

EFFECT OF TOOL PATH GENERATION WHEN MACHINING MOLD-CAVITY

ROHANA BINTI SARIP

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Mechanical Engineering (Advanced Manufacturing Technology)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

DECEMBER 2009

Dedicated to my beloved family...

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and grateful appreciation to my main supervisor, Prof. Dr. Safian bin Sharif and my co-supervisor, Mr. Rozaimi bin Mohd Saad for their guidance, encouragement, advice, motivation and friendship. Without their support and trust, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

I am also wanted to thank to all staff at Production Laboratory and Machine Shop for their support and guidance throughout my research.

Thank you to Allah for giving me strength to accomplish this thesis.

ABSTRACT

Studies on the tool path generation for free form surface have been conducted extensively and most of these studies were focused on the effect of tool path generation on the tool wear and surface texture. In this study, the effect of tool path generation on tool wear, surface finish, machining time and dimensional accuracy were evaluated during ball end milling of a mold cavity. UGS CAD/CAM software was used to simulate the tool path and actual during machining at various cutting parameters was conducted on CNC machining centre to machine a concave shape of the mold-cavity component. Workpiece of 6061 aluminium alloy and HSS ball end mill were used in this study. Four types of tool path strategies were investigated; they are Follow Periphery, Parallel Line, Concentric Arc and Radial Lines. Result showed that the highest value of $0.04\mu\text{m}$ flank wear was obtained when using Concentric Arc and the lowest value of $0.01\mu\text{m}$ was recorded with Parallel Line. Follow Periphery tool path strategy demonstrates the shortest machining time of 115 min and radial line recorded the longest machining time of 352 min. Radial Line and Follow Periphery recorded the lowest and highest surface roughness of $0.95\mu\text{m}$ and $2.76\mu\text{m}$ respectively. In average, the smallest error value in dimension was from Radial Line strategy with 0.04% while the biggest error was recorded using Follow Periphery with 0.6%. Based on the result obtained, it can be concluded that Parallel Line and Radial Line produce lower tool wear, smaller error in dimensional accuracy and better in surface finish but longer in cutting time while Follow Periphery and Concentric Arc produce shorter cutting time, higher tool wear, bigger error in dimensional accuracy and poor in surface finish.

ABSTRAK

Kajian terhadap penjaanaan perjalanan matalat bagi permukaan pelbagai bentuk telah dijalankan secara meluas dan kebanyakan kajian tertumpu kepada kesan ke atas penjaanaan perjalan mata alat terhadap geometri mata alat dan tekstur permukaan. Di dalam kajian ini, kesan penjaanaan mata alat terhadap kehausan, kemas permukaan, masa pemesinan dan ketepatan dimensi telah dinilai sepanjang menjalankan pemesinan kaviti acuan cekung. Perisian *UGS CAD/CAM* telah digunakan untuk simulasi perjalanan mata alat dan pemesinan sebenar pada pelbagai parameter pemotongan dengan menggunakan mesin berangka computer berpusat. Bendakerja yang digunakan di dalam kajian ini adalah 6061 *aluminium alloy* dan matalat pengisar bebola berhalaju tinggi. Empat jenis strategi perjalanan matalat yang diselidik adalah *Follow Periphery*, *Parallel Line*, *Concentric Arc* dan *Radial Lines*. Keputusan menunjukkan nilai tertinggi bagi kehausan rusuk adalah $0.04\mu\text{m}$ yang diperolehi dari *Concentric Arc* dan nilai terendah adalah $0.01\mu\text{m}$ dari *Parallel Line*. Strategi perjalanan matalat *Follow Periphery* merupakan masa pemesinan terpendek iaitu 115 minit dan *Radial Line* mencatatkan masa pemesinan terpanjang iaitu 352 minit. *Radial Line* dan *Follow Periphery* mencatatkan bacaan kekasaran permukaan terendah dan tertinggi iaitu masing-masing sebanyak $0.95\mu\text{m}$ dan $2.76\mu\text{m}$. Secara purata, nilai ralat terkecil di dalam dimensi adalah dari *Radial Line* iaitu sebanyak 0.04% manakala ralat terbesar yang direkod adalah dari *Follow Periphery* dengan 0.6%. Berdasarkan kepada keputusan yang dicapai, boleh disimpulkan bahawa *Parallel Line* dan *Radial Line* menghasilkan kehausan matalat yang rendah, ralat dimensi yang kecil dan kemas permukaan yang baik tetapi mengambil masa pemesinan yang panjang manakala *Follow Periphery* dan *Concentric Arc* menghasilkan masa pemesinan yang pendek tetapi kehausan matalat yang tinggi, ralat yang besar di dalam ketepatan dimensi dan kemas permukaan yang kasar.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTER | TITLE | PAGE |
|---------|-------------------------------|------|
| | DECLARATION | ii |
| | DEDICATION | iii |
| | ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | iv |
| | ABSTRACT | v |
| | ABSTRAK | vi |
| | TABLE OF CONTENTS | vii |
| | LIST OF TABLE | ix |
| | LIST OF FIGURES | xi |
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | |
| | 1.1 Background of Study | 1 |
| | 1.2 Problem Statement | 2 |
| | 1.3 Objectives of the Study | 3 |
| | 1.4 Scope of Work | 3 |
| | 1.5 Significant of the Study | 3 |
| 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW | |
| | 2.1 Introduction | 4 |
| | 2.2 The Metal Cutting Process | 5 |
| | 2.3 Milling Operation | 6 |
| | 2.4 CAD/CAM | 7 |
| | 2.4.1 Cutter Path Strategy | 9 |
| | 2.4.2 Step Over | 10 |
| | 2.4.3 Gouging | 11 |
| | 2.5 Type of Cutting Tool | 13 |
| | 2.5.1 Ball End Mill | 13 |

