LEACHATE TREATMENT BY FLOATING PLANTS IN CONSTRUCTED WETLAND

THIAN SIAW HUI

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Civil-Environmental Management).

Faculty of Civil Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

November 2005

Dedicated to God; He makes everything possible and confirms once again His grace is sufficient...

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I want to thank God for helping me to complete my thesis project in due time. Thank You for making everything possible and showing Your grace and Your love in times when I needed it the most.

I also would like to extend my gratitude to my supervisor, Dr Johan Sohaili for his advices and support. Thank you for correcting me and supporting me throughout the thesis preparation.

Besides, I want to thank all the lab technicians that helped me a lot especially in my lab works. Thank you Pak Usop, En Ramli Ismail, En Muzafar and En Ramli Aris for giving me the help that I needed in my lab works.

Last but for not least, I want to thank the brothers and the sisters in my church that offers help when I needed it. Thank you for supporting me in prayer. I do appreciate it. I also want to thank my parents and my sister that stand by my side all the time. Thank you for the financial support and love for me.

ABSTRACT

Leachate generation was one of the major concerns of a landfill. Leachate contained high levels of organic and inorganic matters. As the landfill leachate was highly contaminated, leachate could not be discharged directly into the surface water bodies. Therefore, leachate treatment was essential before it was discharged into receiving water. Constructed wetland emerged as one of the potential treatment alternative that employed floating plants to remove pollutants form leachate. In this research, a constructed wetland was developed by using Eichhornia crassipes to treat landfill leachate. Different leachate concentration (100%, 50%, 25%) was studied in the constructed wetland to compare the treatment efficiency in terms of pollutants removal in leachate and the heavy metal uptake by *Eicchornia crassipes*. The treated leachate was analyzed for nutrient and heavy metal removal. The growth of Eichhornia crassipes was observed and the plants were digested at the end of experiment to study the heavy metal uptake by plant. The results showed that the wetland with 100% leachate concentration was the most efficient in removing BOD (74.04%) and Fe (100%) while wetland of 50% leachate concentration was the most efficient in removing NO₃⁻-N (64.51%) and Mn (53.13%) compared to 25% wetland. The higher the concentration of leachate, the more the plants wilt and this resulted in less accumulation of heavy metal in plants. Eichhornia crassipes had a higher capacity to accumulate Fe and Mn in the roots than in the leaves. At the end of experiments, the pH of the leachate decreased in all wetland regardless of the leachate concentration. pH decrease was due to heavy metal uptake by plants and nitrification process by microorganisms. As a conclusion, this study showed that wetland was efficient in removing BOD and NO₃-N in high leachate concentration. The wetland also posed a great role in removing Fe and Mn through plant uptake.

ABSTRAK

Penghasilan air larut lesap adalah salah satu isu yang penting di tapak pelupusan sampah. Air larut lesap mengandungi kepekatan bahan organik dan inorganik yang tinggi. Oleh sebab air larut lesap adalah sangat tinggi kontaminasinya, air larut lesap tidak boleh dialirkan secara terus ke permukaan air. Oleh itu, rawatan air larut lesap adalah penting sebelum ia dikeluarkan ke sumber air. Tanah bencah buatan merupakan satu rawatan alternatif yang berpotensi dengan menggunakan tumbuhan terapung untuk menyingkirkan bahan tercemar daripada air larut lesap. Dalam kajian ini, tanah bencah buatan dibangunkan dengan menggunakan Eichhornia crassipes untuk merawat air larut lesap. Kepekatan air larut lesap yang berlainan (100%, 50%, 25%) dikaji di tanah bencah buatan untuk membandingkan keefisienan rawatan dari segi penyingkiran bahan pencemar dalam air larut lesap dan pengambilan logam berat oleh Eicchornia crassipes. Air larut lesap yang dirawat dianalysis untuk penyingkiran nutrien dan logam berat. Pertumbuhan Eicchornia crassipes diperhatikan dan tumbuhan dicernakan setelah eksperimen habis untuk mengkaji pengambilan logam berat oleh tumbuhan. Keputusan menunjukkan tanah bencah buatan dengan 100% kepekatan air larut lesap adalah paling efisien dalam penyingkiran BOD (74.04%) dan Fe (100%) manakala tanah bencah buatan dengan 50% kepekatan air larut lesap adalah paling efisien dalam penyingkiran NO₃⁻N (64.51%) dan Mn (53.13%) berbanding dengan 25% tanah bencah buatan. Semakin tinggi kepekatan air larut lesap, semakin banyak tumbuhan layu dan ini mengakibatkan lebih sedikit pengumpulan logam berat dalam tumbuhan. *Eicchornia crassipes* mempunyai kapasiti yang lebih tinggi untuk mengumpul Fe dan Mn dalam akar berbanding dalam daun. Setelah eksperimen habis, pH air larut lesap didapati menurun dalam semua tanah bencah buatan tanpa mengambil kira kepekatan air larut lesap. Penurunan pH adalah disebabkan oleh pengambilan logam berat oleh tumbuhan dan proses nitrifikasi oleh mikroorganisma. Sebagai kesimpulan, kajian ini menunjukkan tanah bencah buatan adalah efisien dalam menyingkirkan BOD dan NO₃-N bagi kepekatan air lesap yang tinggi. Tanah bencah buatan juga memainkan peranan yang penting untuk menyingkirkan Fe dan Mn melalui pengambilan tumbuhan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	TITLE PAGE	i
	DECLARATION PAGE	ii
	DEDICATION PAGE	111
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	V
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLE	Х
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiv
1	INTRODUCTION	1

1.1	Introduction	
1.2	Problem Statement	
1.3	Objectives of the Study	
1.4	Scope of the Study	
1.5	Importance of the Study	
LIT	ERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	Leachate	

2

		2.1.1	Leachate Generation	6
		2.1.2	Leachate Composition	8
		2.1.3	Leachate Control Strategies	11
	2.2	Wetla	12	
		2.2.1	Natural Wetland	13
		2.2.2	Constructed Wetland	14
		2.2.3	Types of Constructed Wetland	15
		2.2.4	Wetland Plants	18
		2.2.5	Wetland Components	20
		2.2.6	Wetland Biogeochemistry	23
		2.2.7	Mechanisms for Pollutants Removal in Wetland	28
		2.2.8	Wetland Disadvantages and Limitation	29
		2.2.9	Factors for Wetland Performance Evaluation	30
	2.3	Summ	nary of Treatment Performance in Constructed	34
		Wetla	nd	
3	ME	THOD	OLOGY	40
3	ME 3.1		POLOGY p of Wetland for Leachate Treatment	40 40
3		Set-U		-
3	3.1	Set-U Exper	p of Wetland for Leachate Treatment	40
3	3.1	Set-U Exper 3.2.1	p of Wetland for Leachate Treatment imental Analysis	40 41
3	3.1	Set-U Exper 3.2.1 3.2.2	p of Wetland for Leachate Treatment imental Analysis Analysis of Leachate	40 41 41
3	3.1	Set-U Exper 3.2.1 3.2.2	p of Wetland for Leachate Treatment imental Analysis Analysis of Leachate Observation of Plant Growth	40 41 41 43
3	3.1 3.2	Set-U Exper 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3	p of Wetland for Leachate Treatment imental Analysis Analysis of Leachate Observation of Plant Growth	40 41 41 43
-	3.1 3.2	Set-U Exper 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 SULTS	p of Wetland for Leachate Treatment imental Analysis Analysis of Leachate Observation of Plant Growth Analysis of Heavy Metals in Plant Tissues	40 41 41 43 43
-	 3.1 3.2 RES 4.1 	Set-U Exper 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 SULTS Introd	p of Wetland for Leachate Treatment imental Analysis Analysis of Leachate Observation of Plant Growth Analysis of Heavy Metals in Plant Tissues AND DISCUSSIONS	40 41 41 43 43 44
-	 3.1 3.2 RES 4.1 	Set-U Exper 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 SULTS Introd Pollut	p of Wetland for Leachate Treatment imental Analysis Analysis of Leachate Observation of Plant Growth Analysis of Heavy Metals in Plant Tissues S AND DISCUSSIONS Juction	40 41 41 43 43 44 44
-	 3.1 3.2 RES 4.1 	Set-U Exper 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 SULTS Introd Pollut 4.2.1	p of Wetland for Leachate Treatment imental Analysis Analysis of Leachate Observation of Plant Growth Analysis of Heavy Metals in Plant Tissues S AND DISCUSSIONS Juction ants Removal in Leachate	40 41 41 43 43 43 44 44 44
-	 3.1 3.2 RES 4.1 	Set-U Exper 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 SULTS Introd Pollut 4.2.1 4.2.2	p of Wetland for Leachate Treatment imental Analysis Analysis of Leachate Observation of Plant Growth Analysis of Heavy Metals in Plant Tissues SAND DISCUSSIONS Juction ants Removal in Leachate Chemical	40 41 41 43 43 43 44 44 44 45
-	 3.1 3.2 RES 4.1 4.2 	Set-U Exper 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 SULTS Introd Pollut 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3	p of Wetland for Leachate Treatment imental Analysis Analysis of Leachate Observation of Plant Growth Analysis of Heavy Metals in Plant Tissues SAND DISCUSSIONS Juction ants Removal in Leachate Chemical Nutrient	40 41 41 43 43 43 44 44 44 45 48

