

MICROBIOREACTOR SYSTEM WITH INTEGRATED MIXING SCHEME,
TEMPERATURE CONTROL AND OPTICAL DENSITY MEASUREMENT FOR
FERMENTATION AND BIOCATALYSIS EXPERIMENTS.

HAZWAN BIN HALIMOON

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of
the requirements for the award of degree of
Master of Engineering (Bioprocess Engineering)

Faculty of Chemical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

DECEMBER 2014

Alhamdulillah, thank GOD for His blessing that had made this project a blissful success

Especially dedicated to my lovely family, my Supervisor, and friends....

“Thanks for All Support and Encouragement”

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, the most Gracious, and the Most Merciful

Alhamdulillah, at last, I am very grateful to Him for giving me all the strength, blessing and guidance to finish this final year project successfully. I would like to express my sincere appreciation and special gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Nazrul Hisham Zainal Alam and my co-supervisor Dr. Abdul Rashid Hussain for the advice, guidance and support. Thank you for sharing the enthusiasm, knowledge, as well as the time spent. Without the patience and criticisms, the thesis would not have been the same as the one presented.

I am very grateful to all postgraduate students for serving advice and for their many helpful suggestions throughout my fermentation runs. Not forgetting the Project Lab Assistant for all their helps they gave. I would also like to thank to my lab- mates for their friendship and concern during my throughout project's progress.

Thanks to my family for their never-ending support, to my parents who have always encouraged me to succeed academically. Thank you.

ABSTRACT

The objective of this project is to develop an online microbioreactor system with integrated mixing scheme, temperature control and optical density measurement for fermentation experiment. There are few methods of fabrication used in prototyping microbioreactor designed by using InventorTM software such as micromachining, soft lithography casting and 3D printing. The proposed microbioreactor platform has a working volume of 500-1500 μL and was fabricated from poly(methylmethacrylate) (PMMA) and poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS) polymers. The reactor is equipped with independent on/off temperature controller and proportional-integral (PI) agitation rate controller. Furthermore, Beer's Lambert law was applied in on line optical density measurement at 600 nm with the use of fiber optics. Three different microbioreactors i.e. MBRv 1, MBRv 2 and MBRv 3 were fabricated to solve step by step of various technical aspects. Process control and automation were programmed by using LabVIEWTM software (National Instruments) and implemented by using a data acquisition card (DAQ) for signal transmission. Experimental works were performed to evaluate the workability of each of the main reactor features such as (1) assessment of the measurement and control performance (mixing, temperature and optical density), (2) mixing quality and evaporation test and (3) proof-of-concept via starch hydrolysis and yeast fermentation to demonstrate the workability of the microbioreactor. Enzyme to substrate, E/S ratio, reaction temperature and stirring speed were varied to observe the impact of these reactor variables on the starch hydrolysis process. Results attained includes two main aspects i.e. enzyme catalysed reactions has been successfully performed using the microbioreactor and a low standard deviation (averaging at $\pm 0.03 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$) showed that all experiments were repeatable with error less than 5% of the mean value. In *S. cerevisiae* fermentation, the microbioreactor ran stably for the entire length of operation which was nearly 40 hours with very minimal volume loss i.e. about $2.8 \mu\text{L}\cdot\text{hr}^{-1}$ at 37°C in every batch. The microbioreactor has the maximum oxygen transfer rate (OTR_{max}) of $16.6 \text{ mmol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ under the agitation rate of 300 rpm. Cell specific growth rate as high as 0.291 hr^{-1} was obtained in this condition. The experimental data in the microbioreactor operation was also reproducible in shake flask and bioreactor where comparable growth profiles were attained under a similar mixing time. All in all, it is anticipated that the microbioreactor fabricated in this project would be a potential substitute for shake flasks and/or microtiter plate as experimental tool to facilitate a high throughput bioprocessing experimental work.

ABSTRAK

Objektif projek ini adalah untuk membangunkan sistem mikrobioreaktor atas talian dengan menggabungkan skim pengadukan, kawalan suhu dan pengukuran ketumpatan optik untuk eksperimen fermentasi. Terdapat beberapa kaedah pembuatan yang digunakan dalam mikrobioreaktor yang direka dengan menggunakan perisian InventorTM iaitu pemesinan mikro, acuan litografi lembut dan percetakan 3D. Platform bagi mikrobioreaktor yang dicadangkan mempunyai isipadu kerja 500-1500 μL dan diperbuat daripada polimer poly(methylmethacrylate) (PMMA) dan poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS). Reaktor ini dilengkapi dengan pengawal suhu buka/tutup dan pengawal kadar pengadukan berkadar-kamiran (PI). Tambahan pula, prinsip Beer Lambert diguna pakai pada pengukuran ketumpatan optik atas talian dan diperolehi dari pemancaran cahaya boleh dilihat pada 600 nm dengan menggunakan gentian optik. Tiga mikrobioreaktor berlainan iaitu MBRv 1, MBRv 2 and MBRv 3 telah difabrikasi untuk menyelesaikan pelbagai aspek teknikal langkah demi langkah. Proses kawalan dan automasi telah diprogramkan dengan menggunakan perisian LabVIEWTM (National Instruments) dan dilaksanakan dengan menggunakan peranti pemerolehan data (DAQ) untuk penghantaran isyarat. Kerja-kerja ujikaji telah dijalankan untuk menilai kebolehkeraan setiap ciri-ciri utama reaktor seperti (1) penilaian pengukuran dan prestasi kawalan (pengadukan, suhu dan ketumpatan optik), (2) kualiti pengadukan dan ujian penyejukan dan (3) bukti dari konsep melalui hidrolisis kanji dan penapaian yis untuk menunjukkan kebolehkeraan mikrobioreaktor. Nisbah enzim kepada substrat, E/S, suhu tindak balas dan kelajuan pengaduk adalah berbeza-beza untuk memperhatikan kesan pemboleh ubah reaktor ke atas proses hidrolisis kanji. Keputusan yang diperolehi merangkumi dua aspek utama iaitu tindak balas kos rendah yang bermangkinkan enzim telah berjaya dijalankan menggunakan mikrobioreaktor dan sisihan piawai yang rendah (dengan purata $\pm 0.03 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$) menunjukkan bahawa semua eksperimen boleh diulangi dengan ralat kurang dari 5 % daripada nilai min. Dalam penapaian *S. cerevisiae*, mikrobioreaktor berfungsi dengan stabil sepanjang hampir 40 jam operasi dengan kehilangan isipadu yang sangat minimum iaitu kira-kira $2.8 \mu\text{L}\cdot\text{hr}^{-1}$ pada 37°C dalam setiap kelompok. Mikrobioreaktor ini mempunyai kadar maksimum pemindahan oksigen (OTR_{max}) $16.6 \text{ mmol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ di bawah kadar kelajuan pengaduk 300 rpm. Dalam keadaan ini, kadar spesifik pertumbuhan sel yang tinggi iaitu 0.291 hr^{-1} telah diperolehi. Data eksperimen dalam operasi mikrobioreaktor juga boleh diulang semula dalam kelalang goncang dan bioreaktor di mana profil perkembangan yang setara telah diperolehi di bawah masa adukan yang sama. Secara keseluruhannya, mikrobioreaktor yang telah direka dalam projek ini adalah dijangkakan akan menjadi pengganti berpotensi bagi kelalang goncang dan/atau plat mikrotiter sebagai alat eksperimen untuk memudahkan kerja-kerja eksperimen bioproses dengan murah.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	TITLE	i
	SUPERVISOR DECLARATION	ii
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxi
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxiv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxvi
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Research Background	1
	1.2 Statement of Problem	3
	1.3 Research Objectives	3
	1.4 Scope of Research	4
	1.5 Significance of the Study	5

