SETTLEMENT OF STRIP FOOTING ON SANDY SOIL DUE TO ECCENTRICITY

KREKAR SABER BRAIM

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Civil-Geotechnics)

> Faculty of Civil Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > JUNE 2015

This thesis is dedicated to my parents.

For their endless love, support, encouragement.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

My first thanks is for my supervisor, Dr. Ahmad Safuan A. Rashid. This thesis would not have been possible without his valuable feedback. Most importantly, I would like to thank to my family especially my parents for their support.

ABSTRACT

The failure behaviour of the shallow foundation under centric and eccentric loading has been studied extensively. Bearing capacity and settlement are two limits of foundation design. The studies on the effect of eccentricity on bearing capacity are abundant, while the study about the effect of eccentricity on settlement is very rare. The aim of this study is to investigate the effect of eccentricity on settlement of sandy soil. The objectives of this research involve the evaluating the ultimate and allowable bearing capacity and verifying bearing capacity factor (N_{γ}) , determination of settlement of sandy soil under different eccentricity ratio (e/B), analysing the failure mechanism of strip footing on cohesionless soil by close range photogrammetry and particle image velocimetry (PIV) methods, and verifying the laboratory results with theory or analytical analysis for centrally loaded strip footing. This research mainly concentrates on the laboratory tests. Several tests were conducted which consist of physical property tests and small scale physical modelling test. A model of medium sand with 50% relative density was prepared, strip footing was replicated using a rigid plate and loaded with different eccentricity. Moreover, close range photogrammetry and PIV technique were used to observe the failure pattern, contour of displacement, and shear strain under strip footing. It was found that the settlement increases with increasing eccentricity.

ABSTRAK

Tingkah laku kegagalan asas cetek dibawah pemberat "centric" dan "eccentric" telah dikaji. Keupayaan galas dan enapan adalah dua had kepada rekaan asas. Kajian impak "eccentricity" terhadap keupayaan galas telah banyak dilakukan manakala kajian impak "eccentricity" terhadap enapan adalah jarang. Tujuan utama kajian ini adalah untuk menyelidik impak "eccentricity" kepada enapan tanah berpasir. Objektif kajian ini melibatkan penilaian keupayaan galas muktamad dan dibenarkan dan juga mengesahkan faktor keupayaan galas (N_y), penentuan enapan tanah berpasir dibawah purata "eccentricity" berlainan (e/B), penganalisaan mekanisme kegagalan jalur asas pada tanah jeleket dengan fotogrametri jarak dekat dan kaedah imej partikel velosimetri (PIV) dan mengesahkan keputusan makmal dengan teori dan analisis analitikal untuk beban berpusat jalur asas. Kajian ini memfokuskan kepada ujian makmal. Beberapa ujian telah dijalankan antaranya ujian fizikal properti dan ujian fizikal model berskala kecil. Plat akan digunakan untuk mereplika asas dan dimuatkan dengan "eccentricity" berlainan. Selain itu, fotogrametri jarak dekat dan teknik PIV telah digunakan untuk memerhatikan corak kegagalan dan kontour sesaran dibawah jalur asas.Kajian telah menunjukan enapan meningkat dengan peningkatan "eccentricity".

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER

1

2

TITLE

PAGE

| DEC | ii | |
|-----|--------------------------------------|------|
| DEI | DICATION | iii |
| ACI | KNOWLEDGEMENT | iv |
| ABS | STRACT | v |
| ABS | STRAK | vi |
| TAI | BLE OF CONTENTS | vii |
| LIS | T OF TABLES | Х |
| LIS | T OF FIGURES | xii |
| LIS | T OF ABBERIVATIONS | xvi |
| LIS | T OF SYMBOLS | xvii |
| LIS | T OF APPENDIXES | XX |
| INT | RODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 | Background of Research | 1 |
| 1.2 | Problem statement | 3 |
| 1.3 | Aim and Objectives | 3 |
| 1.4 | Scope and Limitation of the Research | 4 |
| 1.5 | Significance of research | 4 |
| LIT | ERATURE REVIEW | 5 |
| 2.1 | Introduction | 5 |
| 2.2 | General Concept of Bearing Capacity | 6 |
| | of Shallow Foundation | |
| 2.3 | Loading Conditions | 7 |

