

**DISPOSABLE CYSTEINE BASED ELECTROCHEMICAL IMPEDANCE
BIOSENSOR FOR SKIN SENSITIZATION ANALYSIS**

TEH UBAIDAH BT NOH

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

DISPOSABLE CYSTEINE BASED ELECTROCHEMICAL IMPEDANCE
BIOSENSOR FOR SKIN SENSITIZATION ANALYSIS

TEH UBAIDAH BT NOH

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Bioprocess)

Faculty of Chemical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

OCTOBER 2014

Dedicated to my beloved parents, bestfriends, and myself

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, Alhamdulillah. I would like to express my gratitude to Allah SWT for all of His gifts and strengths for me to complete this thesis. I want to dedicate this thesis to my parents, Noh Mohammad and Nor Azizan Agus, and my siblings for all their prayers, patience and full support. Because of them, I have the courage to go through the entire ordeal in completing my thesis.

I wish to verbalize my most sincere gratitude for my lovely supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Azila Abd. Aziz, that has provided me with the outmost support, understanding and kindness. I also like to express my gratitude to all of my examiners, technical staffs of Faculty Chemical Engineering and Mr. Abdul Rahim from Faculty of Science.

Subsequently, I would like to express my gratefulness to the PSM students, Syahidah and Azirah for helping me to understand this research and to my bestfriends, Noraayu, Norazila and Fatihah Hayati who have always given me the support and endless motivation to continue my studies. Besides that, to Mrs. Norhayati Mohamed Noor and all staffs in the Institute of Bioproduct Development (IBD), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, thank you for sharing with me the knowledge and kindly gave me the permission to use their apparatus and laboratory. Also, thanks to my labmates, Mastura, Wahida and Sakura who helped me during the experiments. Last but not least, a bunch of thank you to all of my friends that contributed their favors directly or indirectly in helping me to finish my thesis and gave a lot of strength to me. Thank you very much.

ABSTRACT

Nowadays, the personal care and cosmetics market is one of the largest markets in the world. However, some potential cosmetic ingredients may cause skin sensitization. Animal testing is deemed as a perfect but controversial solution to skin sensitization analysis. The European Union (EU), which has the most stringent and protective regulations for cosmetic has agreed to ban all tests related to animals. This has wide ranging implications for cosmetic companies worldwide as a cosmetic product which has been successfully registered in the EU can be easily registered worldwide. Thus, *in chemico*, *in silico* or *in vitro* alternative methods for the prediction of skin sensitization need to be introduced. The main purpose of this work was to investigate the performance of an impedance skin sensitizer biosensor obtained using self-assembly of cysteine on screen printed carbon electrode (SPCE) modified with gold nanoparticles (AuNPs). The basis of the biosensor developed in this work was that the conjugation of allergen to cysteine-AuNPs on SPCE would result in the commencement of the skin sensitization process. A biosensor with good reproducibility (relative standard deviations of 8.43 %) and sensitivity was obtained when 50 mM of cysteine was deposited on the AuNPs and left for 24 hours on the SPCE. The biosensor managed to successfully differentiate between water soluble mild, medium and strong sensitizers based on the values of the changes in charge transfer resistance (ΔR_{ct}). Different allergen concentrations did not significantly affect ΔR_{ct} readings (the range studied was between 10 to 90 mM). The biosensor in this research work was found to have the potential to be successfully used as an alternative method to animal testing for the detection of skin sensitizers.

ABSTRAK

Pada masa kini, pasaran produk penjagaan diri dan kosmetik adalah salah satu pasaran yang terbesar di dunia. Walau bagaimanapun, beberapa bahan yang berpotensi digunakan dalam kosmetik boleh menyebabkan perengsaan kulit. Ujian haiwan dianggap sebagai penyelesaian yang sempurna bagi analisis perengsaan kulit tetapi ia adalah penyelesaian yang kontroversial. Kesatuan Eropah yang mempunyai peraturan yang paling ketat untuk kosmetik telah bersetuju untuk mengharamkan semua ujian yang berkaitan dengan haiwan. Ini memberi implikasi yang besar kepada syarikat kosmetik di seluruh dunia kerana produk kosmetik yang berjaya didaftarkan di Kesatuan Eropah akan mudah didaftarkan di seluruh dunia. Oleh itu, kaedah alternatif '*in chemico*', '*in silico*' atau '*in vitro*' untuk ramalan perengsaan kulit perlu diperkenalkan. Tujuan utama penyelidikan ini adalah untuk mengkaji prestasi biosensor perengsa kulit berasaskan impedans yang diperoleh dengan kaedah pembentukan sendiri lapisan sisteina pada karbon elektrod dicetak skrin (SPCE) yang diubahsuai dengan menggunakan nanopartikel emas (AuNPs). Asas biosensor yang dibangunkan dalam kerja ini adalah konjugasi alergen dengan sisteina-AuNPs akan memulakan proses perengsaan kulit. Biosensor yang mempunyai kebolehulangan yang baik (sisihan piawai relatif 8.43 %) dan kepekaan yang baik telah diperoleh apabila 50 mM sisteina diletakkan di atas lapisan AuNPs dan ditinggalkan selama 24 jam. Biosensor ini berjaya membezakan antara bahan perengsa larut air yang dikelaskan sebagai perengsa yang rendah, sederhana dan kuat berdasarkan nilai perubahan rintangan pemindahan cas (ΔR_{ct}). Kepekatan alergen yang berbeza tidak memberi kesan yang ketara kepada bacaan ΔR_{ct} (julat kepekatan yang dikaji ialah diantara 10 hingga 90 mM). Biosensor dalam penyelidikan ini mempunyai potensi untuk digunakan dengan jayanya sebagai kaedah alternatif kepada ujian haiwan untuk mengesan perengsa kulit.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	vi
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vii
	ABSTRACT	viii
	ABSTRAK	ix
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	x
	LIST OF TABLES	xvi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xviii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxv
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of Study	1
	1.2 Problem Statements	5
	1.3 Objective	6
	1.4 Hypothesis	6
	1.5 Scopes of the Research	7

1.6 Rational and Significant	7
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 Skin Sensitization	8
2.2 Legal Requirements for Safety Ingredients in Cosmetic	9
2.3 International Organization for Safety Ingredients in Cosmetic	10
2.4 Cosmetic Ingredients Causing Skin Sensitization	11
2.4.1 Glutaraldehyde	12
2.4.2 Hydroquinone	13
2.4.3 Phenylacetaldehyde	13
2.4.4 Citral	14
2.4.5 Benzyl Benzoate	14
2.5 Testing for Safety Cosmetic	14
2.5.1 <i>In vivo</i> Method for Skin Sensitizer	15
2.5.2 <i>In vitro</i> Method for Skin Sensitizer	15
2.5.3 <i>In chemico</i> Method for Skin Sensitizer	17
2.5.4 SPR for Skin Sensitizer	17
2.6 Definition of Impedance Biosensor	18
2.6.1 Direct Biosensor	19
2.6.2 Indirect Biosensor	20
2.7 Biosensor Construction	21
2.7.1 Biological Recognition Elements	21
2.7.1.1 Enzyme Based Recognition	22

2.7.1.2 Antibody Based Recognition	23
2.7.1.3 Peptides/protein Based Recognition	25
2.7.2 Immobilization Method of Biological Recognition Elements	26
2.7.2.1 Membrane	26
2.7.2.2 SAM	28
2.7.3 Transducer of Biosensor	29
2.7.3.1. Amperometry	30
2.7.3.2. Potentiometry	31
2.7.3.3 Impedance	32
2.7.3.3 (a) Nonfaradaic and Faradaic	33
2.8 Principles of EIS Measurements	36
2.8.1 Frequency Range for EIS	38
2.8.2 Interpretation of Data Analysis EIS	39
2.8.2.1 Polarization Resistance (R_p)	40
2.8.2.2 Capacitance (C)	41
2.8.2.3 Warburg Impedance (W)	42
2.8.2.4 Charge Transfer Resistance (R_{ct})	42
2.8.2.5 Constant Phase Element (CPE)	43
2.9 Causes of Impedance Change	43
2.10 Summary	45
3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	47
3.1 Flow Chart of Experiments	47
3.2 Chemicals Reagents and Solution	48

