

OCCUPATIONAL NOISE EXPOSURE AMONGST ROAD CONSTRUCTION  
WORKERS

KAMILAH MOHD SAID

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Construction)

Faculty of Civil Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

AUGUST 2015

*This thesis is specially dedicated to my beloved parents  
and children*

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I would like to thank Almighty Allah for helping and giving me the strength and ability to complete my study successfully. My deep gratitude and appreciation goes to Dr Zaiton Haron, who has supported me mentally and physically during my study. She guided and encouraged me from early to the end of the journey.

My deep sense of gratitude go to Mah Sing Group, Kimlun Corporation and Bina Water Sdn. Bhd, for their cooperation and help during data collection stage.

My appreciation also extend to my research group members and colleagues who have given me their support and shared their knowledge which aided me in my thesis. Last but not the least, my sincere appreciation also extends to my beloved parents and all my family members for their support and encouragement.

## ABSTRACT

Overexposure among construction workers to hazardous noise level may affect workers safety and health. The aim of this study is to determine the level of noise exposure among road construction workers. To achieve this aim, the sound power level of construction machineries, workers noise exposure level and the prevalence of hearing loss symptoms and hearing ability among them were measured. Sound power level of machineries were measured according to ISO 112000. The workers consist of operator of machineries, site supervisors and premix workers were measured according to *Peraturan-Peraturan di bawah Akta Kilang dan Jentera 1967 (Akta 139) P.U. (A) 1/89 Factories and Machinery (Noise Exposure) Regulations 198*. A total of seventy three workers who worked in road work, drainage and pavement stage were measured their noise exposure level. These workers also were evaluated their hearing loss symptoms and hearing ability using questionnaire survey and interview. Machineries consisting of roller compacter, back-pusher, vibratory roller and paver have high sound power level. There are 44% of workers including operators, site supervisors and premix workers had experienced noise level above hazardous level ( $\geq 85$  dBA). Vibratory roller operator obtaining high exposure level with the highest exposure appeared in pavement stage. Highest prevalence symptoms of hearing loss was recorded in road work stage with 39% of workers positive hearing loss due to factors of workers' age, racing and karaoke activity. Poor hearing ability were observed for workers with age of 60 years old and greater. It is suggested that workers exposed to noise greater than 85 dBA should wear hearing protection device (HPDs) with suitable NRR values. In conclusion, road construction workers also exposed to hazard which require them to wear hearing protection.

## ABSTRAK

Pendedahan berlebihan bunyi bising di paras yang berbahaya di kalangan pekerja binaan memberi kesan terhadap kesihatan dan keselamatan pekerja. Tujuan penyelidikan ini adalah untuk mendapatkan tahap pendedahan bunyi di kalangan pekerja pembinaan jalan . Untuk mencapai tujuan ini, tahap kuasa bunyi jentera pembinaan, tahap pendedahan bunyi bising di kalangan pekerja dan kewujudan symptoms kehilangan pendengaran serta tahap pendengaran di kalangan pekerja diukur. Tahap kuasa bunyi jentera diukur mengikut ISO 112000. Pekerja yang terdiri daripada operator jentera, penyelia tapak dan pekerja turapan diukur mengikut *Peraturan-Peraturan di bawah Akta Kilang dan Jentera 1967 (Akta 139) P.U. (A) 1/89 Factories and Machinery (Noise Exposure) Regulations 198*. Sejumlah 73 pekerja yang bekerja di dalam kerja jalan, kerja perparitan dan kerja turapan telah diukur tahap pendedahan bunyi bising mereka. Pekerja-pekerja ini juga dinilai kewujudan symptoms kehilangan pendengaran dan tahap pendengaran menggunakan bancian dan temu bual. Jentera yang terdiri daripada penggelek, traktor, penggelek bergetar dan penurap mempunyai kuasa bunyi yang tinggi. Sebanyak 44% pekerja daripada operator jentera, penyelia tapak dan pekerja turapan mengalami pendedahan bunyi bising di atas paras bahaya ( $\geq 85$  dBA). Operator penggelek bergetar merekodkan paras pendedahan bunyi tertinggi dengan pendedahan tertinggi direkodkan dalam kerja turapan. tendedahan bunyi tertinggi direkodkan oleh penggelek bergetar dari kerja turapan. Kewujudan symptoms kehilangan pendengaran tertinggi direkodkan oleh kerja jalan dengan 39% pekerja positif symptoms kehilangan pendengaran disebabkan oleh factor umur pekerja, aktiviti berlumba dan karaoke. Tahap pendengaran yang buruk didapati pada pekerja yang berumur lebih daripada 60 tahun. Cadangan untuk pekerja yang terdedah pada bunyi bising melebihi 85 dBA boleh menggunakan alat pelindung pendengaran dengan nilai NRR yang sesuai. Kesimpulannya, pekerja pembinaan jalan juga terdedah kepada bahaya yang memerlukan mereka memakai alat pelindung pendengaran.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TOPIC	PAGE
	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>i</b>
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>ii</b>
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xii</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xiv</b>
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOL</b>	<b>xviii</b>
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATION</b>	<b>xix</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Background of Study	2
	1.3 Problem Statement	2
	1.4 Aim and Objectives	3
	1.5 Research Scope	3
	1.6 Significance of Research	4