2	LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1	Bioprocess Development	6
2.1.1	Microbioreactor Technology	8
2.2	Microbioreactor Design	11
2.2.1	Microbioreactor Operating Feature and Size	11
2.2.2	Materials and fabrications	12
2.2.3	Microbioreactor Fluidics	14
2.3	Aeration, Evaporation and Feeding Strategy	16
2.4	Process Control of Physical Parameters	17
2.5	Mixing Scheme	21
2.6	Temperature Control	24
2.7	Optical Density Measurement	28
2.8	Sulphite Method	29
2.9	Enzyme Hydrolysis	30
2.10	<i>Saccharomyces Cerevisae</i>	32
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	33
3.1	Introduction	33
3.2	Microbioreactor Materials	33
3.3	Microbioreactor Design	35
3.3.1	Microbioreactor Version 1 (MBRv 1)	36
3.3.2	Microbioreactor Version 2 (MBRv 2)	37
3.3.3	Microbioreactor Version 3 (MBRv 3)	39
3.4	Microbioreactor Fabrication	43
3.4.1	PMMA Micromilling	43
3.4.2	PDMS Casting	45
3.4.3	ABS 3D Printing	46
3.5	Measurement and Control	48
3.5.1	Platform Design	49
3.5.1.1	PVC Platform Design	49
3.5.1.2	PMMA Platform Design	50

3.5.2	Mixing Scheme	52
3.5.3	Temperature Control	55
3.5.4	Optical Density Measurement	59
3.6	Evaporation Control	63
3.7	Aeration Strategy	65
3.8	Evaluation of Microbioreactor Performance	66
3.8.1	Mixing Test and Homogeneity Measurement	67
3.8.2	Evaluation of Controller Performance	68
3.8.3	Starch Hydrolysis Experiment	70
3.8.4	Sulphite Method for Maximum Oxygen Transfer Rate (OTR_{max}) Measurement	71
3.8.5	Yeast Fermentation Experiment	73
3.9	Scale up Operation	74
3.10	Experimental Design	77
4	RESULT & DISCUSSION	78
4.1	Microbioreactor Performance	78
4.1.1	Mixing Profile	79
4.1.2	Temperature Profile	80
4.1.3	Optical Density Calibration	82
4.2	Mixing Time and Homogeneity Percentage	84
4.3	Evaporation Rate	86
4.4	Starch Hydrolysis Results	87
4.5	Maximum Oxygen Transfer Rate (OTR_{max})	90
4.6	Yeast Fermentation Results	92
4.7	Summary	96
5	CONCLUSION	97
6	FUTURE RESEARCH	99

REFERENCES	101
-------------------	------------

Appendices A - E	111-123
-------------------------	----------------

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Review of recent microbioreactors platforms and their basic characteristics	10
2.2	Various temperature sensor and heater in microbioreactors	27
3.1	Properties of Poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) and Poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS) (Becker and Gärtner 2008)	34
3.2	Specifications of microbioreactor developed in the project	35
3.3	Experiments for microbioreactor evaluation	66

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Diagram to illustrate latest microbio reactor used for human cell (Huh <i>et al.</i> , 2011)	8
2.2	Photograph and schematic view of lengthwise cross section of microbio reactor with dissolved oxygen (DO) and pH fluorescent sensors at the bottom of the chamber (Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2006)	9
2.3	Cross section schematic of microbio reactor and photograph of the multiplexed microbio reactor system embedded in a schematic of the instrumentation. The multiplexed system is loaded with four stirred microbio reactors made out of PMMA and PDMS. The optics bracket (detailed photographic view) contains the optical fibers for monitoring of the fermentation parameters OD ₆₀₀ , DO, and pH (Szita <i>et al.</i> , 2005)	9
2.4	Examples of possible microfluidic geometries made of PDMS and PMMA fabricated via casting and micro-machining procedures (Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2006)	13

- 2.5 Examples various fluidic interconnects that have been implemented in microbioreactor world. 14
(a) Gluing tubes into fluidic ports (Tae-Ho *et al.*, 2008). (b) Mounting standard chromatography fittings (e.g. VICI Jour, Upchurch Scientific, etc) on microbioreactor surface (Zainal Alam *et al.*, 2011). (c) Piercing needle through PDMS substrates (d) Metal ferrules and O-rings fluidic connections (Zhang *et al.*, 2007).
- 2.6 Schematic illustrating a complete microbioreactor system consisting of optical cells density (OD) measurement, evaporation control, fluidic connections for feeding, and control loops for temperature, pH and dissolved oxygen level. Dashed and/or coloured lines indicating either optical fibers or wiring whilst black and solid lines indicates the fluidic connections (Zainal Alam *et al.*, 2011) 21
- 2.7 (a) Examples of 3-D microfluidic channels to facilitate passive mixing schemes (Kee and Gavriilidis 2009; Strook *et al.*, 2002; Jung *et al.*, 2008) (b) Active mixing via magnetic stirrer bar. Inset is the top view of the microbioreactor (Zainal Alam *et al.*, 2011) 23
- 2.8 Photographs of the mixing of phenol red dye in a microbioreactor center stirrer bar. Mixing achieved uniformity in 30 at 180 rpm (Zhang *et al.*, 2005) 24

- 3.1 a) 3D view of the MBRv 1 with dimension (mm). 36
b) Actual picture of MBRv 1 by using PDMS
- 3.2 a) 3D view of the MBRv 2 layers with dimension 38
(mm): The microbioreactor consisted of three main layers i.e. the top PDMS layer (L1), the middle PMMA layer (L2), and the bottom PMMA layer (L3). Also illustrated in the reactor layout are the location of the M3 screws (A), inoculation port (B) water feeding line (C), Pt 100 sensor (D) b) actual image of MBRv 2 with M3 screws, nut and micro stir bar.
- 3.3 a) 3D view of the MBRv 3 layers with 42
dimension (mm): The microbioreactor consisted of three main layers i.e. the top PDMS layer (L1), the middle PMMA layer (L2), and the bottom PMMA layer (L3). Also illustrated in the reactor layout are the location of the M2.5 screws (A), through holes for the impeller shaft (B) aeration inlet and outlet (C and H), optical density probe (D), heater (E), Pt 100 sensor (F) and inoculation port (G). b) Actual image of MBRv 3 with micro impeller and screws.
- 3.4 a) Tool path created via SRP Player CAD 44
software. b) Micromilling process c) PMMA end product (top) and PMMA mold (bottom)
- 3.5 a) Diagram to illustrate the casting of PDMS layer 46
via PMMA as mold b) Empty milling product (top), casting of PDMS after bubble removal (middle), Peel off PDMS (bottom) c) difference of PDMS end product with or without bubbles trapped.