3.3 Equipments	49
3.3.1 Characterization of Surface on Modified SPCE	49
3.3.1.1 FESEM	49
3.3.2 The Rct Changes Analysis	50
3.3.2.1 Autolab PGSTAT 30	50
3.4 Experimental Procedures	51
3.4.1 Fabrication of Modified SPCE	51
3.4.2 Supporting Electrolyte	51
3.4.3 Preparation of Allergen	52
3.4.4 EIS Experiment	53
3.5 Preparation of a Label-Free Impedance Biosensor for Skin Sensitizers	55
3.5.1 Modifying SPCE with AuNPs and Cysteine	55
3.5.1.1 AuNPs Electrodeposition with Sodium Citrate ($C_6H_5Na_3O_7$)	55
3.5.1.2 AuNPs Electrodeposition with Potassium Nitrate (KNO_3)	56
3.5.1.3 AuNPs Electrodeposition with Sodium Citrate ($C_6H_5Na_3O_7$) and 11-Mercaptoundecanoic Acid (MUA)	56
3.5.1.4 Growth of AuNPs on SPCE without the Addition of Cysteine	57
3.5.1.5 Growth of AuNPs on SPCE with Cysteine	57
3.6 Characterization of a Label-Free Impedance Biosensor for Skin Sensitizers	58
3.6.1 Stability of Modified SPCE in Storage Time	58
3.6.2 Surface Characterization of Cysteine with AuNPs on SPCE	58

3.6.3	Reproducibility of Cysteine-AuNPs on SPCE	58
3.7	Performance of a Label-Free Impedance Biosensor for Skin Sensitizers	59
3.7.1	The Effect of Allergen Based on Different Classes of Sensitization Allergen	59
3.7.2	The Effects of Contact Time of Allergen on Biosensor Performance	59
3.7.3	The Effect of Concentrations of Allergen	60
3.7.4	Skin Sensitization of Extract A and B	60
4	RESULT AND DISCUSSION	61
4.1	Introduction	61
4.2	Preparation of Label-Free Impedance Biosensor for Skin Sensitizers	62
4.2.1	Modifying SPCE with AuNPs and Cysteine	62
4.2.1.1	AuNPs Electrodeposition with $C_6H_5Na_3O_7$	63
4.2.1.2	AuNPs Electrodeposition with KNO_3	65
4.2.1.3	AuNPs Electrodeposition with $C_6H_5Na_3O_7$ and MUA	66
4.2.1.4	Growth of AuNPs on SPCE	67
4.2.1.5	Growth of AuNPs on SPCE using Cysteine as Additive	68
4.2.2	The Effect of Cysteine Concentration	70
4.2.3	The Effect of Deposition Time of Cysteine	74
4.3	Characterization of Label-Free Impedance Biosensor for Skin Sensitizer	77

4.3.1	Stability of Modified SPCE in Storage Time	77
4.3.2	Surface Characterization of Cysteine with AuNPs on SPCE	80
4.3.3	Reproducibility of Label-Free Impedance Biosensor	83
4.4	Performance of Label-Free Impedance Biosensor for Skin Sensitizers	84
4.4.1	The Effect of Allergen Based on Different Classes of Sensitization Allergen	84
4.4.2	The Effects of Contact Time of Allergen on Biosensor Performance	92
4.4.3	The Effect of Concentrations of Allergen	94
4.4.3.1	Strong Skin Sensitizer (Maleic Anhydride)	94
4.4.3.2	Mild Skin Sensitizer (Glycerine)	97
4.4.4	Skin Sensitization of Extract A and B	100
5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	103
5.1	Conclusions	103
5.2	Recommendations	104
	REFERENCES	105
	Appendices A	119

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	The international organization that responsible in safety ingredient in cosmetic	10
2.2	Classification of relative skin sensitization potency based on LLNA EC3 values	12
2.3	<i>In vitro</i> method in six categories	16
2.4	Type of transducers and measured mode	30
3.1	List of allergen according to class of sensitization	52
4.1	The impedance parameter at different concentrations of cysteine on AuNPs deposition for 24hours	73
4.2	The effect of duration of cysteine attachment on AuNPs layer on impedance study	73
4.3	Impedance readings of modified SPCE immersed in phosphate buffer solution at 4°C (5 mM of K ₃ Fe(CN) ₆) in PBS)	78
4.4	Eight sets of impedance data for reproducibility study of the biosensor	83
4.5	The result for RSD of cysteine-AuNPs layer	84
4.6	The different of class of sensitization for allergen against ΔR_{ct_b}	89
4.7	The effect of allergen incubation time on biosensor readings	93

4.8	The effect of concentrations of maleic anhydride on biosensor readings	96
4.9	The different concentration of glycerine for low sensitization or non sensitization class	98
4.10	The comparison results of extract A and B by using EIS and DPRA.	102

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	An illustration diagram of the mechanism of skin sensitization	9
2.2	Simple schematic of an impedance biosensor	19
2.3	Comparison between direct biosensor and indirect biosensor. (A) Direct detection biosensor and (B) indirect biosensors where the analyte is detected by labeled molecule	20
2.4	Flowchart of biosensor elements that is biorecognition, interface and transducer elements	22
2.5	Schematic diagrams of the enzyme glucose sensors for first generation	23
2.6	Schematic diagrams for the general immunosensor figuration	24
2.7	Model nucleophiles represent the reactive group in amino acid (in the box) of cysteine, lysine and histidine	25
2.8	Schematic diagram of the nanoporous alumina membrane in the glucose affinity sensor	27
2.9	Schematic illustration for the surface functionalization of the gold electrode for DNA sequence detection	29

2.10	Schematic diagram principle of amperometric (M _{red} : reduction mediator, M _{ox} : oxidation mediator, and e ⁻ : electron)	29
2.11	Schematic illustration principle of potentiometric (-: anion and +: cation)	32
2.12	Basic circuit models for (a) nonfaradaic interface and (b) faradaic interface	34
2.13	Example of faradaic and nonfaradaic impedance data in (a) Nyquist with (b) Bode plots	35
2.14	Nyquist plot for electrochemical system	37
2.15	Bode Plot for electrochemical system	38
2.16	Equivalent circuits with mixed charge transfer and kinetic control in Randles cell	40
2.17	Schematic diagram of the (a) equivalent circuit to fit impedance spectra and (b) R _{ct} changes in Nyquist plot	44
3.1	Flow chart for the impedance skin sensitization biosensor based on cysteine-AuNPs on SPCE experiments	48
3.2	Autolab PGSTAT 30 with Autolab Software version 4.9 in Faculty of Science, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, UTM Skudai, Johor.	50
3.3	Fabrication layer of SPCE modified with self-assembly of cysteine on AuNPs	51
3.4	Schematic of SPCE	53
3.5	The cable wire connector for SPCE	54
3.6	The set up for EIS experiment	55
4.1	Schematic model of AuNPs and cysteine attach on SPCE	63
4.2	Nyquist plot of SPCE modified with AuNPs via electrodeposition in the presence of C ₆ H ₅ Na ₃ O ₇ with and without cysteine.	64

