

SIMULATION OF BRICK MASONRY WALL BEHAVIOUR UNDER CYCLIC
LATERAL LOADING USING FINITE ELEMENT METHOD

TEH KHEAN SIANG

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Civil - Structure)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2015

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like express my appreciation to my thesis supervisor, Dr. Roslli Noor Mohamed, for the support, encouragement and guidance in helping me to complete the thesis. I truly appreciate the effort from him. Besides, I would also like to thank my friends, my fellow students and my colleagues who assist me all along the way physically and mentally. Last but not least, I must thank my family for all kind of support they give to me in helping to overcome all challenges that I face.

ABSTRACT

This report presents the results of lateral strength and behaviours of masonry wall by using Finite Element Method. The study focused on comparison of lateral forces that caused the initial crack and ultimate crack between laboratory experiments done by previous researchers and the results from Finite Element Method. There were three masonry models based on the laboratory experiments done by previous researchers. Previous researcher constructed and tested three full scale wall specimens by using lading equipment in laboratory. AutoFEM Finite Element Analysis software was used to carry out the analysis. Solid element was used to model the wall in accordance with the dimensions of wall specimen by previous researcher. Static vertical forces and cyclic lateral forces were applied onto the model for analysis purpose. Results from the study suggested that internal crack of masonry happened at a lower applied lateral forces than the results from laboratory experiments. Results also showed lower lateral displacement values compared to results of laboratory experiments. The finding showed that internal stress of masonry was possibly to be identified when it was subjected to lateral forces.

ABSTRAK

Laporan ini membentangkan kekuatan dinding batu bata dan tindak balas dinding batu bata terhadap daya mendatar dengan menggunakan Finite Element Method. Kajian ini menumpu kepada perbandingan daya mendatar yang menyebabkan retakan awal dan retakan mutlak di antara ujikaji makmal yang dilakukan oleh penyelidik sebelum ini dan hasil daripada Finite Element Method. Analisis Finite Element telah dijalankan ke atas tiga model seperti kerja yang dijalankan oleh penyelidik sebelum ini. Penyelidik sebelum ini telah menjalankan ujikaji makmal terhadap tiga dinding batu bata yang dibina ikut skala sebenar dengan menggunakan peralatan makmal. Perisian komputer AutoFEM telah digunakan untuk menjalankan analisis Finite Element. Unsur pepejal telah digunakan untuk model Finite Element dimana dimensinya serupa dimensi dinding batu bata yang dibina oleh penyelidik sebelum ini. Hasil daripada kajian ini mencadangkan bahawa retakan dalaman batu berlaku apabila daya mendatar yang lebih rendah dikenakan terhadap dinding batu bata berbanding kepada keputusan yang diperolehi daripada ujikaji makmal. Keputusan juga menunjukkan bahawa nilai-nilai anjakan ke arah sisi yang lebih rendah berbanding dengan keputusan uji kaji makmal. Daripada keputusan yang diperolehi daripada kajian ini, ia menunjukkan bahawa tekanan dalaman batu adalah mungkin untuk dikenal pasti apabila daya mendatar dikenakan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
	ABSTRACT	iv
	TABLE OF CONTENT	vi
	LIST OF TABLES	ix
	LIST OF FIGURES	x
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Problem Statement	2
	1.2 Objectives	2
	1.3 Scope of Work	3
	1.4 Limitation of Study	4
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	5
	2.1 Masonry Wall	6
	2.1.1 Introduction	6
	2.1.2 Bond of Masonry Wall	7
	2.1.3 Advantages of Masonry Bearing Wall	8
	2.2 Previous Research	8
	2.3 Previous Experiments for Comparisons	9

	2.3.1	Loading System	10
	2.3.2	Description of Wall Specimens	12
	2.3.3	Measuring Instrument	14
	2.3.4	Cracking and Damage of Wall Specimen	15
2.4		Finite Element Method	16
	2.4.1	Introduction to Finite Element Method	16
	2.4.2	Nonlinear Finite Element Analysis	17
	2.4.3	Finite Element 3D Solids	17
	2.4.4	Stress-Strain Relations	18
	2.4.5	Interpolation of the Displacement within an Element (Shape Function)	19
	2.4.6	Strain-Displacement Relations	19
	2.4.7	Stiffness Matrix Calculation	20
	2.4.8	Solid Finite Elements	20
	2.4.9	Finite Element Mesh	22
	2.4.10	Key Factor of Mesh Generation	22
2.5		Critical Summary	24
3		RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	25
	3.1	Introduction	25
		3.1.1 Methodology by Previous Researcher	25
		3.1.2 Proposed Finite Element Method Analysis	26
	3.2	Masonry Unit Solid Element	26
		3.2.1 Material Properties of Masonry Unit	27
	3.3	Mortar Solid Element	28
		3.3.1 Material Properties of Mortar	29
	3.4	Concrete Beam Solid Element	29
		3.4.1 Material Properties of Concrete	31
	3.5	AutoFEM Fatigue Analysis	31
		3.5.1 Modeling with Solid Element	32

