

**ADSORPTION AND STRIPPING OF ETHANOL FROM AQUEOUS
SOLUTION USING SEPABEADS207 ADSORBENT**

MAZIN ABDULHUSSEIN BEDEN

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

**ADSORPTION AND STRIPPING OF ETHANOL FROM AQUEOUS
SOLUTION USING SEPABEADS207 ADSORBENT**

MAZIN ABDULHUSSEIN BEDEN

**A dissertation submitted in fulfillment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Chemical)**

**Faculty of Chemical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia**

JANUARY 2015

To my beloved family

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like express my sincere gratefulness to Allah for giving me strength and wisdom in whole my studying. Also I want to take this opportunity to introduce my gratefully acknowledge to my supervisors Dr. MUHAMMAD ABBAS AHMAD ZAINI and Dr. TUAN AMRAN TUAN to help me in this work. Their dedication, skillful guidance, helpful suggestions and encouragement made me able to deliver a dissertation in an appreciable quality and standard, also I want to introduce my thanks to Dr. UMI AISAH to help me in first part of the thesis.

Deep appreciation I want to introduce to my family especially my dear wife to give me the hope and concentrate on my study.

I owe my special thanks to my friend Safaa Abdulhussein and to lab. mates Mr. Mohammad Latfi, Mr. Ahmad Bukhairy, Mr. Mohammed Rafiza, Mr. zulkifli, Miss.Saadiah, Mr.Mohamad Halim and Miss. Aishah for their kindness, helping me and sharing their experience. Great thanks to all staff of chemical engineering department to support and advise me to finalize my project.

ABSTRACT

There is a problem of increasing of CO₂ and CO in environment from the use of fossil fuel. Ethanol is a good alternative fuel or additive to gasoline to improve octane number, using ethanol will decrease CO and CO₂ emissions. For these reasons, production of ethanol by fermentation is a promising solution. This work aimed to evaluate suitable technique of separation using adsorption method. Best conditions of adsorption and stripping processes were studied in this work. The ethanol solution with 10wt% concentration, i.e., similar to the product of fermentation process was used as feed. The separation was occurred in adsorber tube containing polymer Sepabeads207 at different temperatures (20 – 40°C), also (pH) (4 – 7), time of adsorption (5 – 25 minutes). Also time of stripping (desorption) was studied between 15 and 35 minutes at different temperatures of air (80 – 95°C). The evaporated ethanol was condensed by cold water and the concentration of liquid ethanol was measured using refractometer and gas chromatography. The results show the best conditions for adsorption process are: time = 5 minutes; temperature = 20 °C and pH= 4, while the best conditions for stripping process are: time = 15 minutes and temperature = 80 °C. By applying the best conditions for both adsorption and stripping processes, ethanol concentration of 46wt% was able to be obtained. The adsorbent capacity of Sepabeads207 is 0.22g ethanol/g adsorbent, while the adsorbent selectivity is $7.75 \frac{\text{g ethanol/g water (adsorbed)}}{\text{g ethanol/g water (original solution)}}$ and the efficiency of adsorbent is 100%. From this study can be concluded that using of Sepabeads207 to adsorb ethanol in static solution better than continues with applying best conditions.

ABSTRAK

Terdapat masalah dengan peningkatan CO₂ dan CO dalam persekitaran hasil daripada penggunaan bahan api fosil. Etanol adalah pilihan bahan api yang baik atau sebagai bahan tambah dalam petrol untuk meningkatkan nombor oktana, penggunaan etanol akan mengurangkan pelepasan CO dan CO₂. Justeru, penghasilan etanol melalui penapaian merupakan bakal jalan penyelesaian. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk menilai teknik pemisahan yang sesuai dengan kaedah penjerapan. Keadaan terbaik proses penjerapan dan pelucutan telah dikaji. Larutan etanol berkepekatan 10wt%, iaitu kepekatan yang sama dengan produk proses penapaian digunakan sebagai suapan. Pemisahan berlaku dalam turus penjerapan yang mengandungi polimer Sepabeads207 pada suhu (20 - 40°C), juga pH (4-7), dan masa penjerapan (5 - 25 minit) yang berbeza. Juga masa pelucutan (penyahjerapan) dikaji di antara 15 dan 35 minit, dan pada suhu udara yang berbeza (80 - 95°C). Etanol tersejat telah dicairkan dengan air sejuk dan kepekatan cecair etanol diukur dengan refraktometer dan gas kromatografi. Keputusan menunjukkan keadaan terbaik untuk proses penjerapan ialah: masa = 5 minit; suhu = 20°C dan pH = 4, manakala keadaan terbaik untuk proses pelucutan ialah: masa = 15 minit dan suhu = 80°C. Dengan menggunakan keadaan terbaik untuk kedua-dua proses penjerapan dan pelucutan, kepekatan etanol 46wt% telah diperolehi. Muatan penjerap Sepabeads207 ialah 0.22g etanol / g penjerap, manakala pemilihan penjerap ialah 7.75 dan kecekapan 100%. Daripada kajian ini dapat disimpulkan bahawa Sepabeads207 menjerap etanol lebih baik dalam larutan statik berbanding turus dengan mengenakan keadaan terbaik.