| | 2.3.1 | Vertically Centric Loading | 7 |
|-----|---------|--------------------------------|----|
| | 2.3.2 | Vertically Eccentric Loading | 19 |
| 2.4 | Failure | e Mechanisms of Shallow | 26 |
| | Found | ation | |
| | 2.4.1 | General Shear Failure | 26 |
| | 2.4.2 | Local Shear Failure | 27 |
| | 2.4.3 | Punching Shear Failure | 29 |
| 2.5 | Elastic | e Settlement | 30 |
| | 2.5.1 | Theoretical and Experimental | 31 |
| | | Analysis of Elastic Settlement | |
| | | of Granular Soil | |
| 2.6 | Settler | nent Behavior of Eccentrically | 41 |
| | Loade | d Footing Resting on Sand | |
| 2.7 | Soil D | eformation Measurement | 44 |
| | 2.7.1 | Settlement Induced | 44 |
| | | Deformation | |
| | | 2.7.1.1 Particle image | 45 |
| | | velocimetry in | |
| | | Fluid Mechanics | |
| | | 2.7.1.2 PIV in Geotechnical | 45 |
| | | Application | |
| 2.8 | Princij | ple of PIV Operation | 47 |
| 2.9 | Close | Range Photogrammetry | 49 |
| | | | |
| RES | SEARC | H METHODOLOGY | 52 |
| 3.1 | Introd | luction | 52 |
| 3.2 | Sampl | e Preparation | 54 |
| 3.3 | Sampl | e Characterization | 54 |
| | 3.3.1 | Dry Sieve Analysis Test | 54 |
| | 3.3.2 | Small Pycnometer Test | 56 |
| | 3.3.3 | Relative Density Test | 59 |
| | 3.3.4 | Shear Box Test | 63 |
| 3.4 | Small | Physical Testing Chamber | 65 |

3

| 3.5 | Close Range Photogrammetry and PIV 67 | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----|
| | Techn | ique | |
| | 3.5.1 | Calibration | 69 |
| | 3.5.2 | Soil Deformation Analysis | 70 |
| | | 3.5.2.1 GeoPIV7_Launcher.txt | 71 |
| | | 3.5.2.2 GeoPIV7_mesh.txt | 73 |
| | | 3.5.2.3 Output Files:PIV-image | 74 |
| | | (n)_image (n+i).txt | |
| | | | |
| RES | SULT A | ND DISCUSSION | 76 |

| | 4.1 | Introd | luction | 70 | 6 |
|------------|-----|--------|--------------------------------------|----|----|
| | 4.2 | Soil C | lassification | 70 | 6 |
| | | 4.2.1 | Grain Size Distribution | 70 | 6 |
| | | 4.2.2 | Particle Density | 73 | 8 |
| | | 4.2.3 | Relative Density | 7 | 9 |
| | | 4.2.4 | shear Box Test | 8 | 0 |
| | 4.3 | Small | Physical Modelling Test | 8: | 5 |
| | | 4.3.1 | ultimate Bearing Capacity and | 8: | 5 |
| | | | Bearing Capacity Factor N_{γ} | | |
| | | 4.3.2 | Settlement Measurement | 8 | 7 |
| | 4.4 | PIV R | esult | 9 | 0 |
| | | | | | |
| 5 | CON | NCLUS | ION AND | 10 |)2 |
| | REC | COMM | ENDATIONS | | |
| | 5.1 | Introd | uction | 10 |)2 |
| | 5.2 | Concl | usion | 10 |)2 |
| | 5.3 | Future | eWork | 10 |)3 |
| | | | | | |
| REFERENCES | | | | 10 |)5 |

Appendices A-B

4

113-114

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------|------|
| 2.1 | Shape and Depth factors | 10 |
| 2.2 | Summary of Bearing Capacity Factor | 13 |
| 2.3 | Variation of a and k | 25 |
| 2.4 | Formulae of elastic settlement of sand | 32 |
| | based on in situ tests | |
| 2.5 | Influence Factors for Schultze and | 37 |
| | Sherif Method | |
| 2.6 | Variation of Is | 38 |
| 2.7 | Values of a and b | 39 |
| 2.8 | Equations for computing E_s by making | 41 |
| | use of SPT and CPT values | |
| 2.9 | Comparison of ultimate bearing | 42 |
| | pressure and settlements with | |
| | increasing eccentricity | |
| 3.1 | Representative values of Density | 60 |
| | Index and typical unit weights | |
| 4.1 | Soil Classification Data | 78 |
| 4.2 | Specific gravity for four pycnometers | 78 |
| 4.3 | Relative density test results | 79 |
| 4.4 | Data at ultimate points in Shear Box | 81 |
| | Tests | |

