CONTROL OF A PNEUMATIC ACTUATOR SYSTEM USING ENHANCED NONLINEAR PROPORTIONAL INTEGRAL DERIVATIVE CONTROLLER ALGORITHM

SYED NAJIB BIN SYED SALIM

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

CONTROL OF A PNEUMATIC ACTUATOR SYSTEM USING ENHANCED NONLINEAR PROPORTIONAL INTEGRAL DERIVATIVE CONTROLLER ALGORITHM

SYED NAJIB BIN SYED SALIM

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Electrical Engineering)

> Faculty of Electrical Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > AUGUST 2015

To my beloved family

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Praise to the Almighty..

First of all, thanks to Allah s.w.t for the continuous blessing and for giving me the strength and chances in completing this thesis. I would like to express my sincere gratitude especially to my supervisor, Prof Dr Mohd Fua'ad Rahmat for offering me excellent guidance and encouragement during the course of this work. My thanks also goes to my co-supervisor Ir. Dr Ahmad 'Athif Bin Mohd Faudzi and Ir. Dr Zool Hilmi Bin Ismail, for all support and encouragement they gave during this work.

Special acknowledgement also goes to the Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) and Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for the financial support during my research years. Appreciation also goes to Mr. Ahmad Ismail, director of ACIS TECHNOLOGY SDN BHD for the technical assistance provided. I would like also to sincerely thank my colleagues Prof Mohamad Rom, Prof Madya Mohd Ariff, Dr Zikri Abadi, Dr Rozaimi, Dr Shamsul Anuar, Ir. Dr Lokman, Dr Sahazati, Dr Aliza, Noorhazirah, Sharatul Izzah, Khairuddin, Ismail, Khairul Anuar, Imran, Zulfatman, Ling Tiew Gine, Fazlul Rahman, Irma Wani, Muhammad Nizam, Ahmad Idil, Maslan, Ahmad Aizan, Mohd Faizal, Hasrul and Mazree for being such a wonderful friend.

Finally, my greatest gratitude goes to my mother, Rohani binti Salim; wife, Zain binti Retas; daughter, Sharifah Khadijah; and sons, Syed Muhammad, Syed Yusuf, Syed Ismail and Syed Musa. Thanks for your considerate care.

Syed Najib Syed Salim, Skudai

ABSTRACT

Pneumatic actuators offer several advantages such as low cost, simple to maintain, high power to weight ratio, fast motion, free from overheating and reliable. Due to these advantages, this actuator continues to generate significant research interests and it has been promoted as an alternative to hydraulics and electric servo motors in many automated tasks. However, it exhibits high nonlinearities due to high friction forces, compressibility of air and dead band of the spool movement in the valve. These nonlinearities make an accurate position difficult to achieve and it requires an appropriate controller for better performance. This thesis presents a new approach to control the pneumatic positioning system. The mathematical modeling is a crucial part to be established before the control algorithm can be designed. Initially, the modeling of the system is presented based on physical derivation. The parameters of the system are identified, and comparison between system identification by means of the MATLAB software is performed. The result obtained from the modeling process is validated with experimental data. Subsequently, two new controller techniques are proposed based on the enhancement of the Nonlinear Proportional Integral Derivative (N-PID) controller. The first technique is called Multi-rate Nonlinear PID (MN-PID), which is performed by utilizing the characteristics of rate variation in nonlinear gain. Fuzzy logic is used to perform this task. Meanwhile, for the second technique, a Self-regulation Nonlinear (SN) function, is introduced to reprocess the error signal to continuously generate the values for rate variation. The proposed controllers are implemented to the system, and their performances are analyzed for both cases, with and without load. Simulation and experimental tests are conducted with different input, namely step, multistep, sinusoidal and S-curve waveforms to evaluate the performance of the proposed techniques. The existing techniques that include PID, N-PID, and Sliding Mode Control (SMC) are also tested to the system as a comparison. The results indicate that the system with MN-PID and SN-PID exhibits improvement of dynamic performance criterion exceeding 34% and 59%, respectively. In addition, both techniques succesfully provide fast response without overshoot where the rise time reduces more than 87%. It proves that the novel initiative is capable of examining and identifying the characteristics of rate variation based on a new controller that was derived from N-PID controller. Moreover, the system performance is successfully accomplished for each position and direction as well as under various loads.

ABSTRAK

Penggerak pneumatik menawarkan beberapa kelebihan seperti murah, mudah diselenggara, berkuasa tinggi terhadap nisbah berat, pantas, bebas lampau panas dan tahan lasak. Dengan kelebihan ini, penggerak ini terus mendapat perhatian dalam penyelidikan yang signifikan dan ia merupakan alternatif kepada hidraulik dan motor servo elektrik dalam kerja-kerja pengautomatan. Namun, ia mempamerkan ketaklelurusan yang tinggi disebabkan daya geseran yang tinggi, mampatan udara dan jalur-mati pergerakan kili dalam injap. Ketaklelurusan ini menyukarkan kedudukan yang tepat dicapai dan memerlukan pengawal yang sesuai untuk prestasi yang Tesis ini membentangkan pendekatan baru untuk mengawal sistem lebih baik. kedudukan pneumatik. Permodelan matematik adalah perkara utama yang perlu dibuat sebelum pengawal dapat direkabentuk. Mulanya, pemodelan sistem diperolehi berdasarkan terbitan fizikal. Parameter-parameter bagi sistem ini dikenalpasti dan perbandingan dengan teknik pengenalpastian sistem dilaksanakan melalui perisian MATLAB. Keputusan yang diperolehi disahkan dengan data amali. Seterusnya, dua teknik pengawal baru dicadangkan melalui penambahbaikan terhadap pengawal Tak lelurus Berkadar Kamiran Terbitan (N-PID). Teknik pertama dipanggil pengawal Pelbagai Kadar Tak lelurus PID (MN-PID), dilaksana menggunakan ciri-ciri kadar variasi yang terdapat dalam gandaan tak lelurus. Logik kabur digunakan untuk melaksanakan tugas ini. Manakala, untuk teknik yang kedua, Fungsi Pengaturankendiri Tak lelurus (SN) diperkenalkan untuk memproses semula isyarat ralat bagi menjana kadar variasi. Pengawal-pengawal yang dicadangkan ini dilaksanakan pada sistem dan prestasi bagi kes tanpa beban dan dengan beban dianalisa. Ujian simulasi dan amali dijalankan dengan masukan yang berbeza iaitu isyarat langkah, pelbagai langkah, sinusoidal dan lengkuk-S bagi menilai prestasi. Teknik-teknik yang sedia ada termasuk PID, N-PID dan Kawalan Ragam Lincir (SMC) juga diuji pada sistem sebagai perbandingan. Keputusan menunjukkan sistem dengan MN-PID dan SN-PID mempamerkan peningkatan kriteria prestasi dinamik masing-masing melebihi 34%dan 59%. Selain itu, kedua-dua teknik berjaya mengurangkan masa menaik melebihi 87%. Ia membuktikan inisiatif baru ini mampu memeriksa dan mengenalpasti ciri-ciri kadar variasi berdasarkan pengawal baru yang telah diterbitkan dari pengawal N-PID. Selain itu, prestasi sistem berjaya dicapai untuk setiap kedudukan dan arah serta bagi sistem di bawah beban yang berbeza-beza.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTER | TITLE DECLARATION | | | PAGE | |
|---------|-------------------|----------|---|------|--|
| | | | | ii | |
| | DEDI | CATION | | iii | |
| | ACKN | NOWLED | GEMENT | iv | |
| | ABST | RACT | | v | |
| | ABST | RAK | | vi | |
| | TABL | E OF CO | NTENTS | vii | |
| | LIST | OF TABL | ES | xi | |
| | LIST | OF FIGU | RES | xii | |
| | LIST | OF ABBR | EVIATIONS | XV | |
| | LIST | OF SYME | BOLS | xvii | |
| | LIST | OF APPE | NDICES | xix | |
| 1 | INTR | ODUCTIO | DN | 1 | |
| | 1.1 | Introdu | ction | 1 | |
| | 1.2 | Probler | n Statement | 3 | |
| | 1.3 | Researc | ch Objectives | 3 | |
| | 1.4 | Researc | ch scope and limitation | 4 | |
| | 1.5 | Contrib | outions of the Research | 5 | |
| | 1.6 | Thesis | Outline | 6 | |
| 2 | LITE | RATURE | REVIEW | 8 | |
| | 2.1 | System | s Models | 8 | |
| | 2.2 | Friction | n Model | 12 | |
| | 2.3 | Contro | ller Design | 14 | |
| | | 2.3.1 | Controller Design based on PID controller | 15 | |
| | | 2.3.2 | Controller Design based on Sliding Mode | | |
| | | | Control | 18 | |
| | | 2.3.3 | Controller Design based on Adaptive | | |
| | | | Term | 21 | |