	3.5.2	Finite Element Mesh	33
	3.5.3	Finite Element Vertical and Horizontal Forces	36
	3.5.4	Restraint of Finite Element Model	38
	3.5.5	Cycles of Loading	39
4		RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	41
	4.1	Lateral Force of Initial Cracks and Ultimate Cracks	41
	4.2	Displacement at Initial Cracks and Ultimate Cracks	42
	4.3	Internal stress and Deformation Shape of Wall Specimen	43
	4.4	Cracking and Damage of Wall Specimens	46
	4.5	Comparisons of Results	49
	4.5.1	Results of Lateral Force by Previous Researcher	49
	4.5.2	Comparisons of Lateral Forces at Initial Cracks and Ultimate Cracks	50
	4.5.3	Results of Displacement by Previous Researcher	52
	4.5.4	Comparisons of Displacement at Initial Cracks and Ultimate Cracks	53
5		CONCLUSION	56
	5.1	Recommendation for Future Study	57
		REFERENCES	58

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Relationship between 2D elements and 3D elements	20
3.1	Material properties of masonry unit	27
3.2	Material properties of mortar	29
3.3	Material properties of concrete	31
4.1	Results of lateral force that causes initial crack and ultimate crack	42
4.2	Results of displacement at the occurrence of initial and ultimate crack	43
4.3	Measured strength of previous experiments	49
4.4	Comparison of lateral forces	50
4.5	Comparison of displacement	53

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Loading Rig and Wall Test Specimen	10
2.2	Loading Beam and Foundation Pad	11
2.3	Wall Specimen W1	12
2.4	Wall Specimen W2	12
2.5	Wall Specimen W3	13
2.6	Arrangement of Displacement Transducers on Wall Surface	14
2.7	Crack Pattern and Damages of Wall W1	15
2.8	Crack Pattern and Damages of Wall W2	15
2.9	Crack Pattern and Damages of Wall W3	16
2.10	Hexahedral Element	17
2.11	Tetrahedral Shapes	23
3.1	Masonry Unit Solid Element	27
3.2	Mortar Solid Element	28

3.3	Mortar Solid Element	28
3.4	Concrete Top Beam Solid Element	30
3.5	Concrete Foundation Pad Solid Element	30
3.6	Solid Element Model of Wall W1	32
3.7	Solid Element Model of Wall W2	32
3.8	Solid Element Model of Wall W3	33
3.9	AutoFEM Model Meshing Parameters	34
3.10	Meshing Model of Wall W1	34
3.11	Meshing Model of Wall W2	35
3.12	Meshing Model of Wall W3	35
3.13	Vertical and Horizontal Forces Input	36
3.14	Forces Acting on Model Wall W1	36
3.15	Forces Acting on Model Wall W2	37
3.16	Forces Acting on Model Wall W3	37
3.17	Model W1 Base Restraint - Fixed	38
3.18	Model W2 Base Restraint - Fixed	38
3.19	Model W3 Base Restraint - Fixed	39
3.20	Number of Cycles Input for Horizontal Forces on Model Wall W1 & W2	40
3.21	Number of Cycles Input for Horizontal Forces on Model Wall W3	40

4.1	Internal Stress of Wall Specimen W1	44
4.2	Internal Stress of Wall Specimen W2	45
4.3	Internal Stress of Wall Specimen W3	45
4.4	Safety Factor Utilization of Material Strength of Wall W1	46
4.5	Damages of Element of Wall W1	46
4.6	Safety Factor Utilization of Material Strength of Wall W2	47
4.7	Damages of Element of Wall W2	47
4.8	Safety Factor Utilization of Material Strength of Wall W3	48
4.9	Damages of Element of Wall W3	48
4.10	Chart shows Comparison of Lateral Forces at Initial Crack	51
4.11	Chart shows Comparison of Lateral Forces at Ultimate Crack	51
4.12	Deflection of Wall W1	52
4.13	Deflection of Wall W1	52
4.14	Deflection of Wall W1	53
4.15	Chart shows Comparison of Displacement at Initial Crack	54
4.16	Chart shows Comparison of Displacement at Ultimate Crack	55

