EMPIRICAL STRENGTH ENVELOPE FOR SHALE

×,

1

NUR 'AIN BINTI MAT YUSOF

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Geotechnics)

> Faculty of Civil Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > JANUARY 2016

Specially dedicated to my beloved mother, my late father, my siblings and friends.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, all praise to Allah for giving me the strength and patient to finished the research and complete the report without having major problems. Secondly, I offer my sincerest gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc. Professor Mohd For Mohd Amin for his continuous guidance and support throughout the course of my research. Without his valuable insights, enthusiasm, experiences and encouragement, I would not have been able to prepare this thesis to its present state. The time and effort he spent throughout this research have contributed significantly to its success and completion and will be forever appreciated.

I am fortunate to have Dr. Rini Asnida Abdullah, my co-supervisor who provided an insightful view of my work. I would like to thank her for giving suggestions and advice as well as her initial guidance on Rocscience software which have led me to greater understanding on using RocData to analyze my results.

It is impossible to conduct the laboratory works without the help of individuals from the Geotechnical Laboratories, either directly related or voluntarily. I want to extend my gratitude to all geotechnical laboratories staff especially to Mr. Zulkifly Abdul Wahid and Mr. Hidayat Pornam who giving me guidance and invaluable time spent willing help me in this research. I would also like to thank Faculty of Civil Engineering, University Technology Malaysia (UTM) for providing place and tools. Furthermore, special thanks to fellow friends, Mu'az Aznam and Nurihan Mohd Fauzi for all the encouragement, motivation and information given in order to complete this research.

This acknowledgement is not complete without thanking my family for their numerous supports and prays they made. My most sincere thanks to my beloved mother Rosnah Mat Amin and my siblings for their understanding and endless encouragement during my time of hardship and contentment. Last but not least, big appreciation to Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM) and Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia who sponsored me to pursue my master degree.

ABSTRACT

Effectively, strength envelope describes behavior of a rock body when subjected to common stresses in construction, namely compressive, tri-axial and tensile. This study is aimed at investigating the strength envelope for shale, a sedimentary rock obtained from dam project site in Baram, Sarawak. Series of triaxial compression tests were carried out to obtain the strength envelope for the rock samples. For verification of failure criterion, uniaxial compression and Brazilain tests were also conducted on the rock samples. Results from the related tests were analysed using RocData software to obtain the strength envelope. Subsequently, Mohr-Coulomb and Hoek-Brown failure criterion are used to determine failure envelop for the rock samples. Based on the failure envelopes and the related strengths (i.e. compressive and tensile strength), suitability of both approach, in defining strength envelope for shale, is verified. The study shows that for highly laminated sedimentary rock like shale, Hoek-Brown criterion gave a more representative failure behaviour. The failure envelope clearly shown all the strength limits when the rock subjected to triaxial, uniaxial and tensile stress, which is not observed in Mohr-Coulomb. Hoek-Brown criterion is more superior for describing rock body as mass strength rather than material strength.

ABSTRAK

Had kekuatan batuan adalah julat tingkahlaku sesuatu jasad batuan apabila dikenakan jenis-jenis tegasan yang biasa ditemui di dalam kerja pembinaan seperti tegasan mampatan, tiga paksi, satu paksi dan tegangan. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji had kekuatan batu syal, iaitu sejenis batuan enapan yang diperolehi daripada tapak projek empangan di Baram, Sarawak. Beberapa ujian mampatan tiga paksi telah dijalankan untuk mendapatkan had kekuatan bagi sampel batuan. Bagi tujuan pengesahan kriteria kegagalan, ujian mampatan satu paksi dan ujian Brazillian juga dilaksanakan ke atas sampel batuan tersebut. Keputusan daripada ujian-ujian tersebut dianalisis dengan menggunakan perisian RocData bagi tujuan mendapatkan had kekuatan batuan. Setelah itu, had kegagalan batuan dianalisis menggunakan kriteria Mohr-Coulomb and Hoek-Brown. Berdasarkan had kegagalan dan kekuatan yang berkaitan (mampatan dan tegangan), kesesuaian pendekatan analisis bagi kedua-dua kaedah penentuan had kekuatan dibandingkan. Kajian ini mendapati bagi batuan enapan yang berlaminasi seperti syal, ianya lebih sesuai dianalisa menggunakan kriteria kegagalan Hoek-Brown. Kriteria ini dapat memberikan had kegagalan batuan yang lebih jelas apabila dikenakan tegasan-tegasan tiga paksi, satu paksi dan tegangan. Kriteria Hoek Brown bukan sahaja mampu memperjelaskan julat kekuatan batuan pada skala bahan, malahan mampu digunakan dalam skala massa batuan.

