

CHEMOMETRICS ANALYSIS OF PETROLEUM-BASED ACCELERANTS IN
FIRE DEBRIS

FATIN AMALINA BINTI AHMAD SHUHAIMI

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

CHEMOMETRICS ANALYSIS OF PETROLEUM-BASED ACCELERANTS IN
FIRE DEBRIS

FATIN AMALINA BINTI AHMAD SHUHAIMI

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirement for the award of the degree of
Master of Science (Chemistry)

Faculty of Science
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

APRIL 2015

DEDICATION

It gives me great pleasure to convey a special dedication to my family members, Ahmad Shuhaimi Ishak and Maznah Shyidon, my respected supervisor, lecturers, and friends.

My utmost gratitude goes to all of you for your support and encouragement.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I am heartily thankful to my supervisor of this Master of Science Project, Prof. Dr. Mohamed Noor Hasan, whose encouragement, guidance and support from the initial to the final level enabled me to develop an understanding of the subject. I thank him for sharing his valuable time and for giving me helpful information to complete this master project. Without his continuous assistance, this project would not be the same as presented here. Thank you for challenging me to accomplish this project.

My deepest thanks go to Mr. Hairol, Mr. Nazri, Mr. Yassin and Miss Fariza for generously delivered their commitment, time, energy and precious suggestion in assisting me to complete this project. I would also like to express my appreciation to all lecturers for sharing their knowledge and expertise with me in completing this project. It has been such a pleasure of knowing you all. My sincere thanks also extend to everyone of the department of chemistry, faculty of science, UTM who helped me directly or indirectly throughout the duration of this project.

I would also like to thank my family members, colleagues and friends that have given their full support and encouragement during this project was executed. Lastly, I offer my regards and blessings to all of those who supported me in any respect during the completion of the project.

ABSTRACT

Petroleum-based accelerants such as diesel, gasoline, kerosene and others are usually related to fire debris analysis because they are inexpensive, readily available and commonly used to enhance the burning intensity of fire. However, combustion process and the presence of pyrolysis products can lead to misclassification of accelerants to the arson investigator. Furthermore, fire debris which has been exposed for several days may undergo some component lost and makes the detection more difficult. In this study, gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) was used to identify the accelerants present in simulated arson incidents. Total ion chromatogram and the peak area from the GC-MS data were used to perform chemometrics techniques which include principal component analysis (PCA), linear discriminant analysis (LDA), partial least square-discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) and support vector machine (SVM). The performance of these methods was further tested by analyzing samples which have been exposed for several days in the environment. Three accelerant classes were formed by these classification models which consist of gasoline, kerosene and diesel. Supervised pattern recognition technique showed satisfactory results, in terms of correctly classified samples, which were 90.4% (LDA), 85.3% (PLS-DA) and 96.7% (SVM) for training sets. A test set produced a value of 87.5% correct classification for LDA, 83.3% for PLS-DA while the best classification is 91.7% by SVM. Fire debris analysis using GC-MS with the aid of chemometrics methods give a promising result in the identification and classification of accelerants used to initiate the fire in arson cases.

ABSTRAK

Bahan penggalak kebakaran berasaskan petroleum seperti diesel, petrol, minyak tanah dan lain-lain biasanya berkait rapat dengan analisis sisa kebakaran kerana bahan ini adalah murah, mudah didapati dan biasa digunakan untuk meningkatkan keamatan api pembakaran. Walau bagaimanapun, proses pembakaran dan kehadiran produk pirolisis boleh membawa kesan sampingan dalam pengkelasan bahan penggalak api oleh penyiasat kebakaran. Tambahan pula, sisa kebakaran yang telah terdedah beberapa hari boleh melalui proses kehilangan beberapa komponen dan menyukarkan pengesanan. Dalam kajian ini, kromatografi gas-spektrometri jisim (GC-MS) telah digunakan untuk mengenal pasti kehadiran bahan penggalak pembakaran dalam simulasi insiden bahan terbakar. Kromatogram ion jumlah dan luas puncak daripada data GC-MS telah digunakan untuk melaksanakan teknik kimometrik termasuk analisis komponen utama (PCA), analisis diskriminan linear (LDA), analisis diskriminan-kuasa dua terkecil separa (PLS-DA) dan mesin vektor sokongan (SVM). Prestasi kaedah ini telah diuji dengan menganalisis sampel yang terdedah selama beberapa hari di alam sekitar. Tiga kelas bahan penggalak kebakaran telah dibentuk hasil daripada model klasifikasi yang terdiri daripada petrol, minyak tanah dan diesel. Teknik pengecaman corak berselia menunjukkan keputusan yang memuaskan, daripada segi pengkelasan sampel iaitu 90.4% (LDA), 85.3% (PLS-DA) dan 96.7% (SVM) untuk set latihan. Set ujian menghasilkan nilai 87.5% pengkelasan betul untuk LDA, 83.3% untuk PLS-DA sementara pengkelasan terbaik ialah 91.7% untuk SVM. Analisis sisa kebakaran menggunakan GC-MS dengan bantuan kaedah kimometrik memberikan keputusan yang baik dalam pengenalpastian dan pengkelasan bahan penggalak kebakaran yang digunakan untuk memulakan api dalam kes kebakaran.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xvi
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of Study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Objective of Study	4
	1.4 Scope of Study	4
	1.5 Significance of Study	5
	1.6 Chapter Summary	6
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
	2.1 Accelerant Classification	7
	2.2 Petroleum-based Accelerant	10
	2.2.1 Gasoline	11
	2.2.2 Diesel	14
	2.2.3 Kerosene	16