	4.3.2 Heavy Metal Accumulation	5′
4.4	Effect of Leachate Concentration to Plant Growth	59
	4.4.1 Physical Appearance of Plants	59
	4.4.2 Leaf Length	6
4.5	pH Changes	61
CO	NCLUSION	65
5.1	Conclusion	65

REFERENCES	67	7

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Typical data on the composition of leachate from new and mature landfills (Tchnobanoglous <i>et al.</i> , 1993)	10
2.2	Nitrification and denitrification processes (Kowalk, 2002)	25
2.3	Removal mechanisms in macrophyte-based wastewater	28
	treatment systems (Campbell and Ogden, 1999)	
2.4	Wastewater pollutants removal in constructed wetland	34
2.5	Leachate pollutants removal in constructed wetland	36
3.1	Characteristics of Eichhornia crassipes (Batcher, 2005)	40
4.1	Amount of partial and complete wilting of plant's leaves in	59
	different leachate concentration wetlands	

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	<u>PAGE</u>
2.1	Four phases of solid waste decomposition	9
	(Tchobanoglous et al., 1993)	
2.2	Types of FWS wetland (a) Free-floating macrophyte-	16
	based system, (b) Emergent macrophyte-based system	
	and (c) Submerged macrophyte-based system (Brix,	
	1993b)	
2.3	Types of SSF wetland (a) Horizontal subsurface flow	18
	system and (b) Vertical subsurface flow system (Brix,	
	1993b)	
2.4	Simplified phosphorus cycle in wetlands (Mitch and	26
	Gosselink, 2000)	
2.5	Simplified sulfur cycle in wetlands (Mitch and	27
	Gooselink, 2000)	
4.1	Percentage of BOD removal in control experiment and	45
	different leachate concentration wetlands with exposure	
	to natural environmental condition and aerated	
	throughout the experiment	
4.2	Percentage of COD removal in control experiment and	47
	different leachate concentration wetlands with exposure	
	to natural environmental condition and aerated	
	throughout the experiment	

4.3	Percentage of ammonia nitrogen removal in control	48
	experiment and different leachate concentration	
	wetlands with exposure to natural environmental	
	condition and aerated throughout the experiment	
4.4	Percentage of nitrate removal in control experiment and	50
	different leachate concentration wetlands with exposure	
	to natural environmental condition and aerated	
	throughout the experiment	
4.5	Percentage of orthophosphate removal in control	51
	experiment and different leachate concentration	
	wetlands with exposure to natural environmental	
	condition and aerated throughout the experiment	
4.6	Percentage of iron removal in control experiment and	52
	different leachate concentration wetlands with exposure	
	to natural environmental condition and aerated	
	throughout the experiment	
4.7	Percentage of manganese removal in control experiment	54
	and different leachate concentration wetlands with	
	exposure to natural environmental condition and aerated	
	throughout the experiment	
4.8	Iron concentration in plant's roots and leaves for	55
	different leachate wetlands with exposure to natural	
	environmental condition and aerated throughout the	
	experiment	
4.9	Manganese concentration in plant's roots and leaves for	56
	different leachate concentration wetlands with exposure	
	to natural environmental condition and aerated	
	throughout the experiment	
4.10	Accumulation factor (Af) ratio for Fe concentration in	57
	roots and leaves for different leachate concentration	
	wetlands with exposure to natural environmental	
	condition and aerated throughout the experiment	

4.11	Accumulation factor (Af) ratio for Mn concentration in	58
	roots and leaves for different leachate concentration	
	wetlands with exposure to natural environmental	
	condition and aerated throughout the experiment	
4.12	Physical appearance of plants in a) 25%, b) 50% and c)	59
	100% leachate concentration wetland after one week of	
	experiment	
4.13	Physical appearance of plants in a) 25%, b) 50% and c)	60
	100% leachate concentration wetland after two weeks of	
	experiment	
4.14	Physical appearance of plants in a) 25%, b) 50% and c)	60
	100% leachate concentration wetland after three weeks	
	of experiment	
4.15	Physical appearance of plants in a) 25%, b) 50% and c)	60
	100% leachate concentration wetland after four weeks of	
	experiment	
4.16	pH changes over time in different leachate concentration	62
	wetlands throughout the experiment	
4.17	Effect of heavy metal concentration to pH in 25%	63
	leachate concentration wetland	
4.18	Effect of nitrate concentration to pH in 50% leachate	63
	concentration wetland	

LIST OF SYMBOL

Af	-	Accumulation factor
Al	-	Aluminum
AN	-	Ammonia Nitrogen
BOD	-	Biochemical Oxygen Demand
BOD ₅	-	5-day Biochemical Oxygen Demand
Ca	-	Calcium
Cd	-	Cadmium
COD	-	Chemical Oxygen Demand
Cr	-	Chromium
Cu	-	Copper
DMS	-	Dimethyl sulphide
DO	-	Dissolved Oxygen
Fe	-	Ferum
FWS	-	Free Water Surface
HLR	-	Hydraulic Loading Rate
HRT	-	Hydraulic Retention Time
Mg	-	Magnesium
Mn	-	Manganese
Ni	-	Nickel
Р	-	Phosphorus
Pb	-	Publum
S	-	Sulfur
SOP	-	Soluble orthophosphate
SS	-	Suspended Solid

SSF	-	SubSurface Flow
TKN	-	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen
TOC	-	Total Organic Carbon
TP	-	Total Phosphorus
TSS	-	Total Suspended Solids
VFA	-	Volatile Fatty Acids
Zn	-	Zinc

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

The change from an agro-based to an industrial nation, the good health care, education and better employment opportunities in Malaysia has led to an increase in the population. Our population has increased rapidly from 6 278 800 in 1957 to an estimated 25 050 000 in 2005 (DSM, 2005). Thus, the amount of solid wastes generated in Malaysia also increases rapidly. Kuala Lumpur and Selangor produced 7922 tonnes/day in year 2000, and this will increase to 11 728 tonnes/day in year 2010. For the states of Negeri Sembilan, Melaka and Johor, waste generated for 2000 was 2633 tonnes/day and 3539 tonnes/day are expected by year 2015 (Maseri, 2005). There are different alternatives to reduce, treat and dispose the solid wastes. However, landfill is still the most common practice for solid waste management. Sanitary landfill for solid waste management is defined as an engineered method of disposing of solid wastes on land by spreading them in thin layers, compacting them to the smallest practical volume, and covering them with soil each working day in a manner that protects the environment (Brunner and Keller, 1972). There are 230 official dumping sites in Malaysia, the majority of which are crude landfills, with only 10% providing leachate treatment ponds and gas ventilation systems and with most having no control mechanisms and supervision (Zaman, 1992).