TABLE OF CONTENT

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENT	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xvi
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of the Study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objectives	3
	1.4 Scope	3
2	LITRATURE REVIEW	
	2.1 Introduction	5
	2.2 The Behavior of Azeotropic Ethanol / Water	6
	2.3 Various Types of Distillation Processes	11
	2.4 Process of Extractive Distillation	12
	2.4.1 Extractive Distillation by Salt	14
	2.4.2 Extractive Distillation with Liquid Solvent	17
	2.4.3 Extractive Distillation with the Combination of Liquid Solvent and Solid Salt	18

2.4.4	Extractive Distillation with Ionic Liquid	19
2.5	Pervaporation Technique	20
2.6	Adsorption Technique	22
2.6.1	Zeolite	23
2.6.2	Water Adsorption	27
2.6.3	Ethanol Adsorption	29
2.7	Concluding Remarks	30
3	METHODOLOGY	
3.1	Introduction	32
3.2	Chemicals and apparatus	33
3.2.1	Chemicals	33
3.2.2	Apparatus	33
3.3	Preparation of ethanol Solution	36
3.4	Experimental Set-Up	37
3.5	Methodology	38
3.5.1	Adsorbing Study	38
3.5.2	Stripping Study	40
3.5.3	Adsorption Performance of Sepabeads207	40
3.6	Determination of Calibration Curve for Ethanol Concentration	41
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1	Introduction	43
4.2	Parameters Affecting Adsorption Process	44
4.2.1	Effect of Temperature on Adsorption Process	44
4.2.2	Effect of pH on Adsorption Process	46
4.2.3	Effect of Time on Adsorption Process	48
4.3	Stripping Process	50
4.3.1	Effect of Time on Stripping Process	51
4.3.2	Effect of Temperature on Stripping Process	53
4.4	Evaluation of Best Conditions for Adsorption and Desorption Processes	55
4.4.1	Adsorbent Capacity	56
4.4.2	Adsorbent Selectivity	57
4.4.3	Efficiency of Adsorbent	58

4.5 Comparison between the Results of Gas Chromatography and Refractometer	58
5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	
5.1 Conclusion	61
5.2 Recommendation for Future Work	62
REFERENCES	63
APPENDICES	67

LISTS OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Properties of Ethanol	6
2.2	Summary of the binary systems with different salts	16
2.3	Examples of the liquid solvents commonly used in the extractive Distillation	17
2.4	The influence of various solid salt and liquid solvents on the relative volatility of ethanol and water	18
2.5	Comparison for techniques of separation ethanol from water ethanol mixture	31
3.1	Adsorbent Properties (Sepabeads207)	33
4.1	Adsorbed ethanol concentration by applying best conditions	55
4.2	Error analysis of the results of G.C and refractometer	60

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Ethanol (1) -Water (2) Composition Curve	8
2.2	VLE plot for ethanol (1)/ water (2) system showing liquid composition C2 produces and vapor composition C3	9
2.3	Ethanol-Water hydrogen bonding	10
2.4	Two column for extractive distillation process	12
2.5	The process of extractive distillation with salt	15
2.6	Pressure pervaporation process	21
2.7	Sweep gas pervaporation process	21
2.8	Primary building units (PBUs) in zeolites and an example of linking	24
2.9	Some examples of secondary building units (SBUs) present in zeolites	25
3.1	The methodology chart for adsorption and stripping processes	35
3.2	Experimental Set-up	38
3.3	Flow diagram of adsorption process	39
3.4	Flow diagram of stripping process	40
3.5	Calibration of ethanol concentration using refractive index	42
4.1	Effect of temperature on adsorbed ethanol	44
4.2	Volume of adsorbed ethanol at varying temperature	45
4.3	Effect of pH on ethanol adsorption	46
4.4	The acidity of ethanol solution affecting the linking between ethanol and water molecules	47
4.5	Effect of pH on ethanol volume	47
4.6	Effect of time on ethanol concentration	49
4.7	Volume of ethanol adsorbed at different adsorption time	50