1.7	Brief Methodology	5
-----	-------------------	---

## **2 LITERATURE REVIEW**

2.1	Introduction	6
2.2	Road Construction	6
2.3	Noise Source	7
2.4	Factory and Machinery (Noise Exposure) Regulation 1989	10
2.4.1	8-hour Time-Weighted Average (TWA)	11
2.5	Hearing Protection Device (HPDs)	11
2.6	Hearing Loss	14
2.6.1	Audiometric Test	15
2.6.2	Tinnitus	18
2.6.3	Inability to Communicate	18
2.7	Other Health Effects	19
2.8	Conclusion	19

## **3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

3.1	Introduction	21
3.2	Research Design and Procedure	21
3.3	Sound power level of construction machineries from different road construction stages	24
3.4	Noise exposure levels of road construction workers	27
3.5	The effects of noise exposure on workers	30
3.6	Significant factors affected noise exposure level and	

prevalence symptoms of hearing loss	32
3.6.1 Normality Test	32
3.6.2 Correlation Test (Pearson, Spearman and Fisher Exact Test)	33
3.6.3 Multiple Linear Regression Analysis	33
3.6.4 Logistic Regression Analysis	34
3.6.5 T-test	35
3.6.6 Kruskal Wallis non-parametric test	36
3.6.7 One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)	37
3.7 Suitable NRR values of hearing protector for construction workers.	38
3.8 Conclusion	40

## **4 ANALYSIS OF RESULTS**

4.1 Introduction	41
4.2 Road Construction Stages (Road work, Drainage work and Pavement)	41
4.3 Sound Power Level of Construction Machineries	45
4.4 Noise Exposure Level of Construction Worker	46
4.4.1 Noise Exposure in Road Work Stage	48
4.4.2 Noise Exposure in Drainage Stage	49
4.4.3 Noise Exposure in Pavement Stage	50
4.5 Factors affeted Noise Exposure Level and Prevalence Symptoms of Hearing Loss	51
4.5.1 Normality Test	51
4.5.2 Correlation Test	52



4.5.3	Multiple Linear Regression Analysis	53
4.6	TWA of Building and Road Construction Workers	54
4.7	Safety	57
4.8	Prevalence of Hearing Loss Symptoms	56
4.9	Other Causes of Hearing loss	58
4.9.1	Correlation Test	60
4.9.2	Logistic Regression Analysis	59
4.10	Hearing Ability of Construction Worker	60
4.10.1	Association between Hearing Ability with Age and Experience in Construction Sector	61
4.11	Suitable NRR values of hearing protector for construction workers	64
4.12	Discussion and conclusion	65

