

FRAMEWORK OF COMPUTER-AIDED SUSTAINABLE INTEGRATED  
PROCESS DESIGN AND CONTROL FOR REACTOR SYSTEMS

SITI AMINAH BINTI ZAKARIA

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

FRAMEWORK OF COMPUTER-AIDED SUSTAINABLE INTEGRATED  
PROCESS DESIGN AND CONTROL FOR REACTOR SYSTEMS

SITI AMINAH BINTI ZAKARIA

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Chemical)

Faculty of Chemical Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2015

To my beloved husband, little calliph, parents and family.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to give a greatest thanks to Allah the Almighty when lastly I finished this project. This project has been carried out at Process System Engineering Centre (*PROSPECT*) at Department of Chemical Engineering from August 2013 until January 2015, under the supervision of Dr Mohd Kamaruddin Abd. Hamid. This work has been supported by Zamalah Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (*UTM*).

I would like to give my sincerest thanks to my supervisor, Dr Mohd Kamaruddin Abd. Hamid who has been provided all the support, help and guidance whenever required. I am also grateful to him for this continuously guidance, academic support and also weekly discussion that has been go through along the journey in finishing this work.

A lot of thanks for my husband, Mohd Jufri bin Zakaria for his limitless support and encouragement that he give to me. Futhermore, I also like to thanks my little calliph, Muhammad Fateh Hizqil bin Mohd Jufri. Thank you for your love and support. Importantly, I like to thanks my dad, mom, my mother in law and father in law, Zakaria Awang, Sobil Yatu Aslamiah Junoh, Jora Kassim and Zakaria Husin for their countless support, love and understanding during the work and thesis done. Not to forget all of my family members for their support and doa'. Thank you.

## ABSTRACT

An integrated process design and control (*IPDC*) methodology has been developed which is able to identify and obtain an optimal solution for the *IPDC* problem for chemical processes in an easy, simple and efficient way. However, the developed *IPDC* methodology does not consider any sustainability aspect in the analysis. This study aims to develop a framework of computer-aided sustainable integrated process design and control (*SustainIPDC*) for reactor systems. This new framework methodology was developed in order to ensure that the process is more economical, controllable as well as sustainable to meet the product quality specifications. The *Sustain-IPDC* problem for reactor systems, typically formulated as a generic optimization problem was solved by decomposing it into six hierarchical stages: (i) pre-analysis, (ii) design analysis, (iii) controller design analysis, (iv) economic analysis, (v) sustainability analysis, and (vi) final selection and verification. Using thermodynamics and process insights, a bounded search space was first identified. This feasible solution space was further reduced to satisfy the process design, controller design and economic constraints in stages 2, 3 and 4, respectively. The sustainability aspect was then analyzed in stage 5 to satisfy the sustainability constraints, until in the final stage all feasible solutions (candidates) were ordered according to the defined performance criteria (objective function). The final selected design was then verified through rigorous simulations or experiments. In the first stage, the concept of the attainable region (*AR*) was used to locate the optimal solution. The target for this optimal solution was defined and selected at the maximum point of the *AR* diagram. It is expected that the solution target will show higher value of the objective function, hence verifying the optimal solution for the *SustainIPDC* problem for reactor systems. In addition, the sustainability calculator (called *SustainPlus*<sup>®</sup>) was also developed in this study in which the simultaneous calculation of three sustainability indices (one-dimensional, two-dimensional, and three-dimensional) can be performed in one single analysis. Then, the developed methodology were verified by using two different case studies; (i) production of cyclohexanone in a continuous-stirred tank reactor system, and (ii) biomass production in two continuous bioreactor system. Based on two different case studies that have been performed, the results have shown that the optimal solution in terms of design, controller design, economic and sustainability is the best at the highest point of the *AR* diagram. It also shows that the proposed *SustainIPDC* methodology is able to find the optimal solution that satisfies design, controller, economics and sustainability criteria in an easy, efficient and systematic way.

