

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION  
OF ELECTRICAL DISCHARGE MACHINING  
ON STAINLESS STEEL 316L USING COPPER IMPREGNATED GRAPHITE

WAHAIZAD BIN SAFIEI

A dissertation submitted as partial fulfillment of the  
requirement for the Degree of  
Master of Engineering (Mechanical – Advanced Manufacturing Technology)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2014

*To my beloved parents*

*Haji Safiei bin Che Din*

*Hajjah Azizah binti Mat Noh*

*My wonderful wife and son*

*Siti Masayu binti Abdul Jalil*

*Muhammad Habibullah bin Wahaizad*

*and all my supportive relatives.*

*May us always in Allah S.W.T bless and protection.*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah, praise to Allah for His grace and blessing to me to accomplish this thesis.

A special thankful to my parents, Safiei bin Che Din and Azizah binti Mat Noh for their blessing and support to accomplish this thesis. Also to my supportive wife, Siti Masayu binti Abdul Jalil and son, Muhammad Habibullah bin Wahaizad for their actuation and patience throughout this thesis.

I also would like to express my appreciation and gratitude to my supervisor, Professor Dr. Safian bin Sharif for his supervision, guidance, encouragement, time, and advice throughout this thesis. Thank you to all KKTM Balik Pulau staff, especially my colleagues for their cooperation and assistance during conducting the experiment. I hope that they will success in every field that there are doing.

Lastly, for those who have contributed directly or indirectly, I would like to thank you so much towards the successful of this study. Just Allah S.W.T can return your kindness.

## ABSTRACT

The electrical discharge machining (EDM) is considered as non-conventional machining due to its different method of machining compared to conventional machining. This study presents the results of experimental studies carried out to conduct a comprehensive investigation on the influence of EDM input parameters on the characteristics of EDM process. The machining parameters include peak current, servo voltage, pulse ON time and pulse OFF time. The study was conducted using 2 levels of full factorial method in Design of Experiments (DOE). The design expert version 9 software was employed to perform all the data analysis for full factorial and Central Composite Design (CCD) experiments. Basically, this study evaluates the EDM performance on Stainless Steel 316L using Sodick EDM linear motor series AM3L which employed copper impregnated graphite of diameter 7.0 mm as the tool electrode. The machining responses are material removal rate (MRR), electrode wear rate (EWR), surface roughness (SR) and dimensional accuracy. An optimum setting was determined based on DOE and analysis of variance analysis (ANOVA). Result's showed that peak current was the most significant factors to all machining responses. The servo voltage does not have significant effect to the machining responses in the Response Surface Methodology (RSM). Higher current produced higher MRR, EWR, SR and dimensional accuracy. Maximum MRR was obtained at peak current ranging from 27amp to 38amp, pulse on time range from 120 $\mu$ s to 145 $\mu$ s and 60 $\mu$ s of pulse off time. Maximum EWR was obtained at peak current ranging from 27amp to 37amp, pulse on time range from 140 $\mu$ s to 160 $\mu$ s and 60 $\mu$ s of pulse off time. Lower dimensional accuracy and SR obtained at 5amp of pulse on time. Higher pulse off time produced lower MRR and EWR.

