

MODELLING OF EXTERNAL CORROSION GROWTH OF STEEL PIPELINE
IN SOIL FOR TROPICAL CLIMATE

SITI RABE'AH BINTI OTHMAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Civil Engineering)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

NOVEMBER 2015

My humble works and efforts I dedicate to

My beloved hubby Raizan

*For earning an honest living for us and the kids Aminah & Mubashir
for supporting and encouraging me to believe in myself*

My dearest parents and parents in-law

*A strong and gentle soul who taught me to trust in Allah S.W.T,
believe in hardwork and that so much could be done with little*

My supervisors, PM. Dr. Norhazilan and Prof. Dr. Nordin Yahaya

*Who has been a constant source of knowledge and inspiration
Thank you for your support, guidance and encouragement for all these years.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Allhamdulillah, all praises to Allah S.W.T. for the strengths and His love and blessing in completing this thesis.

I would like to express the deepest appreciation to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Norhazilan Md. Noor, you have been a tremendous mentor for me. I would like to thank you for giving me invaluable advice, encouragement and patient for all these years and allowing me to grow as a research engineer. Furthermore, I would also like to thank my co-supervisor, Professor Dr. Nordin Yahaya for his brilliant comment, suggestion and knowledge regarding to this research. I am very grateful that they had continually and persuasively conveyed a spirit of adventure in regard to research and thank you for letting my defense be an enjoyable moment. Without their supervision and constant help this thesis would not have been possible.

My sincere thanks to all my friends; Mimi, Kima, Apaziah, Fatimah, Fariza and other RESA members such as LKS, Arman and others for their kindness, help and support in completing this research. My acknowledgement also goes to all lecturers and technicians of FKA's, FKM's, FS's and special thanks to En.Maizan for his unmeasurable help.

Last but not least, my deepest appreciation to my beloved husband Mohammad Raizan, kids, parents, brothers, sisters and family in-laws for all the sacrifices that you have made on my behalf. Your prayer for me that made me sustained this far. Thank you.

ABSTRACT

The reliability of a buried steel pipeline is strongly influenced by external corrosion due to soil. However, the external corrosion does not affect the pipeline equally at all locations and corrosion does not grow at the same rate throughout a pipeline. Therefore, the need of reliable predictive corrosion model is of great interest among researchers and engineers. The available current models are relying on huge historical data storage; thus, massive excavation works, equipment and technical expertise are required. In addition, the feasibility of these models for practical application in different regions with different climate and soil conditions still remains unknown. Therefore, this research aims to develop a predictive model for underground corrosion with the improved multi-parameter models in which several soil characteristics are considered without the need for a return trip to the field, on-site excavation and the presence of technical expertise. Moreover, the models developed in this study are based on empirical results reflecting a wide range of exposure conditions suitable for Malaysia's site conditions through Component 1 and 2. Two predictive corrosion models based on power law equation were developed using two different approaches at two different locations namely real and simplified sites. The most common applied model used to predict corrosion loss is the power law model ($P = kt^v$), where t is exposure time, and k and v are constant regression of soil parameters. There are a total of 932 mild steel coupons being buried in soil up to 18-month period in 5 different locations and 65 soils samples were analysed for its contents and engineering properties. The results were analysed using statistical methods such as exploratory data (EDA), single linear regression (SLR), principal component analysis (PCA) and multiple linear regression (MLR), while Component 3 was conducted to verify the models using two-way ANOVA (Analysis of variance). From the analysis, the extraction of soil variables related to k and v were successfully obtained. In order to get the best fit of predictive model, the extracted variables are modelled using MLR with 20 combinations of linear equation and embedded in the power law equation. The model revealed that chloride (CL), resistivity (RE), organic (ORG), moisture content (WC) and pH were found to be the most influential variable in predicted mass loss, k while sulphate content (SO), plasticity index (PI) and clay content (CC) appear to be influential with v . The predictive corrosion models based on data from real and simplified sites have yielded reasonable prediction of metal mass loss with R^2 score of 0.89 and 0.81 respectively. This research has introduced innovative ways to model the corrosion growth for underground pipeline environment. Moreover, heavy statistical analysis has been utilised to determine the level of influence of soil contents and its engineering properties towards soil corrosivity. The model enables to predict potential metal mass loss, hence the level of soil corrosivity for Malaysia. The knowledge on soil corrosivity may assist pipeline operators in designing effective corrosion mitigation program for their underground assets.

ABSTRAK

Kebolehtahanan saluran paip keluli bawah tanah amat dipengaruhi oleh kakisan luaran yang disebabkan oleh tanah. Walaubagaimanapun, kakisan luaran ini tidak menjejaskan kakisan yang sama di semua lokasi dan ia tidak berkembang pada kadar yang sama sepanjang saluran paip. Oleh itu, keperluan model ramalan kakisan amatlah menarik minat para penyelidik dan jurutera. Model kakisan yang sedia ada kebanyakannya bergantung pada simpanan data yang banyak, ini memerlukan kerja-kerja penggalian serta peralatan yang besar dan kepakaran operator saluran paip. Tambahan pula, kesesuaian model-model tersebut bagi kegunaan di rantau yang berbeza dari segi iklim, muka bumi, dan keadaan tanah masih menjadi tanda tanya. Oleh itu, kajian ini bertujuan untuk membangunkan model kakisan yang lebih baik untuk meramal kadar kakisan dalam tanah dengan mengambilkira sifat kimia dan fizikal tanah tanpa memerlukan perjalanan balik ke tapak, penggalian di lokasi serta kehadiran kepakaran teknikal. Selain itu, model yang dibangunkan dalam kajian ini juga adalah berdasarkan kepada keputusan empirikal yang mencerminkan pelbagai keadaan pendedahan yang sesuai bagi keadaan di Malaysia melalui Komponen 1 dan 2. Dua model ramalan kakisan telah dibangunkan berdasarkan persamaan hukum kuasa dengan menggunakan dua pendekatan yang berbeza iaitu tapak sebenar dan simulasi. Model kakisan yang biasa digunakan adalah model hukum kuasa ($P = kt^v$), dengan t ialah masa pendedahan, dan k dan v adalah pemalar regresi tanah. Sebanyak 932 kupon keluli sederhana telah ditanam di dalam tanah selama 18 bulan di 5 lokasi yang berbeza dan 65 sampel tanah telah dianalisis kandungan kimia dan fizikalnya. Keputusan tersebut telah dianalisis dengan menggunakan kaedah statistik seperti data saringan (EDA), regresi linear tunggal (SLR), analisis komponen utama (PCA) dan regresi linear pelbagai (MLR), manakala Komponen 3 bertujuan sebagai pengesahan bagi model yang dibangunkan dengan menggunakan analisis ANOVA dua-hala. Dari analisis, pengekstrakan pembolehubah tanah yang berkaitan dengan k dan v telah berjaya diperoleh. Untuk mendapatkan model ramalan yang terbaik, pembolehubah yang telah diekstrak akan dimodelkan menggunakan MLR dengan 20 gabungan persamaan linear dan dimasukkan dalam persamaan hukum kuasa. Model ini menunjukkan bahawa, klorida, kerintangan, organik, kandungan kelembapan dan pH mempengaruhi pembolehubah k , manakala kandungan sulfat, indeks keplastikan dan kandungan tanah liat berhubungkait dengan v . Model ini telah menghasilkan ramalan degradasi keluli masing-masing sebanyak 0.89 dan 0.81 berdasarkan data dari tapak sebenar dan tapak simulasi. Kajian ini telah memperkenalkan cara yang inovatif dalam permodelan pertumbuhan kakisan paip keluli bawah tanah. Tambahan pula, analisis statistik berat telah digunakan untuk menentukan tahap pengaruh kandungan tanah dan sifat kejuruteraannya. Model ini juga mampu dalam meramal kehilangan jisim keluli dan juga tahap kakisan tanah di Malaysia. Dengan adanya pengetahuan mengenai kakisan dalam tanah, ia dapat membantu operator saluran paip dalam merekabentuk program mitigasi yang lebih berkesan dalam melindungi aset bawah tanah mereka.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xiv
	LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxvi
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxvii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Research Aim	3
	1.4 Research Objectives	4
	1.5 Research Scopes	4
	1.6 Importance of Research	5
	1.7 Contribution of the Research	5
2	LITERATURE REVIEWS	7
	2.1 Introduction	7
	2.2 Transmission Gas Pipeline in Malaysia	8
	2.2.1 External Corrosion of Transmission Gas	