## ABSTRAK

Kaedah proses rekabentuk dan kawalan bersepadu (*IPDC*) telah dihasilkan yang mana ianya mampu mengenalpasti penyelesaian yang optimum untuk masalah *IPDC* bagi proses kimia dengan mudah, cekap dan sistematik. Tetapi, kaedah *IPDC* yang dihasilkan tidak mengambilkira sebarang aspek kelestarian di dalam analisisnya. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk menghasilkan satu kaedah bantuan computer bagi proses rekabentuk dan kawalan bersepadu (menampung *IPDC*) bagi sistem reaktor. Kaedah sistematik ini dihasilkan bagi memastikan bahawa proses ini adalah lebih ekonomi, boleh dikawal dan mampan bagi memenuhi spesifikasi kualiti produk. Masalah menampung *IPDC* bagi system reaktor, biasanya dirumuskan sebagai masalah pengoptimuman generik yang diselesaikan dengan dibahagikan kepada enam peringkat: (i) pra-analisis, (ii) analisis rekabentuk, (iii) analisis rekabentuk pengawal, (iv) analisis ekonomi, (v) analisis kemampanan, dan (vi) pemilihan akhir dan pengesahan. Menggunakan termodinamik dan gambaran proses, ruang carian terbatas dikenalpasti. Ruang penyelesaian ini dikurangkan lagi untuk memenuhi kekangan rekabentuk proses, kawalan dan ekonomi di peringkat 2, 3 dan 4. Aspek kelestarian kemudiannya dianalisis di peringkat 5 untuk memenuhi kekangan kemampanan, sehingga di peringkat akhir semua penyelesaian (calon) disusun mengikut kriteria prestasi tertentu (fungsi objektif). Rekabentuk terakhir yang dipilih kemudiannya disahkan melalui simulasi atau eksperimen. Di peringkat pertama, konsep rantau tercapai (*AR*) ini digunakan untuk mencari penyelesaian yang optimum dari segi keadaan operasi. Sasaran bagi penyelesaian optimum ini ditakrifkan dan dipilih pada titik maksimum rajah *AR*. Ia dijangka bahawa calon pada titik maksimum rajah ini akan menunjukkan nilai yang lebih tinggi, oleh itu pengesahan penyelesaian optimum bagi masalah menampung *IPDC* untuk sistem reaktor. Selain itu, kalkulator kemampanan (SustainPlus<sup>®</sup>) juga dibangunkan dalam kajian ini di mana pengiraan serentak tiga indeks kelestarian (satu-dimensi, dua-dimensi dan tiga-dimensi) boleh dilakukan dalam satu analisis tunggal. Kemudian, kaedah ini diuji dengan menggunakan dua kes yang berbeza; (i) penghasilan sikloheksanon dalam sistem tangki reaktor pengacau berterusan, dan (ii) penghasilan biomassa dalam dua sistem bioreaktor berterusan. Berdasarkan dua kajian kes ini, keputusan menunjukkan bahawa penyelesaian optimum dari segi rekabentuk, rekabentuk pengawal, ekonomi dan kemampanan adalah yang terbaik pada titik tertinggi rajah *AR*. Ia menunjukkan bahawa kaedah menampung *IPDC* yang dicadangkan mampu untuk mencari penyelesaian optimum yang memenuhi rekabentuk, pengawal, ekonomi dan kemampanan kriteria dengan cara yang mudah, cekap dan sistematik.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xviii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xx
 <b>1</b>	 <b>INTRODUCTION</b>	 <b>1</b>
	1.1 Research Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Objectives of the Research	3
	1.4 Scope of the Research	4
	1.5 Significant Of the Research	4
	1.6 Thesis Organization	5

<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1	Integrated Process Design And Control	6
2.2	Sustainability	9
2.2.1	One-Dimensional Sustainability Index	12
2.2.2	Two-Dimensional Sustainability Index	20
2.2.3	Three-Dimensional Sustainability Index	21
2.3	Sustainable Integrated Process Design and Control	22
2.4	Summary	22
<b>3</b>	<b>FRAMEWORK OF SUSTAINABLE INTEGRATED PROCESS DESIGN AND CONTROL FOR REACTOR SYSTEMS</b>	<b>24</b>
3.1	Problem Formulation	25
3.2	Decomposition Based-Solution Strategy	27
3.3	Defining Optimal Design Target	34
3.4	Tools Integration	37
3.5	Algorithm of Sustainable Integrated Process Design and Control for Reactor System	38
3.6	Summary	42
<b>4</b>	<b>SUSTAINPLUS: A SOFTWARE IN CALCULATING SUSTAINABILITY INDEX OF REACTOR SYSTEMS</b>	<b>43</b>
4.1	SustainPlus Overview	44
4.2	SustainPlus Framework	45
4.3	SustainPlus Implementation	46
4.3.1	Starting for SustainPlus	46
4.3.2	Part I: Introduction	46
4.3.3	Part II: Problem Definition	47
4.3.4	Part III: Data Input	48
4.3.5	Par IV: Analysis	49



