

SIMULATION ANALYSIS OF AN IMPROVED DESIGN FOR TEMPORARY  
CRASH BARRIER USING PLASTIC RECYCLE MATERIAL

SYAHRUL NIZAM BIN KAMAR ZAMAN

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Mechanical – Advanced Manufacturing Technology)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2014

To my beloved mother **Sarah Binti Abdul Manaf**  
To my beloved wife **Mizan Musfirah Binti Mustapha**  
To my beloved princess **Nur Syauqina Mawaddah**  
To my beloved princess **Nur Syauqina Mardhiyya**

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I thank Allah S.W.T for enabling me to complete this study.

My sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Aini Zuhra Binti Abdul Kadir, for her guidance and supervision throughout the tenure of this study. It would not be possible without her invaluable support, advice, on-going motivation and encouragement. I am also indebted to Majlis Amanah rakyat (MARA) for providing funding assistant during my study.

I am also thankful to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia for allowing me to use their facilities in conducting the research. I gratefully acknowledge the technical assistance provided by En. Mahzan bin Johar to assist and exposed me on getting started and explore with the Abaqus software. I am also thankful to Universiti Malaysia Perlis for the support and assistance in design the temporary barrier and to conduct the analysis provided by En. Jamali Md Sah and Pn. Mashitah Binti Abu Bakar. In addition, my appreciation goes to En Johan Iskandar from Universiti Kuala Lumpur for the intense coaching and assistance in simulation the Abaqus analysis. Without them, conducting the simulation test would not be possible.

Special thanks to all my Master colleagues that have morally helping me conducting this research. As also thank you to the management of Kolej Kemahiran Tinggi MARA (KKTm) Balik Pulau for allowing me to use their facilities in conducting the research.

Last but not least, a special dedication to my beloved mother; Sarah Binti Abdul Manaf, my beloved wife; Mizan Musfirah Binti Mustapha and both my princesses; Nur Syauqina Mawaddah and Nur Syauqina Mardhiyaa, that has been the source of my strength and inspiration, as well as siblings and close friends, for their unconditional support and love.

## ABSTRACT

Plastic temporary barrier acts as a road safety device for protecting or minimizing the risk of workers exposed to traffic flows as well as minimizing the risk of injury to vehicle occupants in the event of collision. Plastic temporary barrier is one of road safety device application which capable of absorbing the impact energy during collision with errant vehicles, thus minimize the severity of injury upon impact. Currently, all plastic temporary barriers were developed using Virgin High Density Polyethylene (V-HDPE) material. Currently, most of production defect and destruction temporary barrier will be scrapping which mean contributes into plastic waste. Therefore, releasing on this situation, by implementing plastic recycle material as alternative to control the plastic waste. Comprehending on this situation; the study was conducted to identify the potential of using Recycled High Density Polyethylene (R-HDPE) for road safety barrier application through make an improvement design based on selected temporary barrier which have better energy absorption and complies with road safety regulation.

In order to analyze the energy absorption capability of the recycled plastic, a finite element method using Abaqus/Explicit was used to simulate a car impacting a series of assembled temporary barrier at 90° and 20 °degrees angle following the Test Level 0 (TL-0) of standard impact test regulation. Three types of conceptual designs of the temporary barrier were proposed to improve the current barrier design. The capabilities of all the barrier designs in terms of their internal energy, kinetic energy, total energy, stress and displacement were analyzed. The tests were conducted using both plastic material properties of primary recycle R-HDPE and V-HDPE from. The

output results of both materials were compared to distinguish whether R-HDPE can provide acceptable absorption capability as compared to V-HDPE. Overall results showed a similar pattern of R-HDPE and V-HDPE materials in terms of energy absorption regardless of any types of barrier design. However, Conceptual Design 2 (CD2) based on R-HDPE material that includes external ribs along the outer structure of the barrier provides better energy absorption compared to the other two of barrier designs. These show that the improvement design provide an influence to the ability of R-HDPE as temporary barrier.