	Pipeline	8
2.3	Corrosion in Soil	11
2.3.1	Soil-Corrosion Mechanism	13
2.4	Influence of Soil Physical Properties on Corrosion	15
2.4.1	Soil Texture	17
2.4.2	Degree of Aeration and Oxygen Diffusion in Soil	21
2.4.3	Soil Consistency	22
2.4.4	Soil Moisture Content	23
2.5	Influence of Soil Chemical Contents on Corrosion	24
2.5.1	Soil Relative Acidity (pH)	25
2.5.2	Soluble Ion Content	26
2.5.3	Soil Resistivity	29
2.5.4	Oxidation-Reduction Potential (ORP)	30
2.6	Corrosion Testing	31
2.6.1	Laboratory Corrosion Measurement	32
2.6.2	Field Corrosion Measurement	33
2.7	Modelling Studies	34
2.8	The Use of Power Law Model in Corrosion	37
2.8.1	Romanoff's Model [1957]	40
2.8.2	Rossum's Model [1969]	40
2.8.3	Mughabghab and Sullivan's Model [1989]	41
2.8.4	Katano's Model [2003]	42
2.8.5	Li's Model [2004]	43
2.8.6	Velazquez's Model [2009]	44
2.8.7	Caleyo's Model [2009]	45
2.8.9	Anyanwu et al. [2014]	46
2.9	Summary of Research Gap	46
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	48
3.1	Introduction	48
3.2	Overview of AFAT and OFAT Approaches	49
3.3	Pipe Samples Collection and Coupons Preparation	54

3.3.1	Cutting Process	55
3.3.2	Grinding and Cleaning Process	56
3.3.3	Weighing and Labelling Process	57
3.4	Methodology of Component 1 (Field Works)	58
3.4.1	Research Area Selection	59
3.4.2	Coupon Installation	62
3.4.3	Coupon Retrieval, On-Site Measurement and Soil Sampling	66
3.5	Methodology of Component 2 (Simplified Site)	69
3.5.1	Simplified Sites Setup (Component 2)	69
3.5.2	Coupon Retrieval, On-Site Measurement and Soil Sampling	72
3.6	Methodology of Component 3 (Laboratory Test)	74
3.6.1	Laboratory Experimental Setup	75
3.6.2	Preparation of Soil Medium	76
3.6.3	Soil Medium for Chemical Content	78
3.6.4	Soil Medium for Clay Content	79
3.6.5	Soil Medium for Plasticity Index	80
3.6.6	Soil Medium for Moisture Content	80
3.6.7	Soil Medium for Particle Size	81
3.6.8	Soil Medium for Control Sample	82
3.7	Steel Coupons and Soil Parameters Assessment	83
3.7.1	Coupon Cleaning After Retrieval	83
3.7.2	Assessment of Corrosion Rate According to ASTM	87
3.7.3	Coupons Composition Analysis Using Glow Discharge Spectrometer	88
3.8	Field Works Soil Measurement	88
3.8.1	3.8.1 Soil Resistivity Site Measurement (ASTM International, 2012)	89
3.8.2	Soil pH Site Measurement	90
3.9	Soil Laboratory Testing	90
3.9.1	Soil Acidity Test	91

3.9.2	Soil Chloride Test	91
3.9.3	Soil Sulphate Test	93
3.9.4	Soil Sulphide Test	93
3.9.5	Soil Organic Test	93
3.9.6	Moisture Content (WC) Test	94
3.9.7	Plasticity Index (PI) Test	94
3.9.8	Particle Size (PS) Test	95
3.9.9	Clay Content (CC) Test	96
3.10	Data Analysis	97
3.10.1	Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)	99
3.10.2	Transformation of Variables	100
3.10.3	Normality Test	100
3.10.4	Detection of Outliers	101
3.10.5	Single Linear Regression (SLR)	101
3.10.6	Correlation Matrix	102
3.10.7	Principal Component Analysis (PCA)	102
3.10.8	Multiple Regression Analysis (MRA)	102
3.10.9	Data Analysis Using Parametric Test	103
3.11	Development of External Corrosion Model	103
3.12	Concluding Remarks	104
4	ANALYSIS OF COMPONENT 1	105
4.1	Introduction	105
4.2	Field Work Description	106
4.3	Part 1: Preliminary Data Analysis	108
4.3.1	Stage 1: Data Classification According to Depth	110
4.3.2	Stage 2: Non-Parametric Test	111
4.3.3	Stage 3: Data classification According to Central Tendency	112
4.3.4	Stage 4: Identification of Central Tendency	114
4.4	Preliminary Studies of Corrosion Growth Pattern	117

4.5	Preliminary Studies of Metal Mass Loss	120
4.6	Part 2: Empirical Model Development	122
4.6.1	Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)	124
4.6.2	Transformation and Outliers of Variables	126
4.7	Single Linear Regression Model of Each Variable	131
4.8	Correlation Matrix	141
4.9	Principal Component Analysis (PCA)	143
4.10	Mass Loss Model Development	146
4.10.1	Multiple Regression Analysis (MRA)	148
4.11	Corrosion Loss Rate Model Development	153
4.12	Concluding Remarks	154
5	ANALYSIS OF COMPONENT 2	156
5.1	Introduction	156
5.2	Site Classification	157
5.3	Metal Mass Loss and Soil Data Collection	158
5.4	Preliminary Studies of Corrosion Growth Pattern	161
5.5	Preliminary Studies of Metal Mass Loss	166
5.6	Empirical Model Development	168
5.6.1	Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)	170
5.6.2	Transformation and Outliers of Variable	172
5.7	Single Linear Regression Model of Each Variable	176
5.8	Correlation Matrix	184
5.9	Principal Component Analysis (PCA)	186
5.10	Metal Mass Loss Model Development	188
5.10.1	Multiple Regression Analysis (MRA)	190
5.11	Corrosion Loss Rate Model Development	193
5.12	Concluding Remarks	194
6	ANALYSIS OF COMPONENT 3	196
6.1	Introduction	196
6.2	Testing Procedure	197
6.3	Collection of Metal Mass Loss and Corrosion Rate	

	Data	198
6.4	Metal Mass Loss and Corrosion Data Analysis	202
6.4.1	Corrosion Growth Pattern For Each Soil Variables	203
6.4.2	Determination of k and v using Two-Way ANOVA	207
6.4.2.1	pH Variable	207
6.4.2.2	Chloride Content Variable	210
6.4.2.3	Sulphate Content Variable	212
6.4.2.4	Organic Content Variable	214
6.4.2.5	Moisture Content Variable	215
6.4.2.6	Clay Content Variable	217
6.4.2.7	Plasticity Index Variable	218
6.4.2.8	Particle Size Variable	220
6.5	Concluding Remarks	222
7	DISCUSSION	224
7.1	Introduction	224
7.2	Measures of Central Tendency	225
7.3	Defining the Corrosion Growth Pattern and Metal Mass Loss	226
7.3.1	Corrosion Growth Pattern	226
7.3.2	Metal Mass Loss	229
7.4	Relationship between Metal Mass Loss and Soil Properties and Chemical Content	230
7.5	Classification of Soil Variables towards k and v	234
7.6	Verification of the selected variable in k and v using OFAT approach	238
7.7	Modelling Development Based on Differential Equation of Power Law	239
8	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	242
8.1	Introduction	242

8.2	Conclusions	243
8.3	Recommendations for Future Work	246
REFERENCES		248
APPENDICES A-C		261-298

LIST OF TABLES

NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Acidity and alkalinity of the soil [Doyle <i>et al.</i> , 2003]	26
2.2	Soil corrosiveness as a function of pH [Romanoff, 1957]	26
2.3	Soil corrosiveness as a function of sulphate concentration [ACI, 2011]	29
2.4	Soil corrosiveness as a function of chloride concentration [ACI, 2011]	29
2.5	Classification of soil corrosiveness based on resistivity [Palmer, 1990]	30
2.6	Soil corrosiveness index based on oxidation-reduction potential [Starkey and Wight, 1945]	31
2.7	Existing corrosion models	36
2.8	Summary of corrosion loss-time model phase [Melchers 2003a]	40
2.9	Summary of Rossum's model	41
2.10	Summary of Mughabghab and Sullivan's model	42
2.11	Summary of Katano's model	43
2.12	Summary of Li's model	44
2.13	Summary of Velazquez's model	45
3.1	Coupon sizes of each component	54
3.2	Site selection criteria	59
3.3	List of soil type and its origin	72
3.4	Chemical electrolyte or additive added to alter the soil medium	77
3.5	Percentage of particle size for laboratory test	81
3.6	Soil parameters testing based on available standard	83
3.7	Elemental composition of steel coupon grade API 5L X70 in percentage	88

