

ISOLATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF NANOCELLULOSE FROM  
EMPTY FRUIT BUNCH FIBER FOR NANOCOMPOSITE APPLICATION

NURUL SAADIAH BINTI LANI

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

ISOLATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF NANOCELLULOSE FROM  
EMPTY FRUIT BUNCH FIBER FOR NANOCOMPOSITE APPLICATION

NURUL SAADIAH BINTI LANI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Chemical)

Faculty of Chemical Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

AUGUST 2014

To my wonderful families especially to my beloved mother and father

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First and foremost, I would like to extend my heartfelt gratitude to my supervisor, Dr Norzita Ngadi for giving me an opportunity to complete this thesis under her supervision. I am very grateful to receive her constant guidance and time throughout my journey in completing this research.

Furthermore, I also would like to show my appreciation to all my friends and others who have provided assistance at various occasions as well as their useful views and opinions regarding my postgraduate research.

Last but not least, I would also like to take this opportunity to thank my parents for financially and morally supporting me in completing this research.

## ABSTRACT

Nowadays, the demands for plastics materials are increasing rapidly. Nevertheless, most of these products are non-environmentally friendly and non-biodegradable. About 60 to 100 million gallons of petroleum are needed to produce plastics every year around the world. Therefore, there has been growing interest in developing bio-based products that can offer favorable environmental advantages. The purpose of this study is to isolate nanocellulose from empty fruit bunch (EFB) fiber and to investigate reinforcing effect of nanocellulose in poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA)/starch blend films. The optimization of acid hydrolysis conditions for nanocellulose yield with response surface methodology (RSM) was also investigated. Cellulose and nanocellulose fibers were successfully extracted by using alkali treatment and acid hydrolysis, respectively. Subsequently, a series of PVA/starch film with different content of nanocellulose were prepared by solution casting method. The isolated nanocellulose displayed a relatively high crystallinity, which were around 73% that consisted of rod like nanoparticles with the diameter of 4 to 15 nm. Analysis of the RSM result revealed that high nanocellulose yield (83.42%) was obtained when the sulfuric acid concentration, hydrolysis time and reaction temperature were set at 58 wt%, 43 minutes and 35 °C, respectively. PVA/starch films reinforced with nanocellulose fiber possessed significantly improved properties compared to the film without reinforcement. From the results, PVA/starch films with the addition of 5% (v/v) of nanocellulose suspension exhibited the best combination of properties. This nanocomposite was found to have tensile strength about 5.694 MPa and the elongation at break about 481.85%. In addition, this nanocomposite had good water resistance (19.71% ) and biodegradability (47.73%). It can be concluded that the nanocellulose obtained in this study can be an excellent reinforcing material in PVA/starch blend film.

