption Of e-Government. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*. 17(4), 286-301.

Gorla, N., Somers, T.M., and Wong, B. (2010). Organisations Impacts of System Quality, Information Quality and Service Quality. *The Journal of Strategic Information System*. 19(3). 207-228.

Grant, R., and Danziger, J. N. (2007). Corporate E-learning: Exploring Implementation and Theory. *Academy of Management Journal*. 3(1), 6-23.

Grier, S., and Brumbaugh, A. (2006). Insight From A Failed Experiment: Direction For The Pluralistic Multi-Ethnic Research. *Journal of Advertising*. 35(5), 35-46.

Gross, P. (2000). Technological Support For eDemocracy: History and Perspective *11th International Workshop on Database and Expert system application*.

Guilkey, D. K., and Murphy, J. L. (1975). Directed Ridge Regression Techniques In Cases of Multicollinearity. *Journal of the America. Statistical Assn*. 70(1), 769-775.

Gulati, R., and Garino, J. (2000). Get The Right Mix Of Bricks and Clicks. *Harvard Business Review*. 78(3), 107–114.

Gupta, B., Dasgupta, S., and Gupta, A. (2008). Adoption of ICT In Government Organization In A Developing Country: An Empirical Study. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*. 17(2), 140-154.

Habermas, J. (1996). *Between Facts And Norms: Contributions To A Discourse Theory Of Law And Democracy Cambridge*. Mass: MIT Press.

Hae, J. S. (2006). E-government in developing Countries – Lessons Learned from Republic of Korea. UNESCO.

Hair Jr, J. F., Anderson, R. E., Tatham, R. L., and Black, W. C. (1998).Multivariate Data Analysis. (5th ed.). Sydney: Prentice Hall. 1998.

Hair, J. F., Black, B., Babin, B., Anderson, R. E., and Tatham, R. L. (2006). *Multivariate Data Analysis*(6th ed.). Eaglewood Cliff, New Jersey: Prentice Hall.

Hair, J.F., Anderson, R.E., Tatham, R.L., and Black, W.C. (2007). *Multivariate data Analysis* (6th ed.). New Jersey: Prentice Hall International, Inc.

Harris, J.F., and Schwartz, J. (2000, June 22). Anti Drug Website Tracks Visitors. *Washington Post*. p.23.

Harris, R., Bala, P., Songan, P., Khoo, E. G., and Trang, T. (2001). *Challenges And Opportunities In Introducing Information and Communication Technologies To The Kelabit Community of North Central Borneo*. New Media and Society: Sage Publication.

Hayes, A. F. (2009). Beyond Baron and Kenny: Statistical Mediation Analysis In The New Millenium. *Communication Monographs*. 76(1), 408-420.

Hazman, S. and Ala-aldin, A. (2000). A study Of The Use Of Information Technology And Its Impact On Service Quality In Malaysia Public Sector, paper *presented in Europe Hong Kong conference*.

Heeks, R., and Bailur, S. (2007). Analyzing e-Government Research: Perspectives, Philosophies, Theories, Methods And Practice. *Government Information Quarterly*. 24(1), 243-265.

Heeks, R. (2003). Most eGovernment For Development Project Fail: How Can Risk Be Reduces?, government Working Paper Series, Paper no. 14.

Heldal, F., Sjovold, E., and Heldal, A. F. (2004). Success On The Internet-Optimizing Relationships Through The Corporate Site. *International Journal of Information Management*. 24(2), 115-129.

Ho, K. (2002). Reinventing Local Governments And The E-government Initiative. *Public Administration Review*. 62(4), 434–444.

Hoffman, D,L., Novak, T.P., and Chatterjee, P. (1995). Commercial Scenarios For The Web: Opportunity and Challenges. *Journal of Computer Mediated Communication*. 1(3), 412-421.

Holmes, D. (2001). *E-gov: e-Business Strategies For Government*. London: Nicholas Brealey Publishing.

Holmes-Smith, P. (2002).*Introduction to structural equation modelling: Using AMOS 4.0*. Melbourne: Swinburne publication.

Holmes, S. P., Coote, L., and Cunningham, E. (2006). *Structural Equation Modeling: From The Fundamentals To Advanced Topics*. School Research, Evaluation and Measurement Services, Melbourne.

Homburg, C., and Giering, A. (2001). Personal Characteristics As Moderators Of The Relationship Between Customer Satisfaction And Loyalty An Empirical Analysis. *Psychology & Marketing*. 18(1), 43−66.

Horan, T., and Abhichandani, T. (2006). Evaluating User Satisfaction in an E-Government Initiative: Results of Structural Equation Modelling And Focus Group Discussions. *Journal of Information Technology Management*. 17(4). 187-198.

Howard, M. (2007). E-Government Across The Globe: How Will 'e' Change Government. *Government Finance Review*. 17(4), 6-9.

Hsu F.M., Chen, T.Y., and Wang, S.W. (2009). Efficiency And Satisfaction Of Electronic Records Management Systems In e-Government In Taiwan. *Electronic Library*. 27(3), 461-473.

Hsu, M., and Chiu, C. (2004). Predicting Electronic Service Continuance With A Decomposed Theory of Planned Behaviour. *Behaviour & Information Technology*. 23(5), 359-37.

Hu, P. J., Chau, P. Y. K., Sheng, O. R. L., and Tam, K.Y. (1999). Examining The Technology Acceptance Model Using Physician Acceptance of Telemedicine Technology. *Journal of Management Information Systems*. 16(2), 91-112.

Huang, K., Lee, Y., and Wang, R. (1999). Quality Information and Knowledge. Englewood Cliffs NJ: Prentice Hall.

Huang, Y. H., Wag, Y. S., and Chou, S.C. (2007). User Acceptance Of e-Government Services. *11th Pacific-Asia Conference on Information Systems*, 97-101.

Huang, Z. (2007). A Comprehensive Analysis Of U.S. Counties' e-Government Portals: Development Status and Functionalities. *European Journal of Information Systems*. 16(2), 149-164.

Huang, Z., and Bwoma, P. O. (2003). An Overview Of Critical Issues Of E-Government. *Issues of Information Systems*. 4(1), 164-170.

Hunt, D. L., Haynes, R. B., Hanna, S. E., and Smith, K. (1998). Effects Of Computer-Based Clinical Decision Support Systems On Physician Performance and Patient Outcomes: A Systematic Review. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 280(15), 1339-1346.

Hussein R.L., Norshidah, M.T., and Ahlan, R.L. (2011). E-Government: An Integrated Model On G2c Adoption Of One line Tax. Transforming Government: *People, Process and Policy*. 5(3), 225-248.

Hwang, C. S. (2000). A Comparative Study Of Tax-Filing Methods: Manual, Internet, and Two-Dimensional Bar Code. *Journal of Government Information*. 27(2), 113-127.

ICMA (International City/County Management Association). (2002) Electronic Government Survey Dataset, Washington D.C.

Igbaria, M., Prasuraman, S., and Baroudi, J. (1996) A Motivational Model Of Microcomputer Usage. *Journal of Management Information Systems*.13 (1), 127- 143.

