

POWER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT BY DYNAMIC VOLTAGE RESTORER
AND UNIFIED POWER QUALITY CONDITIONER USING FUZZY LOGIC

FARIDULLAH KAKAR

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Electrical)

Faculty of Electrical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

SEPTEMBER 2015

I dedicate this thesis to my friend Abdul RehmanAl-Najjar (deceased), my wife and my sons, Shamsheer Ali Khan and Altamash Ali Khan

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah almighty, the most Beneficent and the most Merciful. With gratitude to Allah for His mercy that has given me strength, patience, perseverance and health for timely completion of this imperative assignment. Guidance of our Holy Prophet Hazrat Muhammad (S.A.W), throughout my life and in this task in particular, is truly acknowledged. After that patronage, support and backing of my supervisor Dr. Mohd Hafiz Bin Habibuddin and co-supervisor Prof. Ir. Dr. Abdullah Asuhaimi Bin Mohd Zin is undeniable. Their encouragement and support not only enabled me pursue new ideas but also facilitated my self confidence. Notably, their incisive feedback afforded me to progress in the precise direction. This task could not have been accomplished without their keen observations, incessant advices, and persistent suggestions in each and every part of this work.

I would like to thank every person involved in the proceedings of this final project report preparation. I would like to extend my special gratitude to Mr. Abdul Rehman Al-Najjar (deceased) for motivating me to proceed in the right direction whenever I wavered.

I am greatly indebted to UniversitiTeknologi Malaysia for providing me the platform. My sincerest thanks to Dr. Mahmoud Pasaran, Mr. SohailKhokhar and all my colleagues and laboratory mates for their help and support. Finally, I truly endorse the warm backing and care of my family exclusively my wife whose endless support enhanced my agility to make her dream come to reality. I also salute my two sons for all the bears for the sake of my studies.

ABSTRACT

Voltage sag and harmonics have significant negative impact on power quality. Propagation of distorted waveform in the system ultimately instigates a range of power quality issues. Protection against this situation is necessary because it adversely affects reliability and quality of power supply. Custom Power Devices (CPD) such as Dynamic Voltage Restorer (DVR) and Unified Power Quality Conditioner (UPQC) provide a level of reliability and power quality that is urgently required. The basic purpose of this thesis is to implement new control strategies to mitigate voltage sag/swell and to suppress harmonics to evaluate CPD performance. The CPD system is controlled by Fuzzy Logic Controllers (FLCs) with 49 rules and 25 rules, respectively and their performances are compared with Proportional Integral (PI) controller. This thesis focuses on FLC with fewer rules to avoid complexity, reduce computation time and consume less memory space. The proposed strategy depends on d-q transformation, phase-locked loop synchronization and constant DC link voltage. Simulation results depict better reliability of fuzzy logic techniques with non-linear loads when compared with PI technique. The capability of DVR and UPQC using FLC especially with fewer rules is demonstrated using test models built in Matlab/Simulink software. Both equipments potentially restore sags and improve overall harmonic profile while reducing total harmonic distortion to values within the prescribed criterion set by IEEE standards. The proposed FLC approach with reduced rule base, is superior to PI and FLC with 49 rules, assures effective performance, with normalization and tuning of parameters especially the membership functions and scaling factors. It yielded excellent voltage profile with best possible compensation during system contingencies, conforming to the IEEE standards that affirm FLC as an effective solution for power quality problems.

ABSTRAK

Voltan lendut dan harmonik mempunyai kesan negatif yang signifikan terhadap kualiti kuasa. Perambatan gelombang terherot dalam sistem pada akhirnya menyebabkan pelbagai isu kualiti kuasa yang lain. Perlindungan terhadap keadaan ini adalah perlu kerana ia memberi kesan buruk terhadap kebolehpercayaan dan kualiti bekalan kuasa. Peranti Kuasa Langganan (CPD) seperti Pemulih Voltan Dinamik (DVR) dan Penyesuai Kualiti Kuasa Disatukan (UPQC) menyediakan tahap kebolehpercayaan dan kualiti kuasa yang amat diperlukan. Tujuan asas tesis ini adalah untuk melaksanakan strategi kawalan baru untuk mengurangkan voltan lendut dan menyekat harmonik bagi menilai prestasi CPD. Sistem CPD dikawal oleh pengawal logik kabur (FLC) dengan 49 peraturan dan 25 peraturan, dan prestasi mereka dibandingkan dengan pengawal kamiran berkadaran (PI). Tesis ini menumpukan kepada FLC dengan peraturan yang sedikit untuk mengelakkan kekompleksan, mengurangkan masa pengiraan dan menggunakan ruang memori yang kecil. Strategi yang dicadangkan bergantung kepada transformasi d-q, penyegerakan Gelung Terkunci Fasa dan voltan pautan DC malar. Keputusan simulasi menunjukkan kebolehpercayaan yang lebih baik dengan teknik logik kabur dengan beban tidak linear berbanding teknik PI. Keupayaan DVR dan UPQC menggunakan FLC khususnya dengan bilangan peraturan yang rendah ditunjukkan menggunakan model ujian dibina dalam perisian Matlab / Simulink. Kedua-dua peralatan berpotensi menghilangkan lendut dan meningkatkan keseluruhan profil harmonik di samping mengurangkan Jumlah Herotan Harmonik kepada nilai-nilai dalam kriteria yang ditetapkan oleh piawaian IEEE. Pendekatan FLC yang dicadangkan dengan asas peraturan yang dikurangkan, PI dan FLC yang baik dengan 49 peraturan akan memastikan prestasi yang berkesan, dengan penormalan dan penalaan parameter terutama rangkap keahlian dan faktor penskalaan, menghasilkan pengaturan voltan yang sangat baik dan meningkatkan profil voltan sewaktu kesilapan diluar jangkaan sistem, yang mematuhi piawaian IEEE dan mengesahkan FLC sebagai penyelesaian yang berkesan untuk masalah kualiti kuasa.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxi
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of Study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	5
	1.3 Objectives of the Research	6
	1.4 Scopes of the Research	6
	1.5 Significances of the Research	7
	1.6 Organization of Thesis	8
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	9
	2.1 Power Quality (PQ)	9
	2.2 Main PQ Sources, Causes and Effects	10
	2.3 Solutions and methods to Improve PQ	10
	2.4 Custom Power Devices (CPD)	11
	2.4.1 Dynamic Voltage Restorer (DVR)	12