LIST OF SYMBOLS

F_a	-	Vertical stress
$H_{cracked}$	-	Lateral force at initial crack
f_{tu}	-	Tensile stress
H_{ult}	-	Peak lateral force
f_{vu}	-	Shear stress
σ	-	Stress
τ	-	Force
ε	-	Strain
γ	-	Shear strain
E	-	Elastic Modulus
v, u	-	Displacement

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.0 Introduction

Masonry wall is the common element in either reinforced concrete structures or steel structures for compartment and partition purpose. Most of the time in modern construction design, masonry walls are not designed to be a structural member to the building frame. This is also an argument among engineers where there are difficulties in determining the structural capacity of masonry walls. However, there is a case where there are two structurally similar buildings, one without internal non-structural masonry wall totally collapsed; while another one with internal masonry walls survives from being collapsed over an earthquake's attack but it experiences moderate damages. From the view of just observation, masonry wall does have its effects on the seismic resistance of building frame. Masonry must be confined by cast in-situ reinforced concrete columns and beams with reinforced bars connecting these RC structures and masonry wall for dowel action in order for the system to work. This will form a diaphragm strong enough to resist a certain magnitude of moment and shear caused by lateral load before it fails. Masonry wall sustains damages in the form of cracks as mortar breaks at low level of load compared to brick units. There are many factors that could affect the structural capacity of masonry wall, for examples tie columns, tie beams, mortar, quality

of brick used, etc. Nonetheless, understanding the behavior of masonry wall under lateral loads is very important in order to determine the structural capacity of masonry wall. Therefore, experimental modeling has been carried out by researchers to capture the behavior of masonry wall.

1.1 Problem Statement

There has been a doubt on determining the capacity of load resistance of masonry wall by using computer analysis software. Conducting laboratory experimental test to determine the load resistance capacity of masonry wall is not practical in terms of cost, time and scale for each and every different condition. The efficiency and effectiveness of a project during design and construction stages depend on time and cost. Therefore, it is important to develop a method of modelling masonry wall in computer analysis software in order to prove that analysing the load resistance of masonry using computer software will produce the same result as laboratory experimental tests.

1.2 Objectives

- i. To model the behaviours including lateral strength against cracking and displacement of masonry wall under cyclic lateral loadings.
- ii. To compare the results between Finite Element Analysis and laboratory experiments.
- iii. To determine the internal stress of masonry and deformation shape reacting to cyclic lateral loadings.

1.3 Scope of Work

This paper involves developing unreinforced masonry models using Finite Element Analysis computer software. Software “AutoFEM” has been chosen to analyse the model. The results of Finite Element Method analysis were studied and discussed as well as comparison had been carried out between Finite Element Method analysis and laboratory experimental exercise by previous researchers. Besides, the internal stress of masonry and deformation shapes reacting to the externally applied loads shall be study and discussed.

1.4 Limitation of Study

The limitation of the study includes the result of cracking of wall specimens are not shown in the AutoFEM software. Instead, it shows the percentage of damage experienced by the wall specimens. On top of that, it also shows the utilization of safety factor of the element strength. Therefore, the crack is interpreted from the results of safety factor utilization where the element undergoes failure, in the case is the crack, when the utilization ratio is more than one.

On the other hand, Finite Element mesh generation in AutoFEM software is limited to tetrahedral type. Although tetrahedral is the most suitable type of mesh for solid element, it limits the trial of different type of mesh generation in order to compare would the mesh type cause any difference to the result of the analysis.

Another limitation of AutoFEM is that the displacement of wall specimens and the damage of wall specimens within the cycles of loading applied could not be

determined. The software shows the displacement and the damage of wall at the end of the cycles of loading. Therefore, the results of displacement and the damage of wall by the software are considered in the comparison of results between Finite Element Method and laboratory experiments.