3.6	a) Illustrated image of 3D printer b) Image of printing progress c) Some of completed 3D model	48
3.7	Actual image of PVC platform used for MBRv 1 and MBRv 2	50
3.8	Actual image of PMMA platform with MBRv 3 full set up and close up of MBRv 3 with plug in probes and tubing (top right)	51
3.9	Illustration image of mixing scheme in MBRv 1 and MBRv 2 platform (bottom) with electrical wiring for all microbioreactor mixing and rotational speed frequency attained from magnetic pole passes Hall Effect switch	52
3.10	Illustration image of mixing scheme in MBRv 3 platform	53
3.11	Control algorithm for mixing scheme	54
3.12	Illustrated image of temperature control with electrical wiring in all microbioreactor platforms	56
3.13	Illustrated image of resistance wire aligned on the platform and underneath MBRv 1	57
3.14	Illustrated image of temperature control in MBRv 3 a) Resistance wire aligned in the immersion heater b) Immersion heater and pt 100 sensor positioning	58
3.15	Control algorithm for temperature control	58

3.16	Illustrated image of optical density measurement set up involving optical and electrical wiring	60
3.17	Illustration image of MBRv 3 set up, optical density measurement design inside UV box and close up of probes location inside microbioreactor chamber.	62
3.18	Control algorithm for optical density measurement	63
3.19	Illustrated image of evaporation control by using water feeding inlet only in MBRv 2	64
3.20	Schematic of the surface aeration set up applied in MBRv 3	65
3.21	Example of color intensity determination by using green and grey histogram	69
3.22	Experimental design	77
4.1	Profile of microbioreactor stirring speed control at different stirring speed set-point values (100 rpm, 200 rpm and 300 rpm)	80
4.2	Results of the set-point tracking experiment for three different temperature set-point values (i.e 30°C, 40°C and 50°C)	81
4.3	Calibration curve for the optical cell density measurement. Inset shows the close-up of the transmitting and the receiving line and the optical path length, λ for the optical measurement in the microbioreactor	83

4.4	Image captured and analyzed in mixing test at (i) 100 rpm ($N_{Re} = 20.8$), (ii) 200 rpm ($N_{Re} = 41.6$) and (iii) 300 rpm ($N_{Re} = 62.5$) through time (s)	84
4.5	The average of homogeneity percentage calculated under different agitation rates.	85
4.6	Histogram of evaporation rate measured at 30°C to 50°C temperature set point in MBRV 2	86
4.7	Kinetic profile of the sago starch hydrolysis experiments performed in the bench scale bioreactor and in the microbioreactor	89
4.8	a) Maximum Oxygen Transfer rate (OTR_{max}) at different speed of microbioreactor b) Maximum Oxygen Transfer rate for benchscale bioreactor (1.5 L), shakeflasks (0.15 L) and microbioreactor (0.0015 L) at the same agitation rates (200 rpm)	91
4.9	Colour changes according to the pH changes during Sulphite method carried out in 1.5 L bench scale bioreactor	92
4.10	a) Specific growth rate (μ) in the microbioreactor at different agitation rates b) Maximum Oxygen Transfer rate in the microbioreactor at different agitation rates c) Temperature profile plot along the yeast fermentation	94
4.11	Specific growth rate (μ) and maximum Oxygen transfer rate of <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> fermentation at different scale but the same agitation rate (200 rpm).	96

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

2D	Two dimensional
3D	Three dimensional
AC	Alternate current
atm	Atmosphere
CAD	Computer aided design
CNC	Computer numerical control
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
Co ²⁺	Cobalt (II) ion
Cu ²⁺	Copper (II) ion
DAQ	Data Acquisition Card
DC	Direct current
D_i	Impeller diameter
DO	Dissolved oxygen
D_t	Vessel diameter
dV_r/dt	Volume change over time (L.hr ⁻¹)
EC	Enzyme comission
E/S	Enzyme over substrate
Fe ²⁺	Iron (II) ion
h	height
H_i	Impeller height
HPLC	High Performance Liquid Chromatography
H_t	Vessel height

kcal	Kilocalories
$K_L a$	Volumetric mass transfer coefficient
LED	Light emitting diode
L_i	Impeller blade length
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
DNS	Dinitrosalicylic
DP	Degree of polymerization
M3	3 mm screw thread diameter
M2.5	2.5 mm screw thread diameter
MBRv	Microbioreactor version
meas.	Measurement
Mn^{2+}	Manganese (II) ion
N_2	Nitrogen
Ne'	Dimensionless power number
N_m	Measured agitation
N_{Re}	Reynold's number
N_{sp}	Set point agitation
O_2	Oxygen
OD	Optical density
OD_{600nm}	Optical density at 600 nm
OTR	Oxygen transfer rate
OTR_{max}	Maximum Oxygen transfer rate
OUR	Oxygen uptake rate
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
PDMS	Polydimethylsiloxane

pH	Potential of Hydrogen ion
pH _o	Initial pH
pH _F	Final pH
P_i	Power input
PI	Proportional Integral
P_i/V_L	Volumetric power consumption
PMMA	Polymethylmethacrylate
Pt	Platinum
PVC	Polyvinylchloride
r	radius
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
subVI	Subsection of virtual instrument
S/V	Surface over volume
t_{90}	response time less than 90 seconds
T_m	Set point temperature
T_{sp}	Set point temperature
UV	Ultra violet
v/v	Volume per volume
w/v	Weight per volume
W_i	Impeller width

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Δ	Spacing between
\emptyset	Diameter
α/ β	Alpha or beta conformation of covalent bond
Ω	Ohm
λ	Path length
μ	Specific growth rate
$\mu\text{L}\cdot\text{hr}^{-1}$	microliter per hour
Hz	Hertz
$\text{Lmol}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$	Molar absorbtivity
M	Molarity (molL^{-1})
mm Hg	millimeter of mercury
$\text{mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$	milligram per Liter
MPa	Megapascal
N	Normality
$\text{Pa}\cdot\text{s}$	Pascal second
rpm	Rotation per minute
$[\text{S}]_0$	Initial substrate concentration
T_g	Glass transition temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)
U/g	Units of activity per gram