In conclusion, analysis of the simulated results on the primary recycled R-HDPE temporary barrier showed a good potential of how this material can be an alternative as a road safety device material. With the use of such recycled materials, the amount of plastic waste can be controlled as well as supporting sustainable manufacturing environment.

## ABSTRAK

Halangan sementara plastik bertindak sebagai alat keselamatan jalan raya untuk melindungi atau mengurangkan risiko pekerja terdedah kepada aliran trafik serta mengurangkan risiko kecederaan kepada penghuni kenderaan sekiranya berlaku pelanggaran. Halangan sementara plastik adalah salah satu peranti keselamatan jalan raya permohonan yang boleh menyerap tenaga kesan semasa pelanggaran dengan kenderaan ingkar, sekali gus mengurangkan keterukan kecederaan apabila kesan. Pada masa ini, semua halangan sementara plastik dibangunkan menggunakan bahan baru 'High Density Polyethylene (V-HDPE)' yang ketara. Pada masa ini, kebanyakan kecacatan pengeluaran dan kemusnahan halangan sementara akan pelupusan yang bererti menyumbang ke dalam sisa plastik. Oleh itu, melepaskan kepada keadaan ini, dengan melaksanakan bahan kitar semula plastik sebagai alternatif untuk mengawal sisa plastik. Memahami keadaan ini; kajian ini dijalankan untuk mengenal pasti potensi menggunakan dikitar semula 'High Density Polyethylene (R-HDPE)' untuk keselamatan jalan raya melalui permohonan halangan membuat reka bentuk penambahbaikan berdasarkan halangan sementara dipilih yang mempunyai penyerapan tenaga yang lebih baik dan mematuhi peraturan keselamatan jalan raya.

Untuk menganalisis keupayaan penyerapan tenaga plastik dikitar semula, kaedah unsur terhingga menggunakan Abaqus/Explicit telah digunakan untuk mensimulasikan sebuah kereta yang memberi kesan satu siri halangan sementara dipasang pada 90 ° dan 20 ° darjah sudut berikut Ujian Level 0 (TL-0) standard peraturan ujian kesan. Tiga jenis reka bentuk konsep halangan sementara telah

dicadangkan untuk meningkatkan reka bentuk halangan semasa. Keupayaan semua reka bentuk halangan dari segi tenaga mereka dalaman, tenaga kinetik, jumlah tenaga, tekanan dan anjakan telah dianalisis. Ujian telah dijalankan menggunakan kedua-dua sifat bahan plastik kitar semula utama R-HDPE dan V-HDPE dari. Keputusan pengeluaran kedua-dua bahan dibandingkan untuk membezakan sama ada 'R-HDPE' boleh menyediakan keupayaan penyerapan boleh diterima berbanding dengan 'V-HDPE'. Keputusan keseluruhan menunjukkan corak yang sama iaitu 'R-HDPE' dan 'V-HDPE' bahan dari segi penyerapan tenaga tanpa mengira apa-apa jenis reka bentuk halangan. Walau bagaimanapun, Rekabentuk Konseptual 2 (CD2) berdasarkan bahan R-HDPE yang termasuk tulang rusuk luar bersama-sama struktur luar halangan menyediakan penyerapan tenaga yang lebih baik berbanding dua yang lain reka bentuk halangan. Ini menunjukkan bahawa penambahbaikan reka bentuk memberi pengaruh kepada keupayaan R-HDPE sebagai penghalang sementara.