| | | |
|-------|--|----|
| 2.6 | Material of Cutting Tool | 14 |
| 2.6.1 | High Speed Steel | 15 |
| 2.7 | Surface Finish | 15 |
| 2.7.1 | Method To Measure Surface Finish | 16 |
| 2.7.2 | Shape and Waviness of the Surface | 16 |
| 2.7.3 | Surface Roughness | 17 |
| 2.7.4 | Surface Finish in Milling | 17 |
| 2.8 | Tool Wear | 18 |
| 2.8.1 | Tool Wear Pattern in Ball Mill | 20 |
| 3 | METHODOLOGY | |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 22 |
| 3.2 | Milling Machine | 23 |
| 3.3 | Cutting Tools | 24 |
| 3.4 | Workpiece Material | 24 |
| 3.5 | Measurement Equipment | 25 |
| 3.5.1 | Tool Wear Measurement | 25 |
| 3.5.2 | Surface Roughness Measurement | 27 |
| 3.5.3 | Dimensional Accuracy Measurement | 27 |
| 4 | RESULT AND DATA ANALYSIS | |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 29 |
| 4.2 | Tool Wear | 30 |
| 4.3 | Cutting Time | 32 |
| 4.4 | Surface Roughness | 34 |
| 4.4.1 | Six Location Result for Surface Finish | 34 |
| 4.4.2 | Flat, Flat to Curve and Curve | 35 |
| 4.5 | Average Value for Surface Finish | 36 |
| 4.6 | Dimensional Accuracy | 37 |
| 5 | CONCLUSION | 48 |
| | REFERENCES | 49 |