4.3	Nyquist plot of SPCE modified with AuNPs via electrodeposition in the presence of KNO_3 with and without cysteine.	65
4.4	Nyquist plot of SPCE modified with AuNPs via electrodeposition in the presence of $\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{Na}_3\text{O}_7$ and MUA with and without cysteine.	67
4.5	Nyquist plot of SPCE modified with AuNPs via modification of seed growth method with and without cysteine.	68
4.6	Nyquist plot of SPCE modified with AuNPs via modification of seed growth method with cysteine as surface additive with cysteine and without cysteine as the second layer.	70
4.7	Nyquist plots of SPCE modified with AuNPs via modification of seed growth method with cysteine as surface additive at different concentrations of cysteine on top of the modified SPCE	71
4.8	Bode plots of various concentrations of cysteine on AuNPs on SPCE	72
4.9	The relationship between concentration of cysteine and ΔR_{ct_a}	74
4.10	Comparison of Nyquist plots of the AuNPs and cysteine modified SPCE electrode at different durations of cysteine attachment	75
4.11	Bode plots of the AuNPs and cysteine modified SPCE electrode at various duration of cysteine attachment	76
4.12	The relationship between duration of deposition of cysteine on AuNPs layer and ΔR_{ct_a} .	77
4.13	Nyquist plots of the modified SPCE during storage.	79
4.14	Bode plots of the modified SPCE during storage	79
4.15	The stability of the modified SPCE stored in PBS at 4°C	80
4.16	The AuNPs on the working electrode of SPCE: (a) at 5.00Kx magnification (b) at 2.50Kx magnification.	81

4.17	The immobilization of cysteine SAM on AuNPs on SPCE: (a) at 5.00Kx magnification (b) at 2.50Kx magnification	82
4.18	Illustration diagram of immobilization of allergen to cysteine-AuNPs on SPCE	86
4.19	Comparison of Nyquist plot before and after allergen immobilization	87
4.20	The graph of different allergen against ΔR_{ct_b} based on class of skin sensitization	91
4.21	The Nyquist plots of the effect of allergen incubation time on biosensor readings	92
4.22	The Bode plots of the effect of allergen incubation time on biosensor readings	93
4.23	The relationship between allergen incubation time and ΔR_{ct_b}	94
4.24	The Nyquist plots of impedance readings of different concentrations of maleic anhydride	95
4.25	The Bode plots of impedance readings of different concentrations of maleic anhydride	96
4.26	The graph of different concentration of maleic anhydride against ΔR_{ct_b}	97
4.27	The Nyquist plots of impedance readings of different concentrations of glycerine	99
4.28	The Bode plots of impedance readings of different concentrations of glycerine	99
4.29	The graph of different concentration of glycerine against ΔR_{ct_b}	100
4.30	Classification tree model based on the average of cysteine (1:10) and lysine (1:50) data	101

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AC	-	Alternation current
ACD	-	Allergic contact dermatitis
AuNPs	-	Gold nanoparticles
C	-	Capacitors
CE	-	Counter electrode
CIR	-	Cosmetic ingredient review
CPE	-	Constant phase element
CTFRA	-	Cosmetic, toiletries and fragrance
DC	-	Direct current
DPRA	-	Direct peptide reactivity assay
E	-	Extreme
EE	-	Epidermal equivalent
EEC	-	European economic community
EIS	-	Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy
EU	-	European union
FESEM	-	Field scanning electrons microscoping
FDA	-	Food and drug administration
FRA	-	Frequency response analysis

GHS	-	Globally harmonized system
HcLAT	-	Human cell line activation test
HET-CAM		Hen's egg test chorio-allantoic membrane
HPLC	-	High-performance liquid chromatography
HSA	-	Human serum albumin
IFRA	-	International fragrance association
INRA		Institute national de la recherche agronomique
ISE	-	Ion selective electrode
IUPAC	-	International union of pure and applied chemistry
LLNA	-	Local lymph node assay
LVMH	-	Louis vuitton moët hennessy
M	-	Moderate
MUSST	-	Myeloid u937 skin sensitization test
n	-	Roughness of the electrode
NS	-	Non-sensitizer
NLLS	-	Nonlinear least squares
PBS	-	Phosphate buffer saline
S	-	Strong
SAM	-	Self –assembled monolayer
SPCE	-	Screen printed carbon electrode
SPR	-	Surface plasmon resonance
Rct	-	Charge transfer resistance
RE	-	Reference electrode
r-LLNA	-	Reduced local lymph node assay

Rs	-	Solution resistance
RSD	-	Relative standard deviation
W	-	Warburg impedance
w	-	Weak
WE	-	Working electrode

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Cosmetic ingredients causing skin sensitization and their potency category based on LLNA Data	119

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTON

1.1 Background of Study

Cosmetics and personal cares products are always referred to as any substance used to beautify, cleanse and promote attractiveness of the human body. Although cosmetics and personal cares products can help to beautify the body, they can also cause skin allergies or skin sensitization. Certain ingredients used for the production of cosmetic products such as fragrance and preservatives can act as allergens or substances that can trigger allergic reaction or skin sensitization. Hence, to ensure that cosmetics are safe for the consumers, safety analysis of cosmetic ingredients should always be performed (Basketter *et al.*, 2008).

Skin sensitizers are substances that are able to elicit an allergic response following contact with the skin. Allergic contact dermatitis is the second common occupational illness with 10 % to 15 % cases reported throughout the world. Toxicity testing using animals is usually conducted to ensure that cosmetics and personal cares product are free from dangerous toxics that can cause eye, skin and other irritations.

However, animal testing is controversial, particularly for cosmetic development, and a lot of efforts have been expended to replace animal test in cosmetics. Regarding skin sensitization, one validated alternative method is the mouse local lymph node assay (LLNA) (Alexandre *et al.*, 2011). The LLNA is a predictive test to identify chemicals that have potential to cause skin sensitization by using guinea pig and human data (Ryan *et al.*, 2000). LLNA is an *in vivo* method but with potential to reduce the number of animals required to assess the allergenic contact sensitizing activity and offers a substantial refinement of the way in which the animals are used (Basketter and Kimber, 2006). LLNA for skin sensitization testing was performed until March 2013.

Animal testing of cosmetic ingredients was completely banned in Europe starting from March 2013. Non-animal methods have been introduced such as cell culture analysis, microorganism studies, human skin recombinants, and embryonic testing for prediction of skin sensitization (Chew and Maibach, 2006). However, a validated non-animal method is not yet available for assessing skin sensitization. At present, there are a few non-animal test methods, such as direct peptide reactivity assay (DPRA), KeratinosensTM, human cell line activation test (hCLAT) and myeloid U937 skin sensitization test (MUSST) which measures the induction of protein markers associated with dendritic cell maturation *in vivo* following exposure to the chemicals. The results are expected to be out in late 2013 or early 2014. It has been anticipated however that, it will take at least another 3-5 years for the full replacement of the currently used animal models to assess sensitization (Adler *et al.*, 2011).

Some researchers published *in vitro* methods based on skin dendritic cell lines and keratinocytes (Ashikaga *et al.*, 2006; Ryan *et al.*, 2007). Schoeters *et al.* (2007) investigated whether different gene expression patterns in dendritic cells are relevant for prediction of chemicals sensitizing potential using microarray technology.

Other than that, some alternative methods to replace animal testing are based on electrophilic assays. The electrophilic properties of allergens may enable reaction with skin nucleophiles to form macromolecular immunogens (Aptula *et al.*, 2005). The reactivity of nucleophiles might then be used as a skin sensitizer screening tool. The strongest potential nucleophiles in proteins are the sulfhydryl group of cysteine, e-amino group of lysine and imidazole group of histidine (Divkovic *et al.*, 2003). Recently published methods are by: 1) using human serum albumin (HAS) reactivity to find the types of amino acids modified and the nature of haptentation by exact mass shift determination and sequencing, 2) using glutathione for non-enzymatic thiol reactivity combined with *in vitro* toxicity measurement (Aptula *et al.*, 2006) and 3) using HPLC for synthetic peptides reactivity (Gerberick *et al.*, 2004).

Incorporation of lysine into the peroxidase peptide reactivity assay for skin sensitization assessments was reported by Troutman *et al.* (2011). The researchers reported that practical quantitative *in chemico* reactivity assay for screening contact allergens had been incorporated into the liquid chromatography for reactivity assessments of haptent and pre-/pro-haptent chemical sensitizers. On the other hand, investigation of pro-haptent skin sensitizer peptide reactivity using a peroxidase-peroxide oxidation system was investigated by Gerberick *et al.* (2009). The work showed the potential to merge an enzyme-mediated approach by using hydrogen peroxide and horseradish peroxidase for assessing the skin sensitization potential of pro-haptents.