Kesimpulannya, analisis keputusan simulasi di kitar semula 'R-HDPE' halangan sementara yang utama menunjukkan potensi yang baik bagaimana bahan ini boleh menjadi alternatif sebagai bahan peranti keselamatan jalan raya. Dengan menggunakan bahan-bahan kitar semula itu, jumlah sisa plastik boleh dikawal dan juga menyokong persekitaran pembuatan mampan.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	STATUS OF THESIS	
	SUPERVISOR DECLARATION	
	TITLE PAGE	i
	DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	viii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	x
	LIST OF TABLES	xv
	LIST OF FIGURES	xviii
	LIST ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS	xxiv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxv
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	
	1.1 Background of the Study	1
	1.2 Research Aims	3
	1.3 Objective of the Study	3
	1.4 Scope of the Study	4
	1.5 Outline of the Thesis	4

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	STATUS OF THESIS	
	SUPERVISOR DECLARATION	
	TITLE PAGE	i
	DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	viii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	x
	LIST OF TABLES	xv
	LIST OF FIGURES	xviii
	LIST ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS	xxiv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxv
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	
	1.1 Background of the Study	1
	1.2 Research Aims	3
	1.3 Objective of the Study	3
	1.4 Scope of the Study	4
	1.5 Outline of the Thesis	4

<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1	Introduction	5
2.2	Design Guidelines of Road Safety Barrier	7
	2.2.1 Types of Crash Barrier	7
	2.2.2 Design Requirement of Crash Barrier	13
2.3	Temporary Safety Barrier	16
2.4	Material selection for Road Safety Barrier	38
2.5	Recycling of Plastic Material	39
	2.5.1 Processing of Recycled Plastic	47
2.6	Recycled Plastic Material Usage for Road Safety Barrier Application	50
2.7	Computer Simulation of Road Safety Barriers	52
2.8	Overview of Abaqus Software	54
2.9	Summary of Previous Research	55
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	
3.1	Overview of Research Execution	64
3.2	Plastic Recycle Material	67
3.3	Temporary Barrier Parameters	68
3.4	Computer Simulation Analysis	76
	3.4.1 Model Geometries	78
	3.4.2 Requirement of Data Analysis	80
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DATA ANALYSIS</b>	
4.1	Preliminary Testing	81
4.2	Simulation Test Parameters	86
4.3	Impact test of V-HDPE and R-HDPE Temporary Barrier with 90° Angle	90
	4.3.1 Test A-1 Conceptual Design 1 (CD1)	90
	4.3.2 Test A-2 Conceptual Design 2 (CD2)	93
	4.3.3 Test A-3 Conceptual Design 3 (CD3)	96

4.4	Analysis Result on 90° Angle Impact	99
4.4.1	Results of R-HDPE Material for Internal Energy, Kinetic Energy and Total Energy at 90° Impact Angle	99
4.4.2	Displacement on R-HDPE Temporary Barrier at 90° Impact Angle	102
4.4.3	Stress on R-HDPE Temporary Barrier at 90° Impact Angle	103
4.4.4	Result of V-HDPE Material for Internal Energy, Kinetic Energy and Total Energy at 90° Angle	105
4.4.5	Stress on V-HDPE Temporary Barrier at 90° Impact Angle	108
4.4.6	Displacement on V-HDPE Temporary Barrier at 90° Impact Angle	110
4.5	Comparison of between V-HDPE and R-HDPE Temporary Barrier at 90° Impact Angle	111
4.5.1	Comparison of Internal Energy, Kinetic Energy and Total Energy of R-HDPE and V-HDPE temporary barrier	111
4.5.2	Displacement of R-HDPE and V-HDPE Temporary Barrier at 90° Impact Angle	118
4.6	Temporary Barrier of V-HDPE and R-HDPE with 20° Impact Angle	120
4.6.1	Test B-1 Conceptual Design 1 (CD1)	120
4.6.2	Test B-2 Conceptual Design 2 (CD2)	123
4.6.3	Test B-3 Conceptual Design 3 (CD3)	126
4.7	Analysis Results on 20° Impact Angle	129
4.7.1	Results of R-HDPE Material For Internal Energy, Kinetic Energy and Total Energy at 20° Impact Angle	129

