

**CONSTRUCTABILITY COMPARISON BETWEEN IBS AND  
CONVENTIONAL CONSTRUCTION**

**EHSAN HARIRCHIAN**

**UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA**

CONSTRUCTABILITY COMPARISON BETWEEN IBS AND  
CONVENTIONAL CONSTRUCTION

EHSAN HARIRCHIAN

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Science (construction management)

Faculty of Civil Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

June 2015

Dedicated to My Beloved Ones:

My precious parents, My lovely sister and brother, and to the soul of

My Grandmother

For their continuous supports and encouragements

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to express my sincere thanks and great gratitude to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Abdul Kadir Bin Marsono for his advice, direction, and guidance.

I would also like to forward a word of gratefulness to all UTM mentors, staff and technicians, and deep appreciations for Malaysia, which offered me the opportunity to continue my study. Thank you for your hospitality, kindness, and generosity.

My deepest gratitude goes to my beloved mother and father for their encouragement and support. I would like to thank my sister, brother and my dear friends for their immense support, patience and continuous encouragement who have helped me to overcome many difficult and frustrating moments while completing this study. Words cannot properly express my appreciation for your infinite sacrifices and endless support. Thank you all for encouraging, motivating, and cheering me to complete my studies.

## ABSTRACT

The construction industry is globally undergoing a transitional change, starting from a project based industry, and continuing to a more systematic and mechanized product based technology. The Malaysian government has adopted the industrialized building system since the sixties, and experienced great struggles in this field. The system's most significant advantages that have been concluded in many studies are: reducing construction time, reducing total costs, reducing material waste, increasing construction performance and quality of buildings, promoting safe and systematic factory working environment, and providing cleaner and tidier sites. This study has become essential since there is not yet an organized body who can provide the necessary information on a comparison between the building constructability of the conventional system and the industrialized building system in the Malaysian construction industry. Many countries currently have serious problems concerning budget, activity duration and reducing construction material waste. Construction materials and waste should be diminished appropriately especially for the high rise buildings. This study will present a comparative case study of two school buildings, which have been built using different construction types in order to investigate the IBS performance and efficiency, and the conventional system in terms of time saving, cost reduction and construction performance issues. Meanwhile, a distributed questionnaire shows that the most important factors for constructability performance are the durational and the financial issues of a construction project. The study concluded that the industrialised building system (IBS) method has a better performance in constructability by being faster, more affordable for large scale projects with less variation, and it provides a better sustainability and waste reduction.

## ABSTRAK

Industri pembinaan sedang berhadapan dengan perubahan global, bermula dari industry berasaskan projek, dan berterusan kearah yang lebih sistematik dan berjentera dalam menghasilkan produk berasaskan teknologi. Kerajaan Malaysia telah mengadaptasikan sistem bangunan berindustri sejak tahun enam puluhan dan telah berhadapan dengan pelbagai kesukaran dalam bidang ini. Sistem bangunan berindustri mempunyai kelebihan dari segi: mengurangkan masa pembinaan, kos, sisa pembinaan, menambahbaik prestasi pembinaan dan kualiti bangunan, mempraktikkan persekitaran kerja yang selamat dan sistematik, dan tapak yang lebih bersih. Kajian ini penting kerana terdapat kurang maklumat mengenai perbandingan antara daya binaan dalam kaedah konvensional dan bersistem di dalam industry pembinaan di Malaysia. Kebanyakan negara mengalami masalah serius terutamanya berkenaan bajet, durasi aktiviti and mengurangkan sisa binaan. Bahan dan sisa binaan haruslah dibuang terutamanya untuk pembinaan bangunan- bangunan tinggi. Kajian ini mengetengahkan satu perbandingan antara dua buah sekolah, yang dibina menggunakan kaedah pembinaan yang berbeza untuk mengkaji prestasi sistem bangunan berindustri dan tahap efisien, dan kaedah konvensional dari segi masa, kos and isu isu dalam prestasi pembinaan. Sementara itu, kajian soal selidik menunjukkan faktor utama untuk prestasi pembinaan ialah tempoh dan isu kewangan dalam sesebuah projek. Kajian telah merumuskan bahawa sistem bangunan berindustri (IBS) mempunyai prestasi pembinaan yang lebih cepat, lebih menjimatkan dalam projek berskala besar yang kurang variasi, mampan dan mengurangkan sisa pembinaan.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xiv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	4
	1.3 Aim and Objectives of Study	6
	1.4 Scope and Limitation	7
	1.5 Expected Results	7
	1.6 Significance of Study	8
<b>2</b>	<b>LITRETURE REVIEW</b>	<b>9</b>
	2.1 Introduction	9
	2.2 Classification of Construction Method	9
	2.2.1 Conventional Construction Method	10