## ABSTRAK

Pada masa kini, permintaan untuk bahan plastik semakin meningkat dengan pantas. Walau bagaimanapun, kebanyakan produk ini tidak mesra alam dan tidak terurai. Kira-kira 60 hingga 100 juta gelen petroleum diperlukan untuk membuat plastik setiap tahun di seluruh dunia. Justeru itu, terdapat minat yang semakin meningkat dalam membangunkan penggunaan produk berasaskan bio yang mempunyai kebaikan untuk alam sekitar. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mengasingkan nano selulosa dari serat tandan buah kosong dan mengkaji kesan pengukuhan mereka dalam filem polivinil alkohol (PVA)/kanji. Pengoptimuman keadaan hidrolisis asid untuk menghasilkan nano selulosa dengan menggunakan kaedah gerak balas permukaan (RSM) juga dilakukan. Serat selulosa dan nano selulosa telah berjaya diekstrak dengan menggunakan rawatan alkali dan hidrolisis asid. Selepas itu, satu siri filem PVA/kanji dengan kandungan nano selulosa yang berbeza disediakan dengan menggunakan kaedah tuangan larutan. Nano selulosa yang telah diasingkan meunjukkan penghabluran yang secara relatifnya tinggi, iaitu kira-kira 73% dan mempunyai bentuk seperti rod dengan diameter dari 4 hingga 15 nm. Analisis keputusan RSM mendedahkan bahawa hasil nano selulosa (83.42%) adalah tinggi apabila kepekatan asid sulfurik, masa hidrolisis dan suhu tindak balas diletak masing-masing pada 58 wt%, 43 minit dan 35 °C. Filem PVA/kanji yang diperkukuhkan dengan gentian nano selulosa mempunyai ciri-ciri penambahbaikan yang ketara berbanding filem tanpa pengukuh. Daripada keputusan, filem PVA/kanji dengan tambahan 5% (v/v) ampai nano selulosa meunjukkan kombinasi ciri-ciri yang terbaik. Nano komposit ini didapati mempunyai kekuatan tegangan pada kira-kira 5.694 MPa dan pemanjangan pada takat putus adalah 481.85%. Sebagai tambahan, nano komposit ini mempunyai rintangan air (19.71%) dan biodegradasi (47.73%) yang baik. Kesimpulannya, nano selulosa yang diperolehi dalam kajian ini boleh menjadi bahan pengukuh yang sangat baik untuk filem adunan PVA/kanji.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTER  | TITLE                        | PAGE |
|----------|------------------------------|------|
|          | <b>DECLARATION</b>           | ii   |
|          | <b>DEDICATION</b>            | iii  |
|          | <b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>      | iv   |
|          | <b>ABSTRACT</b>              | v    |
|          | <b>ABSTRAK</b>               | vi   |
|          | <b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>     | vii  |
|          | <b>LIST OF TABLES</b>        | xi   |
|          | <b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>       | xiii |
|          | <b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b> | xvi  |
|          | <b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>       | xix  |
|          | <b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>    | xx   |
| <b>1</b> | <b>INTRODUCTION</b>          | 1    |
|          | 1.1 Background of Study      | 1    |
|          | 1.2 Problem Statement        | 4    |
|          | 1.3 Objectives of Study      | 5    |
|          | 1.4 Scopes of Study          | 6    |
|          | 1.5 Research Hypothesis      | 7    |
|          | 1.6 Significant of Study     | 8    |
| <b>2</b> | <b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>     | 9    |
|          | 2.1 Nanotechnology           | 9    |
|          | 2.2 Composite                | 11   |

|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 2.2.1    | Matrix                                  | 12        |
| 2.2.2    | Reinforcement                           | 15        |
| 2.3      | Polyvinyl Alcohol                       | 18        |
| 2.3.1    | Physical Properties                     | 18        |
| 2.3.2    | Composite based on Polyvinyl Alcohol    | 19        |
| 2.4      | Starch                                  | 22        |
| 2.4.1    | Composite based on Starch               | 24        |
| 2.5      | PVA/Starch Blend                        | 26        |
| 2.6      | Natural Fiber                           | 29        |
| 2.6.1    | Chemical Composition                    | 33        |
| 2.6.2    | Physical Structure                      | 36        |
| 2.7      | Empty Fruit Bunch Fiber                 | 38        |
| 2.8      | Extraction of Cellulose                 | 40        |
| 2.8.1    | Bleaching Process                       | 40        |
| 2.8.2    | Alkali Treatment                        | 41        |
| 2.9      | Nanocellulose Fiber                     | 42        |
| 2.9.1    | Isolation of Nanocellulose Fiber        | 46        |
| 2.9.1.1  | Acid Hydrolysis                         | 47        |
| 2.9.2    | Nanocellulose Reinforced Composite      | 50        |
| 2.10     | Nanocomposite based on PVA/Starch       | 53        |
| 2.11     | Response Surface Methodology            | 56        |
| <b>3</b> | <b>METHODOLOGY</b>                      | <b>58</b> |
| 3.1      | Introduction                            | 58        |
| 3.2      | Materials                               | 60        |
| 3.2.1    | Polyvinyl Alcohol                       | 60        |
| 3.2.2    | Starch                                  | 60        |
| 3.2.3    | Natural Fiber                           | 60        |
| 3.2.4    | Other Chemicals                         | 61        |
| 3.3      | Extraction of Cellulose                 | 61        |
| 3.4      | Isolation of Nanocellulose Fiber        | 62        |
| 3.5      | Characterization of Fiber               | 62        |
| 3.5.1    | Chemical Composition                    | 62        |
| 3.5.2    | Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy | 65        |