LIST OF TABLE

| TABLE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------|---|------|
| 3.1 | Spesification of the CNC Milling Machine | 24 |
| 3.2 | Tool spesification | 24 |
| 3.3 | Properties of 6061 aluminium alloy | 25 |
| 3.4 | Chemical composition of 6061 aluminium alloy (Wt%) | 25 |
| 3.5 | Spesification of Mitutoyo Formtracer | 27 |
| 3.6 | Specification of Coordinat Measuring Machine | 28 |
| 4.1 | Tool wear pattern for Concentric Arc, Follow Periphery, Parallel line and Radial Line | 31 |
| 4.2 | Flank wear value for Concentric Arc, Follow Periphery, Parallel line and Radial Line | 32 |
| 4.3 | Data for actual and simulation time in machining | 33 |
| 4.4 | Surface finish data at six locations | 35 |
| 4.5 | Surface finish data at flat, flat to curve and curve Location | 35 |
| 4.6 | Surface finish data in average | 37 |
| 4.7 | Dimensional accuracy Data at X, Y, Z axis for Follow Periphery tool path strategy | 39 |
| 4.8 | Dimensional accuracy data at X, Y, Z Axis for Parallel Line tool path strategy | 40 |
| 4.9 | Dimensional accuracy data at X, Y, Z axis for Concentric Arc tool path strategy | 40 |
| 4.10 | Dimensional accuracy data at X, Y, Z axis for Radial Line tool path strategy | 41 |
| 4.11 | Dimensional accuracy error data at X, Y, Z axis for Follow Periphery tool path strategy | 41 |
| 4.12 | Dimensional accuracy error data in average at X, Y and Z axis for Follow Periphery tool path strategy | 42 |
| 4.13 | Dimensional accuracy error data at X, Y and Z Axis for Parallel Line tool path strategy | 43 |

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 4.14 | Dimensional accuracy error data in average at X, Y and Z axis for Parallel Line tool path strategy | 43 |
| 4.15 | Dimensional accuracy error data at X, Y and Z axis for Concentric Arc tool path strategy | 44 |
| 4.16 | Dimensional accuracy error data in average at X, Y and Z axis for Concentric Arc tool path strategy | 45 |
| 4.17 | Dimensional accuracy error data at X, Y and Z axis for Radial Line tool path strategy | 46 |
| 4.18 | Dimensional accuracy error data in average at X, Y and Z axis for Radial Line tool path strategy | 46 |

LIST OF FIGURE

| FIGURE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------|---|------|
| 2.1 | Photomicrograph of partially formed chip | 5 |
| 2.2 | Schematic illustration of a two-dimensional cutting process | 6 |
| 2.3 | Milling process (a) Slab milling (b) End milling | 7 |
| 2.4 | Ball end mill | 7 |
| 2.5 | Modern Mold Design Flowchart | 8 |
| 2.6 | Cutter path strategies: (a) Single Direction Raster Strategy (One direction) (b) Raster (Zig-Zag/Back and Forth) and (c) Spiral | 10 |
| 2.7 | Step over of milling operation | 10 |
| 2.8 | Scallop height | 11 |
| 2.9 | Over cut and under cut in NC machining | 12 |
| 2.10 | (a) Gouging with neighboring surface (b) Surface overlap (c) Surface gap | 12 |
| 2.11 | Geometrical characteristics of two flute ball mill | 13 |
| 2.12 | The shape, waviness and roughness of a surface | 17 |
| 2.13 | An overview of the causes, mechanisms, types and Consequences of the tool wear | 19 |
| 2.14 | Different wear types observed at the cutting edges of the end-mill | 21 |
| 3.1 | Mold cavity | 22 |
| 3.2 | Methodology flow chart | 23 |
| 3.3 | Milling Machine | 23 |
| 3.4 | Ball Mill | 24 |
| 3.5 | Zeiss Axiotech-high power optical microscope | 26 |
| 3.6 | Mitutoyo Formtracer CS-5000 | 27 |
| 3.7 | Coordinate Measuring Machine | 28 |
| 4.1 | The tool path strategy: (a) Follow Periphery (b) Parallel Line (c) Concentric Arc (d) Radial Lines | 30 |

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 4.2 | Tool wear comparison for each tool path strategy | 32 |
| 4.3 | Tool path generation comparison for actual, simulation and error machining time | 33 |
| 4.4 | Six location to measure the surface finish | 34 |
| 4.5 | Surface finish data for comparison for flat, flat to curve and Curve area | 36 |
| 4.6 | Surface finish data for comparison in average | 37 |
| 4.7 | Point for dimensional accuracy in Z axis | 38 |
| 4.8 | Point for dimensional accuracy in X and Y axis | 39 |
| 4.9 | Dimensional accuracy error in X, Y and Z axis for Follow Periphery tool path strategy | 42 |
| 4.10 | Dimensional accuracy error in average at X, Y and Z axis for Follow Periphery tool path strategy | 42 |
| 4.11 | Dimensional accuracy error at X, Y and Z axis for Parallel Line tool path strategy | 43 |
| 4.12 | Dimensional accuracy error in average at X, Y and Z axis for Parallel Line tool path strategy | 44 |
| 4.13 | Dimensional accuracy error at X, Y and Z axis for Concentric Arc tool path strategy | 45 |
| 4.14 | Dimensional accuracy error in average at X, Y and Z axis for Concentric Arc tool path strategy | 45 |
| 4.15 | Dimensional accuracy error at X, Y and Z axis for Radial Line tool path strategy | 46 |
| 4.16 | Dimensional accuracy error in average at X, Y and Z axis for Radial Line tool path strategy | 47 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the study