4.8	Effect of time on concentration of stripped ethanol in stripping process	51
4.9	Effect of time on the stripped ethanol volume in stripping process	52
4.10	Effect of temperature on the concentration of stripped ethanol in stripping process.	53
4.11	Effect of temperature on the volume of stripped ethanol in stripping process	54

LIST OF SYMBOLS

μ	-	Adsorbent capacity
S	-	Adsorbent selectivity
D	-	Efficiency of adsorbent
Al	-	Aluminum
Co	-	Cobalt
CO ₂	-	Carbon Dioxide
Ge	-	Germanium
G ^E	-	Gibbs Energy
H ₂ O	-	Water
HCl	-	Hydrochloric Acid
Mg	-	Magnesium
Mn	-	Manganese
N ₂	-	Nitrogen
NaA	-	Zeolite
NaOH	-	Sodium Hydroxide
nD	-	refractive index
-N-H	-	Nitric Group
NO _x	-	Nitrogen Oxygen Compound
O ₂	-	Oxygen
-O-H	-	Hydroxide Group
P	-	Phosphor
P _i	-	Pressure of component i
pH	-	Magnitude of acidity
p ^{sat}	-	Saturated Pressure
R	-	Gas Constant
S	-	Solvent

- Si-O-H - Silanol groups
- SO_x - Sulfur Oxygen Compound
- T - Temperature
- X - Mole / Mass Fraction in Liquid Phase
- X_i - Mole Fraction of i Component in Liquid phase
- Y - Mole / Mass Fraction in Gas Phase
- Zn - Zinc

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACN	-	Acetonitrile
C ₂ H ₅ OH	-	Ethel alcohol (Ethanol)
DMF	-	Dimethethylformanide
E10	-	10 percent ethanol and 90 percent gasoline
E85	-	85 percent ethanol and 15 percent gasoline
HPLC	-	High Performance Liquid Chromotography
MTBE	-	Methyl t-butyl ether
NMP	-	N-methyl-pyrrolidone
PDMS	-	Polydimethylsiloxane
PDMS	-	PS IPN polydimethylsiloxane-polystyrene interpenetrating polymer network
PVA	-	Polyvinyl acetate
SBU _s	-	Secondary Building Units
THF	-	Tetrahydrofuran
VLE	-	Vapor Liquid Equilibrium

LIST OF APPENDECIES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Data for refractometer	67
A.1	Data for standard ethanol/water solutions link between nD and Percent of ethanol for 1 st batch	67
A.2	Data for standard ethanol/water solutions link between nD and percent of ethanol for 2 nd batch.	68
B	Data of ethanol percent at various temperatures of adsorption	69
B.1	Data of ethanol concentration and volume at different temperature for adsorption process for 1 st and 2 nd batch	69
B.2	Data of average ethanol concentration and volume at different temperature of adsorption process	69
C	Data of ethanol percent at various adsorption times	70
C.1	Data of ethanol concentration and volume at different time for adsorption process for 1 st and 2 nd batch	70
C.2	Data of average ethanol concentration and volume at different time of adsorption process	70
D	Data of ethanol percent at various pH of feed solution	71
D.1	Data of ethanol concentration and volume at different pH of feed for adsorption process for 1 st and 2 nd batch	71
D.2	Data of average ethanol concentration and volume at different pH of feed of adsorption process	71
E	Data of ethanol percent at various stripping time	72
E.1	Data of ethanol concentration and volume at different time for stripping process for 1 st and 2 nd batch	72
E.2	Data of average ethanol concentration and volume at different time of stripping Process	72
F	Data of ethanol percent at various temperatures of stripping	73
F.1	Data of ethanol concentration and volume at different temperature for stripping process for 1 st and 2 nd batch	73

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Fuel grade bioethanol production has gained interest globally because of its use as fuel oxygenate and as alternative fuel. Bioethanol is repeatedly being utilized as a fuel oxygenate instead of methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE) or fuel additive to increase the octane number. Fermentation process is the main way to produce bioethanol fuel from the sugar; although there are many ways to manufacture ethanol by the chemical process or as a by-product of some chemical processes (Vane, 2005). The main sources of bioethanol are sugar from crops including corn, maize and wheat crops. Recently, there is more research has been done to produce bioethanol from lignocellulosic biomass such as from oil palm biomass, woods and plant waste.

