

PROGRAMMABLE LOGIC CONTROLLER TRAINING MODULE FOR
POSITIONING AND SORTING

MUHAMAD FAUZI BIN ANUAR

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Mechanical – Advanced Manufacturing Technology)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2014

To my beloved mother Hajah Nurhajati, father Haji Anuar, mother-in-law Hajah Rasenah, my wife Fauziah, my son Muhammad Faris and Muhammad Fitri, and my daughter Faezah and Fatiha. Thank for all your support.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah, thank to Allah s.w.t., because of Him we are still here, breathing His air, pleasuring His entire gift in this world. And most of all, for giving me opportunities to learn His knowledge.

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians, and practitioners. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main thesis supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Adnan Hassan, for encouragement, guidance, advices, critics, motivation and friendship. Without his continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

I am indebted to my parents, Haji Anuar and Hajah Nurhajati, without whose help, encouragement and patience. I would also like to thank my wife, Fauziah, for her love, support and encouragement and other help throughout. Without their continued support, I would never have gotten this thesis completed and who made it all worthwhile.

My fellow postgraduate students should also be recognised for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space. I am also grateful to all my family members.

ABSTRACT

In this project, a prototype of a Programmable Logic Controller (PLC) training module for positioning and sorting was designed and developed. The design was based on Programme Educational Objectives (PEO) and Program Learning Outcomes (PLO) for Diploma in Industrial Mechatronics Engineering Technology and Course Learning Outcomes (CLO) for Automation course (HFC4033) in Kolej Kemahiran Tinggi MARA Balik Pulau. The prototype consists of two main parts that are: i) Plug and Learn PLC Controller, and ii) Positioning and Sorting Training Kit. Plug and Learn PLC Controller was developed using the Siemen S7-200 CPU 226 as a controller and EM 253 was used as positioning wizard module. Positioning and Sorting Training Kit used standard automation components namely conveyor, sensor and others as input/output (I/O) to the prototype system. The learning activities were conducted using two approaches that is Teacher Centred Learning (TCL) approach and Student Centred Learning (SCL) approach. The effectiveness of the learning approach and the PLC Training Module was evaluated using rubric marking scheme and qualitative survey questionnaire. Based on this evaluation, two group taught in SCL approach managed to complete assigned tasks faster than the group taught using TCL approach. Group from the TCL approach completed the task in procedural stages (structured) compared to the groups from the SCL approach that completed the task in less structured (unstructured). However not all groups using SCL method scored high marks in evaluation criteria for project functionality, design skills and level of understanding. Finding also suggests that SCL approach does not guarantee better performance among passive student. With this PLC training module using SCL approach, the finding shows that the learning strategy can better prepare student to solve real industrial problem. However, it does not necessarily motivated passive student.