2.2.2	Cast In-Situ Construction Method	11
2.2.3	Composite Construction Method	12
2.2.4	Fully Prefabricated Construction Method	12
2.3	Industrialised Building System (IBS)	13
2.3.1	Typical Classification of IBS	18
2.3.2	The Frame System	19
2.3.3	Panel System	20
2.3.4	Box System	21
2.4	Advantages of IBS	22
2.5	Disadvantages of IBS	24
2.6	Opportunities in IBS	25
2.7	Characteristics of IBS	25
2.7.1	Closed System	26
2.7.2	Open System	27
2.7.3	Standardisation and Tolerances	27
2.7.4	Integration	28
2.7.5	Specialisation	28
2.7.6	Excellent Organisation	28
2.7.7	Production Facility	29
2.7.8	Mass production	29
2.7.9	Equipment at site	29
2.7.10	Delivery and Transportation	30
2.8	IBS Content Scoring System (IBS SCORE)	30
2.8.1	Objectives of IBS SCORE	30
2.8.2	Principles of IBS SCORE	31
2.9	Effectiveness in IBS perspective	31
2.9.1	Effectiveness in Construction Cost	32
2.9.2	Effectiveness in Time of Completion	33
2.9.3	Effectiveness in Production of Mass	34
2.9.4	Effectiveness in Labour Requirement	35
2.10	Usage of IBS in other countries	36
2.10.1	IBS in United Kingdom	36
2.10.2	IBS in United States of America	37



2.10.3	IBS in Germany	38
2.10.4	IBS in Sweden	38
2.11	The Barriers to IBS Implementation in Malaysia	39
2.12	Concept of Construction waste	43
2.13	Definition and Constructability concepts	45
2.14	Factors that influence constructability	47
2.14.1	Financial	47
2.14.2	Material	48
2.14.3	Equipment and Machineries	48
2.14.4	Time	49
2.14.5	Safety	49
2.14.6	Waste	49
2.14.7	Workers	50
2.14.8	Environmental and Weather	50
2.15	Conclusion	50
<b>3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>52</b>
3.1	Introduction	52
3.2	Phases of Research Methodology	54
3.2.1	Phase 1: Identification Scope of Study	54
3.2.2	Phase 2: Literature review	54
3.2.3	Phase 3: Data gathering	55
3.2.3.1	Development of Questionnaire	55
3.2.3.2	Case Study	56
3.2.4	Analysis and results	57
<b>4</b>	<b>DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS</b>	<b>59</b>
4.1	Introduction	59
4.2	Background of Analysis	60
4.3	Analysis of Questionnaire	60
4.4	Analysis of Case Studies	63
4.5	Constructability of IBS and Conventional construction	65
4.5.1	Time comparison of activities	69
4.5.2	Cost comparison of activities	71

		x
4.6	Conclusion	75
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>76</b>
5.1	Introduction	76
5.2	Summary of Findings	76
5.2.1	Objective 1- Factors that influence Constructability	77
5.2.2	Objective 2- Applying critical factors to the case study	77
5.2.3	Objective 3- Constructability Performance	77
5.3	Recommendations	78
5.4	Conclusion	78
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>80</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>92-135</b>

**LIST OF TABLES**

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Classification of IBS based on the relative weight	22
3.1	Information of selected buildings	56
4.1	Respondents background	61
4.2	Data collection for important factors on constructability	63
4.3	Project information of selected buildings	64
4.4	Some of significant activities selected	68

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Types of building System in Malaysia	10
2.2	Classification of fully prefabricated construction method	13
2.3	IBS staircase	17
2.4	Frame System	18
2.5	Box System	18
2.6	Panel System	19
2.7	Construction photos of frame systems for industrialised buildings	19
2.8	A typical rectangular frame	20
3.1	Flow Chart of the Research Methodology	53
4.1	Profession of respondents	61
4.2	Experience of respondents	62
4.3	Number of projects that respondents were involved	62
4.4	Constructability performance indicator on construction	63
4.5	Typical elevation and view of PWD School building	65
4.6	Typical plan of PWD School building	65
4.7	Time comparison between selected IBS and conventional case study	66
4.8	Cost comparison between selected IBS and conventional case study	66
4.9	Time comparison between selected activities of Sub-Structure stage in the case study	69