## ABSTRAK

Pemesinan nyahcas elektrik dianggap sebagai bukan pemesinan konvensional kerana kaedahnya adalah berbeza dengan pemesinan konvensional. Kajian ini membentangkan hasil kajian eksperimen yang dijalankan dengan siasatan menyeluruh mengenai pengaruh parameter Pemesinan Nyahcas Elektrik (EDM) terhadap bahan yang dimesin. Parameter pemesinan adalah arus elektrik, voltan servo, *pulse ON* dan *pulse OFF*. Kajian ini dijalankan dengan menggunakan dua aras pemfaktoran penuh dalam rekabentuk eksperimen. Perisian *Design Expert* versi ke-sembilan telah digunakan untuk menjalankan semua analisis data eksperimen *Central Composite Design (CCD)* dan *Full Factorial*. Pada asasnya, kajian ini menilai prestasi pemesinan menggunakan *Sodick EDM linear motor series AM3L* dengan mata alat elektrod *Copper impregnated graphite* berdiameter 7.0 mm terhadap *Stainless Steel 316L*. Pemboleh ubah tindak balas adalah *material removal rate (MRR)*, *electrode wear rate (EWR)*, *surface roughness (SR)* dan *dimensional accuracy*. Penetapan optimum ditentukan berdasarkan Rekabentuk Eksperimen dan Analisis Varians (ANOVA). Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa arus elektrik adalah faktor yang signifikan terhadap respon pemboleh ubah. *Servo Voltage* tidak signifikan terhadap respon pemesinan dalam RSM. Arus elektrik tinggi menghasilkan MRR, EWR, SR dan ketepatan dimensi yang tinggi. MRR yang tinggi dan optimum diperolehi pada julat arus elektrik 27amp hingga 38amp, julat *pulse on time* pada 120 $\mu$ s to 145 $\mu$ s and pada 60 $\mu$ s *pulse off time*. Maksimum EWR diperolehi pada julat arus elektrik 27amp hingga 37amp, julat *pulse on time* dari 140 $\mu$ s hingga 160 $\mu$ s dan pada 60 $\mu$ s *pulse off time*. Ketepatan dimensi yang rendah dan SR diperolehi pada *pulse on time* 5 amp. *Pulse off time* yang tinggi menghasilkan MRR dan EWR yang rendah.

**CONTENTS**

<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	ii
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	iv
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
	<b>CONTENTS</b>	vii
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xi
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xiii
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS</b>	xv
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xvii
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	
	1.1 Overview	1
	1.2 Background of Research	3
	1.3 Statement of the Research Problem	5
	1.4 Research Objectives	5
	1.5 Scope of Study	6

**LITERATURE REVIEW**

2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Electric Discharge Machining (EDM)	8
2.2.1 Principle EDM Spark Erosion	11
2.2.2 Machining Parameter	13
2.2.3 Electrode	15
2.2.3.1 Key Factors of Electrode	17
Material Selection	
2.2.3.2 Metal Removal Rate (MRR)	17
2.2.3.3 Tool Wear Resistance (TWR)	17
2.2.3.4 Surface Finish (SF)	19
2.2.3.5 Machinability	19
2.2.3.6 Copper Impregnated Graphite	20
Electrode	
2.2.4 Flushing	23
2.2.5 Dielectric Fluid	25
2.3 Machining Characteristics	26
2.3.1 Material Removal Rate	26
2.3.2 Electrode Wear Ratio, EWR	28
2.3.3 Surface Roughness, Ra	28
2.4 Stainless Steel 316L and Their Machinability	29
2.4.1 Introduction	29
2.4.2 Classification of Stainless Steel	30
2.4.3 EDM of Stainless Steel 316L	33
2.5 Design of Experiment (DOE)	36
2.5.1 Two-level Factorial Design	37
2.5.2 Response Surface Methodology (RSM)	38
2.5.3 Test of Statistical Significance	40

<b>3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	
	3.1 Introduction	42
	3.2 Experimental Design	43
	3.2.1 Response Parameters	45
	3.2.2 Machining Parameters	45
	3.2.3 Workpiece Material	47
	3.2.4 Electrode Material	50
	3.3 Machine and Equipment	52
	3.4 Response Measurement	56
	3.4.1 Metal Removal Rate (MRR) Measurement	56
	3.4.2 Electrode Wear Rate (EWR) Measurement	57
	3.4.3 Surface Roughness Measurement	57
	3.4.4 Measurement of Dimensional Accuracy	58
	3.4.5 Full Factorial Experiment Design	59
<b>4</b>	<b>EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DATA ANALYSIS</b>	
	4.1 Introduction	62
	4.2 Experimental Results	63
	4.3 Results Analysis	68
	4.3.1 Analysis Result for Material Removal Rate, MRR	68
	4.3.2 Analysis Result for Electrode Wear Rate, EWR	76
	4.3.3 Analysis Result for Surface Roughness, SR	83