Inland Revenue Board (IRB) Malaysia (2003). Annual Report. Retrieved on May 8, 2011, from http://www.hasil.gov.my/pdf/pdfam/LT2009_1.pdf

Inland Revenue Board (IRB) Malaysia (2009). Annual Report. Retrieved on May 8, 2011, from http://www.hasil.gov.my/pdf/pdfam/LT2009_1.pdf

Inland Revenue Board (IRB) Malaysia (2011). Annual Report. Retrieved on May 8, 2011, from http://www.hasil.gov.my/pdf/pdfam/LT2009_1.pdf

Inland Revenue Board (IRB) Malaysia (2014). Annual Report. Retrieved on May 8, 2011, from http://www.hasil.gov.my/pdf/pdfam/LT2009_1.pdf

Inland Revenue Board of Malaysia. Retrieved on May 8, 2011, fromhttp://www.hasil.gov.my/pdf/pdfam/LT2009_1.pdf

Internet and American Life Project. Retrieved June20, 2011, from http://www.pewinternet.org/Reports/2010/Social-Med

Irani, Z., Love, P.E.D. and Jones, S. (2008). Learning Lessons From Evaluating Egovernment: Reflective Case Experiences That Support Transformational Government. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*. 17(2), 155-164.

IRB won't send out the tax forms for next year, Nation. (2006, 1 May). *The star*. p.1.

IRB won't send out the tax forms from next year, Nation. (2006, 1 May). *The star*. p.1.

IRS (2009). Tax Year 2009 Directions for Corporations to e-file. Rev. 05-2010.

Islam, A., Yusuf, D.H., Yusiff, W.S., and Johari, A. N. (2012). Factors Affecting User Satisfaction In The Malaysian Income Tax e-Filing System. *African Journal of Business Management*. 6(21), 6447-6455.

Izatun, S., (2008, April 17). Almost half a million taxpayers now using e-filing. *The Star*. p.2.

Jaccard, J., and Wan, C. (1996). *LISREL Approaches to Interaction Effects in Multiple Regression*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publication.

Jackson, C.M., Chow, S., and Leitch, R.A. (2001). Toward an Understanding of The Behavioural Intention to Use An Information System. *Decision Sciences*. 28(2), 357-389.

Jacob, L. R.., Cook, F.L., and Carpini, M, D. (2009). Talking Together: Public Deliberation and Political Participation in America University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

Jaeger, P. T., and Thompson, K. M. (2003). e-Government Around The World: Lessons, Challenges, And New Directions. *Government Information Quarterly*. 20(2), 389-394.

Jaju, A., and Crask, M. (1999). The Perfect Design: Optimization Between Reliability, Validity, Redundancy In Scale Items and Response Rates, In Issues In Scaling: *Proceedings of the AMA Winter Educators' Conference, eds. Anil Menon and Arun Sharma*, 10 (February), St.Petesburg,127-131

James, L. R., Mulaik, S, A., Brett, J. K. (2006). A Tale Of Two Methods. *Organizational Research Method*. 233-244.

Jang, C.L. (2010). Measuring Electronic Government Procurement Success And Testing For The Moderating Effect Of Computer Self-Efficacy. *International Journal of Digital Content Technology and its Applications*. 4(3), 224-232.

Jen, R., Cheng K., and Wen P.C. (2005). Acceptance Of Electronic Tax Filing: A Case Study of TaxPayer Intentions. *Journal of Information and Mangement*. (43),109-126.

Johnston, M. E., Langton, K., Haynes, B., and Mathieu, A. (1994). Effects Of Computer-Based Clinical Decision Support Systems On Clinician Performance and Patient Outcome: A Critical Appraisal of Research. *Journal of Health*. 120(2), 135-142.

Joshua, A. J., and M. P. Koshy. (2011). Usage Patterns Of Electronic Banking Services By Urban Educated Customers: Glimpses From India. *Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce*. 16(1), 1-12.

Kakabadse, A., Kakabadse, N., and Kouzmin, A. (2003). Reinventing And Democratic Government Project Through Information Technology. *Public Administration Review*. 63(1), 44-60.

Kang, Y.S., and Kim, Y, J. (2006). Do Visitors Interest Level And Perceived Quality Of Web Page Content Matter In Shaping The Attitude Toward A Website. *Journal of Decision Support Systems*. 42(2), 1187-1202.

Kanishka, K., and Deng, H. (2012). Critical Factors For Evaluating The Public Value Of e-Government In Sri Lanka. *Government Information Quarterly*. 29(1), 76-84.

Kanstrup, A.M., Rose, J., and Tarpe. L (2008). A multi-perspective approach to e-Participation. European Research Workshop: *7th Mediteranean Conference on Information System. Venice.*

Karim, M. and Khalid, N. (2003). E-government in Malaysia. Pelanduk Publications.

Karim, M. S. and Khairuddin, S. E-Government: Reinventing Service Delivery in Muhammad Rais Abdul Karim (5ᵗʰed.), 186.1999.

Keller, C. (2007).Virtual Learning Environments in Higher Education − A Study of User Acceptance, Thesis, Jönköping University, [online]

Kennedy, D.G., and Sangeeth E. (2009). An Empirical Study of the Factors that Impact Medical Representative: Attitude towards the intention to use M Learning. *Journal of Management*. 15(1), 128-142.

Kijsanayotin, B., Pannarunothai, S., and Speedie,S,M. (2009). Factor influencing health information technology adoption in Thailand community health centre: Applying the UTAUT model. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*. 78(6), 404-416.

Kim, J., Lee, J., and Choi, D. (2006). Designing emotionally evocative homepages: an empirical study of the quantitative relations between design factors and emotional dimensions. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*. 59(2), 899-940.

Kim, L., Zailani, S., Ramayah, T., and Fernando, Y. (2009). Factors Influencing Intentions to Use e-Government services among citizens in Malaysia. International Journal of Information Management. 29(6), 458-475.

Kim, Y.J., Kishore, R., and Sanders, G, L. (2005). From DQ to EQ: Understanding data quality in the context of e-business system. *Communications of the ACM*. 48(10), 75-81.

King, W. R., and J. He. (2006). A Meta-Analysis of the Technology Acceptance Model. *Information & Management*. 43(6), 740-755.

Kline, R.Principles and practice of structural equation modeling. New York: The Guilford Press. 1998.

Kline, R. B. Principles and practice of stuctural equation modeling (2 editioned.). New York: Guilford. 2005.

Klischewski, R., and Scholl, H. (2006). Information Quality as common ground for key players in EGovernment integration and interoperability. *Proceeding 39th Hawaii, International Conference*.

Knight, S., and Burn, J. (2005). Developing a framework for assessing information quality on the world wide web. *Informing Science*. 8(1), 159–172.

Koivumäki, T., Ristola, A., and Kesti, M. (2008). The perceptions towards mobile services: an empirical analysis of the role of use facilitators. *Pers Ubiquit Comput*. 12(1), 67-75.

Koloud, A., and Ghaith, A. (2013). Internet Banking Adoption in Jordan: A Behavioural Approach. *International Journal of Marketing Studies*. 5(6), 84-108.

Kolsaker, A., and Lee-Kelly, L. (2008). Citizens attitudes towards e-government and e-governance. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*. 21(7), 723-38.

Kolsaker, A. (2006). Citizen centric e-government: a Critique of the UK model. *Electronic Government an International Journal*. 3(2), 127-138.

Komito, L. (2005). E-participation and Governance: Widening net. *Electronic Journal of e-Government*. 3(1), 39-48.

Kossak, F., Essmayr, W., and Winiwarter, W. (2001). Applicability of HCI Research to e-Government Applications. Conference Proceedings, *9th European Conference on Information Systems, Bled, Slovenia*.

Krishna, M., Samsuri, A.S., Hussin, S., Othman, M.S., and Chelliah, M. (2014). E-Filing Behaviour Among Academics in Perak State in Malaysia. Technology and Investment. 5(2), 79-94.