4.10	Time comparison between selected activities of Super-Structure stage in the case study	70
4.11	Time comparison between selected activities of finishing stage in the case study	70
4.12	Time comparison between selected activities of service stage in the case study	71
4.13	Time comparison between selected activities of Sub-Structure stage in the case study	72
4.14	Cost comparison between selected activities of Super-Structure stage in the case study	72
4.15	Cost comparison between selected activities of finishing stage in the case study	73
4.16	Cost comparison between selected activities of Service stage in the case study	73
4.17	Cost and time comparison between selected activities of IBS and conventional in the case study	74

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	Conventional School Building	92
B	IBS School Building	112
C	Questionnaire	135

**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

<b>ABBREVIATION</b>	<b>EXPLANATION</b>
CIDB	Construction Industry Development Board
CREAM	Construction Research Institute Of Malaysia
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
IBS	Industrialised Building System
JKR	Jabatan Kerja Raya Malaysia
MHLG	Minister Of Housing And Local Government
OSCT	Off-Site Construction Techniques
PWD	Public Works Department
UBBL	Uniform Building By Law
UK	United Kingdom
USA	United States Of America

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Introduction

The construction industry has a significant consequence to the economy (Kamar *et.al.* 2009) and it might assist as a barometer for indicating the country's economic condition (Tahmasebi, 2012). The Malaysian construction business plays an important role in making investment to the country and development of social and economic infrastructures and buildings. Since the 1990's, the influence of the construction division to the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) also fluctuated although at a more stable rate varying from a high of 4.8 per cent in 1997 to an estimated low of 2.7 per cent in 2005 (CIDB, 2010). This illustrates that the demand for construction is highly sensitive to the developments in other divisions of the economy. The latest data presented that the construction division growth at 5.3% in 2007 and contributed 2.5% total GDP of Malaysia (CIDB, 2010). Concurrently, this industry also provides job opportunities for nearly 1.03 million people which represented 8% of total workforce (Malik, 2006).

With the declaration of the 8th Malaysia Plan, the country continues to embark on the development of affordable and sustainable low and medium cost housing. Nevertheless, the country is facing a difficult task to accomplish the target of 600,000 to 800,000 houses during this period because the conventional building



system currently being practiced by the construction industry is unable to cope with the massive demand (IEM, 2001). Therefore, the industry is under a constant pressure to deliver and to tackle issues on performance, time, budget limitation, safety, shortage of labour, environmental impact, sustainability and demand in affordable housing. To handle with these challenges, Malaysian construction industry has been commended to use innovative construction technique such as the Industrialised Building System (IBS) which has immense inherent advantages in term of productivity, indoor quality, durability and cost (Awomeso *et al.* 2010).

The Construction Industry Master Plan 2006-2015 (CIMP 2006-2015) had been published in December 2006 as means to chart the future direction of the Malaysian construction industry. The effort to promote IBS is highlighted under Strategic Thrust 5: Innovate through research and development to adopt a new construction method (Nawi *et al.*, 2009)

IBS has been introduced in Malaysia since the 60's by the use of pre-cast concrete beam-column element and panelised system (Thanoon *et. al.*, 2003). The early attempt to apply IBS in Malaysia was the two pilot projects, the first project was Jalan Pekeliling Flats in Kuala Lumpur in 1964 and the second project was Rifle Range, Penang in 1965 (Din, 1984) had used Danish system and French Estoit System respectively. Both projects were the first time whereby precast elements were used to construct mass houses (Lim Pui Chung, 2006); while these projects have brought bad reputation to IBS due to the problems of leakage as it was based on the European systems and was not appropriate for Malaysian wet toilets and bathrooms (Rahman, 2006). Although the failure of these two projects, the government did not despair and improved its way towards enhancing the experience in prefabricated system field. After the development of precast concrete and steel technology, Malaysian construction sector witnessed many successful projects such as Petronas Twin Towers, Bukit Jalil Sports Complex and Games Village, and the LRT lines and tunnels (CIDB, 2003 a).

The Construction Industry Development Board of Malaysia (CIDB) has redesigned its strategies and formulated a roadmap known as the “Industrialised

Building Systems (IBS) Roadmap 2003-2010". According to the IBS Roadmap 2003-2010, IBS is a construction process that utilizes techniques, products, components or building systems which involve prefabricated components and on-site installation. Normally, this method would involve the assembly of precast elements such as floor slabs, in-filled walls, bathrooms and staircases into place for incorporation into the main units, columns and beams that reduced the amount of site labour involved in building operations and increased the productivity of the industry. Precast building systems can reduce the duration of a project if certain conditions are met (Nurul, 2012). In the conventional construction method (reinforced concrete frames and brick as infill), the beam, column, wall and roof are cast in situ using timber formworks while steel reinforcement is fabricated on site. This method of construction is labour intensive and involves three separate trades, namely, steel bending, formwork fabrication and concreting (Badir *et al.*, 2002).