In 2010, Institute National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA) researchers collaborated with Louis Vuitton Moët Hennessy (LVMH) to produce potential biosensors that use surface plasmon resonance (SPR) technology to assess the risks of skin sensitization by chemical substances for the first response studies (Achilleos *et al.*, 2009). SPR is used to measure the interaction between analyte and ligand immobilized on the surface of metallic layer based on the variations in the connection's refractive index when the analyte interacts with the ligand (David Myszka, 1999).

SPR biosensors technology involves label-free binding interactions between an analyte in solution and an immobilized ligand, being monitored directly by changes in refractive index at the biosensors surface. The researchers proved the applicability of SPR in characterizing small molecule interactions: drug–protein interactions (Touil *et al.*, 2005), antigen–antibody interactions (Aizawa *et al.*, 2007), inhibitor–enzyme interactions (Stenlund *et al.*, 2006) and small molecule–nucleic acid interactions (Cao *et al.*, 2007).

SPR can also measure equilibrium analysis in affinity and enthalpy. The kinetic measurements by SPR also generated real-time binding data that matched to the studies of binding kinetics (Van der Merwe, 2001). However, SPR has several disadvantages. One of them is long response time to analyze the analyte. SPR is not suitable for concentration measurement because it requires long equilibration period. It also has low sensitivity, high regeneration time, high-cost detection, blockages or air bubbles occurrence during extended experiments and others (Francis Markey, 1999).

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) would be one of the alternative methods to solve the problems faced by SPR. EIS is rapidly developing due to their low-cost detection, high sensitivity, simplicity and miniaturization compared to SPR (Daniel and Pourmand, 2008). Using very small amplitude voltage signals, EIS can measure electrochemical events without disturbing the properties of the analytes. Besides, EIS can provide repeatable and accurate measurements of surface conditions such as the adsorption and desorption process at the electrode surface. Furthermore, EIS allows complex biorecognition events to be probed in a sensitive, simple and label-free strategy (Chen *et al.*, 2011). Label-free biosensor is a direct detection measurement of the biological interaction and do not required labeling for detection.

Chemical allergens can react with proteins to produce stable covalent bonds that can results in skin sensitization. Chemical allergens are electrophilic and can

react with nucleophilic amino acids (peptide) such as histidine cysteine, and lysine. Divkovic *et al.* (2003) reported that the strongest potential nucleophiles in proteins are the imidazole group of histidine, the ϵ -amino group of lysine, and the sulfhydryl group of cysteine. In this work, EIS has been proposed as a method that can be utilized to probe the haptentation process between the chemical allergen and the nucleophilic amino acid, thus making it a potential method that can be used in a skin sensitization biosensor.

Cysteine cannot be immobilized directly to screen printed carbon electrode (SPCE). Alternatively, gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) can be used as the first layer on SPCE to immobilize the self assembled cysteine (Pooi See *et al.*, 2011). In this work, comparison between AuNPs electrodeposition and growth method was performed to modify the SPCE. Gold electrodeposition is a process that uses electrical current to reduce gold solution to form a gold coating on an electrode. It mostly changes the surface properties of an electrode and is equivalent to the electroplating process. The synthesis of AuNPs by seeding growth approach method in the presence of cysteine as a reducing agent and surface additive is a recent popular study. The size of AuNPs contributes to the size of the nucleation site in which decreasing the AuNPs size resulted in increasing the size of the nucleation sites. The AuNPs can be fabricated and grown through slow diffusion onto the surface electrode (Pranjal Chandra *et al.*, 2013).

1.2 Problem Statements

Due to the limitation in using animal models for the testing of the safety of cosmetic ingredients, a potential skin sensitizer biosensor based on EIS was proposed in this study. Haptentation process was expected to bring about a measurable change in impedance. No biosensor of this kind has been proposed before, thus this study aimed to prove this concept.

Electrochemical measurements are typically analyzed using conventional three cell electrodes cell. However, for miniaturization purpose, SPCE can be used to replace the conventional electrode cell for developing biosensors. This is because it is disposable and is cost effective (Loaiza Oscar *et al.*, 2011). In this work, cysteine was chosen as the nucleophilic amino acid for the main biorecognition element for the biosensor. Cysteine is more reactive than lysine and histidine to screen allergens against the amino acid in direct binding assay (Achilleos *et al.*, 2009). Unfortunately, cysteine cannot be immobilized directly on to SPCE. Alternatively, AuNPs are used as the first layer on SPCE and cysteine was then immobilized on top of the AuNPs (Pooi See *et al.*, 2011).

Proper immobilization of AuNPs onto the SPCE will influence the surface area and particle size of AuNPs (Dolati *et al.*, 2011). By using proper additive in the AuNPs deposition method, the surface area of AuNPs on SPCE will be increased and the particle size will be smaller.

1.3 Objective

The main purpose of this work was to develop a label-free impedance biosensor based on cysteine for skin sensitization studies.

1.4 Hypothesis

The Rct for different classes of skin sensitization allergen will produce different values. The high classes of skin sensitization allergen will produce high Rct

compared to medium classes of skin sensitization allergen while low classes of skin sensitization will result in the lowest value for R_{ct} .

1.5 Scopes of the Research

Below is the scope of this work:

- I. Preparation of a label-free impedance biosensor. Modifying SPCE with AuNPs and cysteine, the effects of cysteine concentration and deposition time of the immobilization process of SPCE were investigated.
- II. Investigations on the characteristics of a label-free impedance biosensor in terms of biosensor stability, surface characterization of the SPCE and reproducibility of the label-free impedance biosensor.
- III. Determination of a label-free impedance biosensor performance including the effect of contact time of allergens, concentration of allergen, different classes of sensitization of allergen towards cysteine and skin sensitization analysis of extract A and B.

1.6 Rational and Significant

This biosensor will become a contribution to humankind and can act as an essential tool to detect the presence of potential allergen in cosmetic. The biosensor in this research is more identical to a diagnostic kit in terms of its qualitative measurements. This biosensor is also environmental-friendly and can provide detection at low-cost.

REFERENCES

- Achilleos, C., M. Tailhardat Pascal Courtellemont, Béatrice Le Varlet, and Didier Dupont (2009). Investigation of surface plasmon resonance biosensor for skin sensitizers studies. *Toxicology in Vitro*. 23 (2), 308-318.
- Adler, S., Basketter, D., and Creton, S. (2011). Alternative (non-animal) methods for cosmetics testing: current status and future prospects-2010. *Archives of Toxicology*. 85, 367-485.
- Aizawa, H., Tozuka, M., Kurosawa, S., Kobayashi, K., Reddy, S.M., and Higuchi, M. (2007). Surface plasmon resonance based trace detection of small molecules by competitive and signal enhancement immunoreaction. *Analytica Chemical Acta*. 59, 191–194.
- Akyilmaz, E. and Dinçkaya, E (2005). An amperometric microbial biosensor development based on *Candida tropicalis* yeast cells for sensitive determination of ethanol. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*. 20(7), 1263-1239.
- Aleksic, M., Thain, E., Roger, D., Saib, O., Davies, M., Li, J., Aptula, A., and Zazzeroni, R. (2009). Reactivity profiling: covalent modification of single nucleophile peptides for skin sensitization risk assessment. *Toxicology Science*. 108, 401-411.
- Alexandre Angers-Loustau, Luca Tosti, and Silvia Casati (2011). The regulatory use of the Local Lymph Node Assay for the notification of new chemicals in Europe. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*. 60, 300–307.
- Anuji Abraham, Gullion Terry, Andrew J. Ilott, and Joel Miller (2012). H MAS NMR study of cysteine-coated AuNPs. *Journal of physical chemistry B*. 116, 7771-7775.
- Ashikaga, T., Yoshida, Y., Hirota, M., Yoneyama, K., Itagaki, H., Sakaguchi, H., Miyazawa, M., Ito, Y., Suzuki, H., and Toyoda, H. (2006). Development of an