However, the landfill method causes generation of leachate (Galbrand, 2003). Leachate is defined as liquid that has percolated through solid waste and has extracted dissolved or suspended materials (EEA, 2005). Leachate occurrence is by far the most significant threat to ground water. Once it reaches the bottom of the landfill or an impermeable layer within the landfill, leachate either travels laterally to a point where it discharges to the ground's surface as a seep, or it will move through the base of the landfill and into the subsurface formations (El-Fadel *et al.*, 1997). Depending upon the nature of these formations and in the absence of a leachate collection system, leachate has reportedly been associated with the contamination of aquifers underlying landfills which resulted in extensive investigations for the past four decades (Albaiges *et al.*, 1986; Mann and Schmadeke, 1986).

Leachate contains high concentration of organic matter, inorganic matter (sodium chloride and carbonate salt) and heavy metal (Trebouet et al., 2001). Organic matter in leachate results in decomposition by microorganisms and causes oxygen depletion in surface water bodies (Schwartz, 2005). This favours anaerobic conditions which are detrimental for the aquatic life. The anaerobic microflora is responsible for putrefactive processes which are characterized by the production of different types of toxic and noxious compounds (ammonia, hydrogen sulfide and phosphine) as final products of the organic matter degradation. Oxygen deficiency and toxic substance from anaerobic metabolism cause fish death and impairment of aquatic life. Heavy metals that are present in leachate are toxic to aquatic and human lives. Heavy metals are hazardous because they tend to bioaccumulate. Bioaccumulation means an increase in the concentration of a chemical in a biological organism over time, compared to the chemical's concentration in the environment (Lenntech, 2005). The most common heavy metals pollutants in leachate are mercury, iron, manganese and copper. Large doses of heavy metal can be detrimental to human health. For example, ingestion of inorganic mercury salts may cause acute effect in terms of gastrointestinal disorders such as abdominal pain, vomiting, diarrhea, and hemorrhage (ATSDR, 1989). Repeated and prolonged exposure of inorganic mercury will result in severe disturbances in the central nervous system, gastrointestinal tract, kidneys, and liver. Meanwhile, large doses of manganese cause apathy, irritability, headaches, insomnia, and weakness of the legs while the acute toxicity for ingested copper is characterized by abdominal pain,

diarrhea, vomiting, tachycardia and a metallic taste in the mouth. Continued ingestion of copper compounds can cause cirrhosis and other debilitating liver conditions (Mueller-Hoecker *et al.*, 1989). Therefore, since leachate can affect aquatic ecosystems and human health, proper leachate treatment is needed before leachate is discharged into receiving water (Paredes, 2003).

1.2 Problem Statement

The conventional leachate treatment systems are physical-chemical treatment, recirculation of leachate through landfill and biological treatment (El-Gendy, 2003). Physical-chemical treatment includes chemical precipitation, chemical oxidation, ion exchange and reverse osmosis, activated carbon adsorption and ammonia stripping (Ehrig, 1989). Precipitation in physical-chemical treatment is based on the addition of some chemicals to remove suspended solids, nitrogen, phosphorus and metal (Paredes, 2003). Meanwhile, chemical oxidation is effective in removing COD, iron and colour (Ho *et al.*, 1974). The physical-chemical treatment processes can produce high quality effluents, adapt to wide variations in flow and chemical composition and have the ability to remove toxic substances from leachate (Shams-Khorzani *et al.*, 1994). However, these treatment systems are difficult to operate and require highly skilled labor besides high capital and operating costs. Some of these processes even require extensive pretreatment process (Britz, 1995).

As a conclusion, the conventional treatment systems are effective in treating leachate. However, they require highly skilled labor and involve both high capital and operating costs. Therefore, constructed wetland was developed for floating platns (*Eichhornia crassipes*) as an alternative to treat leachate in this research since constructed wetland has low cost of construction and maintenance (El-Gendy, 2003). The type of wetland developed in this research was Free Water Surface (FWS) wetland with leachate concentration factor being studied.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

The objectives of the study are:

- (i) To investigate the removal efficiency of BOD, COD, NH₄-N, NO₃⁻-N, PO₄³⁻ and heavy metal (Fe and Mn) for different leachate concentration (100%, 50%, 25%);
- (ii) To study the heavy metal (Fe and Mn) uptake by *Eichhornia crassipes* in roots and leaves;
- (iii) To study the effect of leachate concentration in the growth of *Eichhornia crassipes*.

1.4 Scope of the Study

The scope of study includes set-up of lab-scaled wetland to treat leachate. The leachate was collected from landfill and initial water quality of the leachate was analyzed. Then, experiments were conducted separately in constructed wetland: leachate only as control, 100% leachate, 50% leachate and 25% leachate. All the experiments in the constructed wetland were aerated and the amount of leachate in each tank was 7 liters. The efficiency of treatment for different leachate concentrations was evaluated in terms of water quality parameters (pH, DO, BOD, COD, NH₄-N, NO₃⁻-N, PO₄³⁻) and heavy metal analysis. The heavy metal (Fe, Mn) by plant uptake was also studied by looking at the heavy metal concentration in plant leaves and roots. Besides, the effect of leachate concentration on plant growth was determined in terms of the leaf diameter and the physical appearance of the leaves throughout the experiments.

1.5 Importance of the Study

The research is conducted to evaluate the efficiency of plants to treat leachate. Phytoremediation is a potential method to treat leachate naturally in low cost. It is an environmentally friendly approach to remove pollutants from leachate. Therefore, phytoremediation can be used practically in landfill site to improve the water quality of leachate. The pretreated leachate in the landfill can be treated with *Eichhornia crassipes* before it is discharged into the river.

This research also determines the best leachate concentration for optimum removal of pollutants through analysis of the parameters. The leachate concentration plays an important role to ensure that the leachate concentration will neither be too high nor too low to interfere the efficiency of treatment in constructed wetland.

Besides, the research provides essential information for heavy metal removal by *Eichhornia crassipes*. A common method of removing heavy metals from wastewater has been to mix it with sewage, where conventional primary, secondary and tertiary treatment would then remove heavy metals (Matagi *et al.*, 1998). However, secondary and tertiary processes require high input of technology, energy and chemicals (Tchnobanoglous, 1999). The costs of establishing and maintaining them with skilled personnel are also very high. Therefore, these treatment processes are not very attractive or economic. As a result, plant such as *Eichhornia crassipes* can provide less costly and environmental friendly method to remove heavy metal from wastewater. Besides, *Eichhornia crassipes* can remove and concentrate heavy metal from large volume of wastewater. compared to the initial concentration (Crites and Tchobanoglous, 1998). Growth of the plants was only observed in 25% leachate concentration wetland where heavy metal concentration was not high to affect plant growth. The pH changes in all the constructed wetland were due to the heavy metal uptake by plants and nitrification by microorganisms.

5.2 **Recommendations**

For future work, plant harvesting could be done in the wetland to promote active growth of the plants, avoid mosquito proliferation and to improve the efficiency of treatment performance (Mbuligwe, 2005).

Besides, more extensive studies could be conducted for future research in order to understand more clearly the processes/mechanisms that happen in constructed wetland. Below are some recommendations for future research:

- (i) To study nitrogen, carbon and hydrogen concentration in plant tissues for different leachate concentration wetlands;
- (ii) To use more than one type of plants to treat leachate in constructed wetland;
- (iii) To study leachate treatment efficiency when different number of plants is introduced in the constructed wetland.