|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 3.5.3    | X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)                                     | 65        |
| 3.5.4    | Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)                            | 66        |
| 3.5.5    | Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FESEM)         | 66        |
| 3.5.5    | Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM)                      | 66        |
| 3.6      | Optimization on Nanocellulose Yield                         | 67        |
| 3.6.1    | Screening Test  | 67        |
| 3.6.2    | Statistical Design  | 67        |
| 3.7      | PVA/Starch Filled with Nanocellulose Fiber Film Preparation | 68        |
| 3.8      | Characterization of Nanocomposite                           | 69        |
| 3.8.1    | X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)                                     | 69        |
| 3.8.2    | Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)                          | 70        |
| 3.8.3    | Mechanical Test   | 70        |
| 3.8.4    | Water Absorption Test                                       | 71        |
| 3.8.5    | Soil Burial Degradation Test                                | 72        |
| 3.9      | Comparative Study   | 72        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>                               | <b>73</b> |
| 4.1      | Introduction  | 73        |
| 4.2      | Characteristics of Fibers                                   | 74        |
| 4.2.1    | Chemical Composition  | 74        |
| 4.2.2    | Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy                     | 76        |
| 4.2.3    | X-Ray Diffraction   | 78        |
| 4.2.4    | Thermogravimetric Analysis                                  | 81        |
| 4.2.5    | Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy                 | 83        |
| 4.2.6    | Transmission Electron Microscopy                            | 86        |
| 4.3      | Optimization on Nanocellulose Yield                         | 87        |
| 4.3.1    | Screening of Hydrolysis Conditions                          | 88        |
|          | 4.3.1.1 Effect of Acid Concentration                        | 88        |
|          | 4.3.1.2 Effect of Hydrolysis Time                           | 90        |
|          | 4.3.1.3 Effect of Reaction Temperature                      | 91        |
| 4.3.2    | Response Surface Methodology                                | 92        |
|          | 4.3.2.1 Statistical Analysis                                | 93        |

|          |   |            |
|----------|---|------------|
| 4.3.2.2  | Verification of Predicted Model             | 97         |
| 4.4      | Characteristics of PVA/Starch Blend Film    | 98         |
| 4.4.1    | Mechanical Properties                       | 98         |
| 4.4.2    | Water Absorption                            | 101        |
| 4.4.3    | Biodegradation Properties                   | 104        |
| 4.5      | Characteristics of PVA/Starch Nanocomposite | 105        |
| 4.5.1    | Mechanical Properties                       | 105        |
| 4.5.2    | Water Absorption                            | 108        |
| 4.5.3    | Biodegradation Properties                   | 109        |
| 4.6      | Comparative Study                           | 111        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>      | <b>115</b> |
| 5.1      | Conclusions                                 | 115        |
| 5.2      | Recommendations                             | 117        |
|          | <b>REFERENCES</b>                           | <b>119</b> |
|          | Appendices A–G                              | 140–166    |