V	Voltages
W	Watt
W.m ⁻²	Watt per meter square

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	a) Determination of specific growth rate (μ)	111
	b) DNS Method	112
B	a) The glucose concentration standard curve at 540 nm	113
	b) <i>S. cerevisiae</i> fermentation in benchscale bioreactor	114
C	Microbioreactor drawing Drawing C.1: Platform a) Base b) Anchor c) Holder d) assembly	115
	Drawing C.2: Optical density measurement drawing (UV black box) a) photodiode enclosed b) optical enclosed.	116
D	LabView programming D.1: Front panel of stirring speed control.	117
	LabView programming D.2: Block diagram of stirring speed control	118
	LabView programming D.3: Front panel of temperature control and optical density measurement	119

	LabView programming D.4: Block diagram of temperature control	120
	LabView programming D.5: Block diagram of optical density measurement	122
E	The temperature profile when voltage supplied (a) 4.3V (b) 6.4V and (c) 10V	123

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

Fermentation process can be described as the production of specific bioproducts such as biomass, enzyme or metabolite with the use of living cell culture e.g. bacteria, yeast, fungi and other microbial life that utilizes carbon containing compounds (El-Mansi and Bryce, 2007). Generally, fermentation processes is performed under a controlled environment in which process temperature, pH and dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration level are often the controlled variables (Shuler and Kargi, 2002). A huge numbers of fermentation experiments are usually needed for fermentation process development. Shake flasks (or microtiter plates) and bench scale bioreactors are often used as an experimental tool to carry out these fermentation experiments in the lab scale. Shake flasks can easily operated in parallel at low volumes e.g. 66 flasks with 125 mL each in a typical two deck shaking incubator. It also possible to work at much lower volume i.e. down to 21.3 mL for 125 mL Erlenmeyer flask (Corning Inc, Tewksbury MA, USA) Recently, Sartorius AG Germany presents the SENSOLUX[®] tray, stand-alone version DCS09 shake flask that has been integrated with pH and dissolved oxygen optical sensors. This allows for the obtainment of online readings for the pH and DO level inside the flask under shaking conditions.

Bioreactors on contrary, offers a better parameters control i.e. compared to shake flasks (or microtiter plates). For example dissolved oxygen level, pH and temperature can all be tightly controlled during experimentation. Furthermore, bioreactor supports batch, continuous batch and fed batch mode of fermentation processes. However, a low numbers of experiment can be performed in a single run a typical 1.5 L lab scale bioreactor and consumes relatively large volume of medium (~ 0.5-1.5 L). This limits its usefulness for fermentation process development. In addition, conducting experiments with bench scale bioreactors is laborious due to the efforts required for preparation and cleaning of the reactor (Shuler and Kargi, 2002). It is also important to note here that both operations (i.e. shake flasks and bioreactors) require frequent sampling which increases risks of cells contamination. Clearly, there is a demand for an improved experimental tool for cells cultivation that could overcome these limitations.

Microbioreactor is a miniaturize scale bioreactor which is normally integrated with sensor for real time data, utilize polymer base (PMMA, PDMS and etc), disposable and no sampling possiblity due to very small working volume (Maharbiz *et al.*, 2004; Zanzotto *et al.*, 2004; Zhang *et al.*, 2006; Zainal Alam *et al.*, 2010; Schäpper *et al.*, 2010). It has been receiving increasing attention as it posses most general features of typical bench-scale bioreactor and retain the cost reducing advantages similarly to shake flasks or microtiter plate (Szita *et al.*, 2005). Designing such a microbioreactor system however, requires one to evaluate various design specifications. This include choice of operation, reactor operating feature and size, reactor mechanics, reactor fluidics, process control of physical parameters and detection methods for measuring the product concentration (Schäpper *et al.*, 2009).

1.2 Statement of Problem

At laboratory scale, optimization of fermentation processes are generally performed using shake flasks and the bench-scale bioreactors. In the early fermentation process development, microbiologists often rely on parallel experimentation in shaken flasks to determine optimal medium composition and/or to screen for the suitable microbial strain (Betts and Baganz, 2006). In a more advance stage, where it is necessary to quantify important engineering parameters e.g. the oxygen transfer coefficient, k_{La} , volumetric power consumption, P_i/V_L , etc. and/or to assess the impact of fundamental engineering aspects such as mixing, heat transfer rate, etc. on the reactions, bench scale bioreactors are usually utilized (Stanbury *et al.*, 1999).

In general, shake flasks operations are much easier to set-up and they require low volumes of culture medium (~50-200 mL). Medium consumptions can be further reduced with the use of microtitre plates i.e. a miniature size shaken reactor with working volumes of few hundreds microlitre (100 - 200 μ L) (Stanbury *et al.*, 1999). However, with this approach, experiments are normally carried out in batch mode and control of process parameters under shaking conditions is rather difficult. Bioreactor, on the other hand is a more sophisticated experimental tool. Culture conditions can be precisely controlled and it can be operated with a working volume as low as 180 mL ("Multifors 2" bioreactor system, Infors, Switzerland). Nevertheless, performing multiple fermentation experiments at few hundreds of millilitre per run is still relatively costly. Furthermore, extra laboratory work is usually needed for preparation and cleaning of the bioreactor (Schäpper *et al.*, 2009). In this respect, it is believed that microbioreactor platform could offer an attractive solution for this problem. Such a microbioreactor platform would inherit all the advantages of bioreactor system whilst maintaining the low cost operation of shake flask and/or microtiter plates.

1.3 Research Objectives

The aim of this study is to develop a microbioreactor system with integrated mixing scheme, temperature control and optical density measurement for fermentation and biocatalysis experiments.

1.4 Scope of Research

The followings are the scope of work for the project:

- I. Design of the microbioreactor for fabrication was done using the Autodesk inventorTM engineering drawing software.
- II. Fabrication of microbioreactor with working volume between 500 to 1500 μL .
- III. Polymer substrates namely Polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) and polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) polymers were used as materials for fabrication.
- IV. Mixing was provided by micro stirrer and agitation rate was controlled via PI controller algorithm.
- V. Temperature was measured via miniature Pt 100 sensor. The heating element was implemented by using microheater and resistance wire.
- VI. The microbioreactor was integrated with optical fibers for online measurement of cells optical density..
- VII. Evaporation issue in micro scale operation was investigated and a suitable solution was proposed.
- VIII. Aeration was introduced by pumping a humidified air to the surface of the reactor.