REFERENCES

- Albaiges, J., Casado, F. and Ventura, F. (1986). Organic Indicators of Groundwater Pollution by a Sanitary Landfill. *Water Research*. 20: 1153–1159.
- Amah, G.I. (2004). Constructed Treatment Wetlands for Reducing Nutrient Loading in the Lower Malibu Creek Watershed. University of California: Ph.D. Thesis.
- APHA (2002). Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater. 21th
 Edition. Washington: American Public Health Association.
- ATSDR (1989). *Toxicological Profile for Mercury*. US: Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.
- Ayaz, S.C. and Akea, L. (2001). Treatment of Wastewater by Natural Systems. In: Klomjek, P., Nitisoravut, S. Constructed Treatment Wetland: A Study of Eight Plant Species under Saline Conditions. Chemosphere.
- Barko, J.W. and Smart, R.M. (1980). Mobilization of Sediment Phosphorus bySubmersed Freshwater Macrophytes. In: Cronk, J.K. and Fennessy, M.S.*Wetland Plants: Biology and Ecology*. Washington: Lewis Publishers.
- Barlaz, M.A. (1996). Microbiology of Solid Waste Landfills. In: Palmisano, A.C. and Barlaz, M.A. *Microbiology of Solid Waste*. Florida: CRC Press.
- Batcher, M.S. (2005). *Eichhornia crassipes (Martius) Solms: Water Hyacinth.* California: Element Stewardship Abstracts.
- Batty, L.C., Baker, A.J.M., Wheeler, B.D. and Curtis, C.O. (2000). The Effect of pH and Plaque on the Uptake of Cu and Mn in Phragmites australis. In:
 Karathanasis, A.D. and Johnson, C.M. *Metal Removal Potential by Three Aquatic Plants in an Acid Mine Drainage Wetland*. Mine Water and the Environment. 22: 22-30.

- Boulton, A.J. and Brock, M.A. (1999). Australian Freshwater Ecology: Processes and Management. In: Galbrand, C.C. Naturalized Treatment Wetlands for Contaminant Removal: A Case Study of the Burnside Engineered Wetland for Treatment of Landfill Leachate. Canada: Dalhousie University.
 - Brix, H. (1993a). Functions of Macrophytes in Constructed Wetlands. In: Amah, G.
 Constructed Treatment Wetlands for Reducing Nutrient Loading in the Lower Malibu Creek Watershed. US: University of California.
 - Brix, H. (1993b). Wastewater Treatment in Constructed Wetlands: System Design, Removal Processes and Treatment Performance. In: Galbrand, C.C. Naturalized Treatment Wetlands for Contaminant Removal: A Case Study of the Burnside Engineered Wetland for Treatment of Landfill Leachate. Canada: Dalhousie University.
 - Brix, T.J. (1995). Landfill Leachate Treatment. In: Senior, E. Microbiology of Landfill Sites. CRC Press Inc., Boca Raton, Florida. 131-164.
 - Brunner, D.R. and Keller, D.J. (1972). Sanitary Landfill Design and Operation. US: USEPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency).
 - Bulc, T., Vrhovsek, D. and Kukanja, V. (1998). The Use of Constructed Wetland for Landfill Leachate Treatment. *Water Science and Technology*. 1997(5): 301-306.
 - Campbell, C. and Ogden, M. (2004). Constructed Wetlands in the Sustainable
 Landscape. In: Paquiz, M.R. Constructed Wetlands for Sanitary and
 Industrial Wastewater Treatment in Developing Communities. The Cooper
 Union Albert Nerken School of Engineering.
 - Chow, V.T. (1964). *Handbook of Applied Hydrology*. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Co.
 - Christensen, T.H., Cossu, R. and Stegmann, R. (1992). *Landfilling of Waste: Leachate*. England: Elsevier Science Publishers Ltd.
 - Conlin, T.S.S. and Crowder, A. (1989). Location of Radial Oxygen Loss and Zone of Potential Iron Uptake in a Grass and Two Nongrass Emergent Species. In: Peverly, J.H., Surface, J.M. and Wang, T. Growth and Trace Metal Absorption by Phragmites australis in Wetlands Constructed for Landfill Leachate Treatment. Ecological Engineering. 5: 21-35.
 - Cook, C.D.K. (1996). Aquatic Plant Book. In: Cronk, J.K. and Fennessy, M.S. *Wetland Plants: Biology and Ecology*. Washington: Lewis Publishers.

- Cowardin, L.M., Carter, V., Golet, F.C. and LaRoe, E.T. (1979). *Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States*. Washington: US Fish and Wildlife Service.
- Coykendall, R.L. (1980). Fishes in California Mosquito Control. In: Knight, R.L.,
 Walton, W.E., O'Meara, G.F., Reisen, W.K. and Wass, R. Strategies for
 Effective Mosquito Control in Constructed Treatment Wetlands. Ecological
 Engineering. 21: 211-232.
- Crites, R. and Tchobanoglous, G. (1998). Small and Decentralised Wastewater Management Systems. In: Mbuligwe, S.E. (2005). Comparative Treatment of Dye-Rich Wastewater in Engineered Wetland Systems (EWSs) Vegetated with Different Plants. Water Research. 39: 271-280.
- Cronk, J.K. (1996). Constructed Wetlands to Treat Wastewater from Dairy and Swine Operations: A Review. In: Kowalk, K.A. Constructed Wetlands for Use as a Part of a Dairy Wastewater Management System. US: Michigan State University.
- Cronk, J.K. and Fennessy, M.S. (2001). *Wetland Plants: Biology and Ecology*. Washington: Lewis Publishers.
- CSWPCB (1961). *Effects of Refuse Dumps on Ground-Water Quality*. Sacramento, California: California State Water Pollution Control Board.
- Davis, L. (1995). A Handbook of Constructed Wetlands: A Guide to Creating
 Wetlands for Agricultural Waste, Domestic Wastewater, Coal Mine Drainage,
 and Stormwater in the Mid-Atlantic Region. Vol. I: General Considerations.
 In: Galbrand, C.C. Naturalized Treatment Wetlands for Contaminant Removal:
 A Case Study of the Burnside Engineered Wetland for Treatment of Landfill
 Leachate. Canada: Dalhousie University.
- DNR (1998). *Nova Scotia Wet Places: Eastern Habitat Joint Venture (Freshwater Wetlands)*. Canada: Department of Natural Resources.
- Doedens, H. and Cord-Landwehr, K. (1989). Leachate Recirculation. In: Christensen, T. H., Cossu, R. and Stegmann, R. *Sanitary Landfilling*. San Diego: Academic Press Inc. 231-249.

- Drew, M.C. and Lynch, J.M. (1980). Soil Anaerobiosis, Microorganisms and Root Function. In: Luckeydoo, L.M. Vegetation and Algal Community Composition and Development of Three Constructed Wetlands Receiving Agricultural Runoff and Subsurface Drainage, 1998 to 2001. US: The Ohio State University.
- Droste, R.L. (1997). Theory and Practice of Wastewater Treatment. In: Pouliot, J.M. *Biological Treatment of Landfill Leachate*. Canada: The University of Western Ontario.

DSM (2005). Key Statistics. Malaysia: Department of Statistics Malaysia.