- in vitro skin sensitization test human cell lines: the human cell line activation test (h-CLAT). *Toxicology in Vitro*. 20, 767–773.
- Aptula, A.O., Patlewicz, G., and Roberts, D.W. (2005). Skin sensitization: reaction mechanistic applicability domains for structure–activity relationships. *Chemical Research in Toxicology*. 18, 1420–1426.
- Aptula, A.O., Patlewicz, G., Roberts, D.W. and Schultz, T.W. (2006). Non-enzymatic glutathione reactivity and in vitro toxicity: a non-animal approach to skin sensitization. *Toxicology in Vitro*. 20, 239–247.
- Bard, A.J. and Faulkner, L.R. (2001). *Electrochemical Methods: Fundamentals and Applications*. New York: Wiley.
- Barhoumi, A.H., Maaref, A., Rammah, M., Martelet, C., Jaffrezic, N., Mousty, C., Vial, S., and Forano, C. (2006). Urea biosensor based on Zn₃Al -Urease layered double hydroxides nanohybrid coated on insulated silicon structures. *Materials Science and Engineering C*. 26, 328–333.
- Barsoukov, E. and J.R. Macdonald, Eds (2005). *Impedance Spectroscopy - Theory, Experiment, and Applications*. Wiley: Interscience.
- Barton, A.C., Stuart ,D., Collyer, Frank Davis, Goulielmos-Zois Garifallou, Georgios Tsekenis, Elizabeth Tully, Richard O'kenedy, Tim Gibson, Paul Millner, A., and Aseamus Higson, P.J. (2009). Labelless Ac impedimetric antibody-based sensors with pgml⁻¹ sensitives for point-of-care biomedical applications. *Biosensor and Bioelectronics*. 24, 1090-1095.
- Basketter, D., Darlenski, R., and Fluhr, J.W. (2008). Skin irritation and sensitization: mechanisms and new approaches for risk assessment. *Skin Pharmacology and Physiology*. 21, 191–202.
- Basketter, David A. (2012). The Identification of Skin Allergens by In Vivo Assay. *Kanerva's Occupational Dermatology*. 1147-1153.
- Basketter , DA., and Kimber, I. (2006). Predictive test for irritants and allergens and their use in quantitative risk assessment. 4th edition. In: Frosch PJ, Menné T, Lepoittevin J-P, editors. *Contact Dermatitis*. 179-188.
- Basketter, D. A., Lea, L. J., Cooper, K., Dickens, A., Briggs, D., Pate, I., Dearman, R.J., and Kimber, L. (1999). A comparisan of statistical approaches to derivation of EC3 values from local lymph node assay dose responses. *Journal Application of Toxicological*. 19, 261-266.

- Basketter, D.A., and Scholes, E.W. (1992). Comparison of the local lymph node assay with the guinea pig maximization test for the detection of a range of contact allergens. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*. 30, 65–69.
- Bauch C., Susanne N. Kolle, Eric Fabian, Christina Pachel, Tzutzuy Ramirez, Benjamin Wiench, Christoph J. Wruck, Bennard van Ravenzwaay, and Robert Landsiedel. (2011). Intralaboratory validation of four *in vitro* assays for the prediction of the skin sensitizing potential of chemicals. *Toxicology in Vitro*. 25 (6), 1162-1168.
- Bergfeld WF, Belsito DV, Marks JG. Jr, and Andersen FA. (2005). Safety of ingredients used in cosmetics. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*. 52(1), 125-32.
- Bernalte, E., Mari´n Sa´nchez, C. and Pinilla Gil, E. (2012). Determination of Mercury in indoor dust samples by ultrasonic probe microextraction and stripping voltammetry on AuNPs-modified screen-printed electrodes. *Talanta*. 97, 187–192.
- Bill Harris (1999). Exploiting antibody-based technologies to manage environmental pollution. *Trends in Biotechnology*. 17 (7), 290–296.
- Boss, C., Eric Meurvillea, Jean-Michel Sallese, and Peter Rysera (2012). Size-selective diffusion in nanoporous alumina membranes for a glucose affinity sensor. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 401– 402, 217– 221.
- Bruze M, Andersen KE, and Goossens A. (2008). Recommendation to include fragrance mix 2 and hydroxyisohexyl 3-cyclohexene carboxaldehyde (Lyr) in the European baseline patch test series. *Contact Dermatitis*. 58(3), 129-33.
- Cai,H., Lee, TMH., and Hsing, IM. (2006). Label-free protein recognition using an aptamer-based impedance measurement assay. *Sensors & Actuators B*. 114, 433-437.
- Casati, S., Aeby, P., Basketter, D.A., Cavani, A., Gennari, A., Gerberick, G.F., Griem, P., Hartung, T., Kimber, I., Lepoittevin, J.P., Meade, B.J., Pallardy, M., Rougier, N., Rousset, F., Rubinstenn, G., Sallusto, F., Verheyen, and G.R., Zuang, V. (2005). Dendritic cells as a tool for the predictive identification of skin sensitisation hazard. *Atla-Alternatives to Laboratory Animals*. 33, 47–62.
- Cash Kevin J., Francesco Ricci, and Kevin Plaxco, W. (2009). An Electrochemical Sensor for the detection of protein-small molecule interactions directly in

- serum and other complex matrices. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 131(20), 6955-6957.
- Castillo-Leon, J., Bruno Viguier, Kinga Zor, Emmanouil Kasotakis, Anna Mitraki, Casper Clausen, H., and Winnie Svendsen, E. (2011). Development of an Electrochemical Metal-Ion Biosensor Using Self-Assembled Peptide Nanofibrils. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*. 3, 1594–1600.
- Cao, L., Lin, H., and Mirsky, V.M. (2007). Surface plasmon resonance biosensor for enrofloxacin based on deoxyribonucleic acid. *Analytica Chimica Acta*. 589, 1–5.
- Chang Byoung-Yong and Park Su-Moon (2010). Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy. *Annual Review of Analytical Chemistr*. 3, 207–229.
- Chambers J. P, Arulanandam B. P, Matta, L. L, Weis A, and Valdes, J. J. (2005). *Current issues in Molecular Biology, Biosensor Recognition Elements*. 10, 1-12.
- Campanella, L., Roversi, R., Sammartino, M.P., and Tomassetti, M. (1998). Hydrogen peroxide determination in pharmaceutical formulations and cosmetics using a new catalase biosensor. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*. 18, 105-116.
- Chen, C.S., Ku-Ning Chang, Ying-Hua Chen, Chih-Kung Lee, Bryan Yong-Jay Lee, and Adam Shih-Yuan Lee (2011). Development of a label-free impedance biosensor for detection of antibody–antigen interactions based on a novel conductive linker. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*. 26, 3072–3076.
- Chew, AL. and Maibach, I.H. (2006) In vitro methods to predict skin irritation. *Irritant Dermatitis*. 501-508.
- Cronin, M.T.D., Fania Bajot, Steven Enoch, J., Judith Madden, C., David Roberts, W., and Johannes Schwöbel (2009). The In Chémico–In Silico Interface: Challenges for Integrating Experimental and Computational Chemistry to Identify Toxicity. *Alternatives to Laboratory Animals*. 37, 513–52.
- Dan Du, Wenjuan Chen, Jie Cai, Jing Zhang, Fengge Qu, and Haibing Li (2008). Development of acetylcholinesterase biosensor based on CdTe quantum dots modified cysteamine self-assembled monolayer. *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry*. 623, 81–85.