TABLE OF CONTENT

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	TITLE PAGE	i
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	V
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	Х
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATION AND SYMBOLS	xiv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	XV
1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objectives of the Study	3
	1.4 Scope and Limitation of the Study	3
	1.5 Significance of Study	4
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	
	2.1 Introduction	5

2.2 Sedimentary Rocks 5

2.3	Shale		8
	2.3.1 Lam	ination	9
2.4	Shear Stre	ength Parameters	10
	2.4.1	Confining Pressure	11
2.5	Failure Cr	iteria	14
	2.5.1	Mohr-Coulomb Criterion	14
	2.5.2	Hoek Brown Criterion	17
	2.5.3	Relationship between Mohr-Coulomb	20
		and Hoek Brown Criterion	

3 METHODOLOGY

3.1 Intro	oduction	23
3.2 Sam	ple Preparation	24
3.2.	Coring	24
3.2.2	2 Cuttting or Trimming	25
3.2.	B Lapping	27
3.2.4	4 Samples information	28
3.3 Lab	pratory Test	30
3.3.	Uniaxial Compression Test (UCT)	31
3.3.2	2 Triaxial Compression Test	33
3.3.	Brazillian Test	36
3.4 Test	Result	39

4 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

4.1	Introducti	on	41
4.2	Laborator	ry Test	41
	4.2.1	Uniaxial Compression Test (UCT)	42
	4.2.2	Triaxial Compression Test	43
	4.2.3	Brazillian Test	45
4.3	RocData	Analysis Output	46
	4.3.1	Mohr Coulomb Strength Parameters	47
	4.3.2	Hoek Brown Strength Parameters	51

	4.4 Summary of the Results	55
5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIO	NS
	5.1 Introduction	58
	5.2 Conclusions	59
	5.3 Recommendations	60
	REFERENCES	62
	APPENDICES	65
	Appendix A	66
	Appendix B	96

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Classification of Sedimentary Rocks	7
	(Waltham, 2002).	
3.1	Dimension (diameter and height) of samples for	29
	Uniaxial Compression Test.	
3.2	Dimension (diameter and height) of samples for	29
	Triaxial Test.	
3.3	Dimension (diameter and height) of samples for	30
	Brazillian Test.	
3.4	Uniaxial Compression Test (UCT)	39
3.5	Triaxial Test	40
3.6	Brazillian Test	40
4.1	Mohr-Coulomb Strength Parameters	49
4.2	Hoek-Brown Strength Parameters	52
4.3	Summary Laboratory Test Result	55

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Rock Cycle	6
2.2	Types of lamination: a) Thin lamination b) Thick	10
	lamination c) Wavy lamination (O'Brien, 1990)	
2.3	Different of pressure direction between confining	12
	pressure, tension, compression and shear	
	(Haywick, 2008)	
2.4	Increasing confining pressure reduces volume of the	13
	rock (Haywick, 2008)	
2.5	a) Increased confinement around well-design civil	13
	excavation; b) Relaxation or confinement loss (shaded	
	areas) due to complex mining geometries.	
	(Diederichs, 2003)	
2.6	Stress condition on strength envelope a-b and tangent	17
	point on Mohr Circle (Zhao, 2005)	
2.7	Change in Hoek-Brown failure envelope	19
	(Eberhardt, 2012)	

2.8	A Comparison of failure criterion a) Hoek-Brown	19
	failure criterion b) Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion	
	(Sivakugan et al., 2013)	
2.9	Relationship between major and minor principal	20
	stresses for Hoek-Brown and equivalent Mohr-	
	Coulomb criteria (Bejarbaneh et al., 2015)	
2.10	Mohr-Coulomb, Hoek-Brown and Johnston's failure	22
	envelope to estimate the ultimate strength under	
	triaxial compression	
	(Kaiser et al., 2000: Diederich, 2003)	
3.1	Coring Machine	25
3.2	Selected core samples from site before cutting process	26
3.3	Rock Disc Cutter	26
3.4	Lapping Process	27
3.5	Lapping Machine	27
3.6	The core samples of shale prepared for laboratory test.	28
3.7	Flow chart of collection and analysis of data	31
3.8	Uniaxial Compression Test Machine	32
3.9	Closed-circuit servo-controlled Universal Testing	34
	Machine Tinius Olsen (USA) Super L-type 3000kN	
3.10	Cutway view of triaxial cell	34
	(Franklin and Hoek, 1968)	
3.11	Hoek's cell and sample sleeve for Triaxial Test	35
3.12	Typical shape of sample before Triaxial Test	36