| 4.5 | Bearing capacity factor | 87 |
|-----|-------------------------------|----|
| 4.6 | load and settlement result | 87 |
| 4.7 | Comparison of theoretical and | 89 |
| | experimental settlement | |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------|----------------------------------------------------|------|
| 2.1 | Axial load-displacement capacity response of | 6 |
| | shallow foundations | |
| 2.2 | Terzaghi's Bearing Capacity Coefficient | 8 |
| 2.3 | Terzaghi's general shear failure surface | 17 |
| | underneath a strip footing | |
| 2.4 | Failure of soil below surface footings | 18 |
| 2.5 | Depth of failure zone as a function of \emptyset | 18 |
| 2.6 | Modified bearing capacity factor N_{γ}^{*} | 19 |
| 2.7 | Effective width and area | 20 |
| 2.8 | Moment due to eccentricity | 21 |
| 2.9 | Behaviors of the centrally and eccentrically | 22 |
| | loaded strip footings resting on the same soil | |
| 2.10 | Base normal stress distributions in Customary | 23 |
| | Analysis | |
| 2.11 | Reduction factor | 25 |
| 2.12 | General Shear failure | 27 |
| 2.13 | Local shear foundation failure | 28 |
| 2.14 | Failure Mechanisms with respect to relative | 29 |
| | density and embedment depth | |
| 2.15 | Punching shear failure | 30 |
| 2.16 | Schultze and Sherif (1973) Influence Factor Chart | 37 |
| 2.17 | Variation of K_E with D_r and N_{60} | 38 |
| 2.18 | Strain influence diagram suggested by Terzaghi et | 39 |
| | al, (1996) | |
| 2.19 | Variation of I_z'/I_z with D_f/B | 40 |

| 2.20 | Variation of Γ function | 40 |
|------|-----------------------------------------------------|----|
| 2.21 | Contact stress versus settlement of 0.25 m - 1.00 | 43 |
| | m footings | |
| 2.22 | Stress vs. normalized settlement | 44 |
| 2.23 | Image operation analysis | 46 |
| 2.24 | Correlation peak (a), enlarged correlation peak (b) | 47 |
| | and bi-cubic spline interpolation of cross | |
| | correlation peak (c) to define spatial coordinates | |
| 2.25 | PIV analysis flow chart | 49 |
| 2.26 | Vector of deformation using PIV | 50 |
| 2.27 | Contours of shear strains pattern using | 51 |
| | Photogrammetric Method | |
| 2.28 | Shear strain zone using PIV | 51 |
| 3.1 | Flow chart of the procedure involved in this study | 53 |
| 3.2 | Stack of sieves with pan at the bottom | 55 |
| 3.3 | Sieves during shaking | 56 |
| 3.4 | Removing air from the samples using vacuum | 58 |
| | desiccator | |
| 3.5 | Recording weight of each sample using sensitive | 59 |
| | balance | |
| 3.6 | Set of equipment in relative density test | 62 |
| 3.7 | Setup of equipment set with vibrating table | 62 |
| 3.8 | Set of equipment for sample preparation | 63 |
| 3.9 | Box sample in an outer box | 64 |
| 3.10 | Soil sample during Testing | 64 |
| 3.11 | Application of loading by strain controller | 66 |
| 3.12 | Dead Load System | 66 |
| 3.13 | Capturing Target Marker before applying load | 67 |
| 3.14 | Taking continuous shooting during application of | 68 |
| | load | |
| 3.15 | GeoPIV software usage | 70 |
| 3.16 | GeoPIV7_launcher.txt | 72 |
| 3.17 | Display options during GeoPIV analysis | 73 |
| 3.18 | GeoPIV7_mesh.txt | 74 |
| | | |

| 3.19 | PIV output file for strain measurement | 75 |
|------|----------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 4.1 | Particle size distribution curve | 77 |
| 4.2 | Shear Stress with Horizontal Displacement curve | 81 |
| | for Test 1 | |
| 4.3 | Vertical and Horizontal Displacement curve for | 82 |
| | Test 1 | |
| 4.4 | Shear stress and Horizontal Displacement curve | 82 |
| | for Test 2 | |
| 4.5 | Vertical and Horizontal Displacement curve for | 83 |
| | Test 2 | |
| 4.6 | Shear Stress with Horizontal Displacement curve | 83 |
| | for Test 3 | |
| 4.7 | Vertical and Horizontal Displacement curve for | 84 |
| | Test 3 | |
| 4.8 | Determination of angle of internal friction ($Ø_{sb}$) | 85 |
| 4.9 | Stress with strain curves | 86 |
| 4.10 | Effect of eccentricity settlement curve | 88 |
| 4.11 | Applied stress and relative settlement curve | 88 |
| 4.12 | Normalized stress and settlement | 89 |
| 4.13 | Validation of Settlement | 90 |
| 4.14 | Vector of Deformation for test 1 | 92 |
| 4.15 | Contour of Vertical Displacement for test 1 | 92 |
| 4.16 | Contour of Horizontal Displacement for test1 | 93 |
| 4.17 | Contour of Shear Strain for Test1 | 93 |
| 4.18 | Vector of deformation for test 2 | 94 |
| 4.19 | Contour of Vertical displacement for test 2 | 94 |
| 4.20 | Contour of Horizontal displacement for test 2 | 95 |
| 4.21 | Contour of Shear Strain for Test 2 | 95 |
| 4.22 | Vector of Deformation for Test 4 | 96 |
| 4.23 | Contour of Vertical displacement for Test 4 | 96 |
| 4.24 | Contour of Horizontal Displacement for Test 4 | 97 |
| 4.25 | Contour of Shear Strain for Test 4 | 97 |
| 4.26 | Vector of Deformation for Test 5 | 98 |
| 4.27 | Contour of Vertical Displacement for Test 5 | 98 |