Bioethanol or chemically called ethyl alcohol (C_2H_5OH) is a clear colorless liquid, low in toxicity, biodegradable, and makes little pollution to the environment if spilt (O'Brien and Craig, 1996). Burning of ethanol produces water and carbon dioxide. Bioethanol is applicable alternative fuel and virtually limitless potential for growth. The production of alternative fuel is due to the limitation of fossil fuel such as crude oil, hence the going towards more different sources of renewable of energy.

Generally, ethanol is going to be one of alternative environmental friendly fuel friendly and harmless to the environment than gasoline. Using ethanol as a fuel for vehicles introduce benefit to minimize emissions of carbon dioxide and carbon monoxide, the same or lower emissions levels of hydrocarbon and oxides of nitrogen. For example E85 fuel is produced by blending of 85 percent ethanol and 15 percent gasoline, this blending decreases the consumption of fossil fuel and has lower percent of volatile components than pure gasoline, leading to the decrease of emissions occurred by evaporation. Low percentage of blending ethanol with gasoline, such as 90 percent gasoline and 10 percent ethanol (E10) leads to reduce emissions of carbon monoxide and improving the octane number for the fuel. Fuel of the vehicles becomes more flexible by using (E85). In United States, the use of E85 is growing due to the increase number of fuel stations (ethanolrfa, 2013).

1.2 Problem Statement

Mostly, the production of bioethanol involves pretreatment stage with acid or base solution, hydrolysis either with sulphuric acid, and fermentation with yeast broth. Usually, the end stream contained ethanol, and impurities. There are many techniques to separate ethanol from ethanol / water mixture such as distillation process, extractive distillation with Salt, solvent liquid or with ionic liquid, pervaporation process and adsorption process. It is critical to find the most suitable method to separate ethanol from the impurities that requires less energy, simple and low cost, yet, is efficient.

The difficulty of separation of ethanol from water (broth of fermentation) is come from the generation of an azeotrope in the mixture at certain temperature. This azeotropic behavior appears because of presence of hydrogen bonds. Hydrogen bonds lead to interaction between molecules of ethanol and water. This interaction makes separation of ethanol become difficult. The Azeotropic point appears at

composition of 95.6(wt) % for ethanol and the rest is water. Traditional technique like distillation consumes high energy. Hence, adsorption techniques will be studied as it is expected to give good separation at low cost, especially for low ethanol concentration in water and impurities stream.

1.3 Objectives

In general, this work is aimed to study the efficiency of the adsorption technique to achieve good purity of bioethanol production by manipulating the operating condition, such as time of adsorption, time of stripping, mass ratio ethanol/adsorbent (capacity of adsorbent), temperature and acidity of the feed. Following are the objectives of this study.

- i) To study the effect of operating conditions on the efficiency of the adsorption technique to achieve good purity of bioethanol.
- ii) To evaluate the best operating conditions for adsorption of model bioethanol mixture.
- iii) To evaluate the best operating conditions of stripping process, i.e., air temperature and time.

1.4 Scope

The simulated mixture of bioethanol has concentration 10wt%. Sepabeads207 was used in the adsorption and stripping processes. The operation parameters of adsorption such as time of adsorption (5 – 25 min.), temperature

(20 – 40 °C) and acidity of the feed (4-7) were varied and evaluated the best conditions. The stripping process parameters were studied time (15- 35 min.) and temperature (80-95 °C). The yield of bioethanol was determined by direct volume measurement whereas the purity of bioethanol was analysed by gas chromatography and refractometer.