2.3	Pyrolysis	16
2.4	Laboratory Analysis	20
2.4.1	Application of Chromatography	20
2.4.2	Gas Chromatography	22
2.4.2.1	Gas Chromatography Flame Ionization Detector	22
2.4.2.2	Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry	23
2.5	Extraction of Ignitable Liquid Residues from Fire Debris	24
2.5.1	Headspace Method	24
2.6	Chemometric Analysis	25
2.6.1	Principal Component Analysis	26
2.6.2	Linear Discriminant Analysis	29
2.6.3	Partial Least Square Discriminant Analysis	31
2.6.4	Support Vector Machine	31
2.6.5	Genetic Algorithm	36
2.7	Application of Chemometrics	38
2.8	Chapter Summary	43
3	EXPERIMENTAL	44
3.1	Introduction	44
3.2	Materials and Chemical Reagents	44
3.3	Apparatus	45
3.4	Method Analysis	47
3.4.1	Preparation of Target Compounds Stock Solution	47
3.4.2	Sample Preparation	47
3.4.2.1	Dynamic Headspace Concentration-Adsorption	47
3.4.2.2	Analyte-Elution	48
3.5	Instrumentation	48

3.5.1	Gas Chromatography	48
3.5.2	Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry	49
3.6	Chemometric Analysis	50
3.6.1	Principal Component Analysis	51
3.6.2	Linear Discriminant Analysis	55
3.6.3	Partial Least Square Discriminant Analysis	56
3.6.4	Support Vector Machine	58
3.6.5	Variable Selection	59
3.7	Operational Framework	60
3.8	Chapter Summary	61
4	CLASSIFICATION OF ACCELERANT USING GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY-MASS SPECTROMETRY AND PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS	62
4.1	Introduction	62
4.2	Chromatographic Separation of Target Compound Mixture	63
4.3	Identification of Accelerants from Fire Debris	65
4.3.1	Identification of Gasoline from Fire Debris	66
4.3.2	Identification of Diesel from Fire Debris	68
4.3.3	Identification of Kerosene from Fire Debris	71
4.4	Chemometrics Analysis	71
4.4.1	Principal Component Analysis	73
4.4.2	Variable Selection	79
4.4.3	Principal Component Analysis after Variable Reduction	80
4.5	Comparison of GA and Loading Plot	82

	Variable Selection	
	4.6 Chapter Summary	83
5	CLASSIFICATION OF ACCELERANT USING SUPERVISED PATTERN RECOGNITION METHOD	84
	5.1 Introduction	84
	5.2 Linear discriminant analysis (LDA)	84
	5.2.1 LDA Classification in Fire Debris	85
	5.2.2 LDA Prediction in Fire Debris	87
	5.2.3 LDA Summary	88
	5.3 Partial Least Square-Discriminant Analysis (PLS-DA)	88
	5.3.1 PLS-DA Classification in Fire Debris	88
	5.3.2 PLS-DA Prediction in Fire Debris	94
	5.3.3 PLS-DA Summary	96
	5.4 Support Vector Machine (SVM)	96
	5.4.1 SVM Classification in Fire Debris	97
	5.4.2 Prediction of Accelerants in Fire Debris using SVM	100
	5.4.3 SVM Summary	102
	5.5 Comparison of Chemometrics Methods	102
	5.6 Chapter Summary	105
6	CONCLUSION	106
	6.1 Introduction	106
	6.2 Recommendation	107
	REFERENCES	109
	Appendix A	119

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Previous ASTM classification system of ignitable liquid.	8
2.2	Current ASTM classification system.	9
2.3	Additives for Gasoline.	12
2.4	Common pyrolysis products in fire debris analysis.	18
3.1	Accelerants samples and their brands.	45
3.2	Instrument parameters for GC.	49
3.3	Instrument parameters for GC-MS.	50
3.4	Software used to perform chemometrics study.	51
4.1	Peak identification of the standard compound.	64
4.2	Gasoline target compounds.	65
4.3	Diesel and kerosene target compounds.	66
4.4	Components used as variables in accelerants classification.	74
4.5	Selected target compounds after variable reduction by GA.	80
5.1	Percentage variance captured by regression model.	89
5.2	Confusion matrix for PLS-DA classification results, true vs. predicted (rows vs. columns) for the training dataset using two components.	90
5.3	PLS-DA training set details for accelerant samples using two principal components.	91
5.4	Confusion matrix for PLS-DA classification results,	93

	true vs. predicted (rows vs. columns) for the training dataset using five components.	
5.5	PLS-DA training set details for accelerant samples using five principal components.	93
5.6	PLS-DA training set details for accelerant samples using three principal components after removing misclassified sample.	94
5.7	Confusion matrix for PLS-DA classification results, true vs. predicted (rows vs. columns) for the test dataset.	96
5.8	SVM training set details for accelerant samples using two principal components.	97
5.9	Confusion matrix for SVM classification results, true vs. predicted (rows vs. columns) for the training dataset using two principal components.	99
5.10	SVM training set details for accelerant samples using four principal components.	100
5.11	Confusion matrix for SVM classification results, true vs. predicted (rows vs. columns) for the test dataset.	102