3.8	Elemental composition of steel coupon grade API 5L X42 in percentage	88
3.9	Example calculation of particle size	96
4.1	Sites characteristic and their specific location	106
4.2	Soil chemical content of actual field work	110
4.3	Soil physical properties of actual field work	111
4.4	Statistic summary of T-test	112
4.5	Output of T-test for two paired samples / two-tailed test	112
4.6	ML and CR data according to mean, median and maximum	113
4.7	Descriptive statistics for each variable	124
4.8	Summary of EDA	126
4.9	Log transformation of soil chemical content with ML	128
4.10	Data transformation summary	129
4.11	ML and soil chemical content data	130
4.12	ML and soil physical properties data	131
4.13	SLR summary	139
4.14	Pearson correlation coefficient matrix	142
4.15	Pearson correlation coefficient matrix (cont'd)	142
4.16	Classification of soil variables with k and ν coefficient using PCA	146
4.17	Expected combination of k and ν	147
4.18	R^2 of all possible combinations of k and ν	149
4.19	Analysis of variance of the model	151
4.20	Predicted mass loss for each site using Model C	152
5.1	Soil characteristic and their origin	158
5.2	Soil chemical content and mass loss data for simplified site	159
5.3	Soil chemical content and mass loss data for simplified site (cont'd)	160
5.4	Soil physical properties and mass loss data for simplified site	161
5.5	Summary of ν value based on theory	162
5.6	Descriptive statistics for each variable	170
5.7	EDA summary	171
5.8	Summary of data transformation	173
5.9	Log transformation data on chloride, sulphate variables	174

5.10	Log transformation data on resistivity, organic and particle size variables	175
5.11	Summary of SLR for Component 2 analysis	178
5.12	Pearson correlation coefficient matrix	185
5.13	Pearson correlation coefficient matrix (cont'd)	185
5.14	Classification of soil variables with k and v coefficient using PCA	188
5.15	Possible combination of k and v	189
5.16	Coefficient regression of Component 2 model	191
5.17	Analysis of variance of the model	193
6.1	Summary of soil parameters for laboratory test	198
6.2	Summary of metal mass loss (gram) for soil chemical content	199
6.3	Summary of metal mass loss (gram) for soil physical properties	200
6.4	Summary of corrosion rates (mm/year) for soil chemical content	201
6.5	Summary of corrosion rates (mm/year) for soil physical properties	202
6.6	Summary of OFAT analysis for soil chemical variable	205
6.7	Summary of OFAT analysis for soil physical variable	206
6.8	ANOVA test results for soil pH variable	210
6.9	ANOVA test results for chloride content variable	212
6.10	ANOVA test results for sulphate content variable	214
6.11	ANOVA test results for organic content variable	215
6.12	ANOVA test results for moisture content variable	217
6.13	ANOVA test results for clay content variable	218
6.14	ANOVA test results for plasticity index variable	220
6.15	ANOVA test results for particle size variable	221
6.16	Summary of ANOVA and soil variables graph	222
7.1	Growth pattern by exponential value, v	228
7.2	Summary of exponential value at each research area	228
7.3	The significance of each soil variable in predicting mass loss	234
7.4	Soil variables selected towards k and v	236
7.5	Comparison of different soil-corrosion models	237
7.6	Soil variables selected towards k and v using OFAT approach	239

LIST OF FIGURES

NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Peninsular Gas Utilisation (PGU) network	8
2.2	Attributed failures mechanism for pipeline	10
2.3	Exposure of buried pipeline to the soil environment	13
2.4	The basic corrosion cell consists of an anode, a cathode, an electrolyte, and an electrical circuit for electron flow	13
2.5	A buried pipe is anodic in wet soil with lower oxygen content and cathodic in better oxygenated soil [Veleva, 2005]	15
2.6	Field assessment using a hand texture chart [Rowell, 2014]	18
2.7	Soil texture triangle [Hillel, 2003]	19
2.8	Atterberg limits	22
2.9	Effect of moisture on soil resistivity [Romanoff, 1957]	24
2.10	Relationship of variables affecting the rate of corrosion in soil [Roberge, 2000]	25
2.11	Resistivity as a function of concentration for CaSO ₄ and NaCl solutions at room temperature [Beavers and Durr, 1998]	28
2.12	Apparatus for conducting laboratory corrosion tests in soil [ASTM International, 2004b]	33
2.13	Corrosion growth pattern in a function of time [Noor, 2009]	38
2.14	Multiphase phenomenological corrosion-time model [Melchers 2003a]	39
3.1	Flow chart of research methodology	49
3.2	Feasibility studies of Component 1	51
3.3	Feasibility studies of Component 2	52
3.4	Feasibility studies of Component 3	53
3.5	Pipe samples collection	54

3.6	Preparation of test coupons	56
3.7	Coupon grinding and cleaning process	57
3.8	Weighing and labelling of test coupon	58
3.9	Site A, located at 04°30'15.2"N, 103°25'13.7"E vicinity	60
3.10	Site B, located at 04°18'02.9"N, 103°24'22.3"E vicinity	60
3.11	Site C, located at 04°02' 55.5"N, 103°23'21.3"E vicinity	61
3.12	Site D, located at 03°52'19.3"N, 103°18'3.4"E vicinity	61
3.13	Site E, located at 02°36'39.55"N, 102°50'0.96"E vicinity	62
3.14	Position of steel coupons at 0.5m and 1.0m in each dug hole	63
3.15	Distance of two metre were marked before drilling job started	63
3.16	Holes were drilled using power hand auger machine	64
3.17	Steel coupons were buried at 1.0m and 0.5m depth	64
3.18	The depth of each steel coupons were checked using measured stick	65
3.19	Each drilled holes were marked for future reference during coupons retrieval process	65
3.20	Coupon retrieval manually using shovel	66
3.21	Coupon was retrieved at 0.5 metre depth	67
3.22	Measurement of salinity, conductivity and temperature of water table using multimeter	67
3.23	Measurement of soil moisture content	68
3.24	Measurement of soil resistivity using Wenner 4-pin method	68
3.25	Soil preparation for Component 2 and laboratory testing	69
3.26	Polybag used in Component 2 with steel coupons in it	71
3.27	Polybags full with soil were ready to transport back to UTM	71
3.28	Completed site work	72
3.29	Preparation of steel coupons retrieval	73
3.30	Retrieved steel coupons for laboratory analysis	73
3.31	Preparation of soil samples for laboratory analysis	74
3.32	Laboratory corrosion test in soil	76
3.33	Completion of steel coupon buried in saturated soil medium	77
3.34	Kaolin mixed with washed sand to design soil samples with clay content of 25%	79

3.35	Washed sand with different particle size distributions	82
3.36	Mechanical cleaning with light brushing	85
3.37	Preparation of admixture of acid hydrochloric, hexamethylene tetramine and distilled water for chemical cleaning	85
3.38	Chemical cleaning process for 10 minutes immersion	86
3.39	Test steel coupon; (a) steel coupons after retrieval, (b) after mechanical cleaning, (c) after chemical cleaning	86
3.40	Mass loss of corroded specimen resulting from repetitive cleaning cycles [ASTM International, 2004a]	87
3.41	The Wenner four-electrode method in soil resistivity measurement	90
3.42	Framework of data analysis	99
4.1	Preliminary analysis (Part 1)	109
4.2	Metal mass loss (ML) data plotted with mean, median and maximum data	116
4.3	Metal mass loss of Site A for one and half year of exposure	118
4.4	Metal mass loss of Site B for one and half year of exposure	118
4.5	Metal mass loss of Site C for one and half year of exposure	119
4.6	Metal mass loss of Site D for one and half year of exposure	119
4.7	Metal mass loss of Site E for one and half year of exposure	120
4.8	Mass loss for one and half year at research areas	121
4.9	Average mass loss for 1.5 years at five research areas	121
4.10	Statistical model development flowchart	123
4.11	Linear regression between ML_{C1} and PH_{C1}	134
4.12	Linear regression between ML_{C1} and $LogCL_{C1}$	134
4.13	Linear regression analysis of SO_{C1}	135
4.14	Linear regression analysis of S_{C1}	135
4.15	Linear regression analysis of $LogRE_{C1}$	136
4.16	Linear regression analysis of WC_{C1}	136
4.17	Linear regression of CC_{C1}	137
4.18	Linear regression of PI_{C1}	137
4.19	Linear regression of $LogORG_{C1}$	138
4.20	Linear regression of PS_{C1} variable	138
4.21	PCA of k coefficient	145