	4.3.4 Analysis Result for Dimensional Accuracy	90
	4.3.5 Analysis with Central Composite Design	96
	4.4 Confirmation Run	117
	4.4.1 Single Objective of Confirmation Run	117
	4.4.2 Multiple Objective of Confirmation Run	119
<b>5</b>	<b>DISCUSSION</b>	
	5.1 Introduction	120
	5.2 Material Removal Rate, MRR	121
	5.3 Electrode Wear Ratio, EWR	123
	5.4 Surface Roughness, SR	124
	5.5 Dimensional Accuracy	125
<b>6</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	
	6.1 Introduction	127
	6.2 Conclusion	128
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	131
	<b>APPENDIX A</b>	136
	<b>APPENDIX B</b>	139
	<b>APPENDIX C</b>	147
	<b>APPENDIX D</b>	149
	<b>APPENDIX E</b>	158

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Physical Properties of Materials Electrode	20
2.2	Room-Temperature mechanical Properties and Typical Applications of Selected Annealed Stainless Steel (S. Kalapjian, 2000).	32
2.3	Stainless Steel 316L Chemical Composition (wt%) (S.Ghopalakannan <i>et. al.</i> , 2012)	34
2.4	Stainless Steel 316L Mechanical Properties	35
3.1	General Machining Parameters	46
3.2	Factor and Level for EDM Parameters of Stainless Steel 316L	46
3.3	Stainless Steel 316L Chemical Composition (wt%) (S.Ghopalakannan <i>et. al.</i> , 2012)	48
3.4	Stainless Steel 316L Mechanical Properties	48
3.5	Typical Physical Properties for 316L Grade Stainless Steels	49
3.6	Typical Value for Copper Impregnated Graphite (EDM-C3)	51
3.7	Two Level Full Factorial Experiment with Four Factors and Four Center Point	60
3.8	Two Level Full Factorial Experiment with Actual Value	61
4.1	Factor and Level for EDM of Stainless Steel 316L	63

4.2	Two Level Full Factorial Experiments with Four Factors	65
4.3	Experimental Plan for EDM of Stainless Steel 316L	66
4.4	Overall Full Factorial Experimental Results Corresponded to Each Run	67
4.5	ANOVA for Material Removal Rate (MRR) in EDM Process	69
4.6	ANOVA for Electrode Wear Rate (EWR) in EDM Process	77
4.7	ANOVA for Surface Roughness (SR) in EDM Process	84
4.8	ANOVA for Dimensional Accuracy in EDM Process	91
4.9	Experimental Plan for EDM of Stainless Steel 316L (CCD)	98
4.10	Response Result of Stainless Steel 316L (CCD)	99
4.11	ANOVA Table for MRR response surface quadratic model in EDM of Stainless Steel 316L	100
4.12	ANOVA Table after Transformation for MRR in EDM of Stainless Steel 316L	102
4.13	ANOVA Table after Transformation for EWR in EDM of Stainless Steel 316L	106
4.14	ANOVA Table for SR in EDM of Stainless Steel 316L (CCD)	110
4.15	ANOVA Table for Dimensional Accuracy in EDM of Stainless Steel 316L (CCD)	114
4.16	Analysis of Conformation Experiments for MRR in EDM Process	118

4.17	Analysis of Conformation Experiments for EWR in EDM Process	118
4.18	Analysis of Conformation Experiments for SR in EDM Process	118
4.19	Analysis of Conformation Experiments for Dimensional Accuracy in EDM Process	118
4.20	Analysis of Conformation Experiments for Multiple Objective Confirmation Run in EDM Process	119
5.1	Quality Characteristics of Machining Performance	121

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Classification of EDM Processes	9
2.2	Types of EDM Processes	9
2.3	EDM Basic Principle (S. Kalpakjian, 2000)	10
2.4	Working Principle of EDM (K. K. Singh, 2010)	11
2.5	Spark Gap (S. Kalpakjian, 2000)	12
2.6	The Phases of EDM (Konig and Klocke, 1997)	13
2.7	Flushing Techniques in EDM	24
2.8	2 <sup>3</sup> Design Matrix	37
3.1	Experimental Flowchart	44
3.2	Stainless Steel 316L Specimen	49
3.3	Sodick AM3L CNC EDM Machine	52
3.4	Mitutoyo Formtracer CS-5000 Surface Roughness Tester	53
3.5	Zeiss – Coordinate Measuring Machine (CMM)	54
3.6	Precisa Balance	55
4.1	Normal Probability Plots of Residuals for MRR in EDM Process	70
4.2	Residual vs. Predicted Response for MRR in EDM Process	71
4.3	Residual vs. Run Number Response for MRR in EDM Process	71
4.4	Interaction between Peak Current (A) and Servo Voltage (B)	73