| 4.28 | Horizontal Contour of Displacement for Test 5 | 99 |
|------|-----------------------------------------------|-----|
| 4.29 | Contour of Shear Strain for Test 5 | 99 |
| 4.30 | Vector of deformation for test 6 | 100 |
| 4.31 | Contour of Vertical Displacement for Test 6 | 100 |
| 4.32 | Contour of Horizontal Displacement for Test 6 | 101 |
| 4.33 | Contour of Shear Strain for Test 6 | 101 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| ASTM | - | American Society for Testing and Materials |
|------|---|--------------------------------------------------------|
| BC | - | Bearing Capacity |
| BS | - | British Standard |
| CCD | - | Charge Coupled Device |
| CPT | - | Static Cone Penetration Test |
| DMT | - | Flat Dilatometer Testing |
| FFT | - | Fast Fourier Transform |
| FS | - | Factor of Safety |
| LSF | - | Linear Scale Factor |
| LVDT | - | Linear Variable Differential Transformer Transducer |
| PIV | - | Particle Image Velocimetry |
| PLT | - | Plate Load Test |
| PMT | - | Pressuremeter Test |
| SPT | - | Standard Penetration Test |
| SW | - | Well-graded Sand |
| USCS | - | Unified Soil Classification System |

LIST OF SYMBOLS

| В | - | Footing Width |
|------------------------|---|-------------------------------------------------|
| Β' | - | Effective Width |
| B_R | | Reference footing width |
| C_c or C_r | - | Coefficient of Gradation |
| C _u | - | Coefficient of Uniformity |
| C _C | - | Compression Index |
| C_W | - | Water Correction Factor |
| C_D | - | Embedment Correction Factor |
| с′ | | Effective Cohesion |
| D' | - | Depth of Failure Surface |
| D_f | - | Footing Embedment Depth |
| <i>D</i> ₁₀ | | Particle Effective Size Correspond to 10% Finer |
| <i>D</i> ₃₀ | | Particle Size Correspond to 30% Finer |
| D ₆₀ | | Particle Size Correspond to 60% Finer |
| е | - | Eccentricity |
| eo | - | In Situ Void ratio |
| Es | | Modulus of Elasticity |
| G_s | - | Specific Gravity |
| $I_D or D_r$ | - | Relative Index or Relative Density |
| I _s | - | Strain Influence Factor |
| K_E | - | Dimensionless Modulus Number |

| L | - | Footing Length |
|----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------------------------|
| М | - | Moment |
| Ν | - | Blow count |
| N ₆₀ | - | Uncorrected Blow Count |
| $(N_1)_{60}$ | - | Corrected Blow Count |
| p' | - | Effective Overburden Stress at Mid-Depth |
| p_a | - | Atmospheric Pressure |
| q | - | Net Footing Stress |
| q_{min} | - | Minimum Footing Base Pressure |
| q_{max} | - | Maximum Footing Base Pressure |
| q_c | - | Cone Penetration Resistance |
| q_{ult} | - | Ultimate Bearing Capacity |
| q_{allow} | - | Allowable Bearing Capacity |
| Q_{uc} | - | Ultimate Load Capacity of Centrally loaded Footing |
| Q_{ue} | - | Ultimate Load Capacity of Eccentrically Loaded Footing |
| R_k | - | Bearing Capacity Reduction Factor |
| $R_{(s)}$ | - | Cross Correlation Estimator |
| $R_{n(s)}$ | - | Normalized Cross Correlation Estimator |
| $N_{c,} N_{q,} N_{\gamma}$ | - | Bearing Capacity Factors |
| $S_{c,} S_{q,} S_{\gamma}$ | - | Footing Shape Factors |
| $d_{c_{i}} d_{q_{i}} d_{\gamma}$ | - | Footing Depth Factors |
| $I_{c,} I_{q,} I_{\gamma}$ | - | Load Inclination Factors |
| N_{γ}^* | - | Modified Bearing Capacity Factors |
| Ø _{sb} | - | Angle of Internal Friction from Shear Box Test |
| ϕ_{tr} | - | Angle of Internal Friction from Triaxial Test |