- IX. A simple docking station for the microbioreactor was developed to integrate measurement and control of the microbioreactor. Additionally, the platform also supports the fluidics connection established.
- X. Programs for measurement and control was written by using LabVIEW™ software (National Instruments) and executed by using National Instruments data acquisition card (DAQ) for signals transmission.
- XI. Several tests were performed to evaluate the performance of microbioreactor system. These include assessment of the reliability of the mixing scheme and temperature control based on the accuracy, response time and settling time. Additionally, mixing test was performed to determine the mixing time by using fluorescent dye method.
- XII. Three versions of microbioreactor were developed for various reasons; (1) MBRv 1 was to evaluate the mixing scheme and temperature control implemented, (2) MBRv 2 was to investigate the evaporation issue and the reactor capacity to facilitate biocatalysis process in batch mode, and (3) MBRv 3 was to evaluate the optical density measurement and to evaluate the reactor capacity to perform fermentation experiments in batch mode.
- XIII. Starch hydrolysis and fermentation experiments were carried out to demonstrate the data comparability to the present fermentation instrument i.e. shake flasks and bench scale bioreactor. Moreover, sulphite method were carried out in microbioreactor, shake flasks and benchscale bioreactor to determine the maximum oxygen transfer rate.

1.5 Significance of Research

Microbioreactors can indeed be very useful for preliminary and advance studies on bioprocessing. Microbioreactor is simply a very small bioreactor system with working volume of less than 1 millilitre. Often, these types of reactors are made of polymers, and integrated with one or more microfluidic components to support the reactor operation (Szita *et al.*, 2005; Zhang *et al.*, 2006; Lee *et al.*, 2006; Schäpper *et*

al., 2010; Edlich *et al.*, 2010). Miniature size polymer-based reactors are disposable and thus, eliminate the need for cleaning of the reactor (Szita *et al.*, 2005; Zhang *et al.*, 2006; Lee *et al.*, 2006; Schäpper *et al.*, 2010; Edlich *et al.*, 2010). Moreover, non-invasive and disposable sensors can be integrated into such a microbioreactor platform for monitoring of essential fermentation variables e.g. temperature, pH, cell density and DO level (Schäpper *et al.*, 2009). The capacity of microbioreactors to facilitate fermentation experiments have been demonstrated in various lab scale cultivations especially using *S. cerevisiae* (Szita *et al.*, 2005; Zhang *et al.*, 2006; Lee *et al.*, 2006) and *E. coli* microbial strains (Schäpper *et al.*, 2010; Edlich *et al.*, 2010). Development of microbioreactor has even evolved to a phase where results in bench scale bioreactor can be reproduced with microbioreactors (Zhang *et al.*, 2006; Lee *et al.*, 2006; Schäpper *et al.*, 2010; Edlich *et al.*, 2010).

REFERENCES

- Aigars, P. Irena, N. Se Hwan, L. Chong, A. William, R. H. Patrick, A. L. and Carl, J. S. (2005). The Autofluorescence of Plastic Materials and Chips Measured under Laser Irradiation. *Lab on a chip*, 5, 1348 - 1354.
- Alia, Mohammad, A. K. and Abu Zalata, K. M. (2004). A Closed Loop Temperature Control System by Utilizing a LabVIEW Custom-Design PID Controller. *7th United Kingdom simulation society conference, Oxford, England*.
- Andres, M. Cardenas-Valencia, Dlutowski, J. Fries, D. and Langebrake, L. (2006). Spectrometric Determination of the Refractive Index of Optical Wave Guiding Materials Used in Lab-On-a-Chip Applications. *Applied Spectroscopy*, 60, 322 - 329.
- Arroyo-López, F. N. Sandi, O. Amparo, Q. and Eladio, B. (2009). Effects of Temperature, pH, and Sugar Concentration on the Growth Parameters of *S. cerevisiae* , *Saccharomyces kudriavzevii* and Their Interspecific Hybrid. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 131, 120 - 127.
- Bartels, C. Breuer, M. Wechsler, K. and Durst, F. (2002). Computational Fluid Dynamics Applications on Parallel-Vector Computers: Computations of Stirred Vessel Flows. *Computational Fluidics*, 31, 69 - 97.
- Barry, E. Paton. (1999). *LabVIEW Graphical Programming for Instrumentation*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall PTP.
- Becker, H. and Gärtner, C. (2008). Polymer Microfabrication Technologies for Microfluidic Systems. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 390, 89 - 111.
- Berthier, E. Warrick, J. Yu, H. and Beebe, D. J. (2008). Managing Evaporation for More Robust Microscale Assays: Part 2. Characterization of Convection and Diffusion for Cell Biology. *Lab on a Chip*, 8, 860 - 864.

- Betiku, E. and Ajala, O. (2010). Enzymatic Hydrolysis of Breadfruit Starch Case Study with Utilization for Gluconic Acid Production. *IFE Journal of Technology*, 19, (10), 10 - 14.
- Betts, J. I. and Baganz, F. (2006). Miniature Bioreactors: Current Practices and Future Opportunities. *Microbial Cell Factories*, 5, 21.
- Boccazzi, P. Zhang, Z. Kurosawa, K. Szita, N. Bhattacharya, S. Jensen, K, F. and Sinskey, A, J. (2006). Differential Gene Expression Profiles and Realtime Measurements of Growth Parameters in *Saccharomyces Cerevisiae* Grown in Microliter-Scale Bioreactors Equipped with Internal Stirring. *Biotechnology Progress*, 22, 710 - 717.
- Buchenauer, A. Hofmann, M. C. Funke, M. Büchs, J. Mokwa, W. and Schnakenberg, U. (2009). Microbioreactors with Microfluidic Control and a User Friendly Connection to the Actuator Hardware. *Biosensors and Bioelectronic*, 24, 1411 - 1416.
- Büchs, J. Maier, U. Milbradt, C. and Zoels, B. (2000). Power Consumption in Shaking Flasks on Rotary Shaking Machines: I. Power Consumption Measurement in Unbaffled Flasks at Low Liquid Viscosity. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 68, 589 - 593.
- Calik, P. Yilgör, P. and Demir, A. (2006). Influence of Controlled-pH and Uncontrolled-pH Operations on Recombinant Benzaldehyde Lyase Production by *Escherichia coli*. *Enzyme Microbiology Technology*, 38, 617 - 627.
- Chen, C. W. Lei, B. C. Yeh, K. W. and Duan, K. J. (2003). Recombinant Sweet Potato Sporamin Production via Glucose and pH Control in Fed-Batch Cultures of *Saccharomyces Cerevisiae*. *Process Biochemistry*, 38, 1223 - 1229.
- Crawford, R. J. (1998). *Plastic Engineering* (3rd ed.). London: Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Daniel, M. Robert, D. and Yusuf, C. (2005). A Recombinant Vaccine against Hydatidosis: Production of the Antigen in *Escherichia coli*. *Journal Industrial Microbiology Biotechnology*, 33, 173 - 182.
- De Jong, J. (2008). *Application of Membrane Technology in Microfluidic Devices*. Doctor Philosophy, University of Twente, Twente.
- Doran, P. M. (1995). *Bioprocess Engineering Principles*. (3rd ed.). Amsterdam, Netherland: Elsevier Science & Technology Books.