ABSTRAK

Dalam projek ini, prototaip modul latihan PLC untuk memposisi dan menyusun telah direka dan dibangunkan. Rekabentuk ini berdasarkan Objektif Program Pendidikan (PEO) dan Program Hasil Pembelajaran (PLO) untuk kursus Diploma Kejuruteraan Teknologi Mekatronik Industri dan Kursus Hasil Pembelajaran (CLO) bagi kursus Automasi (HFC4033) di Kolej Kemahiran Tinggi MARA Balik Pulau. Prototaip ini terdiri daripada dua bahagian utama iaitu i)“Plug and Learn PLC Controller” dan ii)“Positioning and Sorting Training Kit”. “Plug and Learn PLC Controller” telah dibangunkan menggunakan PLC Siemen S7-200 CPU 226 sebagai pengawal dan EM 253 digunakan sebagai modul bestari kedudukan. “Positioning and Sorting Training Kit” menggunakan komponen piawai automasi iaitu penghantar, sensor dan lain-lain sebagai masukan/keluaran (I/O) kepada sistem prototaip. Aktiviti – aktiviti pembelajaran dijalankan menggunakan dua pendekatan iaitu Pembelajaran Berpusatkan Guru (TCL) dan pendekatan Pembelajaran Berpusatkan Pelajar (SCL). Keberkesanan pendekatan pembelajaran dan Modul Latihan PLC dinilai menggunakan rubrik pemarkahan dan soal selidik kajian kualitatif. Berdasarkan penilaian ini, dua kumpulan diajar dalam pendekatan SCL berjaya menyiapkan tugas yang diberikan lebih cepat daripada yang diajar menggunakan pendekatan TCL. Kumpulan daripada pendekatan TCL melengkap tugas berstruktur berbanding dengan kumpulan dari pendekatan SCL melengkap tugas kurang berstruktur. Walau bagaimanapun tidak semua kumpulan menggunakan pendekatan SCL mendapat markah tinggi dalam kriteria penilaian untuk fungsi projek, kemahiran reka bentuk dan tahap pemahaman. Penemuan juga menunjukkan bahawa pendekatan SCL tidak menjamin prestasi yang lebih baik di kalangan pelajar pasif. Dengan modul latihan PLC menggunakan pendekatan SCL, kajian menunjukkan bahawa strategi pembelajaran yang lebih baik boleh menyediakan pelajar untuk menyelesaikan masalah industri sebenar. Walau bagaimanapun, ia tidak semestinya dapat memotivasikan pelajar pasif.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xvi
1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Background of Study	1
	1.3 Characteristics of Design Project	3
	1.4 Statement of Problems	4
	1.5 Objective of Study	5
	1.6 Scope of Study	6
	1.7 Important of Study	6

2	LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	Introduction	8
2.2	Overview on the Automation Course in KKTMBP	8
2.3	Overview of the Student Centred Learning	10
2.4	Positioning Module EM 253	12
2.5	Sorting Material	13
	2.5.1 Proximity Sensors	14
2.6	Electrical Drive	16
	2.6.1 Stepper Motor	17
2.7	Pneumatic Actuator	18
2.8	Programmable Logic Controller	19
2.9	Siemen S7-200 Programming	20
2.10	Review of on Related Works	24
	2.10.1 Problem Based Learning Approach in Programmable Logic Controller by Ahmad Fairuz Muhd Amin et al. (2005)	24
	2.10.2 Application of Problem-Based Learning to PLC Experiment by Hu et al. (2010)	25
2.11	Summary of Literature Review	26
3	METHODOLOGY	
3.1	Introduction	27
3.2	Project Planning	27
3.3	Project Flow Chart	27
3.4	Mission Statement	28
3.5	Gather Raw Data	29
3.6	Class Structure and Format	33
3.7	Prepare PLC course using SCL Approach	35
3.8	Developing Ill-Structured Problems	35
3.9	Develop a Trigger Question	38
3.10	The Method Applied Inside the Controller	38
3.11	Experiment Scheme	40

3.12	Develop A Rubric Marking Scheme	43
3.13	Develop A Qualitative Survey Questionnaire	46
4	DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROTOTYPE TRAINING KIT	
4.1	Introduction	48
4.2	CAD Model and Drawing	48
4.3	Develop the PLC Training Set	51
4.4	Electrical and Pneumatic Diagram	53
4.4.1	Electrical and Pneumatic Diagram	53
4.4.2	Pneumatic System	56
4.5	Integration between the Controller and Training Kit	57
4.6	EM253 Position Module Setup Wizard	58
4.7	Assignment List of Input and Output	65
4.8	Sequence Step Diagram	66
4.9	PLC Logic Programming	68
5	LEARNING APPROACH AND RESULT ANALYSIS	
5.1	Introduction	77
5.2	Sample of Work Sheet	77
5.3	Student Result	79
5.4	Analysis on Student Feedback	82
5.4.1	Student Feedback on TCL Approach	83
5.4.2	Student Feedback on SCL Approach	85
5.4.3	Student Feedback on the PLC Training Module	88
5.5	Analysis of Effectiveness of the Training Module	89