4.7.2	Displacement on R-HDPE Temporary Barrier at 20 <sup>0</sup> Impact Angle	132
4.7.3	Stress on R-HDPE Temporary Barrier at 20° Angle	133
4.7.4	Result Of V-HDPE Material For Internal Energy, Kinetic Energy And Total Energy at 20° Impact Angle	135
4.7.5	Stress on V-HDPE Temporary Barrier at 20° Impact Angle	138
4.8	Comparison of Energy between V-HDPE and R-HDPE Temporary Barrier at 20° Impact Angle	140
4.8.1	Comparison of Internal Energy, Kinetic Energy and Total Energy of R-HDPE and V-HDPE temporary barrier at 20° Impact Angle	140
4.8.2	Comparison of Displacement on R-HDPE and V-HDPE Temporary Barrier at 20° Impact Angle	147
4.9	Summary of the Results	149
<b>5</b>	<b>DISCUSSION</b>	
5.1	Road Safety Barrier Selection	151
5.2	Material Selection of Temporary Barrier	152
5.3	Simulation Test Methods	153
5.4	Method of Testing	154
5.5	Discussion of the Simulation Results	154
5.5.1	Internal Energy, Kinetic Energy and Total Energy of Impact Test at 90 <sup>0</sup> angles for R-HDPE and V-HDPE	154

5.5.2	Displacement Effect between R-HDPE and V-HDPE	155
5.5.3	Internal Energy, Kinetic Energy and Total Energy of Impact Test at 20 <sup>0</sup> angles for R-HDPE and V-HDPE	156
6	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	
6.1	Conclusions	158
6.2	Recommendations	159
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	160
	<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	163
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	

## LIST OF TABLE

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Categories of Crash Barrier [12]	8
2.2	Design requirement of Crash Barrier [12]	14
2.3	Types of Temporary Barrier [14]	17
2.4	The most common barriers in use in Victoria (Summarising from AS 3845 – and AS 1742.3)	18
2.5	Requirements specified in <i>AS/NZS 3845</i> [14]	18
2.6	Summaries of temporary barrier applications [1]	21
2.7	Summaries of temporary barrier applications [1]	22
2.8	Summaries of temporary barrier applications [1]	23
2.9	Summaries of temporary barrier applications [1]	24
2.10	Summaries of temporary barrier applications [1]	25
2.11	Summaries of temporary barrier applications [1]	27
2.12	Summaries of temporary barrier applications [1]	28
2.13	Summaries of temporary barrier applications [1]	30
2.14	Summaries of temporary barrier applications [1]	32
2.15	Summaries of temporary barrier applications [1]	33
2.16	Summaries of temporary barrier applications [1]	34

2.17	Summaries of temporary barrier applications [1]	36
2.18	Physical and Chemical Properties [Bib-1]	38
2.19	Thermoplastic vs. Thermosetting Polymers [Bib-2]	40
2.20	Consumption of plastic waste generation by sector in UK [3]	41
2.21	Performance for virgin HDPE and recycle HDPE [6]	45
2.22	Performance for virgin HDPE and recycle HDPE [16]	45
2.23	Comparison result for virgin and recycle HDPE [17]	46
2.24	Types of mechanical recycling [7]	47
2.25	Result of testing level 2 to Guardian Portable plastic barrier (Recycle Polyethylene (PE)[10]	51
2.26	Summary of previous Research from Journal and Technical Paper	56
3.1	The properties of recycle HDPE [17]	67
3.2	Conceptual design for Temporary barrier using virgin and recycles HDPE	68
3.3	Test Level 0 Specification	69
3.4	Summary of properties as an input data planning for the crash test	80
4.1	Results of trial tests	81
4.2	Parameters for the temporary barrier impact test	86
4.3	Abaqus testing based on number of element	88
4.4	Output of impact behaviour on CD1 temporary barrier at 90 <sup>0</sup> impact angle	91
4.5	Output of impact behaviour on CD2 temporary barrier at 90 <sup>0</sup> impact angle	94
4.6	Output of impact behaviour on CD3 temporary barrier at 90 <sup>0</sup> impact angle	97
4.7	Output of impact behaviour on CD1 temporary barrier at 20 <sup>0</sup> impact angle	121