| | | 2.3.4 | Controller Design based on Fuzzy tech- | | | | |
|---|-------|----------|---|----|--|--|--|
| | | | nique | 22 | | | |
| | | 2.3.5 | Other techniques | 23 | | | |
| | 2.4 | Summa | ary | 24 | | | |
| 3 | RESE | ARCH M | ETHODOLOGY | 26 | | | |
| | 3.1 | Resear | ch Work Flow | 26 | | | |
| | | 3.1.1 | Stage 1: Literature Survey | 28 | | | |
| | | 3.1.2 | Stage 2: Modeling | 28 | | | |
| | | 3.1.3 | Stage 3: Design and Simulation | 28 | | | |
| | | 3.1.4 | Stage 4: Implementation and Perfor- | | | | |
| | | | mance Analysis | 29 | | | |
| | 3.2 | System | n Model | 30 | | | |
| | | 3.2.1 | Experimental setup | 31 | | | |
| | | 3.2.2 | Mathematical Model of the pneumatic | | | | |
| | | | system | 34 | | | |
| | | 3.2.3 | Parameter Measurement and Estimation | 39 | | | |
| | | 3.2.4 | Boundary of Friction parameters | 40 | | | |
| | 3.3 | System | Linearization | 46 | | | |
| | 3.4 | System | Identification | 49 | | | |
| | 3.5 | Contro | ller Design | 55 | | | |
| | | 3.5.1 | Synthesis of Proportional Integral Deriva- | | | | |
| | | | tive (PID) | 56 | | | |
| | | 3.5.2 | Nonlinear PID (N-PID) Controller | 63 | | | |
| | 3.6 | Summa | ary | 65 | | | |
| 4 | IMPR | OVEMEN | NT OF N-PID CONTROLLER BY UTIL- | | | | |
| | ISING | G CHARA | ACTERISTIC OF RATE VARIATION | | | | |
| | NONI | LINEAR (| GAIN | 66 | | | |
| | 4.1 | Introdu | iction | 66 | | | |
| | 4.2 | Determ | nination of nonlinear gain | 67 | | | |
| | 4.3 | Multi-I | Rate Nonlinear PID (MN-PID) Controller | 71 | | | |
| | | 4.3.1 | Selection of α_x using Fuzzy Logic | 74 | | | |
| | | 4.3.2 | Multi-rate Nonlinear Gain | 77 | | | |
| | 4.4 | Self-Re | Self-Regulation Nonlinear PID (SN-PID) Con- | | | | |
| | | troller | - · · | 78 | | | |
| | | 4.4.1 | Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) | 79 | | | |

| | 4.4.2 | Self-Regulation Nonlinear Function (SNF) | 82 |
|------------|------------------|---|-----|
| 4.5 | Trackin | g Error Reduction using Feed-forward | 0 |
| 16 | Design | of Dead zone compensator | 0 |
| 4.0 | Design | of Dead-zone compensator | 00 |
| RESU | LTS AND | DISCUSSION | 9 |
| 5.1 | Referen | nce Trajectories | 90 |
| 5.2 | Simulat | tion Results Based on Step Trajectory | 9 |
| | 5.2.1 | Performance of the system controlled by MN-PID | 92 |
| | 5.2.2 | Performance of the system controlled by | |
| | | SN-PID | 94 |
| | 5.2.3 | System controlled by Conventional PID | 90 |
| | 5.2.4 | System controlled by Nonlinear PID | 98 |
| | 5.2.5 | System controlled by Sliding Mode | |
| - - | D (| Control | 99 |
| 5.3 | Perform | nance Comparison | 100 |
| 5.4 | Effectiv | veness of the Proposed Method in Industrial | 10 |
| | Applica | | 104 |
| 5.5 5.6 | Experir | mental validation | 100 |
| 5.6 | Robusti | ness lests | 108 |
| | 5.6.1 | Performance Analysis on the Variation of | 100 |
| | 5() | | 108 |
| | 5.6.2 | Performance analysis on the system with | 11/ |
| | 562 | Derformence Analysis on the Variation of | 11. |
| | 3.0.3 | Air supply processing | 11' |
| | 561 | Air supply pressure | 11 |
| 57 | J.0.4 Dorform | Long term steady state performance | 12 |
| 5.7 | Trockin | nance of Proposed Method Based Off | 12 |
| | 5 7 1 | Pasults and discussion based on S | 122 |
| | 5.7.1 | trajectory | 12 |
| | 572 | Pasults and discussion based on sinu | 124 |
| | 5.1.2 | soidal | 124 |
| | 572 | Long term tracking performance | 120 |
| 5 8 | J.T.J | | 12 |
| 5.0 | Summa | иу | 13 |

5

| 6 | CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS | | |
|--------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----|
| | 6.1 | Conclusions | 133 |
| | 6.2 | Research contributions | 135 |
| REFERE | 6.3 | Recommendations for Future Works | 136 |
| | NCES | | 138 |

Appendices A – C 149 – 155

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.

TITLE

PAGE

| 3.1 | 5/3 Bi-directional proportional flow control (LS-V15s) | 33 | | |
|------|---|-----|--|--|
| 3.2 | Magnetic transducer | | | |
| 3.3 | Data acquisition system specification | 34 | | |
| 3.4 | Specification of valve, symmetrical cylinder and ISO6358 | 40 | | |
| 3.5 | Static and Dynamic Values based on Experiments | 41 | | |
| 3.6 | Values of the pneumatic system parameters | 44 | | |
| 3.7 | Performance of the system with respect to Gm and Pm | 60 | | |
| 4.1 | Rule Matrix for fuzzy tuning | 76 | | |
| 4.2 | Parameter determination via Particle Swarm Optimization | 83 | | |
| 5.1 | MN-PID Control parameters | 92 | | |
| 5.2 | Performance for the system with MN-PID | 94 | | |
| 5.3 | Parameters of the SN-PID controller | 94 | | |
| 5.4 | Performance for the system with SN-PID | 95 | | |
| 5.5 | Performance for the system with Conventional PID | 97 | | |
| 5.6 | Performance for the system with N-PID | 98 | | |
| 5.7 | Performance for the system with SMC | 100 | | |
| 5.8 | Relationship between nonlinear gain and performance | | | |
| | parameters | 103 | | |
| 5.9 | Performance of the system for different load and controller | 110 | | |
| 5.10 | Performance of the system for M=3.1 kg with nominal load | | | |
| | M=8.4 kg | 114 | | |
| 5.11 | Performance of the system for M=13.5 kg with nominal load | | | |
| | M=8.4 kg | 115 | | |
| 5.12 | Performance of the system when P_s is reduced to 0.45 MPa | 118 | | |
| 5.13 | Performance of the system when P_s is increased to 0.75 MPa | 119 | | |
| 5.14 | RMSE with respect to the different controllers for S-trajectory | 125 | | |
| 5.15 | RMSE with respect to the different controllers for sinusoidal | 129 | | |

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.