Kumar, V. (2007). Factors for Successful eGovernment Adoption: a Conceptual Framework. *The Electronic Journal of eGovernment*. 5(1), 63-76.

Kuo, H.M., Hwang, S.L., and Wang, M.Y. (2005). Evaluation research of information and supporting interface in electronic commerce web sites. *Industrial Management and Data Systems*. 104(9), 712-21.

Lai, C., and Pires, G. (2009). Testing of a Model Evaluation – e-Government portal Acceptance and Satisfaction. Proceedings on the 3rd European Conference on Information Management and evaluation. 282-292.

Lai, M. L. (2006). Electronic Tax Filing System: Benefits and Barriers to Adoption of System. The Chartered Secretaries Malaysia. *Journal of the Malaysian Institute of Chartered Secretaries and Administrators*. 12(1), 14-16.

Lai, M. L., and Chong, K.F. (2008). Electronic Tax Filing system: Taxpayers perspective. *Government Information Quaterly*. 1(1), 338-348.

Lai, M. L., Sheikh Obid, S. N., and Mydin Meera, A. K. (2005). Tax practitioners and electronic filing system: an empirical analysis. Academy of Accounting and Financial Studies. *Journal a publication of Allied Academies*. 9(1), 93-107.

Lai, M.L., Siti Normala, S.O., and Kameel, A.M. (2004). Towards an electronic filing system: A Malaysian Survey. *eJournal of Tax Research*. 12(1), 100-112.

Lallana, E.C. (2004). e-government for Development mGovernment Definistions and Models. Retrieved on December 21, 2011, from http://www.mgovlab.org.

Lam, T., Cho, V., and Qu, H. (2007). A study of hotel employee behaviour intentions towards. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*. 26 (1), 49-65.

Lam, W. (2005). Barriers to e-government integration. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*. 18(5), 511-530.

Latif, M., and Masrek, M. (2011). Accessibility evaluation on Malaysian E-Government websites .*J. E-Government Stud. Best Practices*. 11(2), 2155-4137.

Layne, K., and Lee, Jungwoo. (2001). Developing fully functional E-government: A four stage model. *Government Information Quarterly*. 18(1), 122–136.

Layne, K., and Lee, J. (2001). Developing Fully Functional E-government: A Four StageModel. *Government Information Quarterly*. 18(2), 122-136.

Lean, K., Zailani, S., and Fernandi, Y. (2009). Factors influencing intention to use e-government services among citizens in Malaysia. *International Journal of Information Management*. 29(6), 458-75.

Lee J., and Rao, H. R. (2007). Perceived risks, counter-beliefs, and intentions to use anti-counter-terrorism websites: An exploratory study of government–citizens online interactions in a turbulent environment. *Decision Support Systems*. 43(1), 1431–1449.

Lee, C.B., and Lei, U.L. (2007). Adoption of e-government services in Macao. Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Theory and Practice of Electronic Governance. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, 217-220.

Lee, G.G., and Lin, H.F.(2005). Customer perceptions of eservice quality in online shopping. *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management*. 33(2), 161-176.

Lee, S.M., Tan, X., and Timi, S. (2005). Current practices of leading E-government countries. *Communication of the Association for Information Systems*. 48(10), 99-104.

Lemuria, C., Ludwig C., Jeffrey, H., and Ronald C. (2011). The role of security and trust in the adoption of online tax filing. Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy. 5(4), 303-318.

Lenhart, A., Purcell, L., Smith, A., and Zickuhr, K. (2010). Social media and young adults. Retrieved on March 21, 2014, from http://www.pewInternet.org/Reports/2010/social-Media-and-Young-Adults.aspx.

Li, Y. N., Tan, K. C., and Xie. M. (2002). Measuring web-based service quality. *Total Quality Management*. 13(5), 685–700.

Lin, C.P., and Anol, B. (2008). Learning Online Social Support: An Investigation of Network Information Technology Based on UTAUT. *Cyber Psychology and Behaviour*. 11(3), 268-272.

Lin, C. P., and Ding C.G. (2005). Opening the Black Box: Assessing the Mediating Mechanism of Relationship Quality and the Moderating Effects of Prior Experience in ISP service. International Journal of Service Industry Management. 16(1), 55-80.

Livari, J. (2005). An Empirical Test of the DeLone- McLean Model of Information System Succes. *The Data Base for Advances in Information System*. 36(2), 8-27.

Llias, A., Suki, N., Yasoa, R., and Rahman, R. (2008). A Study of Taxpayers' Intention in Using e-Filing System: A Case in Labuan. Computer and Information Science. 1(2).

Llias, A., Zulkeflee, Y., and Rushdan, M. (2009). Taxpayers' Attitude in Using e-Filing system: Is there any significant difference among demographic factors?. *Journal of Internet Banking*. 14(1). 1-13.

Loukis, E., Peters, R., Charalabidis, Y., Passas, S., Tsitsanis, T. (2009). Using E-maps and semantic annotation for improving citizens' and administrations' interactions, In: *Paper Presented at the European and Mediterranean Conference on Information Systems 2009*, July 13–14.

Lu, H. P., Liu, S. H., and Liao, H. L. (2005). Factors Influencing the Adoption of E-learning Websites: An Empirical Study. *Issues in Information Systems*. 6(1), 213-123.

Lu, J., Yu, C.S., and Liu, C.(2009). Mobile Data Service Demographics in Urban China. *Journal of Computer Information Systems*. 2(1), 117-126.

Ludwig, C.S., Carter, L., and McBride, M. (2010). The U.S. e-file initiative: an investigation of the antecedents to adoption from the individual taxpayers' perspective. *E-Service Journal*. l7(2), 132-148.

Luftman, J., and Kempaiah, R.(2008). Key issues for IT executives 2007. *MIS Quarterly Executive*. 7(1), 99–112.

Lynott, P.P., McCandless, N.J. (2000). The Impact of Age vs. Life Experience of the Gender Role: Attitude of women in different cohorts. *Journal of Women and Aging*, 12(2), 5-21.

MacCallum, R.C., Brown, M.W., and Sugawara, H.M. (1996). Power Analysis and Determination of Sample Size for Covariance Structure Modeling. *MIS Quarterly*. 1(2), 130-149.

MacKinnon D., Lockwood, C., Hoffman, J., West, S., and Sheets, V. (2002). A Comparison of Methods to Test Mediation and Other Intervening Variables Effects. Psychol. Methods. 7(1), 99-128.

MacKinnon, D. P., Lockwood, C.M., and Williams, J. (2004). Confidence Limits for the Indirect Effect: Distribution of the Product and Resampling Methods. Multivariate Behavioural Research. 39(1), 99-128.

MacKinnon, D.P., Fairchild, A. J., and Fritz, M. S. (2007). Mediation Analysis. *Annual Review of Psychology*. 58(1), 593-614.

Maciel, C., Roque, L., Bicharra Garcia, A. 2009. Democratic citizenship community: a social network to promote e-deliberative process. In: *Proceedings of the 10th Annual International Conference on Digital Government Research: Social Networks: Making Connections between Citizens, Data and Government, Digital Government Society of North America*. pp. 25–34.

Macintosh, A. (2004). Characterizing e-participation in policy-making. Proceedings of the *37th Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences. Computer Society Press*. 5-8 January. Hawaii.

Macintosh, A. (2004). Characterizing e-Participation in Policy Making. *Proceedings of the 37th Hawaii International Conference on system sciences.*323-341.

Macintosh, A. (2007). E-Participation and eDemocracy research in Europe. *Digital government: e-Government research, case studies and implementation*. 17 (1), 85-102.

