EFFECT OF MACHINING PARAMETERS AND BISMUTH ADDITION ON AL-20%MG₂SI MACHINABILITY DURING DRY TURNING

ABBAS RAZAVYKIA

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award from the degree of Master of Engineering (Mechanical-Advanced Manufacturing Technology)

> Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > JUNE 2014

To my beloved family and specially my dear father, mother, brother and sisters

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to thank Allah Almighty for his guidance, help and giving me the strength to complete this report. A special thank to my great supervisor Prof. Dr. Noordin Mohd Yusof for his advice, encouragement and continuous assistance whenever required.

I would like also to express my sincere thanks to all technical staffs at the Production and Material Science Laboratories.

I am also forever indebted to my parents Eslamali Razavykia and Kobra Marjani who gave me real love, pray, moral support, and all their doa.

ABSTRACT

The metal matrix composite has become an important material in aerospace and automotive industry due to their mechanical properties such as light weight, wear resistance and high strength. although metal matrix composite, are produced in net shape, there is some need for secondary operation such as machining. The main problem in MMC machining is high tool wear which result in extra cost. This observation has resulted in an investigation to evaluate the effect of machining parameters and Bismuth as modifier on machinability of A1-20%Mg₂Si. The classical experimental design was also applied to develop empirical model, (regression model), to represent the relationship between machining parameters and cutting forces, surface roughness and the Bi modifier.

ABSTRAK

Komposit logam matrik merupakan bahan yang penting terutamanya dalam industri aero-angkasa dan automotif. Ini kerana bahan tersebut mempunyai sifat-sifat mekanikal seperti ringan, rintangan terhadap kehausan dan mempunyai kekuatan yang tinggi. Walaupun komposit logam matrik dihasilkan dalam bentuk yang tepat dan jelas, ianya masih memerlukan operasi sekunder seperti pemesinan. Namun, masalah utama dengan komposit logam matrik ini adalah kehausan pada mata alat yang tinggi dan secara tidak langsungnya, kos yang tinggi juga diperlukan. Pemerhatian ini telah menderong satu pengiastan untuk menilai kesan parameter pemesinan dan penambahan Bismuth sebagai pengubahsuai lupada kebolehmesihan Al-20%Mg₂Si. Rekabentuk ujikaji klasik juga digunakan untuk menghasilkan model emprikal (model regresi) untuk menjelaskan hubungan antara parameter pemesinan dangan kekasaran permukaan dan juga pengubahsuai Bi.

TABLE OF CONTENT

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	V
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objective	2
	1.4 Scope	3
	1.5 Thesis Organization	3
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	5
	2.1 The Aluminium Industry Today	5
	2.1.1Recycled or Secondary Aluminium	6
	2.1.2Important Physical Properties	7
	2.1.3Crystal Structure	7
	2.1.4Density	8
	2.2 Metal Matrix Composites	9
	2.3 Magnesium	11
	2.4 Bismuth and Its Properties	13

2.5 Silicon	13
2.6 Al-Mg2Si	
2.6.1The Effect of Adding Bismuth on	
Al-20%Mg2Si	15
2.7 Machining	17
2.7.1 Mechanics of Cutting	20
2.7.2 Types of Chips Produced in Metal Cutting	23
2.7.2.1 Continuous Chips	23
2.7.2.2 Built-up Edge Chips	23
2.7.2.3 Serrated Chips	24
2.7.2.4 Discontinuous Chips	24
2.8 The Forces During Turning	25
2.8.1 Cutting Force	26
2.8.2 Thrust Force	26
2.9 Temperatures in Cutting	27
2.10 Surface Finish and Integrity	28
2.11 Machinability	28
2.11.1 Machinability of Nonferrous Metals	29
2.11.2 Machinability of Miscellaneous Materials	30
2.12 Cutting Tool	31
2.12.1 High-Speed Steels	33
2.12.2 Cast-Cobalt Alloys	33
2.12.3 Carbides	34
2.12.3.1 Tungsten Carbide	34
2.12.3.2 Titanium Carbide	35
2.12.3.3 Inserts	35
2.12.4 Coated Tools	36
2.12.5 Alumina-Based Ceramics	37
2.12.6 Cubic Boron Nitride	38
2.12.7 Silicon Nitride Based Ceramics	39
2.12.8 Diamond	39
2.12.9 Whisker Reinforced Materials and Nano-	
materials	40