4.8	Output of impact behaviour on CD2 temporary barrier at 20 <sup>0</sup> impact angle	124
4.9	Output of impact behaviour on CD3 temporary barrier at 20 <sup>0</sup> impact angle	127
4.10	show the summary of the Test result for three conceptual design with different angle of impact and material properties	150

## LIST OF FIGURE

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Recommendation for barrier placements [12]	9
2.2	Typical Detail of thrie beam section [12]	9
2.3	Barrier cast-in-situ design [12]	10
2.4	Barrier cast-in-situ designs [12]	10
2.5	Rigid guiderail and median barrier [13]	12
2.6	Semi Rigid guiderail and median barrier [13]	12
2.7	Flexible guiderail and median barrier [13]	13
2.8	Concrete Temporary Barriers	19
2.9	Plastic Temporary Barriers	20
2.10	Percentage of waste at UKM in 2009	42
2.11	Rates of plastics produced and recycled in the US [3]	42
2.12	Penetration grades of V-LDPE and R- HDPE/LDPE [5]	43
2.13	Marshall Stability of virgin and recycle [5]	43

2.14	Flow value of virgin LDPE and recycle HDPE/LDPE material [5]	44
2.15	Tensile strength of Recycle Material [7]	48
2.16	Primary recycle process of plastic	49
2.17	Bottle crash barrier concept [8]	50
2.18	Complete analysis Abaqus/CAE	54
2.19	Abaqus Products	55
3.1	Research work flow chart for 1 <sup>st</sup> semester	65
3.2	Research work flow chart for 2 <sup>nd</sup> semester	66
3.3	Guardian temporary barrier [bib 4]	68
3.4(a)	Impact force on the barrier - side view	69
3.4(b)	Impact location on the barrier in Abaqus view	70
3.5	Temporary barrier condition before impact	70
3.6	The constrain are which will be declare as coupling	71
3.7	location of boundary condition on the temporary barrier before conduct the analysis	72
3.8	Single temporary barrier with contain of water	73
3.9	Pressure of water inside the temporary barrier	74
3.10	The impactor hit the temporary barrier with velocity of 13.89m/s. (TL-0 specification)	75
3.11	Simulation analysis process flow.	76
4.1	Comparison result of Kinetic Enegy vs time based on element size	88
4.2	Comparison result of Internal Enegy vs time based on element size	89

4.3	Comparison result of Internal Energy vs time based on element size	89
4.4	Impacted test on CD1 Temporary barrier at 90 <sup>0</sup> impact angle	90
4.5	Impacted test on CD2 temporary barrier at 90 <sup>0</sup> impact angle	93
4.6	Impacted test on CD3 temporary barrier at 90 <sup>0</sup> impact angle	96
4.7	Internal Energy vs Time of CD1, CD2 and CD3 of R-HDPE	99
4.8	Kinetic Energy vs Time of CD1, CD2 and CD3 of R-HDPE	100
4.9	Total Energy vs Time of CD1, CD2 and CD3 of R-HDPE	100
4.10	Displacement vs Time of CD1, CD2 and CD3 of R-HDPE	102
4.11	Von-Mises stress contour plot of CD1 temporary barrier	103
4.12	Von-Mises stress contour plot of CD2 temporary barrier	104
4.13	Von-Mises stress contour plot of CD3 temporary barrier	104
4.14	Internal Energy vs Time of CD1, CD2 and CD3 of V-HDPE	105
4.15	Kinetic Energy vs Time of CD1, CD2 and CD3 of V-HDPE	106
4.16	Total Energy vs Time of CD1, CD2 and	106