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Schematic diagram of SPE tube attached to a glass extraction apparatus.	46
3.2	Experimental setup for adsorption-elution fire debris analysis.	46
3.3	Temperature programming applied for GC analysis.	49
3.4	Imported data in the Matlab workspace.	52
3.5	Principal Component Analysis in PLS Toolbox chosen to analyze the data.	53
3.6	Accelerants data loaded and analyzed with PCA in PLS Toolbox.	53
3.7	Plot control and scores plot in PLS Toolbox.	54
3.8	Plot control and loadings plot in PLS Toolbox.	54
3.9	The intuition behind LDA.	55
3.10	Classification and prediction process by using PLS-DA.	57
3.11	The parameter in performing genetic algorithm.	59
3.12	The operational framework of the research.	60
4.1	Total ion chromatogram of a standard mixture by direct injection.	64
4.2	Total ion chromatogram for gasoline in fire debris.	69
4.3	Total ion chromatogram for diesel in fire debris.	70
4.4	Total ion chromatogram for kerosene in fire debris.	72
4.5	Plot of eigenvalues against number of principal component analysis.	75

4.6	Scores plot of fire debris samples projected into the space defined by two PCs.	75
4.7	Loadings plot (PC1-PC2) for a dataset that includes all variables.	78
4.8	Scores plot of fire debris sample projected into the space defined by three PCs (PC1-PC2-PC4).	78
4.9	Scores plot of fire debris sample projected into the space defined by two PCs after variable reduction.	81
4.10	Scores plot of fire debris sample projected into the space defined by three PCs after variable reduction.	82
5.1	LDA scores and boundaries plot.	85
5.2	LDA performance of correctly classify sample according to principal components used in training set	86
5.3	LDA performance of correctly classify sample according to principal components used in test set.	87
5.4	PLS-DA sample scores plot for gasoline (blue), kerosene (green) and diesel (red) groups.	89
5.5	PLS-DA two-LV model predictions. (a) Diesel (b) Kerosene (c) Gasoline.	90
5.6	PLS-DA five-LV model predictions. (a) Diesel (b) Kerosene (c) Gasoline.	92
5.7	PLS-DA prediction model according to accelerants class. (a) Diesel (b) Kerosene (c) Gasoline.	95
5.8	Boundary plot of SVM using two principal components for gasoline (blue), kerosene (red) and diesel (green) groups.	98
5.9	SVM using four principal components model predictions. (a) Diesel (b) Kerosene (c) Gasoline.	101
5.10	SVM prediction model according to accelerants class. (a) Diesel (b) Kerosene (c) Gasoline.	103
5.11	Percentage of correct classification of accelerants samples by LDA, PLS-DA and SVM for training and test set.	104

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

GC	- Gas Chromatography
GC-MS	- Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry
MS	- Mass Spectrometry
GC-FID	- Gas Chromatography Flame Ionization Detector
FID	- Flame Ionization Detector
RON	- Research Octane Number
PCE	- Tetrachloroethylene
TCMX	- Tetrachloro-m-xylene
ACS	- Activated carbon strip
SPME	- Solid phase microextraction
MATLAB	- Matrix Laboratory
HCA/ CLA/ CA	- Hierarchal Cluster Analysis
PCA	- Principal component analysis
SIMCA	- Soft independent model of classification analogy
SVM	- Support vector machine
LDA	- Linear Discriminant Analysis
PLS	- Partial Least Square
PLS-DA	- Partial Least Square Discriminant Analysis
ILR	- Ignitable Liquid Residue
TIC	- Total Ion Chromatogram
PC	- Principle Component
GA	- Genetic Algorithm
RMSEP	- Root Mean Square Error Of Prediction
RMSEC	- Root Mean Square Error Of Calibration
CC	- Correctly Classified / Correct Classification

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	List of Publication	119

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

Arson represents a serious problem which is defined as a criminal act of purposely setting fire to a house, building or other properties. Arsons are included as difficult crime to investigate and prosecute. It is because every fire scene need to be treated as a potential arson scene until clear proof of natural and accidental cause is discovered. Furthermore, the crime itself, if it is successful, destroys the physical evidence at its origin [1]. In some cases, the evidence is still there but it requires methodical and rigorous analysis. Arson is a crime that destroys evidence rather than creates one as it progresses and normally there is not much eyewitness evidence. Moreover, the incendiary origin of the fire is often hard to prove.

The main objectives of arson investigation are to determine the cause and origin of fire and also to identify the accelerants used to start the fire. However, fire investigator faced great challenges when there are lacking of physical evidence in the fire scene due to thermal degradation and combustion process. Besides that, complex nature of petroleum-based fire accelerants poses a problem for the arson investigator to determine the origin and the cause of the fire. Many accelerants composed of hundreds of compounds that can make identification of fire debris very difficult. The detection of fire accelerants becomes more difficult due to the contamination from the pyrolysis of common household items such as plastics, carpet and carpet padding at the fire scene [2-4].