Macintosh, A., and Coleman, S. (2009). Promise and Problems of E-Democracy, Challenges of online citizen engagement, OECD.

Macintosh, A., and Whyte, A. (2008). Towards an evaluation framework for eParticipation. *Emerald Group Publishing Limited*. 2(1), 16-30.

Madu, C.N. and Madu, A.A. (2002). Dimensions of E-quality. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*. 19(3), 246-258.

Magoutas, B., and Mentzas, G. (2010). SALT: A semantic adaptive framework for monitoring citizen satisfaction from e-government services. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 37(6), 4292-4300.

Mahbob, M. H., Sulaiman, I. W., Mahmud, W.A., Mustaffa, N., and Abdullah, M.Y. (2012). The Elements of Behavioral Control in Facilitating the Acceptance of Technological Innovation on Malaysia On-Line Government Services. *Asian Social Science*. 8(5), 125-131.

Majed Al- Mashari. (2007). A Bechmarking Study of Experiences with Eelectronic. Government. *An International Journal*. 14(2), 172-185.

Malaysia sees growth in e-government usage, Business.(2002, 12 November). The star. p.11

Malhotra, N. K. Marketing research: An applied orientation (5th ed.). New York: Prentice Hall Inc. 2007.

Malhotra, N. K., Agarwal, J., and Peterson, M. (1996). Methodological issues in crosscultural marketing research: A state-of-the-art review. *International Marketing Review*. 13(5), 7-43.

Malhotra, Y. (1999). Bringing the adopter back into the adoption process: A personal construction framework of information technology adoption. *Journal of High Technology Management Research*.10(1), 79-104.

Marchand, D. Managing Information Quality, In Information quality: Definitions and dimensions. (6th ed.): Prentice Hall. 1990

Marchionini, G., Samet, H., and Brandt, L. (2003). Digital Government. *Communications of the ACM*. 46(1), 25-27.

Marijn J., Ann M., Hans J., Efthimios T., Maria A., Wimmer, Hans B., and Yao-Hua . (2011). Electronic Government and Electronic Participation: *Joint Proceedings*

of Ongoing Research and Projects of IFIP EGOV and ePart, Trauner Druck, 496-510.

Marples, D., and Kriens, P. (2001). The Open Services Gateway Initiative: An Introductory Overview. *IEEE Communications*. 39(12), 110-114.

Mathieu, J., and Taylor, S. (2006). Clarifying Conditions and decision Points for Mediational Type inferences in Organizational Behaviour. Journal of Organizational Behaviour. 27(1), 1031-1056.

McCaffrey, M. J. (1992). Maintenance of expert systems: the upcoming challenge," Managing expert systems. Heshey,PA, USA: IGI Publishing.

McDonald, R., and Ho, R, H. (2002). Principles and Practice in Reporting Structural Equation Analyses. *Psychological Methods*. 7(1), 64-82.

McKinney, V., Yoon, K., and Zahedi, F. (2002). The measurement of Web-customer satisfaction: An expectation and disconfirmation approach. *Information Systems Research*. 13(3), 296-315.

McKnight, D., Choudhury, V., and Kacmar, C. (2002). Developing and Validating Trust Measures for e-Commerce: An Integrative Typology. *Information Systems Research*. 13(1), 334-359.

McLeod Jr. A.J., and Pippin S.E. (2009). Security and Privacy Trust in E-Government: Understanding System and Relationship Trust Antecedents. *42nd Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, 1-10.

McRobb, S., and Carsten. S. (2007). Privacy as a shared feature of the e-phenomenon: a comparison of privacy policies in e-government, e-commerce and e-teaching. *International Journal of Information Technology and Management*. 2(6), 232-249.

Meso, P., Musa, P., Straub, D., and Mbarika, V. (2009). Information infrastructure, governance and socio-economic development in developing countries. *European Journal of Information Systems*. 18(1), 52-65.

Meyers, L, S., Gamst, G., and Guarino, A. J. Applied multivariate research: Design and Interpretation. Thousand Oaks London: SAGE Publications. 2006.

Mick, D. G., and Fournier, S. (1998). Paradoxes of Technology: Consumercognisance, emotions and coping strategies. *Journal of Consumer Research*. 25(2), 123-143.

Miles, J., and Shevlin, M. (1998). Effects of sample size, model specification and factor loadings on the GFI in confirmatory factor analysis.*Personality and Individual Differences*. 25(1), 85-90.

Miller, J.B. (1976). *Toward a New Psychology of Women*. Beacon Press: Boston.

Mofleh, S.I., and Wanous, M. (2008). Understanding factors influencing citizens' adoption of e-government services in the developing world: Jordan as a case study. *INFOCOM-Journal of Computer Science*. 7(2), 1-11.

Mohsin, R.O. (2007). Implementation of Electronic Government in Malaysia: The Status and Potnetial for Better Service to the Public. Retrieved on 15 January 2013, from http://apps.intan.my/psimr/vollno1/Editorial%20.

Moon, M. (2002). The evolution of E-Government among Municipalities rhetoric or reality. *Public Administration Review*. 62(4), 424-433.

Moore, G. C., and Benbasat, I. (1991), Development of an instrument to measure the perception of adopting an information technology innovation. *Information Systems Research*. 2(3), 192-223.

Morgeson, F., and Mithas, S. (2009). Does e-government measure up to e-business. *Public Administration Review*. 69(4), 740-52.

Morris, A.(2007). E-literacy and the grey digital divide: a review with recommendations. *Journal of information literacy*. 2 (3), 13–28.

Morris, M.G., Venkatesh, V. (2000). Age Difference in Technology Adoption Decisions: Implications For A Changing Workforce. *Personnel Psychology*, 53(2), 375-403.

Muhd Rais, K. and Nazariah K. E-Government in Malaysia: Improving Responsiveness and Capacity to Serve, Selangor, Malaysia, MAMPU and Pelanduk Publications. 2003.

Mulaik, A., James, R., Alstine, J., Bennett, N., Lind, S., and Dean C. (1989). Evaluation of Goodness-of-Fit Indices for Structural Equation Models. Psychological Bulletin. 105(3). 430-445.

Multimedia Development Corporation (MDeC), 2006. Retrieved on 13 February 2013, from http://www.mscmalaysia.my/topic/12073046901815

Muylle, S., Moenert, R., and Despontin, M. (2004). The conceptualization and empirical validation of website user satisfaction. *Information & Management*. 41(1), 543-560.

Nah, F, F., and Davis, S. (2002). HCI research issues in E-Commerce. *Journal of Electronic Commerce Research*. 3(3), 98-113.

Naumann, F., and Rolker, C. (2004). Assessment methods for information quality criteria. In Proc. *IQ2000 MIT Conference on Information Quality*, 148–162.

Ndou, V. D. (2004). E–Government for Developing Countries: Opportunities and Challenges. *The Electronic Journal on Information Systems in Developing Countries*. 18(1), 1-24.

Ndubisi, N.D., Jantan, M., and Richerdson, S. (2001). Is the Technology Acceptance Model Valid for Entrepreneurs? Model testing and examining usage determinants. *Asian Academy of Management Journal*. 6(2), 31-54.

Nelson, R., Todd, P., and Wixom, B. (2005). Antecedents of Information and System Quality: An Empirical Examination within the Context of Data Warehousing. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, *21*(4),199–235.

Neumann, L., and Neumann, Y. (1981). Comparison of six lengths of rating scales: students attitudes toward instruction. *Psychological Reports*, 48(1), 399-404.