	CD3 of V-HDPE	
4.17	Von-Mises stress contour plot of CD1 temporary barrier	108
4.18	Von-Mises stress contour plot of CD2 temporary barrier	109
4.19	Von-Mises stress contour plot of CD3 temporary barrier	109
4.20	Displacement vs Time of CD1, CD2 and CD3 of V-HDPE	110
4.21	Internal Energy between CD1-R and CD1-V	111
4.22	Kinetic Energy between CD1-R and CD1-V	112
4.23	Total Energy between CD1-R and CD1-V	112
4.24	Internal Energy between CD2-R and CD2-V	114
4.25	Kinetic Energy between CD2-R and CD2-V	114
4.26	Total Energy between CD2-R and CD2-V	115
4.27	Internal Energy between CD3-R and CD3-V	116
4.28	Kinetic Energy between CD3-R and CD3-V	116
4.29	Total Energy between CD3-R and CD3-V	117
4.30	Displacement value between CD1-R and CD1-V	118
4.31	Displacement value between CD2-R and CD2-V	118
4.32	Displacement value between CD3-R and CD3-V	119
4.33	Impacted test on CD1 Temporary barrier at 20 <sup>o</sup> impact angle	120

4.34	Impacted test on CD2 Temporary barrier at 20 <sup>0</sup> impact angle	123
4.35	Impacted test on CD3 temporary barrier at 20 <sup>0</sup> impact angle	126
4.36	Internal Energy vs Time of CD1, CD2 and CD3 of R-HDPE	129
4.37	Kinetic Energy vs Time of CD1, CD2 and CD3 of R-HDPE	130
4.38	Total Energy vs Time of CD1, CD2 and CD3 of R-HDPE	130
4.39	Displacement vs Time of CD1, CD2 and CD3 of R-HDPE	132
4.40	Von-Mises stress contour plot of CD1 temporary barrier	133
4.41	Von-Mises stress contour plot of CD2 temporary barrier	134
4.42	Von-Mises stress contour plot of CD3 temporary barrier	134
4.43	Internal Energy vs Time of CD1, CD2 and CD3 of V-HDPE	135
4.44	Kinetic Energy vs Time of CD1, CD2 and CD3 of V-HDPE	136
4.45	Total Energy vs Time of CD1, CD2 and CD3 of V-HDPE	136
4.46	Von-Mises stress contour plot of CD1 temporary barrier	138
4.47	Von-Mises stress contour plot of CD2 temporary barrier	139
4.48	Von-Mises stress contour plot of CD3 temporary barrier	139
4.49	Internal Energy between CD1-R and CD1-V	140

4.50	Kinetic Energy between CD1-R and CD1-V	141
4.51	Total Energy between CD1-R and CD1-V	141
4.52	Internal Energy between CD2-R and CD2-V	143
4.53	Kinetic Energy between CD2-R and CD2-V	143
4.54	Total Energy between CD2-R and CD2-V	144
4.55	Internal Energy between CD3-R and CD3-V	145
4.56	Internal Energy between CD3-R and CD3-V	145
4.57	Total Energy between CD3-R and CD3-V	146
4.58	Displacement value between CD1-R and CD1-V	147
4.59	Displacement value between CD2-R and CD2-V	147
4.60	Displacement value between CD3-R and CD3-V	148

## LIST OF ABBREVIATION AND SYMBOLS

R-HDPE	Recycle High Density Polyethylene
V-HDPE	Recycle High Density Polyethylene
HDPE	High Density Polyethylene
LDPE	Low Density Polyethylene
s	Second
m	meter
mm	Millimetre
m <sup>3</sup>	Volume
°	Degree
kg	Kilogram
%	Percentage
°C	Degree Celsius
J	Joule
Pa	Pascal
$\rho$	Density
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
CAE	Computer Aided Engineering



**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	Drawing Of Temporary Barrier	164
B1	Analysis Result of 90 <sup>0</sup> Impact Angle	168
B2	Analysis Result of 20 <sup>0</sup> Impact Angle	177

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Background**

Plastic is widely produced as consumer products, especially in food industries, transportation, and communication, medical, electronic and many other engineering applications. With high human usage of plastic material and the fact that the world's population has reached 7 billion, the garbage or waste material is also increasing at a high rate every day. The increasing use of plastics has contributed concerns among scientists and researchers regarding its disposal. Notably, plastic is non-biodegradable and its existence will remain forever. Nearly every single plastic-based item that was ever created, will still exist on the planet, and will for thousands of years. Recycling plastics might be one possible solution but requires extensive analysis before it can be fully utilized for its functionalities. Furthermore, previous research concluded that the rate of recycled plastic is still not able to compete with the rate of virgin plastic that has long been produced.