TITLE

PAGE

| 2.1 | Friction Models | 14 |
|------|--|----|
| 3.1 | Research Flow | 27 |
| 3.2 | Flow option to model the plant | 29 |
| 3.3 | Basic components of the pneumatic positioning system | 30 |
| 3.4 | Pneumatic positioning system experimental setup | 31 |
| 3.5 | Pneumatic positioning system schematic diagram | 32 |
| 3.6 | Sectional view of the proportional valve | 32 |
| 3.7 | Configuration of the real plant and software | 34 |
| 3.8 | Block Diagram of the Nonlinear Physical Model for | |
| | Pneumatic System | 38 |
| 3.9 | Nonlinear Least Square illustration | 39 |
| 3.10 | Friction with different starting point for both movement | |
| | directions | 41 |
| 3.11 | Trajectories of Estimated Parameters | 43 |
| 3.12 | Validation between the measured and physical parameter | |
| | estimation | 45 |
| 3.13 | A plot of the sum of squared Errors response | 45 |
| 3.14 | System Identification process | 50 |
| 3.15 | Input and Output signal | 51 |
| 3.16 | State-space model structure | 52 |
| 3.17 | Measured and simulated model output | 54 |
| 3.18 | Residual Analysis | 55 |
| 3.19 | General scheme of PID controller | 56 |
| 3.20 | PID controller design procedure | 58 |
| 3.21 | Crossover region on the bode plot of the general plant | 59 |
| 3.22 | Bode diagram of the open loop system with PID controller | 61 |
| 3.23 | Magnitude of the bode diagram of sensitivity function | 62 |
| 3.24 | Magnitude of the bode diagram of complimentary sensitivity | |
| | function | 62 |
| 3.25 | Nyquist diagram of the system | 63 |

| 3.26 | System with Nonlinear PID Controller | 63 |
|------|---|-----|
| 3.27 | Relationship between various nonlinear gain and error | 64 |
| 4.1 | Popov plot | 71 |
| 4.2 | Block Diagram of the Multi-rate Nonlinear PID (MN-PID) | |
| | controller | 72 |
| 4.3 | Relationship between force, absolute error and rate variation | 72 |
| 4.4 | Procedure to design MN-PID controller | 73 |
| 4.5 | Fuzzy set for input variable and output variable | 75 |
| 4.6 | Surface of the rate variation nonlinear gain | 77 |
| 4.7 | Characteristic of non-linear gain with variation of α | 78 |
| 4.8 | Block Diagram of Self-regulation Nonlinear PID (SN-PID) | |
| | controller | 79 |
| 4.9 | Flow chart for particle swarm optimization technique | 81 |
| 4.10 | Relationship between δ and β | 83 |
| 4.11 | Response of the regulation block diagram | 86 |
| 4.12 | Varying of γ with respect to the percentage of error | 87 |
| 4.13 | System with speed feed-forward and dead-zone compensator | 89 |
| 5.1 | Reference trajectories | 91 |
| 5.2 | System response with MN-PID controller for multiple steps | |
| | input | 93 |
| 5.3 | Multi-rate Nonlinear gain response with different distance | 93 |
| 5.4 | Output responses for the system with SN-PID controller | 95 |
| 5.5 | Self-regulation Nonlinear gain response with different | |
| | distance | 96 |
| 5.6 | Output response for system controlled by conventional PID | 97 |
| 5.7 | Output response for the system controlled by N-PID | 98 |
| 5.8 | Output response for the system controlled by SMC | 99 |
| 5.9 | Controller output of the system with SMC | 100 |
| 5.10 | Performance comparison for different types of controller | 101 |
| 5.11 | Performance index of IAE with respect to the different | |
| | controllers | 102 |
| 5.12 | Relationship between Nonlinear gain, $k(e)$ and damping | |
| | frequency (ω_d) that provide the multiple value of rise time | |
| | (t_r) | 104 |
| 5.13 | Controller output of the piston movement for distance of 460 | |
| | mm | 105 |
| 5.14 | Simulation and experimental for the system with SN-PID + | 100 |
| | DZC controller | 106 |
| 5.15 | Validation for the system with MN-PID+DZC controller | 107 |

| 5.16 | Validation for the system with SN-PID+DZC controller | 107 |
|-------------|--|-----|
| 5.17 | Performance analysis with respect to various setting of loads | |
| | for different controller | 111 |
| 5.18 | Experimental result under variation of load for distance 400 | |
| | mm | 112 |
| 5.19 | Robustness analysis based on decreasing and increasing of | |
| | load | 116 |
| 5.20 | Robustness analysis based on decreasing and increasing of air | |
| | supply pressure | 120 |
| 5.21 | Consistency of the steady state performance for long term | |
| | operation | 121 |
| 5.22 | Response for S-trajectory tracking using MN-PID + FF | |
| | (Simulation) | 123 |
| 5.23 | Response for S-trajectory tracking using SN-PID + FF | |
| | (Simulation) | 123 |
| 5.24 | S-trajectory tracking using MN-PID+DZC + FF (Experimen- | |
| | tal) | 124 |
| 5.25 | S-trajectory tracking using SN-PID + FF (Experimental) | 124 |
| 5.26 | Performance indices of S-trajectory for different control | |
| | techniques | 125 |
| 5.27 | Simulation result for the system with MN-PID + FF | |
| | (frequency = 0.1 Hz) | 126 |
| 5.28 | Experimental result for the system with MN-PID + FF | |
| | (frequency = 0.1 Hz) | 126 |
| 5.29 | Experimental result for the system with MN-PID + FF | 127 |
| 5.30 | Simulation result for the system with SN-PID + FF | |
| | (frequency = 0.1 Hz) | 127 |
| 5.31 | Experimental result for the system with SN-PID + FF | |
| | (frequency = 0.1 Hz) | 128 |
| 5.32 | Experimental result with different frequency (SN-PID + FF) | 128 |
| 5.33 | Performance indices of Sinusoidal input for different control | |
| | techniques | 129 |
| 5.34 | Sinusoidal tracking performance for long term operation (SN- | |
| | PID) | 130 |
| 5.35 | Sinusoidal tracking performance for long term operation | |
| | (MN-PID) | 131 |
| B .1 | Graphical interpretation of sliding region in phase plane with | |
| | chattering In order to overcome this problem | 153 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| A/D | _ | Analogue to digital |
|--------|---|---|
| ANNC | _ | Adaptive neural network compensator |
| ARMA | _ | Auto-regressive moving-average |
| BP | _ | Back-propagation |
| D/A | _ | Digital to analogue |
| DSP | _ | Digital signal processing |
| DZC | _ | Dead zone compensator |
| EKF | _ | Extended kalman filter |
| FAT | _ | Function approximation technique |
| FF | _ | Feed forward |
| FMRLC | _ | Fuzzy model reference learning control |
| FNN | _ | Fuzzy neural network |
| FRL | _ | Filter regulator lubricator |
| GM | _ | Gain margin |
| HIL | _ | Hardware in the loop |
| IAE | _ | Integrated absolute error |
| LVDT | _ | Linear variable differential transformer |
| MISO | _ | Multiple-input single-output |
| MNF | _ | Multi-rate nonlinear function |
| MN-PID | _ | Multi-rate nonlinear proportional-integral-derivative |
| MRAC | _ | Model reference adaptive controller |
| MRE | _ | Mixed-reality environment |
| MRFT | _ | Modified relay feedback test |
| MSSC | _ | Multiple-surface sliding controller |
| NLSE | _ | Nonlinear least square error |
| NPID | _ | Nonlinear proportional-integral-derivative |
| PEM | _ | Prediction-error minimization |
| PID | _ | Proportional-integral-derivative |

| PIDVF | _ | PID with velocity feed-forward and feedback |
|---------|---|--|
| PM | _ | Phase margin |
| PSO | _ | Particle swarm optimization |
| PWM | _ | Pulse-width modulation |
| RLS | _ | Recursive least squares |
| RLS-FGS | _ | Robust loop shaping-fuzzy gain scheduled control |
| RMSE | _ | Root mean square error |
| RTWT | _ | Real-time windows target |
| SMC | _ | Sliding mode control |
| SMCL | _ | Sliding mode control linear |
| SMCN | _ | Sliding mode control nonlinear |
| SMVSC | _ | Sliding-mode variable-structure controller |
| SNF | _ | Self-regulation nonlinear function |
| SN-PID | _ | Self-regulation nonlinear proportional-integral-derivative |
| ZOH | _ | Zero order hold |
| ZPETC | _ | Zero phase error tracking controller |
| | | |