4.22	PCA of ν coefficient	145
4.23	Actual mass loss versus predicted model	150
5.1	Location of Component 2 research area	157
5.2	Metal mass loss of Type 1 (Site A) for 1.25 years of exposure	163
5.3	Metal mass loss of Type 2 (Site A) for 1.25 years of exposure	163
5.4	Metal mass loss of Type 3 (Site B) for 1.25 years of exposure	164
5.5	Metal mass loss of Type 4 (Site C) for 1.25 years of exposure	164
5.6	Metal mass loss of Type 5 (Site C) for 1.25 years of exposure	165
5.7	Metal mass loss of Type 6 (Site D) for 1.25 years of exposure	165
5.8	Metal mass loss of Type 7 (Site E) for 1.25 years of exposure	166
5.9	Mass loss for 1.25 years at research areas	167
5.10	Average mass loss for 1.25 years at five research areas	168
5.11	Flowchart of empirical model analysis	169
5.12	Linear regression between ML_{C2} and PH_{C2}	179
5.13	Linear regression between ML_{C2} and $LogCL_{C2}$	179
5.14	Linear regression between ML_{C2} and SO_{C2}	180
5.15	Linear regression between ML_{C2} and S_{C2}	180
5.16	Linear regression between ML_{C2} and $LogRE_{C2}$	181
5.17	Linear regression between ML_{C2} and WC_{C2}	181
5.18	Linear regression between ML_{C2} and CC_{C2}	182
5.19	Linear regression between ML_{C2} and PI_{C2}	182
5.20	Linear regression between ML_{C2} and $LogORG_{C2}$	183
5.21	Linear regression between ML_{C2} and PS_{C2}	183
5.22	PCA of k coefficient	187
5.23	PCA of ν coefficient	187
5.24	Actual mass loss versus predicted model	192
6.1	Flowchart of OFAT approaches	197
6.2	Metal mass loss as a function of time for soil pH variable	209
6.3	Metal mass loss as a function of time for soil chloride variable	211
6.4	Metal mass loss as a function of time for soil sulphate content variable	213
6.5	Metal mass loss as a function of time for soil organic content variable	214

6.6	Metal loss rate as a function of time for soil moisture content variable	216
6.7	Metal loss rate as a function of time for soil clay content variable	217
6.8	Metal loss rate as a function of time for soil plasticity index variable	219
6.9	Metal loss rate as a function of time for soil particle size variable	220
7.1	Prediction results of Component 1 data using Component 2 model	241
8.1	Flowchart of research outcome	246

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

5L	-	Specification for line pipe
AFAT	-	All-factor-at-a-time
APB	-	Acid-producing bacteria
API	-	American Petroleum Institute
ANOVA	-	Analysis of variance
ASTM	-	American standard test anad materials
C	-	Constant (8760 x 10 cm/y)
C1	-	Component 1
C2	-	Component 2
CC	-	Clay content
CaSO ₄	-	Calcium sulphate
cm ²	-	Centimetre square
cm	-	Centimetre
CL	-	Chloride content
CLR	-	Corrosion loss rate
CP	-	Cathodic protection
CR	-	Corrosion rate
Co	-	Cobalt
Cr	-	Chromium
DO	-	Dissolved oxygen
e.g.	-	For example
EDA	-	Exploratory data analysis
exp	-	exponent
E	-	East
Fe ²⁺	-	Ferrous ion
Fe ₂ O ₃ .H ₂ O	-	Hydrated ferrous oxide (brown rust)
FBE	-	Fusion bonded epoxy

Fe	-	Iron (element)
Fe(OH) ₂	-	Ferrous hydroxide
Fe(OH) ₃	-	Ferric hydroxide
FeS	-	Ferum sulphide
GDS	-	Glow discharge spectrometer
GPP	-	Gas processing plant
H ⁺	-	Hydron
H _a	-	Alternative hypothesis
HSD	-	Honestly Significant Difference
H ₀	-	Null hypothesis
H ₂ O	-	Water oxygen
H ₂ S	-	Hydrogen sulphide
HCl	-	Hydrochloric acid
ICCP	-	Impressed current cathodic protection
ILI	-	In-line Inspection
K-S	-	Kolmogorov-Smirnov
ksi	-	Kilopounds per square inch
K _n	-	Constant
KMO	-	Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin
LL	-	Liquid limit
log	-	logarithma
m	-	metre
M	-	molar
Max	-	Maximum
Med	-	median
mg/kg	-	Miligram per kilogram
MIC	-	Microbiologically influenced corrosion
ml	-	millilitre
ML	-	Metal mass loss
mm/y	-	Milimetres per year
MRA	-	Multiple regression analysis
mmscf	-	Millions of standard cubic feet
Na ⁺	-	Sodium ion

NaCl	-	Sodium chloride/ natrium chloride
NaOH	-	Sodium hydroxide
NHE	-	Normal hydrogen electrode
Ni	-	Nickel
O ₂	-	Oxygen
OFAT	-	One-factor-at-a-time
OH ⁻	-	Hydroxyl ion
ORG	-	Organic content
ORP	-	Oxidation-reduction potential
P/S	-	Pipe-to-soil
P-value	-	Probability value
P _c	-	Corrosion pit dimension / environmental variables
PCA	-	Principal component analysis
P _{max,cal}	-	Predicted value of measured pit depth
PGB	-	Petronas Gas Berhad
PGU	-	Peninsular Gas Utilisation
PI	-	Plasticity index
PIAM	-	Pipeline integrity assessment and management
PS	-	Particle size distribution
PL	-	Plastic limit
R ²	-	Coefficient of determination
RES / Re	-	Resistivity
ROW	-	Right-of-way
S-W	-	Shapiro-Wilk
S	-	Sulphide
SCC	-	Stress corrosion cracking
SL	-	Shrinkage limit
SLR	-	Single linear regression
SO ₄ ²⁻	-	Sulphate ion
SO	-	Sulphate
Std. dev.	-	Standard deviation
SRB	-	Sulphate-reducing bacteria
T	-	Time of exposure

Tcf	-	Trillion cubic feet
UTM	-	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
V	-	Voltage
WC	-	Moisture content
X70	-	Pipe having a minimum yield strength of 70ksi
XLSTAT	-	Statistical software suite for Microsoft excel

LIST OF SYMBOLS

%	-	Percentage
°C	-	Degree celcius
ρ	-	Resistivity
Ω	-	Ohm
A	-	Regression coefficient / area of exposure
$b_1, b_2, b_3...b_n$	-	Coefficient of predictor variables
b	-	Power coefficient
d	-	Pit depth
d	-	Steel density
D	-	Steel density in g/cm^3
d_{max}	-	Maximum pit depth
e^-	-	electron
E_h	-	Oxidation-reduction potential
k	-	Metal loss constant
l	-	length
n	-	Corrosion growth pattern constant
t	-	Exposure time / thickness
t_o	-	Initial time of exposure
v	-	Corrosion growth pattern constant
w	-	width
W_o	-	Initial weight
W_i	-	Final weight loss
x	-	Time exposure
y	-	Dependent variables

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Site Layout	261
B	Component 1 Data	267
C	Component 2 Data	298

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Gas transportation via pipeline has been seen as an alternative in providing the cleanest, safest, most cost effective and reliable energy solution for the nation and consumers. For the purpose of security, safety, stability and supply continuity, all coated gas pipelines in Malaysia were buried under the ground and maintained by adequate levels of cathodic protection (CP). Although there are several forms of protection such as physical coating and CP, buried pipelines still experience corrosion attack. Without realizing it, the soil surrounding the pipe plays an important role in coating failure such as abrasion resistance, wrinkling, tearing, shifting, disbondment, blistering, etc. This implies soil corrosiveness as a form of external corrosion that poses a threat to buried pipelines.

As mentioned by Amend [2009], the basic soil corrosiveness model is relying on soil resistivity as sole indicator. However, several technical resources show that the relationship is not consistent. Further mentioned by Papavinasam *et al.* [2010], the corrosion allowance is calculated either from the predicted corrosion rate and the design life of the pipeline or determined from experience. With the help of external model, it would assist pipeline operators in designing a maintenance programme and prioritizing the locations for assessment. Furthermore, modelling results can be used as a substitute for default corrosion rates when setting the reassessment intervals.

1.2 Problem Statement

Most pipelines constructed in the last 60 years are still in operation, and most of them will continue safely operating into the future if properly maintained. In the field, one of the major mechanisms of failure of external corrosion is the soil itself. The problems are that these factors do not affect the pipeline equally at all locations and corrosion does not grow at the same rate throughout a pipeline. Currently, many established models are relying on bursting pipeline, coating failure, stress corrosion cracking and cathodic protection efficiency; therefore, huge excavation works are needed to obtain the data from the corroding pipeline that is still in operation. Most of the corrosion models from current researches demonstrated a full utilisation of historical record of metal loss measured on site during excavation. Nevertheless, not all pipeline operators keep the records since site excavation, purposely to measure the external metal loss on pipeline surface, is not a standard practice, highly costly and interruptive to the operation. Therefore, a simpler technique should be explored to record the on-site metal loss volume so as to provide valuable information to the modelling of underground external corrosion.

For this reason there is desire to predict soil corrosiveness with the improved multi-parameter models in which several soil characteristics are considered without the need for a return trip to the field, on-site excavation and the presence of technical expertise. The models developed in this work are generally based on empirical results reflecting a wide range of exposure conditions suitable for tropical climate's site conditions keeping in mind that the pipelines still are operating throughout the research duration. Even though previous researches have successfully developed a number of predictive empirical models for external condition of corroding pipelines, the feasibility of these models for practical application in different regions with different climate and soil condition is unknown. Hence, the need for a predictive corrosion model specially tailored for tropical soil condition.