2.4.1.1	Principle of Operation	12
2.4.1.2	Operating Modes of DVR	13
2.4.1.3	Configuration of DVR	15
2.4.2	Unified Power Quality Conditioner (UPQC)	17
2.4.2.1	Basic Configuration of UPQC	18
2.4.2.2	Series and Shunt APF's ratings in UPQC	19
2.4.2.3	Functions performed by UPQC	20
2.5	Control issues related to DVR and UPQC	21
2.5.1	Review of DVR Controllers	23
2.5.1.1	PI Controller	23
2.5.1.2	Repetitive controller	28
2.5.1.3	Proportional Resonant (PR) controller	28
2.5.1.4	Hysteresis Controller	29
2.5.1.5	Optimal Predictive Control (OPC)	30
2.5.1.6	Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS)	31
2.5.1.7	Fuzzy Logic Control (FLC)	32
2.5.2	Review of UPQC Controllers	33
2.5.2.1	Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) & PI controllers	33
2.5.2.2	Hysteresis controller	35
2.5.2.3	Power Angle Control (PAC)	38
2.5.2.4	Pulse Width Modulation (PWM)	39
2.5.2.5	Synchronous Reference Frame (SRF)	39
2.5.2.6	Artificial Neural Network (ANN) and Adaline	40

	2.5.2.7	Fuzzy Logic Control (FLC)	41
	2.6	Summary	43
3		METHODOLOGY	45
	3.1	Introduction	45
	3.2	DVR Simulation Model	47
	3.2.1	DVR Single-line Diagram	48
	3.2.2	Proposed DVR Controls	51
	3.2.2.1	Sag Detection	51
	3.2.2.2	Reference Voltage Compensation for PI controller with PWM generator	54
	3.2.2.3	Reference Voltage Compensation for FLC with PWM Generator	57
	3.3	UPQC Simulation Model	63
	3.3.1	Control Philosophy	67
	3.3.1.1	Shunt inverter reference current detection (d-q Method)	68
	3.3.2.2	Shunt inverter control based on PWM	69
	3.4	Summary	70
4		RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	72
	4.1	DVR Simulation Results	72
	4.1.1	Case 1: Linear load	72
	4.1.2	Case 2: Non-linear load	78
	4.1.3	Case 3: FOC-IM load	84
	4.2	UPQC Simulation Results	89
	4.2.1	Case 1: Linear load	90
	4.2.2	Case 2: Non-linear load	101
	4.2.3	Case 3: FOC-IM load	111
	4.3	Comparison of DVR and UPQC for voltage harmonics reduction	123
	4.4	Comparison of FLC (49 rules) and FLC (25	124

	rules) with DVR	
4.5	Comparison of FLC (49 rules) and FLC (25 rules) with UPQC	125
4.6	Comparison of FLC (49 rules) and FLC (25 rules) with DVR in terms of Memory Space	126
4.7	Comparison of FLC (49 rules) and FLC (25 rules) with UPQC in terms of Memory Space	127
4.8	Comparison of FLC (49 rules) and FLC (25 rules) with DVR in terms of Computational Time	128
4.9	Comparison of FLC (49 rules) and FLC (25 rules) with UPQC in terms of Computational Time	128
4.10	Summary	129
5	CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK	131
5.1	Conclusion	131
5.2	Significant contribution of the Thesis	134
5.3	Future work	134
	REFERENCES	134
	Appendices A1-C1	144-151

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Summary of main PQ problems, their causes and effects	10
3.1	Parameters of DVR test system	49
3.2	FLC with 49 rules (Mac-vicar and Whelan decision matrix) (Hilloowala and Sharaf (1996))	59
3.3	FLC with 25 rules	62
3.4	UPQC system parameters	64
4.1	Summary of voltage THD for DVR with PI, FLC (49 and 25 rules) with linear load	77
4.2	Summary of voltage THD for DVR with PI, FLC (49 and 25 rules) with non-linear load	83
4.3	Summary of voltage THD for DVR with PI, FLC (49 and 25 rules) with FOC-IM load	88
4.4	Summary of voltage THD for UPQC with PI, FLC (49 and 25 rules) with linear load	96
4.5	Summary of current THD for UPQC with PI, FLC (49 and 25 rules) with linear load	99
4.6	Summary of voltage THD for UPQC with PI, with FLC (49 and 25 rules) with non-linear load	107
4.7	Summary of current THD for UPQC with PI, with FLC (49 and 25 rules) with non-linear load	110
4.8	Summary of voltage THD for UPQC with PI, with FLC (49 and 25 rules) with FOC-IM load	118
4.9	Summary of current THD for UPQC with PI, with FLC (49 and 25 rules) with FOC-IM load	121
4.10	Summary of voltage THD comparison of DVR and UPQC with PI, with FLC (49 and 25 rules)	123
4.11	Summary of voltage THD comparison of FLC (49 rules) and FLC (25 rules) with DVR	124
4.12	Summary of voltage THD comparison of FLC (49	126

	rules) and FLC (25 rules) with UPQC	
4.13	Summary of memory space comparison of FLC (49 rules) and FLC (25 rules) with DVR	127
4.14	Summary of voltage THD comparison of FLC (49 rules) and FLC (25 rules) with UPQC	128
4.15	Summary of computational time of FLC (49 rules) and FLC (25 rules) with DVR	128
4.16	Summary of computational time of FLC (49 rules) and FLC (25 rules) with UPQC	129

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Protection mode of DVR (Kumari and Garg 2013)	14
2.2	Standby mode of a DVR (Ali, <i>et al.</i> , 2013)	15
2.3	Basic DVR configuration (Dib, <i>et al.</i> , 2011)	15
2.4	VSI using IGBT (Singh, <i>et al.</i> , 2013)	16
2.5	Block diagram of UPQC (Axente, <i>et al.</i> , 2011)	18
3.1	Research work flow chart	47
3.2	Single-line diagram of DVR test model	48
3.3	Matlab / Simulink DVR model	50
3.4	Matlab / Simulink Model for sag detection	52
3.5	Circuit Model of DVR Test System (Tiwari and Gupta 2010)	55
3.6	PI controller basic structure (Tiwari and Gupta 2010)	56
3.7	Phase-Modulation firing angle control δ (Kumar, S. 2007; Ganesh, S. <i>et al.</i> , 2011]	57
3.8	FLC FIS editor	58
3.9	FLC MF's editor, rule viewer and surface viewer	61
3.10	FLC simulink sub system for 25 rules	63
3.11	UPQC test system model	65
3.12	Matlab / Simulink UPQC model	66
4.1	Voltage waveform (a) without DVR (b) injected voltage (c) with DVR for LG fault with linear load	73
4.2	Voltage waveform (a) without DVR (b) injected voltage (c) with DVR for LLG fault with linear load	73
4.3	Voltage waveform (a) without DVR (b) injected voltage (c) with DVR for LLLG fault with linear load	74
4.4	Voltage THD (a) without DVR (b) with DVR (c) with FLC (49 rules) (d) with FLC (25 rules) for LG fault with linear load	75