LIST OF SYMBOLS

| A | - | Piston Area |
|-------------|---|---|
| A_v | _ | Effective orifice area |
| В | _ | Damping coefficient |
| C_f | — | Orifice discharge coefficient |
| C_V | _ | Valve constant |
| F_c | _ | Coulomb friction |
| F_{f} | _ | Friction force |
| F_L | _ | External force |
| F_s | _ | Static friction |
| k | _ | Specific heat ratio |
| L | _ | Piston stroke |
| P_1 | _ | Pressure inside Chamber 1 |
| P_1 | _ | Pressure inside Chamber 2 |
| P_{cr} | _ | Critical pressure |
| P_o | _ | Ambient pressure |
| P_S | _ | Supply pressure |
| P_u | — | Upstream pressure |
| P_d | — | Downstream pressure |
| R | _ | Gas constant |
| T | _ | Temperature |
| V | _ | Volume of each chamber |
| x | _ | Piston position |
| X_{spool} | _ | Spool displacement |
| z | _ | Dynamics of the internal state |
| Δe | _ | Change of position error |
| γ_i | _ | Estimate value of specific heat ratio for charging process |
| γ_o | _ | Estimate value of specific heat ratio for discharging process |
| C_1 | — | Learning factor |
| | | |

| c_2 | — | Learning factor |
|-------------------------|---|--|
| G_{best} | _ | Global best |
| itr | _ | iteration |
| k(e) | _ | Nonlinear gain |
| $k(e, \alpha_x)$ | _ | Multi sector bounded of nonlinear gain |
| P_{best} | _ | Personal best |
| S | _ | sensitivity function |
| W(K) | _ | Performance criteria |
| ω_c | _ | Crossover frequency |
| ω_B, ω_{BT} | _ | Bandwidth |
| \hat{y} | _ | Experimental value of data |
| y | _ | Estimate value of output |
| y_m | _ | Mean value of experimental data |
| z | _ | Dynamics of the internal state |
| α | _ | Rate of variation of nonlinear gain |
| $\delta and\beta$ | _ | SNF parameter |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDI | X | TITLE | PAGE |
|---------|----------------------|-------|------|
| A | List of Publications | | 149 |
| В | Sliding Mode control | | 152 |
| С | Related Source Code | | 155 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Pneumatics is a branch of technology that deals with mechanical properties of gases such as pressure and density. They are categorized under fluid power control and apply the principles of using compressed gas as a source of power to perform a variety of tasks. One of the benefits of fluid power is an easy way in which the delivered power can be controlled. They can also be used in many tasks. For pneumatic actuator especially, it can be used in explosive environments because air does not generate sparks. It also poses no health hazard and can easily be stored and vented into the atmosphere. The ability to operate at a high number of cycles per workday is also one of the advantages of this drive. Besides, pneumatic actuator is also considered for low-cost, high power-to-weight ratio and ease of maintenance (Mohd Faudzi *et al.*, 2014; Noor *et al.*, 2011; Taghizadeh *et al.*, 2010).

In early 1900s, pneumatic drives were mostly applied in pneumatic hammers such as in shipyards and on construction sites. The difficulty of obtaining a good performance in terms of accuracy and stability has greatly limited the use of this actuator in many applications. Thus, research on this component is rarely performed for decades until there is a demand to be applied in the automation industry circa 1950s. In the next few years following that, this actuator is then used in most of the industrial automation, such as spraying, drilling, squeezing, and packaging. Subsequently, the theoretical and experimental analyses of proportional directional control valves, cylinders, and mechanical parts have been reported by Shearer (Beater, 2007).

Works on this actuator are then continued by other researchers as evident in several published papers (Atkinson, 1972; Backe', 1986; Burrows, 1966; Burrows, 1969; French and Cox, 1990; Kawamura *et al.*, 1989; Liu and Bobrow, 1988;

Noritsugu, 1985; Salihi and Weston, 1983). Based on these studies, a number of improvements had been suggested. Subsequently, pneumatics became one of the actuators that are appropriately used in a wide variety of industrial applications including robotics, CNC machines, packaging industry, food industry, plastic products, automotive industry and other industries. Moreover, it also be utilized as a tool to study human convenience, such as, to facilitate investigation of chair shapes (Faudzi *et al.*, 2010).

Pneumatic actuators are subject to nonlinearities in which the precise position of this actuator is difficult to achieve due to compressibility of the air, valve fluid flow characteristics and the highly nonlinear behavior of friction effects at near-zero velocities (Keller and Isermann, 1993; Khayati *et al.*, 2009). The static properties of the mechanisms influence the system in terms of accuracy and repeatability. One of the simple approaches to improve the static performance is the used of high proportional gain in the system. However, this approach can degrade its dynamic characteristic and tend to cause instability to the system. Thus, an appropriate technique should be examined to provide better performance to the system.

Research on pneumatic positioning control has increased in the 1990s when many control techniques were been examined on the system such as PID control, PD plus, sliding mode control, robust control, adaptive control, and PWM control (Reznik *et al.*, 2000; Richer and Hurmuzlu, 2001b; Shen *et al.*, 1998; Wang *et al.*, 1999). Subsequently, advanced control strategies were aggressively investigated and applied beginning in the early of 2000s onward such as researches conducted in (Bone and Ning, 2007; Hassan and Kothapalli, 2010; Kaitwanidvilai and Olranthichachat, 2011; Khayati *et al.*, 2009; Lu and Hwang, 2012; Ning and Bone, 2005b; Osman *et al.*, 2014; Taghizadeh *et al.*, 2010).

Consequently, in the last 10 years, the performance of pneumatic positioning system has been continuously improved. However, it deals with quite complicated controllers that involve many parameters with complicated mathematical equations. Thus, most industries still employ the control loops based on Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) controller because of its simplicity even though it is difficult to deal with highly nonlinear systems. In this research, a new control technique has been performed to manage the pneumatic positioning systems. Initially, the Nonlinear PID controller was designed to provide evidence that the controllers with the conventional PID are still relevant and can perform better when some improvement is applied to this controller. Further enhancement of this controller is examined and designed in order

to provide the system with a better performance.

1.2 Problem Statement

Industrial pneumatic actuators are highly nonlinear, and their models inevitably contain parametric uncertainties, making it difficult to determine its dynamics. This is caused by compressibility of the air, significant mechanical friction, poor damping ability and the proportional valve dead zone problems. Therefore, it is hard to control the pneumatic actuator to achieve the desired performance because the output is not directly proportional to the input. It becomes more challenging when the speed, robustness and accuracy of the system are set as a goal to be achieved simultaneously. There are many control techniques proposed by previous researchers such as sliding mode control, fuzzy logic control, adaptive control, and robust control. However, most of the studies did not take into account all these three issues concurrently. Thus, there is a gap that can be studied to achieve this goal especially when applied in the industry.