The corrosion rate of mild steel pipeline depends on the soils corrosiveness. These models are expressed as a power law pattern; $d = kt^v$ where t is the exposure time, and k and v are metal loss constant and corrosion growth pattern over time

respectively, using a multivariate regression analysis known as all-factor-at-a-time (AFAT) approach. It was conducted with d as the dependent variable, and the soil physical and chemical properties as independent variables. As mentioned by Ricker [2010], so many diverse factors influence corrosion rates underground that planning of proper tests and interpretation of the results are vitally needed. Hence, accurate classification of soil parameters into the right group between both constant k and ν has become a major issue. The classification of parameters related to soil chemical content and soil physical properties to both constant k and ν has seen inconsistent results across the globe. Therefore, it is proposed to use the one-factor-at-a-time (OFAT) approach to provide better understanding of the degree of influence of soil chemical and physical properties towards dynamic corrosion growth particularly for Malaysian region.

1.3 Research Aim

Mild steel grade API 5L X70 are frequently used in transmission gas pipelines in Peninsular and West Malaysia. Understanding the effects of dynamic corrosion growth is essential for the integrity and reliability of these pipelines. Research focusing on developing the external growth rate model by correlating the growth of external corrosion based on corrosion rate and metal loss data gathered by steel coupons buried underground, soil engineering properties and soil chemical properties as reported herein, would increase the understanding of a pipeline structure and deteriorating effects of dynamic corrosion growth on structural integrity. The predicted external model is based on statistical modelling used to support external corrosion direct assessment (ECDA) and integrity management of buried pipelines in Malaysian soil conditions. As the model is based on all-factors-at-a-time (AFAT) approach using a multivariate analysis, it requires less excavation works and is suitable for those researchers who do not have the luxury to access the historical record of metal loss data.

1.4 Research Objectives

The following objectives were identified as steps towards achieving the research aim:

- a) To determine the metal mass loss and corrosion growth pattern of mild steel coupon installed in an underground environment;
- b) To identify the empirical relationship between metal mass loss rate towards soil properties and soil chemical content through on-site evaluation and experimental works;
- c) To classify the parameters of soil chemical content and engineering properties according to the level of influence towards power law coefficient of k and ν using AFAT approach;
- d) To verify the classification of soil parameters to power law coefficient of k and ν using OFAT approach.

1.5 Research Scopes

A large part of this research are related to corrosion study involving extensive field and laboratory experimentation to examine the correlation between volume of metal loss and those parameters that are considered to influence metal loss such as pH, temperature, chloride content, sulphate content, sulphide content, moisture content, organic content and resistivity as well as soil physical properties such as plasticity index, clay content and soil particle size. Through this field and laboratory works, the empirical model of the external corrosion can be implemented based on the tested parameters. This model can be used to obtain the corrosion growth rate and can be used to assist pipeline operators in designing an effective Pipeline Integrity Assessment and Management (PIAM). The pipelines involved in this research are owned by Petronas Gas Berhad (PGB) and are laid all the way from Kerteh to Kangar. However this research will focus only on laid pipeline from Kerteh to Segamat due to time and allocation constraints. The steel coupons used to measure corrosion rates are prepared from actual segments of steel pipe of grade API 5L X70.

Statistical analysis and multi regression techniques were utilised to identify the relationship between soil physical and chemical properties towards dynamic corrosion growth in developing the prediction model.

1.6 Importance of Research

As an integrated part of pipeline reliability, external corrosion modelling in the buried gas pipeline has been receiving greater attention from both researchers and municipalities but modelling based on Malaysian soil conditions is not readily available. This research is significant for the following reasons:

- a) The proposed model can reduce the uncertainties in the estimation of corrosion growth by incorporating multi parameters related to soil chemical content and soil engineering properties.
- b) The proposed model would assist operators in making decisions on route selection for future pipeline construction and to forecast the corrosive tendency of a specific site.
- c) The proposed model can assist the operators in arranging the future inspection, repair and maintenance resources, thus reducing the operating and maintenance cost of underground pipelines.

1.7 Contribution of the Research

The research was meant to develop an empirical external corrosion growth rate model suitable for Malaysian soil conditions whereby historical corrosion data from site excavation on pipeline installation site is lacking. Moreover, the model can also be used to predict the corrosion loss while the pipeline is still in operation; therefore, it would assist the pipeline operator in designing maintenance programmes and to prioritize the locations for assessment and setting reassessment intervals or future pipeline construction. The research was conducted in right-of-way (ROW) of East Peninsular Malaysia at five different locations with legal permission from

Petronas Company. Other than ROW, the research was also conducted in UTM open areas in terms of empirical model development based on soil data from a particular area at ROW. For those who are not fortunate to have an enormous yet real data field, they can use data taken from the open area to develop a model as demonstrated by this research.

REFERENCES

- ACI. (2011). *ACI 318: Building Code Requirements for Reinforced Concrete*. U.S.: American Concrete Institute.
- Ahammed, M. (1998). Probabilistic Estimation of Remaining Life of A Pipeline In The Presence of Active Corrosion Defects. *International Journal of Pressure Vessels and Piping*, 75, 321-329.
- Ahammed, M., and Melchers, R. E. (1997). Probabilistic Analysis of Underground Pipelines Subject to Combined Stresses and Corrosion. *Engineering Structures*, 19(12), 988-994.
- Alamilla, J., Espinosa-Medina, M., and Sosa, E. (2009). Modelling Steel Corrosion Damage in Soil Environment. *Corrosion Science*, 51, 2628-2638.
- Allahkaram, S. R., and Bigdeli, F. (2010). Influence of Particle Size on Corrosion Resistance of Electroless Ni-P-SiC Composite Coatings. *Iranian Journal of Science and Technology, Transaction B: Engineering*, 34(2), 231-234.
- Alodan, M. A., and Abdulaleem, F. (2007). Pipeline Corrosion in Soil. *Final Research Report No. 425/17*. King Saud University, Deanship of Scientific Research, Research Center-College of Engineering.
- Alodan, M., and Abdulaleem, F. (1997). Pipeline Corrosion in Soil. *Research Report No. 425/17*. King Saud University.
- Amend, B. (2009, March). New Soil Corrosiveness and External Corrosion Rate Model. *Pipeline and Gas Journal*, 236(3), 32-37.
- Ansuini, F., and Dimond, J. (2005). Field Tests On An Advanced Cathodic Protection Coupon. *Corrosion 2005* (pp. 1-13). Houston, Texas: NACE International.
- Anyanwu, I. S., Eseonu, O., and Nwosu, H. U. (2014). Experimental Investigations and Mathematical Modelling of Corrosion Growth Rate on Carbon Steel

- under the Influence of Soil pH and Resistivity. *IOSR Journal of Engineering (IOSRJEN)*, 4(10), 7-18.
- ASTM International. (2004a). ASTM G01: Standard Practice for Preparing, Cleaning and Evaluation Corrosion Test Specimens. West Conshohocken, U.S.: American Society for Testing and Materials.
- ASTM International. (2004b). *ASTM G162: Standard Practice for Conducting and Evaluating Laboratory Corrosion Tests in Soils*. West Conshohocken, U.S.: American Society for Testing and Materials.
- ASTM International. (2010). *ASTM G16: Standard Practice for Applying Statistics to Analysis of Corrosion Data*. West Conshohocken, US: American Society for Testing and Materials.
- ASTM International. (2012). *ASTM G57: Standard Test Method for Field Measurement of Soil Resistivity Using The Wenner Four-Electrode Method*. West Conshohocken, US: American Society for Testing and Materials.
- Baboiian, R. (2005). *Corrosion Test and Standards: Application and Interpretation* (2nd ed.). West Conshohocken: ASTM International.
- Baboiian, R., and Dean, S. (1990). *Corrosion Testing and Evaluation: Silver Anniversary Volume*. ASTM COMPASS.
- Bakker, H. (2012). Chapter 3: The Development Of The Sugar Cane Plant. In *Sugar Cane Cultivation and Management* (pp. 9-25). U.S: Springer.
- Balas, C., Koc, L. M., and Tur, R. (2010). Artificial Neural Networks based on Principal Component Analysis, Fuzzy Systems and Fuzzy Neural Networks for Preliminary Design of Rubble Mound Breakwaters. *Applied Ocean Research*, 32(4), 425-433.
- Bayliss, D., and Deacon, D. (2002). *Steel Work Corrosion Control* (2nd ed.). Great Britain: St. Edmundsbury Press.
- Beavers, J. A., and Durr, C. L. (1998). *Corrosion of Steel Piling in Nonmarine Applications*. Washington D. C.: Transportation Research Board, National Research Council.
- Bhatarai, J. (2013). Study on the Corrosive Nature of Soil towards the Buried-Structures. *Scientific World*, 11(11).
- Biagiotti, S. F., R., B. S., and Chaney, C. E. (2010). Using Soil Analysis and Corrosion Rate Modelling to Support ECDA and Integrity Management of Pipelines and Buried Plant Piping. *Corrosion*, 1-12.