Nielsen, J. and Mack, R. L. (1994). Usability inspection methods. (6th ed.) John Wiley & Sons, New York.

Nordfors, L., Ericsson, B., and Lindell, H. (2006). The Future of e-Government. Retrieved on March 16, 2013, from
http://www. Vinnova.se/upload/EpiStorePDF/vr-06-11.pdf.

Norris, D. F., and Moon, M.J.(2005). Advancing E-Government at the Grassroots: Tortoise or Hare. *Public Administration Review*. 65(1), 64-75.

NSW (New South Wales) Audit Office (2001) eReady, eSteady, e-Government, State Library of New South Wales Cataloguing in Publication Data.

Nunnally, J. C. *Psychometric theory* (2nd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill. 1978.

Nummally, J., and Bernstein, I. (1994). Psychometric Theory (3rd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.

Nysveen, H., Pederse, P.E., and Thorbjornsen, H. (2005). Intentions to use mobile services: antecedents and cross-service comparisons. *Academy of Marketing Science Journal*. 33(3), 330-346.

Obi, T. and Thanh, N. (2010). E-Government Project Implementation: Insight from Interviews in Vietnam. *Journal of Asia Pacific Studies*. 14(1), 37-55.

OECD. (2003).Best Practices for Budget Transparency. *OECD Journal on Budgeting*. 2(4).

Ojha A.,Sahu G.P., and Gupta M.P. (2009). Antecedents of paperless income tax filing by young professionals in India: an exploratory study. Transforming Government: *People, Process, and Policy*. 3(1), 65-90.

Olaisen, J. Information Quality factors and the cognitive authority of electronic information in Information quality: Definitions and dimensions. (2nd ed.) Wormell, Ed London. 1990.

Ong, C.S., and Lai, J.Y. (2006). Gender differences in perceptions and relationships among dominants of e-learning acceptance. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 22(5), 816–829.

Ooh, K., Zailani, S., Ramayah, T., and Fernando, Y. (2009). Factors Influencing Intention to use e-Government services among Citizens in Malaysia. *Government Information Quarterly*. 29(6), 458-475.

Pallant, J. *SPSS survival manual:* A step-by-step guide to data analysis using SPSS for Windows. (1st ed.). Crows Nest, N.S.W.: Open University Press. 2001.

Palmer, J.W. (2002). Web site usability, design and performance metrics. *Information Systems Research*. 13(2), 151-167.

Parasuraman, A., and Colby, C. L. Techno-ready marketing: how and why your customers adopt technology. New York: The Free Press. 2001.

Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V.A., and Malhotra, A. (2005). ESQUAL:A multiple item scale for assessing electronic service quality. *Journal of Service Research*. 7(3), 213-234.

Parent, M., Vandebeek, C.R., and Gemino, A.C. (2004). Building Citizen Trust through e-Government. *Paper Presented at Proceedings of the 37th Hawaiian International Conference on Systems Sciences*.

Park, Y. A., and Gretzel, U. (2006). Evaluation of Emerging Technologies in Tourism: The Case of Travel Search Engines. *Information and Communication Technologies*. 13(1), 371-382.

Parnas, D.L.(2003). The role of inspection in software quality assurance. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*. 29(1), 674–676.

Patel, I. and White, G. M-government: South African Approaches and Experiences. Brighton: Mobile Government Consortium International Publication. UK. 2005.

Pavlou, P.A. (2003). What Drives Electronic Commerce? A Theory Of Planned Behaviour Perspective. Proceedings of the Academy of Management Conference, Denver, CO, 9-14

Payne, T. H.(2000). Computer Decision Support Systems. *Chest Journal*. 118 (2), 47-52.

Peterson, R.A., Balasubramanian, S., and Bronnenberg, B.J. (1997). Exploring the Implication of the Internet for Consumer Marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*. 25 (4), 329-346.

Phang, C.W., Li, Y., Sutanto, J., and Kankanhalli, A. (2005). Senior Citizens' Adoption of e-Government: In Quest of the Antecedents of Perceived usefulness, in *Proceedings of the 38th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*.

Pharr, S.J., and Putnam R.D. Disaffected democracies: what troubling the trilateral countries? Princeton University Press, New Jersey. 2000.

Pilling, D., and Boeltzig, H. (2007). Moving toward e-government: effective strategies for increasing access and use of the Internet among non-Internet users in the U.S. and U.K. The *Proceedings of the 8th Annual International Digital Government Research Conference*, 35-46.

Pina, V., Torres, L., and Royo, S. (2010). Is e-government leading to more accountable and transparent local government. *Financial Accountability and Management*. 26(1), 3-20.

Pratchett, L., and Krimmer, R. (2005). The Coming of E-democracy. International *Journal of Electronic Government Research*. 1(3), 56-64.

Preacher, J.K., and Hayes, A. (2004). SPSS and SAS Procedures for Estimating Indirect Effects in Simple Mediation Models. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments and Computers*. 36(4), 717-731.

Prins, J.E.J. Designing E-Government. (2nd ed.) On the Crossroads of Technological Innovation and Institutional Change. Amsterdam, Kluwer. 2001.

Punch, K. F. (1998). Introduction to Social Research: Quantitative and qualitative approaches. London: Sage Ltd.

Quesenbery, W. (2008). Making Personas Part of Your Team-User Friendly, A workshop for User Friendly, China.

Raaijmakers, Q.A. W., Hoof, A.V., Hart, H. T., Verbogt, T. F. M., and Wollebergh, W., A. M (2000). Adolescents' midpoint response on Likert-Type scale item: Neutral or missing values? *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 12(2), 208-216.

Ralf, K., and Hans, J. (2006). Information Quality as a common ground for key players in eGovernment Integration and Interoperability. Proceeding of 39th Hawaii International Conference.

Ramayah, T., Viveka, R., and Amlus, I. (2007). Profiling Online and Manual Tax Fliers: Results from an Exploratory Study in Penang, Malaysia. *Labuan e-Journal of Muamalat and Society*, Vol. 2.

Ramayah, (2010). User acceptance of the e-Government services in Malaysia : Structural Equation Modelling approach. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Information, Knowledge, and Management*. 5(1), 395-413.

Ramayah, T., Md. Taib, F., andKoay, P. L. (2006). Classifying Users and Non-Users of e-filing inNorthern Malaysia. *Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce*. 11 (2).

Ramlah, H., Norshidah, M., Abdul Rahman, A., and Murni, M. (2011). E-Government application: an integrated model on G2C adoption of online Tax. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*. 5(3), 225-248.

Ramlah, H., Norshidah, M., Abdul Rahman, A., Murni, M., and Umar, A. (2010). An integrated model on online tax adoption in Malaysia, European, Mediterranean & Middle Eastern Conference on Information Systems.

Ramlah, H., Norshidah, M., Nor Shahriza, A.K., and Abdul Rahman, A. (2007). The influence of organizational factors on information systems success in E-government agencies in Malaysia.*The Electronic Journal on Information Systems in Developing Countries*. 29(1), 1-17.

Reddick, C. G. (2010). Citizen interaction and e-Government. *Transformation Government People, Process and Policy*. 5(2), 167-184.

Reffat, R. Developing A Successful E-Government, Working Paper, School Of Architecture,Design Science And Planning, University Of Sydney, Australia. 2003.

Reichheld, F., Markey, R., and Hopton, C. (2000). E-customer loyalty-applying the traditional rules of business for online success. *European Business Journal*. 12(4), 173-179.