6	CONCLUSION	
6.1	Introduction	90
6.2	Conclusion	90
6.3	Suggestion for Future Development	93
	REFERENCES	94
	APPENDICES	99

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Siemens PLC characteristic	20
3.1	Automation Syllabus	31
3.2	Objectives and Syllabus Used In Developing the Training Module	32
3.3	Relationship between Dimensions of Performance and Objectives / Syllabus	43
3.4	Marking Rubric	44
4.1	The PLC Assignment List in Project	66
5.1	Efficiencies of TCL method	83
5.2	Deficiencies of TCL method	84
5.3	Efficiencies of SCL Method	86
5.4	Deficiencies of SCL Method	87
5.5	The Efficiencies of the PLC Training Module	88
5.6	The Deficiencies of the PLC Training Module	89

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	The Basic Teacher Centred Learning Approach (Existing)	9
2.2	Student Centred Learning (SCL)	12
2.3	Positioning Module EM 253	13
2.4	Inductive and Capacitive Sensor	14
2.5	Photoelectric Proximity Sensor Configurations: (A) Diffuse Reflector; (B) Retro Reflector; (C) Through Beam, (D) Fixed Focus, and (E) Fibre Optics	15
2.6	A Rotating Electric Motor	16
2.7	Stator and Rotor Electric Fields and the Forces Acting On a Rotating Machine.	16
2.8	Internal Structure of PLC	19
2.9	Siemen PLC S7-200 CPU226	20
2.10	MicroWin software	21
2.11	Timer	21
2.12	Counter	22
2.13	RS/SR	23
3.1	Project Flow Chart	28
3.2	Mission Statement	29
3.3	PLO and PEO Matrix	30
3.4	CLO and PLO Matrix	30
3.5	Screenshot of the Lecture Note of 4A Class	34
3.6	Screenshot of the Practical Question of 4A Class	36
3.7	Screenshot of the Practical Question of 4B Class	37
3.8	Positioning and Sorting Flow Chart	37

3.9	Screenshot of the Trigger Question	38
3.10	PLC Experiment Scheme by SCL Approach	41
3.11	PLC Experiment Scheme by TCL Approach	42
3.12	Rubric Marking Formula	45
3.13	Rubric Marking Scheme	45
3.14	Questionnaire for 4A Class	47
3.15	Questionnaire for 4B Class	47
4.1	Isometric View of Plug N Learn PLC Controller	49
4.2	Panel Layout of the Plug N Learn PLC Controller	49
4.3	Isometric View of the Positioning and Sorting Training Kit	50
4.4	Panel Layout of the Positioning and Sorting Training Kit	50
4.5	Top View of the Positioning and Sorting Training Kit	50
4.6	Front View of the Positioning and Sorting Training Kit	51
4.7	Side View of the Positioning and Sorting Training Kit	51
4.8	Working Prototype of the Plug N Learn PLC Controller	52
4.9	Working Prototype of the Positioning and Sorting Training Kit	52
4.10	S7-200 CPU 226 Wiring Diagrams	53
4.11	Connecting an EM 253 Position Module to an Oriental Motor Driver	54
4.12	Wiring Diagram of the Positioning and Sorting Training Kit	54
4.13	Actual Wiring Diagram of the Plug N Learn PLC Controller	55
4.14	Actual Wiring Diagram of the Positioning and Sorting Training Kit	55
4.15	Pneumatic Wiring Diagram at the Positioning and Sorting Training Kit	56
4.16	(a) Solenoid Valve and (b) Filter Regulator	56
4.17	Cylinder for Eject Part	57
4.18	Training Set Wiring Diagram	57
4.19	Integration between Controller And Training Kit	58