4.5	Voltage THD (a) without DVR (b) with DVR (c) with FLC (49 rules) (d) with FLC (25 rules) for LLG fault with linear load	75
4.6	Voltage THD (a) without DVR (b) with DVR (c) with FLC (49 rules) (d) with FLC (25 rules) for LLLG fault with linear load	76
4.7	Capacitor voltage for DVR (a) with PI (b) FLC (49 rules) and FLC (25 rules) with linear load	78
4.8	Voltage waveform (a) without DVR (b) injected voltage (c) with DVR for LG fault with non-linear load	79
4.9	Voltage waveform (a) without DVR (b) injected voltage (c) with DVR for LLG fault with non-linear load	79
4.10	Voltage waveform (a) without DVR (b) injected voltage (c) with DVR for LLLG fault with non-linear load	80
4.11	Voltage THD (a) without DVR (b) with DVR (c) with FLC (49 rules) (d) with FLC (25 rules) for LG fault with non-linear load	81
4.12	Voltage THD (a) without DVR (b) with DVR (c) with FLC (49 rules) (d) with FLC (25 rules) for LLG fault with non-linear load	81
4.13	Voltage THD (a) without DVR (b) with DVR (c) with FLC (49 rules) (d) with FLC (25 rules) for LLLG fault with non-linear load	82
4.14	Capacitor voltage for DVR (a) with PI (b) FLC (49 rules) and FLC (25 rules) with non-linear load	83
4.15	Voltage waveform (a) without DVR (b) injected voltage (c) with DVR for LG fault with FOC-IM load	84
4.16	Voltage waveform (a) without DVR (b) injected voltage (c) with DVR for LLG fault with FOC-IM load	85
4.17	Voltage waveform (a) without DVR (b) injected voltage (c) with DVR for LLLG fault with FOC-IM load	85
4.18	Voltage THD (a) without DVR (b) with DVR (c) with FLC (49 rules) (d) with FLC (25 rules) for LG fault with FOC-IM load	86
4.19	Voltage THD (a) without DVR (b) with DVR (c) with FLC (49 rules) (d) with FLC (25 rules) for LLG fault with FOC-IM load	87
4.20	Voltage THD (a) without DVR (b) with DVR (c) with FLC (49 rules) (d) with FLC (25 rules) for LLLG fault with FOC-IM load	87

4.21	Capacitor voltage for DVR (a) with PI (b) FLC (49 rules) and FLC (25 rules) with FOC-IM load	89
4.22	Voltage waveform (a) without UPQC (b) injected voltage (c) with UPQC for LG fault with linear load	90
4.23	Voltage waveform (a) without UPQC (b) injected voltage (c) with UPQC for LLG fault with linear load	91
4.24	Voltage waveform (a) without UPQC (b) injected voltage (c) with UPQC for LLLG fault with linear load	91
4.25	Current waveform (a) without UPQC (b) injected current (c) with UPQC for LG fault with linear load	92
4.26	Current waveform (a) without UPQC (b) injected current (c) with UPQC for LLG fault with linear load	93
4.27	Current waveform (a) without UPQC (b) injected current (c) with UPQC for LLLG fault with linear load	93
4.28	Voltage THD (a) without UPQC (b) with UPQC (c) with FLC (49 rules) (d) with FLC (25 rules) for LG fault with linear load	94
4.29	Voltage THD (a) without UPQC (b) with UPQC (c) with FLC (49 rules) (d) with FLC (25 rules) for LLG fault with linear load	95
4.30	Voltage THD (a) without UPQC (b) with UPQC (c) with FLC (49 rules) (d) with FLC (25 rules) for LLLG fault with linear load	95
4.31	Current THD (a) without UPQC (b) with UPQC (c) with FLC (49 rules) (d) with FLC (25 rules) for LG fault with linear load	97
4.32	Current THD (a) without UPQC (b) with UPQC (c) with FLC (49 rules) (d) with FLC (25 rules) for LLG fault with linear load	97
4.33	Current THD (a) without UPQC (b) with UPQC (c) with FLC (49 rules) (d) with FLC (25 rules) for LLLG fault with linear load	98
4.34	Capacitor voltage for UPQC (a) with PI (b) FLC (49 rules) and FLC (25 rules) with linear load	100
4.35	Capacitor current for UPQC (a) with PI (b) FLC (49 rules) and FLC (25 rules) with linear load	100
4.36	Voltage waveform (a) without UPQC (b) injected voltage (c) with UPQC for LG fault with non-linear load	101
4.37	Voltage waveform (a) without UPQC (b) injected voltage (c) with UPQC for LLG fault with non-linear	102

	load	
4.38	Voltage waveform (a) without UPQC (b) injected voltage (c) with UPQC for LLLG fault with non-linear load	102
4.39	Current waveform (a) without UPQC (b) injected current (c) with UPQC for LG fault with non-linear load	103
4.40	Current waveform (a) without UPQC (b) injected current (c) with UPQC for LLG fault with non-linear load	104
4.41	Current waveform (a) without UPQC (b) injected current (c) with UPQC for LLLG fault with non-linear load	104
4.42	Voltage THD (a) without UPQC (b) with UPQC (c) with FLC (49 rules) (d) with FLC (25 rules) for LG fault with non-linear load	105
4.43	Voltage THD (a) without UPQC (b) with UPQC (c) with FLC (49 rules) (d) with FLC (25 rules) for LLG fault with non-linear load	106
4.44	Voltage THD (a) without UPQC (b) with UPQC (c) with FLC (49 rules) (d) with FLC (25 rules) for LLLG fault with non-linear load	106
4.45	Current THD (a) without UPQC (b) with UPQC (c) with FLC (49 rules) (d) with FLC (25 rules) for LG fault with non-linear load	108
4.46	Current THD (a) without UPQC (b) with UPQC (c) with FLC (49 rules) (d) with FLC (25 rules) for LLG fault with non-linear load	108
4.47	Current THD (a) without UPQC (b) with UPQC (c) with FLC (49 rules) (d) with FLC (25 rules) for LLLG fault with non-linear load	109
4.48	Capacitor voltage for UPQC (a) with PI (b) FLC (49 rules) and FLC (25 rules) with non-linear load	110
4.49	Capacitor current for UPQC (a) with PI (b) FLC (49 rules) and FLC (25 rules) with linear load	111
4.50	Voltage waveform (a) without UPQC (b) injected voltage (c) with UPQC for LG fault with FOC-IM load	112
4.51	Voltage waveform (a) without UPQC (b) injected voltage (c) with UPQC for LLG fault with FOC-IM load	112
4.52	Voltage waveform (a) without UPQC (b) injected voltage (c) with UPQC for LLLG fault with FOC-IM load	113