## **5 CONCLUSION**

5.1	Introduction	67
5.2	Conclusion	68
5.3	Recommendations	71

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	FMR 1989 Permissible Noise Exposures	13
2.2	Simplified guidance on selection of hearing protection	14
3.1	Rating of Hearing Ability	31
3.2	Dummy Variables in Logistic Regression Analysis	35
3.3	Suitable NRR for Overexposed Worker	39
4.1	Workers from different sites and stages	42
4.2	Significant different in Sound Power Level	46
4.3	Significant Different in Daily Noise Exposure and Peak Level of Noise between Stages	47
4.4	Descriptive Analysis of machine's operator	51
4.5	Normal Distribution of Parameter	52
4.6	Correlation Test (TWA)	52
4.7	Results of Multiple Linear Regression	53
4.8	T-test for TWAs of Construction Workers in Different Sites	55
4.9	Correlation Test (Prevalence of Hearing Loss)	59
4.10	Logistic Regression Analysis	59
4.11	Suitable Hearing Protector for overexposed workers	63

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Noise spectrum of workers that work with and without use machinery	9
2.2	Daily noise exposure of construction workers	10
2.3	Hearing protector	12
2.4	Relation between hearing loss and duration of exposure	16
2.5	Mean hearing levels according to years in construction	17
2.6	Rate of hearing loss among construction workers in screening audiometry between industry	17
3.1	Framework of research methodology	23
3.2	Positions of sound level meter for noise emission level Measurement	24
3.3	Distometer	25
3.4	Sound Level Meter	26
3.5	Schematic diagram of noise measurement for dynamic Machinery	27
3.6	Personal Noise Dosimeter	28
3.7	Backhoe's operator is wearing Dosimeter	29
3.8	Quest Pro Technologies Software	29
3.9	Briefing to the worker in the measurement process	30
4.1	Mean TWA, Sound Power ( $L_w$ ) and Peak Level ( $L_{cpk}$ ) in Three Construction Stages	44
4.2	Mean Sound Power Level of Machinery	45
4.3	Percentage of workers exposed according FMR 1989	46
4.4	Workers exposure level according to stages	47

4.5	Worker's daily noise exposure Level in road work stage	48	
4.6	Noise Exposure Level of Workers in Drainage stage		49
4.7	Noise exposure level of workers in Pavement Stage	50	
4.8	Range of Exposure of Building and Road Construction Worker		54
4.9	Workers expression towards noise interfere with workers' communication		56
4.10	Perception workers on noise masking shouts		56
4.11	Percentage prevalence hearing loss symptoms	57	
4.12	Hearing ability of construction workers	60	
4.13	Association between hearing ability and workers' experience		62
4.13	Association between hearing ability and worker's age	62	
4.14	Protected TWA level of overexposed workers	63	

**LIST OF ABBREVIATION**

OSHA	=	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
NIOSH	=	National Institute of Safety and Health
NIHL	=	Noise-Induced Hearing Loss
HPDs	=	Hearing Protection Devices
PPE	=	Personal Protective Equipment
dB	=	Decibel without frequency weighting
dB(A)	=	Decibel in A-Weighted
NRR	=	Noise Reduction Rating
HCP	=	Hearing Conservation Program
ER	=	Exchange Rate
ISO	=	International Organization for Standardization
MLR	=	Multiple Linear Regression
TWA	=	8-hours Time-Weighted Average

**LIST OF SYMBOLS**

$L_{Aeq}$	=	A-Weighted Daily Noise Exposure Level
$L_w$	=	Sound Power Level
$R$	=	Distance (m)
$T_e$	=	Time of measurement
$T_0$	=	8 hours time of measurement
$L_{avg}$	=	Exposure level over the entire time of measurement
$L_{min}$	=	Minimum exposure level
$L_{max}$	=	Maximum exposure level

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	Sample of questionnaire	77

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Introduction**

Malaysia is one of the rapidly industrializing countries. Major and minor construction projects, including road construction are all over Malaysia which indicate that the road construction is increasing every year. This growth was viewed as an accomplishment for our nation, but the noise generated from construction activities were observed to affect worker's safety and health. Noise is one of a common problem at workplace in most countries. Generally, noises from construction sites are generated by construction machineries. The noise generated by these machineries was very loud and brought negative impacts on the machineries operators and nearby workers (Carletti, 2013).