4.20	Module Position	58
4.21	Module Input Response	59
4.22	Motor Speeds	60
4.23	Jog Setting	60
4.24	Acceleration and Deceleration Times	61
4.25	Jerk Time	61
4.26	Reference Point Setting	62
4.27	Command Byte	62
4.28	Motion Profile Setting	63
4.29	Configure V-Memory	64
4.30	Configuration Generate	64
4.31	Position Configuration for 0 (Module 0)	65
4.32	The Sequence Step Diagram of the PLC	67
4.33	PLC program (network 1 to network 2)	68
4.34	PLC program (network 3 to network 5)	69
4.35	PLC Program (network 6 and network 8)	70
4.36	PLC Program (network 9 and network 11)	71
4.37	PLC Program (network 12 and network 15)	72
4.38	PLC Program (network 16 and network 18)	73
4.39	PLC Program (network 19 and network 21)	74
4.40	PLC Program (network 22 and network 24)	75
4.41	PLC Program (network 25 and network 28)	76
5.1	Answer Script Screenshot of Student 4A Class	78
5.2	Answer Script Screenshot of Student 4B Class	78
5.3	Student Perform the Experiment	79
5.4	Screenshot of Answer Script for Trigger Question (a) 1 and (b) 2	81
5.5	Screenshot of Rubric Marking Scheme for (a) 4A and (b) 4B	81

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

i. Programmable Logic Controllers	PLC
ii. Direct Current	DC
iii. Alternating Current	AC
iv. Central Processing Unit	CPU
v. Liquid-Crystal Display	LCD
vi. Plug And Play	PNP
vii. Earth Leakage Circuit Beaker	ELCB
viii. Miniature Circuit Breaker	MCB
ix. Problem-Based Learning	PBL
x. Student Centred Learning	SCL
xi. Teacher Centred Learning	TCL
xii. Light Emitting Diode	LED
xiii. Kolej Kemahiran Tinggi MARA Balik Pulau	KKTMBP
xiv. Input/Output	I/O
xv. Dominant Reset / Dominant Set	RS/SR
xvi. Programme Educational Objectives	PEO
xvii. Program Learning Outcomes	PLO
xviii. Course Learning Outcomes	CLO

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX NO.	TITLE	PAGE
A	Gantt Chart	99
B	Mapping Objective and Syllabus	102
C	Drawing	108
D	Student Name and Group	116
E	Practical Question	119
F	Trigger Question 1	126
G	Trigger Question 2	130
H	Rubric	147
I	Qualitative Survey Questionnaire	150
J	Student Result	155
K	Survey Answer Script	193

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

This chapter is introduced and consist of the Background of Study, Characteristics of Design Project, Problem Statements, Objectives of Study, Scopes of Study and Important of Study.

1.2 Background of Study

Well know, the Personal Computer (PCs) is popular used for process control (Rullån, 1997). However, PCs is commercial-grade controllers are not normally designed to tolerate the shock, vibration, temperature, and electrical noise frequently found on the manufacturing floor (Gee, 1995). Therefore to overcome this problem a Programmable Logic Controller (PLCs) are been used widely. The use of PLC equipment has been increasing day by day being parallel to progress in the systems of controls nowadays. Besides having technological advantages of using PLC, it also decreases the prices in the advanced level and complex control systems.

Petruzella, F. D. (2005) defined Programmable Logic Controller (PLCs) is a specialized computer used for the control and operation of manufacturing process and machinery. It uses a programmable memory to store instructions and execute functions including on/off control, timing, counting, sequencing, arithmetic, and data handling (Johnson, 2007). Where older automated systems would use hundreds or thousands of electromechanical relays, a single PLC can be programmed as an efficient replacement (Hassapis, 2003; Kamen and Gazarik, 1997; Anderson, 2002). The functionality of the PLCs has evolved over the years to include capabilities beyond typical relay control. Sophisticated motion control, process control, distributive control systems, and complex networking have now been added to the PLC's functions (Saygin and Kahraman, 2004). Therefore, PLCs provide many advantages over conventional relay type of control, including increased reliability, more flexibility, lower cost, communication capability, faster response time and convenience to troubleshoot (Rehg, 2002).