In order to apply in industry, there are several constraints that have to be taken into account in designing the controller. One of the constraints is the complexity of the design which causes the processor unable to finish processing all data that is time consuming. Furthermore, the complexity of the controller design also makes the maintenance of the system difficult. Besides, the cost of the controller design should be considered. In practice, most industries are more concern to upgrade the existing controller rather than to change it. Based on this fact, a control technique will be identified and developed to satisfy certain performance objectives, including fast response with good transient response, an accurate position, disturbance rejection, stability and insensitivity to parameter uncertainty as well as easiness to apply in industries.

1.3 Research Objectives

The following are the objectives of this research:

1. To identify the mathematical model that represents a pneumatic actuator system by using the physical parameter estimation approach and the system identification technique.

- 2. To develop the suitable control technique for pneumatic positioning system that will provide:
 - a) Good performance for both transient and steady state response
 - b) Robustness to the variation of load and pressure
 - c) Practical application in industry
- 3. To analyze and evaluate the pneumatic positioning system performance in terms of position, accuracy and robustness. Comparison between the existing control techniques will be presented.

1.4 Research scope and limitation

The following are the scope of the research:

- 1. Identify the mathematical model of pneumatic actuator system based on physical parameter estimation by taking into accounts its dynamic characteristics and friction. The validation will be performed with experimental data. The comparison of the model with system identification is also presented.
- 2. The proposed control design will be simulated based on the system modelled and verified to the real plant. The experiments are conducted based on different distances with a maximum of 460 mm. The performance of the system with the proposed techniques is analyzed and compared to the existing methods. Conventional PID and Nonlinear PID controller are used as a benchmark in this research.
- 3. Robustness analyses are examined based on the variation of load starting from 3.1 kg up to 36.5 kg. Besides, the performance due to the changing pressures is one of the matters that is investigated in this research. In this case, the pressure supply will be varied either increases or decreases around 1.5 bars. The nominal pressure is set to 6 bars.

The theoretical modelling of the pneumatic system is the most important part to be established before the control algorithm can be designed. In order to get an accurate model, the identification process performed is based on hardware-in-the-loop simulation environment of Real-time Workshop and System Identification toolbox in MATLAB/SIMULINK. The parameters of the plant that are not provided by manufacturer will be estimated using nonlinear least square method. Subsequently, to verify the model, the plant is then identified through system identification in which the state space model is used as a model structure of the system.

For the controller design, a variety of techniques is applied. It is necessary to ensure that the proposed approach must be appropriate, affordable, and easy to operate as well as able to be applied in industries. Based on these reasons, most of the industries prefer to use the PID controller in which it has the desired features. However, the performance achieved is an important thing that should be considered. Thus, the proposed technique will lead to study how to improve this conventional technique so that it can perform better in controlling the position of the pneumatic system that includes nonlinear elements. The recommended technique is able to produce a good performance and achieve the objectives outlined. Comparison with existing technique will be implemented and evaluated to prove that the improvement of performance is significant.

1.5 Contributions of the Research

In achieving the objectives that have been assigned, this reasearch provides the following contributions:

- 1. Development of an enhanced Nonlinear PID controller by including the new control algorithm called the Multi-rate Nonlinear Function (MNF) to improve the performance of pneumatic positioning system.
- 2. A new control algorithm, named Self-regulation Nonlinear Function (SNF) has been introduced to overcome the difficulties in determining the rules on Multirate Nonlinear Function (MNF).
- 3. Application of Particle Swarm Optimization technique (PSO) in determining the parameters involved in SNF.
- 4. These techniques are able to provide fast response without overshoot and achieve better steady state performance as well as robust to the variation of load and pressure.

1.6 Thesis Outline

This thesis is organized as follows:

Chapter 2 provides a literature review regarding the pneumatic positioning system. The study begins by looking on how the system is modelled by previous researchers. The techniques on how to model the friction are also reviewed. Subsequently, the control techniques that have been used are described. In order to investigate the improvements that had been achieved, the discussion is broken into some categories. This chapter ends with a summary and some proposal on how to further improve the system.

Chapter 3 deals with the modeling of pneumatic positioning system. The modeling will be elaborated by estimating the parameters of the pneumatic actuator, and then the performance is compared to the model obtained from System Identification. The experimental setup to perform the data collection is also provided in this chapter. The methods on how to determine the parameters of the plant model based on a combination of empirical curve fitting and theoretical analysis are explained in detail. Subsequently, a comparison between simulations and experimental are conducted for validation. In addition, the preliminary design procedure of the controller that will be employed in designing the proposed control technique is also included.

Chapter 4 describes two proposed techniques namely Multi-rate Nonlinear PID and Self-regulation Nonlinear PID controller that control the position of the pneumatic actuator. The design of these controllers includes the determination of the parameters involved, and this is discussed in detail. Besides, the stability of the system is shown in this chapter via the Popov Plot analysis.

In Chapter 5, the results of the system controller by the proposed techniques are demonstrated and analyzed through simulation and followed by experimental work. The performances of these techniques are examined based on several circumstances. First the simulation and experimental are performed based on various reference trajectories including step response with different distance and direction, sinusoidal and S-curve trajectory. The performance obtained from these results will be compared to the other techniques based on the same test rig. Subsequently, the results with the variation of payload and supply pressure are also provided in this chapter for the purpose to analyze the robustness of the proposed techniques. The robustness of the proposed techniques is compared to the other techniques is compared to the other techniques.

Chapter 6 summarizes the findings and conclusions on the research. Recommendations for future work are also provided.

REFERENCES

- Ahn, K. and Thanh, T. (2005). Nonlinear PID control to improve the control performance of the pneumatic artificial muscle manipulator using neural network. *Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*. 19(1), 106–115.
- Andrighetto, P. L., Valdiero, A. C. and Bavaresco, D. (2008). Dead zone compensation in pneumatic servo systems. *ABCM Symposium Series in Mechatronics*. 3, 501–509.
- Andrikopoulos, G., Nikolakopoulos, G. and Manesis, S. (2013). Adaptive Internal Model Control scheme for a Pneumatic Artificial Muscle. In *European Control Conference* (*ECC*). July. Zurich, Switzerland, 772–777.
- Armstrong-Helouvry, B. (1993). Stick slip and control in low-speed motion. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, . 38(10), 1483–1496.
- Arrieta, O., Visioli, A. and Vilanova, R. (2010). PID autotuning for weighted servo/regulation control operation. *Journal of Process Control*. 20(4), 472–480.
- Astrom, K. J. and Canudas-de Wit, C. (2008). Revisiting the LuGre Model Stick-slip motion and rate dependence. *IEEE Control Systems Magazine*. 28(6), 101–114.
- Astrom, K. J. and Hagglund, T. (2006). *Advanced PID control*. Research Triangle Park: ISA-The Instrumentation, Systems, and Automation Society.
- Atkinson, P. (1972). Computer-aided design of closed-loop control systems: (A suite of programs developed at the University of Reading). *Computer-Aided Design*. 4(3), 120–128.
- Aziz, S. and Bone, G. M. (1998). Automatic tuning of an accurate position controller for pneumatic actuators. In *Prosidings of IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*. 1782–1788.
- Backe', W. (1986). The Application of Servo-pneumatic Drives for Flexible Mechanical Handling Techniques. *Elsevier Science Publishers*. 2(1), 45–56.
- Barth, E. J., Zhang, J. and Goldfarb, M. (2002). Sliding Mode Approach to the PWM-Controlled Pneumatic Systems. In *Proceedings of the American Control Conference*. 2362–2367.
- Beater, P. (2007). *Pneumatic Drives (System Design, Modeling and Control)*. Verlag Berlin Heidelberg: Springer.