The study of weathering effect is important since the exposed accelerants will definitely have some changes in their chemical composition. Hence it will greatly affect the chromatographic data. The chromatographic data obtained from fire debris will be used to perform chemometric techniques to see the accelerants grouping. Studies on the evaporation effect on samples are necessary to avoid misinterpretation of accelerants [5, 6].

Identification of petroleum-based accelerants are normally conducted according to chemical components of the accelerants. Chromatographic technique remains as the best method to analyze the components. Gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis of fire debris has been used to identify residues of fire accelerants. Identification of fire accelerants using GC-MS depends on two main types of pattern matching methods [7]. One approach makes use of extracted ion profile matching. With this method, intensity profiles for characteristic ions of fire debris samples are visually compared with the profile of known standard. Another method depends on target component analysis. A target compound chromatogram (TCC) is developed using the retention time and relative amount for each target compound. Visual pattern recognition is employed to confirm the fit to TCCs of known accelerant. This is done for the identification of an unknown accelerant.

Both these methods require visual inspection for the identification of complex samples of fire accelerant. This process is time consuming and may lead to misclassification. Furthermore, the interpretation of the complex data obtained from arson suspected fire required the experience of a trained analyst. Hence, a powerful tool such as chemometrics method is essential in interpreting these complex data obtained from GC-MS to classify and identify fire accelerants present in arson crime scene.

Preliminary work [8] on the classification of accelerants extracted from the fire debris produced promising results. Clusters which correspond to the type of accelerants were obtained by using principal components analysis (PCA). In the proposed study, more powerful pattern recognition method such as support vector machine [9] will be employed to develop classes using samples of fire debris with

known accelerants. The developed class will be validated by predicting the type of accelerants in the unknown samples. Furthermore, the effect of weathering on the classification will be studied.

1.2 Problem Statement

Petroleum-based accelerants are commonly associated with arson-related fire. In most arson cases, accelerants such as gasoline, kerosene and diesel are used to enhance the intensity and rate of fire. The complex nature of petroleum-based accelerants posed a problem for the arson investigator to determine the origin and the cause of the fire. The identification of fire accelerants become more difficult due to contamination from pyrolysis of common household items such as plastics, carpet and carpet padding at the fire scene. Furthermore, the weathering effect on the fire debris samples will alter the chemical composition due to the loss of light components via vaporization process. Therefore, correct identification of accelerants is crucial to arson investigation.

In this study, the application of gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) followed by data analysis using chemometric techniques were conducted. A comparatively new pattern recognition method, support vector machine (SVM) was applied to perform the non-linear classification of accelerants in fire debris analysis. Other chemometric methods such as soft independent model of class analogy (SIMCA) has been used to perform the classification of petroleum-based accelerants in previous study [8]. However the grouping was not clearly seen and there is difficulty in building the model. SIMCA is a linear supervised pattern recognition technique which performs the classification based on the model of known samples from principal component analysis. Perhaps the grouping of petroleum-based accelerants requires a non-linear classification technique.

Hence, new pattern recognition technique is proposed to perform the analysis. Support vector machine is a supervised pattern recognition technique which is

expected to give better results on the classification of accelerants in fire debris samples. This SVM model is developed from the linear classification model itself before transforming into higher dimensional space to create a non-linear boundary to perform the non-linear classification of petroleum-based accelerants. The non-linear classification model is supposed to provide better classification results than the linear technique.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

The main objectives of the research are:

- 1) to analyze petroleum-based accelerants in fire debris using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS).
- 2) to study the grouping pattern of gas chromatographic data of accelerants.
- 3) to classify the accelerants using supervised pattern recognition techniques namely LDA, PLS-DA and SVM.

1.4 Scope of Study

This study is based on petroleum-based accelerants. Accelerants such as gasoline, kerosene and diesel were collected from the petrol stations and nearby shops. This arson analysis is focused on classification of the sample of fire debris based on their accelerants group. Carpet was selected as the sample matrix. The extraction technique involved in this analysis was dynamic headspace adsorption using activated carbon as absorbent.

Some of the fire debris samples were left for two days and five days after burnt (time variable) to investigate the weathering effect on the accelerants classification using chemometrics methods. The weathering effect on the fire debris

samples was expected to alter the results on the classification due to the loss of some volatile compounds to the surrounding.

The analysis of chemical components in the fire debris was conducted by using GC-FID and GC-MS. The grouping of the accelerant is based on the data variables obtained from the extracted ion profile and target ion chromatogram. Next, pattern recognition techniques will be used to develop classes using samples of fire debris with known accelerants and identification of unknown accelerant. Principal component analysis, linear discriminant analysis and partial least square discriminant analysis will be used to perform the linear classification while support vector machine will be used to carry out the non-linear classification.

The SVM classification model will be validated by predicting the accelerants used in the real sample. This supervised pattern recognition technique should demonstrate the clustering of real samples before further analysis is done for accelerant confirmation used.