## LIST OF TABLES

| <b>TABLE NO.</b> | <b>TITLE</b>  | <b>PAGE</b> |
|------------------|---|-------------|
| 2.1              | Packaging applications of nanomaterials   | 11          |
| 2.2              | Main characteristics of thermosets and thermoplastics   | 13          |
| 2.3              | Physical properties of polyvinyl alcohol  | 19          |
| 2.4              | Rate of glucose production and percentage of starch hydrolysis from each PVA/starch substrate | 28          |
| 2.5              | Characteristics of natural fibers   | 32          |
| 2.6              | Chemical composition of some typical cellulose containing materials                           | 33          |
| 2.7              | Nutrient content of EFB   | 39          |
| 2.8              | Comparison between nanocrystal and MFC  | 43          |
| 2.9              | Preparation of nanocellulose from different sources and isolation methods                     | 45          |
| 2.10             | Characteristics of NR and NR/CW nanocomposite films reinforced with 2.5 and 7.5wt%            | 52          |
| 3.1              | The parameter values for screening test   | 67          |
| 3.2              | The composition of PVA, starch, glycerol and nanocellulose fiber                              | 69          |

|      |   |     |
|------|---|-----|
| 4.1  | Chemical composition of the EFB fiber in different stages   | 75  |
| 4.2  | Effect of sulfuric acid concentration on the yield of nanocellulose                                   | 88  |
| 4.3  | Effect of different hydrolysis time on the yield of nanocellulose                                     | 90  |
| 4.4  | Effect of different reaction time on the yield of nanocellulose                                       | 91  |
| 4.5  | Code and level of factors chosen for RSM design   | 92  |
| 4.6  | Experimental design and results   | 93  |
| 4.7  | Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for nanocellulose yield  | 94  |
| 4.8  | Regression coefficients and <i>p</i> value of second-order polynomial model for nanocellulose yield   | 95  |
| 4.9  | Experimental value using optimum conditions for nanocellulose yield                                   | 97  |
| 4.10 | Water absorption of pure PVA, PVA/starch, PVA/starch/cellulose and PVA/starch/nanocellulose composite | 112 |

## LIST OF FIGURES

| <b>FIGURE NO.</b> | <b>TITLE</b>  | <b>PAGE</b> |
|-------------------|---|-------------|
| 2.1               | Classification of matrices  | 12          |
| 2.2               | Types of reinforcement in composites  | 15          |
| 2.3               | Production of starches and starch derivatives   | 23          |
| 2.4               | Possible hydrogen bond formation between starch and PVA   | 26          |
| 2.5               | SEM of fracture of (a) starch film (b) starch/PVA film  | 28          |
| 2.6               | Schematic representation of plant biofiber classification   | 30          |
| 2.7               | Capacity, production, import, export and demand of natural fibers in Asian region                 | 31          |
| 2.8               | Chemical structure of cellulose   | 34          |
| 2.9               | Structure of natural fiber  | 36          |
| 2.10              | (a) EFB; (b) EFBF   | 39          |
| 2.11              | Schematic structure and TEM image of (a) cellulose nanocrystal and (b) microfibrillated cellulose | 44          |
| 2.12              | The main steps involved in the preparation of cellulose   | 46          |
| 2.13              | SEM of fractured surface of TPS reinforced (a) 0 wt% and (b) 20 wt%                               | 53          |

|      |  |     |
|------|--|-----|
| 3.1  | Flowchart of laboratory work   | 59  |
| 4.1  | Photograph of (a) EFB fiber, (b) cellulose fiber, and (c) nanocellulose fiber  | 74  |
| 4.2  | FTIR spectra of (a) untreated fiber, (b) cellulose, and (c) nanocellulose  | 76  |
| 4.3  | X-ray diffraction patterns for (a) untreated fiber, (b) cellulose, and (c) nanocellulose                               | 79  |
| 4.4  | Thermogravimetric curves of (a) untreated fiber, (b) cellulose, and (c) nanocellulose                                  | 81  |
| 4.5  | FESEM images raw EFB fiber at (a) 80× (b) 1000× (c) 8000× and 80000× magnification level                               | 83  |
| 4.6  | FESEM images cellulose fiber at (a) 80× (b) 1000× (c) 8000× and 80000× magnification level                             | 84  |
| 4.7  | FESEM images nanocellulose fiber at (a) 80× (b) 1000× (c) 8000× and 80000× magnification level                         | 84  |
| 4.8  | TEM image of nanocellulose from EFB fiber  | 86  |
| 4.9  | Pareto chart showing the standardized effect of independent variables and their interaction on the nanocellulose yield | 96  |
| 4.10 | Tensile strength and elongation at break for PVA/starch blend films  | 98  |
| 4.11 | Surface morphology of (a) pure PVA film (b) 70:30 PVA/starch blend film and (c) 50:50 PVA/starch blend film            | 100 |
| 4.12 | Percentage of water absorption capacity for PVA/starch blend films   | 101 |
| 4.13 | X-ray diffraction pattern of the composite films   | 103 |
| 4.14 | Degradability of PVA/starch blend films in the soil burial test  | 104 |