| Ø _{pl} | - | Angle of Internal Friction from Plane Strain Condition |
|---------------------|---|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| Ø′ | - | Effective Angle of Internal Friction |
| Ø* | - | Modified Angle of Internal Friction |
| γ | - | Unit weight |
| Ydry | - | Dry Unit Weight |
| $\gamma_{dry(min)}$ | - | Minimum Dry Unit Weight |
| $\gamma_{dry(max)}$ | - | Maximum Dry Unit Weight |
| σ_o | - | Total Overburden Pressure |
| σ_o' | - | Effective Overburden Pressure |
| $\Delta\sigma'$ | - | Net Effective Stress |
| μ_s | - | Poissons' Ratio |
| ψ | - | Angle of Dilation |
| S _e | - | Elastic or Immediate Settlement |
| S | - | Patch Displacement |
| U | - | Patch Location |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX | TITLE | PAGE |
|----------|----------------------------|------|
| А | Data Sheet of Particle | 113 |
| | Density Test Calculation | |
| В | Data and calculation sheet | 114 |
| | of Relative Density Test | |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of research

Structures have often been supported by footings in a soil which is adequately strong to serve as bearing layer (Junhwan and Rodrigo, 2002). Settlements above tolerable limit under working loads generally impair serviceability and function of both foundation and the superstructure which ultimately leads to failure of infrastructures (Junhwan and Rodrigo, 2002). Thus, structures need to be supported by foundation such that settlement at the footing is kept within bearable limits. Therefore, it is imperative to estimate potential settlement to critically assess soil bearing capacity during the design of foundation such that settlement under working load is within the tolerable range. Basically settlement of foundation consists of two components which are the elastic and consolidation settlements (Braja et al, 2009). Thus, elastic settlement is common is sandy soil material. And is estimated based on linear elastic approach. However, foundation induced stress-strain in the soil is usually in the form of elasto-plastic range (Lee and Selgado, 2002). Granular soil material has been modeled by number of researchers in which deformations of sandy soil and bearing capacity factors under different loading situations such as centrally inclined, eccentrically inclined, eccentrically vertical, centrally vertical (Meyerhof, 1953; Meyerhof, 1963; Loukidis et al, 2008).

This has been confirmed by other researchers (Erol et al, 2009). It has been established that lateral forces due to water, earthquake and wind can subject

foundations to moments. Eccentricity in strip footing is defined as the ratio of moment (M) to vertical load (Q). As the eccentricity increases, ultimate load decreases usually. This leads to the formation of failure surface of eccentric footing largely at one side of the footing, as against nearly symmetrical failure surface for centric footing. (Meyerhof, 1953; Prakash and Saran, 1971; Uzuner, 1975; Moroglu et al, 2005) have all observed this type of failure mechanism for eccentric footing. Accordingly, it causes less failure compared to the same centric footing

Meyerhof (1953) effective width concept and conventional methods were used for calculating the ultimate load (Q_u) of the eccentrically loaded foundation. Meyerhof (1953) considered the ultimate load of eccentrically loaded strip footing equal to that of centrally loaded strip footing but with a reduced footing width, B. Linear stress distribution, vertical equilibrium for all forces, moment equilibrium were the assumptions made in the determination of normal base pressure distribution under an eccentrically loaded foundation using customary analysis. These assumptions were further confirmed (Uzuner, 1975).

The amount of settlement superstructure can tolerate and determines the design of foundation on sandy soil (Nova and Montrasio, 1991). Hadi and Ali (2010) have confirmed that excessive settlement causes structural damages due to loss of bearing capacity of the underlying soil. Thus, Shahriar (2012) suggested that foundations on cohesionless soil should be designed such that settlement is within tolerable limits. Otherwise, excessive vertical deformation will occur (D íz and Tomas, 2014). Other effects of settlements were the distortion of structural geometry due to tilting and angular distortion of superstructure (Saurabh et al, 2014). This further leads to cracks due to induced tensile stresses more that of the carrying capacity of the structure. Structural instability can also be generated due to formation of sudden joint as a results of large size cracks.