The most important benefits of IBS system, as mentioned in several studies (Warszawski, 1999), (Thanoon *et al.*, 2003), (CIDB, 2005), (Haron, 2015) and (Nurul *et al.*, 2012) are significantly reducing construction time, reducing total cost, reducing the material waste and increasing quality of buildings, promoting safety, increasing productivity and quality of work through the use of better construction machinery, materials and extensive pre-project planning. Nevertheless, there is still lack in awareness of these benefits among players in the construction sector.

By increasing demand for major infrastructure projects, commercial buildings and housing development programmers, large amounts of construction waste are being produced (Begum *et al.*, 2009) and (Alshammari *et al.*, 2008) expressed that the current environmental concerns have forced developed and developing countries to reduce air, water and land pollution for sustainable growth. Beside material waste, time and cost spent on the construction process can also be considered as waste as will be studied in this research.

Efficient construction management and perfect constructible can be defined as the efficiency of the process in construction projects. Efficiency in construction process would be constructed project within an affordable cost and shortest time

schedule. Constructability is generally reducing the problems of construction by integrating the construction knowledge into the activities of a construction project. Constructability is a project management technique to review construction processes from start to finish during pre-construction phase and it refers to the effective and timely integration of construction knowledge into the conceptual planning, design, construction, and field operations of a project to achieve the overall project objectives in the best possible time and accuracy at the most cost-effective levels. The more constructible a structure is, the more economical it will be (Schwinger, 2011). Construction time, cost, quality and participation satisfaction have been identified by Dissanayaka (1999) as the main factor for evaluating the constructability of a construction project. Many researches stated that improved constructability has led to significant savings in both cost and time required for completing construction projects (Russel *et al.*, 1992a; Jergeas and Van der Put, 2001).

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

Naturally, construction is not an environmental friendly activity. Many researches proved that construction is a major contributor to environmental pollution. Moreover, according to the complexity of construction projects and disability of the project managers to establish day-by-day program a significant amount of waste would be emerged, which is called Non-value-adding activities and can cause delays and impose financial burden to the project.

Notwithstanding there are numerous studies and researches have been undertaken towards construction time, cost and waste reduction but only few notion are available in order to compare constructability between Industrialised Building System and convention construction system accurately. This may due to the construction industry is considered as fragmented because policy and guideline implementation and practice in the construction are inconsistent among the players

involved. The current IBS initiatives still inherit this problem due to partial implementation of IBS type of construction.

IBS or off-site construction in a controlled environment has better control on human and natural resources which leads that the IBS system has better performance in shortening construction time, reducing construction cost and waste, and enhancement of the occupation health and safety and the quality of buildings. Besides, close tolerance and highest quality control offers by IBS or prefabrication could lead in achieving air tightest and ensuring the optimal use of energy. In sum, IBS has the potential to cover environmental, economic criteria and urban planning which are critical aspects of constructability.

. However, application of IBS offers benefits to adopters in term of cost and time certainty, attaining better construction quality and productivity, reducing risk related to occupational safety and health, alleviating issue on skilled workers and dependency on manual foreign labour and achieving ultimate goal of reducing overall cost of construction. Therefore, a good cost comparison must be developed to support decision makers in opting IBS over the conventional system.

Many problems were raised in conventional construction system due to its insufficiency that leads to delay and cost overrun in construction projects. People are aware about the problems in conventional construction process but they could not try to find the right preventive solution beside corrective actions. The problem of delay and low project quality has been consistent bad background to the construction industry. A process improvement needs to be taken with indicator that can be used to measure the shortest time and lowest cost of the construction project. The Malaysian construction industry is attempting to promote and use Industrialised Building systems(IBS) for better construction practice with more effectiveness and efficiency, but in terms of constructability and research into the application of constructability concepts for IBS little work has been done. In fact the Malaysian construction industry is still not applying the concepts of constructability in totality and there is lack of constructability research in Malaysia.

Consequently, the main effort of this study is to examine and compare the conventional versus Industrial Building System and their capabilities towards the agenda of the constructability.

### **1.3 Aim and Objectives of Study**

The primary aim of this study is to discover the constructability and performance of construction project in completion time, cost and waste reduction between conventional formwork and IBS formwork for two selected case study. In order to meet the aim, the following objectives are stated:

- 1- To investigate the important factors that influencing constructability and distinguishing the critical one.
- 2- To evaluate a comparative study by applying those factors to the IBS and conventional completion cost and time.
- 3- To appraise the constructability by time, cost, construction waste and investigate performance of construction in the case study of IBS system compared to conventional system.