PLC can be a part of an automated system in manufacturing company (Barrett, 2008). The automation systems use electro-pneumatic technology. Electro-pneumatic technologies are formed mainly by three kinds of elements: actuators or motors, sensors or buttons and control elements like valves. Most of the control elements used to execute the logic of the system was substituted by the Programmable Logic Controller (PLC). Sensors and switches are plugged as inputs and the direct control valves for the actuators are plugged as outputs. An internal program executes all the logic necessary to the sequence of the movements, simulates other components like counter, timer and control the status of the system (Świder *et al.*, 2005).

With the use of the PLC, the manufacturing lead time will be reduced. Because it is possible to create and simulate the system as many times as needed. Therefore, time can be saved, risk of mistakes reduced and complexity can be increased using the same elements.

For the reason and advantage of PLC as main control device used by the industry, thus the learning model or tool must be design and develop to prepare students knowledge and experience to the real-world situation. In Kolej Kemahiran

Tinggi MARA Balik Pulau (KKTMBP), the automation course, which is done by practicing PLC simulation in the laboratories, will increase the knowledge of the students in this subject. However, the successful of it will decrease if the PLC education module is not suitable for the education purpose. For this concern, the PLC education module, which is the subject of this thesis, is considered.

1.3 Characteristics of Design Project

The topic of this project named as: Programmable Logic Controller Training Module for Positioning and Sorting. A small scale (prototype) positioning and sorting machine will be developed and it was fully automated and controlled by programmable logic controller (PLC). The prototype of project will be designed for education purpose in Kolej Kemahiran Tinggi MARA Balik Pulau. The observation of the education module will be done to measure it effectiveness and it deficiencies.

The aim of this project is to develop a training module that will be used on training courses, education lectures or lab sessions to show the application of automation in industry and bear out ease of use of automation in processing and production line. The training module will be used as a demo to show how a mechanical system aided by programming system to enhance its functions without human intervention. By development of training module, this will provide convenience for trainer or lecture do their presentation to trainee or students and they easy understand by observe the demo executed by training module. The purpose of this project is to fulfil requirements in market especially high education institutes, manufacturing industries and semiconductor industries because these areas needed different types of small scale automate machine as training module for their automation and PLC curriculum.

In this project, stepper motor, electro-pneumatic, sensor and conveyer were used for PLC education. These all elements are fixed in the training module. So, students will be given education about programming of PLC, PLC wiring and setting the stepper motor into Programmable Logic Controller. Inside the module S7-200

CPU 226 PLC was used that the SIEMENS firm manufactured, this PLC is enough for the education at the basic level (Yilmaz and Çobantepe, 2010).

1.4 Statement of Problems

The trend toward automation of production equipment is putting great demands on people since the early of 1970s. The manufacturers have worked to increase productivity, capability, reliability, and flexibility by using technologies. In order to achieve these are making use more and more automation in manufacturing. PLC is one of the solutions. PLCs remain the tool of choice for end-users as they are designed specifically for use in industrial environments and are guaranteed long-term support by vendors (Hajarnavis and Young, 2008). At this point, understanding PLC system, PLC programming and PLC connections and briefly PLC education are important for the student (Bayrak and Cebeci, 2012) especially student that involved with automation, manufacturing and mechatronics engineering discipline.