- Duffus, J.H. (2002). "Heavy Metals" A Meaningless Term? Pure Appli. Chem. 74(5): 793-807.
- EC (2000). Planting the Seed: A Guide to Establishing Aquatic Plants. In:
 Galbrand, C.C. Naturalized Treatment Wetlands for Contaminant Removal: A
 Case Study of the Burnside Engineered Wetland for Treatment of Landfill
 Leachate. Canada: Dalhousie University.
- EEA (2005). *EEA Multilingual Environmental Glossary: Landfill Leachate*. Denmark: European Environment Agency.
- Ehrig, H.K. (1989). Leachate Quality. In: Christensen, T. H., Cossu, R. and Stegmann, R. Sanitary Landfilling. San Diego: Academic Press Inc. 285-297.
- El-Fadel, M., Findikakis, A.N. and Leckie, J.O. (1997). Environmental Impacts of Solid Waste Landfilling. *Journal of Environmental Management*. 50: 1-25.
- El-Gendy, A. (2003). Leachate Treatment Using Natural Systems. University of Windsor: Ph.D. Thesis.
- FCCMC (1998). Florida Mosquito Control: The State of the Mission as Defined by Mosquito Controllers, Regulators, and Environmental Managers. In: Knight, R.L., Walton, W.E., O'Meara, G.F., Reisen, W.K. and Wass, R. Strategies for Effective Mosquito Control in Constructed Treatment Wetlands. Ecological Engineering. 21: 211-232.
- Friedman, H. (2000). *Leachate Treatment Using a Constructed Wetland*. Alaska: Kodiak Island Municipal Landfill.
- Fripp, J., Ziemkiewicz, P.F. and Charkavorki, H. (2000). Acid Mine Drainage Treatment. In: Galbrand, C.C. Naturalized Treatment Wetlands for Contaminant Removal: A Case Study of the Burnside Engineered Wetland for Treatment of Landfill Leachate. Canada: Dalhousie University.

- FRTR (1998). Remediation Technologies Screening Matrix and Reference Guide Version 3.0. In: Galbrand, C.C. Naturalized Treatment Wetlands for Contaminant Removal: A Case Study of the Burnside Engineered Wetland for Treatment of Landfill Leachate. Canada: Dalhousie University.
- FS. (2003). *The Physical and Chemical Properties of Water*. Tasmania: Fahan School.
- Galbrand, C.C. (2003). Naturalized Treatment Wetlands for Contaminant Removal:
 A Case Study of the Burnside Engineered Wetland for Treatment of Landfill
 Leachate. Dalhousie University: Master. Thesis.
- Gersberg, R.M., Elkins, B.V. Goldman, C.R. and Lyon, S.R. (1986). Role of Aquatic Plants in Wastewater Treatment by Artificial Wetlands. In: Lim, P.E. and Polprasert, C. *Constructed Wetland for Wastewater Treatment and Resource Recovery*. Thailand: Environmental Systems Information Center.
- Gersberg, R.M., Lyon, S.R., Elkins, B.V. and Goldman, C.R. (1984). The Removal of Heavy Metal by Artificial Wetlands. *Proceedings of Water Symp. III*. San Diego, California: AWWA Research Foundation. 639-648.
- Gratz, N.S., Legner, E.F., Meffe, G.K., Bay, E.C., Service, M.W., Swanson, C., Cech Jr., J.J. and Laird, M. (1996). Comments on Adverse Assessment of *Gambusia affinis*. In: Knight, R.L., Walton, W.E., O'Meara, G.F., Reisen, W.K. and Wass, R. *Strategies for Effective Mosquito Control in Constructed Treatment Wetlands*. Ecological Engineering. 21: 211-232.
- Greenway, M. and Wolley, A. (1999). Constructed Wetlands in Queensland: Performance Efficiency and Nutrient Bioaccumulation. *Ecological Engineering*. 12: 39-55.
- Hagen, A. (2000). *Planting the Seed: A Guide to Establishing Aquatic Plants*.Canada: Environment Canada Environmental Conservation Branch.
- Hammer, D.A. (1992). Creating Freshwater Wetlands. In: Galbrand, C.C.
 Naturalized Treatment Wetlands for Contaminant Removal: A Case Study of the Burnside Engineered Wetland for Treatment of Landfill Leachate. Canada: Dalhousie University.
- Hammer, D.A. and Knight, R.L. (1994). Designing Constructed Wetlands for Nitrogen Removal. In: Amah, G.I. Constructed Treatment Wetlands for Reducing Nutrient Loading in the Lower Malibu Creek Watershed. US: University of California.

- Hammer, D.A., Pullin, B.P., McCaskey, T.A., Eason, J. and Payne, V.W.E. (1993).
 Treating Livestock Wastewaters with Constructed Wetlands. In: Kowalk, K.A. *Constructed Wetlands for Use as a Part of a Dairy Wastewater Management System*. US: Michigan State University.
- Hansel, C.M., Fendorf, S., Sutton, S. and Newville, M. (2001). Characterization of Fe Plaque and Associated Metals on the Roots of Mine-Waste Impacted Aquatic Plants. In: Karathanasis, A.D. and Johnson, C.M. *Metal Removal Potential by Three Aquatic Plants in an Acid Mine Drainage Wetland*. Mine Water and the Environment. 22: 22-30.
- Haslam, S.M. (1978). River Plants: The Macrophytic Vegetation of Watercourses.In: Cronk, J.K. and Fennessy, M.S. *Wetland Plants: Biology and Ecology*.Washington: Lewis Publishers.
- Ho, S., Boyle, W.C. and Ham, R. K. (1974). Chemical Treatment of Leachates from Sanitary Landfills. *Journal of the Water Pollution Control Federation*. 46(7): 1776-1791.
- Hodson, M.E. (2004). Heavy Metals Geochemical Bogey Men? *Environmental Pollution*. 129: 341-343.
- Jamieson, T., Madani, A., Gordon, R. and Stratton, G. (2000). Nitrogen and Phosphorus Removal from Dairy Wastewater in Constructed Wetlands. Canada: Nova Scotia Agricultural College.
- Kadlec, R.H. and Knight, R.L. (1996). Treatment Wetlands. In: Kowalk, K.A.*Constructed Wetlands for Use as a Part of a Dairy Wastewater Management System.* US: Michigan State University.
- Kamal, M., Ghaly, A.E., Mahmoud, N. and Côté, R. (2004). Phytoaccumulation of Heavy Metals by Aquatic Plants. *Environment International*. 29: 1029-1039.
- Karathanasis, A.D. and Johnson, C.M. (2003). Metal Removal Potential by Three Aquatic Plants in an Acid Mine Drainage Wetland. *Mine Water and the Environment*. 22: 22-30.
- Kinsley, C. and Crolla, A. (2003). *Constructed Wetlands: A Viable Option to Treat Rural Wastewater*. Canada: Ministry of Agriculture and Food.
- Klomjek, P. and Nitisoravut S. (2005). Constructed Treatment Wetland: A Study of Eight Plant Species under Saline Conditions. *Chemosphere*. 58: 585-593.

- Knight, R.L., Walton, W.E., O'Meara, G.F., Reisen, W.K. and Wass, R. (2003).
 Strategies for Effective Mosquito Control in Constructed Treatment Wetlands. *Ecological Engineering*. 21: 211-232.
- Kowalk, K.A. (2002). Constructed Wetlands for Use as a Part of a Dairy Wastewater Management System. Michigan State University: Master. Thesis.
- Krishnan, V.G. (2002). Kajian Peyerapan Logam-Logam Berat oleh Dua Spesies Tumbuhan Separuh Tenggelam Dalam Tanah Bencah Buatan Jenis Sub-Permukaan bagi Olahan Air Larut Lesap. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia: Master. Thesis.
- Kunze, R., Frommer, W.B. and Flügge U.I. (2001). Metabolic engineering in Plants: The Role of Membrane Transport. In: Kamal, M., Ghaly, A.E., Mahmoud, N. and Côté, R. *Phytoaccumulation of Heavy Metals by Aquatic Plants*. Environment International. 29: 1029-1039.
- Lee, T.A.and Hardy, J.K. (1987). Copper Uptake by Water Hyacinth. In: Soltan,
 M.E. and Rashed, M.N. Laboratory Study on the Survival of Water Hyacinth
 Under Several Conditions of Heavy Metal Concentrations. Advances in
 Environmental Research. 7: 321-334.
- Lee, Y.F. (2004). Rainfall Effects to the Performance of Subsurface Flow
 Constructed Wetland in Leachate Treatment. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia:
 Master. Thesis.