4.4	SustainPlus Application	51
4.4.1	Cyclohexanone Reaction Process	51
4.5	Summary	61
<b>5</b>	<b>CASE STUDY APPLICATIONS: RESULT AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>62</b>
5.1	Application of the Methodology for a Single Continuous-Stirred Tank Reactor System	62
5.1.1	Process Description	63
5.1.2	Problem Formulation	63
5.1.3	Decomposition-based Solution Strategy	65
5.2	Application of the Methodology for Retrofitting of Two Continuous Bioreactors System Operated in Series	75
5.2.1	Process Description	75
5.2.2	Problem Formulation	76
5.2.3	Decomposition-based Solution Strategy	78
5.3	Summary	90
<b>6</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK</b>	<b>92</b>
5.1	Summary	92
5.2	Future Work	94
5.3	Publication	95
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>98</b>
	Appendix A - C	102-116

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
4.1	Feed condition for cyclohexanone production	53
5.1	Values of residence time with the corresponding process-controlled and design-manipulated variables at different <i>CSTR</i> designs	67
5.2	Economic analysis for each candidates	70
5.3	Analysis of cyclohexanone production by using SustainPlus <sup>®</sup>	71
5.4	Analysis of cyclohexanone production (scale index)	71
5.5	Final result of multi-objective function. The best candidate is highlighted in bold	72
5.6	Existing Design for Microbes Production	76
5.7	Values of design analysis for proposed and existing design	79
5.8	Values of controller structure analysis for R-101	81
5.9	Economic analysis for each candidates	82
5.10	Detailed result of sustainability analysis by using SustainPlus <sup>®</sup> with A1s and B1s as the scale index for each point (A1s and B1s are the scaled value of A1 and B1)	82
5.11	Sustainability analysis for R-101	83
5.12	The percentage of the performance objective for proposed design, A1 compared to the existing design, B1	84
5.13	Final result of multi-objective function for R-101	84
5.14	Design analysis for second reactor	85
5.15	Values of controller structure analysis for R-201	87
5.16	Economic analysis for each candidates	88

5.17	Detailed result of sustainability analysis by using SustainPlus <sup>®</sup> with A2s and Bs as the scaled index for each point	88
5.18	Indexes of sustainability for both design of R-201	89
5.19	Sustainability comparison for the whole system	89
5.20	The percentage of the performance objective for proposed design, A2 compared to the existing design, B2	90
5.21	Final result of multi-objective function. The best candidate is highlighted in bold	90
6.1	Detail Information of the Published Journal	96
A.1	Environmental metrics of atmospheric acidification (Shadiya and High, 2012)	102
A.2	Environmental metrics of aquatic acidification (Shadiya and High, 2012)	102
A.3	Environmental metrics of eutrophication (Shadiya and High, 2012)	102
A.4	Environmental metrics of global warming (Shadiya and High, 2012)	103
A.5	Environmental metrics of aquatic oxygen demand (Shadiya and High, 2012)	103
A.6	Environmental metrics of aquatic life (Shadiya and High, 2012)	104
A.7	Environmental metrics of ozone depletion (Shadiya and High, 2012)	105
A.8	Environmental metrics of photochemical smog (Shadiya and High, 2012)	106
B.1	Heat of reaction index (Shadiya and High, 2012)	108
B.2	Flammability index (Shadiya and High, 2012)	108
B.3	Corrosity index (Shadiya and High, 2012)	108
B.4	Explosivity index (Shadiya and High, 2012)	109
B.5	Toxic exposure index (Shadiya and High, 2012)	109
B.6	Temperature index (Shadiya and High, 2012)	109
B.7	Equipement safety index (Shadiya and High, 2012)	110
B.8	Safety level of process structure index (Shadiya and High, 2012)	110
B.9	Pressure index (Shadiya and High, 2012)	110
B.10	Carcinogenic score for social index (Shadiya and High, 2012)	111