4.5	Interaction between Peak Current (A) and Pulse On Time (C)	73
4.6	Interaction between Peak Current (A) and Pulse Off Time (D)	74
4.7	Interaction between Servo Voltage (B) and Pulse On Time (C)	74
4.8	Perturbation Plot for MRR in EDM Process	75
4.9	Normal Probability Plots of Residuals for EWR in EDM Process	78
4.10	Residual vs. Predicted Response for EWR in EDM Process	79
4.11	Residual vs. Run Number Process Response for EWR in EDM Process	79
4.12	Effect Interaction between Peak Current (A) and Servo Voltage (B)	80
4.13	Effect Interaction between Peak Current (A) and Pulse On Time (C)	81
4.14	Effect Interaction between Peak Current (A) and Pulse Off Time (D)	81
4.15	Effect Interaction between Pulse On Time (C) and Pulse Off Time (D)	82
4.16	Perturbation Plots for EWR in EDM Process	82
4.17	Normal Plot of Residual for Surface Roughness	86
4.18	Residuals vs. Predicted Plot for Surface Roughness	86
4.19	Residual vs. Run for Surface Roughness	87
4.20	Interaction between Peak Current (A) and Servo Voltage (B)	88
4.21	Interaction between Peak Current (A) and Pulse On Time (C)	88
4.22	Interaction between Servo Voltage (B) and Pulse Off Time (D)	89

4.23	Perturbation Plot for Surface Roughness in EDM Process	89
4.24	Normal Plot of Residuals for Dimensional Accuracy	92
4.25	Residuals vs. Predicted Plot for Dimensional Accuracy	93
4.26	Residuals vs. Run Plot for Dimensional Accuracy	93
4.27	Interaction between Peak Current (A) and Pulse On Time (C)	94
4.28	Interaction between Peak Current (A) and Pulse Off Time (D)	94
4.29	Interaction between Pulse On Time (C) and Pulse Off Time (D)	95
4.30	Perturbation Plot for Dimensional Accuracy in EDM Process	96
4.31	Normal Probability Plots of Residuals for MRR in EDM Process (CCD)	104
4.32	Residual vs. Predicted Response for MRR in EDM Process (CCD)	104
4.33	3D Response Surface for MRR in EDM Process (AC) Interaction	105
4.34	3D Response Surface for MRR in EDM Process (AB) Interaction	105
4.35	Normal Probability plots of Residuals for EWR in EDM Process (CCD)	107
4.36	Residual vs. Predicted Response for MRR in EDM Process (CCD)	108
4.37	3D Response Surface for EWR in EDM Process (AB) Interaction	108
4.38	3D Response Surface for EWR in EDM Process (AC) Interaction	109

4.39	Normal Probability Plots of Residuals for SR in EDM Process (CCD)	111
4.40	Residual vs. Predicted Response for SR in EDM Process (CCD)	112
4.41	3D Response Surface for SR in EDM Process (AB) Interaction	112
4.42	3D Response Surface for SR in EDM Process (AC) Interaction	113
4.43	Normal Probability Plots of Residuals for Dimensional Accuracy in EDM Process (CCD)	115
4.44	Residual vs. Predicted Response for Dimensional Accuracy in EDM Process (CCD)	116
4.45	3D Response Surface for Dimensional Accuracy in EDM Process (AC) Interaction	116



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

ANOVA	-	Analysis of Variance
CCD	-	Central Composite Design
CMM	-	Coordinate Measuring Machine
CP	-	Center Point
DH <sub>E</sub>	-	Diameter Hole Electrode
DH <sub>W</sub>	-	Diameter Hole Work piece
DOE	-	Design of Experiment
EDM	-	Electro Discharge Machining
EW	-	Electrode Wear
EW <sub>a</sub>	-	Electrode Weight before Machining
EW <sub>b</sub>	-	Electrode Weight after Machining
EWR	-	Electrode Wear Rate
FCCD	-	Face Centered Central Composite Design
FCD	-	Face Central Cube Design
kHz	-	Spark Frequency
MRR	-	Material/Metal Removal Rate
RSM	-	Response Surface Methodology
Ra	-	Surface Roughness
SF	-	Surface Finish
SR	-	Surface Roughness
T <sub>m</sub>	-	Machining Times
t <sub>on</sub>	-	Pulse On Time
t <sub>off</sub>	-	Pulse Off Time
TWR	-	Tool Wear Rate
V <sub>s</sub>	-	Servo Voltage
W <sub>a</sub>	-	Weight of Workpiece after Machining