- Daniels, Jonathan S. and Pourmand, Nader (2007). Label-Free Impedance Biosensors: Opportunities and Challenges. *Electroanalysis*. 19(12), 1239–1257.
- Desai, T.A., Hansford, D.J., Leoni, L., Essenpreis, M., and Ferrari, M. (2000). Nanoporous antifouling silicon membranes for biosensor applications. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*. 15, 453–462.
- Dijksma, M., Kamp, B., Hoogvliet, J.C., and Van Bennekom, W.P. (2001). Development of an electrochemical immunosensor for direct detection of interferon-gamma at the attomolar level. *Analytical Chemistry*. 73(5), 901–907.
- Divkovic, M., Basketter, D.A., Gilmour, N., Panico, M., Dell, A., Morris, H.R., and Smith Pease, C.K. (2003). Protein–haptens binding: challenges and limitations for in vitro skin sensitization assays. *Journal of Toxicology-Cutaneous and Ocular Toxicology*. 22, 87–99.
- Dolati, A., and Imanieh, I. (2011). The effect of cysteine on electrodeposition of AuNPs. *Materials Science and Engineering: B*. 176 (16), 1307–1312.
- Eiichi Tamiya, Mun'delanji Vestergaard, and Kagan Kerman (2007). An Overview of label-free electrochemical protein sensor. *Sensor*. 7, 3442–3458.
- El-Dead, M.S. (2011). Interaction of cysteine and copper ions on the surface of iron: EIS, polarization and XPS study. *Materials Chemistry and Physics*. 129, 223–227.
- Emanuel, P.A., Dang, J., Gebhardt, J.S., Aldrich, J., Garber, E.A.E., Kulaga, H., Stopa, P., Valdes, J.J., and Dion-Schultz, A. (2000). Recombinant antibodies: a new reagent for biological agent detection. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*. 14, 751–759.
- Eugenii Katz and Itamar Willner (2003). Probing Biomolecular Interactions at Conductive and Semiconductive Surfaces by Impedance Spectroscopy: Routes to Impedimetric Immunosensors, DNA-Sensors, and Enzyme Biosensors. *Electroanalysis*. 15(11), 913–947.
- Feliciano-Ramos Ileana, Miguel Caban-Acevedo, Aulice Scibioh, M., Carlos Cabrera, R. (2010). Self-assembled monolayers of l-cysteine on palladium electrodes. *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry*. 650 (1), 98–104.
- Francis Markey (1999). What is SPR Anyways? *BIA journal*. 1, 14–17.

- Frosch, P.J., Rastogi, S.C., Pirker, C., Brinkmeier, T., Andersen, K.E., Bruze, M., Svedman, C., Goossens, A., White, I.R., Uter, W., Arnau, E.G., Lepoittevin, J.P., Johansen, J.D., and Menne, T. (2005). Patch testing with a new fragrance mix - reactivity to the individual constituents and chemical detection in relevant cosmetic products. *Contact Dermatitis*. 52(4), 216-225.
- Fu, Y., and R. Yuan (2005). Electrochemical impedance behavior of DNA biosensor based on colloidal Ag and bilayer two-dimensional sol-gel as matrices. *Journal of Biochemical and Biophysical Methods*. 62 (2), 163-174.
- Galal, A., Nada Atta, F., and Ekram H. El-Ads (2012). Probing cysteine self-assembled monolayer over AuNPs-towards selective electrochemical sensors. *Talanta*. 92, 264-273.
- Gerberick, G.F., Ryan, C.A., Kern, P.S., Schlatter, H., Dearman, R.J., Kimber, I., Patlewicz, G.Y., and Basketter, D.A. (2005). Compilation of historical local lymph node data for evaluation of skin sensitization alternative methods. *Dermatitis*. 16, 157-202.
- Gerberick, G.F., Vassallo, J.D., Bailey, R.E., Chaney, J.G., Morrall, S.W., and Lepoittevin, J.P. (2004). Development of a peptide reactivity assay for screening contactallergens. *Toxicological Sciences*. 81, 332-343.
- Gerberick, G., Frank, John, A., Troutman, Leslie, M., Foertsch, Jeffrey, D., Vassallo, Mike Quijano, Roy, L. M., Dobson, Carsten Goebel and Jean-Pierre Lepoittevin (2009). Investigation of Peptide Reactivity of Pro-hapten Skin Sensitizers Using a Peroxidase-Peroxide Oxidation System. *Toxicological Sciences*. 1, 164-174.
- Gibbs, S., Corsini, E., and Spiekstra, S.W. (2013). An epidermal equivalent assay for identification and ranking potency of contact sensitizers. *Toxicology Applied Pharmacology*. 272, 529-541
- Gil, G., Paula, A. A. P. Marques, Carlos M. Granadeiro, Helena I. S. Nogueira, M. K. Singh and J. Gracio (2009). Surface Modification of Graphene Nanosheets with Gold Nanoparticles: The Role of Oxygen Moieties at Graphene Surface on Gold Nucleation and Growth. *Chemistry of material*. 21, 4796-4802.
- Gooding, J. J., Shein, J., and Lai, L. M. H. (2009). Using AuNPs aggregation to give an ultrasensitive amperometric metal ion sensor. *Electrochemistry Communications*. 11(10), 2015-2018.

- Huang, H., Pixin Ran, and Zhigang Liu (2007). Impedance sensing of allergen-antibody interaction on glassy carbon electrode modified by AuNPs electrodeposition. *Bioelectrochemistry*.70, 257–262.
- Haizhen Huang, Pixin Ran, and Zhigang Liu (2007). Impedance sensing of allergen-antibody interaction on glassy carbon electrode modified by AuNPs electrodeposition. *Bioelectrochemistry*. 70, 257–262.
- Helali, S., Ben Fredj, H., Cherif, K., Abdelghani, A., Martelet, C. and Jaffrezic-Renault, N. (2008). Surface plasmon resonance and impedance spectroscopy on AuNPs electrode for biosensor application. *Materials Science and Engineering C*. 28, 588-593.
- Horst, S., Troy Seidle, and Troy Seidle (2012). Progress Report 2012, Alternative Testing strategies and AXLR8-3 Workshop report on a roadmap to next generation safety testing under horizon 2020. *AXLR8 Consortium*.
- Hui Tang, Wen Zhang, Ping Genga, Qingjiang Wang, Litong Jin, Zirong Wu, and Min Lou (2006). A new amperometric method for rapid detection of Escherichia coli density using a self-assembled monolayer-based bienzyme biosensor. *Analytica Chimica Acta*. 562, 190–196.
- Ines Rosane, W.Z. De Oliveira, Renata El-Hage M. De Barros Osorio, Ademir Neves, and Iolanda Cruz Veira (2007). Biomimetic sensor based on a novel copper complex for the determination of hydroquinone in cosmetics. *Sensors and Actuators*. 122, 89-94.
- Itai Chipinda, JustinHettick, M., and Paul Siegel, D. (2011). Review Article Haptentation: Chemical Reactivity and Protein Binding. *Journal of Allergy*. 1-11.
- Ivers-Tiffée, E., Weber, A., and Schichlein, H. (2003). *Chapter 17 - Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy in Handbook of Fuel Cell*. Vol. 2: John Wiley & Sons.
- Jennifer Mishler. (2012, April 18) Global Campaign Against Cosmetics Animal Testing Unveiled.
- Jon Lalko and Anne Marie Api (2008). Citral: Identifying a threshold for induction of dermal sensitization. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*. 52, 62–73.
- José Campiña, M., Ana Martins and Fernando Silva (2009). Probing the Organization of Charged Self-Assembled Monolayers by Using the Effects of pH, Time,