2.14 Surface Integrity	41
2.15 Surface Roughness	42
2.15.1 Arithmetic Parameters	42
2.16 Previous Research	44
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	49
3.1 Introduction	49
3.2 Research Design Variables	50
3.2.1 Response Parameters	50
3.3 Cutting Condition	50
3.4 Work-piece Material	51
3.5 Preliminary Machining	51
3.6 Tool Material	51
3.7Cutting Forces Measurements	52
3.8 Surface Roughness Measurement	52
3.9 Machines and Equipment	53
3.10 Experimental Set Up	54
EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	56
4.1 Introduction	56
4.2 Effect of Cutting Condition on Cutting Force	57
4.2.1 Effect of Feed Rate on Cutting Force	58
4.2.2 Effect of Cutting Speed on Cutting Force	60
4.2.3 Effect of Modifier on Cutting Force	60
4.3 Effect of Cutting Condition on Surface Roughness	64
4.3.1 Effect of Cutting Speed on Surface Roughness	65
4.3.2 Effect of Feed Rate on Surface Roughness	67
4.3.3 Effect of Modifier on Surface Roughness	68
4.4 Classical DOE Method Data Analysis	70
4.4.1 Experiment Design	71
4.4.2 Data Analysis for Cutting Force	72
4.4.2.1 Box-Cox Plot	76
4.4.2.2 Contour Plot	77

3

4

4.4.2.3 3D Surface	78
4.4.3 Data Analysis for Surface Roughness	80
4.4.3.1 Box-Cox Plot	83
4.4.3.2 Contour Plot	84
4.4.3.3 3D Surface	85
4.5 Confirmation Test	86

5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION FOR		
	FURTHER STUDIES	87	
	5.1 Conclusion	87	
	5.2 Recommendation	88	

REFERENCES

Х

90-92

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Factors influencing machining operation	21
3.1	Cutting conditions	51
4.1	Experimental results of cutting force (Al-20%Mg ₂ Si)	57
4.2	Experimental results of cutting force (Al-20%Mg ₂ Si-	
	0.6wt.%Bi)	58
4.3	Experimental results for surface roughness (Al-20%Mg ₂ Si)	64
4.4	Experimental results for surface roughness (Al-20%Mg2Si-	
	0.6wt.%Bi)	65
4.5	Average of surface roughness for non-modified work-piece	
	V= 210 m/min, f= 0.2 mm/rev and D=0.5 mm	67
4.6	Average of surface roughness for modified work-piece V=	
	210 m/min, f= 0.2 mm/rev and D=0.5 mm	68
4.7	Design summary	73
4.8	Analysis of variance table [Partial sum of squares - Type III	74
4.9	Post ANOVA table	75
4.10	Analysis of variance table [Partial sum of squares - Type	
	III]	81
4.11	Post ANOVA table	82
4.12	Confirmation experiments	86

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Atomic structure of aluminium	8
2.2	Volume per unit weight	9
2.3	Classification of composite materials with metal matrixes	10
2.4	Schematic presentation of three shapes of metal matrix	
	composite materials	11
2.5	Cooling curves of Al-Mg2Si respective with the	
	morphology changes at different concentrations of Bi	
	refiner; 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8 and 1.0 wt.%.	16
2.6	(a) Depression in TN and TG corresponding to different	
	Bi addition and (b) characteristic particles of primary	
	Mg2Si as a function of Bi content	17
2.7	Some examples of common machining operations	18
2.8	Schematic illustration of the turning operation, showing	
	various features	19
2.9	Schematic illustration of a two-dimensional cutting	
	process, also called orthogonal cutting	20
2.10	Force components in three dimensional oblique cutting	27
2.11	The hardness of various cutting-tool materials depend on	
	the temperature	32
2.12	Methods of mounting inserts on tool holders	36
2.13	Relative time required to machine with various cutting-	
	tool materials, indicating the year the tool materials were	
	first introduced.	37
2.14	Mean line system and definition of Ra	43
2.15	Definition of the Rt, Rp and Rv amplitude parameters	44