Realising such situation, many countries nowadays undergo research and development on plastic recyclability and reusability. There are several high education institutions conducting a research to study the possibilities on using plastic recycle in daily life. Through technology and research advancement, nowadays, there are many

types of recycle product that has been developed such as plastic bottle, food container, equipment container and other types of simple product which can be used by consumer. By implementing recycle and reuse of plastic products, reduction on the amount of plastic waste can be greatly reduced. Comprehended on the effect of plastic in human life, perhaps the application of recycle material can be extended to road safety barrier application. All current road safety barriers which are using plastic material had preferred to use virgin plastic material for their product.

Realizing that plastic recycle applications are still not widely used in road safety barrier, therefore, this research aims to use plastic recycle material as an alternative material for road safety barrier. There are many types of plastic which can be recycled. Most of plastic recycle is widely used as simple product application. Therefore, in selection for road safety barrier, the selection of recycle plastic material must able to meet the standard requirement and specification as road safety device. Most of road safety barrier manufacture was using virgin High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) and virgin Low Density Polyethylene (LDPE) as road safety barrier. Therefore, Recycled High Density Polyethylene (R-HDPE) was selected based on the current application and performance as road safety device. Furthermore, these selections also are supported by previous research; where they had done a study of recycle material as road safety device using R-HDPE material [5], W-beam guardrail post [6] and barricades [4].

This study utilized the R-HDPE as the material of a road safety barrier. The behaviour of the recycled material was then tested using simulation software analysis. Simulation analysis was selected rather than performing the actual testing since full scale testing will incur high setup cost and very time consuming. In addition, using simulation may inject new insights into simulation technology and estimation on the performance of crash scenes that are too complex can be analysed. Over the years, finite element analysis has also rapidly become a fundamental part of the analysis and design of roadside hardware because of its reliable results and relatively inexpensive means of analysing and simulating impact events.

Moreover, a simulation test analysis can give results that are not experimentally measurable with the current level of technology. Simulation test also able to support the test sample since the differentiate result of simulation test and actual simulation is around 5%. Consequently, the simulation test is one method which simplifies the actual testing and produce result which considerably same to actual test results.

Simulation test data were used in order to compare between R-HDPE based barrier designs sample with virgin HDPE based safety barrier.

## **1.2 Research Aims**

The aim of the study is to perform simulation analysis on plastic recycle material based on current road safety barrier design and to propose an improved barrier design in terms of its performance. This study focuses on using R-HDPE plastic as temporary road safety barrier.

## **1.3 Objectives of the Study**

The objectives of the study are:

- i. To determine potential usage of recycle plastic material for road safety barrier application
- ii. To improve the design of current roadside crash barrier for better absorption characteristic using simulation analysis
- iii. To propose feasible crash barrier design that complies with the road safety regulation.

## **1.4 Scopes of the Study**

The scopes of the study are:

- i. The material will be focused only to use recycled HDPE plastic
- ii. Identify the guideline and specification of current crash barrier design
- iii. Conduct computer simulation analysis on the crash barrier model based on potential of recycled HDPE plastic using Abacus simulation software
- iv. Determine the most feasible design by referring the result analysis.