1.2 Problem Statement

It has been established that settlement of foundation beyond tolerable limits impairs the functionality and serviceability of superstructure. Thus, excessive settlement is caused by loss of soil bearing capacity underneath the footings. Consequently, leads to structural damages. Also settlements were known to cause the distortion of structural geometry due to tilting and angular distortion of superstructure. Eccentric loading, however, subjects the foundation to moments. Thus, leads to the formation of failure surface largely to one side of the footing. Therefore, this study will give an insight on the effects of eccentric loading induced settlement.

1.3 Aim and Objectives

The aim of this study is to observe the settlement behaviour of eccentrically loaded surface strip footing on a sandy soil.

The objectives of the study are as follows:

- 1. To evaluate the ultimate and allowable bearing capacity and verifying bearing capacity factor N_{γ} of sand under different eccentricity.
- 2. To determine the settlement of sandy soil under strip footing with different eccentricity ratio (e/B).
- To analyse the failure mechanism of strip footing on cohesionless soil by Close Range Photogrammetry and Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV) methods.
- 4. To verify the laboratory results with theory/analytical analysis for centrally loaded strip footing.

1.4 Scope and limitation of the research

In this research, physical properties tests and major physical chamber test were be conducted. Physical properties tests include sieve analysis, specific gravity, relative index, and Shear Box tests. Shear Box Test was used to obtain angle of internal friction of the soil. The material used in this research is sand with 50% relative density (medium packed sand). Then, from main chamber physical test, a strain controller was used to carry out the Bearing capacity test and determine the Bearing capacity factor N γ and dead load system was used to measure settlement of strip footing on a sandy soil with both centric and eccentric loading conditions respectively. Linear vertical displacement transducer (LVDT) and load cell were used to record displacements and stresses during loading. Close Range Photogrammetry and Particle image velocimetry (PIV) were utilized to reveal the failure surface pattern under strip footing.

1.5 Significance of research

The significance of this study is to investigate the behavior of granular soil, to observe the failure pattern and determination of bearing capacity factor under different eccentricity. The failure pattern under strip footing was revealed by particle image velocimetry.

REFERENCES

- Ahmadi, H., & Hajialilue-Bonab, M. (2012). Experimental and analytical investigations on bearing capacity of strip footing in reinforced sand backfills and flexible retaining wall. *Acta Geotechnica*. 7(4), 357-373.
- Allersma, H. G. (1998). Using imaging technologies in experimental geotechnics. In Imaging Technologies: Techniques and Applications in Civil Engineering. Second International Conference.
- Bishop, A.W. (1961). Discussions on "Soil Properties and their Measurement." Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Sod Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, Paris, Vol. 3, pp. 97-100.
- Bishop, A.W. (1966). The Strength of Soils as Engineering Materials. *Geotechnique*. Vol. 16, No. 2, pp. 91-128.
- Baraja M.Das., Cav it Atalar and Eun Chul Shin, (2009). 2nd International Conference on New Developments in Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering.
- Cerato, A. B., &Lutenegger, A. J. (2006). Bearing capacity of square and circular footings on a finite layer of granular soil underlain by a rigid base. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 132(11), 1496-1501.
- Chen, W.F. (1975). Limit Analysis and Soil Plasticity. Elsevier, New York, 638 p.
- Cornforth, D.H., 1964. Some experiments on the influence of strain conditions on Strength of sand. *Geotechnique*. 14, 143-167.
- Das, B. M. (2006). Principles of geotechnical engineering. *Thomson*, sixth edition.

- Das, B.M., (2011). Principles of foundation engineering. *Cengage learning*, seventh edition.
- Das, B. M., Atalar, C., & Shin, E. C. (2010). Developments in elastic settlement estimation procedures for shallow foundations on granular soil. Vol. 3, 851.
- Dewaikar D.M., Chore H.S., Gupta K.G and Kame G.S. "Behaviour of a square footing on reinforced soil: an experimental study" IGC 2009, *Guntur, India*.
- Díaz. E. and Tomás R., (2014)." Revisiting the effect of foundation embedment on elastic settlement: A new approach". *Computers and Geotechnics*. 62 (2014) 283–292.
- Elhakim, A. F. (2005). Evaluation of shallow foundation displacements using soil small-strain stiffness Doctoral dissertation, Georgia Institute of Technology).
- Fellenius, B. M., &Altaee, A. (1994). Stress and settlement of footings in sand. Geotechnical special publication, 1760-1760.
- Hansen, J.B. (1970). A Revised and Extended Formula for Bearing Capacity. *Danish Geotechnical institute, Copenhagen, Bulletin.* 28, pp. 5-11.
- Head, K. H., & Epps, R. (1986). Manual of soil laboratory testing (Vol. 2). London: *Pentech Press.*
- Head, K. H., & Epps, R. (1986). Manual of soil laboratory testing (Vol. 3). London: *Pentech Press.*
- Hjiaj, M., Lyamin, A. V., & Sloan, S. W. (2005). Numerical limit analysis solutions for the bearing capacity factor Nγ. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 42(5), 1681-1704.
- Huang, C.C., Tatsuoka, F., Sato, Y., 1994. Failure mechanisms of reinforced sand slopes loaded with a footing. *Soils and Foundations*. 34 (2), 27-40
- Hryciw, R. D., Raschke, S. A., Ghalib, A. M., Horner, D. A., & Peters, J. F. (1997).Video tracking for experimental validation of discrete element simulations of