Lenntech (2005). Heavy Metals: Introduction. Unpublished.

- Liehr, S., Kozub, D., Rash, J., Sloop, M., Doll, B., Rubin, R., House, H., Hawes, S. and Burks, D. (2000). Constructed Wetlands Treatment of High Nitrogen
 Landfill Leachate. In: Galbrand, C.C. Naturalized Treatment Wetlands for Contaminant Removal: A Case Study of the Burnside Engineered Wetland for Treatment of Landfill Leachate. Canada: Dalhousie University.
- Lim, P.E. and Polprasert, C. (1998). *Constructed Wetland for Wastewater Treatment and Resource Recovery*. Thailand: Environmental Systems Information Center.
- Lin, Y.F., Jing, S.R., Lee, D.Y., Chang, Y.F., Chen, Y.M. and Shih, K.C. (2005).
 Performance of a Constructed Wetland Treating Intensive Shrimp Aquaculture
 Wastewater under High Hydraulic Loading Rate. *Environmental Pollution*.
 134: 411-421.
- Liu, W. (2002). Subsurface Flow Constructed Wetlands Performance Evaluation, Modeling, and Statistical Analysis. University of Nebraska: Ph.D. Thesis.

- Lorion, R. (2001). Constructed Wetlands: Passive Systems for Wastewater Treatment. In: Galbrand, C.C. Naturalized Treatment Wetlands for Contaminant Removal: A Case Study of the Burnside Engineered Wetland for Treatment of Landfill Leachate. Canada: Dalhousie University.
- Lu, J.C.S., Eichenberger, B. and Stearns, R.J. (1985). *Leachate from Municipal Landfills: Production and Management*. US: Noyes Publications.
- Luckeydoo, L.M. (2002). Vegetation and Algal Community Composition and Development of Three Constructed Wetlands Receiving Agricultural Runoff and Subsurface Drainage, 1998 to 2001. The Ohio State University: Ph.D. Thesis.
- Mackenzie L.D. and Cornwell D.A. (1991). *Introduction to Environmental Engineering*. New York: McGraw-Hill Companies.
- Mann, H. and Schmadeke, C. (1986). Investigation Leads to Solution for Landfill Leachate Seepage. *Public Works*. 117: 54.
- Mannan, R.M., He, W.Z., Metzger, S.U., Whitmarsh, J., Malkin, R. and Pakrasi,
 H.B. (1996). Active Photosynthesis in Cyanobacterial Mutants with Directed
 Modifications in the Ligands for Two Iron-Sulfur Clusters in the *Psa*C Protein
 of Photosystem I. In: Sun, B.K., Tanji, Y. and Unno, H. *Influences of Iron and Humic Acid on the Growth of the Cyanobacterium Anabaena circinalis*.
 Biochemical Engineering Journal. 24: 195-201.
- Markert, B. (1994). Environmental Sampling for Trace Analysis. In: Muna Mohammad. Pengolahan Air Larut Lesap Melalui Tanah Bencah Buatan Aliran Subpermukaan Dengan Scirpus Globulosus dan Ericaulon sexangulare Bagi Penyingkiran Logam Berat. Malaysia: Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Marschner, H. (1997). Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. In: Soltan, M.E. and Rashed, M.N. Laboratory Study on the Survival of Water Hyacinth under Several Conditions of Heavy Metal Concentration. Advances in Environmental Research. 7: 321-334.
- Martin, C.D. and Moshiri, G.A. (1994). Nutrient Reduction in an In-Series
 Constructed Wetlands System Treating Landfill Leachate. In: Lim, P.E. and
 Polprasert, C. *Constructed Wetland for Wastewater Treatment and Resource Recovery.* Thailand: Environmental Systems Information Center.
- Maseri, N.M. (2005). Position Paper on Solid Wastes and Incinerators. The Malaysian Nature Society. Unpublished.

- Mason, C.F. (1998). Biology of Freshwater Pollution. In: Galbrand, C.C.
 Naturalized Treatment Wetlands for Contaminant Removal: A Case Study of the Burnside Engineered Wetland for Treatment of Landfill Leachate. Canada: Dalhousie University.
- Matagi, S.V., Swal, D. and Mugabe, R. (1998). A Review of Heavy Metal Removal Mechanisms in Wetlands. *Africa Journal Tropical Hydrobiology Fish.* 8: 23-35.
- Matthys, A., Parkin, G.and Wallace, S. (2004). A Comparison of Constructed Wetlands Used to Treat Domestic Wastes: Conventional, Drawdown and Aerated Systems. US: North American Wetland Engineering (NAWE).
- Mbuligwe, S.E. (2005). Comparative Treatment of Dye-Rich Wastewater in Engineered Wetland Systems (EWSs) Vegetated with Different Plants. *Water Research.* 39: 271-280.
- McBean, E. and Rovers, F. (1999). Landfill Leachate Characteristics as Inputs for the Design of Wetlands Used as Treatment Systems. In: Mulamoottil, G., McBean, E.A., Rovers, F. *Constructed Wetlands for the Treatment of Landfill Leachates*. Florida: Lewis Publishers.
- McCauley, A., Jones, C. and Jacobsen, J. (2003). *Plant Nutrient Functions and Deficiency and Toxicity Symptoms*. Montana State University.
- Metry, A. and Cross, F. L. (1976). *Leachate Control and Treatment*. Westport: Technomic Publishing Co.
- Miretzky, P., Saralegui, A. and Cirelli, A.F. (2004). Aquatic Macrophytes Potential for the Simultaneous Removal of Heavy Metals (Buenos Aires, Argentina). *Chemosphere*. 57: 997-1005.
- Mitsch, W.J. and Gosselink, J.G. (1986). Wetlands. In: Liu, W. Subsurface Flow Constructed Wetlands Performance Evaluation, Modeling, and Statistical Analysis. US: University of Nebraska.
- Mitsch, W.J. and Gosselink, J.G. (2000). Wetlands. In: Galbrand, C.C. Naturalized Treatment Wetlands for Contaminant Removal: A Case Study of the Burnside Engineered Wetland for Treatment of Landfill Leachate. Canada: Dalhousie University.
- Moore, J. (1993). Using Constructed Wetlands to Improve Water Quality. Oregon State University Extension Service.

- Moshiri, G.A. (1993). *Constructed Wetlands for Water Quality Improvement*. Boca Raton: Lewis Publishers.
- Motavalli, P., Marler, T., Cruz, F. and McConnell, J. (2002). *Nutrient Concentration and Function in Plants*. Mangilao: University of Guam.
- Mueller-Hoecker, J., Meyer, U. and Wiebecke, B. (1988). Copper Storage Disease of the Liver and Chronic Dietary Copper Intoxication in Two Further German Infants Mimicking Indian Childhood Cirrhosis. *Pathol. Red. Pract.* 183: 39-45.
- Muna Mohamed (2003). Pengolahan Air Larut Lesap Melalui Tanah Bencah Buatan Aliran Subpermukaan Dengan Scirpus Globulosus dan Ericaulon sexangulare Bagi Penyingkiran Logam Berat. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. Master. Thesis.
- Muramoto, S. and Oki, Y. (1983). Removal of Some Heavy Metals from Polluted Water by Water Hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*). In: Soltan, M.E. and Rashed, M.N. Laboratory Study on the Survival of Water Hyacinth Under Several Conditions of Heavy Metal Concentrations. Advances in Environmental Research. 7: 321-334.
- Neralla S. (1999). Improvement of Water Quality by Constructed Wetlands Used for Treatment of Septic Effluent. Texas A&M University: Ph.D. Thesis.
- Nussey, G., Vuven, J.H.J. and Du-Preez, H.H. (1996). Acute Toxicity Tests of Copper on Juvenile Mozambique Tilapia, Oveochromis Mossambicus (Cichlidae). In: Muna Mohamed. *Pengolahan Air Larut Lesap Melalui Tanah Bencah Buatan Aliran Subpermukaan Dengan Scirpus Globulosus dan Ericaulon sexangulare Bagi Penyingkiran Logam Berat*. Malaysia: Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Osmond, D.L., Line, D.E., Gale, J.A., Gannon, R.W., Knott, C.B., Bartenhagen,
 K.A., Turner, M.H., Coffey, S.W., Spooner, J., Wells, J., Walker, J.C.,
 Hargrove, L.L., Foster, M.A., Robillard, P.D. and Lehning, D.W. (1995).
 Biomonitoring Watersheds. In: Galbrand, C.C. *Naturalized Treatment Wetlands for Contaminant Removal: A Case Study of the Burnside Engineered Wetland for Treatment of Landfill Leachate*. Canada: Dalhousie University.