B.11	Others type of damage in analyze health risk (Shadiya and High, 2012)	111
C.1	Others type of damage in analyze health risk (Shadiya and High, 2012)	112
C.2	Determination of quantity class (Vincent, 2005)	112
C.3	Determination of frequency class (Vincent, 2005)	112
C.4	Determination of hazard class chemical (Vincent, 2005)	113
C.5	Determination of hazard class environment (Vincent, 2005)	114
C.6	Relation between threshold and hazard class environment (Vincent, 2005)	115
C.7	Determination of potential exposure class (Vincent, 2005)	116
C.8	Determination of potential chemical risk (Vincent, 2005)	116

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Workflow diagram of the <i>IPDC</i> methodology (Hamid, 2010)	8
2.2	Chemical processes impact towards surrounding (Carvalho, 2009)	10
2.3	Triple bottom line of sustainability (Adams, 2006)	11
2.4	The ecological fingerprint of BASF Socio-Eco-Efficiency metrics (Sailing, 2005)	13
2.5	Flow diagram for sustainability assessment (Martins, 2008)	15
2.6	m-SAS framework in finding the sustainable process design (Othman, 2009)	16
2.7	Metrics used in sustainability evaluator (Shadiya and High, 2012)	17
3.1	Sustainable integrated process design and control methodology for reactor systems	29
3.2	Attainable Region Diagram for reactor systems (Hamid, 2010)	30
3.3	Determination of the optimal of design control for a reactor using the attainable region diagram (Hamid, 2011)	36
3.4	Determination of the optimal of design control for a reactor using the attainable region diagram at different temperatures (Hamid, 2011)	36
3.5	The tools integration framework of Sustain <i>IPDC</i>	37
4.1	User interface for SustainPlus <sup>®</sup> for assessing sustainability index	44
4.2	The software framework of SustainPlus <sup>®</sup>	45
4.3	Part I - Introduction in SustainPlus <sup>®</sup> tool	47
4.4	Part II - Problem definition in SustainPlus <sup>®</sup> tool	48

4.5	Part III - Data input in SustainPlus <sup>®</sup>	49
4.6	Part IV - Analysis in SustainPlus <sup>®</sup> tool	50
4.7	Summary indexes in part IV of SustainPlus <sup>®</sup>	50
4.8	Process flow diagram of cyclohexanone production	52
4.9	Components selection interface of SustainPlus <sup>®</sup> tool	54
4.10	Reactants selection interface of SustainPlus <sup>®</sup> tool	55
4.11	Products selection interface of SustainPlus <sup>®</sup> tool	56
4.12	Reactants data input interface of SustainPlus <sup>®</sup> tool	57
4.13	Products data input interface of SustainPlus <sup>®</sup> tool	57
4.14	Water usage input interface of SustainPlus <sup>®</sup> tool	58
4.15	Energy data input interface of SustainPlus <sup>®</sup> tool	59
4.16	Others data input interface of SustainPlus <sup>®</sup> tool	59
4.17	Summary indexes for the design at the highest point of AR diagram for the production of cyclohexanone	60
5.1	Attainable region diagram for $C_a$ with respect to $C_b$ at 394.26 K	66
5.2	Attainable region diagram for the desired concentration of b with respect to reactant, $C_a$ , and its corresponding derivatives of $C_b$ with respect to disturbance $C_a$ at feed.	68
5.3	Attainable region diagram for the desired concentration of b with respect to reactant, $C_a$ , and its corresponding derivatives of $C_b$ and $h_r$ with respect to manipulated variable $F$	69
5.4	Dynamic open loop responses of desired product concentration $C_b$ to a $\pm 5\%$ step change in feed flow rate, $F_f$ for point A	73
5.5	Dynamic open loop responses of desired product concentration $C_b$ to a $\pm 5\%$ step change in feed flow rate, $F_f$ for point B	73
5.6	Dynamic open loop responses of desired product concentration $C_b$ to a $\pm 5\%$ step change in feed flow rate, $F_f$ for point C	74
5.7	Dynamic open loop responses of desired product concentration $C_b$ to a $\pm 5\%$ step change in feed concentration, $C_{a,f}$ for point A	74
5.8	Dynamic open loop responses of desired product concentration $C_b$ to a $\pm 5\%$ step change in feed concentration, $C_{a,f}$ for point B	74