## **1.2 Background of Study**

Noise in industry is widespread and is one of a common occupational hazard in various industrial sectors including construction (Suter, 2002). This is because construction site is a noisy workplace due to the usage of noisy construction machineries. Among others, old machineries are used widely in construction sector which could generate loud noise compare to new machineries. This loud noise is potentially harmful to workers which may cause hearing loss, annoyance and disturbance to physical and psychosocial well-being, distraction and loss in productivity, Tinnitus and interference with communication. International Standards Organization (ISO) determined that the maximum exposure in workplace should range from 85dBA to 90 dBA for 8 hours/day to prevent the risk of harmful effects. Previous studies have highlighted the effects of noise towards worker's safety and health. In construction industry, there were fewer studies on loud noise generated by road construction activities compare to other construction projects. Road construction appeared to generate noisy activities especially during pavement stage. Therefore, this study is significant to determine the levels of exposure among road construction workers.

## **1.3 Problem Statement**

Construction machineries mostly generated high noise levels at construction sites. Sound power levels of these machineries affect the operators directly since their positions are on the machineries. Nearby workers are also exposed to sound generated by these machines according to their distance from the machineries. Prolong exposure to loud noise might affect workers' safety and health including hearing impairment which caused higher compensation in the construction industry

(Pinto et al, 2011). Therefore, OSHA required noise monitoring among overexposed workers since the noise from construction activities mostly exceeded. Noise monitoring performed by Hong (2005), and Suter (2002) showed that most construction workers worked in the presence of hazardous noise. Noise control on the machineries was hard to implement due to the construction culture where most contractors rent or lease the machineries rather than own it. Thus, hearing protector was provided to protect the workers as required by FMR 1989. However, previous studies from Endelson et al., (2009) and Lusk et al., (1999) showed that the usage of hearing protector among construction workers was poor. Therefore, this study determines the hazard level of noise exposure among road construction workers in Malaysia.

#### **1.4 Objectives**

The objectives of the study are:

- To obtain sound power level of construction machineries from different road construction stages.
- To obtain noise exposure levels of road construction workers
- To determine the effects of noise exposure on workers
- To evaluate the factors affected noise exposure level and prevalence symptoms of hearing loss
- To obtain workers' hearing ability
- To propose suitable NRR values of hearing protector for construction workers

## **1.5 Research Scope**

The scopes of this research are as follows:

1. This research focus only on new construction and reconstruction of road in Johor Bharu, Malaysia.
2. The data were collected using Sound Level Meter Type 1 and Personal Noise Dosimeter during working hours.
3. machineries and construction workers that undergo noise measurement were randomly selected from different road construction sites around Johor Bahru.
4. Road construction stage in this research was created according to the availability of the activities from representing sites.

## **1.6 Significance of Research**

Sound power level generated by construction machineries affected the exposure level of the operators as well as nearby workers on site. Their noise exposure levels were believed to be higher compare to other off-site workers. Prolong exposure to loud noise is capable of worsening human hearing ability. Malaysia implements Factory and Machinery Noise Exposure Regulation 1989 which set a limit to the noise exposure level at the workplace in order to protect the workers from developing hearing impairment and other adverse effects. However, studies from Yoshioko et al (2010) and Spencer (2007)) showed that construction workers are exposed to hazardous noise level and are prone to suffer from hearing loss. Therefore, this study was conducted in order to give information on the exposure level of construction workers on site and the prevalence of hearing loss

symptoms among them since workers' awareness of the risks of noise and other exposures is low in this industry (Nietzal and Seixas., 2005).