|      |   |     |
|------|---|-----|
| 4.15 | The dependence of the tensile strength and elongation at break on the nanocellulose content   | 106 |
| 4.16 | SEM image of the surface of (a) PVA/starch blend film, (b) PVA/starch reinforced with 5% (v/v) nanocellulose film and (c) PVA/starch reinforced with 20% (v/v) nanocellulose film | 107 |
| 4.17 | Percentage of water absorption capacity for PVA/starch reinforced with nanocellulose fiber at different amount  | 118 |
| 4.18 | Degradability of PVA/starch nanocomposite films in the soil burial test   | 110 |
| 4.19 | Mechanical properties of pure PVA, PVA/starch, PVA/starch/cellulose and PVA/starch/nanocellulose composite.   | 111 |
| 4.20 | Degradation properties of pure PVA, PVA/starch, PVA/starch/cellulose and PVA/starch/nanocellulose composite.  | 112 |

## LIST OF ABBREVIATION

|       |   |   |
|-------|---|---|
| AFM   | - | Atomic Force Microscope                     |
| AOX   | - | Adsorbable Organic Halide                   |
| AMG   | - | Amyloglycoside                              |
| ANOVA | - | Analysis of Variance                        |
| ASTM  | - | American Society for Testing and Materials  |
| BCC   | - | Bamboo Cellulose Crystal                    |
| Ca    | - | Calcium                                     |
| CBN   | - | Cassava Bagasse Cellulose Nanofiber         |
| CCD   | - | Central Composite Design                    |
| CMC   | - | Carboxymethyl cellulose                     |
| COD   | - | Chemical Oxygen Demand                      |
| CW    | - | Cellulose Whisker                           |
| DMA   | - | Dynamic Mechanical Analyzer                 |
| EMC   | - | Equilibrium Moisture Content                |
| EFB   | - | Empty Fruit Bunch                           |
| FESEM | - | Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope |
| FMP   | - | Fish Myofibrillar Protein                   |

|                    |   |                            |
|--------------------|---|----------------------------|
| FTIR               | - | Fourier Transform Infrared |
| JNF                | - | Jute Nanofibril            |
| KBr                | - | Potassium Bromide          |
| KOH                | - | Potassium Hydroxide        |
| LDPE               | - | Low Density Polyethylene   |
| MFC                | - | Microfibrillated Cellulose |
| Mg                 | - | Magnesium                  |
| MMC                | - | Metal Matrix Composite     |
| MS                 | - | Mean Square                |
| NaClO <sub>2</sub> | - | Sodium Chlorite            |
| NaOH               | - | Sodium Hydroxide           |
| NFC                | - | Nanofibril Cellulose       |
| NR                 | - | Natural Rubber             |
| OPT                | - | Oil Palm Trunk             |
| OPF                | - | Oil Pam Frond              |
| PALF               | - | Pineapple Leaf Fiber       |
| PFF                | - | Presses Fruit Fiber        |
| PHB                | - | Poly-3-hydroxybutyrate     |
| POME               | - | Palm Oil Mill Effluent     |
| PP                 | - | Polypropylene              |
| PVA                | - | Polyvinyl Alcohol          |
| PU                 | - | Polyurethane               |

|                  |   |                                  |
|------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| RSM              | - | Response Surface Methodology     |
| SEM              | - | Scanning Electron Microscope     |
| SiO <sub>2</sub> | - | Silicon Dioxide                  |
| SPI              | - | Soy Protein Isolate              |
| SPU              | - | Segmented Polyurethane           |
| TEM              | - | Transmission Electron Microscope |
| TPS              | - | Thermoplastics Cassava Starch    |
| WAC              | - | Water Absorption Capacity        |
| WVP              | - | Water Vapor Permeability         |
| WVTR             | - | Water Vapor Transmission Rate    |
| XRD              | - | X-Ray Diffraction                |