- Delgado, J., Uguina, M., Sotelo, J., Agueda, V., Alvaro, G. and Roldan, A. (2012). Separation of Ethanol–Water Liquid Mixtures by Adsorption on Silicalite. *Chemical Engineering Journal*. 180, 137 – 144.
- ETHANOLRFA. (2013). <http://www.ethanolrfa.org>. (Assessed on October 2013).
- Fragoulis, D., Chaniotakis, E. and Stamatakis, M.G. (1997). Zeolitic Tuffs of Kimolos Island, Aegean Sea, Greece and Their Industrial Potential. *Cement & Concrete Research*. 27, 889-905.
- Gao, Z., Wang, S., Sun, Q. and Zhang, F. (2003). Isobaric Phase Equilibria of the System 1-butanol + water Containing Penicillin G Potassium Salt at Low Pressures. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*. 214, 137 – 149.
- Gu, F. and Hou, Y. (2000). Salt Effects on the Isobaric Vapour-Liquid Equilibrium for Four Binary Systems. *Journal of Chemical Engineering Data*. 45, 467 – 470.
- Huang, H-J., Ramaswamy, S., Tshirner, U.W. and Ramardo, B.V. (2008). A Review of Separation Technologies in Current and Future Biorefiners. *Separation and Purification Technology*. 62, 1 – 21.
- Huang, R. Y. M., (1991). Pervaporation Membrane Separation Processes. *Membrane Science and Technology Series*. 20(1), 109 - 111
- IZA-STRUCTURE. (2013). <http://www.iza-structure.org/databases>. (Assesed on November 2013).
- Kato, M., Sato, T. and Hirata, M. (1971). Measurement of Salt Effect on Vapour-Liquid Equilibria by Bubble and Condensation Point Method. *Journal of Chemical Engineering of Japan*. 4, 308 – 311.
- Komaromine, M. K., Loksa, G., Csereklye, K. E., Bardoczyn, E. S. and Kallai, S. (2008). Use of Zeolite to Improve Soil Amelioration and Takes Effects on Microclimate. *Cereal Research Communications*. 36, 1783 – 1786.
- Lee, L-S., and Huang, M-Y. (2000). The Vapour-Liquid Equilibrium of Ethanol-Water Mixture in the Presence of Benzyltriethylammonium Chloride Salt at Atmospheric Pressure. *Chemical Engineering Communication*. 180(1), 19 – 38.
- Malik, R. K, Ghosh, P. and Ghose, T. K. (1983). Ethanol Separation by Adsorption-Desorption. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*. 25(9), 2277-2282.
- O'Brien, D. J. and Craig, J. C. (1996). Ethanol Production in a Continuous Fermentation/Membrane Pervaporation System. *Applied Microbiology Biotechnology*. 44, 699 – 704.

- Tang, L. Q., Shi, L., Bonneau, C., Sun, J. L., Yue, H. J., Ojuva, A., Lee, B. L., Kritikos, M., Bell, R. G., Bacsik, Z., Mink, J. and Zou, X. D. (2008). A Zeolite Family with Chiral and Achiral Structures Built From the Same Building Layer. *Nature Materials*. 7, 381- 385.
- Vane, L. M. (2005). *Pervaporation-Membrane Process for Bioethanol Recovery, Solvent Dehydration and Contaminant Removal*. Case Study, 1 – 5.
- Veera, R. B., Subba, R. S., Damodara, R. M., Narasimha, R. M. and Ayyanna, C. (2006). Optimization of Fermentation Conditions for the Production of Ethanol from Sago Starch by Co-immobilized Amyloglucosidase and Cell of *Zymomonas Mobilis* Using Response Surface Methodology. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*. 38, 209 – 214.
- Verhoef, A., Figoli, A., Leen, B., Bettens, B., Drioli, E., Van der and Bruggen, B. (2008). Performance of a Nanofiltration Membrane for Removal of Ethanol from Aqueous Solutions by Pervaporation. *Separation and Purification Technology*. 60, 54 – 63.
- Watanabe, Y., Yamada, H., Tanaka, J., Komatsu, Y. and Moriyoshi, Y. (2004). Ammonium Ion Exchange of Synthetic Zeolites: The Effect of Their Open-Window Sizes, Pore Structures, and Cation Exchange Capacities. *Separation Science and Technology*. 39(9), 2091- 2104.
- Zhigang, L., Biaohua, C. and Zhongwei, D. (2005). *Special Distillation Process*. British Library, U.K: Elsevier Publisher.
- Zhou, W. Z. (2008). Microscopic Study of Crystal Defects Enriches Our Knowledge of Materials Chemistry. *Journal of Materials Chemistry*. 18, 5321- 5325.