## **1.7 Brief Methodology**

This research was carried out in five phases according to research objectives. Phase 1 of the study focused on the on-site measurements of construction machineries sound power level. Follow-up measurement of worker's noise exposure was conducted in phase 2. The third phase involved identification of workers with positive hearing loss symptoms and hearing ability of measured worker was rated. Statistical analysis was performed in phase 4 to find the factors affected workers' noise exposure level and prevalence symptoms of hearing loss. Suitable NRR values of hearing protector for construction workers were proposed in stage 5. Recommendations were given in this research to improve the existing system in order to protect workers safety and health.

## REFERENCES

- Ahmed, H. O., Dennis, J. H., Badran, O., Ismail, M., Ballal, S. G., Ashoor, A., and Jerwood, D. (2001). Occupational Noise Exposure and Hearing Loss of Workers in Two Plants in Eastern Saudi Arabia *Ann. Occup. Hyg.*. Vol. 45 (5), 371-380.
- Arndt, V., Rothenbacher, D., Brenner, H., Fraisse, E., Zschenderlein, B., Daniel, U., Schuberth, S and Fliedner, T. M. (1996). Older workers in the construction industry: results of a routine health examination and a five year follow up. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*; 53:686-691
- Barrientos M. C., Campbell-Lendrum D, Steenland K. (2004) Occupational Noise. *Environment Burden Disease*. Series 9.
- Bell, D and Waclawski, E. (2008). Audiometry for Noise Exposed Workers NHS OHS Guidance, November.
- Carletti, E. (2013). A Perception-Based Method for the Noise Control of Construction Machines, *Archives of Acoustics*, Vol. 38. (2) pp. 253–258.
- Ming, C. C., Tang, S. K. and Wong, H. (2009). A Statistical Model for Detecting Jumps and Decaying Pulses In The Presence of a Background Noise. *Applied Acoustics*.70, 498-506.
- Chang, T., Jain, R., Wang, C., Chan, C. (2003). Effects of Occupational Noise Exposure on Blood Pressure. *Journal Occup Environ Med*; 45:1289–1296
- Ciorba, A., Benatti, A., Bianchini, C., Aimoni, C., Volpato, S., R.Bovo., Martini, A. (2011). High frequency hearing loss in the elderly: effect of age and noise exposure in an Italian group. *Journal of Laryngology & Otology* 125, 776–780.
- D. Zheng, D., Cai, X., Song, H. and Chen, T. (1996). Study on Personal Noise Exposure in China. *Applied Acotutics*. Vol. 48, No. I, pp. 57

Davies, H. W., Teschke, K., Kennedy, S. M., Hodgson, M. R., Hertzman, C., and Demers, P. A. (2005). Occupational Exposure to Noise and Mortality From Acute Myocardial Infarction. *Journal of Epidemiology*; Vol 16: 25–32)

Eaton, S. (2000). Constuction Noise. *Workers Compensation Board of BC, Engineering Section*. Report. Ref. No:0135-20. Project No 7-11-99284.

Edelson, J., Neitzal, R., Meischke, H., Danielle, W., Shepperd, L., Stover, B., and Seixas, N. (2009). Predictors of Hearing Protection Use in Construction Workers. *Ann. Occup. Hyg.* Vol. 53, No. 6, pp. 605–615

Fausti, S. A., Wilmington, D. J., Helt, P. V., Helt, W. J., Martin, D. K. (2005). Hearing health and care: The need for improved hearing loss prevention and hearing conservation practices, *Journal of Rehabilitation Research & Development*, Volume 42(4), 45–62

Fernández, M. D., Quintana, S., Chavarría, N., Ballesteros, J. A. (2008). Noise exposure of workers of the construction sector. *Applied Acoustics* 70, 753-760.

Fernandez, M. D., Recuero, M., Blas, J. M. (2010). Definition of a labelling code for the noise emitted by machines. *Applied Acoustics*. 69; 141–146  
for Remediation; A Review and Analysis. *AIHA Journal*, 63, 768-789.