### **1.4 Scope and Limitation**

In order to achieve the objectives of this study, the research was only focused on the development of construction industry. Data were collected from questionnaire survey while discovering the project schedule time and cost of two case studies. The respondents of the questionnaire survey and interview were from construction

experts such as consultants, contractor and engineers who have experience in both types of construction methods. The case studies were one school building built by IBS and other one a school built by conventional construction system. The case studies have been selected school buildings because in Malaysia and most of the countries this kind of building is a repetitive project that has consistent process, plan and that can be striking. Thus it has more influence on economic and environment. The selected school projects for the case study owned by public work department (PWD) and collected data only confine within the area of Selangor.

## **1.5 Expected Results**

The detailed of the study about the conventional process and IBS, that will use as the guideline and the approaches that can be used will explain detailed in the Chapter 2.

From this study, the following findings may be expected:

- 1- The main factors in constructability of a construction project will be investigated and applied to compare constructability between conventional and IBS construction.
- 2- The study will verify whether IBS system in construction is more constructable than conventional system in term of cost, time and reduce waste in construction projects by having better construction performance.
- 3- IBS construction is affordable with less variation in project cost.
- 4- The completion time in IBS is faster than conventional construction.

## 1.6 Significance of Study

As the time, cost and quality are the most important and their direct effect on economic implications (Dissanayaka, 1999) therefore, the significance of this study is summarized as follows:

- To obviate the concern and possible ambiguity on existence of benefits in using IBS rather than conventional method.
- The investigated factors for constructability performance will assist decision makers to select better construction system and develop a better project planning based on it.
- Time and cost saved over incorporation of IBS instead of conventional method can be measured leading to provocation of those who involved in construction industry to pay more consideration toward Industrialised building system.
- This research was expected to realize the vision of CIDB and the government of Malaysia to promote use of IBS.

## REFERENCES

- Abd. Majid, M. Z. and McCafer R. (1997). Discussion of Assessment of Work Performance of Maintenance Contractors in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, ASCE. Vol. 13, No. 5, pp91.
- Abdullah. MR and Egbu. C. (2009). IBS in Malaysia: Issues for research in a changing financial and property market. *BuHu 9th International Postgraduate Research Conference (IPGRC): Salford, United Kingdom*, 15-25.
- Abdul Kadir, M. R., Lee, W. P., Jaafar, M. S., Sapuan, S. M., & Ali, A. A. A. (2006). Construction performance comparison between conventional and industrialised building systems in Malaysia. *Structural Survey*, 24(5), 412-424.
- Addis, B. Talbot, R. (2002). *Sustainable Construction Procurement: A Guide to Delivering Environmentally Responsible Projects*. CIRIA, London, CIRIA C571.
- Alshammari, J.S., F.K. Gad, A.A.M. Elgibaly and A.R. Khan. (2008). A typical case study: Solid waste management in petroleum refineries. *Am. J. Environ. Sci.*, 4: 397-405.
- Arditi, D., Elhassan, A., and Toklu, Y. C. (2002). Constructability Analysis in the Design Firm. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. 128(2): 117-126.



- Awomeso, J.A., A.M. Taiwo, A.M. Gbadebo and A.O. Arimoro. (2010). Waste disposal and pollution management in urban areas: A workable remedy for the environment in developing countries. *Am. J. Environ. Sci.*, 6: 26-32.
- Badir, Y.F., Kadir, M.R.A. and Ali, A.A.A. (1998). Theory of Classification and Badir-Razali Building System Classification. Malaysia: Bulletin of Institute of Engineers Malaysia.
- Badir, Y.F., Kadir, M.R.A. and Hashim, A.H. (2002). "Industrialised Building System construction in Malaysia" *Journal of Architectural Engineering*, Vol.8, No.1.
- Bakri, M., & Hassan, M. (2009). The comparison between conventional and industrialized building system formwork towards sustainable construction (Doctoral dissertation, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia).
- Begum, R.A., C. Siwar, J.J. Pereira and A.H. Jaafar. (2009). Attitude and behavioral factors in waste management in the construction industry of Malaysia. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.*, 53: 321-328.
- Begum, R. A., Satari, S. K., & Pereira, J. J. (2010). Waste generation and recycling: comparison of conventional and industrialized building systems. *American Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 6(4), 383.
- Bernold, L E and Gavilan, R. M. (1994). Source evaluation of solid waste in building construction. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 120(3): 536–555.
- Blismas, N. and Wakefield, R. (2007). "Drivers constraints and the future of off-site manufacture in Australia", *Construction Innovation Special Edition 2008*.