The PLC education, which is done by practicing in the laboratories, will increase the success of the students in this subject who attends to vocational high school (Yilmaz and Katrancioglu, 2011). In Kolej Kemahiran Tinggi MARA Balik Pulau, the PLC courses were taught to semester three students for Diploma in Manufacturing Engineering Technology programme and Diploma in Industrial Mechatronics Engineering Technology programme. At the middle of this course, the student will practically do a laboratory exercises. Generally, teacher will give a short introduction of experiment scheme, and then tell students how to connect input and output ports of PLC to a simulation I/O (input/output) panel. The simulation I/O panels provide switches for input into the PLC and light emitting diodes (LEDs) for output from the PLC. The LED were arranged to meet the suitability of the simulation mask for example a traffic light simulator. Experiment result is only checked with LED effect. Thus, students not have experience in a real industrial situation. From author's experience, this traditional approach resulted in:-

- a) Many students do experiment by copying other student's program because doing a same simulation I/O. Then, program ability of PLC does not be trained.
- b) Student will have a problem to understand the integration between PLC and connecting the I/O based on the real situation in the industry
- c) Less experience because the existing training system is too simple and does not meet the requirement in the manufacturing industry
- d) Student will have a problem to start programming the PLC controller if the problems are slightly different.

1.5 Objective of Study

The main objectives of this project are:-

- a) To design Programmable Logic Controllers training module for positioning and sorting as an input/output (I/O)
- b) To develop a PLC training module towards Student Centred Learning (SCL) approach for teaching and learning of the:-
 - i) Integration between controller (PLC) and its I/O (positioning and sorting).
 - ii) Setup and configure the I/O
 - iii) PLC Logic programming (software structure)
- c) To evaluate effectiveness of the designed training module by comparison between Teacher Centred Learning approach and Student Centred Learning approach.

1.6 Scope of Study

The main aim of this study is to design and development of PLC training module for positioning and sorting. At this stage basic there is important to recognize the scope of study. The scope consists five main section stated below: The scope of the study is:-

- a) the PLC training module is using for student mechatronics semester four undertaking the automation course at KKTMBP of January - June 2014 session
- b) student will not involve in hardware development but focusing on integration between controller and input/output and setup and construct a PLC logic programming using prototype develop in the study
- c) for student understanding in the knowledge, comparison between existing approach (Teacher Centred Learning) and Student Centred Learning approach based on achievement in technical knowledge (problem solving skill – quality and timing) and soft skill (team work and presentation skill)
- d) conduct a questionnaire survey to identify the effectiveness and deficiencies of the both approach and the developed prototype

1.7 Important of the Study

Consumer product manufacturers increasingly rely on the cooperative development of multi-disciplinary technical systems that often span the electrical, mechanical, and industrial engineering domains. Design and production engineers are frequently organized into cross-functional teams in which members bring critical skills to the assembled group (Wagner, 1999). To facilitate multi-disciplinary teams, engineers must develop their teamwork, problem solving, synergistic design, and communication skills as well as the traditional technical competencies (Slivovsky *et al.*, 2003; Simpson *et al.*, 2001). Further, it is increasingly presumed that competitive engineering graduates will have these skill sets in place and be able to contribute immediately to their assigned teams (Steiner, 2004). In essence, the expanding

implementation of sensors, actuators, and digital control across all engineering systems suggest that students need a mechatronic systems perspective (Grimheden and Hanson, 2001) with an opportunity to develop leadership, communication, and interpersonal skills. The availability of mechatronic courses within the engineering curriculum can help prepare students for the global workplace. In order to make this happen, the study for design and development of PLC training module for positioning and sorting are importance. In this training module, student will be able to:-

- a) Learn the basic operation of positioning and sorting.
- b) Structure of input and output (switches button and components) and their address.
- c) Identify the positioning and sorting components on PLC training module.
- d) Describe the function of PLC logic programing such as position and timer function.
- e) Design a ladder diagram and function block diagram program structure and learn how to program then minimize the program language to be a simple network program.