- Electrolyte Anion, and Temperature, on the Charge Transfer of Electroactive Probes. *Journal of Physical Chemistry*. 113 (6), 2405–2416.
- Jorcin, JB., Orazem, ME., Pebere, N., and Tribollet, B. (2006). *Electrochimica Acta*. 51, 1473.
- Kato, H., Okamoto, M., Yamashita, K., Nakamura, Y., Fukumori, Y., Nakai, K., and Kaneko, H. (2003). Peptide-binding assessment using mass spectrometry as a new screening method for skin sensitization. *Journal Toxicology Science*. 28, 19-24.
- Kimber, I., Basketter, D. A., Butler, M., Gamer, A., Garrigue, J.L., Gerberick, G. F., Newsome, C., Steiling, W., and Vohr, H.W. (2003). Classification of contact allergens according to potency: Proposals. *Food and Chemical Toxicolog*. 41, 1799–1809.
- Kimber, I., Basketter, D.A., and Gerberick, G.F. (2011). Chemical allergy: translating biology into hazard characterization. *Journal Toxicology Science*. 120, 238-268.
- Kimber, I., Hilton, J., Dearman, R. J., Gerberick, G. F., Ryan, C. A., Basketter, D. A., Lea, L., House, R. V., Ladics, G. S., Loveless, S. E., and Hastings, K. L. (1998). Assessment of the skin sensitization potential of topical medicaments using the local lymph node assay: An interlaboratory evaluation. *Journal Toxicology Environment Health*. 53, 563–579.
- Lasseter, TL., Cai, W., and Hamers, RJ. (2004). Frequency-dependent electrical detection of protein binding events. *Analyst*. 129(1), 3-8.
- Lee, W., Ji, R., Gosele, U., and Nielsch, K. (2006). Fast fabrication of long-range ordered porous alumina membranes by hard-anodization. *Nature Materials*. 5, 741–747.
- Liu, J., Agarwal, M., and Varahramyan, K. (2008). Glucose sensor based on organic thin film transistor using glucose oxidase and conducting polymer. *Sensors and Actuators B*. 135, 195–199.
- Loaiza Oscar, A., Pedro J. Lamas-Ardisana, Elena Jubete, Estibalitz Ochoteco, Iraida Loinaz, German Caba~nero, Isabel Garca, and Soledad Penades (2011). Nanostructured Disposable Impedimetric Sensors as Tools for Specific Biomolecular Interactions: Sensitive Recognition of Concanavalin A. *Analytical Chemistry*. 83, 2987–2995.

- Love, J., Christopher, Lara, A., Estroff, Jennah, K., Kriebel, Ralph Nuzzo, G., and George Whitesides, M. (2005). Self-Assembled Monolayers of Thiolates on Metals as a Form of Nanotechnology. *Chemical Revie.* 105, 1103-1169.
- Love, Estroff, Lara, A., Kriebel, Jennah K., Nuzzo, Ralph, G., Whitesides, and George, M. (2005). Self-Assembled Monolayers of Thiolates on Metals as a Form of Nanotechnology. *Chemical Revie.* 105 (4), 1103–1170.
- Luzi, E., Minunni, M., Tombelli, S., and Mascini, M. (2003). New trends in affinity sensing – aptamers for ligand binding. *Trends in Analytical Chemistry.* 22, 810–818.
- Madou, Marc (2002). Fundamentals of Microfabrication: The Science of Miniaturization. *CRC.* 62–63.
- Malhotra, B. D. and Turner Anthany, P. F. (2003). Perspectives in biosensors. *Advances in Biosensors.* Volume 5.
- Marcelo Ricardo Romero, Fernando Garay, and Ana M. Baruzzi (2008). Design and optimization of a lactate amperometric biosensor based on lactate oxidase cross-linked with polymeric matrixes. *Sensors and Actuators.* 13, 590–595.
- Mocanua Aurora, Ileana Cernicab, Gheorghe Tomoaiac, Liviu-Dorel Bobosa, Ossi Horovitza, and Maria Tomoiaia-Cotisela (2009). Self-assembly characteristics of AuNPs in the presence of cysteine. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects.* 338(1-3), 93–101.
- Mehmet Senela, Emre evika, C., and Fatih Abasıyanık, M. (2010) Amperometric hydrogen peroxide biosensor based on covalent immobilization of horseradish peroxidase on ferrocene containing polymeric mediator. *Sensors and Actuators B.* 145, 444–450.
- Metrohm Autolab (a) (2011). *Application Note EIS01, Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) Part 1 – Basic Principles.*
- Metrohm Autolab (b) (2011). *Application Note EIS02, Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) Part 2 – Experimental Setup.*
- Mohamed, S. E.-D. (2011). Interaction of cysteine and copper ions on the surface of iron: EIS, polarization and XPS study. *Materials Chemistry and Physics.* 129 (1–2): 223-227.
- Nada Atta, F., Ahmed Galal, and Shereen Azab, M. AuNPs Modified Electrode for the Determination of an Antihypertensive Drug. *Electroanalysis,* 2012. 24(6), 1431–1440.

- Niu, L. M., and Luo, H. Q. (2007). Electrochemical detection of copper (II) at a AuNPs electrode modified with a self-assembled monolayer of penicillamine. *Journal of Analytical Chemistry*. 62 (5), 470-474.
- Noh, Md., M. F., Rashid Kadara, O., and Ibtisam Tohill, E. (2005). Development of cysteine-modified screen-printed electrode for the chronopotentiometric stripping analysis of cadmium (II) in wastewater and soil extracts. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*. 382: 1175–1186.
- Noh, M. F. M., and Kadara, R. O. (2005). Development of cysteine-modified screen-printed electrode for the chronopotentiometric stripping analysis of cadmium(II) in wastewater and soil extracts. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*. 382 (4), 1175–1186.
- Othman Mohamed Rozali, Riyanto, and Jumat Salimon (2007). Analysis of ethanol using copper and nickel sheet electrodes by cyclic voltammetry. *The Malaysian Journal of Analytical Sciences*. 11(2), 379 – 387.
- Orazem, M. E. and Tribollet, B. (2008). *Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Osbon, A. and Gentleman, A. (2003, August 19). Secret French move to block animal-testing ban. *The Guardian*.
- Pajkossy, T. (1994) Impedance of rough capacitive electrodes. *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry*. 364, 111-125.
- Pan Shanlin and Rothberg Lewis (2005). Chemical Control of Electrode Functionalization for Detection of DNA Hybridization by Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy. *Langmuir*. 21, 1022-1027.
- Pranjal Chandra, Jai Singh, Amardeep Singh, Ananya Srivastava, Rajendra N. Goyal and Yoon Bo Shim (2013). Gold Nanoparticles and Nanocomposites in Clinical Diagnostics Using Electrochemical Methods. *Journal of Nanoparticles*. 1-12.
- Pundir, C.S., Bharvi Sandeep Singh, and Jagriti Narang (2010). Construction of an amperometric triglyceride biosensor using PVA membrane bound enzymes. *Clinical Biochemistry*. 43, 467–472.
- Park, JY., Kim, BC., and Park, SM. (2007). Molecular recognition of protonated polyamines self-assembled monolayer modified electrodes by impedance measurements. *Analytical Chemistry*. 79, 1890–1896.

- Park, S., Hankil Boo, and Taek Dong Chunga (2006). Electrochemical non-enzymatic glucose sensors. *Analytica Chimica Acta*. 556, 46–57.
- Patlewicz, G., Basketter, D.A., Smith, C.K., Hotchikiss, S.A., and Roberts. D.W. (2001). Skin sensitization structure-activity relationships for aldehydes. *Contact Dermatitis*. 44: 331-336.
- Petean, I., and Tomoaia, G. (2008). Cysteine mediated assembly of AuNPs. *Journal of Optoelectronics and Advanced Materials*. 10 (9), 2289-2292.
- Pinto, E. M., David, M. Soares, and Christopher, M.A. Brett. (2008). Interaction of BSA protein with copper evaluated by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy and quartz crystal microbalance. *Electrochimica Acta*. 53, 7460–7466.
- Pooi See, Wong, Yook Heng, Lee and Sheila Nathan (2011). A disposable copper (II) ion biosensor based on self-assembly of L-cysteine on AuNPs modified screen-printed carbon electrode. *Journal of Sensors*. 1-5.
- Prasad, S., Vinay Nagaraj, J., Srivatsa Aithal, Seron Eaton, Manish Bothara, and Peter Wiktor (2010). NanoMonitor: a miniature electronic biosensor for glycan biomarker detection. *Nanomedicine (London)*.5(3), 369-378.
- Rasooly, A. (2006). Moving biosensors to point of care cancer diagnostics. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*. 21, 1847–1850.
- Rothberg Lewis and Shanlin Pan (2005). Chemical Control of Electrode Functionalization for Detection of DNA Hybridization by Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy. *Langmuir*. 21 (3), 1022–1027.
- Ryan, C.A., Gerberick, G.F., Cruse, L.W., Basketter, D.A., Lea, L., Blaikie, L., Dearman, R.J., Warbrick, E.V., and Kimber, I. (2000). Activity of human contact allergens in the local lymph node assay. *Contact Dermatitis*. 43, 95–102.
- Ryan, C.A., Kimber, I., Basketter, D.A., Pallardy, M., Gildea, L.A., and Gerberick, G.F. (2007). Dendritic cells and skin sensitization: biological roles and uses in hazard identification. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*. 221, 384–394.
- Sanchez-Politta, S., Campanelli, A., Pashe-Koo, F., Saurat, JH., and Piletta, P. (2007). Allergic contact dermatitis to phenylacetaldehyde: a forgotten allergen?. *Contact Dermatitis*. 56(3), 171-172.