- Chen, Z., Li, H., Wong, C.T.C. (2002). An Application of Bar Code System For Reducing Construction Waste. *Journal of Automation in Construction*, Vol. 11 pp.521-33.
- Chen, Y., Okudan, G.E. and Riley, D.R. (2010b). “Sustainable performance criteria for construction method selection in concrete buildings”, *Automation in Construction*, Vol. 19 No. 2, pp. 235-44.
- Cheong, G.K. (1997). Fully precast system at Choa Chu Kang. *Precastech Newsletter On Line.*, Volume 8.
- Chung. LP. (2006), *Implementation strategy for Industrialized Building System (IBS) [dissertation]*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia: Johor Bharu, Malaysia, 1-126.
- CII. (1993). *Constructability Implementation Guide*, Special Publication 34-1, Construction Industry Institute, Austin, TX.
- Construction Industry Development Board (CIDB). (1992). *Raising Singapore’s Construction Productivity*, CIDB Construction Productivity Taskforce Report, CIDB, Singapore.
- Construction Industry Development Board (CIDB). (2003 a). *IBS Roadmap 2003-2010*, Construction Industry Development Board Malaysia.
- Construction Industry Development Board (CIDB). (2005). *IBS Digest January – March 2005 Issue*. Malaysia: CIDB Malaysia
- Construction Industry Development Board (CIDB) report. (2007). *IBS Digest at Malbex in IBS Digest. Special Issues on 24th Malaysian International Building Exposition: (Malbex 2007)*.

Construction Industry Development Board (CIDB) report. (2010). New perspective in industrialization in construction – a state – of – the art report. CIB Publication 329.

Craven, D. J., Okraglik, H. M. and Eilenberg, I. M. (1994). “Construction waste and a new design methodology”. In Sustainable Construction, Edited by: Kibert, C. J. 89–98. Gainesville, FL: Center for Construction and Environment.

Dawood, Nashwan, and Vacharapoom Benjaoran. (2005). "Safety Assessment for Work at Height: An Integrated 4D Visualization Approach." Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Construction Applications of Virtual Reality, Durham, UK.

Dietz, A. G. H., & Cutler, L. S. (1971). Industrialized building systems for housing. MIT Press.

Din, H. (1984). Industrialised building and its application in Malaysia. Seminar on Prefabrication of Building Construction.

Dissanayaka, S.M. and Kumaranwamy, M.M. (1999). Comparing Contributors to Time & Cost Performance in Building Projects: Building and Environment, 1999, 34(1), 31–42.

Ekanayake, L.L. and Ofori, G. (2004). “Building waste assessment score: design-based tool”, Building and Environment, Vol. 39 No. 7, pp. 851-61.

Esa, H., & Nuruddin, M. M. (1998). Policy on Industrialised Building System—Report on Colloquim on Industrialised Construction System. Kuala Lumpur.

- Fadhil Dulaimi, M. (2005). The challenge of customer orientation in the construction industry. *Construction Innovation*, 5(1), 3-12.
- Faniran, O. O., & Caban, G. (1998). Minimizing waste on construction project sites. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 5(2), 182-188.
- Gavilian, R. M. and Bernold, L. E. (1994). Source Evaluation of Solid Waste in Building Construction. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. 120(5):536-552.
- Ghasan Shabha. (2003). A low-cost maintenance approach to high-rise flats, *Journal of Facilities*, 21, 313-322.
- Gibbons, J. H. (1986). Technology, trade, and the U.S. residential construction industry. *Congress of the U.S Special Report*.
- Glavinich, T. E., Improving Constructability during Design Phase. *Journal of Architectural Engineering*. 1(2): 73-76, 1995.
- Grif. th, A., and Sidwell, A. C. (1995). *Constructability in Building and Engineering Projects*, Macmillan, London.
- Hamid. ZA, Kamar. KAM, Zain. MZM, Ghani. MK and Rahim. AHA. (2008). Industrialized Building System (IBS) in Malaysia: the current state and R&D initiatives. *Malaysian Construction Research Journal (MCRJ)*. 2(1): 1-11.
- Hamid, Z.A. and Kamar, K.A.M. (2011). "Editorial: aspects of off-site manufacturing application towards sustainable construction in Malaysia", *Construction Innovation: Information, Process, Management*, Vol. 12 No. 1, p. 4.

Haron Nuzul A. (2005). Building Cost Comparison Between Conventional and Formwork System. *Jurnal Teknologi of UTM*. 43(2): 1-11.