3.13	Apparatus for Brazillian Test (ISRM, 2007)	37
3.14	Sample used in Brazilian Test	38
3.15	Equipment of Brazilian Test	39
4.1	Sample after Uniaxial Compression Test	43
4.2	Samples after Triaxial Test	45
4.3	Samples of Shale after Brazilian test	46
4.4(a)	Principle stress plot of a Mohr-Coulomb curve fit	48
	Group 1	
4.4(b)	The resulting M-C envelope for sample Group 1	48
4.5(a)	Projection of a Mohr-Coulomb curve fit on the data	50
	pairs, σ_1 , σ_3 for all samples	
4.5(b)	The resulting M-C envelope for all samples.	50
4.6(a)	Principle stress plot of a Hoek-Brown curve fit for	53
	Group 1	
4.6(b)	The resulting Mohr Coulomb envelope for sample	53
	Group 1	
4.7(a)	Projection of a HB curve fit on the data pairs, σ_1 , σ_3	54
	for all samples	
4.7(b)	The resulting M-C envelope for sample all samples	54

LIST OF ABBREVIATION AND SYMBOLS

c	-	Interlocking of intact rock or rock mass
D	-	Diameter of test specimen
HB	-	Hoek-Brown
ISRM	-	International Society for Rock Mechanics
MC	-	Mohr-Coulomb
m, s	-	Material constant
Р	-	Load at failure or applied load
R	-	Radius of test specimen
Т	-	Thickness of specimen measured at the centre
UCS	-	Unconfined Compression Strength
UCT	-	Unconfined Compression Test
σ_1	-	Major principle stress (compressive stress are taken as positive)
σ_2	-	Intermediate principle stress
σ3	-	Minor principle stress
σ_1 ,	-	Major effective principle stress
σ ₂ ,	-	Intermediate effective principle stress
σ ₃ ,	-	Minor effective principle stress
σ_{ci}	-	Uniaxial compressive strength of intact rock
σ_{c}	-	Uniaxial compressive strength
σ_{n}	-	Normal stress
σ_t	-	Tensile strength
ϕ	-	Friction angle of intact rock or rock mass
τ	-	Shear strength
θ	-	Angle of failure plane

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE	
А	Mohr-Coulomb Failure Criterion Results	66	
В	Hoek Brown Failure Criterion Results	96	

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Civil engineering constructions require a comprehensive approach for characterising and assessing strength of rock when subjected to various stresses. The present approach include evaluating the strength of rock samples in laboratory under the effect of major stresses such as tensile, uniaxial and triaxial compression. Subsequently, Mohr strength envelope is used to evaluate the failure criterion for the rock. This approach requires understanding on the material strengths and mass conditions of the in situ rock in order to characterise its mass strength. The reliability of the approach in becomes more crucial if the associated rock displays significant anisotropy and inhomogeneity.

In this study, a sedimentary rock namely shale, was used as samples for the related strength tests in the lab. The test include Brazilian test, uniaxial and triaxial compression tests. Shale is selected due to its anisotropic behaviour created by its mineral arrangement called laminations. This study is aimed at investigating the empirical strength envelope for shale.

There are numerous studies performed in the field of shear strength. In general, intact strength of the rock is higher compare than its mass strength of rock. Since the classic strength theories used for other engineering materials have been found not to apply over a wide range of applied compressive stress condition, a number of empirical strength criteria have been introduced for practical used. Usually, the rock strength has been expressed in linear by Mohr-Coulomb Criterion. Nowadays, a non-linear by Hoek-Brown Criterion has been used widely due to its ability to estimate the shear strength of various types of intact rock and rock masses. The analysis for both criteria completed using RocData software. The results gained from the analysis shows the most reliable failure criterion for predicting strength envelope for shale is Hoek Brown failure criterion.

1.2 Problem Statement

Strength envelope should describe reliably the failure criteria of rock under tensile, uniaxial and triaxial compression. However, for a highly anisotropic rock such as shale its strengths vary significantly, depending on the direction of loading with respect to lamination. The reliability of common failure criterion such Mohr-Coulomb and Hoek-Brown, in producing a reliable strength envelope for this highly anisotropic rock may be affected.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

The main purpose of this study is to understand the empirical strength envelope for shale. There are a few method of analyzing to characterized rock strength. Therefore, the specific objectives of this study are:

- 1. To understand the use of failure criteria in determining strength envelope for rocks under common stresses in construction.
- 2. To undertake related laboratory tests for obtaining respective strength parameters and strength envelope for shale.
- To verify suitability of Mohr-Coulomb and Hoek-Brown criteria for describing failure criteria for shale under tensile, uniaxial and triaxial compression.

1.4 Scope and Limitation of Study

The scopes and limitation of this study are:

- The type of rock investigated is highly laminated shale from Baram, Sarawak.
- 2. The failure criteria used Mohr-Coulomb Criterion and Hoek-Brown Criterion.