3.1	Summary of the methodology used in the study	50
3.2	Mitutoyo surface tester SJ-301	53
3.3	ALPHA 1350S 2-axis CNC Lathe	53
3.4	Scanning Electron Microscopy	54
4.1	Cutting force and feed rate relationship when turning non-	
	modified (Al-20%Mg ₂ Si)	59
4.2	Cutting force and feed rate relationship when turning	
	modified specimen (Al-20%Mg ₂ Si-0.6wt.%Bi)	59
4.3	SEM picture of microstructure of non-modified work-	
	piece with 500X magnification	61
4.4	SEM picture of microstructure of modified work-piece	
	with 500X magnification	61
4.5	SEM picture of machining surface of work-piece (left	
	non-modified, right modified) with 200X magnification	62
4.6	The relationship of cutting force and modifier when	
	turning work-piece at cutting speed 70 m/min	62
4.7	The relationship of cutting force and modifier when	
	turning work-piece at cutting speed 140 m/min	63
4.8	The relationship of cutting force and modifier when	
	turning work-piece at cutting speed 210 m/min	63
4.9	Surface roughness and feed rate relationship when turning	
	Al-20%Mg ₂ Si	66
4.10	Surface roughness and feed rate relationship when turning	
	Al-20%Mg ₂ Si-0.6wt.%Bi	66
4.11	Surface roughness graph and machining surface for non-	
	modified work-piece (with 50X magnification), V= 210	
	m/min, f= 0.2 mm/rev and D=0.5 mm	67
4.12	Surface roughness graph and machining surface for	
	modified work-piece (with 50X magnification), V= 210	
	m/min, f= 0.2 mm/rev and D=0.5 mm	68
4.13	Surface roughness and feed rate relationship when turning	
	with cutting speed 70 m/min	69
4.14	Surface roughness and feed rate relationship when turning	

	with cutting speed 140 m/min	69
4.15	Surface roughness and feed rate relationship when turning	
	with cutting speed 210 m/min	70
4.16	BUE formation in both specimens, left side non-modified	
	and right side modified work-piece at V= 210 m/min, f=	
	0.2 and D=0.5	70
4.17	Multilevel categoric design	71
4.18	Design layout screen	72
4.19	Half-normal plot of effects for cutting force	73
4.20	Normal plot of residuals	76
4.21	Box-Cox plot	77
4.22	Contour plot for non-modified	78
4.23	Contour plot for modified	78
4.24	3D Surface plot for non-modified	79
4.25	3D Surface plot for modified	79
4.26	Half-Normal plot of effects for cutting force	80
4.27	Normal plot of residuals	83
4.28	Box-Cox plot	83
4.29	Contour plot for non-modified	84
4.30	Contour plot for modified	84
4.31	3D Surface plot for non-modified	85
4.32	3D Surface plot for modified	85

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

MMCs are compounded of a moderately soft and ductile metal base material, or "matrix" reinforced with a hard and brittle reinforcement material. The reinforcement are divided to three groups, particles, whiskers, or fibers. The first advantages provided by these materials are their enhanced mechanical properties, especially in the aspects of wear, strength and stiffness. These matrix materials contributes to the properties of high specific stiffness and strength. In the form of particle is most typically shape for reinforcement. Fiber reinforcement is not so common and is barred to situations where performance is the important factor rather than cost. The amount of reinforcement in MMCs is often less than 40%.

The perfect properties are experienced with Mg2Si, and as result have high potential as structural material. It attracts engineers attention in the automotive industry to produce items such as cylinder blocks and cylinder heads. The low density of Mg2Si brings about weight reduction and reduce cost as result of Al, Mg and Si as starting materials, provide excellent advantages. Attributed to the intermetallic compound Mg2Si offers some advantages such as high melting temperature, low density, high hardness, low thermal expansion coefficient and high elastic modulus.