- BehrouzNajjari, A. M. M. J. F., S. Masoud Barakati, SaeidFarahat and Bostanian, M. (2012). Modelling and Controller Design of ElectroPneumatic Actuator Based on PWM. *IAES International Journal of Robotics and Automation (IJRA)*. 1(3), 125–136.
- Bigras, P. and Khayati, K. (2002). Nonlinear observer for pneumatic system with nonnegligible connection port restriction. In *Proceedings of the American Control Conference*. 3191–3195.
- Bo Lu, Z. X., Guoliang Tao and Zhong, W. (2008). Modeling and Control of the Pneumatic Constant Pressure System for Zero Gravity Simulation. In *IEEE/ASME International Conference on Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics*. 688–693.
- Bone, G. M. and Ning, S. (2007). Experimental Comparison of Position Tracking Control Algorithms for Pneumatic Cylinder Actuators. *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*. 12(5), 557–561.
- Burrows, C. (1966). Use of Root loci in design of pneumatic Servo-Motors. *Control.* 8:, 423–427.
- Burrows, C. (1969). Effect of Position of the stability of Pneumatic Servomechanisms. *Journal Mechanical Engineering Science*. 11(6), 615–616.
- Cai, S., Wu, S. and Bao, G. (2013). Cylinder Position Servo Control Based on Fuzzy PID. *Journal of Applied Mathematics*. 2013, 1–10.
- Canudas, D. W. C., Olsson, H., htrom, K. J. and Lischinsky, P. (1995). A New Model for Control of Systems with Friction. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*. 40(3), 419–425.
- Carneiro, J. F. and de Almeida, F. G. (2012). A high-accuracy trajectory following controller for pneumatic devices. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*. 61(1-4), 253–267.
- Chan, S. W., Lilly, J. H., Repperger, D. W. and Berlin, J. E. (2003). Fuzzy PD+I learning control for a pneumatic muscle. In *Proceedings fo the 12th IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 1. 278–283.
- Chang, W.-D., Hwang, R.-C. and Hsieh, J.-G. (2002). A self-tuning PID control for a class of nonlinear systems based on the Lyapunov approach. *Journal of Process Control*. 12(2), 233–242.
- Chen, H. M., Shyu, Y. P. and Chen, C. H. (2010). Design and realization of a sliding mode control scheme for a pneumatic cylinder X-Y axles position servo system. In *International Conference on Theory, Technologies and Applications, IET.* 416–421.

- Chia-Hua, L. and Yean-Ren, H. (2012a). A model reference robust multiple-surfaces design for tracking control of radial pneumatic motion systems. *Nonlinear Dynamics*. 67(4), 2585–2597.
- Chia-Hua, L. and Yean-Ren, H. (2012b). A model reference robust multiple-surfaces design for tracking control of radial pneumatic motion systems. *Nonlinear Dynamics*. 67(4), 2585–2597.
- Chia-Hua, L. and Yean-Ren, H. (2012c). A model reference robust multiple-surfaces design for tracking control of radial pneumatic motion systems. *Nonlinear Dynamics*. 67(4), 2585–2597.
- Chillari, S., Guccione, S. and Muscato, G. (2001). An Experimental Comparison Between Several Pneumatic Position Control Methods. In *Proceedings of the 40th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*. 1168–1173.
- Cho, S. (2009). Trajectory Tracking Control of a Pneumatic X-Y Table Using Neural Network Based PID Control. *International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing*. 10(5), 37–44.
- Cooper, D. J. (2006). *Practical Process Control: Proven Methods and Best Practices for Automatic Process Control.* controlguru.
- De-hu, Y., Hui-liang, J., Guo-xiang, M. and Chun-yuan, L. (2008). Global Sliding Mode Variable Structure Control Applied to Pneumatic Servo System. In *Proceedings of IEEE International Symposium on Knowledge Acquisition and Modeling Workshop*. 806–809.
- Dehghan, B. and Surgenor, B. W. (2013). Comparison of fuzzy and neural network adaptive methods for the position control of a pneumatic system. In *Proceedings* of 26th Annual IEEE Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering (CCECE). 1–4.
- Deyuan, M., Guoliang, T., Jianfeng, C. and Wei, B. (2011). Modeling of a pneumatic system for high-accuracy position control. In *the International Conference on Fluid Power and Mechatronics (FPM)*. 505–510.
- Faudzi, A. A. M., Suzumori, K. and Wakimoto, S. (2010). Development of an Intelligent Chair Tool System Applying New Intelligent Pneumatic Actuators. *Advanced Robotics*. 24(10), 1503–1528.
- French, I. and Cox, C. (1990). Modelling, design and control of a modern electropneumatic actuator. *IET Magazines on Control Theory and Applications*. 137(3), 145–155.
- Gao, X. and Feng, Z.-J. (2005). Design study of an adaptive Fuzzy-PD controller for pneumatic servo system. *Control Engineering Practice*. 13(1), 55–65.

George, E. (2002). Observers in Control Systems. Londan: Academic Press.

- Harris, P., O'Donnell, G. and Whelan, T. (2012). Modelling and identification of industrial pneumatic drive system. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*. 58(9-12), 1075–1086.
- Hassan, M. Y. and Kothapalli, G. (2010). Comparison Between Neural Network Based PI and PID Controllers. In 7th International Multi-Conference of IEEE on Systems, Signals and Devices. 1 – 6.
- Hildebrandt, A., Neumann, R. and Sawodny, O. (2010). Optimal System Design of SISO-Servopneumatic Positioning Drives. *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*. 18(1), 35–44.
- Hodgson, S., Le, M. Q., Tavakoli, M. and Pham, M. T. (2011). Sliding-mode control of nonlinear discrete-input pneumatic actuators. In *IEEE/RSJ International Conference* on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS). 738–743.
- Hong, M.-W., Lin, C.-L. and Shiu, B.-M. (2009). Stabilizing network control for pneumatic systems with time-delays. *Mechatronics*. 19(3), 399–409.
- Hong, Z., Ben-Tzvi, P., Tingqi, L. and Goldenberg, A. A. (2008). Two-layer sliding mode control of pneumatic position synchro system with feedback linearization based on friction compensation. In *IEEE International Workshop on Robotic and Sensors Environments*. 41–45.
- Hsu, S.-C. and Lin, C.-Y. (2013). Periodic motion control of a heavy duty pneumatic actuating table using low-cost position sensors and hybrid repetitive control. In *IEEE International Symposium on Industrial Electronics (ISIE)*. 1–6.
- Huang, C.-Q., Peng, X.-F. and Wang, J.-P. (2008). Robust Nonlinear PID Controllers for Anti-windup Design of Robot Manipulators with an Uncertain Jacobian Matrix. *Acta Automatica Sinica*. 34(9), 1113–1121.
- Igo, N. and Hoshino, K. (2011). Control of offset pressure for pneumatic robots. In *IEEE/SICE International Symposium on System Integration (SII)*. 428–433.
- Jianjun, T. (2010). A system of position control for cylinder based on fuzzy control. In Sixth International Conference on Natural Computation (ICNC), vol. 1. 52–56.
- JiaPeng, T. and Tao, Y. (2008). Nonlinear PID control design for improving stability of micro-turbine systems. In *Third International Conference on Electric Utility Deregulation and Restructuring and Power Technologies*. 2515–2518.
- Juan-Manuel, R.-A., Efren, G.-H., Jesus-Carlos, P.-O., Marco-Antonio, A.-F. and Jose-Emilio, V.-S. (2011). Fuzzy logic applied to control a one degree of freedom (DOF) pneumatic robot. *International Journal of the Physical Sciences*. 6(23), 5575–5585.