Riege, A., and Lindsay, N. (2006). Knowledge management in the public sector: Stakeholder partnership in the public policy development. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 10(3), 24-39.

Riley, T.B. (2007). Strategies for the effective implementation of E-government project, J Bus Public Po. *e-Gov. Project (JBPP)*. 1(1), 1-11.

Roach, C. E-government: Usability of Trinidad and Tobago Ministry Websites, PhD Thesis, Arizona State University, USA. 2007.

Roger, E. Diffusion of Innovations. (4th ed.). New York: Free Press. 1995.

Roger. (2003). Collaboration Technology Use: Integrating Technology Adoption and Collaboration Research. *Journal of Management Information Systems*. 27(3), 18-53.

Rosenthal, R., and Rosnow, R. Essential of Behavioural Research. Methods and data analysis (2 ed.). Boston: McGraw Hill. 1991.

Rotchanakitumnuai, S. (2007). The ImportantRisk Factors Of E-Government Service Adoption.*IEEE Xplore International Symposium on Information Systems & Management*, 3652-3655.

Rotchanakitumnuai, S. (2008). Measuring e-government service value with the E-GOVSQUAL-RISK model.*Business Process Management Journal*. 14(5), 724-737.

Rubio, L. (2008). La gestio de la cominicacioenentorms de participaciociutadana, Conference paper in La gesto.

SahuG. P.,and Gupta, M. P. (2007). Users' Acceptance of E-Government: A Study of Indian Central Excise. *International Journal of Electronic Government*. 3(3), 1-21.

Saima, K., and Irfan, A. (2010). Evaluating usage Behaviour of Employees and its impact on their performance. *Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology*. 10(9), 34-38.

Salanova, M., and Peiro, M. (2005). Linking Organizational Resources and Work Engagement to Employee Performance and Customer Loyalty: The Mediation of Service Climate. *Journal of Applied Psychology*. 90(6), 1217-1227.

Saliza, A., and Kamil, I. (2009). The Determinants of Tax e-Filing Among Tax preparers in Malaysia. World Journal of Social Sciences. 2(3), 182-188.

Sanford, C., and Rose, J. (2007). Characterizing eParticipation. *International Journal of Information Management*. 27(6), 405-421.

Sapio, B., Turk, T., Cornacchia, M., Papa, F., and Livi, S. (2010). Building scenarios of digital television adoption. *Technology Analysis and Strategis Management*. 22(1), 43-63.

Schaupp, L. C., Fan, W., & Belanger, F. (2006). Determining Success for Different Website Goal. SystemSciences, 2006. HICSS '06. *Proceedings of the 39th Annual Hawaii International Conference.*

Schauppa, L.C., Carter, L., and McBride, M.E. (2010). E-file adoption: A study of U.S. Tax payers' intentions. *Computer in Human Behavior*. 26(1), 636-644.

Schedler, K., and Scharf, M. C. (2001). Exploring the Interrelations between Electronic Government and the New Public Management: A Managerial Framework of Electronic Government. Conference Proceedings, APPAM 2002 Conference.

Schellong, A., and Mans, D. (2008). Citizens preferences towards one-stop government The annual national conferenceon Digital Government research, ACM InternationalConference Proceeding Series, Seattle, WA.

Scholl, H.J. (2005). The Dimensions of Business Process Change in Electronic Government. (3rd ed.). Idea Group Publishing, Hershey, PA.

Schroeder, Mary Ann. (1990). Diagnosing and dealing with multicollinearity. *Western Journal of Nursing Research*. 12(2), 175-187.

Schware, R., and Deane, A. (2003). Deploying e-governmentprograms: the strategic importance of 'I' before 'E'". *Emerald Insight*. 5(4), 10-19.

Seddon, P. B., andKiew, M. Y. (1996). A Partial Test and Development of the DeLone and McLean Model of IS Success.*Australian Journal of Information Systems*. 2(1), 1-61.

Segars, A.H., and Gover, V. (1993). Re-Examining Perceived Ease of Use and Usefulness: A Confirmatory Factor Analysis. *MIS Quarterly*. 17(4), 517-522.

Sekaran, U. (2005). Research Method for Business: A Skill Building Approach, John Wiely and Sons, New York.

Shailendra, C., and Sushil, S. (2007). E-Government and E-Governance: Definitions/Domain Framework and Status around the World. 5th International Conference on E-governance (ICEG).

Shammount, A, B. (2007). Evaluating an Extended Relationship Marketing Model for Arab Guests of Five-Star Hotels Unpublished PhD dissertation: School of Hospitality Tourism and Marketing Faculty of Business and Law Victoria University of Melbourne.

Shankry, N. (2005). The evaluation in the ICT government in Macau, University Utara Malaysia.

Sharma, S., Mukherjee, S., Kumar, A., and Dillon, W.R. 1996. Asimulation study to investigate the use of cutoff values for assessing model fit in covariance structure models.*Journal of Business Research*. 58 (1), 935-43.

Sharma, C. N., Sahi, S. V., and Jain, C. (2005). ICP-MS Determination of the Accumulation of Pb and Cu. Science Direct. 81(1), 163-169.

Sharp, H., Rogers, Y., and Preece, J. Interaction design: Beyond human-computer interaction, (2nd ed.), West Sussex, England: John Wiley& Sons. 2007.

Sheppard, B., Hartwick, J., and Warshaw, P.(1988). The theory of reasoned action: A metaanalysisof past research with recommendations for modifications and future research. *Journal of Consumer Research*. 15(3), 325-335.

Sheridan J. C., and Lyndall Steed. SPSS Version 14.0 for Windows. (1st ed.). Australia. John Wiley & Sons. 2007.

Shrout, P., and Bolger, N. (2002). Mediation in Experimental and Non Experimental studies; New Procedures and Recommendations. *Psychological Methods*. 7(1), 422-445.

Sims, H. (2001). Public Confidence in Government and Government service Delivery, Canadian centre for Management Development.

Slaughter, S., Harter, D., and Krishnan, M.(1998). Evaluating the cost of software quality. *Communications of the ACM*. 41(8), 67–73.

SPSS Inc. SPSS for Windows (Version 11): SPSS Inc. 2001.

Stangor, C. (1998). Research Methods for the Behavioral Scienc Boston, Mifflin.

Staples, D.S., Wong, I., and Seddon, P.B.(2002). Having expectations of information systems benefits thatmatch received benefits: does it really matter?.*Information & Management* .40(2), 115 –131.

Steenkamp, J.B., Hofstede, F., and Wedel, M .(1999). A cross national investigation into the individual and national cultural antecedents of consumers innovativeness. *Journal of Marketing*. 63(1), 55-69.

Straub, D., Gefen, D., and Boudreau, M. (2005). Quantitative Research, In Research in Information Systems: A Handbook for Research Supervisors and Their Students, in D Avison and J Pries-Heje (ed), Elsevier, Amsterdam, 221-238.

Streeter, C, I., and Gillespie, D, F. (1992). Social networking analysis. *Journal of social service research*. 16(1), 201-222.

Strong, D.M., Lee, Y.W., and Wang, R.Y. (1997). 10 Potholes in the road to information quality. *IEEE Computer*. 30(8), 38–46.

Subramanian, G. H., Yaverbaum, G. J., and Brandt, S. J. (1997). An empirical evaluation of factors influencing expert systems effectiveness. *Journal of System Software*. 38(3), 255-261.

Suhani, A. (2010). Determinants of online tax payment system in Malaysia. *International Journal of Public Information System*. 1(1), 17-32.