The cast composites which are produced by conventional casting tends to form undesirable coarse primary Mg2Si particulates, which have considerable effect on the mechanical properties because of the large and brittle nature of Mg2Si particles and enhancement in the mechanical properties may be obtained by fast solidification during casting and mechanical alloying which result in the production of composite with very fine matrix structure and in situ Mg2Si particles(Nordin, Farahany et al. 2013).

Although the MMCs are produced to net shape by using appropriate techniques, there is still the need for some operation such as secondary machining. The high tool wear due to the abrasive action of reinforcement particles is the most important consideration during MMCs machining(El-Gallab and Sklad 1998).

1.2 problem Statement

There are many factors including cutting speed and feed rate which influenced the machining of AL-Mg₂Si. However the following reasons provide the motivation for performing this work.

• Unavailability of information of machining and machinability of Al-Mg₂Si.

• To make available empirical models to predict the final surface roughness and cutting force when turning Al-Mg₂Si (Non-modified) and Bismuth modified Al-Mg₂Si in specific cutting speed and feed rate.

1.3 Objective

The objective of this study are as follow:

- To evaluate the effect of machining parameters (Cutting speed and Feed rate) on surface roughness and cutting forces when turning non-modified and modified Al-20%Mg₂Si.
- To develop empirical model, to represent the relationship between machining parameters and surface roughness and cutting forces.

1.4 Scope

The scope of this work are as follow:

- Work-piece materials which will be used in this investigation are, Al-20% Mg₂Si (non-modified) and Al-20% Mg₂SiBi modified (0.6wt.%Bi).
- The experiments will be conducted on an ALPHA 1350S 2-Axis CNC Lathe turning machine and PVD coated ceramic cutting tool will be used.
- The surface roughness and cutting force (Fc) during the dry turning are the machinability parameters that will be evaluated in terms of determine machinability.
- Statistical Design of Experiment will be implemented in this study.
- The surface morphology and integrity will be analyzed by using Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM).

1.5 Thesis Organization

Chapter one, covers an introduction and overview of metal matrix composites and their properties. This chapter also discusses background of the study, statement of problem, determining the objective and scope of the study. Chapter two includes the review of literature consisting of basic definitions regarding composites, machining and related concepts. And finally all information about machinability, cutting tools, cutting forces and related equipment.

chapter three puts forward research framework and methodology, which provides guidelines on how this research will be conducted in order to reach its objective.

In chapter four, the appropriate model and experiment variables based on objective and study condition are selected. And all data are compared to evaluate the concerned material and its machinability.

In chapter five, the result of the study is provided and findings are discussed. In order to investigate the effect of machining parameters on Al-20%Mg₂Si machinability and develop empirical model to represent the relationship between cutting speed, feed rate, cutting force and surface roughness.

REFERENCES

- Akdemir, A., Ş. Yazman, et al. (2012). "The Effects of Cutting Speed and Depth of Cut on Machinability Characteristics of Austempered Ductile Iron." Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering 134(2): 021013-021013.
- Anderson, M. J., Whitcomb, P.J. (2007). DOE Simplified: Practi-cal Tools for Effective Experimentation, Pro-ductivity Press.
- Andrewes, C. J. E., H.-Y. Feng, et al. (2000). "Machining of an aluminum/SiC composite using diamond inserts." Journal of Materials Processing Technology 102(1–3): 25-29.
- Arumugam, P. U., A. P. Malshe, et al. (2006). "Dry machining of aluminum–silicon alloy using polished CVD diamond-coated cutting tools inserts." Surface and Coatings Technology 200(11): 3399-3403.
- Benga, G. C. and A. M. Abrao (2003). "Turning of hardened 100Cr6 bearing steel with ceramic and PCBN cutting tools." Journal of Materials Processing Technology 143–144(0): 237-241.
- Chou, Y. K. and J. Liu (2005). "CVD diamond tool performance in metal matrix composite machining." Surface and Coatings Technology 200(5–6): 1872-1878.
- Conceição António, C. A. and J. Paulo Davim (2002). "Optimal cutting conditions in turning of particulate metal matrix composites based on experiment and a genetic search model." Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing 33(2): 213-219.
- Davim, J. P. (2010). Surface Integrity in Machining, Springer.
- El-Gallab, M. and M. Sklad (1998). "Machining of Al/SiC particulate metal-matrix composites: Part I: Tool performance." Journal of Materials Processing Technology 83(1–3): 151-158.