- Ottova, V., Balcarova, J. and Vymazal, J. (1997). Microbial Characteristics of Constructed Wetlands. In: Picard, C.R., Fraser, L.H. and Steer, D. *The Interacting Effects of Temperature and Plant Community Type on Nutrient Removal in Wetland Microcosms*. Bioresource Technology 96: 1039-1047.
- Paquiz, M.R. (2004). Constructed Wetlands for Sanitary and Industrial Wastewater Treatment in Developing Communities. The Cooper Union Albert Nerken School of Engineering: Master. Thesis.
- Paredes, D. (2003). Landfill Leachate Treatment in Constructed Wetlands: Removal of High Nitrogen Loads. Center of Environmental Research, Germany. Unpublished.
- Peverly, J.H., Surface, J.M. and Wang, T. (1995). Growth and Trace Metal Absorption by *Phragmites australis* in Wetlands Constructed for Landfill Leachate Treatment. *Ecological Engineering*. 5: 21-35.
- Picard, C.R., Fraser, L.H. and Steer, D. (2005). The Interacting Effects of Temperature and Plant Community Type on Nutrient Removal in Wetland Microcosms. *Bioresource Technology*. 96: 1039-1047.
- Pouliot, J.M. (1999). Biological Treatment of Landfill Leachate. The University of Western Ontario: Master. Thesis.
- Rafidah binti Hamdan (2002). Kajian Pengaruh Konfigurasi Tumbuhan di dalam Sistem Tanah Bencah Buatan Jenis Aliran Sub-Permukaan Terhadap Penyingkiran Bahan Organik dan Logam Berat di dalam Air Larut Lesap. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. Master. Thesis.
- Reed, S.C. (1993). Subsurface Flow Constructed Wetlands for Wastewater Treatments: A Technology Assessment. US: Environmental Protection Agency.
- Reed, S.C. and Brown, D.S. (1992). Subsurface Flow Wetlands A Performance
 Evaluation. In: Lim, P.E. and Polprasert, C. *Constructed Wetland for Wastewater Treatment and Resource Recovery*. Thailand: Environmental
 Systems Information Center.
- Reinhart, D.R. and Al-Yousfi, B. (1996). The Impact of Leachate Recirculation on Municipal Solid Waste Landfill Operating Characteristics. *Waste Management* and Research. 14: 337-346.
- Reinhart, D.R. and Grosh, C.J. (1998). *Analysis of Florida MSW Landfill Leachate Quality*. Florida: State University System of Florida.

- Richardson, C.J. and Craft, C.B. (1993). Effective Phosphorus Retention in Wetlands: Fact or Friction? In: Liu, W. Subsurface Flow Constructed Wetlands Performance Evaluation, Modeling, and Statistical Analysis. US: University of Nebraska.
- Robinson, H.D. (1995). A Review of the Composition of Leachates from Domestic Wastes in Landfill Sites. UK: Department of the Environment.
- Rowe, R.K., Quigley, R.M. and Booker, J.R. (1997). Clayey Barrier System for Waste Disposal Facilities. In: Pouliot, J.M. *Biological Treatment of Landfill Leachate*. Canada: The University of Western Ontario.
- Rupp, H.R. (1996). Adverse Assessment of *Gambusia affinis*: An Alternate View for Mosquito Control Practitioners. In: Knight, R.L., Walton, W.E., O'Meara, G.F., Reisen, W.K. and Wass, R. *Strategies for Effective Mosquito Control in Constructed Treatment Wetlands*. Ecological Engineering. 21: 211-232.
- Russell, R.C. (1999). Constructed Wetlands and Mosquitoes: Health Hazards and Management Options – An Australian Perspective. *Ecological Engineering*. 12: 107-124.
- Sawyer, C.N., McCarty, P.L. and Parkin, G. F. (1994). *Chemistry for Environmental Engineering*. 4th ed. US: McGraw-Hill Inc.
- SBC (2002). *Basel Convention Technical Guidelines on Specially Engineered Landfill*. Switzerland: Secretariat of the Basel Convention.
- Schnoor, J.L. (2002). Phytoremediation of Soil and Groundwater. In: Galbrand,
 C.C. Naturalized Treatment Wetlands for Contaminant Removal: A Case Study of the Burnside Engineered Wetland for Treatment of Landfill Leachate.
 Canada: Dalhousie University.
- Schwartz, E., DeBuhr, L. and Addelson, B. (2005). *Water Pollution*. Missouri Botanical Garden. Unpublished.
- Sculthorpe, C.D. (1967). The Biology of Aquatic Vascular Plants. In: Cronk, J.K. and Fennessy, M.S. Wetland Plants: Biology and Ecology. Washington: Lewis Publishers.
- Shams-Khorzani, R., Knox, T.D. and Brockway, R.C. (1994). Sanitary Landfill Leachate Treatment and Disposal. Public Works. 46-49.

- Snowden, R.E.D. and Wheeler, B.D. (1995). Chemical Changes in Selected Wetland Species with Increasing Fe Supply, with Specific Reference to Root Precipitates and Fe Tolerance. In: Karathanasis, A.D. and Johnson, C.M. *Metal Removal Potential by Three Aquatic Plants in an Acid Mine Drainage Wetland*. Mine Water and the Environment. 22: 22-30.
- Soltan, M.E. and Rashed, M.N. (2003). Laboratory Study on the Survival of Water Hyacinth Under Several Conditions of Heavy Metal Concentrations. *Advances* on Environmental Research. 7: 321-334.
- St-Cyr, L. and Campbell, P.G. (1996). Metals (Fe, Mn, Zn) in the Root Plaque of Submerged Aquatic Plants Collected In Situ: Relations with Metal Concentrations in the Adjacent Sediments and in the Root Tissue. In: Soltan, M.Ee. and Rashed, M.N. *Laboratory Study on the Survival of Water Hyacinth under Several Conditions of Heavy Metal Concentrations*. Advances in Environmental Research. 7: 321-334.
- St-Cyr, L., Fortin, D. and Campbell, P.G. (1993). Microscopic Observations of the Iron Plaque of a Submerged Aquatic Plant. In: Soltan, M.E. and Rashed, M.N. *Laboratory Study on the Survival of Water Hyacinth under Several Conditions* of Heavy Metal Concentrations. Advances in Environmental Research. 7: 321-334.
- Štembal, T., Markić, M., Ribičić, N., Briški, F. and Sipos, L. (2005). Removal of Ammonia, Iron and Manganese from Groundwaters of Northern Croatia – Pilot Plant Studies. *Process Biochemistry*. 40: 327-335.
- Stottmeister, U., Wießner, A., Kuschk, P., Kappelmeyer, U., Kästner, M., Bederski,
 O., Müller, R.A. and Moormann, H. (2003). Effects of Plants and
 Microorganisms in Constructed Wetlands for Wastewater Treatment. *Biotechnology Advances*. 22: 93-117.
- Streng, D.R. (1976). The Effects of the Disposal of Industrial Waste within a Sanitary Landfill Environment. In: US Environmental Protection Agency. Residual Management by Land Disposal. Ohio. 51-70.
- Sun, B.K., Tanji, Y. and Unno, H. (2005). Influences of Iron and Humic Acid on the Growth of the Cyanobacterium Anabaena Circinalis. Biochemical Engineering Journal. 24: 195-201.