1.5 Significance of Study

This study attempts to identify the type of accelerants used to start a fire in arson cases. The results obtained can be used in the forensic investigation and used as evidence to prosecute the arsonist. Basically, fire can be divided into several categories which are natural, accidental and incendiary [10]. The main role of fire investigators are to identify the causes of fire whether they are natural, accidental or incendiary in losses [11]. They utilized the evidence to make such determinations.

Significant pieces of information at fire scene like the points of origin of the fire and how the fire starts [12] will be required as proof in arson cases. Normally, multiple points of origin are strongly indicative of an intentionally set fire. After obtaining the evidence, fire investigators have the responsibility to identify the

person who commit the arson intentionally when it comes to incendiary fire cases and provide proof to prosecute the arsonist.

Analysis of fire debris using gas chromatography in conjunction with flame ionization detector (GC-FID) and mass spectrometry (GC-MS) will give detailed and accurate data to perform the accelerants classification. The large amount of target ion chromatogram data will be used to carry out the classification while the classification model from chemometric methods such as principal component analysis (PCA), linear discriminant analysis (LDA), partial least square discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) and support vector machine (SVM) will be useful to the party who are involved in arson cases like Jabatan Kimia Malaysia, Jabatan Bomba Malaysia or consultants from the private sector. It is hoped that this research will facilitate the analyst to make the identification and classification of fire accelerants from fire debris samples more accurately.

1.6 Chapter Summary

This chapter summarized the background details of fire debris analysis on identification and classification of petroleum-based accelerants. A few objectives were made to answer several research questions related to this study. New chemometric technique (SVM) is proposed and expected to give better results on the classification of accelerants. In addition, several researches are needed to compare and improve the findings.

The next chapter will discuss further on other research works related to this study. Most of the literatures will be focused on the petroleum-based accelerants, chromatographic techniques, extraction methods and chemometrics techniques which later will be applied to the sample matrix.

REFERENCES

1. Almirall, J.R. and Furton, K.G. (2004). Characterization of Background and Pyrolysis Products that may interfere with the Forensic Analysis of Fire Debris. *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis*. **71**, 51-67.
2. Tan, B., Hardy, J.K. and Snavely, R.E. (2000). Accelerant Classification by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry and Multivariate Pattern Recognition. *Anal. Chim. Acta*. **422**, 37-46.
3. Fernandes, M.S., Lau, C.M. and Wong, W.C. (2002). The Effect of Volatile Residues in Burnt Household Items on the Detection of Fire Accelerants. *Sci Justice*. **42**, 7-15.
4. Bodle, E.S. and Hardy, J.K. (2007). Multivariate Pattern Recognition of Petroleum-based Accelerants by Solid-phase Microextraction Gas Chromatography with Flame Ionization Detection. *Anal. Chim. Acta*. **589**, 247-254.
5. Barnes, A.T., Dolan, J.A., Kuk, R.J. and Siegel, J.A. (2004). Comparison of Gasolines using Gas Chromatography- Mass Spectrometry and Target Ion Response. *J. Forensic Sci*. **49**(5), 1-6.
6. Desa, W.N.S.M., Dae' id, N.N., Ismail, D. and Savage, K. (2010). Application of Unsupervised Chemometric Analysis and Self-organizing Feature Map (SOFM) for the Classification of Lighter Fuels. *Anal. Chem*. **82**, 6395-6400.
7. Keto, R.O. (1995). GC/MS Data Interpretation for Petroleum Distillate Identification in Contaminated Arson Debris. *J. Forensic Sci*. **40**, 412-423.

8. Kunashegaran, H. (2010). Chemometric Approach for the Discrimination of Petroleum Based Accelerants from Fire Debris. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia: Master Project Report.
9. Xu, Y., Zomer, S. and Brereton, R.G. (2006). Support Vector Machine: a Recent Method for Classification in Chemometrics. *Crit. Rev. Anal. Chem.* **36**, 177-188.
10. Suzanne, B. (2006). Forensic Chemistry. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, Prentice Hall. 432-434.
11. Dehann, J.D. (2007). Kirk's Fire Investigation. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, Prentice Hall. 1-8.
12. Lentini, J.J. (2006). Scientific Protocols for Fire Investigation. USA, CRC Press.
13. AA Notes. (1982). Arson Analysis Newsletter. 6. 57.
14. Bodle, E.S. (2007). Multivariate Pattern Recognition of Petroleum-based Accelerants and Fuels. University of Akron: P.hD Dissertation.
15. Stauffer, E. and Lentini, J.J. (2003). ASTM Standards for the Fire Debris Analysis: A Review. *Forensic Sci Int.* **132**, 63-67.
16. Stauffer, E., Dolan, J.A. and Newman, R. (2008). Fire Debris Analysis. California, USA, Elsevier Inc. 295-338, 377-492.
17. Gomes, G.S., Brito dos Santos, B.C.D., Oliveira, J.E. and Flumignan, D.L. (2009). Screening Brazilian Commercial Gasoline Quality by ASTM D6733 GC and Pattern Recognition Multivariate SIMCA Chemometric Analysis. *Chromatographia.* **69**, 719-727.
18. Speight, J.G. (2002). Handbook of Petroleum Product Analysis. New Jersey, USA, John Wiley & Sons. 1-196.