large discontinuous deformations. *Computers and Geotechnics*, 21(3), 235-253.

- Ismael, N. F., 1985. Allowable bearing pressure from loading tests on Kuwaiti Soils. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, Vol. 22, No. 2, pp. 151 - 157.
- Kelly, P. (2014). Soil Structure Interaction and Group Mechanics of Vibrated Stone Column Foundations (Doctoral dissertation, University of Sheffield).
- Kaya, N., &Ornek, M. (2013).Experimental and numerical studies of T-shaped footings. Acta Geotechnica Slovenica, 10(1), 43-58.
- Kuo, C. Y., & Frost, J. D. (1996). Uniformity evaluation of cohesionless specimens using digital image analysis. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 122(5), 390-396.
- Lade, P., & Lee, K. L. (1976). Engineering properties of soils. Mechanics and Structures Department, School of Engineering and Applied Science, University of California.
- Lee, J. and Salgado, R., (2002). Estimation of footing settlement in sand. *The International journal of Geotechnics*, Vol 2, number 1, 1-28.
- Liu, J., &Iskander, M. G. (2010). Modelling capacity of transparent soil. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 47(4), 451-460.
- Loukidis D., T. Chakraborty and R. Salgado. (2008). Bearing capacity of strip footing on purely frictional soil under eccentric and inclined loads. *Canadian Journal of Geotech*, 45: 768-787.
- Lundgren, H., Mortensen, K., 1953. Determination by the theory of plasticity of the bearing capacity of continuous footings on sand. In: *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering*, Zu["] rich, Switzerland, pp.409–412.
- Lutenegger, A. J., & DeGroot, D. J. (1995). Settlement of shallow foundations on granular soils. University of Massachusetts Transportation Center..

- Meyerhof G.G. (1953). Bearing capacity of foundation under eccentric and inclined loads. *Proceeding of the 3rd international conference on soil mechanic and foundation engineering*, *Zurich*, vol. 1, 440-445.
- Meyerhof, G. G. (1963). Some recent research on the bearing capacity of foundations. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 1(1), 16-26.
- Michalowski, R.L. 1997. An estimate of the influence of soil weight on bearing capacity using limit analysis. *Soils and Foundations*, 37(4): 57–64.
- Mohamed, A. M. K., (2012). Experimental study of the behavior of the convex contact shape strip footing on sand. pp. 290 301.
- Moroglu, B., Uzuner, B. A., & Sadoglu, E. (2005). Behavior of the model surface strip footing on reinforced sand. *Indian Journal of Engineering and Material Sciences*, 12(5), 419-426.
- Murthy, V. N. S. (2002). Geotechnical engineering: principles and practices of soil mechanics and foundation engineering. *CRC Press*.
- Shahriar, M. A., Sivakugan, N., & Das, B. M. (2012). Strain influence factors for footings on an elastic medium.
- Nawghare, S. M., Pathak, S. R., & Gawande, S. H. (2010). Experimental investigations of bearing capacity for eccentrically loaded footing. *International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*, 2(10), 5257-5264.
- Nova, R. & Montrasio, L., (1991). Settlements of shallow foundations on sand. *Geotechnique*, 41. No. 2,243-256.
- Paikowsky, S. G., DiRocco, K. J., & Xi, F. (1993). Interparticle Contact Force Analysis and Measurements Using Photoelastic Techniques. In 2nd. Int. Conference on Discrete Element Methods (DEM), MIT, March (pp. 18-19).
- Patra, C. R., Das, B. M., & Shin, E. C. (2005). Ultimate Bearing Capacity of Eccentrically Loaded Strip Foundation on Sand Reinforced with Geogrids.