Wb	-	Weight of Workpiece before Machining
Zeiss	-	Coordinate Measuring Machine
3D	-	3 Dimensional
+	-	High Value
-	-	Low Value

## LIST OF APPENDICES

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A1	Master Project 1 Gantt Chart	137
A2	Master Project 2 Gantt Chart	138
B	Literature Review Extract of EDM Process	140
C	Specimen Preparation for the Experiment	148
D1	Electrical Discharge Machine Sodick AM3L	150
D2	Electrical Discharge Time (ON)	151
D3	Electrical Discharge Stop Time (OFF)	152
D4	Electrical Discharge Peak Current (IP)	153
D5	Electrical Discharge Peak Current (IP)	154
D6	Servo Voltage (SV)	155
D7	Program for Hole Making on EDM Die Sinking (AM3L)	156
D8	Metrology Tester in KKTM for Data Collection	157
E1	Experimental Results for EDM of Stainless Steel 316L (Two Level Full Factorial)	159
E2	Experimental Results for EDM of Stainless Steel 316L (CCD)	160
E3	Unmodified ANOVA Table for MRR in EDM Process	161
E4	Box-Cox Plot for MRR in EDM Process	162
E5	Reading Example from Roughness Tester	163

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Overview

There are many machining processes that are available in tool making industry. These processes can be divided into conventional and non-conventional machining. For example, milling and turning machining process are considered as conventional machining. The electrical discharge machining is considered as non-conventional due to its different method of machining. The Electrical Discharge Machining (EDM) is one of the most useful processes for the production of complicated shapes and tiny apertures of high quality with greater accuracy. EDM is also one of the most widely employed non-traditional machining because it has been accepted as a standard process to manufacture mould and dies for aerospace, automotive, nuclear and marine components (Gopala *et al.*, 2012).

EDM is a non-conventional, thermo electric process in which the material from work pieces is eroded by series of discharge sparks between the work and tool electrode immersed in a liquid dielectric medium (Yang *et al.*, 2009). EDM technology is developed and is widely use in applications such as die and mild machining, micromachining, and prototyping. Very hard and brittle materials can be machined easily and also to the desired form. It removes electrically conductive materials by means of rapid, repetitive spark discharges from pulsating direct-current power supply with dielectric flow between work piece and the electrode. There are many parameters that may affect machining results. Researchers or engineers should have a deep knowledge about EDM process parameters prior to get high efficiency during machining process.

Among all EDM processes, die sinker EDM is widely used (Fonda *et al.*, 2008). Die sinking EDM is a machining process where positive feature shapes on the work piece are mapped from the negative features in the electrode. It is relatively low machining process which requires electrode specially made for machining of a given product. The advantage of EDM machine is its ability to produce small, even micro features. The EDM process is used mostly for making dies and moulds (Valenticic *et al.*, 2009).

In order to get a good quality parts with minimum cost there are several parameters of the EDM that have to be controlled. There are the polarity, pulse on duration, pulse off duration, jump speed, flushing jet rate, discharge voltage and discharge current are several parameters that need to be controlled. Advances in information and communication technology have force industrial activities to use computers in each phase of manufacturing process. This has put computerization at the forefront of competitive factors in manufacturing business. During EDM, the tool and the work piece are submerged in dielectric fluid and operated by a small gap.

The discharge energy produces very high temperature on the surface of the work piece on the point of spark. This causes local melting and vaporization of molten metal, which is washed away by circulating dielectric fluid.

## 1.2 Background of Research

EDM is a process for eroding and removing material from electrically conductive materials by use of consecutive electric sparks. This technique has been developed in the late 1940s where the process is based on removing material from a part by means of a series of repeated electrical discharges between electrode and the work piece in the presence of a dielectric fluid (Norliana Mohd Abbas *et al.*, 2006).