Computer Aided Design/Computer Aided Manufacturing (CAD/CAM) is one of the versatile tools used in engineering practice in many ways including drafting, design, simulation, analysis and manufacturing. There are various applications of existing CAD/CAM system whereby each application has its strength which is usually targeted to a specific market and group of users. According to Ibrahim Zeid (2005), CAD/CAM system is classified into four groups depending on the market they serve, the tools, functionalities and flexibilities they provide. The four groups are low end, mid range, high end and specialized.

Low-end systems are used for unsophisticated and simple product which consists of small number of product and uncomplicated geometry. The user can focus on basic geometry modeling and drafting such as AutoCAD, Autodesk Inventor and CADKEY. The midrange system is for users who require complex modeling and a large number of parts per product. Unlike low end system, midrange system support design together with manufacturing application such as SolidWorks, Pro/E and MasterCAM. High-end system supports modeling, analysis and manufacturing of complex products such as airplanes, cars and others. Examples of this high-end system are Unigraphics, Parasolid, SDRC I-DEARS and CATIA (Ibrahim Zeid, 2005).

One of the most important technique in manufacturing is to produce a part with free-form or parametric surfaces. Efficient machining of these surfaces has become very important in many manufacturing industries, such as the automobile, consumer electronics, die-making and toy industries (Jian-Zhong Yang et al, 2007).

Research on cutter path generation techniques has been carried extensively over the past decade. Proper selection of cutter path strategy is crucial in achieving desired and quality machined surfaces. Without considering the effect of cutter path selection with adequate consideration of the machining outcome such as cutting forces, vibration analysis, tool life, cutting temperature and workpiece surface integrity, the result can lead to catastrophic cutter failure and therefore lead to unnecessary waste of time, cost and poor surface quality (C. K Toh, 2004).

This study is conducted in order to gain a better understanding on the type of cutter path strategies and their effect when ball end milling of mold cavity part.

1.2 Problem Statement

The development of the aeronautic and automobile industries brought new technological challenges, related to the growing complexity of the products and the new geometries modeled in Computer Aided Design (CAD) systems. These more complex geometries impose new challenging manufacturing situation for milling of moulds and stamping tools (R. Baptista, 1999).

The utilization of Computer Numerical Control (CNC) machine to manufacture complex surfaces has driven extensive research works especially in the area of tool path generation. According to Cevdet Gologlu (2008), the implementation and selection of cutting path strategies with appropriate cutting parameters have significant effect on surface roughness. Study on optimal tool path generation has been aiming at achieving two conflicting objectives which is quality and efficiency. Hui wen Li. et al, (2001) reported that a large tool path interval results in a rough surface while a small interval increases machining time, resulting in the inefficient of the process.

Most of previous studies were focused on the effect of tool path generation on tool geometry and surface texture. In this study, the effect of tool path generation on tool wear, surface finish, machining time and dimensional accuracy is being evaluated when ball end milling of mould cavity.

1.3 Objective of the study

The main objective of this study is to evaluate the effect of various tool path strategies via CAD/CAM on tool wear, surface finish, machining time and dimensional accuracy of a mold cavity.

1.4 Scope of work

The scope of the study covers the following aspects:

1. UGS CAD/CAM software was used to run the simulation and experiment with selected cutting parameters.
2. MAHO 700S CNC machining centre was used to machine the mold-cavity component.
3. 6061 aluminium alloy was selected as the workpiece.
4. High Speed Steel (HSS) ball end mill was used as the cutting tool.
5. Focus on the study was on finishing operation.
6. Cutting conditions such as cutting speed, feed rate and depth of cut were kept constant.