- Tanner, C.C. (1994). Treatment of Dairy Farm Wastewaters in Horizontal and Up-Flow Gravel-Bed Constructed Wetlands. In: Kowalk, K.A. Constructed Wetlands for Use as a Part of a Dairy Wastewater Management System. US: Michigan State University.
- Tchnobanoglous, G. (1990). Constructed Wetlands for Waste Water Treatment Engineering Considerations. In: Matagi, S.V., Swal, D. and Mugabe, R. A Review of Heavy Metal Removal Mechanisms in Wetlands. *Africa Journal Tropical Hydrobiology Fish.* 8: 23-35.
- Tchobanoglous, G., Peavy, H.S. and Rowe, D.R. (1985). *Environmental Engineering*. New York: McGraw-Hill International Editions.
- Tchobanoglous, G., Theisen, H. and Vigil, S. (1993). Integrated Solid Waste Management, Engineering Principles and Management Issues. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Thivierge, B. (2005). Heavy Metal Poisoning. New Jersey: Medical Network Inc.
- Thomas, P.R., Glover, P. and Kalaroopan, T. (1995). An Evaluation of Pollutant Removal from Secondary Treat Sewage Effluent Using a Constructed Wetland System. In: Kowalk, K.A. *Constructed Wetlands for Use as a Part of a Dairy Wastewater Management System*. US: Michigan State University.
- Tiner, R.W. (1999). Wetland Indicators: A Guide to Wetland Identification,
 Delineation, Classification, and Mapping. In: Galbrand, C.C. Naturalized
 Treatment Wetlands for Contaminant Removal: A Case Study of the Burnside
 Engineered Wetland for Treatment of Landfill Leachate. Canada: Dalhousie
 University.
- Tousignant, E., Frankhauser, O. and Hurd, S. (1999). Guidance Manual for the Construction and Operations of Constructed Wetlands for Rural Applications in Ontario. In: Galbrand, C.C. *Naturalized Treatment Wetlands for Contaminant Removal: A Case Study of the Burnside Engineered Wetland for Treatment of Landfill Leachate*. Canada: Dalhousie University.
- Trebouet, D., Schlumpf, J.P., Jaouen, P. and Quemeneur, F. (2001). Stabilized Landfill Leachate Treatment by Combined Physicochemical-Nanofiltration Processes. *Water Resource*. 35(12): 2935-2942.

- Urbanc-Bersic, O. (1994). Investigation Into the Use of Constructed Reedbeds for Municipal Waste Dump Leachate Treatment. In: Lim, P.E. and Polprasert, C. *Constructed Wetland for Wastewater Treatment and Resource Recovery*. Thailand: Environmental Systems Information Center.
- USEPA (2000a). *Manual: Constructed Wetlands Treatment of Municipal Wastewaters*. Cincinnati: US Environmental Protection Agency.
- USEPA (2000b). Wastewater Technology Fact Sheet: Free Water Surface Wetlands. Cincinnati: US Environmental Protection Agency.
- USEPA (2002a). *Wetlands Overview*. Cincinnati: US Environmental Protection Agency.
- USEPA (2002b). *Types of Wetlands*. Cincinnati: US Environmental Protection Agency.
- Venkataramani, E.S., Ahlert, R. and Corbo, P. (1974). Biological Treatment of Landfill Leachates. In: Reinhart, D.R. and Grosh, C.J. *Analysis of Florida MSW Landfill Leachate Quality*. Florida: State University System of Florida.
- Vesk, P.A. and Allaway, W.G. (1997). Spatial Variation of Copper and Lead Concentrations of Water Hyacinth Plants in a Wetland Receiving Urban Run-Off. In: Soltan, M.E. and Rashed, M.N. Laboratory Study on the Survival of Water Hyacinth under Several Conditions of Heavy Metal Concentrations. Advances in Environmental Research. 7: 321-334.
- Vesk, P.A., Nockolds, C.E. and Allaway, W.G. (1999). Metal Localization in Water Hyacinth Roots from an Urban Wetland. In: Soltan, M.E. and Rashed, M.N. Laboratory Study on the Survival of Water Hyacinth under Several Conditions of Heavy Metal Concentrations. Advances in Environmental Research. 7: 321-334.
- Vymazal, J. (1995). Algae and Element Cycling in Wetlands. In: Liu, W. Subsurface Flow Constructed Wetlands Performance Evaluation, Modeling, and Statistical Analysis. US: University of Nebraska.
- Walton, W.E. and Mulla, M.S. (1992). Impact and Fates of Microbial Pest-Control Agents in the Aquatic Environment. In: Knight, R.L., Walton, W.E., O'Meara, G.F., Reisen, W.K. and Wass, R. Strategies for Effective Mosquito Control in Constructed Treatment Wetlands. Ecological Engineering. 21: 211-232.

- Walton, W.E., Wirth, M.C, Workman, P.D. and Randall, L.A. (1997). Survival of Two Larvivorous Fishes in a Multipurpose Constructed Wetland in Southern California. In: Knight, R.L., Walton, W.E., O'Meara, G.F., Reisen, W.K. and Wass, R. Strategies for Effective Mosquito Control in Constructed Treatment Wetlands. Ecological Engineering. 21: 211-232.
- Wang, Q. (2004). Aspects of Pretreated Hospital Waste Biodegradation in Landfills. Germany: Duisburg-Essen University.
- Wang, W. and Lewis, M.A. (1977). Metal Accumulation by Aquatic Macrophytes. In: Soltan, M.E. and Rashed, M.N. Laboratory Study on the Survival of Water Hyacinth under Several Conditions of Heavy Metal Concentrations. Advances in Environmental Research. 7: 321-334.
- Werker, A.G., Dougherty, J.M., McHenry, J.L. and Van Loon, W.A. (2002).
 Treatment Variability for Wetland Wastewater Treatment Design in Cold
 Climates. In: Picard, C.R., Fraser, L.H. and Steer, D. *The Interacting Effects* of Temperature and Plant Community Type on Nutrient Removal in Wetland Microcosms. Bioresource Technology. 96: 1039-1047.
- Whittleton, J. (2004). *Landfill: A Chemical Perspective*. UK: The Royal Society of Chemistry.
- Woo, J.W. (2005). Viability of M. californianus to Control Nutrient Levels in Algae Blooms. California: California State Science Fair.
- Wu, R.S.S. (1999). Eutrophication, Water Borne Pathogens and Xenobiotic
 Compounds: Environmental Risks and Challenges. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*.
 39: 11-22.
- Yahya, M.N. (1990). The absorption of Metal Ions by Eichhornia crassipes. In: Soltan, M.E. and Rashed, M. Laboratory Study on the Survival of the Water Hyacinth under Several Conditions of Heavy Metal Concentration. Advances in Environmental Research. 7: 321-334.
- Yoo, H.C., Cho, S.H. and Ko, S.O. (2001). Modification of Coagulation and Fenton Oxidation Processes for Cost-Effective Leachate Treatment. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health.* 36(1): 39-48.
- Zaman, H.Z. (1992). Actual Siltation of Landfill Site and Improvement Design for Sanitary Landfill in Malaysia. National Seminar on Municipal and Industrial Waste and Industrial Management and Technology, Kuala Lumpur.

Zaranyika, M.F. and Ndapwadza, T. (1995). Uptake of Ni, Zn, Fe, Co, Cr, Pb, Cu and Cd by Water Hyacinth in Mukuvisi and Manyame Rivers, Zimbaabwe. In: Soltan, M.E. and Rashed, M.N. *Laboratory Study on the Survival of Water Hyacinth Under Several Conditions of Heavy Metal Concentrations*. Advances in Environmental Research. 7: 321-334.