4.53	Current waveform (a) without UPQC (b) injected current (c) with UPQC for LG fault with FOC-IM load	114
4.54	Current waveform (a) without UPQC (b) injected current (c) with UPQC for LLG fault with FOC-IM load	114
4.55	Current waveform (a) without UPQC (b) injected current (c) with UPQC for LLLG fault with FOC-IM load	115
4.56	Voltage THD (a) without UPQC (b) with UPQC (c) with FLC (49 rules) (d) with FLC (25 rules) for LG fault with FOC-IM load	116
4.57	Voltage THD (a) without UPQC (b) with UPQC (c) with FLC (49 rules) (d) with FLC (25 rules) for LG fault with FOC-IM load	116
4.58	Voltage THD (a) without UPQC (b) with UPQC (c) with FLC (49 rules) (d) with FLC (25 rules) for LLLG fault with FOC-IM load	117
4.59	Current THD (a) without UPQC (b) with UPQC (c) with FLC (49 rules) (d) with FLC (25 rules) for LG fault with FOC-IM load	119
4.60	Current THD (a) without UPQC (b) with UPQC (c) with FLC (49 rules) (d) with FLC (25 rules) for LLG fault with FOC-IM load	119
4.61	Current THD (a) without UPQC (b) with UPQC (c) with FLC (49 rules) (d) with FLC (25 rules) for LLLG fault with FOC-IM load	120
4.62	Capacitor voltage for UPQC (a) with PI (b) FLC (49 rules) and FLC (25 rules) with FOC-IM load	122
4.63	Capacitor current for UPQC (a) with PI (b) FLC (49 rules) and FLC (25 rules) with FOC-IM load	122

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANFIS	-	Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System
ANN	-	Artificial Neural Network
APF	-	Active Power Filters
CPD	-	Custom Power Devices
SCD	-	Synchronous Current Detection
CSI	-	Current Source Inverter
d	-	direct or real
DC	-	Direct Current
DFT	-	Discrete Fourier Transform
DOL	-	Direct-On-Line
d-q-0	-	transformation direct-quadrature-zero transformation
DSTATCOM	-	Distribution STATic COMPensator
DVR	-	Dynamic Voltage Restorer
DWT	-	Discrete Wavelet Transform
EMI	-	Electro-Magnetic Interference filter
FACTS	-	Flexible AC Transmission Systems
FFT	-	Fast Fourier Transformer
FL	-	Fuzzy Logic
FLC	-	Fuzzy Logic Controller
FOC-IM	-	Field-Oriented Control Induction Motor
FORTRAN	-	FORula TRANslating System programming language
FT	-	Fourier Transform
GTO	-	Gate Turn Off thyristor
ICC	-	Indirect Current Control
IEEE	-	Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers
IGBT	-	Insulated-Gate Bipolar Transistor
IGCT	-	Integrated Gate-Commutated Thyristor
Ki	-	integral
Kp	-	proportional
LLG	-	Double Line-to-Ground fault
LLLG	-	Triple Line-to-Ground fault
LPF	-	Low Pass Filter
LQR	-	Linear Quadratic Regulator

max-min	-	maximum-minimum
MC-DVR	-	Multi Converter DVR
MRA	-	Multi-Resolution Analysis
MSPWM	-	Multiple Sinusoidal Pulse Width Modulation
MVAr	-	Mega Volt Ampere reactive
NB	-	Negative Big
NM	-	Negative Medium
NS	-	Negative Small
OCC	-	One Cycle Control
OCCC	-	One Cycle Current Control
OPC	-	Optimal Predictive Control
PBT	-	Power Balance Theory
PCC	-	Point of Common Coupling
PI	-	Proportional Integral
PID	-	Proportional Integral Derivative
PL	-	Positive Large
PLL	-	Phase-Locked Loop
PM	-	Positive Medium
PQ	-	Power Quality
PR	-	Proportional Resonant
PS	-	Positive Small
PSCAD	-	Power System Computer Aided Design
PS-SPWM	-	Phase-Shifted Sinusoidal Pulse Width Modulation
PV	-	Photo-Voltaic
PWM	-	Pulse Width Modulation
Ref	-	Reference
RMS	-	Root Mean Square
SLG	-	Single Line-to-Ground fault
SPWM	-	Sinusoidal Pulse Width Modulation
SRF	-	Synchronous Reference Frame
SVM	-	Space Vector Modulation
THD	-	Total Harmonic Distortion
UCES	-	Ultra-Capacitor Energy Storage

UPQC	-	Unified Power Quality Conditioner
UVT	-	Unit Vector Template generation
VAr	-	Volt Ampere reactive
VSC	-	Voltage Source Converter
VSI	-	Voltage Source Inverter
Z	-	Zero
ZSI	-	Z-Source Inverter

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A	-	Ampere
ce	-	Change in error
H	-	Henry
e	-	error
I	-	Current
I_L	-	Load Current
kV	-	kiloVolt
kW	-	kiloWatt
L	-	Inductance
p.u	-	Per unit
R	-	Resistance
V	-	Voltage
V_L	-	Load voltage
V_{th}	-	Thevenin equivalent system voltage during fault
X	-	Inductance
X/R	-	ratio of total inductive reactance to its total resistance
Y	-	Star
Z	-	Impedance
Z_{th}	-	Thevenin equivalent load Impedance
α	-	Alpha
β	-	Beta
Δ	-	Delta
δ	-	Sigma
μ	-	Micro
π	-	Pi
ω	-	Omega

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A1	IEEE Std. 1100-1992 Recommended Practice for Powering and Grounding Electronic Equipment	144
A2	IEEE 519-1192 “Recommended practices and requirements for Harmonic control” for voltage	145
A3	IEEE 519-1192 “Recommended practices and requirements for Harmonic control” for current	146
A4	IEEE 1159-1995 “Recommended Practice for Monitoring Electric PQ” for time domain duration	147
A5	IEEE 1159-1995 “Recommended Practice for Monitoring Electric PQ” for magnitude deviation	148
B1	Matlab / Simulink function for memory space for DVR and UPQC	149
B2	Matlab / Simulink function for computational time for DVR and UPQC	150
C1	Further authentication of the results produced by Matlab / Simulink function for memory space using task manager (for DVR and UPQC)	151

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

Contemporary power system is an arrangement of composite networks involving various generating stations and load centers integrated through extensive transmission and distribution networks. Power supply interruptions and outages are a nuisance affecting domestic, commercial and in particular industrial customers. This results in considerable financial losses with high impact on production costs. In the present competitive world market, dispersed generation trends and general awareness of the people towards better quality of power have forced the restructuring of power systems. Expectations on improved quality and reliability of power supply to the customers have increased. Power Quality (PQ) is defined in IEEE Std book Electrical Power System Quality (Dugan, *et al.*, 1996) as “the concept of powering and grounding sensitive electronic equipment in a manner suitable for the equipment” (Reid, 1996). This phenomenon incorporates all possible situations in which power system supply voltage (voltage quality) or load current (current quality) waveforms deviate from sinusoidal waveform. PQ is a criterion for proper electrical system to function efficiently without compromising the performance (Singh and Dwivedi, 2012).