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

|                    |   |                          |
|--------------------|---|--------------------------|
| $E_a$              | - | Activation energy        |
| $\text{kg/m}^3$    | - | kilogram per cubic meter |
| mL                 | - | milliliter               |
| mg/L               | - | milligram per liter      |
| MPa                | - | megapascal               |
| $\mu\text{m}$      | - | micrometer               |
| w/v                | - | weight per volume        |
| $^{\circ}\text{C}$ | - | degree celcius           |
| %                  | - | percentage               |

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Background of Study**

In recent years, many researchers have shown considerable interest for the incorporation of nanocellulose fibers as reinforcement. The applications of nanoscale particles in composite processing are also expected to have achieved a significant improvement on the environmental issue as this nanocellulose reinforcement could develop a more biodegradable plastic. This is due to the fact that the usage of non-biodegradable plastics nowadays contributes to about one quarter of all domestic trash in landfill sites. Moreover, the process to manufacture plastics are often involves the use of toxic and environmentally harmful chemicals. Therefore, it is quite important to develop composites that can be easily and completely degraded and also produced from renewable resources.

Polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) has been considered as a suitable source of materials for the composite production because of its benefits of being non-toxic and highly durable. Besides that, PVA is a synthetic polymer that can be fully biodegradable in the environment. However, the applications of PVA materials are limited due to their high cost and slow degradation process especially under anaerobic condition (Takasu *et al.*, 2002). To overcome these limitations, PVA is often blended with other cheap and biodegradable polymers.

By blending with other renewable polymers, it will improve PVA's biodegradation rate and lowers the overall cost. Among the different types of biopolymer available, starch is well known as the most abundant raw materials and relatively cheap. Previous studies have reported that blending of PVA and starch can enhance their tensile strength, elongation and toughness (Guohua *et al.*, 2006; Mao *et al.*, 2002; Rahman *et al.*, 2010; Russo *et al.*, 2009; Sin *et al.*, 2010). In addition, starch has been successfully blended with PVA due to the presence of hydroxyl groups in both PVA and starch molecules, which tend to form strong hydrogen bonding and relatively good compatibility of PVA and starch (Tang and Alavi, 2011). However, the major disadvantages of these PVA/starch blends are particularly poor water barrier properties, generally attributed to the very large number of hydroxyl groups along with their intrinsic hydrophilicity. Therefore, several studies have proposed the incorporation of fillers in a nanoscale size into PVA/starch blends in order to improve their water barrier properties.

Most of the attention so far has focused on the preparation of nanoparticles from natural fibers. Natural fibers has attracted growing interest because of their unique characteristics, including low cost, lower density, high specific strength, good thermal properties and biodegradable. Oil palm empty fruit bunch (EFB) fiber is a natural fiber which has great relevance to Malaysia, as a large quantity of the biomass is generated by oil palm industries. In 2012, it is estimated that around 18.79 million tons of crude palm oil and 70 million tons of biomass residue are produced in the oil palm industry in Malaysia (Aljuboori, 2013). In addition, the total crop of fresh fruit bunch is approximately 92.78 million tons per year, which

generate more than 20 million tons of EFB(Wan-Razali *et al.*,2012). Approximately only 10% of the EFB is used and the rest are abundant.This residue may cause many environmental problems. Therefore, there is huge potential for EFB to be exploited in the production of high value-added products, which not only complies with zero-waste strategy but also generated additional profits for the palm oil industry.