Haron A. Tarmizi and Mohamad Ariff. (2009). Enhancement of Constructability Concept: Experience of Malaysian Offsite Construction Industry, paper proceedings of CIB International Conference, Changing Roles; New Roles New Challenges, Rotterdam, Netherlands, 5th - 9th October 2009.

Hong. OC. (2006). Analysis of IBS [dissertation]. School Complex: Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Johor Bharu, Malaysia, 1-86.

Ian, H., Jacqui, G. and Andrew, P. (2008). "Developing a successful sector sustainability strategy: six lessons from the UK construction products industry", *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, Vol. 15 No. 1.

Indra De Soysa and Neumayer, Eric. (2005). "Trade openness, foreign direct investment and child labour." *World development* 33.1: 43-63.

Institute of Engineer Malaysia (IEM). (2001). "A need for new building technologies", *Bulletin of Institution of Engineers, Malaysia*, February, pp. 7-8.

Jabatan Kerja Raya (JKR).a. (2009) Sekolah Piawai-Selangor Darul Ehsan, 4-Tingkat (KBSR/KBSM), Dokumen Kontrak.

Jabatan Kerja Raya (JKR).b. (2009) Sekolah Kebangsaan-Selangor Darul Ehsan, 4-Tingkat (KBSR/KBSM), Dokumen Kontrak.

Jacqueline, G. (1999). The future for precast concrete in low-rise housing. *Precast Housing Feasibility Study Group*, U.K.

Jaillon, L. and Poon, C.S. (2008). “Sustainable construction aspects of using prefabrication in dense urban environment: a Hong Kong case study”, *Construction Management and Economics*, Vol. 26 No. 9, pp. 953-66.

Jergeas, G., and Van der Put, J. (2001). Benefits of Constructability on Construction Projects, *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. 127(4): 281– 290.

Junid, S.M.S. (1986). “Industrialised building system”, Proceedings of a UNESCO/FEISEAP Regional Workshop, UPM Serdang, Malaysia.

Kamar, K.A.M., Z.A. Hamid, M.K. Ghani and A.H. Rahim. (2007). Industrialized building system: current shortcomings and the vital role. *Rand D. Master Builders*.

Kamar, K. A. M., Alshawi, M., and Hamid, Z. (2009). Barriers to industrialized building system (IBS): the case of Malaysia. Paper. Proceedings in BuHu 9th International Postgraduate Research Conference (IPGRC) Salford, United Kingdom.

Kamyar Kabirifar, Abd Kadir Marsono. (2012). “Time measurement for improvement for construction using DEMING theory “. *Universiti Teknologi Malaysia*.

Kibert, C., (1994). Establishing principles and a model for sustainable construction. In: Proceedings of the First International Conference of CIB Task Group 16 on Sustainable Construction. Tampa, USA, 6–9 November.

Koskela, L. (1992). Application of the new production philosophy to construction (No. 72). Stanford, CA: Stanford University.

- Koskela, L. (2004). Making do- the eighth category of waste. Proceedings of the Twelfth Annual Conference of the International Group for Lean Construction (IGLC-12), Copenhagen, Denmark.
- Lessing, J., (2006). Industrialised house-building - concept and processes [dissertation]. Department of Construction Sciences: Lund Institute of Technology, Sweden.
- Lessing, J., Stehn, L., & Ekholm, A. (2005). Industrialised housing: definition and categorization of the concept. Proceedings of IGLC-13, Sydney, Australia.
- LIM P. CHUNG. (2006). "Implementation strategy for industrialized building system," Master thesis, UNIVERSITY TECNOLOGY MALAYSIA. Construction Industry Master Plan (CIMP 2006 – 2015). (2007), Construction Industry Development Board Publication, Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur.
- Majzub, A. (1977). Modular housing systems used around the world. International Journal of Housing Science.
- Malik, N. A. F. (2006). Supply Chain Management in IBS Industry, Malaysian International IBS Exhibition (MIIE), Construction Industry Development Board (CIDB) Malaysia.
- Mendelsohn, R. (1997). The constructability review process: A constructor's perspective, *J. Manage. Eng.*, 13(3), 17–19.
- Nawi, M. N. M., Lee, A., Kamar, K. A. M., & Azman, M. A. (2009). Constructability concept: an approach to enhancement sustainability in IBS Malaysia construction industry. Paper Proceedings In Changing Roles; New Roles, New Challenges 2009, The TU Delf, Netherland.