Gannoruwa, A., Ruwanpura, J. Y. (2007). Construction Noise Prediction and Barrier Optimization Using Special Purpose Simulation. *Proceedings of the 2007 Winter Simulation Conference*. Canada.

Gopinath, B., Thiagalingam, A., Teber, E., Mitchell, P. (2011). Exposure to workplace noise and the risk of cardiovascular disease events and mortality among older adults. *Preventive Medicine* 53. 390–394.

Hamoda, M. F. (2008). Modeling of Construction Noise for Environmental Impact Assessment. *Journal of Construction in Developing Countries*, Vol. 13. No. 1.

Hansen, C. H. (2001). *Fundamentals of Acoustics*. World Health Organization, Geneva.

Haron, Z., Abidin, M. Z., Han, L. M., Yahya, K., Jahya, Z., Said, K. M., Saim, A. A. (2014). Noise Exposure Among Machine Operators on Construction Sites in South Johor, Malaysia *Advanced Materials Research* Vols. 838-841, 2507-2512.

Hattis, D. (1998). Occupational Noise Sources and Exposures in Construction Industries. *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment*. Vol. 4, No. 6, pp. 1417-1441

Health and Safety Executive. (2013). Noise Exposure Monitoring in Malaysia.

Hong, O. S. (2005). Hearing loss among operating engineers in American construction industry. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 78: 565–574

Kujawa, S. G. and Liberman, M. C. (2006). Acceleration of Age-Related Hearing Loss by Early Noise Exposure: Evidence of a Misspent Youth. *Neuroscience*, 26(7):2, pp 115–2123.

Kurmis, A. P. and Apps, S. A. (2007). Occupationally-Acquired Noise-Induced Hearing Loss: A Senseless Workplace Hazard. *International Journal of Occupational Medicine and Environmental Health* 20(2):127 – 136

Legris, M., Poulin, P. (1998). Noise Exposure Profile Among Heavy Equipment Operators, Associated Laborers, and Crane Operators. *American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal* 59:774–778

Lercher, P., Hortnagl, J., Kofler, W. W. (1993). Work noise annoyance and blood pressure: combined effects with stressful working conditions. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*. Vol 65, pp 23-28

Lusk, S. L., Hong, O. S., Ronis, D. L., and Eakin, B. L., Kerr, M. J., Early, M. R. (1999). Effectiveness of an Intervention to Increase Construction Workers' Use of Hearing Protection. *Human Factors*. Vol. 41, No. 3, September 1999, pp. 487–494

Miyakita, T. and Ueda, A. (1997). Estimates of Workers with Noise –Induced Hearing Loss and Population at Risk. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*. 205 (4) , 441-449

Morata, T. C., Fiorini, A. C., Fischer, F. M., Colacioppo, S., Wallingford, K. M., Krieg, E. F., Dunn, D. E., Gozzoli, L., Padrao, M. A., Cesar, C. L. (1997). Toluene-induced hearing loss among rotogravure printing workers. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 23(4):289-298.

Morata, T. C., Themann, C. L., Randolph, R. L., Verbsky, B. L., Byrne, D. C., Reeves, E. R. (2005). Working in Noise with a Hearing Loss: Perceptions from

Workers, Supervisors, and Hearing Conservation Program Managers. *Ear and Hearing*. Vol 26, 529-545.

Muchenje, L. S. (2008). Determination Of Backup Alarm Masked Threshold In Construction Noise. Master of Science

Muller, J., and Janssen, T. (2008). Impact of occupational noise on pure-tone threshold and distortion product otoacoustic emissions after one workday. *Hearing Research* 246; 9–22

Nachtigall, P. E., Pawloski, J. L. and Au, W. W. L. (2003). Temporary threshold shifts and recovery following noise exposure in the Atlantic bottlenosed dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*). *J. Acoustical Society of America*. Vol. 113, No. 6

Neitzel, R., and Seixas, N. (2005). The Effectiveness of Hearing Protection Among Construction Workers. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene* 2: 227–238

Neitzel, R., Seixas, N. S., Camp, J., Yost, M. (1999). An Assessment of Occupational Noise Exposures in Four Construction Trades. *American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal*. Vol 60, pp 807–817

Nelson, D. I., Nelson, R. Y., Concha-Barrientos, M., Fingerhut, P. H. M. (2005). The global burden of occupational noise-induced hearing loss. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*. Copyright owner: Wiley-Liss, Inc.