- El-Gallab, M. and M. Sklad (1998). "Machining of Al/SiC particulate metal matrix composites: Part II: Workpiece surface integrity." Journal of Materials Processing Technology 83(1–3): 277-285.
- Grum, J. and M. Kisin (2006). "The influence of the microstructure of three Al–Si alloys on the cutting-force amplitude during fine turning." International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture 46(7–8): 769-781.
- Gururaja, S., M. Ramulu, et al. (2013). "MACHINING OF MMCs: A REVIEW." Machining Science and Technology 17(1): 41-73.
- Hihara, L. H. (1997). Corrosion of Aluminium-Matrix Composites. Corrosion Reviews. 15: 361.
- Jang, D. Y., T. R. Watkins, et al. (1996). "Surface residual stresses in machined austenitic stainless steel." Wear 194(1-2): 168-173.
- Jiang, W., A. S. More, et al. (2006). "A cBN-TiN composite coating for carbide inserts: Coating characterization and its applications for finish hard turning." Surface and Coatings Technology 201(6): 2443-2449.
- Kainer, K. U. (2006). Basics of Metal Matrix Composites. Metal Matrix Composites, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA: 1-54.
- Kalpakjian, S. (2009). MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, Pearson.
- Kılıçkap, E., O. Çakır, et al. (2005). "Study of tool wear and surface roughness in machining of homogenised SiC-p reinforced aluminium metal matrix composite." Journal of Materials Processing Technology 164–165(0): 862-867.
- Kumar, K. G. B., P. G. Mukunda, et al. (2007). "Influence of melt treatments and polished CVD diamond-coated insert on cutting force and surface integrity in turning of Al-12Si and Al-12Si-3Cu cast alloys." International Journal of Manufacturing Research 2(2): 117-137.
- Leskovar, P., D. Ferlan, et al. (1987). "Residual Stresses as Essential Criteria for the Evaluation of Production Processes." CIRP Annals Manufacturing Technology 36(1): 409-412.
- Looney, L. A., J. M. Monaghan, et al. (1992). "The turning of an Al/SiC metalmatrix composite." Journal of Materials Processing Technology 33(4): 453-468.

- Manna, A. and B. Bhattacharyya (2002). "A study on different tooling systems during machining of Al/SiC-MMC." Journal of Materials Processing Technology 123(3): 476-482.
- Mordike, B. L. and T. Ebert (2001). "Magnesium: Properties applications potential." Materials Science and Engineering: A 302(1): 37-45.
- Muthukrishnan, N. and J. P. Davim (2009). "Optimization of machining parameters of Al/SiC-MMC with ANOVA and ANN analysis." Journal of Materials Processing Technology 209(1): 225-232.
- Nordin, N. A., S. Farahany, et al. (2013). "Refinement of Mg2Si reinforcement in a commercial Al–20%Mg2Si in-situ composite with bismuth, antimony and strontium." Materials Characterization 86(0): 97-107.
- Ozben, T., E. Kilickap, et al. (2008). "Investigation of mechanical and machinability properties of SiC particle reinforced Al-MMC." Journal of Materials Processing Technology 198(1–3): 220-225.
- Ron Cobden, A., Banbury (1994). Aluminium: Physical, Characteristics and Alloys: p. 60.
- Seeman, M., G. Ganesan, et al. (2010). "Study on tool wear and surface roughness in machining of particulate aluminum metal matrix composite-response surface methodology approach." The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology 48(5-8): 613-624.