Suki, N., and Ramayah, T. (2010). User acceptance of the e-Government services in Malaysia.*Interdisciplinary Journal of Information Knowledge and Management*. 5(1), 395-413.

Sundaram, S., Schwarz, A., Jones, E., and Chin, W. W. (2007). Technology use on the front line: how information technology enhances individual performance.*Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*. 35(1), 101-112.

Tabachnick, B, G., and Fidell, L, S. Using Multivariate Statistics. (4th Ed). Boston: Allyn and Bacon. 2001.

Taher, F. (2013). Users' perception towards website quality and effect on intention to use e-Government services in Jordan.*International Business Research*. 6(1), 97-105.

Tan, C., Pan,S., and Lim, E. (2005). Managing Stakeholder Interest in eGovernment Implementation: Lessons Learned from a Singapore eGovernment Project.*Journal of Global Information Management*. 13(1), 31-53.

Tan, C.W., Benbasat, I., and Cenfetelli, R.T. (2008). Building citizen trust towards e-government services: Do high quality website matter?, Proceedings of the 41th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS).

Tapscott, D. The Digital Economy: Promise and Peril in the Age of Networked Intelligence. (5th ed.). McGraw-Hill. 1995.

Tassabehji, R. (2005). Inclusion in E-government: A Security Perspective. e-government workshop 05 (eGOV05), Brunei University.

Taylor, R .(1986). Value added processes in Information Systems. Norwood, Ablex Publication.

Taylor, S., and Todd, P. (1995). Understanding information technology usage: A Test of Competing Model.*Information Systems Research*. 6,(2) ,144-176

Taylor, S., and Todd, P. (1995a) Decomposition and crossover effects in the theory of Motivation. *MIS Quarterly.* 23 (2) 239-260.

Taylor, S., and Todd, P. (1995b) Understanding Information Technology Usage: A Test of Competing Models. *Information Systems Research.* 6 (2) 144-176.

Teicher, F., Hughes, O., and Dow, N. (2002).e-Government: a new route to public sectorquality. *Managing Service Quality*. 12 (6), 384-393.

Teo, T., Srivastava, S., and Jiang, L. (2008). Trust and Electronic Government Success: An Empirical Study.*Journal of Management Information Systems*. 25(3), 99-131.

Teo, T., Lim, V., and Lai, R. (1999). Intrinsic and Extrinsic motivation in Internet Usage. Omega. 27(1), 25-37.

Thanh, N., and Schander, D. (2007). Grounding E-Government in Vietnam: From Antecedents to Responsive Government Services. *Journal of Business Systems, Governance and Ethics*. 2(3), 35-52.

Thomas , C. W . (1998). Maintaining and RestoringPublic Trust in Government Agencies and TheirEmployees . *Administration & Society*. 30(2), 166 – 93.

Thominathan, S., and Ramayah, T. (2014). Explaining the e-Government Usage Using Expectation Confirmation Model: The case of Electronic Tax Filing in Malaysia. *Government e-Strategic Planning and Management*. 3(1), 287-304.

Thompson, K. M., McClure, C. R. and Jaeger, P. T. Evaluating federal websites: Improving eGovernment for the people, In J. F. George (2nd ed.), Computers in society: Privacy, ethics, and the Internet, Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall. 2003.

Thompson, R. L., Higgins, C. A., and Howell, J. M. (1991). Personal computing: toward a conceptual model of utilization. *MIS Quarterly*. 15(1), 124-143.

Torres, L., Pina, V., and Royo, S. (2005). EGovernment and the Transformation of Public Administration in EU countries- Beyond PHM or just a Second Wave of Reform.*Online Information Review*. 29(5), 531-553.

Townend, J. Practicle Statistics for Environmental and Biological Scientist. West Sussex, England: John Wiley and Sons, Ltd. 2002.

Trimble, P. S. (2000). Open Minds and Open Source. Retrieved 15 December 2013, from http://www.fcw.com/fcw/articles/2000/1204/poI.asp

Tung, L., and Rieck. (2005). Adoption of electronic government services among business organisation in Singapore. *Journal of information systems*. 14(4), 417-440.

Turban, E., and Gehrke, D. (2000). Determinants of e-commerce web site. *Human System Management*. 19(2), 111-120.

Turban, E., King, D., Lee, J., Warkentin, M., and Chung, H. M. Electronic Commerce 2002: A Managerial Perspective, Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ. 2002.

UNDESA (United Nations Department for Economic and Social Affairs). (2005). Global e-Government Readiness Report 2005: From e-Government to eInclusion. Division for Public Administration and Development Management (DPADM).

United Nation E-government Survey 2003 is part of UNDESA World Public Sector Report 2003: E-government at the Crossroads. Retrieved on December 18, 2013, from http://www.unpan.org/egovernment3.asp.

United Nation. World public sector report: UN E-Government survey, From E-Government To Connected Governance. New York. 2008.

Van de Ven.(1980). Explaining development and change inorganizations. *Academy of Management Review*. 20(3), 510-540.

VanDenburgh, W., and Harmelink, P. (2006). The IRS E-Filing Debate Intensifies. *Tax Notes*. pp.132-135.

Van Dijk, J. (2000). Models of democracy and concepts of communication in KL Hacker Digital Democracy, issue of theory at practice. London: Sage Publication.

Van Dijk, J., Peters, O., and Ebbers, W. (2008). Explaining the acceptance and use of government Internet services: A multivariate analysis of 2006 survey data in the Netherlands. *Government Information Quarterly*. 25(3), 379-399.

Van, J. Information Retrieval. (2nd ed.). London; Boston: Butterworths. 1979.

Vasant, G., and Aditya, G. (2008). From E-government to E-governance. *Global Institute of Flexible System Management.* 6(4), 13-20.

Venkatesh, V. (2000). Determinants of Perceived Ease of Use: Integrating Perceived Behavioral Control, Computer Anxiety and Enjoyment Into the Technology Acceptance Model. *Information System Research*, 11(4), 342-365.

Venkatesh, V. (1999). Creation of Favourable User Perception: Exploring the Role of intrinsic motivation. *MIS Quarterly*. 2(23), 239-260.

Venkatesh, V., and Davis, F.D. (2000). A theoretical extension of the technology acceptance model: four longitudinal field studies.*Management Science*. 46(2), 186-204.

Venkatesh, V., and Zhang, X. (2010). Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology: US vs China. *Journal of Global Information Technology Management*. 13(1), 5-27.

Venkatesh, V., Morris, M., Davis, G., and Davis, F. (2003). User Acceptance of Information Technology:Toward a Unified View. *MIS Quarterly*. 27(3), 425-478.

Venkatesh, V., and Davis, F.D. (1996). A model of the antecedents of perceived ease of use: Development and Test. *Decision Sciences*. 27(1), 451-481.

Venkatesh, V., Thong, J., Xu, Xin. (2012). Consumer Acceptance and Use of Information Technology: Extending the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology. *MIS Quarterly*. 36(1), 157-178.

Victoria, M. (2002). Putting People at the Centre: Government Innovation Working for Victorians. Retrieved on 13 December, 2011, from http://www.mmv.vic.gov.au/egov.

Vitri, T., Jazi, E, I., Edi, W., and Retantyo, W. (2011). E-Participation Modelling and Developing with Trust and Decision Making Supplement Purpose. International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Application. 3(5), 55-62.

Waisanen, B. (2002). The Future of EGovernment: Technology fuelled management toll. *Public Management*. 84(5), 50-56.

Wan, H. A. (2000). Opportunities to Enhance a Commercial Website.*Information and Management*. 38(1), 15-21.