Each electrical discharge generates heat energy in a narrow area that locally melts, evaporates and even ionizes work piece material. EDM does not make direct contact between the electrode and the work piece where it can eliminate mechanical stress and vibration problems during machining (Jaihindus *et al.*, 2013). During EDM, the tool and the work piece are submerged in dielectric fluid and operated by a small gap. The discharge energy produces very high temperature on the surface of the work piece on the point of spark. This causes local melting and vaporization of molten metal, which is washed away by circulating dielectric fluid.

During EDM process, the surface of the work piece gets affected heavily because of variation in processing variables which depend on material to material and because of this, some adverse effects in terms of properties are observed. These properties can vary to different levels with the variation in the main processing parameters. If the process parameter for machining is optimized, the EDM can be easily used as a routine valuable tool.

Optimum selection of process parameters is very crucial as it is a costly process to increase the production rate considerably by reducing machining time. Hence, by optimizing the process parameters like peak current, pulse on time, pulse off time, voltage, type of electrode, shape of electrode and etc., a desired result in EDM machining is able to obtain. As the process parameters increases the numbers of experiments also increases and consequently increases in the cost of experiments. Overall, material removal rate, tool wear, surface finish and overcut or dimensional accuracy are the most important output parameters which usually influence the machining performance. In a single objective optimization, there is only one solution. However, for multiple objectives, there may not be any solution exist, which is the best with respect to all objectives. In EDM process, it is difficult to find a single optimal combination of process parameters for the performance parameters, as the process parameters influence them differently. Thus, there is a need for a multi-objective method to derive solutions to this problem (Mohd Halimuddin, 2010).

Stainless Steel 316L is the standard molybdenum-bearing grade, the second most important out of 304 austenitic stainless steels. The molybdenum gives 316 better overall corrosion resistant properties than Grade 304, particularly higher resistance to pitting and crevice corrosion in chloride environments. Grade 316L, the low carbon version of 316 is immuned from sensitization (grain boundary carbide precipitation). Thus, it is extensively used in heavy gauge welded components (over about 6mm). The austenitic structure gives these grades excellent toughness, even down to cryogenic temperatures. Compared to chromium-nickel austenitic stainless steels, 316L stainless steel offers higher creep, stress to rupture and tensile strength at elevated temperatures.

The published literature indicates that few studies have been reported for the optimization of process parameters in EDM. Therefore this study is aimed to investigate the best performance of various input process parameters in EDM die-sinking process of stainless steel 316L. Furthermore, no tables or charts are available for EDM of stainless steel 316L using copper graphite electrode. Therefore it is needed to develop a suitable technology guideline for appropriate machining of

stainless steel 316L. Electrodes with copper graphite, peak current, servo voltage, pulse off time and pulse on time are considered as input EDM machining parameters. The process performance such as material removal rate (MRR), surface roughness (SR), electrode wear rate (EWR) and dimensional accuracy were evaluated.

### **1.3 Statement of the Research Problem**

How does the performance of copper impregnated graphite EDM-C3 give better results in terms of, material removal rate, surface roughness, electrode wear rate and dimensional hole accuracy of stainless steel 316L.

### **1.4 Research Objectives**

The objectives of the study are:

- a. To determine the most significant factors of EDM that influences the response parameters for machining Stainless Steel 316L.
- b. To define the optimization of EDM process parameters for Stainless Steel 316L using copper impregnated graphite electrode.
- c. To develop mathematical model for material removal rate (MRR), surface roughness (Ra), tool wear rate (TWR) and dimensional accuracy during EDM machining of Stainless Steel 316L using DOE approach.



## 1.6 Scope of Study

The scopes of the research consist of:

- a. Machining responses to be investigated are material removal (MRR), electrode wear (EWR), dimensional hole accuracy and surface roughness (Ra).
- b. Electro Discharge Machining (Die sinking) AM3L SODICK is employed.
- c. Stainless Steel 316L is used as work piece material.
- d. Copper impregnated graphite EDM-C3 is used as EDM electrode.
- e. Kerosene is used as the dielectric fluid.
- f. EDM parameters used in the experiment are Pulse On Time (ton), Pulse Off Time (toff), Peak Current (Ip) and Servo Voltage (Vs).
- g. Design of experiment using Response Surface Model (RSM) is applied to determine the most significant factors affecting the main results.
- h. Mathematical models are developed based on Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and confirmation run also is conducted.