REFERENCES

- A. A. M. Akbarzade and A. A. Tasnimi.: *Analytical and Experiment Study of the In-Plane Shear Behavior of Reinforced Masonry Walls*, Iran, 2012.
- A. Bouzam, T. Goto and M. Miyajima.: *Shear Capacity Prediction of Confined Masonry Walls Subjected to Cyclic Lateral Loading*, *Structural Eng./Earthquake Eng.*, JSCE, 2008.
- Abrams D. P and N. Shah.: *Cyclic Loading Testing of Unreinforced masonry Walls*, Urbana, Champaign, 1992.
- Abrams D. P.: *Strength and Behavior of Unreinforced Masonry Elements*, Urbana-Champaign, 1992.
- C. C. Freeda, D. Tensing and S. R. Mercy.: *Experimental Study On Axial Compressive Strength and Elastic Modulus Of The Clay and Fly Ash Brick Masonry*, India, 2013.
- C. M.. Kapoi.: *Experimental Performance of Concrete Masonry Shear Walls Under In-Plane Loading*, Washington State Univeristy, Washington, 2012.
- European Ready Mixed Concrete Organization (ERMCO): *Guidance To The Engineering Properties of Concrete*, Brussels, Belgium, 2006.

- Federak Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).: *NEHRP Guidelines for the Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings*, Washington, 2000.
- K. J. Bathe.: *Finite Element Procedures for Solids and Structures Nonlinear Analysis*, Eaglewood Cliffs, Prentice-Hall, 1982.
- K. S. Gumaste, K. S. Nanjunda Rao, R. B. V. Venkatarama and K. S. Jagadish.:*Strength and Elasticity of Brick Masonry Prisms and Wallethes Under Compression*, Mater, 2007.
- K. Shahzada, N. K. Akhtar, A. S. Elnashai, M. Ashraf, M. Javed, A. Naseer and A. Bashir.: *Epxerimental Seismic Performance Evaluation of Unreinforced Brick Masonry Building*, Peshawar, Pakistan, 2012.
- M. A. ElGawady, P. Lestuzzi and M. Madoux.: *Performance of Masonry Walls Under In-Plane Seismic Loading*, Switzerland, 2005.
- M. J. N. Priestley, D. O. Bridgeman.: *Seismic Resistance of Brick Masonry walls*, Bull. Of the New Zealand National Society For Earthquake Engineering, 1974.
- M. Mehta, W. Scarborough and D. Armpriest.:*Building Construction: Principles, Materials and Systems*, Texas, Pearson, 2013.
- Mohamad G., Lourenco PB. And Roman HR.: *Mechanical Behavior Assessment of Concrete Block Masonry Prisms Under Compression*, Coimbra, 2005.
- Oliveira DV. Lourenco PB. And Roca P.: *Experimental Characterization of the Behaviour of Brick Masonry Subjected to Cyclic Loading*, Madrid, Spain, 2000.
- P. Alliez, D. C. Steiner, M. Yvinec and M. Desbrun.: *Variationam Tetrahedral Meshing*, Pasadena, California, 2008.

- P. Boeraeve.: *Introduction To The Finite Element Method (FEM)*, Institute Framme, Liege, 2010.
- P. G. Asteris.: *Lateral stiffness of Brick Masonry Infilled Plane Frames*, American Society of Civil Engineers, Egaleo, Greece, 2003.
- R. Kanit, E. Atimtay.: *Experimental Assessment of the Seismic Behavior of Load-Bearing Masonry Walls Loaded Out-of-Plane*, Ankara, Turkey, 2005.
- Swe Zin Win, Maidiawati and Yasushi Sanada.: *Seismic Performance of Nonstructural Brick Walls Used in Indonesian R/C Buidings*, Summaries of Technical Papers of Annual Meeting Architectural Insitute of Japan, 2011
- T. Janaraj.:*Studies On The In-Plane Shear Response Of Confined Masonry Shear Walls*, Queensland, 2014.
- U. S. Dixit.: *Finite Element Method: An Introduction*, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, India, 2003
- V. Bosiljkov, Y. Z. Totoev and J. M. Nichols.: *Shear Modulus and Stiffness of Brickwork Masonry: An Experimental Perspective*, Australia, 2000.
- V. Ivanco.: *Nonlinear Finite Element Analysis*, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Technical University of Kosice, Slovakia, 2011.
- W. Xu and D.P. Abrams.: *Evaluation of Lateral Strength and Deflection for Cracked Unreinforced Masonry Walls*, Urbana, Champaign, 1992.
- Y. Hayati, Y. Sanada, S. Kasahara and T. Tomonaga.: *Developing a Test System for Evaluating Structural Performance of Masonry Wall Under Out-of Plane Uniform Loads*, Summaries of Technical Papers of Annual Meeting Architectural Insitute of Japan, 2013.