- Booth, G. H., Cooper, A. W., Cooper, P. M., and Wakerley, D. S. (1967). Criteria of Soil Aggressiveness towards Buried Metals: I. Experimental Methods. *British Corrosion Journal*, 2, 104-108.
- Bosich, J. F. (1970). *Corrosion Prevention for Practicing Engineers*. USA: Barnes and Noble Inc.
- Bradford, S. (2000). *Practical Handbook of Corrosion Control in Soils* (Vol. 3). Canada: CASTI Publishing.
- Brevik, E. C. (2012). Soils and Climate Change: Gas Fluxes and Soil Processes. *Soil Horizons*, 53(4).
- British Standard Institution. (1998a). *BS 1377-1: Methods of Test for Soils for Civil Engineering Purposes* General Requirement and Sample Preparation. London: British Standard Institution.
- British Standard Institution. (1998b). *BS 1377-2: Classification Tests*. London: British Standard Institution.
- British Standard Institution. (1998c). *BS 1377-3: Chemical and Electrochemical Tests*. London: British Standard Institution.
- British Standard Institution. (2014). *BS EN ISO 8407: Corrosion of Metal and Alloys. Removal of Corrosion Products from Corrosion Test Specimens*. London: British Standard Institution.
- Bruton, D. A. (2015). An Improved Model for the Prediction of Pipeline Embedment on the Basis of Assessment of Field Data. *The Offshore Technology Conference* (pp. 59-67). Houston: Society of Petroleum Engineers.
- Bullard, S. J., Covino Jr., B. S., Holcomb, G. R., and Ziomek-Moroz, M. (2003). Laboratory Evaluation of an Electrochemical NOise System for Detection for Localized and General Corrosion of Natural Gas Transmission Pipelines. *Corrosion*.
- Burton, R. G. (2001). Investigation of Soils in Thames Water Excavations, North London. *A report for Thames Water Utilities*. UK: NSRI, Cranfield University.
- Caleyo, F., Velazquez, J., Valor, A., and Hallen, J. (2009). Probability Distribution of Pitting Corrosion Depth and Rate in Underground Pipelines: A Monte Carlo Study. *Corrosion Science*, 1925-1934.
- Cattell, R. B. (1966). The Scree Test for the Number of Factors. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 1(2), 245-276.

- Chaker, V. (2001). Corrosion Testing in Soils-Past, Present and Future. In R. Baboian, and S. W. Dean, *Corrosion Testing and Evaluation: Silver Anniversary Volume* (pp. 95-111). West Conshohocken: ASTM International.
- Cheuk, C. Y., Take, W. A., Bolton, M. D., and Oliveira, J. R. (2007). Soil Restraint on Buckling Oil and Gas Pipelines Buried in Lumpy Clay Fill. *Engineering Structures*, 29, 973-982.
- Cole, I. S., and Marney, D. (2012). The Science of Pipe Corrosion: A Review of the Literature on the Corrosion of Ferrous Metals in Soils. *Corrosion Science*, 56(2012), 5-16.
- Compton's Encyclopedia. (2010). Britannica.
- Cordoba, V. C., Mejia, M. A., Echeverria, F., Morales, M., and Calderon, J. A. (2011). Corrosion Mitigation of Buried Structures by Soils Modification. *Ingeniare. Revistas Chilena de Ingenieria*, 19(3), 486-497.
- Corwin, D. L., and Lesch, S. M. (2003). Application of Soil Electrical Conductivity to Precision Agriculture: Theory, Principles and Guidelines. *Agron Journal*, 95(455), 471.
- Corwin, D. L., and Lesch, S. M. (2005). Apparent Electrical Conductivity Measurements in Agriculture. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 46(11), 43.
- Cunat, P. (2001). Corrosion Resistance of Stainless Steels in Soils and in Concrete. *Paper presented at The Plenary Days of the Committee on the Study of Pipe Corrosion and Protection*.
- Cunha Lins, V., Ferreira, M. M., and Saliba, P. A. (2012). Corrosion Resistance of API X52 Carbon Steel in Soil Environment. *Journal of Materials Research and Technology*, 1(3), 161-166.
- Dayalan, E., Vani, G., Shadley, J., Shirazi, S., and Rybicki, E. (1995). Modeling CO₂ Corrosion of Carbon Steels in Pipe Flow. *Corrosion*.
- De Waard, C., and Milliams, D. E. (1975). Prediction of Carbonic Acid Corrosion in Natural Gas Pipelines. *First International Conference on the Internal and External Protection of Pipes, Paper F1* (pp. 1-16). Cranfield, UK: BHRA Fluid Engineering.
- De Waard, C., Lotz, U., and Dugstad, A. (1995). Influence of Liquid Flow Velocity on CO₂ Corrosion: A Semi-Empirical Model. *Corrosion*.

- Denison, I., and Romanoff, M. (1952). Corrosion of Low-Alloy Irons and Steel in Soils. *Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards*, 49(5), 315-324.
- Doyle, G., Seica, M. V., and Grabinsky, M. W. (2003). The Role of Soil in the External Corrosion of Cast Iron Water Mains in Toronto, Canada. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 40(2), 225-236.
- Durr, C., and Beavers, J. (1998). Techniques For Assessment of Soil Corrosivity. *Corrosion*, 667/1-667/20.
- Efird, K., Wright, E. J., Boros, J., and Hailey, T. (1993). Wall Shear and Flow Accelerated Corrosion of Carbon Steel in Sweet Production. *12th International Corrosion Congress, Technical Paper TS 14 194*. Houston, TX: NACE International.
- El Mendili, Y., Abdelouas, A., Ait Chaou, A., Bardeau, J.-F., and Schlegel, M. (2014). Carbon Steel Corrosion In Clay-Rich Environment. *Corrosion Science*, 88(2014), 56-65.
- Englehardt, G., and MacDonald, D. D. (1998). Deterministic Prediction of Pit Depth Distribution. *Corrosion*, 54, 469-480.
- Enning, D., and Garrelfs, J. (2014). Corrosion of Iron by Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria: New Views of an Old Problem. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 80(4), 1226-1236.
- Evans, U. R. (1966). The Corrosion and Oxidation of Metals. In *Scientific Principles and Practical Applications* (pp. 819-849). London, UK: Edward Arnold (Publishers) Ltd.
- Ewing, S. (1932). Rough Correlation between Corrosiveness and Resistivity for Alkali Soils. *Oil and Gas Journal*, 30(1932), 29.
- Fang, H., Brown, B., and Nestic, S. (2011). Effects of Sodium Chloride Concentration on Mild Steel Corrosion in Slightly Sour Environments. *CORROSION*, 67(1).
- Fedotov, G. N., Tret'yakov, Y. D., Pozdnayakov, A. I., and Zhukov, D. V. (2005). The Role of Organomineral Gel in the Origin of Soil Resistivity: Concept and Experiments. *Eurasian Soil Science*, 38, 492-500.
- Ferreira, C. M., Ponciano, J. A., Vaitsman, D., and Perez, D. (2007). Evaluation of The Corrosivity of The Soil Through Its Chemical Composition. *Science of The Total Environment*, 388(1-3), 250-255.

- Field, A. (2013). *Discovering Statistics Using IBM SPSS Statistics* (3rd ed.). London: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Fitzgerald, J. H. (1993). Evolving Soil Corrosivity-Then and Now . *Materials Performance*, 9-17.
- Gupta, S., and Gupta, B. (1979). The Critical Moisture Content in the Underground Corrosion of Mild Steel. *Corrosion Science*, 19, 171-178.
- Guthrie, J., Battat, B., and Grethlein, C. (2001). Accelerated Corrosion Testing. *Advanced Materials and Processes Technology*, 6(3).
- Habashi, F. (2003). History of Corrosion Research. *ProQuest Science Journal*, 96(1067), 88-94.
- Hamid, M. N. (2008). Issues On Natural Gas Distribution In Malaysia. *JURUTERA*, 2008(11), pp. 6-11.
- He, B., Han, P., Lu, C., and Bai, X. (2015). Effect of Soil Particle Size On The Corrosion Behaviour of Natural Gas Pipeline. *Engineering Failure Analysis*, 58(2015), 19-30.
- High, M., Wagner, J., and Natarajan, S. (2000). Mechanistic Modelling of Mass Transfer in the Laminar Sublayer in Downhole Systems. *Corrosion* .
- Hillel, D. (2003). *Introduction to Environmental Soil Physics*. Elsevier.
- Holler, H. D. (1929). Corrosiveness of Soils with Respect to Iron and Steel. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, 750-755.
- Hopkins, P. (1995). Transmission Pipelines: How to Improve Their Integrity and Prevent Failures. *Proceedings of the 2nd International Pipeline Technology Conference* (pp. 683-706). Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Hyvarinen, A. (1999). Survey on Independent Component Analysis. *Neural Computing Surveys*(2), 92-128.
- Ismail, A. M., and El-Shamy, A. M. (2009). Engineering Behaviour of Soil Materials on the Corrosion of Mild Steel. *Applied Clay Science*, 42(2009), 356-362.
- Jack, T. R., Wilmott, M. J., and Sutherby, R. L. (1995). Indicator Minerals Formed During External Corrosion of Line Pipe. *Material Performance*, 34(11), 19-22.
- Jayalath, N., Mosley, L., Fitzpatrick, R., and Marschner, P. (2016). Addition of Organic Matter Influences pH Changes In Reduced and Oxidised Acid Sulfate Soils. *Geoderma*, 262, 125-132.