- Nima, M. A. (2001). Constructability factors in the Malaysian construction industry, Ph.D. thesis, University PutraMalaysia, Selangor.
- Nurul Arafah, Abd. Kadir Marsono. (2012). Lead time in construction process, Master thesis, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Parid, W. (1997). Global Trends in Research, Development and Construction, Proceeding of the International Conference on Industrialized Building System (IBS 2003), CIDB Malaysia.
- Peng, C.S. (1986). the scenario of industrialised building systems in Malaysia. Proceedings of a UNESCO/FEISEAP Regional workshop, UPM Serdang.
- Rahman, A. B. A, Omar, W. (2006). Issues and Challenge in the Implementation of IBS in Malaysia, Proceeding of the 6th Asia Pacific Structural Engineering and Construction Conference (ASPEC 2006), 5-6 September 2006. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Recon, M. (1996). "Waste minimisation and environmental programme", CIB TG16 Commission meetings and presentation, Melbourne.
- Rollet, M. (1986). "Modular coordination in the building industry." Toward industrialization in the building industry, Proc., UNESCO/FEISEAP Regional Workshop, Univ. Pertanian, Malaysia.
- Rosli Mohamad Zin. (2004). Constructability Assessment of Project at Design Phase. PhD. Thesis Faculty of Civil Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Russell, J., Gugel, J., and Radke, M. W. (1992). Benefits of Constructability: Four Case Studies, The Construction Industry Institute, Austin, Texas, 1992a.



- Salvador, P., De la Campa, A. S. & Gibbons, W. (2002). Variations in PM trace metal content in Spanish towns: illustrating the complexity of the inorganic urban. *Atmospheric Environment*, 40(35), 6791-6803.
- Schroeder, H. & Okereke, C. (2009). How can justice, development and climate change mitigation be reconciled for developing countries in a post-Kyoto settlement? *Climate and Development*, 1(1), 10-15.
- Shaari, SN and Ismail, E., (2003). Promoting the usage of Industrialised Building System (IBS) and Modular Coordination (MC) in Malaysia. *Construction Industry in Engineers (Board of Engineer Malaysia)*.
- Shingo, S. & Dillon, A. P. (1989). *A study of the Toyota production system: From an Industrial Engineering Viewpoint*. Productivity Press.
- Soetanto, R., Dainty, A.R.J., Glass, J. and Price, A.D.F. (2004). "Criteria for assessing the potential performance of hybrid concrete structural frames", *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, Vol. 11 No. 6, pp. 414-24.
- Soin, S.S. (1992). *Total Quality Control Essentials – key elements, methodologies and managing for success*, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Song, J., Fagerlund, W.R., Haas, C.T., Tatum, C.B. and Vanegas, J.A. (2005). "Considering prework on industrial projects", *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, Vol. 131 No. 6, pp. 723-33.
- Spivey, D. A. (1974). Construction solid waste. *Journal of the Construction Division ASCE*, 100: 501–506.

- Straatman, R., & Vambersky, J. N. J. A. (2001). Precast construction and environment. *Structural Concrete*, 2(2), 93-98.
- Tam, V.W.Y., Tam, C.M., Zeng, S.X. and Ng, W.C.Y. (2007). "Towards adoption of prefabrication in construction", *Building and Environment*, Vol. 42 No. 10, pp. 3642.
- Tahmasebi M.M, Salihuddi Radin Sumadi. (2012)." Building time comparison between conventional and industrialized building system using schedule simulation". Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Tchobanoglous, H. Theisen, S.A. (1993) . *Vigil Integrated solid waste management, engineering principles and management issues* McGraw-Hill, NewYork, USA p. 377.
- Thanoon, W.A.M., W.P. Lee, M.R.A. Kadir, Jaafar, M.S., Salit, M.S. (2003). "The Experiences of Malaysia and Other Countries in Industrialized.
- Trikha, D.N. (1999). *Industrialized building systems. Prospects in Malaysia.* Proceedings World Engineering Congress, Malaysia.
- Waleed, T., Mohd, P. D., Abdul, S. A., Abdul Kadir, M. R., and Abang Ali, A. A. (1997). "Industrialized building systems." Proc., Seminar on Affordable Quality Housing, Housing Research Centre, Univ. Putra, Malaysia (UPM).
- Warszawski, A. (1999). *Industrialized and automated building systems.* Teknion-Institute of Technology. E & FN Spon.
- Wille, E. (1992). *Quality: achieving excellence*, Century Business, London.

Winch, G. (1998). Zephyrs of Creative Destruction: Understanding the Management of Innovation in Construction, *Building Research & Information*, 26 (4), pp: 268-279.

Wong, J. and Li, H. (2006). Development of a conceptual model for the selection of intelligent building systems. *Building and Environment*, Vol. 41 No. 8, pp. 1106-23.

Woon Kai Siong. (2006). Integrating Construction into the Design Process, phd. Thesis, University of Technology Malaysia.