5.9	Dynamic open loop responses of desired product concentration $C_b$ to a $\pm 5\%$ step change in feed concentration, $C_{a,f}$ for point C	75
5.10	Process flow diagram of two continuous bioreactors system operated in series (Vanavil, 2014)	76
5.11	Attainable region diagram of R-101 for $C_{S1}$ with respect to $C_{X1}$	79
5.12	Controller structure analysis for R-101	80
5.13	Level controller structure for R-101 with set-point of A1 and B1	81
5.14	Attainable region diagram of R-201 for $C_{S2}$ with respect to $C_{X2}$	85
5.15	Sensitivity and controller structure analysis for R-201	86
5.16	Level controller proposed for controller structure selection of R-201	87

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AIChE	- American Institute of Chemical Engineers
AR	- Attainable Region
ASW	- Aspen Simulation Workbook
CEI	- Chemical Exposure Index
CSTR	- Continuous-stirred tank reactor
DS	- Decision Support
EIA	- Equipment and Inventory Analysis
FTA	- Fault Tree Assessment
HAZOP	- Hazard and Operability
HAZOPExpert	- Software in HAZOP Analysis
IChemE	- Institution of Chemical Engineers
IPDC	- Integrated Process Design and Process Control
LCA	- Life Cycle Analysis/Assessment
MINLP	- Mixed Integer Non-Linear Programming
MIDO	- Mixed Integer Dynamic Optimization
m-SAS	- Modular-based Sustainability Assessment and Selection
NPEI	- Net Potential Economic Impact
NPV	- Net Present Value
ROR	- Rate of Return
SA	- Sustainability Analysis
SustainIPDC	- Sustainable Integrated Process Design and Process Control
SustainPlus	- Sustainability Calculator
SustainPRO	- Sustainability Tool in retrofitting the chemical processes
WAR	- Waste Reduction
WBCSD	- World Business Council for Sustainable Development



1D	- One-Dimension
2D	- Two-Dimension
3D	- Three-Dimension

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$A$	-	Area
$CS$	-	Controller structure
$d$	-	Disturbance variables
$dy/dd$	-	Sensitivity of controlled variable respect to disturbance
$dy/du$	-	Sensitivity of controlled variable respect to manipulated
$G$	-	Set of independent variables
$i$	-	Category of objective function
$j$	-	Specific term of each category
$J$	-	Multi-objective function
$T$	-	Temperature
$u$	-	Manipulated (design)variables
$v$	-	Set of chemical system variables
$x$	-	Set of process state variables
$y$	-	Set of controlled variable
$Y$	-	Set of binary decison variables
$\theta$	-	Set of constitutive variables
$C_{a,f}$	-	Feed concentration of component a
$C_{b,f}$	-	Feed concentration of component b
$C_{c,f}$	-	Feed concentration of component c
$C_{d,f}$	-	Feed concentration of component d
$C_D$	-	Cost of depreciation per year
$F_f$	-	Feed flowrate
$h_r$	-	Reactor height
$I_1$	-	Income of the product
$I_2$	-	Cost of raw material

$k_1$	-	Kinetic Rate
$P_{i,j}$	-	Objective term
$Price_i$	-	Price of product $i$ (\$/kg)
$Price_j$	-	Price of raw material $j$ (\$/kg)
$Prod_i$	-	Draw rate of product $i$ (kg/year)
$Prod_j$	-	Feed of rate of raw material $j$ (kg/year)
$P_1$	-	Process design objective
$P_{2,1}$	-	Sensitivity of $dy/dd$ objective
$P_{2,2}$	-	Sensitivity of $dy/du$ objective
$P_3$	-	Profit function objective
$P_4$	-	Sustainability objective
$R_a$	-	Rate law of component a
$T_{min}$	-	Minimum temperature
$T_{max}$	-	Maximum temperature
$V_R$	-	Reactor Volume
$w_{i,j}$	-	Weight factor af each objective term
$x_i$	-	Mole fraction of feed component $i$
$T_b^i$	-	Boiling point temperature
$T_m^i$	-	Melting point temperature

## LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	One-dimensional Sustainability Index of Environmental Metrics	102
B	One-dimensional Sustainability Index of Social Metrics	108
C	Three-dimensional Sustainability Index	112

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Research Background

Chemical processes have been traditionally designed by a sequential approach consisting of initial process design, which is based on steady state economic calculations followed by the synthesis of a controller structure that is generally based on heuristic controllability measures. Thus, the process design and process control aspects have been generally studied independently. This traditional sequential design approach is often inadequate since the process design can significantly affect the process control of the systems (Malcom *et al.*, 2007). Another drawback has to do with how process design decisions influence the controllability of the process to assure that design decisions give the optimum economic and the best control performance, controller design issues need to be considered simultaneously with the process design issues (Miranda *et al.*, 2008). The research area of combining process design and controller design considerations is referred here as integrated process design and controller design (*IPDC*).

Integrated Process Design and Control (*IPDC*) methodology was developed which is able to identify and obtain an optimal solution for the *IPDC* problem for

chemical processes in an easy, simple and efficient way (Hamid, 2011). However, the developed methodology for the *IPDC* did not consider sustainability aspect in the early chemical processes design stage. Designing controllable and also sustainable process is one of the key challenges for sustainable development of chemical processes. Chemical process design can be further improved by including sustainability aspect within the developed *IPDC* method to ensure that the design is more cost efficient and controllable, as well as sustainable to meet product quality specifications. This can be achieved by extending the developed model-based *IPDC* method encompasses sustainability aspect.

Sustainability is based on balancing three principal objectives: environmental protection, economic growth, and societal equity. Metrics and indicators are used to assess the sustainability performance of a process or a system, to evaluate the progress toward enhancing sustainability, and to assist decision makers in evaluating alternatives. There are one-dimensional (*1D*), two-dimensional (*2D*) and three-dimensional (*3D*) sustainability indices that will be used in this study. In order to make it more easily in measuring the sustainability in chemical processes, a new computer-aided tool that combined all three dimension of sustainability index has been successfully developed.

Solving *IPDC* problem together with the sustainability criteria may cause complexity in the optimization problem. To obtain solutions for this problem will require a huge computational effort which makes this approach impractical for solving real industrial problems. In order to overcome the complexity of the *Sustain-IPDC* problem and obtain an achievable optimal solution, a decomposition approach is used in this study. The decomposition approach has been applied in managing and solving the complexity of different optimization problems in chemical engineering (Hamid, 2011). The basic idea is that in optimization problems with constraints, the search space is defined by the constraints within which all feasible solutions lie and the objective function helps to identify one or more of the optimal solutions. The constraint equations are solved in a pre-determined sequence such that after every sequential sub-problem, the search space for feasible solutions is reduced and a sub-

set of decision variables are fixed. When all the constraints are satisfied, it remains to calculate the objective function for all the identified feasible solutions to locate the optimal solution. In this study, the decomposition solution strategy has been adopted to develop a new framework of model-based methodology for solving Sustain-*IPDC* problem.

## 1.2 Problem Statement

Previously, *IPDC* methodology was developed which is able to identify and obtain an optimal solution for the *IPDC* problem for reactor systems in an easy, simple and efficient way (Hamid, 2011). However, the developed methodology for the *IPDC* did not consider sustainability aspect. Designing controllable and also sustainable process is one of the key challenges for sustainable development of reactor systems since reactor is the hard part of the chemical design before any other parts of the design. Reactor system process design can be further improved by including sustainability aspect within the developed *IPDC* method to ensure that the design is more cost efficient and controllable, as well as sustainable to meet product quality specifications. This can be achieved by extending the developed model-based *IPDC* method for reactor systems encompass sustainability aspect.

## 1.3 Objectives of the Study

The objective for this study is to develop a new framework of model-based methodology, which is able to identify and obtain an optimal solution for the Sustain-*IPDC* problem for reactor systems.

## 1.4 Scope of the Study

In order to achieve the abovementioned objective, several scopes have been planned:

1. Developing a new model-based methodology for Sustain-IPDC for reactor systems.
2. Developing a sustainability calculator called SustainPlus<sup>®</sup> for reactor systems that simultaneously calculate three sustainability indexes (*1D*, *2D*, *3D*) in one single analysis.
3. Integrating different available tools in every single stage (such as Microsoft excel, Aspen HYSYS and MATLAB) in the proposed Sustain-IPDC methodology.
4. Testing the performance of the proposed Sustain-IPDC methodology in solving complex reactor system problems.