19. Monfreda, M. and Gregori, A. (2011). Differentiation of Unevaporated Gasoline Samples According to Their Brands by SPME-GC-MS and Multivariate Statistical Analysis. *J. Forensic Sci.* **56**, 372-380.
20. Turner, D.A. and Goodpaster, J.V. (2006). Comparing the Effects of Weathering and Microbial Degradation on Gasoline using Principal Component Analysis. *J. Forensic Sci.* **57**, 64-69.
21. Zorzetti, B.M. and Harynuk, J.J. (2011). Using GC \times GC-FID Profiles to Estimate the Age of Weathered Gasoline Samples. *Anal Bioanal Chem.* **401**, 2423-2431.
22. Hupp, A.M., Marshall, L.J., Campbell, D.I., Smith, R.W. and McGuffin, V.L. (2008). Chemometric Analysis of Diesel Fuel for Forensic and Environmental Applications. *Anal. Chim. Acta.* **606**, 159-171.
23. Marshall, L.J., McIlroy, J.W., McGuffin, V.L. and Smith, R.W. (2009). Association and Discrimination of Diesel Fuels using Chemometric Procedures. *Anal Bioanal Chem.* **394**, 2049-2059.
24. Stauffer, E. (2003). Concept of Pyrolysis for the Fire Debris Analyst. *Sci Justice.* **43**, 29-40.
25. Prather, K.R., McGuffin, V.L. and Smith, R.W. (2012). Effect of Evaporation and Matrix Interferences on the Association of Simulated Ignitable Liquid Residues to the Corresponding Liquid Standard. *Forensic Sci Int.* **222**, 242-251.
26. Ying-yua, L., Donga, L. and Hao, S. (2013). An Analysis of Background Interference on Fire Debris. *Procedia Eng.* **52**, 664-670.
27. Skoog, D.A., Holler, F.J. and Crouch, S.R. (2007). Principles of Instrumental Analysis. 6 ed. Ed. Belmont, USA, David Harris. 788-810.
28. Salgueiro, P.A.S., Borges, C.M.F. and Bettencourt, R.J.N. (2012). Valid Internal Standard Technique for Arson Detection based on Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. A.* **1257**, 189-194.

29. Sahil, K., Prashant, B., Akanksha, M., Premjeet, S. and Devashish, R. (2011). Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry: Applications. *IJPBA*. **2**(6), 1544-1560.
30. Nicholas, D.J. (2008). Forensic Analysis of Fire Debris Residues. *ESG #4*. 1-4.
31. Stauffer, E. (2000). Fire Debris Analysis By Comprehensive Two-Dimensional Gas Chromatography. *Criminalist*. 1-11.
32. Dolan, J. (2003). Recent Advances in the Applications of Forensic Science to Fire Debris Analysis. *Anal Bioanal Chem*. **376**, 1168–1171.
33. Mispelaar, V.G., Smilde, A.K., Noord, O.E., Blomberg, J. and Schoenmakers, P.J. (2005). Classification of Highly Similar Crude Oils using Data Sets from Comprehensive Two-Dimensional Gas Chromatography and Multivariate Techniques. *J. Chromatogr. A*. **1096**, 156-164.
34. *Standard Test for Ignitable Liquid Residues in Extracts from Fire Debris Samples by Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry*, in *ASTM E1618-06* American Society for Testing and Materials.
35. Lentini, J.J. (1998). Differentiation of Asphalt and Smoke Condensates from Liquid Petroleum Distillates using GC/MS. *J. Forensic Sci.* **43**, 97-113.
36. Rella, R., Sturaro, A., Parvoli, G., Ferrara, D. and Doretto, L. (2002). Accelerant Identification in Fire Debris by TCT-GC-MS. *LC.GC Europe*. 2-6.
37. Bertsch, W. and Zhang, Q. (1989). Sample Preparation for the Chemical Analysis of Debris in Suspect Arson Cases. *Anal. Chim. Acta*. **236**, 183-195.bb.
38. Borusiewicz, R. (2002). Fire Debris Analysis – A Survey of Techniques used for Accelerants Isolation and Concentration. 44-53.

39. *Standard Practice for Separation of Ignitable Liquid Residues from Fire Debris Samples by Solvent Extraction*, in *ASTM E1386-10*. American Society for Testing and Materials.
40. *Standard Practice for Sampling of Headspace Vapors from Fire Debris Samples* in *ASTM E1388-12*. American Society for Testing and Materials.
41. *Standard Practice for Separation of Ignitable Liquid Residues in Extracts from Fire Debris Samples by Passive Headspace Concentration with Activated Charcoal*, in *ASTM E1412-00* American Society for Testing and Materials.
42. *Standard Practice for Separation of Ignitable Liquid Residues from Fire Debris Samples by Dynamic Headspace Concentration*, in *ASTM E1413-13*. American Society for Testing and Materials.
43. *Standard Practice for Separation and Concentration of Ignitable Liquid Residues from Fire Debris Samples by Passive Headspace Concentration with Solid Phase Microextraction (SPME)*, in *ASTM E2154-01*. American Society for Testing and Materials.
44. Cavanagh, K., Pasquiera, E.D. and Lennard, C. (2002). Background Interferences from Car Carpets-the Evidential Value of Petrol Residues in Causes of Suspected Vehicle Arson. *Forensic Sci Int.* **125**, 22-36.
45. Cavanagh, K., Pasquiera, E.D., Rouxa, C. and Lennard, C. (2005). The Transfer and Persistence of Petrol on Car Carpets. *Forensic Sci Int.* **147**, 71-79.
46. Beebe, K.R., Pell, R.J. and Saesholtz, M.B. (1998). *Chemometrics A Practical Guide*. New York, John Wiley&Sons. Inc. 56-182.
47. Davies, A.M.C. (2005). Back to Basics: The principle of Principal Component Analysis. *Spectrosc. Asia.* **1**, 35-38.
48. Smith, L.I. (2002). A Tutorial on Principal Components Analysis in Howard Anton. *Elementary Linear Algebra 5e*. John Wiley&Sons. Inc. 1-36.