REFERENCES

- Ahmad Fairuz Muhd Amin, Mohd Ariff Mat Hanafiah, Marizan Sulaiman (2005). Problem Based Learning Approach in Programmable Logic Controller. *Proceedings of the 2005 Regional Conference on Engineering Education*. December 12-13, 2005. Johor, Malaysia: RCEE. 1-6
- Allen, D. E., Duch, B. J., & Groh, S. E. (1996). "The power of problem-based learning in teaching introductory science courses." In L. Wilkerson & W. H. Gijsselaers (Eds.), *Bringing problem-based learning to higher education: Theory and practice* (pp. 43- 52). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Anderson, J. C. (2002). Design a flexible industrial controls lab module. *32nd Annual Frontiers in Education*. 2002. Vol. 1. 17 – 22.
- Anderson, P. H. (2004). Simulation exercises and problem based learning is there a fit? *Developments in Business Simulation and Experiential Learning*, vol. 31, pp. 183-189, 2004
- Arámbula-Greenfield, T. (1996). Implementing problem-based learning in a college science class: Testing problem-solving methodology as a viable alternative to traditional science-teaching techniques. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, 26 (1), 26-30.
- Bahagian Kemahiran Teknikal (2013). *Programme of Diploma in Industrial Mechatronics Engineering Technology*. Kuala Lumpur: MARA
- Barrett, M. (2008). The Design of a Portable Programmable Logic Controller (PLC) Training System for Use Outside Of the Automation Laboratory. *International Symposium for Engineering Education*. 2008. 1-4

- Barrows, H. S. (1996). Problem-based learning in medicine and beyond: A brief overview. In L. Wilkerson & W. H. Gijsselaers (Eds.), *Bringing problem-based learning to higher education: Theory and practice* (pp. 3-12). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Bayrak, G. and Cebeci, M. (2012). An automation platform designs for electrical and electronics students: an application study. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 2012. 47. 950 – 955.
- Bridges, E. M., & Hallinger, P. (1996). “Problem-based learning in leadership education.” In L. Wilkerson & W. H. Gijsselaers (Eds.), *Bringing problem-based learning to higher education: Theory and practice* (pp. 53-61). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Cohen, E. G. (1994). *Designing groupwork: Strategies for heterogeneous classrooms*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Gallagher, S. A. (1997). “Problem-based learning: Where did it come from, what does it do, and where is it going?” *Journal for the Education of the Gifted*, 20 (4), 332-362.
- Gee, D. (1995). The Benefits of Personal Computer Based Control Systems. *Proceedings of IPC '95 Conference*. May 8-11, 1995. Ann Arbor, Michigan: ESD. 1995. 111-120.
- Gijsselaers, W. H. (1996). “Connecting problem-based practices with educational theory.” In L. Wilkerson & W. H. Gijsselaers (Eds.), *Bringing problem-based learning to higher education: Theory and practice* (pp. 13-21). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Grimheden, M. and Hanson, M. (2001) What is mechatronics? Proposing a didactical approach to mechatronics. In: *Proceedings of the international workshop on education in mechatronics*. September 2001. Kiel, Germany: Baltic Sea Workshop. 2001.

- Hajarnavis, V. and Young, K. (2008). An Investigation In To Programmable Logic Controller Software Design Techniques in the Automotive Industry. *Assembly Automation*. Emerald Group Publishing Limited. 2008. 28/1 43–54
- Hassapis, G., (2003) An Interactive Electronic Book Approach For Teaching Computer Implementation Of Industrial Control Systems. *IEEE Transaction on Education*. February 28, 2003. Greece: IEEE. 2003 Vol. 46. 177 – 184.
- Hoske M.T. (2003) *Choose the right programming language*. *Control Engineering*, 2003, Vol. 50 No. 7, p. 53.
- Johnson, C. D. (2007). *Process Control Instrumentation Technology*. 8th Ed. India: Prentice Hall, 2007
- Kamen, E. W. and Gazarik, M. J., (1997) A Course In Industrial Controls And Manufacturing For EE Students And Other Engineering Majors. *Proceeding of the 1997 American Control Conference* Vol. 5. June 1997. USA: ACC. 1997. 3160 – 3165,
- Kelly, O. C. and Finlayson, O. E. (2007). Providing solutions through problem based learning for the undergraduate 1st year chemistry laboratory *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, vol. 8, pp. 347-361, March, 2007
- Petruzella, F. D. (2010), *Programmable Logic Controllers*, 4th Ed. McGraw Hill, 2010
- Rangachari, P. K. (1996). “Twenty-up: Problem-based learning with a large group.” In L. Wilkerson & W. H. Gijsselaers (Eds.), *Bringing problem-based learning to higher education: Theory and practice* (pp. 63-71). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Rehg, J. (2002). PLC laboratories – the next generation. *ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition*. 2002