- Sandersa Wesley, and Mark Anderson, R. (2009). Electrostatic deposition of polycations and polyanions onto cysteine monolayers. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*. 331(2), 318–321.
- Sara Rodriguez-Mozaz, Maria, J., Lopez de Alda, and Damià Barceló (2006). Biosensors as useful tools for environmental analysis and monitoring. *Analytical Bioanalytical Chemistry*. 386, 1025–1041.
- Schoeters, E., Verheyen, G.R., Nelissen, I., Van Rompay, A.R., Hooyberghs, J., Van Den Heuvel, R.L., Witters, H., Schoeters, G.E.R., Van Tendeloo, V.F.I., and Berneman, Z.N. (2007). Microarray analyses in dendritic cells reveal potential biomarkers for chemical-induced skin sensitization. *Molecular Immunology*. 44, 3222–3233.
- Schreiber Frank (2000). Structure and growth of self-assembling monolayers. *Progress in Surface Science*. 65 (5-8), 151–257.
- Shengbo Sang and Hartmut Witte (2010). A novel PDMS micro membrane biosensor based on the analysis of surface stress. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*. 25, 2420–2424.
- Song, Y., and Y. Z. Song (2011). L-cysteine-nano-AuNPs modified glassy carbon electrode and its application for determination of dopamine hydrochloride. *Indian Journal of Chemistry Section Inorganic Bio-Inorganic Physical Theoretical & Analytical Chemistry*. 50 (7), 1006-1009.
- Stenlund, P., Frostell-Karlsson, A., and Karlsson, O.P. (2006). Studies of small molecule interactions with protein phosphatases using biosensor technology. *Analytical Biochemistry*. 353, 217–225.
- Stobieckaa Magdalena, Jeffrey Deeba, and Maria Hepel (2006). Ligand exchange effects in AuNPs assembly induced by oxidative stress biomarkers: Homocysteine and cysteine. *Biophysical Chemistry*. 146(2–3), 98–107.
- Takigawa, T., and Endo Y. (2006). Effects of glutaraldehyde exposure on human health. *Journal of occupational health*. 48(2), 75-87.
- Teta, MJ., Avashia, BH., Cawley, TJ., and Yamin, AT. (1995). Absence of sensitization and cancer increase among glutaraldehyde workers. *Toxic substance mechanisms*. 14, 293-305.
- Thévenot Daniel, R., Klara Toth, Richard Durst, A. and George Wilson, S. (2001). Electrochemical Biosensors: Recommended Definitions And Classification. *Analytical Letters*. 34(5), 635-659.

- Tsekenis, G, Garifallou, GZ., Davis, F., Millner, PA., and Pinacho, DG. (2008). Detection of fluoroquinolone antibiotics in milk via a labelless immunoassay based upon an alternating current impedance protocol. *Analytical Chemistry*. 80, 9233–9239.
- Touil, F., Pratt, S., Mutter, R., and Chen, B. (2005). Screening a library of potential prion therapeutics against cellular prion proteins and insights into their mode of biological activities by surface plasmon resonance. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*. 40, 822–832.
- Troutman John, A., Leslie, M. Foertsch, Petra, S., Kern, Hong Jian Dai, Mike Quijano, Roy Dobson, L.M., Jon Lalko, F., Jean-Pierre Lepoittevin and Frank Gerberick, G. (2011). The Incorporation of Lysine into the Peroxidase Peptide Reactivity Assay for Skin Sensitization Assessments. *Toxicology of Science*. 122 (2), 422-436.
- Turner, R.F., Harrison, D.J. and Rajotte, R.V. (1991). Preliminary in vivo biocompatibility studies on perfluorosulphonic acid polymer membranes for biosensor applications. *Biomaterials*. 12, 361–368.
- Ubuk, S. C., Ece K k Yetimoglu, M., Vezir Kahramana, Pınar Demirbileka, and Melike Fırlak (2013). Development of photopolymerized fluorescence sensor for glucose analysis. *Sensors and Actuators B*. 181, 187–193.
- Uehara, H., Kakiage, M., Sekiya, M., Sakuma, D., Yamonobe, T., Takano, N., Barraud, A., Meurville, E. and Ryser, P. (2009). Size-selective diffusion in nanoporous but flexible membranes for glucose sensors. *ACS Nano*. 3, 924–932.
- Van der Merwe, Anton P. (2001). Surface Plasmon Resonance. *Oxford University Press*. 137-170.
- Vieira, I.C. and Oliveira, I.R.W.Z. de. (2006). Immobilization procedures for the development of a biosensor for determination of hydroquinone using chitosan and gilo (*Solanum gilo*). *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*. 38, 449–456.
- Viguier, B., and Z r, K. Development of an Electrochemical Metal-Ion Biosensor Using Self-Assembled Peptide Nanofibrils. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*. 3 (5), 1594-1600.
- Vos, Johannes, G., Robert Forster, J., and Tia Keyes, E. (2003). *Interfacial Supramolecular Assemblies*. Wiley. 88–94.

- Wang Jun, Huixin Zhao, Liping Du, Hua Cai, and PingWang (2012). An enzyme–metal–insulator–silicon structured sensor using surface photovoltage technology for potentiometric glucose detection. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemica*. 1-6.
- Wei Hang, Jian-Jun Sun, Yu Xie, Cong-Gui Lin, Yan-Min Wang, Wen-Hui Yin, and Guo-Nan Chen (2007). Enhanced electrochemical performance at screen-printed carbon electrodes by a new pretreating procedure. *Analytica Chimica Acta*. 588 (2), 297–303.
- Wilson Jon, S. (2005). Sensor Technology Handbook, Technology & Engineering. *Transduction Mechanisms in Biosensors Conventional Transducers*. 691, 5-6.
- Wnek, Gary, and Gary Bowlin, L. (2004). Encyclopedia of Biomaterials and Biomedical Engineering. *Informa Healthcare*. 1331–1333.
- Xiaofeng Yang, Zaide Zhou, Dan Xiao, and Martin Choi, M.F. (2006). A fluorescent glucose biosensor based on immobilized glucose oxidase on bamboo inner shell membrane. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*. 21, 1613–1620.
- Xie Qingji, Youyu Zhang, Mancai Xu, Zelin Li, Yu Yuan, and Shouzhuo Yao (1999). Combined quartz crystal impedance and electrochemical impedance measurements during adsorption of bovine serum albumin onto bare and cysteine- or thiophenol-modified AuNPs electrodes. *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry*. 478 (1-2), 1–8.
- Xie Qingji, Youyu Zhang, Yu Yuan, Yanghui Guo, Xiangjun Wang, Shouzhuo Yao (2000). An electrochemical quartz crystal impedance study on cystine precipitation onto an Au electrode surface during cysteine oxidation in aqueous solution. *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry*. 484 (1), 41–54.
- Xu, D., Yu, X., Liu, Z., He, W., and Ma, Z. (2005). Label-free electrochemical detection for aptamer-based array electrodes. *Analytical Chemistry*. 77(16), 5107-5113.
- Zhou, S., and Chromatogr, J. (2003). Separation and detection methods for covalent drug–protein adducts. *Journal of Chromatography B*. 797, 63–90.