- Junyi, C., Binggang, C., Xining, Z. and Guangnui, W. (????). Fractional Proportional Integral Control for Pneumatic Position Servo Systems. In *IEEE/ASME International Conference on Mechtronic and Embedded Systems and Applications (MESA)*. 347– 352.
- K. Hamiti, A. V.-B. and Roux-Buisson, H. (1996). Position control of a pneumatic actuator under the influence of stiction. *Control Engineering Practice*. 4(8), 1079– 1088.
- Kaitwanidvilai, S. and Olranthichachat, P. (2011). Robust loop shaping-fuzzy gain scheduling control of a servo-pneumatic system using particle swarm optimization approach. *Mechatronics*. 21(1), 11–21.
- Kaitwanidvilai, S. and Parnichkun, M. (2004). Genetic-Algorithm-Based Fixed-Structure Robust H-infinity Loop-Shaping Control of a Pneumatic Servosystem. *Robotics and Mechatronics*. 16(4), 362–373.
- Karpenko, M. and Sepehri, N. (2006). Development and experimental evaluation of a fixed-gain nonlinear control for a low-cost pneumatic actuator. *IET Journal & Magazines on Control Theory and Applications*. 153(6), 629–640.
- Kawamura, S., Miyata, K., Hanafusa, H. and Isida, K. (1989). PI type hierarchical feedback control scheme for pneumatic robots. In *IEEE International Conference* on Robotics and Automation. 1853–1858.
- Keller, H. and Isermann, R. (1993). Model-based nonlinear adaptive control of a pneumatic actuator. *Control Engineering Practice*. 1(3), 505–511.
- Khayati, K., Bigras, P. and Dessaint, L.-A. (2009). LuGre model-based friction compensation and positioning control for a pneumatic actuator using multi-objective output-feedback control via LMI optimization. *Mechatronics*. 19(4), 535–547.
- Korondi, P. and Gyeviki, J. (2006). Robust Position Control for a Pneumatic Cylinder. In Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Power Electronics and Motion Control. 513–518.
- Kosaki, T. and Sano, M. (1997). Adaptive gain control of pneumatic servo systems with disturbance observers and fuzzy logic. In *the 23rd International Conference on Industrial Electronics, Control and Instrumentation, IECON 97*, vol. 3. 1012–1015.
- Kothapalli, G. and Hassan, M. Y. (2008). Design of a Neural Network Based Intelligent PI Controller for a Pneumatic System. *IAENG International Journal of Computer Science*. 35(2), 217–225.
- Kuo, T. C., Huang, Y. J., Chen, C. Y., Chang and H., C. (2008). Adaptive Sliding Mode Control with PID Tuning for Uncertain Systems. *Engineering Letters*. 16(3).

- Lai, J.-Y., Singh, R. and Menq, C.-H. (1992). Development of PWM Mode Position Control for a Pneumatic Servo System. *Journal of the Chinese Society of Mechanical Engineers*. 13(1), 86–95.
- Liang, X.-m., Li, S.-c. and Hassan, A. B. (2010). A novel PID controller tuning method based on optimization technique. *Journal of Central South University of Technology*. 17(5), 1036–1042.
- Lin, L.-H., Yen, J.-Y. and Wang, F.-C. (2013). Robust Control for a Pneumatic Muscle Actuator System. *Transactions of the Canadian Society for Mechanical Engineering*. 37(3), 581–590.
- Liu, S. and Bobrow, J. E. (1988). An Analysis of a Pneumatic Servo System and Its Application to a Computer-Controlled Robot. *Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement, and Control.* 110(3), 228–235.
- Liu, Y.-T. and Jiang, C.-C. (2007). Pneumatic actuating device with nanopositioning ability utilizing PZT impact force coupled with differential pressure. *Precision Engineering*. 31(3), 293–303.
- Ljung, L. (1999). *System Identification: Theory for the User*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall PTR.
- M. Brian Thomas, G. P. and Jayawiyanto, E. (2005). A novel, low-cost pneumatic positioning system. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*. 24(4), 377–387.
- Messina, A., Giannoccaro, N. I. and Gentile, A. (2005). Experimenting and modelling the dynamics of pneumatic actuators controlled by the pulse width modulation (PWM) technique. *Mechatronics*. 15(7), 859–881.
- Mohd Faudzi, A. A., Mustafa, N. D. and Osman, K. (2014). Force Control for a Pneumatic Cylinder Using Generalized Predictive Controller Approach. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*. 2014, 1–5.
- Najafi, F., Fathi, M. and Saadat, M. (2009). Dynamic modelling of servo pneumatic actuators with cushioning. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*. 42(7), 757–765.
- Naso, D., Cupertino, F. and Turchiano, B. (2012). NPID and Adaptive Approximation Control of Motion Systems With Friction. *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*. 20(1), 214–222.
- Nguyen, T., Leavitt, J., Jabbari, F. and Bobrow, J. E. (2007). Accurate Sliding-Mode Control of Pneumatic Systems Using Low-Cost Solenoid Valves. *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*. 12(2), 216–219.

- Ning, S. and Bone, G. M. (2005a). Development of a nonlinear dynamic model for a servo pneumatic positioning system. In *International conference on Mechatronics* and Automation. 43–48.
- Ning, S. and Bone, G. M. (2005b). Experimental comparison of two pneumatic servo position control algorithms. In *IEEE International Conference on Mechatronics and Automation.*, vol. 1. 37–42.
- Noor, S. B. M., Ali, H. I. and Marhaban, M. H. (2011). Design of combined robust controller for a pneumatic servo actuator system with uncertainty. *Scientific Research and Essays*. 6(4), 949–965.
- Noritsugu, T. (1985). Pulse-Width Modulated Feedback Force Control of a Pneumatically
 Powered Robot Hand. In *International Symposium of Fluid Control and Measurement*. 47–52.
- Noritsugu, T. (1986a). Development of PWM Mode Electro- Pneumatic Servomechanism. Part I: Speed Control of a Pneumatic Cylinder. *Journal of Fluid Control.* 17(1), 65–80.
- Noritsugu, T. (1986b). Development of PWM Mode Electro-Pneumatic Servomechanism. Part 11: Position Control of a Pneumatic Cylinder. *Journal of Fluid Control*. 17(2), 7–31.
- Nouri, B. M. Y., Al-Bender, F., Swevers, J., Vanherck, P. and Brussel., H. V. (2000). Modelling a pneumatic servo positioning system with friction. In *Proceedings of the American Control Conference*. 1067–1071.
- Ogata, K. (2010). Modern Control Engineering. New Jersey: Pearson Education Inc.
- Osman, K., Mohd Faudzi, A. A., Rahmat, M. F. and Suzumori, K. (2014). System Identification and Embedded Controller Design for Pneumatic Actuator with Stiffness Characteristic. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*. 2014, 1–13.
- Pandian, S. R., Takemura, F., Hayakawa, Y. and Kawamura, S. (2002). Pressure observercontroller design for pneumatic cylinder actuators. *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*. 7(4), 490–499.
- Qiang, S., Fang, L. and Findlay, R. D. (2002). Improved Fuzzy Neural Network Control for a Pneumatic System Based on Extended Kalman Filter. In International Conference on Computational Intelligence for Modelling, Control and Automation and International Conference on Intelligent Agents, Web Technologies and Internet Commerce. 76–81.
- Rao, Z. and Bone, G. M. (2008). Nonlinear Modeling and Control of Servo Pneumatic Actuators. *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*. 16(3), 562–569.