1.5 Significant of the Study

It is expected that the study will contribute to the following achievement:

1. Better understanding on the effects of tool path generation when ball end milling a mold-cavity part.
2. Proposed predictive results that can be achieved by using UGS software that is currently used in Production laboratory, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, UTM.
3. Established the tool path generation and their outcomes when milling 6061 aluminium alloy.

REFERENCES

- A. Hatna, R.J. Grieve, P. Broomhead (1998). *Automatic CNC milling of pockets: geometric and technological issue*. Computer Integrated Manufacturing System 11 (4) 309-330.
- Cevdet Gologlu, Nazim Sakarya (2008). *The effect of cutter path strategies on surface roughness of pocket milling of 1.2738 steel based on Taguchi Method*. Journal of Materials Processing Technology 206 7-15.
- C. K. Toh (2004). *A study of the effects of cutter path strategies and orientations in milling*. Journal of Materials Processing Technology 152 346-356.
- C.L li (2007). *A geometric approach to boundry-conformed toolpath generation*. Computer Aided Design 39 941-952.
- D.A. Stephenson and J.S. Agapiou (1997). *Metal Cutting Theory and Practice*. Marcel Dekker.
- F. Rashiddy Wong (2008). *Effect of drill geometry of uncoated tool when drilling titanium alloy, TI-6Al-4V*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia: Tesis Ijazah Sarjana.
- G.Boothroyd and W. A. Knight (1906). *On the Art of Cutting Metals*. Trans. ASME. Vol. 28, 31.
- Herbert Schulz (2001). *Scientific Fundamentals of High Speed Cutting*. Hanser Gardner.
- His-Yung Feng, Huiwen Li (2002). *Constant scallop-height tool path generation for three-axis sculptured surfaces machining*. Computer Aided Design 34 647-654.

- Ibrahim Zeid (2005). *Mastering CAD/CAM*. Mc Graw Hill Companies, Inc., 1221 Avenue of the Americas, New York.
- Jian-Zong Yang, Qi-Fu Wang, Zheng-Dong Huang, Li-Ping Chen (2007). *Tool path generation for machining compound surfaces with extended cut region method*. International Journal Advance Manufacturing Technology 35: 179-185.
- K. Tang, S.Y. Chou, L.L. Chen (1998). *An algorithm for reducing tool retractions in zigzag pocket milling*. Computer Aided Design 30 (2) 123-129.
- Kai Tang, Charles C Cheng and Yakove Dayan (1995). *Offsetting surface boundaries and 3-axis gauge-free surface machining*. Computer Aided Design vol. 27. No. 12, pp. 915-927.
- M.C Shaw (2005). *Metal Cutting Principle*. Second Edition. Oxford.
- P.V. Prabu, A.K Gramopadhye, H.P. Wang (1990). *A general mathematical model for optimizing NC tool path for face milling of flat convex polygonal surfaces*. Int. J. Prod. Res. 28 (1) 30-101.
- R. Baptista, J.F. Antune Simoes (2000). *Three and five axes milling of sculptured surfaces*. Journal of Materials Processing Technology 103 398-403.
- S.E. Sarma (1999). *The crossing function and its application to zigzag tool paths*. Computer Aided Design 31 (9) 881-890.
- S. Dolinsek, J. Kopac (2006). *Mechanism and type of tool wear; particularities in advanced cutting materials*. Journal of Achievements in Materials and Manufacturing Engineering vol 19.
- Tao Chen, Zhiliang Shi (2008). *A tool path generation strategy for three-axis-ball-end milling of free-form surfaces*. Journal of Materials Processing Technology 208 259-263.

Toh, C.K. (2004). *A Study of the effect of cutter path strategies and orientations in milling*. Journal of Materials Processing Technology 152, 346-356.

Y.S. Suh, K.W. Lee (1990). *NC Milling tool path generation for arbitrary pockets defined by sculptured surfaces*. Computer Aided Design 22 (5) 273-284.