49. Olejniczak, A., Chostenko, A.G. and Fall, J. (2010). Discrimination of Base Oils and Semi-products using Principal Component Analysis and Self Organizing Maps. *Fuel*. **89**, 1150-1155.
50. Miller, J.N. and Miller, J.C. (2010). Statistics and Chemometrics for Analytical Chemistry. 6 ed. Edinburgh gate, England, Prentice Hall. 221-247.
51. Brereton, R.G. (2003). Chemometrics: Data Analysis for the Laboratory and Chemical Plant. Chichester, West Sussex, England, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. 183-255.
52. Rudnev, V.A., Boichenko, A.P. and Karnozhytskiy, P.V. (2011). Classification of Gasoline by Octane Number and Light Gas Condensate Fractions by Origin with using Dielectric or Gas-chromatographic Data and Chemometrics Tools. *Talanta*. **84**, 963-970.
53. González-Rodríguez, J., Sissons, N. and Robinson, S. (2011). Fire Debris Analysis by Raman Spectroscopy and Chemometrics. *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis*. **91**, 210-218.
54. Kerr, T., Duncan, K. and Myers, L. (2013). Post Fire Materials Identification by Micro-Raman Spectroscopy and Principal Components Analysis. *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis*. **102**, 103-113.
55. Brereton, R.G. (2009). Chemometrics for Pattern Recognition. Chichester, West Sussex, United Kingdom, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. 1-504.
56. Massart, D.L., Vandeginste, B.G.M., Deming, S.N., Michotte, Y. and Kaufman, L. (1988). Chemometrics: A Textbook. New York, U.S.A., Elsevier Science 385-412.
57. Duda, R.O., Hart, P.E. and Stork, D.G. (2001). Pattern Classification. New York, USA, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. 114-121.
58. Strouf, O. (1986). Chemical Pattern Recognition. Letchworth, Hertfordshire, England, Research Studies Press Ltd. 13-69.

59. Barker, M. and Rayens, W. (2003). Partial Least Squares for Discriminant. *J. Chemometrics*. **17**, 166-173.
60. Noble, W.S. (2006). What is Support Vector Machine? *Nat. Biotechnol.* **24**, 1565-1567.
61. Liang, Y., Xu, Q.S., Li, H.D. and Cao, D.S. (2011). Support Vector Machines and Their Application in Chemistry and Biotechnology. USA, CRC Press. 1-201.
62. Li, H., Liang, Y. and Xu, Q. (2009). Support Vector Machine and its Applications in Chemistry. *Chemom. Intell. Lab. Syst.* **95**, 188-198.
63. Li, S. and Dai, L. (2012). Classification of Gasoline Brand and Origin by Raman Spectroscopy and a Novel R-weighted LSSVM Algorithm. *Fuel*. **96**, 146-152.
64. Gómez-Carracedo, M.P., Fernández-Varela, R., Ballabio, D. and Andrade, J.M. (2012). Screening Oil Spills by Mid-IR Spectroscopy and Supervised Pattern Recognition Techniques. *Chemom. Intell. Lab. Syst.* **114**, 132-142.
65. Balabin, R.M., Safieva, R.Z. and Lomakina, E.I. (2010). Gasoline Classification using Near Infrared (NIR) Spectroscopy Data: Comparison of Multivariate Techniques. *Anal. Chim. Acta.* **98**, 121-128.
66. Balabin, R.M., Safieva, R.Z. and Lomakina, E.I. (2011). Near-Infrared (NIR) Spectroscopy for Motor Oil Classification: From Discriminant Analysis to Support Vector Machines. *Microchem. J.* **98**, 121-128.
67. Alves, J.C.L. and Poppi, R.J. (2013). Biodiesel Content Determination in Diesel Fuel Blends using Near Infrared (NIR) Spectroscopy and Support Vector Machines (SVM). *Talanta*. **104**, 155-161.
68. Brudzewski, K., Osowski, S., Markiewicz, T. and Ulaczyk, J. (2006). Classification of Gasoline with Supplement of Bio-products by Means of an Electric Nose and SVM Neural Network. *Sens. Actuators, B.* **113**, 134-141.