- Duetz, A. W. Ruedi, L. Hermann, R. O'Connor, K. Büchs, J. and Witholt, B. (2000). Methods for Intense Aeration, Growth, Storage and Replication of Bacterial Strains in Microtiter Plates. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 66, 2641 - 2646.
- Duetz, W. A. (2007). Microtiter Plates as Mini-Bioreactors: Miniaturization of Fermentation Methods. *Trends in Microbiology*, 15, (10), 469 - 475.
- Edlich, A. Magdanz, V. Rasch, D. Zadeh, S. A. Segura, R. Kähler, C. Radespiel, R. Büttgenbach, S. Franco-Lara, E. and Krull, R. (2010) Microfluidic Reactor for Continuous Cultivation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Biotechnology Progress*. **26**, (5) 1259-1270.
- El-Mansi, M. Bryce, C. F. Demain, A. L. and Allman, A. R. (2007). *Fermentation Microbiology and Biotechnology : an historical perspective*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Ferreira, A. Vieira, L. Cardoso, J. and Menezes, J. (2005). Evaluation of a New Annular Capacitance Probe for Biomass Monitoring in Industrial Pilot-Scale Fermentations. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 116, (4), 403 - 409.
- Fiaux, J. Cakar, Z. P. Sonderegger, M. Wu' thrich, K. Szyperski, T. and Sauer, U. (2003). Metabolic-flux Profiling of the Yeasts *Saccharomyces Cerevisiae* and *Pichia Stipitis*. *Eukaryotic Cell*, 2, 170 - 180.
- Floyd, T. L. (2006). *Digital Fundamentals*. (9th ed.). New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Garcia-Ochoa, F. and Gomez, E. (2009). Bioreactor Scale-Up and Oxygen Transfer Rate in Microbial Processes: An Overview. *Biotechnology Advances*, 27, 153 - 176.
- Garcia-Ochoa, F. Santos, V. Casas, J. and Gomez, E. (2000). Xanthan Gum: Production, Recovery, and Properties. *Biotechnology Advance*, 18, 549 - 579.
- Gill, N. K. Appleton, M. Baganz, F. and Lye, G. J. (2008). Design and Characterisation of a Miniature Stirred Bioreactor System for Parallel Microbial Fermentations. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 39, 164 - 176.
- Goyal, N. Gupta, J.K. and Soni, S. K. (2005) A Novel Raw Starch Digesting Thermostable Amylase from *Bacillus sp.I-3* and its Use in the Direct Hydrolysis of Raw Potato Starch. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 37, 723 - 734.

- Halimoon, H. and Zainal Alam, M. N. H. (2013). A Low Cost Stirring Platform with Integrated Temperature Control Scheme for Microbioreactor Operation. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 62, (1), 1 - 8.
- Hermann, R. Walther, N. Maier, U. and Buchs, J. (2001). Optical Method for the Determination of the Oxygen-Transfer Capacity of Small Bioreactors Based on Sulfite Oxidation. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 74, 355 - 363.
- Hessel, V. Löwe, H. and Schönfeld, F. (2005). Micromixers - A Review on Active and Passive Mixing Principles. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 60, 2479 - 2501.
- Hirosuke, T. Hajime, K. and Tokuji, I. (2007). Kinetic Analysis of Glucoamylase-Catalyzed Hydrolysis of Starch Granules from Various Botanical Sources. *Department of Bioscience*, 4, 946 - 950.
- Huang, C. W. and Lee, G. B. (2007). A Microfluidic System for Automatic Cell Culture. *Micromechanics and Microengineering*, 17, 1266 - 1274.
- Huh, D. Geraldine, A. Hamilton, and Donald, E. I. (2011). From 3D Cell Culture to Organs-On-Chips. *Trends in Cell Biology*, 21, 745 - 754.
- Hyun, J. K. Jong, H. K. Hyuck, J. O. and Chul, S. S. (2002). Morphology Control of *Monascus* Cells and Scale-Up of Pigment Fermentation. *Process Biochemistry*, 38, 649 - 655.
- Isett, K. George, H. Herber, W. and Amanullah, A. (2007). Twenty-four-well Plate Miniature Bioreactor High-Throughput System: Assessment for Microbial Cultivations. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 98, 1017 - 1028.
- Jha A.K. (2009). *A Textbook Of Applied Physics: Volume 1*. New Dehli, India: I. K. International Pvt Ltd.
- Jung, S-Y. Liu, Y. and Collier, P. (2008). Fast Mixing and Reaction Initiation Control of Single-Enzyme Kinetics in Confined Volumes. *Sensors and Actuators A*, 24, 4439 - 4442.
- Kanokarn, K. and Jens, N. (2013). Specific Growth Rate and Substrate Dependent Polyhydroxybutyrate Production in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *AMB Express*, 3, 18 - 24.
- Kunamneni, A. and Singh, S. (2005). Response Surface Optimization of Enzymatic Hydrolysis of Maize Starch for Higher Glucose Production. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 27, (2), 179 - 190.

- Kee, S. and Gavriilidis, A. (2009). Design and Performance of Microstructured PEEK Reactor for Continuous Poly-L-leucine Catalysed Chalcone Epoxidation. *Organic process Research and Development*, 13, 941 - 951.
- Korsten, L. and Cook, N. (1996). Optimizing Culturing Conditions for *Bacillus subtilis*. *South African Avocado Growers Association Yearbook*, 19, 54 - 58.
- Kostov, Y. Harms, P. Randers-Eichhorn, L. and Rao, G. (2001). Low-cost Microbioreactor for High-Throughput Bioprocessing. *Biotechnology Bioengineering*, 72, (3), 346 - 352.
- Krommenhoek, E. E. Gardeniers, J. G. E. Bomer, J. G. Li, X. Ottens, M. van Dedem, G. W. K. van Leeuwen, M. van Gulik, W. M. van der Wielen, L. A. M. Heijnen, J. J. and van den Berg, A. (2007). Integrated Electrochemical Sensor Array for On-Line Monitoring of Yeast Fermentations, *Analytical Chemistry*, 79, 5567 - 5573.
- Krommenhoek, E. E. van Leeuwen, M. Gardeniers, H. van Gulik, W. M. van den Berg, A. Li, X. Ottens, M. van der Wielen, L. A. M. and Heijnen, J. J. (2007). Lab-Scale Fermentation Tests of Microchip with Integrated Electrochemical Sensors for pH, Temperature, Dissolved Oxygen and Viable Biomass Concentration. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 99, 884 - 892.
- Kumar, S. Wittmann, C. and Heinzle, E. (2004). Review: Minibioreactors. *Biotechnology Letters*, 26, 1 - 10.
- Linek, V. and Vacek, L. (1981). Chemical Engineering Use of Catalyzed Sulphite Oxidation Kinetics for the Determination of Mass Transfer Characteristics of Gas-Liquid Contactors. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 36, 1747 - 1768.
- Liu, D. Vorobiev, E. Savoie, R. and Lanoiselle, J-L. (2013). Comparative Study of Ultrasound-Assisted and Conventional Stirred Dead-End Microfiltration of Grape Pomace Extracts. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry*, 20, 708 - 714.
- Lee, H. L. Boccazzi, P. Ram, R. and Sinskey, A. J. (2006). Microbioreactor Arrays with Integrated Mixers and Fluid Injectors for High-Throughput Experimentation with pH and Dissolved Oxygen Control. *Lab on a Chip*, 6, 1229 - 1235.
- Li, C. Y. Zhang, X. B. Akermark, B. Sun, L. Shen, G. L. and Yu, R. Q. (2006). A Wide pH Range Optical Sensing System Based on a Sol-Gel Encapsulated Amino Functionalised Corrole. *The Analyst*, 131, 388 - 393.