Wang, H., Doong, H., and Lin, F. (2007). Determinants of E-GovernmentService Adoption: An Innovation Diffusion Perspective. *IEEE Xplore*. 24(1), 3458-3461.

Wang, Y. S., and Shih, Y. W. (2009). Why do people use information kiosks? A validation of the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology. *Government Information Quarterly*. 26(1), 158-165.

Wang, Y.S., and Liao, Y.W. (2008). Assessing e-government systems success: A validation of the DeLone and McLean model of information systems success . *Government Information Quarterly*. 25, 717–733.

Wang, YS. (2002). The Adoption of ElectronicTax Filing Systems: An Empirical Study. *Government Information Quaterly*. 20(4), 333-352.

Wangpipatwong, S. (2005). Factors influencing the Adoption of Thai e-Government websites, Information Quality and System Quality Approach, Proceeding of the Fourth International Conference on eBusiness, 14.1-14.7.

Wangpipatwong, S., Chutimaskul, W., and Papasratorn, B. (2008). Understanding Citizen's Continuance Intention to use e-Government Website: a Composite View of Technology Acceptance Model and Computer Self Efficacy.*Electronic Journal of e-Government*. 6(1), 55-64.

Warkentin, M., Gefen, D., Pavlou, P., and Rose, G. (2002). Encouraging citizen adoption of egovernmentby building trust. *Electronic Markets*. 12(3), 157-162.

Waseda University Institute of e-Government Ranking. (2013, March). UN, p.1.

Weerakkody, V., and Dhillon, G. (2008). Moving from E-Government to T-Government: A Study of Process Reengineering Challenges in a UK Local Authority Context.*International Journal of Electronic Government Research*. 4(4), 1-16

Weinberg, B. D. (2002). Don't keep your Internet customers waiting too long at the front door. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*. 14(1), 30-39.

Welch , W ., Hinnant , C., and Moon, J . (2005) . Linking Citizen Satisfaction with E-Government with Trust in Government.*Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*. 15(1), 37-58.

Welch., Eric, W., and Charles C. H. (2003). Internet Use,Transparency and Interactivity Effects on Trust in Government. Paper presented at the 36th AnnualHawaii International Conference on System Sciences.Hawaii.

West, D. (2002). Global E-Government. Retrieved on 13 December, 2012, from http://www.insidepolit ics.org/egovt02int.html.

West, D. M. (2006). Global e-Government 2006. Retrieved on 13 December, 2012, fromhttp://www.InsidePolitics.org.

Whittaker, J.A., and Voas, J.M.(2006). Years of software: key principles for quality. *Software Quality Management Magazine*. 3(1), 5–8.

Wilkin, C., and Castleman, T. (2003). Development of an Instrument to Evaluate the Quality of Delivered Information Systems. Conference Proceedings, 36th. Hawaii International Conference on System Science, Hawaii.

Williams, M.D., Dwivedi, Y.K., Lal, B., and Schwarz, A. (2009). Contemporary trends and issues in IT adoption and diffusion research. *Journal of Information Technology*. 24 (1), 1-10.

Wixom, B., and Todd, P. (2005). A theoretical integration of user satisfaction and technology acceptance.*Information Systems Research*. 16(1), 85-102.

Wober, K., and Gretzel, U. (2000). Tourism Manager's Adoption of MarketingDecision Support Systems. *Journal of Travel Research*. 39(2), 172-184.

Wolfinbarger, M. (2003). Dimensionalizing, measuring and predicting e-tailing quality. *Journal of Retailing*. 79(3), 183-198.

Woodroof, J., and Burg, W. (2003). Satisfaction/Dissatisfaction: Are Users Predisposed.*Information and Management*. 40(4), 317-324.

World Bank Website (2008), "Definition of E-Government". Retrieved on 13 December, 2012, from http://www1.worldbank.org/publicsector/egov/definition.htm

Yildiz, M. (2007). e-government research: Reviewing the literature, limitations, and ways forward.*Government Information Quarterly*. 24(3), 646-665.

Yi, M., Jackson, J., Park, J., and Probst, J. (2006). Understanding Information Technology Acceptance by Individual Professionals: Towards and Integrative View. *Information and Management*. 43(1), 350-363.

Yin, R. Case Study Research: Design and Methods.(2nd ed.). Sage Publications, London. 1994.

Yoo, B. (2001). Developing a scale to measure perceived quality of an Internet shopping site.*Quarterly Journal of Electronic Commerce*. 2(1), 31-46.

Yoon, J., and Chae M. (2009). Varying Criticality of Key Critical Success Factors National e-strategy along the Status of Economic Development of Nations.*Government Information Quarterly*. 26(1), 25-34.

Yu, S. S., Lu, J., and Lui,C. (2005). Beyond concern-a privacy trust behavioural intention model of electronic commerce. *Information and Management*. 42(1), 289-304.

Yunis, M., and Sun, J. (2009). Determinants of E-Government Readiness: An Application of Canonical Correlation and SEM Analysis Techniques. *International Business Research*. 1(2), 569-578.

Yusniza, K. (2010). Tax E-filing Adoption in Malaysia: A Conceptual Model. *Electronic Government and International Journal*. 10(3), 259-283.

Zaharah, A.R. (2007). E-Perolehan − A Breakthrough for E-Commerce in the Malaysia Government.*Public Sector Management Review*. 1(1), 20-24.

Zaherawati, Z., Zaliha, H., and Zuriawati, Z. (2009). E-filing in Malaysia. *Cross Cultural Communication*. 6(2), 84-92.

Zainol, A. R. (1999). National Workforce Transformation. Proceedings of the 4th National Conference on the Civil Services, Kuala Lumpur.

Zakaria, R. M., and Tarek ., G. (2012). E-Government in Egypt: An Analysis of Practices and Challenges. *International Journal of Technology and Management*. 1(1), 11-25.

Zakaria, Z., Hussin, Z., Zakaia., Noordin, N., Sawal, Z.H., Saad, S.F., and Kamil, S. (2009). E-Filing System Practiced by Inland Revenue Board: Perception towards Malaysian Taxpayer. *Cross Cultural Communication*. 5(4).

Zeitham, V.A., Parasuraman, A. and Malhotra, A . An empirical examination of the service quality value loyalty chain in an electronic channel. (2nd ed.). Chapel Hill, NC. 2002.

Zhang, J., and Huang, J.(2005). Empirical Research on User Acceptance of Mobile Searches. *Tsinghua Science & Technology*. 15(1), 235-245.

Zhang, Z., Lee, M., Huang, P., Zhang, L., and Huang, X. (2005). A framework of ERP systemsimplementation success in China: An empirical study.*International Journal of Production Economics*. 98(1), 56–80.

Zhiyuan, F. (2002). E-Government in Digital Era: Concept, Practice, and Development.*International Journal of The Computer*. 10(2), 1-22.

Zikmund, W. G. Business Research Methods(7th ed.). South-Western:Thomson. 2003.

Zikmund, W.G. Business Research Methods. Orlando. Harcourt Brace College Publishers. 1994.

Zimmerman, M.A. (1989). The Relationship between Political Efficacy and Citizen Participation: Construct Validation Studies. *Journal of Personality Assessment*. 53(3), 554-566.

ZMUD Robert W. (1979). Individual Differences and MIS Success : A Review of the Empirical Literature. *Management Science*. 25(10), 966-979.

Zviran, M., Glezer, C., and Avni, I. (2006). User satisfaction from commercial websites: the effect of design and use. *Information and Management*. 43(2), 157-178.