- Jeannin, M., Calonne, D., Sabot, R., and Refait, P. (2010). Role of a Clay Sediment on The Corrosion of Carbon Steel in 0.5 mol L⁻¹ NaCl Solutions. *Corrosion Science*, 52, 2026-2034.
- Jepson, W., Stitzel, S., Kang, C., and Gopal, M. (1997). Model for Sweet Corrosion in Horizontal Multiphase Slug Flow. *Corrosion*, 1-12.
- Jones, D. A. (1995). *Principles and Prevention of Corrosion* (2nd ed.). Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Kanwar, S., and Jepson, W. (1994). A Model to Predict Sweet Corrosion of Multiphase Flow in Horizontal Pipelines. *Corrosion*.
- Katano, Y., Miyata, K., Shimizu, H., and Isogai, T. (2003). Predictive Model for Pit Growth on Underground Pipes. *Corrosion*, 59, 155-161.
- Klein, and Rancombe. (1985). Performance of Water Pipeline Materials. *Chemistry and Industry*, 353-358.
- Kulman, F. E., and Palica, S. N. (1963). Fundamental Parameter in Underground Pipe Corrosion. *American Gas Association Conference*, (pp. 175-184).
- Lal, R., and Shukla, M. (2004). Chapter 8: Soil Rheology and Plasticity. In *Principles of Soil Physics* (p. 241). Basel, New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc.
- Landolfo, R., Cascini, L., and Portioli, F. (2010). Modeling of Metal Structure Corrosion Damage: A State of the Art Report. *Sustainability*, 2163-2175.
- Li, S. (2003). Corrosion Behaviour of Carbon Steel Influenced by Sulphate-Reducing Bacteria in Soil Environments. *Ph.D Thesis*. Seoul National University.
- Li, S. Y., Kim, Y. G., Kho, Y. T., and Kang, T. (2004). Statistical Approach to Corrosion Under Disbonded Coating on Cathodically Protected Line Pipe Steel. *Corrosion*, 60(11), 1058-1071.
- Liu, Z., Sadiq, R., Rajani, B., and Najjaran, H. (2010). Exploring the Relationship between Soil Properties and Deterioration of Metallic Pipes Using Predictive Data Mining Methods. *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 24(2010), 289-301.
- Ma, F. Y. (2012). Corrosive Effects of Chlorides on Metals, Pitting Corrosion. In N. Bensalah, *Pitting Corrosion* (pp. 139-178). Croatia: In Tech.
- Manafi, Z., Hashemi, M., Abdollahi, H., and Olson, G. J. (2013, November). Bio-corrosion of Water Pipeline by Sulphate-Reducing Bacteria in a Mining Environment. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 12(46), 6504-6516.

- Matsushima, I. (2011). Carbon Steel- Corrosion by Soils. In R. W. Revie, *Uhlig's Corrosion Handbook* (3rd ed.). US: John Wiley and Sons.
- Melcher, R. E. (2010). The Changing Character of Long Term Marine Corrosion of Mild Steel. *Research Report*. Australia: The University of Newcastle.
- Melchers, R. (2003a). Modeling of Marine Immersion Corrosion for Mild and Low-Alloy Steels- Part1: Phenomenological Model. *Corrosion*, 59(4), 319-334.
- Melchers, R. (1997). Modeling of Marine Corrosion of Steel Specimens. (R. Kain, and W. Young, Eds.) *Corrosion Testing in Natural Waters, ASTM STP 1300*, 2nd.
- Melchers, R. E. (2003b). Mathematical Modelling of the Diffusion Controlled Phase in Marine Immersion Corrosion of Mild Steel. *Corrosion Science*, 45(2003), 923-940.
- Melchers, R. E. (2013). Long-term Corrosion of Cast Irons and Steel in Marine and Atmospheric Environments. *Corrosion Science*, 68(2013), 186-194.
- Melchers, R., and Jeffrey, R. (2008). The Critical Involvement of Anaerobic Bacterial Activity in Modelling the Corrosion Behaviour of Mild Steel in Marine Environments. *Electrochimica Acta*, 54(2008), 80-85.
- Michot, D., Benderitter, Y., Dorigny, A., N. B., King, D., and Tabbagh, A. (2003). A Spatial and Temporal Monitoring of Soil Water Content with an Irrigated Corn Crop Cover Using Surface Electrical Resistivity Tomography. *Water Resources Research*, 39, 1138.
- Mughabghab, S., and Sullivan, T. (1989). Evaluation of the Pitting Corrosion of Carbon Steels and Other Ferrous Metals in Soil Systems. *Waste Management*, 9, 239-251.
- National Bureau of Standards. (1972). NBS Paper on Underground Corrosion of Steel Piling 1962-1971. *NBS Monograph*. Washington, D.C.: Department of Commerce.
- National Energy Board. (1996). *Stress Corrosion Cracking on Canadian Oil and Gas Pipelines*. Canada: National Energy Board.
- Nesic, S. (2007). Key Issues Related to Modelling of Internal Corrosion of Oil and Gas Pipelines: A Review. *Corrosion Science*, 49, 4308-4338.
- Nesic, S., Nordsveen, M., Nyborg, R., and Stangeland, A. (2003). A Mechanistic Model for Co₂ Corrosion with Protective Iron Carbonate Films - Parts: A Numerical Experiment. *Corrosion*, 59(6), 489-497.

- Noor, E., and Al-Moubaraki, A. (2014). Influence of Soil Moisture Content on the Corrosion Behavior of X60 Steel in Different Soils. *Arab Journal of Science Engineering*, 2014(39), 5421-5435.
- Noor, M. N. (2009). Statistical Modelling of Corrosion Growth in Marine Environment. *Research Report*. Malaysia: Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Noor, M. N., and Othman, S. R. (2010). *Soil Corrosion and Integrity Management of Buried Pipeline*. Malaysia: JURUTERA.
- Nordsveen, M., Nestic, S., Nyborg, R., and Stangeland, A. (2003). A Mechanistic Model for CO₂ Corrosion with Protective Iron Carbonate Films - Part 1: Theory and Verification. *Corrosion*, 59(5), 443-456.
- Nyborg, R. (2010). CO₂ Corrosion Models for Oil and Gas Production Systems. *NACE International Corrosion 2010 Conference and Expo* (pp. 1-20). Houston, Texas: NACE International.
- Oguzie, E. E., Agochukwu, I. B., and Onuchukwu, A. (2004). Monitoring the Corrosion Susceptibility of Mild Steel in Varied Soil Textures by Corrosion Product Count Technique. *Materials Chemistry and Physics*, 84(1), 1-6.
- Okiongbo, K. S., and Ogobiri, G. (2013). Predicting Soil Corrosivity along a Pipeline Route in the Niger Delta Basin Using Geoelectrical Method: Implications for Corrosion Control. *Journal of Engineering*, 5, 237-244.
- Osella, A., Favetto, A., and Lopez, E. (1998). Currents Induced by Geomagnetic Storms on Buried Pipelines as a Cause of Corrosion. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 38, 219-233.
- Paillet, Y., Cassagne, N., and Brun, J. J. (2010). Monitoring Forest Soil Properties with Electrical Resistivity. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, Springer Verlag (Germany), 46(5), 451-460.
- Pallant, J. (2010). *Spss Survival Manual. A Step by Step Guide to Data Analysis using SPSS*. McGraw-Hill Education.
- Palmer, J. D. (1990). Field Soil Corrosivity Testing-Engineering Considerations. In R. Baboian, and S. W. Dean, *Corrosion Testing and Evaluation* (Vols. Silver Anniversary Volume, ASTM STP 1000, pp. 125-138). Philadelphia: American Society for Testing and Materials.
- Papavinasam, S., Doiron, A., and Revie, R. (2010). Model to Predict Internal Pitting Corrosion of Oil and Gas Pipelines. *Corrosion*, 66(3), 1-11.