- Prakash, S., Saran, S., 1971. Bearing capacity of eccentrically loaded footings. Journal of Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering Division. ASCE. 97, 901-921
- Purkayastha, R. D. and Char, R. A. N. (1977). Stability Analysis for Eccentrically Loaded Footings. *Journal of the Geotechnical Engineering Division, ASCE*, vol. 103, No. 6, pp. 647-651.
- Sabry, M.I., (1985).settlement of a rigid footing resting on a granular soil stratum.master thesis, Carlelon University, Ottawa, Canada.
- Sadek, S., Iskander, M. G., & Liu, J. (2002). Geotechnical properties of transparent silica. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 39(1), 111-124.
- Sadoglu, E., Cure, E., Moroglu, B., Uzuner, B.A., 2009. Ultimate loads for eccentrically loaded model shallow strip footings on geotextile-reinfoced sand. Geotextiles and Geomembranes. 27, 176-182.
- Sahoo, R. R. (2013). Behaviour of eccentrically loaded shallow foundations on granular soil (Doctoral dissertation, National Institute of Technology Rourkela).
- Saurabh Prabhu, Sez Atamturktur, Denis Brosnan, Peter Messier and Rick Dorrance (2014). "Foundation settlement analysis of Fort Sumter National Monument: Model development and predictive assessment" /*Engineering Structures* 65 (2014) 1–12
- Shahriar, M. A., Sivakugan, N., & Das, B. M. (2012). Strain influence factors for footings on an elastic medium.
- Silvestri, V. (2003). A limit equilibrium solution for bearing capacity of strip foundations on sand. *Canadian geotechnical journal*, 40(2), 351-361.
- Smith, I. (2006). Bearing Capacity of Soils. In S. Ian, Smith's Elements of Soil Mechanics (p. 309). Blachwell.
- Stanier, S. A. (2011). Modelling the Behaviour of Helical Screw Piles (Doctoral dissertation, PhD thesis, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK).

- Stanier, S. A., & White, D. J. (2013). Improved image-based deformation measurement in the centrifuge environment. ASTM geotechnical testing journal, 36(6), 915-928.
- Sveen, J. K. (2004). An introduction to MatPIV v. 1.6. 1. Preprint series. Mechanics and Applied Mathematics. *http://urn. nb. No/URN: NBN*: no-23418.
- Taib, S. N. L., Hung, L. S., & Kolay, P. K. (2013). Laboratory Measurement of Displacement on Shallow Foundation in Uniform Sand using Particle Image Velocimetry Technique. *Jurnal Teknologi*. 61(3).
- Tomlinson, M. J., 1963. *Foundation Design and Construction*. Sir Isaac Pitman and Sons Ltd., First Edition, London, 749.
- Turker, E., Sadoglu, E., Cure, E., &Uzuner, B. A. (2014). Bearing Capacity of Eccentrically Loaded Strip Footings Close to Geotextile-Reinforced Sand Slope. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*.
- Uzuner, B. A. (1975). *Centrally and Eccentrically Loaded Strip Foundations on Sand*. Doctoral dissertation, University of Strathclyde.
- Venkatramaiah, C. (2006). Geotechnical engineering. New Age International.
- Vesic, A.S. (1973). Analysis of Ultimate Loads of Shallow Foundations. ASCE Journal of Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering Division. Vol. 99, No.SM1, pp. 45-73.
- White, D. J., Take, W. A., Bolton, M. D., & Munachen, S. E. (2001). A deformation measurement system for geotechnical testing based on digital imaging, closerange photogrammetry, and PIV image analysis. *In PROCEEDINGS OF THE INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON SOIL MECHANICS AND GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING*. (Vol. 1, pp. 539-542). AA BALKEMA PUBLISHERS.
- White, D. J., & Take, W. A. (2002). GeoPIV: Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV) software for use in geotechnical testing.

- White, D. J. (2002). *An investigation into the behaviour of pressed-in piles*. (Doctoral dissertation).
- White, D. J., Take, W. A., & Bolton, M. D. (2003). Soil deformation measurement using particle image velocimetry (PIV) and photogrammetry. *Geotechnique*, 53(7), 619-631.
- White, D. J. & Take, W. A., (2005). Application of particle image velocimetry (PIV) in centrifuge testing of uniform clay. *International Journal of Physical Modelling in Geotechnics*. 5, 27-31.
- Whitlow, R. (1995). Basic soil mechanics. Third edition.
- Zhu, F. (1999). *Centrifuge modelling and numerical analysis of bearing capacity of ring foundations on sand*. Doctor Philosophy, Memorial University.