## 1.5 Significant of the Study

The significant of this study is that the proposed methodology allows the process engineer in finding the optimal solution for a Sustain-IPDC problem for reactor systems in a systematic, efficient and fast. In addition, the proposed methodology can be used not only for industrial purpose but also for academic purpose.



## 1.6 Thesis Organization

The thesis is organized into six chapters which are (1) introduction, (2) literature review, (3) sustainable integrated process design and control methodology for reactor systems, (4) SustainPlus<sup>®</sup>: a software for calculating sustainability index of reactor systems, (5) result and discussion, and (6) conclusion and recommendation. In Chapter one, the background of research, problem statement, research objectives, research scopes, significant of the research are presented. Then, in Chapter two, the literature review on the previous research activities is discussed in more details, which includes the development of the *IPDC* methodology, sustainability indices that have been measured by the previous researchers and the *IPDC* methodology upgrading. The methodology development for the Sustain-*IPDC* is detailed out in Chapter three which consists of problem formulation and the decomposition-based solution strategy. In addition, the SustainPlus<sup>®</sup> software, a computer-aided tool used to calculate the sustainability index is discussed in Chapter four, which includes the overview, framework, implementation and application of the tool. In Chapter five, there are two case studies are presented to test the performance of Sustain-*IPDC* methodology with the help of the developed SustainPlus<sup>®</sup> tool; the design of the single reactor system and the retrofitting of two reactors system. Finally, the conclusion and recommendation of the research are presented in Chapter six.

## REFERENCES

- Achour M., Haroun A., Schult C., and Gasem K. (2005). A New Method to Access the Environmental Risk of a Chemical Process. *Chemical Engineering and Processing*, 44, 901-909.
- Adams W. (2006). The Future of Sustainability: Re-thinking Environment and Development in the Twenty-first Century. *Report of the IUCN Reowned Thinkers Meeting*.
- Afgan N., Carvalho M., and Hovanov N. (2000). Energy System Assessment with Sustainability Indicator. *Energy Policy*, 28, 603-612.
- Azapagic A., Millington A., and Collett A. (2006, June). A Methodology for Integrating Sustainability Considerations into Process Design. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design, Trans IChemE, Part A*, 84, 439-452.
- Alvarado-Morales M., Hamid M. K. A., Sin G., Gernaey K. J., Woodley J. M., and Gani R. (2010). A Model-based Methodology for Simultaneous Design and Control of a Bioethanol Production Process. *Computers and Chemical Engineering*, 34, 2043-2061.
- Bare J. (2002). Tool for the Reduction and Assessment of Chemical and Other Environmental Impacts (TRACI): User's guide and Systems Documentation..
- Carvalho A., Matos H. A., and Gani R. (2009). Design of Batch Operations: Systematic Methodology for Generation and Analysis of Sustainable Alternatives. *Computers and Chemical Engineering*, 33, 2075-2090.
- Carvalho A., Matos H. A., and Gani R. (2008). Design of Sustainable Chemical Processes: Systematic Retrofit Analysis Generation and Evaluation of Alternatives. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 86(5), 328-346.
- Constable D., Curzons D., and Cunningham V. (2002). Metrics to 'Green' Chemistry-Which are the Best?. *Green Chemistry*, 4, 521-527.