- Li, J. Asali, E. and Humphrey, A. (1991). Monitoring Cell Concentration and Activity by Multiple Excitation Fluorometry. *Biotechnology Progress*, 7, (1), 21 - 27.
- Linek, V. Korda, C. and M. Moucha, T. (2006). Evaluation of the Optical Sulfite Oxidation Method for the Determination of the Interfacial Mass Transfer Area in Small-Scale Bioreactors. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 27, 264 - 268.
- Liu, L. Peng, S. Niu, X. and Wen, W. (2006). Microheaters Fabricated from a Conducting Composite. *Applied Physics Letters*, 89, 223 - 521.
- Maharbiz, M. M. Holtz, W. J. Howe, R. T. and Keasling, J. D. (2004). Microbioreactor Arrays with Parametric Control for High-Throughput Experimentation. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 85, 376 - 381.
- Maier, U. and Büchs, J. (2001). Characterisation of the Gas-Liquid Mass Transfer in Shaking Bioreactors. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 7, 99 - 106.
- Maiti, T. K. (2006). A Novel Lead-Wire-Resistance Compensation Technique Using Two-Wire Resistance Temperature Detector. *IEEE Sensors*, 6, 1454 - 1458.
- Matanguihan, R. Konstantinov, K. and Yoshida, T. (1994). Dielectric Measurement to Monitor the Growth and the Physiological States of Biological Cells. *Bioprocess Engineering*, 11, (6), 213 - 222.
- Micheletti, M. Barrett, T. Doig, S. D. Baganz, F. Levy, M. S. Woodley, J. M. and Lye, G. J. (2006). Fluid Mixing in Shaken Bioreactors: Implications for Scale-Up Predictions from Microlitre-Scale Microbial and Mammalian Cell Cultures. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 61, (9), 2939 - 2949.
- Miller, G. L. (1959). Use of Dinitrosalicylic Acid Reagent for Determination of Reducing Sugar. *Analytical Chemistry*, 31, (3), 426 - 428.
- Mitchell, D. A. Krieger, N. and Berovic, M. (2006). *Solid State Fermentation Bioreactors: Fundamental of Design and Operation*. New York: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Muller, D. H. Liauw, M. A. and Greiner, L. (2005). Microreaction Technology in Education: Miniaturized Enzyme Membrane Reactor, *Chemical Engineering Technology*, 28, 1569 - 1571.
- Muratore, J. Moonan, W. and Young, J. (2010). *Hall Effect Sensor: Labview datasheet*. University of Tennessee Space Institute.
- Murugesan, A. G. Dhevahi, B. Gowdhaman, D. Bala, A. K. and Sathesh, P. C. (2012). Production of Xanthan employing *Xanthomonas campestris* using

- Sugarcane Molasses. *American Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 2, 31 - 34.
- Nagata, S. (1975). *Mixing Principles and Applications*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Neuzil, P. Zhang, C. Pipper, J. Oh, S. and Zhuo, L. (2006). Ultra Fast Miniaturized Real-Time PCR: 40 Cycles in Less Than Six Minutes. *Nucleic Acid Research* 34, 1 - 9.
- Oates, C. G. (1997). Towards an Understanding of Starch Granule Structure and Hydrolysis. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 8, (11), 375 - 382.
- Park, D. Haam, S. Jang, K. Ahn, I. S. and Kim, W. S. (2005). Immobilization of Starch-Converting Enzymes on Surface-Modified Carriers Using Single and Co-Immobilized Systems: Properties and Application to Starch Hydrolysis. *Process Biochemistry*, 40, (1), 53 - 61.
- Perozziello, G. Bundgaard, F. and Geschke, O. (2008). Fluidic Interconnections for Microfluidic Systems: A New Integrated Fluidic Interconnection Allowing Plug'n'play Functionality. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 130, 947 - 953.
- Perry, R. H. (1997). *Perry's Chemical Engineer's Handbook*. (6th ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Petronis, S. Stangegaard, M. Christensen, C. B. V. and Dufva, M. (2006). Transparent Polymeric Cell Culture Chip with Integrated Temperature Control and Uniform Media Perfusion. *BioTechniques*, 40, 368 - 376.
- Polakovic, M. and Bryjak, J. (2004). Modelling of Potato Starch Saccharification by an *Aspergillus Niger* Glucoamylase. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 18, (1), 57 - 63.
- Ramsden, E. (2006). *Hall Effect Sensor: Theory and Application*. (2nd ed). Amsterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier Science and Technology.
- Riedlberger, P. and Weuster-Botz, D. (2012). New Miniature Stirred-Tank Bioreactors for Parallel Study of Enzymatic Biomass Hydrolysis. *Bioresource Technology*, 106, 138 - 146.
- Ronald, E. H. Bruce, S. D. Michael, A. C. and Jeffrey, A. M. (2013). Growth and Fermentation of D-xylose by *Saccharomyces Cerevisiae* Expressing a Novel D-xylose Isomerase Originating from the Bacterium *Prevotella Ruminicola* TC2-24. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 6, 84 - 89.