69. Brudzewski, K., Kesik, A., Kolodziejczyk, K., Zborowska, U. and Ulaczyk, J. (2006). Gasoline Quality Prediction using Gas Chromatography and FTIR Spectroscopy: An Artificial Intelligence Approach. *Fuel*. **85**, 553-558.
70. Cateni, S., Colla, V. and Vannucci, M. (2011). A Genetic Algorithm-based Approach for Selecting Input Variables and Setting Relevant Network Parameters of a SOM-based Classifier. *IJSSST*. **12**(2), 30-37.
71. Cateni, S., Colla, V. and Vannucci, M. (2010). A genetic algorithms-based approach for selecting the most relevant input variables in classification tasks. *UKSim 4th European Modelling Symposium on Computer Modelling and Simulation, EMS2010*,. Pisa; Italy.63-67.
72. Cateni, S., Colla, V. and Vannucci, M. (2010). Variable selection through genetic algorithms for classification purposes. *10th IASTED International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Applications, AIA 2010*. Innsbruck; Austria.6-11.
73. Huang, C.L. and Wang, C.J. (2006). A GA-Based Feature Selection and Parameters Optimization for Support Vector Machines. *Expert Syst. Appl.* **31**, 231–240.
74. Liu, H.H. and Ong, C.S. (2008). Variable Selection in Clustering for Marketing Segmentation using Genetic Algorithms. *Expert Syst. Appl.* **34**, 502–510.
75. Jung, M., Tautenhahn, S., Wirth, C. and Kattge, J. (2013). Estimating Basal Area of Spruce and Fir in Post-fire Residual Stands in Central Siberia Using Quickbird, Feature Selection, and Random Forests. *Procedia Comput Sci.* **18**(0), 2386-2395.
76. Oygard, K., Grahl-Nielsen, O. and Ulvgien, S. (1984). Oil/oil Correlation by Aid of Chemometrics. *Org. Geochem.* **6**, 561-567.
77. Eide, M.O. and Kvalheim, O.M. (1986). Routine Analyses of Crude Oil Fractions by Principal Component Modelling of Gas Chromatographic Profiles. *Anal. Chim. Acta.* **191**, 433-437.

78. Urdal, K., Vogt, N.B., Sporstol, S.P., Lichtenthaler, R.G., Mostad, H., Kolset, K., Nordenson, S., and Esbensen, K. (1986). Classification of Weathered Crude Oils using Multimethod Chemical Analysis, Statistical Methods and SIMCA Pattern Recognition. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **8**, 366-373.
79. Borges, C., Gomez-Carracedo, M.P., Andrade, J.M., Duarte, M.F., Biscaya, J.L., and Aires, J. (2010). Geographical Classification of Weathered Crude Oil Samples with Unsupervised Self-organizing Maps and a Consensus Criterion. *Chemom. Intell. Lab. Syst.* **101**, 43-55.
80. Lu, W., Rankin, J.G., Bondra, A., Trader, C., Heeren, A., and Harrington, P.B. (2012). Ignitable Liquid Identification using Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry Data by Projected Difference Resolution Mapping and Fuzzy Rule-building Expert System Classification. *Forensic Sci Int.* **220**, 210-218.
81. Sinkov, N.A., Johnston, B.M., Sandercock, P.M.L. and Harynuk, J.J. (2011). Automated Optimization and Construction of Chemometric Models based on Highly Variable Raw Chromatographic Data. *Anal. Chim. Acta.* **697**, 8-15.
82. Fernánde z-Varela, R., Andrade, J.M., Muniategui, S., Prada, D. and Ramí rez-Villalobos, F. (2008). Identification of Fuel Samples from the Prestige Wreckage by Pattern Recognition Methods. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **56**, 335-347.
83. Li, B.Y., Liang, Y.Z., Hu, Y., Du, Y.P., Song, Y.Q., and Cui, H. (2003). Evaluation of Gas Chromatography-mass spectrometry in conjunction with Chemometric Resolution for Identification of Nitrogen Compounds in Crude Oil. *Talanta.* **61**, 803-809.
84. Ferreira, M.P., Brito, L.R., Honorato, F.A., Paim, A.P.S., Pasquini, C., and Pimentel, M.F. (2014). Classification of gasoline as with or without Dispersant and Detergent Additives using Infrared Spectroscopy and Multivariate Classification. *Fuel.* **116**, 151-157.
85. Abbas, O., Re´ bufa, C., Dupuy, N., Permanyer, A., Kister, J., and Azevedo, D.A. (2006). Application of Chemometric Methods to Synchronous UV Fluorescence Spectra of Petroleum Oils. *Fuel.* **85**, 2653-2661.

86. Abbas, O., Re'bufa, C., Dupuy, N., Permanyer, A. and Kister, J. (2008). Assessing Petroleum Oils Biodegradation by Chemometric Analysis of Spectroscopic Data. *Talanta*. **75**, 857-871.
87. Desa, W.N.S.M., Ismail, D. and NicDaeid, N. (2011). Classification and Source Determination of Medium Petroleum Distillates by Chemometric and Artificial Neural Networks A Self Organizing Feature Approach. *Anal. Chem.* **83**, 7745-7754.
88. Baernkopf, J.M., McGuffin, V.L. and Smith, R.W. (2011). Association of Ignitable Liquid Residues to Neat Ignitable Liquids in the Presence of Matrix Interferences using Chemometric Procedures. *J. Forensic Sci.* **56**, 70-81.