PQ problems encompass severe disturbances such as voltage sag, voltage swell, current and voltage harmonics, and unbalanced load. Inferior PQ leads to low

power factor and low efficiency, generating large current unbalance. PQ disturbances may be either caused by the quality of the supply voltage (source) or by the quality of the current drawn by the load. Advanced power electronic devices and equipments widely employed nowadays draw harmonic currents and reactive power from AC mains due to their inherent non-linear V-I characteristics. Such non-linear loads pollute the network by injecting current harmonics. This consequently deteriorates utility voltage and causes a drop in supply voltage and amplifies losses.

Considerable attention has been given to PQ due to modern industrial shift towards mechanized automation. This inclination trend relies heavily on sensitive power electronic devices. These devices are much more susceptible to distortion in supply voltage than their previous generation counterparts. This has caused complications not only for the utilities but also for the end-users themselves. Modern power semiconductor devices are in all likelihood not only the prime source of harmonic insertion but also augment reactive power in the system. Rigorous utilization of power converters as rectifiers creates non-sinusoidal current thus polluting the supply. Consequently, the harmonic components will contaminate voltage at the point of common coupling. Besides, these polluted voltages and currents proliferate into the supply system, amplify losses, cause measurement imprecision and create severe electromagnetic compatibility problems. Introduction of system harmonics have brought PQ issues to the fore-front and compelled suffering utilities to provide distortion-free sinusoidal power supply. At the same time customers are also bound by regulations through proper standards to curb the quantity of harmonic currents and unbalance in all the three phases due to different loading conditions which augments harmonic distortions that a load may produce.

The situation calls for alleviation of PQ issues in the shape of “Custom Power Devices (CPD)” which are capable enough to present customized resolution to PQ problems. CPD improve the quality and reliability of power delivered to customers and are based on electronic power converters and provide the ability to make quick adjustments to control the electrical system. The strength and benefits of these devices become diverse, when they are combined and this concept leads to the evolution of multi-type CPD. The function of CPD is not only to minimize the

effects of voltage sag and swell, but also to limit the harmonic distortion caused by the presence of non-linear loads in the network (Ghosh and Ledwich, 2001; Khadkikar and Chandra, 2008; Renuga, *et al.*, 2013).

Current harmonics are considered as the most frequent PQ issues while voltage sag is the most detrimental. These problems incur huge financial losses. Research reveals that voltage sag/swells and harmonic distortions at the Point of Common Coupling (PCC) are mainly liable to severe impact on sensitive loads. Even worse case scenario is the malfunctioning of system equipments (Kolhatkar and Das, 2007; Hari, *et al.*, 2011; Khadkikar, 2013).

To reduce such unwanted nuisances, passive power filters were primarily used. Well-designed passive filters can be implemented in large sizes of MVAR ratings and provide almost maintenance free service due to absence of rotating parts. These filters are more economical to implement than their rotating counterparts i.e., synchronous condensers. A fast response time of the order of one cycle or less can be obtained. These compensation equipments have some drawbacks as they are not suitable for variable loads, since, they are designed for a specific reactive power as well as variation of the load impedance can detune the filter. To overcome passive power filters characteristic limitations such as producing superfluous resonance and augmenting harmonic currents, a step further in fruitful research efforts bore the development and manifestation of more advanced Active Power Filters (APF) technology. These however are presently very uneconomical but their installation proves indispensable for solving PQ problems in distribution networks such as compensation of harmonic current, reactive current and voltage sag. Ultimately, this would ensure a pollution free system with increased reliability and quality. APF family include different devices commonly called CPD. The concept of custom power was introduced by (N.G. Hingorani) in 1995. This term illustrates the value-added power that electric utilities will present to their customers. The enhanced level of power consistency with minimal interruptions and less discrepancy will be guaranteed by the application of power electronic controllers either to the utility distribution systems or at the supply end of industrial and commercial customers. CPD are the proficiently progressive face of APF family and are pertinent to power

distribution systems for accomplishing stability and reliability of power supply to a large extent. Fundamentally, APF inject harmonic currents of the same magnitude but opposite polarity to cancel harmonics. Nevertheless harmonic distortions are only part of the problem, while fault or load variations may cause voltage sag. CPD comprise of DSTATCOM (Distribution STAtic COMPensator), DVR (Dynamic voltage restorer) and Unified Power Quality Conditioner (UPQC) etc. DSTATCOM is connected in shunt with the power system whereas DVR is a series connected device that injects series voltage to recompense supply voltage. DVR is recognized as the most appropriate to protect sensitive loads against PQ disturbances. DVR role is not only voltage sag mitigation but also harmonic distortion reduction caused by non-linear loads in the network.

UPQC is the more advanced type of CPD combining two APFs. It's good control methods with precise recognition of the disturbance signal, swift processing of reference signal and high dynamic response facilitates in preferred compensation. It charters two voltage source inverters (VSI): one act as a series APF (DVR) and the other as shunt APF (D-STATCOM), connected back to back through common DC-link capacitor. The former is regarded as the most fitting to prevail over voltage related problems while the latter as the most promising to manage current related problems. Practical implementation of such devices emerges feasible, given that superior quality supply voltage and drawn current is stressed profoundly. Nevertheless, simultaneous installation of both DVR and DSTATCOM may not be a worthwhile solution. Their combined configuration with back-to-back inverter system called UPQC was proposed by Fujita and Akagi (Fujita and Akagi, 1995). UPQC is ever since popularly used enormously and regarded as the ultimate solution for inferior PQ predicament by several researches (Sadaiappan, *et al.*, 2010; Hari, *et al.*, 2011).

UPQC is probably the best CPD dealing with both load current and supply voltage imperfections. It consists of two VSI sharing the same capacitive DC-link. It is a very versatile device that can perform both the functions of load compensation and voltage control simultaneously.

1.2 Problem Statement

Inadequacy of conventional PQ improvement equipment has necessitated vibrant and adaptable resolution to PQ problems. This has propelled the development of CPD. The contemporary and promising CPD that deals with voltage related issues is DVR, while the more flexible CPD is UPQC which deals mutually with load current and supply voltage imperfections. Various researches have been performed in relation to their performances under a variety of fault conditions with different conventional linear and non-linear control systems with the application of linear, non-linear and heavy loads. To be regarded as established equipments their performances ought to be scrutinized further with different control strategies for frequently utilized load conditions under frequently occurring fault conditions in the distribution system. This needs modelling and development of both the equipments along with their control schemes and algorithms for PQ improvement. Fuzzy Logic Control (FLC) has gained momentum during the last decade or so especially in power systems because it neither requires precise mathematical formulations nor fast processors. Besides it needs less data storage in the form of knowledge base which includes Membership Functions (MF's) and rules.