**REFERENCES**

- Ahsan Ali Khan, Mohammad Yeakub Ali and Md. Mohafizul Haque (2009). A Study of Electrode Shape Configuration on the Performance of Die Sinking EDM. *International Journal of Mechanical and Materials Engineering (IJMME)*. 4(1): 19 -2 3.
- Amorim, F. L. and Weingaertner, W. L. (2004). Die-Sinking Electrical Discharge Machining of a High-Strength Copper-Based Alloy for Injection Molds. *J. of the Braz. Soc. Of Mech. Sci. & Eng.* 26(2): 137-144.
- Amorim, F. L., Weingaertner, W. L. and Bassani, I. A. (2010). Aspect on the Optimization of Die-Sinking EDM of Tungsten Carbide-Cobalt. *J. of the Braz. Soc. Of Mech. Sci. & Eng.* 32(5): 496-502.
- Dhananjay Pradhan and Jayswal, S. C. (2011). Behavior of Copper and Aluminium Electrodes on EDM of En-8 Alloy Steel. *International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology (IJEST)*. 3(7): 5492-5499.
- El-Taweel, T. A. (2009). Multi-Response Optimization of EDM with Al–Cu–Si–TiC P/M Composite Electrode. *Int J Adv Manuf Technol.* 44: 100–113.
- Harpuneet Singh (2012). Investigating the Effect of Copper Chromium and Aluminum Electrodes on EN-31 Die Steel on Electric Discharge Machine Using Positive Polarity. *Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering.* July 4-6. London, U.K.: WCE.
- Jaharah, A. G., Liang, C. G., Ab Rahman, M. N. and Che Hassan, C. H. (2008). Performance of Copper Electrode in Electrical Discharge Machining (EDM) of AISI H13 Harden Steel. *International Journal of Mechanical and Materials Engineering (IJMME)*. 3(1): 25-29.

- Jai Hindus, S., Prasanna Rajendra Kumar, R., Oppiliyappan, B. and Kuppan, P. (2013). Experimental Investigations on Electrical Discharge Machining of SS 316L. *International Journal on Mechanical Engineering and Robotics*. 1(2): 2321-5747.
- Kadirgama, K., Noor, M. M., Rahman, M. M., Rejab, M. R. M., Haron, C. H. C. and Abou-El-Hossein, K. A. (2009). Surface Roughness Prediction model of 6061-T6 Aluminium Alloy machining Using Statistical Method. *European Journal of Scientific Research*. 25(2): 250-256.
- Kathirvel, M., Purushothaman, S. and Subhash Chandra Bose, R. (2011). Application of ANOVA in Validating Hybrid MMC Machinability Data. *ARPJ Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*. 6(10): 8-18.
- Khan A. A. (2008). Electrode Wear and Material Removal Rate During EDM of Aluminium and Mild Steel Using Copper and Brass Electrodes. *Int. J. Adv. Manuf Technol*. 39: 482- 487.
- Lauwers, B., Kruth, J. P., Liu, W., Eeraerts, W., Schacht, B. and Bleys, P. (2004). Investigation of Material Removal Mechanisms in EDM of Composite Ceramic Materials. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*. 149(2004): 347-352.
- Mahendran, S., Devarajan, R., Nagarajan, T. and Majdi, A. (2010). A Review of Micro-EDM. Proceedings of the International MultiConference of Engineers and Computer Scientists. March 17-19. Hong Kong: IMECS.
- Manish Vishwakarma, Vishal Parashar, and Khare, V. K. (2012). Advancement in Electric Discharge Machining on Metal Matrix Composite Materials in Recent: A Review. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*. 2(3): 1- 8.