Palmer, K. T., Griffin, M. J., Syddall, H. E., Davis, A., Pannett, B., Coggon, D. (2002). Occupational Exposure to Noise and The Attributable Burden of Hearing Difficulties in Great Britain. *Occup Environ Med*. 59 pp 634–639.

Peterson, J. S., Kovalchik, P. G. and Matetic, R. J. (2002). Research engineer, noise control team leader and hearing loss prevention branch chief, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Pinto, A., Nunes, I. L., Ribeiro, R. A. (2011). Occupational risk assessment in construction industry – Overview and reflection. *Safety Science* 49, 616–624.

Whitaker, C. K., Seixas, N. S., Sheppard, L., Neitzel, R. (2004). Accuracy of task recall for epidemiological exposure assessment to construction noise. *Occup Environ Med* 61:135–142.

Rehman, M. Z., Nawi, N. M., Ghazali, M. I. (2011). Noise Induced Hearing Loss (NIHL) Prediction in Humans Using Modified Back Propagation Neural



Network. *Proceeding of The International Conference on Advance Science Engineering and Information Technology*. 14-15 January 2011. Putrajaya, Malaysia.

Rybalko, N. and Syka, J. (2005). Effect of noise exposure on gap detection in rats. *Hearing Research*, Vol 20, pp 63–72

Safework SA (2008). *Noise in The Workplace. What you should know* Government of South Australia.

Seixas, N. S., Goldman, B., Sheppard, L., Neitzel, R., Norton, S., Kujawa, S. G. (2005). Prospective Noise Induced Changes to Hearing Among Construction Industry Apprentices. *Occup Environ Med* Vol 62:309–317

Seixas, N. S., Ren, K., Neitzel, R., Camp, J., Yost, M. (2001). Noise Exposure among Construction Electricians. *AIHAJ* 62:615–621.

Shone, G., Altschuler, R. A., Miller, J. M. and Nuttall, A. L. (1999). The effect of noise exposure on the aging ear. *Hearing Research*, 56 (1991) 173-178

Spencer, E. (2007). Heavy Construction Equipment Noise Study Using Dosimetry and Time-Motion Studies. *Noise Control Engineering Journal*. Vol 55, No 4 pp 408-416

Suter, A. H. (2002). Construction Noise: Exposure, Effects, and the Potential

Suter, A. H. (2012). Engineering Controls for Occupational Noise Exposure; The Best Way to Save Hearing. *Sound/Vibration*. 45th Anniversary Issues. Toppila, E., Pyykko, I., Paakkonen, R. (2009). Evaluation of the Increased Accident Risk From Workplace Noise. *International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics (JOSE)*. Vol. 15 (2), pp 155–162

Van Dijk, F. J. H. (1990). Epidemiological Research On Non-Auditory Effects Of Occupational Noise Exposure. *Environment International*, Vol. 16, pp. 405-409.

Van Kempen, E. E. M. M., Kruize, H., Hendriek C., Boshuizen, Ameling, C. B., Staatsen, B. A. M. and de Hollander, A. E. M. (2002). The Association between Noise Exposure and Blood Pressure and Ischemic Heart Disease: A Meta-analysis. *Environ Health Perspect* 110:307–317.

Yoshioka, M., Uchida, Y., Sugiura, S., Ando, F., Shimokata, H., Nomura, H., Nakashima, T. (2010). The impact of arterial sclerosis on hearing with and without