- Raul Guenther, M. A., Perondi, E. C., DePieri, E. R. and Valdiero, A. C. (2006). Cascade Controlled Pneumatic Positioning System with LuGre Model Based Friction Compensation. *Journal of the Brazilian Society of Mechanical Sciences and Engineering*. 28(1), 48–57.
- Reznik, L., Ghanayem, O. and Bourmistrov, A. (2000). PID plus fuzzy controller structures as a design base for industrial applications. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*. 13(4), 419–430.
- Richer, E. and Hurmuzlu, Y. (2001a). A High Performance Pneumatic Force Actuator System Part 1 - Nonlinear Mathematical Model. ASME Journal of Dynamic Systems Measurement and Control. 122(3), 416–425.
- Richer, E. and Hurmuzlu, Y. (2001b). A High Performance Pneumatic Force Actuator System. Part 2 - Nonlinear Controller Design. ASME Journal of Dynamic Systems Measurement and Control. 122(3), 426–434.
- Sabeghi, M. and Naghibzadeh, M. (2006). A Fuzzy Algorithm for Real-Time Scheduling of Soft Periodic Tasks. *International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security*. 6(2), 227–236.
- Saleem, A., Abdrabbo, S. and Tutunji, T. (2009a). On-line identification and control of pneumatic servo drives via a mixed-reality environment. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*. 40(5), 518–530.
- Saleem, A., Wong, C. B., Pu, J. and Moore, P. R. (2009b). Mixed-reality environment for frictional parameters identification in servo-pneumatic system. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*. 17(10), 1575–1586.
- Salihi, A. and Weston, R. H. (1983). Adaptive cushioning of pneumatic cylinders using microprocessor-based controls. 7(2), 67–73.
- Sanngoen, W. and Po-nagoen, W. (2010). Neuro-fuzzy precompensator in servo pneumatic system. In the 2nd International Conference on Advanced Computer Control (ICACC), vol. 1. 78–82.
- Sayedain, S. and Boiko, I. (2011). Optimal PI tuning rules for flow loop, based on Modified Relay Feedback Test. In the 50th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control and European Control Conference (CDC-ECC). 7063–7068.
- Schindele, D. and Aschemann, H. (2009). Adaptive Friction Compensation Based on the LuGre Model for a Pneumatic Rodless Cylinder. In *the 35th Annual Conference of IEEE Industrial Electronics, IECON.* 1432–1437.
- Seraji, H. (1998). A new class of nonlinear PID controllers with robotic applications. *Journal of Robotic Systems*. 15(3), 161–181.

- Shearer, J. L. (1956). Study of Pneumatic Process in the Continuous Control of Motion With Compressed Air - I. *Transactions of the ASME*. 78, 233–249.
- Shen, T., Tamura, K., Henmi, N. and Nakazawa, T. (1998). Robust model following controller applied to positioning of pneumatic control valve with friction. In *IEEE International Conference on Control Applications*. 512–516.
- Shih, M.-C. and Tseng, S.-I. (1995). Identification and position control of a servo pneumatic cylinder. *Control Engineering Practice*. 3(9), 1285–1290.
- Shiuh-Jer, H. and Hsin-Wei, S. (2009). Motion control of a nonlinear pneumatic actuating table by using self-adaptation fuzzy controller. In *IEEE International Conference on Industrial Technology (ICIT)*. 1–6.
- Situm, Z., Pavkovic, D. and Novakovic, B. (2004). Servo Pneumatic Position Control Using Fuzzy PID Gain Scheduling. ASME Journal Of Dynamic Systems, Measurement and Control. 126(2), 376 – 387.
- Skogestad, S. and Postlethwaite, I. (2005). *Multivariable Feedback Control, Analysis and Design*. West Sussex, England: Wiley.
- Sobczyk. S, M. R. and Perondi, E. A. (2006). Variable structure cascade control of a pneumatic Positioning system. *ABCM Symposium Series in Mechatronics*. 2, 27–34.
- Sorli, M., Gastaldi, L., Codina, E. and de las Heras, S. (1999). Dynamic analysis of pneumatic actuators. *Simulation Practice and Theory*. 7(5-6), 589–602.
- Su, Y. X., Sun, D. and Duan, B. Y. (2005). Design of an enhanced nonlinear PID controller. *Mechatronics*. 15(8), 1005–1024.
- Sumar, R. R., Coelho, A. A. R. and Coelho, L. d. S. (2010). Computational intelligence approach to PID controller design using the universal model. *Information Sciences*. 180(20), 3980–3991.
- Swevers, J., Al-Bender, F., Ganseman, C. G. and Projogo, T. (2000). An integrated friction model structure with improved presliding behavior for accurate friction compensation. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control.* 45(4), 675–686.
- Taghizadeh, M., Najafi, F. and Ghaffari, A. (2010). Multimodel PD-control of a pneumatic actuator under variable loads. *Int J Adv Manuf Technol.* 48(5), 655–662.
- Taib, A. R., M. N. and Rahiman, M. H. F. (2005). Practical System Identification, Shah Alam. Shah Alam: UiTM.
- Taleb, M., Plestan, F. and Bououlid, B. (2006). High order integral sliding mode control with gain adaptation. In *Proceedings of European Control Conference (ECC)*. 890– 895.

- Tan, W., Liu, J., Chen, T. and Marquez, H. J. (2006). Comparison of some well-known PID tuning formulas. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*. 30(9), 1416–1423.
- Tassa, Y., Tingfan, W., Movellan, J. and Todorov, E. (2011). Modeling and identification of pneumatic actuators. In *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Mechatronics and Automation (ICMA)*. 437–443.
- Thanh, T. U. D. C. and Ahn, K. K. (2006). Nonlinear PID control to improve the control performance of 2 axes pneumatic artificial muscle manipulator using neural network. *Mechatronics*. 16(9), 577–587.
- Topcu, E. E., Yuksel, I. and Kamis, Z. (2006). Development of electro-pneumatic fast switching valve and investigation of its characteristics. *Mechatronics*. 16(6), 365– 378.
- Tsai, Y.-C. and Huang, A.-C. (2008a). FAT-based adaptive control for pneumatic servo systems with mismatched uncertainties. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*. 22(6), 1263–1273.
- Tsai, Y.-C. and Huang, A.-C. (2008b). Multiple-surface sliding controller design for pneumatic servo systems. *Mechatronics*. 18(9), 506–512.
- Valdiero, A. C., Ritter, C. S., Rios, C. F. and Rafikov, M. (2010). NonLinear Mathematical Modeling in Pneumatic Servo Position Applications. In *the 9th Brazilian Conference* on Dynamics Control and their Applications. 198–206.
- van Varseveld, R. B. and Bone, G. M. (1997). Accurate position control of a pneumatic actuator using on/off solenoid valves. *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*. 2(3), 195–204.
- Vilanova, R. (2008). IMC based Robust PID design: Tuning guidelines and automatic tuning. *Journal of Process Control*. 18(1), 61–70.
- Wang, J., Pu, J. and Moore, P. (1999). A practical control strategy for servo-pneumatic systems. *Control Engineering Practice*. 7(12), 1483–1488.
- Wang, J., Wang, D. J. D., Moore, P. R. and Pu, J. (2001). Modelling study, analysis and robust servo control of pneumatic cylinder actuator systems. *IET Journal & Magazines on Control Theory and Applications*. 148(1), 35–42.
- Weist, A. M. A. d. I. C. L. R., J. and Hebisch, H. (2011). Model free control for differential pneumatic pistons: experimental comparison. *International Journal of Control.* 84(1), 138–164.
- Xing, K., Huang, J., Wang, Y., Wu, J., Xu, Q. and He, J. (2010). Tracking control of pneumatic artificial muscle actuators based on sliding mode and non-linear disturbance observer. *IET Journal & Magazines on Control Theory and Applications*. 4(10), 2058–2070.

- Yuzhen, S. and Chunmei, X. (2010). Nonlinear PID controller based on fuzzy immune method and its simulation in superheater steam temperature. In *International Conference on Mechatronics and Automation (ICMA)*. 799–803.
- Zhou, S. and Song, J. (2011). Intelligent predictive fuzzy control for pneumatic inverted pendulum. In the 6th IEEE Conference on Industrial Electronics and Applications (ICIEA). 968–972.
- Zhu, Y. and Barth, E. J. (2009). Accurate Sub-Millimeter Servo-Pneumatic Tracking using Model Reference Adaptive Control (MRAC). *International Journal of Fluid Power*. 5(31), 1–14.
- Zwe-Lee, G. (2004). A particle swarm optimization approach for optimum design of PID controller in AVR system. *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*. 19(2), 384–391.