- Mas Ayu (2006). *Performance Evaluation of Wire Electrode Discharge Machining (WEDM) On Tungsten Carbide*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia: Tesis Sarjana.
- Mohd Halimudin (2010). *Performance Evaluation of Electrical Discharge Machining on Titanium Alloy Using Copper Impregnated Graphite Electrode*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia: Tesis Sarjana.
- Mohd Nizam (2010). *Performance Evaluation of Wire Electrode Discharge Machining (WEDM) on Inconel 718*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia: Tesis Sarjana.
- Montgomery, D. C. (2001). *Design and Analysis of Experiments*. 8th ed. Singapore: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. 501-507.
- Mohan Kumar Pradhan and Biswas, C. K. (2008). Modelling of machining parameters for MRR in EDM using response surface methodology. *National Conference on Mechanism Science and Technology: From Theory to Application*. (2008)
- Murali Meenakshi Sundaram, Yeo, Swee Hock and Kamlakar P. Rajurkar (2010). A Study of Tool Electrode Materials and Flushing Techniques in Micro EDM.
- Nikhil Kumar, Lalit Kumar, Harichand Tewatia and Rakesh Yadav (2012). Comparative Study for MRR on Die-Sinking EDM Using Electrode of Copper & Graphite. *International Journal of Advanced Technology & Engineering Research*. 2(2): 2250-3536.
- Norliana Mohd Abbas, Solomon, D. G. and Md. Fuad Bahari (2006). A Review on Current Research Trends in Electrical Discharge Machining (EDM). *International Journal of Machine Tools & Manufacture*. 47(2007): 1214–1228.

- Pradhan, M. K. and Biswas, C. K. (2009). Modelling and Analysis of Process Parameters on Surface Roughness in EDM of AISI D2 Tool Steel by RSM Approach. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*. 3(9): 770-775.
- Puertas, I., and Perez, C.J.L. (2003). Modelling the Manufacturing Parameters in Electrical Discharge Machining of Siliconized Silicon Carbide. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers*. Proquest Science Journals. 217(6): 791-803.
- Puertas, I., Luis, C. J. and Alvarez, L. (2004). Analysis of the Influence of EDM Parameters on Surface Quality, MRR and EW of WC-Co. *Journal of Material Processing Technology*.
- Reazul Haq (2009). *Performance Evaluation of Different Types of Graphite Electrodes on Titanium (Ti-6Al-4V)*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia: Tesis Sarjana.
- Rival (2005). *Electrical Discharge Machining of Titanium Alloy Using Copper Tungsten Electrode with Sic Powder Suspension Dielectric Flu*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia: Tesis Sarjana.
- Sanchez, J. A., Cabanes, I., Lopez De Lacalle, L. N. and Lamikiz, A. (2001). Development of Optimum Electro discharge Machining Technology for Advanced Ceramics. *Int. J. Adv. Manuf Technol*. 18: 897-905.
- Sauraz Datta and Siba Sankar Mahapatra (2010). Modelling, Simulation and Parametric Optimization of Wire EDM Process Using Response Surface Methodology Coupled with Grey-Taguchi Technique. *International Journal of Engineering, Science and Technology*. 2(5): 162-183.
- Serope Kalpakjian and Schmid, S. R. (2000). *Manufacturing Engineering and Technology*. 4th ed. Upper Saddle, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall. 754-757.

- Subramaniam Gopalakannan and Thiagarajan Senthilvelan (2012). Effect of Electrode Materials on Electric Discharge Machining of 316 L and 17-4 PH Stainless Steels. *Journal of Minerals and Materials Characterization and Engineering*. 11: 685-690.
- S Gopalakannan T Senthilvelan and S Ranganathan (2012). Modeling and Optimization of EDM Process Parameters on Machining Al 7075-B4C MMC Using RSM. *Internationa Conference on Modeling, Optimization and Computing*. 38(2012) : 685-690.
- Syed Khalid Hussain and Kuppan Palaniyandi (2012). Performance of Electrical Discharge Machining Using Aluminium Powder Suspended Distilled Water. *Turkish J. Eng. Env. Sci.* 36(2012): 195-207.
- Wang, C. C. and Yan, B. H. (2000). Blind hole drilling of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/6061 Al composite Using Rotary Electro Discharge Machining. *J. of Material Processing Technology*. 102: 90-102.
- Wong, Y. S., Lim, L. C. and Lee, L. C. (1995). Effect of Flushing on Electro Discharge Machined Surface. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*. 48: 299-305.