- 3. Three laboratory tests conducted in order to determine the rock strength namely tensile, uniaxial compressive and triaxial strength test.
- 4. The analysis undertaken using RocData software.

1.5 Significance of the Study

It is paramount to understand the use of empirical failure criteria Mohr-Coulomb Criterion and Hoek-Brown Criterion in determining strength envelope of rock. Most importantly is to choose which criterion is more reliable. In addition, a reliable failure criterion based on laboratory test results is essential for predicting strength of rock mass in the field.

REFERENCES

- Bates, R.L. and Jackson, J.A. (1987). Glossory of Geology, Second Edition, America Gelogical Institute, Falls Church, VA.
- Bejarbaneh, B.Y, Armaghani, D.J and Mohd Amin, M.F. (2015). Strength characterisation of shale using Mohr–Coulomb and Hoek–Brown criteria. Measurement, 63, 269–281.
- Brady, B.H.G and Brown, E.T. (1985). Rock Mechanics for Underground Mining. George Allen & Unwin (Publishers) Ltd, 40 Musuem Street, London WC1A 1LU, UK.
- Claesson, J. and Bohloli, B. (2002). *Brazilian test: Stress field and tensile strength of anisotropic rocks using an analytical solution*, *39*, 991–1004.
- Diederichs, M. S. (2003). "Rock fracture and collapse under low confinement conditions." Rock Mechanics, Rock Engineering 36(5), pp 339-381.
- Eberhardt, E. (2012). *The Hoek-Brown Failure Criterion*, ISRM Suggested Method, Springer Verlag.
- Claesson, J. and Bohloli, B. (2002). *Brazilian test : stress field and tensile strength of anisotropic rocks using an analytical solution*, *39*, 991–1004.
- Franklin, J. A, and Hoek, E. (1970). *Developments in Triaxial Testing Technique*, 2, 223–228.

Haywich, D.W. (2008). Education, University of South Alambama

- Hoek, E. (1983). Strength of Jointed Rock Massess, 23rd Rankine Lecture, Geotechnique.
- Hoek, E. and Brown E.T. (1988). The Hoek-Brown Failure Criterion a 1988 Update, In: Curran J (ed) Proceedings of the 15th Canadian Rock Mechanics symposium. University of Toronto.
- Hoek, E., Kaiser P.K. and Bawden W.F. (1995). "Support of Underground Excavation in Hard Rock." Belkema, Rotterdam.
- Hoek, E., Carranza-Torres C. and Corkum B. (2002). "Hoek-Brown failure criterion." Proceeding of the 5th North American Rock Mechanics Symposium and 17th Tunnelling Association of Canada Conference: NARMS-TAC 2002, July 7-10, University of Toronto, pp. 267-271.
- International Society of Rock Mechanics (ISRM). (1983). Commission on Testing Methods, Suggested Methods for determining the strength of rock materials in triaxial compression.
- Kaiser and Kim. (2008). "Rock Mechanics challenge in underground construction and mining." SHRIMS, Perth, Australia.
- Labuz, J.F. and Zang.A. (2012). *Mohr-Coulomb Failure Criterion*, ISRM Suggested Method, Springer Verlag.
- Lee, Y.K., Pietruszczak, S and Choi, B.H. (2012). Failure Criterion for Rocks Based on Smooth Approximations to Mohr-Coulomb and Hoek-Brown Failure Functions, Elsiver Ltd, International Journal Of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences 56, pp 146-160.
- O'Brien, N.R. (1990). Significance of Lamination in Toarcian (Lower Jurassic) Shales from Yorkshire, Great Britain, Sedimentary Geology,67 pp 25-34, Elsevier Science Publisher B.V., Amsterdam.

Pettijohn, F.J. (1975). Sedimentary Rocks. Third Edition, Harper & Row, New York.

- Potter, P.E., Maynard. J.B. and Pryor. W.A. (1980). Sedimentology of Shale, Springer Verlag, New York.
- Sam Boggs, J. (2009). In *Petrology of Sedimentary Rocks* (Second). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Sivakugan, N., Shukla, S. K., and Das, B. *Rock Mechanics An Introduction*. United States of America: Taylor and Francais Group.
- Tucker, M.E. (1982). The Field Description of Sedimentary Rocks. Open University Press Milton Keyness and Halsted Press. John Wiley and Son, New York-Toronto.
- Ulusay, R. and Hudson, J.A (2007). *The Complete ISRM Suggested Methods for rock characterization, Testing and Monitoring: 1974-2006*, Iskeler Ankara, Turkey.
- Waltham, T. (2002). Foundations of Engineering Geology, 2nd Edition. Spon Press, London & New York, 92p.
- Zhao, J. (2005). *Rock Mechanics for Civil Engineers*, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Lausanne, Switzerland.