- Douglas J. M.(1998). *Conceptual Design of Chemical Processes*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Halim I., Carvalho A., Srinivasan R., Matos H. A., and Gani R. (2011). A Combined Heuristic and Indicator-Based Methodology for Methodology for Design of Sustainable Chemical Process Plant. *Computers and Chemical Engineering*, 35, 1343-1358.
- Halim I. and Srinivasan R. (2008). Designing Sustainable Alternative for Batch Operations Using an Intelligent Simulation-Optimization Framework. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design, IChemE*, 86, 809-822.
- Halim I. and Srinivasan R. (2011). A Knowledge-Based Simulation-Optimization Framework and System for Sustainable Process Operations. *Computers and Chemical Engineering*, 35, 92-105.
- Hamid M. K. A. (2011). *Model-Based Integrated Process Design and Controller Design of Chemical Processes*. PhD. Thesis, Technical University of Denmark
- Hamid M. K. A., Sin G., and Gani R. (2010). Integration of Process Design and Controller Design for Chemical Processes using Model-based Methodology. *Computers and Chemical Engineering*, 34, 683–699.
- Heikkila A. (1999). *Inherent Safety in Process Plant Design: An Index-based Approach*. Doctor of Science in Technology (132), Espoo: Department of Chemical Technology, Helsinki University of Technology.
- Karunanithi A. P. T., Achenie L. E. K., and Gani R. (2006). A Computer-aided Molecular Design Framework for Crystallization Solvent Design. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 61 (4), 1247-1260.
- Krotscheck C. and Narodoslowsky M. (1996). The Sustainable Process Index: A New Dimension in Ecological Evaluation. *Ecological Engineering*, 6, 241-258.
- Malcom, A., Polan, J., Zhang, L., Ogunnaike, B. A., and Linninger, A. (2007). Integrating systems design and control using dynamic flexibility analysis. *AIChE Journal*, 53(8), 2048-2061.
- Martins A., Mata T., Costa C., and Sikdar S. (2007). Framework for Sustainability Metrics. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*, 46, 2962-2973.

- Miranda, M., Reneaume, J. M., Meyer, X., Meyer, M., and Szigeti, F. (2008). Integrating process design and control: An application of optimal control to chemical processes. *Chemical Engineering and Processing*, 47, 2004-2018.
- Morales-Alvarado M., Terra J., Gernaey K. V., Woodley J. M., and Gani R. (2009). Biorefining Computer Aided Tools for Sustainable Design and Analysis of Bioethanol Production. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 87, 1171-1183.
- Othman M., Repke J., Wozny G., and Huang Y. (2010). A Modular Approach to Sustainability Assessment and Decision Support in Chemical Process Design. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*, 49, 7870-7881.
- Sailing P., Maisch R., Silvani M., and Konig N. (2005). Assessing the Environmental-Hazard Potential for Life Cycle Assessment, Eco-efficiency and SEEBalance. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*, 10, 364-371.
- Sakizlis, V., Perlins, J. D., and Pistikopoulos, E. N. (2004). Recent advances in optimization-based simultaneous process design and control design, *Computers and Chemical Engineering*, 28, 2069-2086.
- Seferlis P., and Georgiadis, M. C. (2004). The integration of process design and control. Amsterdam: Elsevier B.V.
- Shadiya O. O., and High A. K. (2012). Sustainability Evaluator: Tool for Evaluating Process Sustainability. *Environmental Progress and Sustainable Energy*, 32 (3), 749-761.
- Singh A., and Lou H. (2006). Hierarchical Pareto Optimization for the Sustainable Development of Industrial Ecosystems, *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*, 45, 3265-3279.
- Tanzil D. and Beloff B. (2006). Assessing Impacts: Overview on Sustainability Indicators and Metrics. *Environmental Quality Management*, 15, 41-56.
- Tallis B. (2002). The Sustainability Metrics: Sustainable Development Progress Metrics Recommended for use in the Process Industry, Warwickshire, UK: Institution of Chemical Engineer (IChemE).
- Uhlman, B. W., & Saling, P. 2010. Measuring and communicating sustainability through eco-efficiency analysis. *Chemical Engineering Progress*, December, 17-26.

- Vanavil B., Harikumar M. P., and Rao A. S. (2014). Bifurcation analysis of two continuous bioreactors operated in series. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2014.01.013>.
- Venkatasubramanian V., Zhao J., and Viswanathan S. (2000). Intelligent Systems for HAZOP Analysis of Complex Process Plants. *Computers and Chemical Engineering*, 24, 2291-2302.
- Vincent R., Bonthoux F., Mallet G., Ipurraquirre J. F., and Rio S. (2005). Methodologie D'évaluation Simplifiée du Risque Chimique: Un Outil d'Aide à la Decision. INRS Hyg. Secur. Travail, 195.
- Zakaria S. A, Zakaria M. J., and Hamid M. K. A. (2014). Sustainable Integrated Process Design and Control for a Continuous-Stirred Tank Reactor System. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 625, 466-469.