Conventionally, the more advanced non-linear FLC system has been utilized with 49 rules which are computationally demanding and time consuming. As the number of the linguistic variables increases, the computational time and required memory increase. This is because the implementation of FLC require a large computational time for processing each step time in order to compute the appropriate control value to be applied to the system. Therefore, a reduction of the large fuzzy rule base is required. Although some studies have tried lesser rules (even 9 rules) but they cannot come up with conclusive performance of the equipments. An effort is needed to come up with the best possible number of rules for FLC system so that both DVR and UPQC can perform satisfactorily, conforming to IEEE laid standards for both harmonic compensation and voltage sag minimization and hence results in enhanced PQ. Studies showed that FLC with 49 rules takes double computational time as compared to FL with 25 rules (Karakose and Akin, 2010). Rule reduction will definitely lessen computation effort and reduce time consumption for

processing. Performance analysis and comparison of this reduced rule control strategy with classical PI and FLC with 49 rules for further evidence is necessitated under harmonic generating loads including Field Oriented Control-Induction Motor (FOC-IM) under frequently occurring fault conditions.

1.3 Objectives of the Research

The objectives of this research are as follows:

- i) To develop simulation models of DVR and UPQC in distribution system subject to the presence of voltage sag and harmonics with various load and fault conditions.
- ii) To design PI, FLC (49 rules) and FLC (25 rules) systems for DVR and UPQC to tackle voltage sag and harmonic problems in distribution network.
- iii) To investigate effectiveness of PI, FLC (49 rules) and FLC (25 rules) based DVR and UPQC and tuning and normalization of FLC (25 rules) to get similar or better performance in accordance with the IEEE 519-1159 standards.

1.4 Scopes of the Research

The scopes of this research are shown below:

- i) The system is a distribution network comprising of two 11 kV adjacent feeders

- ii) Performance of the designed models of DVR and UPQC is evaluated for mitigating PQ issues such as voltage sag/swell and harmonic distortions.
- iii) Performance of DVR and UPQC is investigated using PI control and FLC under linear, non-linear and FOC-IM load conditions.

1.5 Significances of the Research

Significances of this research are:

- i) Develop FLC knowledge base which includes MF's and rules suitable for proper functioning of DVR and UPQC. The increasing need is to design highly reliable, efficient and low complexity controllers. FLC's are able to make effective decisions on the basis of linguistic information. The application of FLC will definitely not only minimize computation efforts but also improve performance of these equipments in relation to voltage sag and harmonic mitigation.
- ii) Formulate the best possible control strategy for DVR and UPQC which will help improve PQ of the system with different load and fault conditions. Amongst the three control strategies which are PI, FLC with 49 rules and reduced 25 rules the focus will be on FLC method with 25 rules. Although, many works have been reported in literature regarding reduction of rules at the expense of enhanced complexities. The easier approach of tuning of parameters as well as scaling factors and normalization help excel the performance of this strategy as compared to PI control method. As compared to FLC 49 rules its performance will be improved as well as reduction in the computation time and memory space with the exception of a few results.

1.6 Organization of Thesis

Contents of the thesis are arranged as follows.

Chapter 2 defines the different terms and concepts associated with PQ and CPD. Literature survey is also included in this chapter.

Chapter 3 explains in detail the methodology adopted in this work. Various control strategies employed for both DVR and UPQC are taken into consideration to improve PQ.

Chapter 4 presents discussion of the results of PI, FLC (49 rules) and FLC (25 rules) control strategies for DVR and UPQC Matlab / Simulink test models.

Chapter 5 summarizes the conclusion of this work and future work of this research.

REFERENCES

- Ajay Daniel, J., Gopinath, C. and Ramesh, R. (2013). Z-source inverter based DVR using super capacitor to mitigate voltage sag and voltage swell. *International Conference on Circuits, Power and Computing Technologies (ICCPCT)*. 37-42.
- Ali, S., Chauhan, Y. K. and Kumar, B. (2013). Study and performance of DVR for voltage quality enhancement. *International Conference on Energy Efficient Technologies for Sustainability (ICEETS)*. 983-988.
- Axente, I., Basu, M. and Conlon, M. F. (2011). DC-link voltage control of UPQC for better dynamic performance. *Electric Power Systems Research*. 81, 1815-1824.
- Azim, M. R. and Hoque, M. A. (2011). A fuzzy logic based DVR for voltage sag and swell mitigation for industrial induction motor loads. *International Journal of Computer Applications*. 30 (8), 9-18.
- Banaei, M., Dehghanzadeh, A., Salary, E., Khounjahan, H. and Alizadeh, R. (2012). Z-source-based multilevel inverter with reduction of switches. *Institution of Engineering and Technology Power Electronics*. 5 (3), 385-392.
- Banaei, M. R. and Dehghanzadeh, A. R. (2010). A novel Z-source based multilevel inverter for renewable sources fed DVR. *Power Quality Conference (PQC)*. 1-6.
- Bangar, A. and Nijhawan, P. (2011). Role of dynamic voltage restorer against voltage dip with induction motor as load. *International Journal of Electrical engineering*. 4 (5), 617-624.
- Barros, J. D. and Silva, J. (2010). Multilevel optimal predictive dynamic voltage restorer. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*. 57 (8), 2747-2760.
- Benachaiba, C. and Ferdi, B. (2008). Voltage quality improvement using DVR. *Electrical Power Quality and Utilization Journal*. 14 (1), 39-46.

- Chankhamrian, W. and Bhumkittipich, K. (2011). The effect of series-connected transformer in DVR applications. *Energy Procedia*. 9, 306-315.
- Chellammal, N., Dash, S. S., Velmurugan, V. and Gurram, R. (2012). Power quality improvement using multilevel inverter as series active filter. *International Conference on Emerging Trends in Science, Engineering and Technology (INCOSSET)*. 450-455.
- Dib, S., Ferdi, B. and Benachaiba, C. (2011). Adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system based DVR controller design. *Leonardo Electronic Journal of Practices and Technologies*. 18, 49-64.
- Dinesh, L., Rao, S. S. and Rao, N. S. M. (2012). Simulation of unified power quality conditioner for power quality improvement using fuzzy logic and neural networks. *Innovative Systems Design and Engineering*. 3 (3), 36-46.
- Dugan, R. C., McGranaghan, M. F and Beaty, H. W. *Electrical Power System Quality*. New York: McGraw-Hill | c 1996.
- ElShennawy, T. I. and Yehia, A. (2011). Dynamic voltage restorer for voltage sag mitigation. *International Journal on Electrical Engineering and Informatics*. 3, 1-11.
- Fatiha, M., Mohamed, M. and Nadia, A. A. (2011). New hysteresis control band of an unified power quality conditioner. *Electric Power Systems Research*. 81, 1743-1753.
- Ferdi, B., Benachaiba, C., Dib, S. and Dehini, R. (2010). Adaptive PI control of dynamic voltage restorer using fuzzy logic. *Journal of Electrical Engineering. Theory and Application*. 1 (3), 165-173.
- Ferdi, B., Benachaiba, C., Berbaoui, B. and Dehini, R. (2012). DC-link voltage control of unified power quality conditioner using PI fuzzy self-tuning controller. *Journal of Electrical & Electronics Engineering*. 5 (1), 57-62.
- Forghani, M. and Afsharnia, S. (2007). Online wavelet transform-based control strategy for UPQC control system. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*. 22 (1), 481-491.
- Fujita, H. and Akagi, H. (1995). A new Power Line Conditioner for Harmonic Compensation in Power Systems. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*. 10 (3), 1570-1575.
- Geethalakshmi, B. and Sharmiladevy, C. (2012). An improvement in the performance of unified power quality conditioner using DC-link voltage