- Reynolds, F. (1997). Studying psychology at degree level: Would problem-based learning enhance students' experiences? *Studies in Higher Education*, 22 (3), 263-275.
- Ribeiro, L. R. C. and Mizukami, M. G. (2005) An experiment with PBL in higher education as appraised by the teacher and students. *Interface - Comunic., Saúde, Educ.*, v.9, n.17, p.357-68,
- Rullán, A. (1997) Programmable Logic Controllers versus Personal Computers for Process Control. *21st International Conference on Computers and Industrial Engineering*. 1997. 33(1-2). 421-424
- Saygin, C. and Kahraman, (2004) F. A Web-based programmable logic controller laboratory for manufacturing engineering education. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*. 2004. 24(7-8). 590-598.
- Seltzer, S., Hilbert, S., Maceli, J., Robinson, E., & Schwartz, D. (1996). "An active approach to calculus." In L. Wilkerson & W. H. Gijsselaers (Eds.), *Bringing problem-based learning to higher education: Theory and practice* (pp. 83-90). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Shelton, J. B., & Smith, R. F. (1998). "Problem-based learning in analytical science undergraduate teaching." *Research in Science and Technological Education*, 16 (1), 19-29.
- Simpson T, Medeiros D, Joshi S, Lehtihet A, Wysk R. (2001) A new course for integrating design, manufacturing, and production into engineering curriculum. *In: Proceedings of the ASEE annual conference*. June 2001. Albuquerque, NM: ASEE. 2001
- Slivovsky, L., Oakes, W., Jamieson, L. (2003) Evaluating multidisciplinary design teams. *In: Proceedings of the ASEE annual conference*, June 2003. Nashville, TN; ASEE. 2003.

- Steiner M. (2004) Using real world multidisciplinary design experiences to prepare young engineers to enter today's workforce. *In: Proceedings of the international engineering and design education conference*, September 2004. Delft, Netherlands; IEPDE. 2004.
- Suria Azlin Ismail. (2007) Control of X-Y Table Using Siemens PLC. B. Eng Thesis. Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka. 2007.
- Świder, J., Wszolek, G., Carvalho, W. (2005). Programmable Controller Designed For Electro-Pneumatic Systems. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*. 2005. 164–165 (2005). 1459–1465.
- Vitt, J.E. Troubleshooting (2008) 101: An Instrumental Analysis Experiment. *Journal of Chemical Education*, vol. 85, pp.1660-1662, December, 2008
- Wagner, J. (1999) Evolving industry expectations for engineers – impact of global manufacturing. In: *Proceedings of the ASEE annual conference*. June 1999. Charlotte, NC; 1999.
- Wilkerson, L., & Gijsselaers, W. H. (1996). "Concluding comments." In L. Wilkerson & W. H. Gijsselaers (Eds.), *Bringing problem-based learning to higher education: Theory and practice* (pp. 101-104). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Yilmaz, E. and Çobantepe, M. (2010) The Design of PLC Education Set. BSc Project. 2010
- Yilmaz, E. and Katrancioglu, S. (2011). Designing Programmable Logic Controller (PLC) Experiment Set with Internal Experiment Blocks. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 2011. 28(2011). 494 – 498.