## **1.5 Outline of the Thesis**

The thesis is divided into six chapters; Chapter 1 is to introduction the research which explains the overview about the recycle material of HDPE, simulation test analysis as well as the objectives and scopes of the study. Chapter 2 is literature review which explains about the method of analysis, standard test specification, and data collection of previous research regarding the recycle HDPE and types of temporary barrier. Chapter 3 elaborates about the method to conduct the analysis using Abaqus simulation software and explains about conceptual design of temporary barrier. Two methods of analysis were analysed looking at  $90^{\circ}$  impact angle and  $20^{\circ}$  impact angle. Chapter 4 explains about the results and data analysis covering all the analysis conducted on the temporary barrier. All the data were evaluated in the data analysis comparing the virgin HDPE and recycled HDPE. Chapter 5 discusses on the overall data analysis conducted on the temporary barrier and all factors that affect the data analysis were identified. Chapter 6 concludes the thesis and highlights the recommendation for future improvement.

## REFERENCE

1. Queensland Government (August 2013), Road safety Barrier systems End treatment and other related road safety devices, Assessed as Accepted for use on state road in Queensland
2. SWAT Bulletin (March 2006), Temporary Safety Barrier, Australian Standard 1742.3 Manual Uniform Traffic Device, Part 3: Traffic control device for work on roads
3. ASTM D883-08 Standard terminology Relating to Plastic, ASTM,2008
4. Rebecca Davio TxDOT recycling coordinator, Year of Plastic Recycle Roadway Material.
5. Jhordane J. Jones, Performance Comparison of Polymer modified Bitumen with virgin and recycle Polyethylene Plastic, Implication for road development and durability in Jamaica, Material Science Research Group, UMI Mona.
6. C. F. NG *et al* (2011), Experimental Investigation On the Recycled HDPE a and Optimization Of Injection Moulding Process Parameters Via Taguchi Method ,International Journal of Mechanical and Materials Engineering (IJMME), Vol.6 (2011), No.1, 81-91

7. Vanessa Goodship (2007), Introduction to Plastic Recycling, Second edition  
Smithers Rapra Technology Limited.
8. M.K. Muller, J. N. Majerus (2002), Usage of Recycle plastic material bottle  
in road safety devices, International Journal of Crashworthiness
9. Roger P. Bligh, Dean C. Alberson, Barbara G. Butler (August 1999),  
Summary And Recommendation Of Recycle Material In Road Safety  
Device, Report 1458-S, Texas Department of Transportation, The  
Texas A&M University System, College Station, Texas 77843-3135
10. Jerry L Postron Chief Federal Aid and Design Division (1995), Guardian  
Barrier A Recyclable Portable Plastic Barrier, US Department Of  
Transportation.
11. C.U. Atuanya AOA, Ibadode A.C Igboanugo (2011), Potential of Using  
recycle Low Density Polyethylene in wood composited board,  
Tribology in Industry, Volume 33, No. 1
12. Vikas Minar, Guideline and Design Specification for crash barrier, pedestrian  
railing and dividers, Delhi Development Authority Unified Traffic  
Transportation Infrastructure (PLG & ENG) Centre (UTTIPEC)
13. Arahan Teknik (Jalan) 1/85 (1985), Manual On Design Guidelines Of  
Longitudinal Traffic Barrier, Cawangan Jalan, Ibu Pejabat Jabatan  
Kerja Raya (JKR) Kuala Lumpur
14. S Clark (2012), Temporary Safety Barrier Operational Instruction 3.12,  
Government of South Australia Department of Planning, Transport  
and Infrastructure.

15. Dr Giusppina Amato (2011), Development of Road safety Barriers using natural material, Natural Material Safety Barrier, University College Cork.
16. H A Whitworth, R Bendidi, D Marzougui & R Reiss (2010), Finite Element modelling of the crash performance of road side barriers, International Journal of Crashworthiness.
17. Transportation Safety (Asia Pacific) Pty.Ltd, Triton Barrier TL-0 highly portable and NCHRP-350 Approved longitudinal barrier.
18. Kamal B. Adhikary, Shusheng Pang, Mark P.Staiger (2007), Dimensional Stability and mechanical of wood-plastic composite based on recycled and virgin high density Polyethylene (HDPE),
19. American Society for Testing and Material (ASTM), ASTM D63801: In:2002, Annual book of ASTM standard, West Conshohocken, PA: ASTM: 2002