- Passano, R. (1933). The Corrosion-Time Relationship of Iron. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, 25(11), 1247-1250.
- Phil, L. (2010). Lab Testing Reveals Relationships Among Soil Quality, Corrosion and The Pipeline Environment. *Pipeline and Gas Journal*, 5(237), 92-94.
- Pots, B. (1995). Mechanistic Models for the Prediction of CO₂ Corrosion Rates under Multi-Phase Flow Conditions. *Corrosion*.
- Press, F., and Siever, R. (2002). *Understanding Earth* (3rd ed.). New York: Freeman.
- Qiu, J., Wang, H., Lu, J., Zhang, B., and Du, K.-L. (2012). Neural Network Implementations for PCA and Its Extensions. *International Scholarly Research Notices*, 2012, 19.
- Ralston, K., Birbilis, N., and Davies, C. (2010). Revealing the Relationship Between Grain Size and Corrosion Rate of Metals. *Scripta Materialia*, 63(2010), 1201-1204.
- Revie, R., and Uhlig, H. (2008). *Corrosion and Corrosion Control: An Introduction to Corrosion Science and Engineering* (4th ed.). Canada: John Wiley and Sons.
- Rhoades, J. D., Chanduvi, F., and Lesch, S. (1999). *Soil Salinity Assessment: Methods and Interpretation of Electrical Conductivity Measurements*. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Ricker, R. (2007). Analysis of Pipeline Steel Corrosion Data from NBS (NIST) Studies Conducted between 1922-1940 and Relevance to Pipeline Management. *Research Report, NISTIR 7415*. US: National Institute of Standards and Technology.
- Ricker, R. E. (2010). Analysis of Pipeline Steel Corrosion Data from NBS (NIST) Studies Conducted between 1922-1940 and Relevance to Pipeline Management. *Journal of Research of the National Institute of Standards and Technology*, 115(5), 373-392.
- Riemer, D. P. (2000). Modelling Cathodic Protection for Pipeline Networks. *Ph.D Thesis*. University of Florida.
- Rim-rukeh, A., and Awatefe, K. J. (2006). Investigation of Soil Corrosivity in the Corrosion of Low Carbon Steel Pipe in Soil Environment. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*, 2(8), 466-469.
- Roberge, P. (2000). *Handbook of Corrosion Engineering*. New York: McGraw Hills.

- Robinson, J. (2005). *Predicting the In-Ground Performance of Galvanised Steel*. Mount Townsend Solutions Pty Ltd.
- Robinson, W. C. (1993). Testing Soil for Corrosiveness. *Materials Performance*, 32(4), 56-58.
- Roman, J., Vera, R., Bagnara, M., Carvajal, A. M., and Aperador, W. (2014). Effects of Chloride Ions on the Corrosion of Galvanized Steel Embedded in Concrete Prepared with Cements of Different Composition. *International Journal of Electrochemical Science*, 9(2014), 580-592.
- Romanoff, M. (1957). *Underground Corrosion*. Washington D. C.: National Bureau of Standards Circular 579.
- Romanoff, M. (1962). Corrosion of Steel Pilings in Soils. *Journal of Engineering and Instrument*.
- Rossum, J. R. (1969). Prediction of Pitting Rates in Ferrous Metals from Soil Parameter. *Journal of American Water Works Association*, 61(6), 305-310.
- Rowell, D. L. (2014). *Soil Science: Methods and Applications*. Routledge.
- Scardina, P., Edwards, M., Bosch, D. J., Loganathan, G. V., and Dwyer, S. K. (2008). *Assessment of Non-Uniform Corrosion in Copper Piping*. West Quincy Avenue, Denver: AWWA Research Foundation.
- Schumacher, M. (1979). *Seawater Corrosion Handbook*. Park Ridge, New Jersey: Noyes Data Corporation.
- Schwerdtfeger, W. J. (1965). Soil Resistivity as Related to Underground Corrosion and Cathodic Protection. *Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards*, 69C(1), 1-7.
- Shepard, E. R. (1934). Some Factors Involved in Soil Corrosion. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, 26(7), 723-732.
- Shreir, L. L., Jarman, R. A., and Burstein, G. T. (2000). *Corrosion: Corrosion Control*. Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Srikanth, S., Sankaranarayanan, T., Gopalakrishna, K., Narasimhan, B., Das, T., and Das, S. (2005). Corrosion in a Buried Pressurised Water Pipeline. *Engineering Failure Analysis*, 12(2005), 634-651.
- Starkey, R., and Wight, K. (1945). *Anaerobic Corrosion of Iron in Soil*. New York: American Gas Association.
- Stott, J. F., and John, G. (2010). Corrosion in Soils. In T. J. Richardson, *Shreir's Corrosion* (pp. 1149-1168). Oxford: Elsevier.

- Sun, W., and Nescic, S. (2007). A Mechanistic Model of H₂S Corrosion of Mild Steel. *Corrosion Conference and Expo* (p. Paper no. 07655). U.S: Texas: NACE International.
- Syed, S. (2006). Atmospheric Corrosion of Materials. *Emirates Journal for Engineering Research*, 11(1), 1-24.
- Snyder, V. A., and Vazquez, M. A. (2004). Structurei. In D. Hillel, *Encyclopedia of Soils in the Environment* (pp. 54-58). Academic Press.
- Tabachnick, B. G., and Fidell, L. S. (2007). *Using Multivariate Statistics*. Boston: Pearson/ Allyn and Bacon.
- Thurstone, L. L. (1947). Multiple-Factor Analysis. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 4(2), 535.
- Tullmin, M., and Roberge, P. R. (2006). Atmospheric Corrosion. In R. W. Revie, *Uhlig's Corrosion Handbook* (2nd ed., pp. 305-321). Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley and Sons.
- Uhlig, H. H., and Revie, R. W. (1985). *Corrosion and Corrosion Control*. New York: John Wiley.
- Velazquez, J. C., Caleyó, F., Valor, A., and Hallen, J. M. (2009). Predictive Model for Pitting Corrosion in Buried Oil and Gas Pipelines. *Corrosion*, 65(5), 332.
- Veleva, L. (2005). Soils and Corrosion. In R. Baboian, *Corrosion Tests and Standards: Application and Interpretation* (2nd ed., pp. 387-404). ASTM International.
- Walfish, S. (2008). A Well-Designed Experiment Can Make It Easier to Understand The Sources of Variation. *BioPharm International*, 21(11).
- Wang, G., Spencer, J., and Elsayed, T. (2003). Estimation of Corrosion Rates of Structural Members in Oil Tankers. *Proceedings of OMAE 2003, 22nd International Conference in Offshore Mechanics and Arctic Engineering*. Cancun, Mexico.
- Wang, S., Du, C., Li, X., Liu, Z., Zhu, M., and Zhang, D. (2015). Field Corrosion Characterization of Soil Corrosion of X70 Pipeline Steel In A Red Clay Soil. *Materials International*, 25(2015), 242-250.
- Wilmott, M., and Jack, T. (2011). Corrosion by Soils. In R. W. Revie, *Uhlig's Corrosion Handbook* (pp. 333-350). Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley and Sons.

- Xu, J., Sun, C., Yan, M., and Wang, F. (2012). Effects of Sulfate Reducing Bacteria on Corrosion of Carbon Steel Q235 in Soil-Extract Solution. *International Journal of Electrochemical Science*, 7(2012), 11281-11296.
- Xu, Q., Liu, S., Wan, X., Jiang, C., Song, X., and Wang, J. (2012). Effects of Rainfall on Soil Moisture and Water Movement in a Subalpine Dark Coniferous Forest in Southwestern China. *Hydrological Processes*, 26, 3800-3809.
- Yahaya, N., Lim, K., Noor, N., Othman, S., and Abdullah, A. (2011a). Effects of Clay and Moisture Content on Soil-Dynamic. *Malaysian Journal of Civil Engineering*, 1, 24-32.
- Yahaya, N., Noor, M. N., Othman, S. R., and Lim, K. S. (2011b). New Technique for Studying Soil-Corrosion of Underground Pipeline. *Journal Applied Science*, 11, 1510-1518.
- Zhao, X., Xing, S., Yang, J., and Zhang, J. (2014). Comparative EIS Study on Atmospheric Corrosion Behaviour of Zinc-Aluminium Coated Steels under Cyclic Wet-Dry Conditions. *International Journal of Electrochemical Science*, 9(2014), 5877-5884.
- Zhao, Y. X., Karimi, A. R., Wong, H. S., Hu, B. Y., Buenfeld, N. R., and Jin, W. L. (2011). Comparison of Uniform and Non-Uniform Induced Damage in Reinforced Concrete based on a Gaussian Description of the Corrosion Layer. *Corrosion Science*, 53(9), 2803-2814.