- control. *International Conference on Advances in Engineering, Science and Management (ICAESM)*. 534-539.
- Ghosh, A., Jindal, A. K. and Joshi, A. (2004). Design of a capacitor-supported DVR for unbalanced and distorted loads. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*. 19 (1), 405-413.
- Ghosh, A. and Ledwich, G. (2001). A unified power quality conditioner for simultaneous voltage and current compensation. *Electric power systems research* 59, 55-63.
- Ghosh, H., Kumar Saha, P. and Kumar Panda, G. (2012). Performance comparison between DVR and DSTATCOM used for load voltage control in distribution side. *International Conference on Advances in Power Conversion and Energy Technologies (APCET)*. 1-6.
- Guo, W., Xiao, L. and Dai, S. (2013). Control and design of a current source united power quality conditioner with fault current limiting ability. *IET Power Electronics*. 6 (2), 297-308.
- Hari, N., Vijayakumar, K. and Dash, S. S. (2011). A versatile control scheme for UPQC for power quality improvement. *International Conference on Emerging Trends in Electrical and Computer Technology (ICETECT)*. 453-458.
- Hilloowala, R. M. and Sharaf, A. K. (1996). A rule-based fuzzy logic controller for a PWM inverter in a stand alone wind energy conversion scheme. *IEEE Transactions on Industry Application*. 32 (1), 57-65.
- Ise, T., Hayashi, Y. and Tsuji, K. (2000). Definitions of power quality levels and the simplest approach for unbundled power quality services. *IEEE Ninth International Conference on Harmonics and Quality of Power Proceedings*. 385-390.
- Jain, S., Thakur, S. S. and Phulambrikar, S. P. (2012). Fuzzy controller based DVR to mitigate power quality and reduce the harmonic distortion of sensitive load. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Electrical, Electronics and Instrumentation Engineering*. 1 (5), 351-361.

- Jowder, F. (2009). Design and analysis of dynamic voltage restorer for deep voltage sag and harmonic compensation. *IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution*. 3 (6), 547-560.
- Jurado, F., Valverde, M. and Carpio, J. (2003). Voltage sag correction by dynamic voltage restorer based on fuzzy logic control. *Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering (CCECE)*. 421-424.
- Kaliappan, S., Poornima, M. and Rajeswari, R. (2013). Improvement of source voltage and load current harmonic mitigation using UPQC: *7th International Conference on a survey. Intelligent Systems and Control (ISCO)*. 110-114.
- Kanjiya, P., Singh, B., Chandra, A. and Al-Haddad, K. (2013). "SRF theory revisited" to control self-supported dynamic voltage restorer for unbalanced and nonlinear loads. *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*. 49 (5), 2330-2340.
- Karanki, K., Geddada, G., Mishra, M. K. and Kumar, B. (2013). A modified three-phase four-wire UPQC topology with reduced DC-link voltage rating. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*. 60 (9), 3555-3566.
- Karakose, M. and E. Akin (2010). Block based fuzzy controllers. *International Journal of Research & Reviews in Applied Sciences*. 3(1), 100-110.
- Kesler, M. and Ozdemir, E. (2011). Synchronous-reference-frame-based control method for UPQC under unbalanced and distorted load conditions. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*. 58(9), 3967-3975.
- Khadkikar, V. (2013). Fixed and variable power angle control methods for unified power quality conditioner: operation, control and impact assessment on shunt and series inverter kVA loadings. *Institution of Engineering and Technology Power Electronics*. 6 (7), 1299-1307.
- Khadkikar, V. and Chandra, A. (2008). A new control philosophy for a unified power quality conditioner to coordinate load-reactive power demand between shunt and series inverters. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*. 23 (4), 2522-2534.
- Khadkikar, V., Chandra, A., Barry, A. and Nguyen, T. (2011). Power quality enhancement utilising single-phase unified power quality conditioner: digital signal processor-based experimental validation. *Institution of Engineering and Technology Power Electronics*. 4 (3), 323-331.

- Khanh, B. Q., Lian, J., Ramachandran, B., Srivastava, S. and Cartes, D. (2012). Mitigating voltage sags due to DOL starting of three phase asynchronous motors using dynamic voltage restorer. *Transmission and Distribution Conference and Exposition*. 1-8.
- Kinhal, V. G., Agarwal, P. and Gupta, H. O. (2011). Performance investigation of neural-network-based unified power-quality conditioner. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*. 26(1), 431-437.
- Kolhatkar, Y. Y. and Das, S. P. (2007). Experimental investigation of a single-phase UPQC with minimum VA loading. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*. 22 (1), 373-380.
- Kumar, K. R. and Sastry, S. (2011). Application of PI, fuzzy logic and ANN in improvement of power quality using UPQC. *Elixir International Journal*. 38, 4465-4468.
- Kumari, P. and Garg, V. K. (2013). Simulation of dynamic voltage restorer using Matlab to enhance power quality in distribution system. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA)*, 3(4), 1436-1441.
- Laxmi, A. J., Das, G. T. R. and Rao, K. U. (2007). Role of PI and fuzzy controllers in unified power quality conditioner. *ARPJN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 2 (2), 1-10.
- Lee, D. M., Habetler, T. G., Harley, R. G., Keister, T. L. and Rostron, J. R. (2007). A voltage sag supporter utilizing a PWM-switched autotransformer. *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*. 22 (2), 626-635.
- Lozano, J. M., Ramirez, J. M. and Correa, R. E. (2010). A novel dynamic voltage restorer based on matrix converters. *Proceedings of the International Symposium of Modern Electric Power Systems (MEPS)*. 1-7.
- Mai, J. and Swarupa, V. (2013). Generalized UPQC system with an improved control method using PV array for reduction of harmonics. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Development*. 2(8), 326-333. .
- Mandakini, P., Ravichandrudu, K., Babu, M. P. Y. and Anjaneyulu, M. G. A. (2013). Noval approach for a ameliorationof quality power managementby a custom power device. *International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology*. 2(5), 969-980.