- Rose, A. H. and Harrison, J. S. (1991). *The Yeasts* (2nd ed.). London: Academic Press.
- Rowe, G. E. Margaritis, A. and Wei, N. (2003). Specific Oxygen Uptake Rate Variations during Batch Fermentation of *Bacillus Thuringiensis* Subspecies Kurstaki HD-1. *Biotechnology Progress*, 19, 1439 - 1443.
- Schäpper, D. (2010). *Continuous Culture Microbioreactors*. Doctor Philosophy, Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby.
- Schäpper, D. Stocks, S. M. Szita, N. Lantz, A. E. and Gernaey, K. V. (2010). Development of a Single-use Microbioreactor for Cultivation of Microorganisms. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 160, 891 - 898.
- Schäpper, D. Zainal Alam, M. N. H. Szita, N. Lantz, A. E. and Gernaey, K. V. (2009). Application of microbioreactors in fermentation process development: a review. *Journal of Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 395, 679 - 695.
- Shuler, M. L. and Kargi, F. (2002). *Bioprocess Engineering: Basic Concepts*, (2nd ed.). New York: Prentice Hall.
- Schuster, E. Dunn-Coleman, N. Frisvad, J. C. and Van Dijck, P. W. M. (2002). On the Safety of *Aspergillus niger* - a Review. *Application of Microbiology Biotechnology*, 59, 426 - 435.
- Smith I. A. (1970). Factors Affecting Enzymatic Starch Hydrolysis in Sugar Solutions. *Hulett's Research and Development*, 88 - 93.
- Stanbury, P.F. Hall, S. and Whitaker, A. (1999). *Principles of Fermentation Technology*, (2nd ed.). UK: Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Strook, A. D. Dertinger, S. K. W. Ajdari, A. Mezic, I. Stone, H. A. and Whitesides, G. M. (2002). Chaotic Mixer for Microchannels. *Science*, 295, 647 - 651.
- Swarts, J. W. Vossenbergh, P. Meerman, M. H. Janssen, A. E. M. and Boom, R. M. (2008). Comparison of Two-Phase Lipase-Catalyzed Esterification on Micro and Bench Scale. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 99, 855 - 861.
- Szita, N. Bocazzi, P. Zhang, Z. Boyle, P. Sinskey, A. J. and Jensen, K. F. (2005). Development of a Multiplexed Microbioreactor System for High-Throughput Bioprocessing. *Lab on a Chip*, 5, 819 - 826.
- Tae-Ho, Y. Sang-Hee, P. Kyoung-Ik, M. Xunli, Z. Stephen, J. H. and Dong-Pyo, K. (2008). Novel Inorganic Polymer Derived Microreactors for Organic Microchemistry Applications. *Lab on a Chip*, 8, 1409 - 1588.

- Tsao, C. W. and Devoe, D. L. (2009). Bonding of Thermoplastic Polymer Microfluidics. *Microfluidics and Nanofluidics*, 6, 1 - 16.
- Uthumporn, U. Zaidul, I. S. M. and Karim, A. A. (2010). Hydrolysis of Granular Starch at Sub-Gelatinization Temperature Using a Mixture of Amylolytic Enzymes. *Food and Bioproducts Processing*, 88, (1), 47 - 54.
- Van Der Maarel, M. Van Der Veen, B. Uitdehaag, J. C. M. Leemhuis, H. and Dijkhuizen, L. (2002). Properties and Applications of Starch-Converting Enzymes of the Alpha-Amylase Family. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 94, (2), 137 - 155.
- Vervliet-Scheebaum, M. Ritzenthaler, R. Normann, J. and Wagner, E. (2008). Short-term Effects of Benzalkonium Chloride and Atrazine on *Elodea Canadensis* Using a Miniaturised Microbioreactor System for an Online Monitoring of Physiologic Parameters. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 69, 254 - 262.
- Vuppu, A. K. Garcia, A. A. Hayes, M. A. Booksh, K. Phelan, P. E. Calhoun, R. and Saha, S. K. (2004). Phase Sensitive Enhancement for Biochemical Detection Using Paramagnetic Particle Chains in an Applied Rotating Magnetic Field. *Applied Physics*, 96, 6831.
- Waites, M. J. Morgan, N. L. Rockey, J. S. and Higon, G. (2001). *Industrial Microbiology: An Introduction*. Oxford, UK: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Walther, I. van der Schoot, B. H. Jeanneret, S. Arquint, P. de Rooij, N. F. Gass, V. Bechler, B. Lorenzi, G. and Cogoli, A. (1994). Development of a Miniature Bioreactor for Continuous Culture in a Laboratory. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 30, (1), 21 - 32.
- Wee, L. L. Annuar, M. S. B. M. and Ibrahim, S. (2011) Short communication: Energetics of Glucoamylase-Catalyzed Hydrolysis of Commercial Sago Starch. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology*, 19, (4), 117 - 120.
- Wen, Y. Zang, R. Zhang, X. and Yang, S. (2012). A 24-Microwell Plate with Improved Mixing and Scalable Performance for High Throughput Cell Cultures. *Process Biochemistry*, 47, 612 - 618.
- Wu, M-H. Huang, S-B. Cui, Z. Cui, Z. and Lee, G-B (2008). A High-Throughput Perfusion-Based Microbioreactor Platform Integrated with Pneumatic

- Micropumps for Three-Dimensional Cell Culture. *Biomedical Microdevices*, 10, 309 - 319.
- Yamamoto, T. Nojima, T. and Fujii, T. (2002). PDMS-Glass Hybrid Microreactor Array with Embedded Temperature Control Device. Application to cell-free protein synthesis. *Lab on a Chip*, 2, 197 - 202.
- Zainal Alam, M. N. H. (2010). *Continuous Membrane Microbioreactor for Development of Integrated Pectin Modification and Separation Processes*. Doctor Philosophy, Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby.
- Zainal Alam, M. N. H, Amiri Moghadam, A. A. and Kouzani, A. (2014). Establishment of Temperature Control Scheme for Microbioreactor Operation Using Integrated Microheater. *Microsystem Technology*, DOI 10.1007/s00542-014-2088-9.
- Zainal Alam, M. N. H. Pinelo, M. Samantha, K. Jonsson, G. Meyer, A. and Gernaey, K. V. (2010). A Continuous Membrane Microbioreactor System for Development of Integrated Pectin Modification and Separation Processes. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 167, 418 - 426.
- Zainal Alam, M. N. H. Schäpper, D. and Gernaey, K. V. (2010). Embedded Resistance Wire as Heating Element for Temperature Control in Microbioreactors. *Journal of Micromechanics and Microengineering* 20, 14 - 55.
- Zanzotto, A. Szita, N. Boccazzi, P. Lessard, P. Sinskey, A. J. and Jensen, K. F. (2004). Membrane-aerated Microbioreactor for High-Throughput Bioprocessing. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 87, 243 - 254.
- Zhang, C. and Xing, D. (2007). Miniaturized PCR Chips for Nucleic Acid Amplification and Analysis: Latest Advances and Future Trends. *Nucleic Acid Research*, 35, 4223 - 4237.
- Zhang, Z. Boccazzi, P. Choi, H. Perozziello, G. Sinskey, A. J. and Jensen, K. F. (2006). Microchemostat - Microbial Continuous Culture in a Polymer-Based Instrumented Microbioreactor. *Lab on a Chip*, 6, 906 - 913.