- Merabet, L., Saad, S., Abdeslam, D. O. and Omeiri, A. (2013). A comparative study of harmonic currents extraction by simulation and implementation. *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems*. 53, 507-514.
- Mohammed, S. A. and Abdel-Moamen, M. A. (2013). Fuzzy logic controller based dynamic voltage restorer as voltage sag restore and harmonic compensator. *International Journal of Control, Automation and Systems*. 2(3), 53-57.
- Naidu, P. and Raja, B. (2011). A new proposal for voltage regulation multi feeders / multibus systems using MC-DVR. *International Conference on Power and Energy Systems (ICPS)*. 1-8.
- Padmanaban, P. A. and Marimuthu, M. R. (2012). Fuzzy logic based UPQC for compensating power quality problems. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*. 6 (7), 167-178.
- Pal, Y., Swarup, A. and Singh, B. (2013). New control algorithms for three-phase four-wire unified power quality conditioner—a simulation study. *Journal of Electrical Power Quality and Utilisation*. 16 (1), 1-10.
- Pramila, E. (2014). Unified power quality conditioner for power quality improvement using ultra-capacitor energy storage. *International Journal of Emerging Trends in Engineering and Development*. 4 (1), 134-142.
- Ramasamy, M. and Thangavel, S. (2011). Photovoltaic based dynamic voltage restorer with outage handling capability using PI controller. *Energy Procedia*. 12, 560-569.
- Ramya, P. and Bhavani, J. (2013). Different controllers of UPQC for power quality evolution. *International Journal of Current Engineering and Technology*. 3 (5), 1733-1738.
- Rao, M. R. R. and Dash, S. S. (2011). Design of UPQC with Minimization of DC-link voltage for the improvement of power quality by fuzzy logic controller. *ACEEE International Journal on Electrical and Power Engineering*, 2 (1), 36-42.
- Rao, R. R. and Dash, S. S. (2010). Enhancement of power quality by using unified power quality conditioner with PID and fuzzy logic controller. *International Journal of Computer Applications*. 5 (7), 21-27.
- Raokhande, D. L., Mujawar, I. I., Mujawar, I. I., Patil, D. and Gudar, U. (2014). A new strategy of series-shunt power quality compensator. *International*

Journal of Innovative Research in Electrical, Electronics, Instrumentation and Control Engineering. 2 (1), 523-529.

- Raokhande, D. L., Patil, D. and Gudar, U. (2013). Performance of series-shunt power quality compensator under unbalanced and distorted loading conditions. *IEEE International Conference on Control Applications (CCA)*. 1147-1152.
- Ravichandrudu, K., Devi, D. S. and Babu, P. Y. (2013). Mitigation of power system disturbance by using MC-UPQC with PI, ANN and Fuzzy controller technique. *International Journal of Application or Innovation in Engineering and Management.* 2 (10), 101-112.
- Reid, W. E. (1996). Power quality issues-standards and guidelines. *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications.* 32 (3), 625-632.
- Roncero-Sánchez, P., Acha, E., Ortega-Calderon, J. E., Feliu, V. and García-Cerrada, A. (2009). A versatile control scheme for a dynamic voltage restorer for power-quality improvement. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery.* 24 (1), 277-284.
- Rong, Y., Li, C. and Ding, Q. (2009). An adaptive harmonic detection and a novel current control strategy for unified power quality conditioner. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, 17, 955-966.
- Sadaiappan, S., Renuga, P. and Kavitha, D. (2010). Modeling and simulation of series compensator to mitigate power quality problems. *International Journal of Engineering, Science and Technology.* 2 (12), 7385-7394.
- Sannino, A., Svensson, J. and Larsson, T. (2003). Power-electronic solutions to power quality problems. *Electric Power Systems Research.* 66, 71-82.
- Shen, K., Wang, J., Gao, Z., Cai, X. and Ji, Y. (2010). Dynamic voltage restorer based on proportional-resonant control. *Asia Pacific Power and Energy Engineering Conference (APPEEC)*. 1-4.
- Singh, A., Arora, P. and Singh, B. (2013). Voltage sag mitigation by fuzzy controlled DVR. *International Journal of Advanced Electrical and Electronics Engineering* 2 (6), 93-100.
- Singh, B., Jayaprakash, P., Kothari, D. and Chandra, A. (2008). Indirect control of capacitor supported DVR for power quality improvement in distribution system. *Power and Energy Society General Meeting-Conversion and Delivery of Electrical Energy in the 21st Century.* 1-7.

- Singh, K. K. and Dwivedi, J. (2012). Performance study of unified power quality conditioner using Matlab Simulink. *International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research*. 1 (11), 27-31.
- Srinath, S., Kumar, C. and Selvan, M. (2012). Digital feedback control based dynamic voltage compensator for voltage sag mitigation: Simulation and experimental validation. *International Conference on Industrial and Information Systems (ICIIS)*. 1-6.
- Srivastava, A., Dixit, R. and Dwivedi, J. K. (2013). Problems in supply system and method of improvement of power quality. *International Journal of Latest research in science and technology*. 2 (2), 157-162.
- Srivastava, A., Dixit, R. and Pandey, A. K. (2013). Method of improving voltage quality using modern power electronics. *International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering*. 3 (5), 197-203.
- Suja, K. and Jacob Raglend, I. (2013). Fuzzy based unified power quality conditioner for power quality improvement. *International Conference on Circuits, Power and Computing Technologies (ICCPCT)*. 49-52.
- Teke, A., Bayindir, K. and Tümay, M. (2010). Fast sag / swell detection method for fuzzy logic controlled dynamic voltage restorer. *IET generation, transmission and distribution*. 4 (1), 1-12.
- Teke, A., Saribulut, L. and Tumay, M. (2011). A novel reference signal generation method for power-quality improvement of unified power-quality conditioner. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*. 26 (4), 2205-2214.
- Tiwari, H. and Gupta, S. K. (2010). Dynamic voltage restorer based on load condition. *International journal of innovation, management and technology*, 1 (1), 75-81.
- Usha, R. P., Rajkumar, M. and Reddy, S. R. (2011). Voltage sag / swell compensation using Z-Source inverter based dynamic voltage restorer. *International Conference on Emerging Trends in Electrical and Computer Technology (ICETECT)*. 268-273.
- Varalakshmi, M. K. and Geragani, M. S. (2013). Enhancement of power quality by using UPQC system with comparison of PI and fuzzy control methods under distorted and unbalanced load conditions. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Technology*. 2 (11), 3472-3484.

- Vilathgamuwa, M., Perera, A. R. and Choi, S. (2002). Performance improvement of the dynamic voltage restorer with closed-loop load voltage and current-mode control. *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*. 17 (5), 824-834.
- Wu, D. and Chang, C. (2011). Voltage sag mitigation in offshore oil rig power system by dynamic voltage restorer. *International Symposium on Industrial Electronics (ISIE)*. 1160-1165.