Therefore in this study, cellulose was extracted from palm oil empty fruit bunch (EFB) fiber via alkali treatment method while the isolation of nanocellulose was done by acid hydrolysis method. A large number of treatments to extract highly-purified cellulose fibers have already been reported. The most common treatment is mercerization method, which is also known as alkali treatment. The important point to note regarding alkali treatment is their capability to remove certain amount of non-cellulosic impurities on the fiber surface by disrupting the hydrogen bonding in the network structure (Li *et al.*, 2007).

Meanwhile, a comprehensive research and review article dealing with isolation of cellulose fiber in nano-scale size by sulfuric acid hydrolysis was published by many researchers (Araki *et al.*, 1999; Cho and Park, 2011; Fahma *et al.*, 2011; Luduena *et al.*, 2011; Mandal and Chakrabarty, 2011; Morais *et al.*, 2012; Moràn *et al.*, 2008; Revol *et al.*, 1992; Rosa *et al.*, 2012). Controlled acid hydrolysis of native cellulose fibers disrupts the fibers which can then be dispersed into their constituent rod-shaped elementary crystalline microfibrils.During acid hydrolysis, the amorphous regions in native cellulose are more accessible to acid and more susceptible to hydrolytic action than the crystalline domains. Therefore, acid hydrolysis of cellulose is a well-known process used to remove amorphous regions.

Subsequently, nanocellulose that are isolated from EFB fiber was used as reinforcement in composite films. In this study, two series of PVA and starch blend films were prepared and characterized. The first series was based on only PVA and starch in different ratios, as follows: 80% PVA/20% starch, 70% PVA/30% starch, 60% PVA/40% starch, 50% PVA/50% starch, and 40% PVA/60% starch. The second series contain 5, 10, 15 and 20% (v/v) of nanocellulose suspension with respect to the volume of PVA and starch solution. The ratio between PVA and starch was chosen based on mechanical properties, water absorption and biodegradation properties that yield optimum results in the first series.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

Nowadays, the demands of plastics materials are increasing rapidly. The application of plastics materials includes aeronautics, building and construction, electronic device, packaging, automotives and medical devices. However, most of these products are non-environmentally friendly and non-biodegradable. Moreover, all these plastics residues are mainly discarded into the landfill and frequently the causes of pollution as well. The high usages of plastics are leading to serious environmental pollution, a problem that has to be faced by all societies.

Nevertheless, there is an alternative to reduce the environmental problems caused by plastics. For example, the production and application of biodegradable composites based on biodegradable resources such as natural fiber can be pursued to provide benefits to the environment with respect to the degradability. However, the most serious concerned problem with natural fibers is its hydrophilic nature, which tends to prevent better dispersion of the fibers into the matrix. Therefore, to overcome this challenge, fiber treatment process is one of the common alternatives that can be used to modify the fiber surface topology.

The need for PVA composites has never been as prevalent as it currently is. PVA offers high tensile strength and flexibility as well as excellent film forming. However, this synthetic polymer has important drawback that need to be addressed, which is their degradation rate. Therefore, blending with starch would help to improve the biodegradable properties. In the meantime, nano–reinforced starch/PVA blends are not widely studied compared to starch nanocomposites and PVA nanocomposites. Furthermore, most of the previous studies have investigated the use of nanofillers such as nano silicon dioxide (Xiong *et al.*, 2008; Abbasi, 2012), montmorillonite clay (Ardakani and Nazari, 2010; Spiridon *et al.*, 2008), sodium montmorillonite clay (Taghizadeh *et al.*, 2012) and nanoparticles of poly(methyl methacrylate–co–acrylamide) (Yoon *et al.*, 2012). However, these nanoparticles had no significant influence on biodegradability of films. For that reason, this research was conducted for the purpose of improvement in the properties of PVA and starch blend by using nanocellulose from EFB fiber as reinforcement.

### 1.3 Objective of Study

The objectives of this study are:

- i. To extract and characterize cellulose and nanocellulose from oil palm empty fruit bunch fiber
- ii. To perform an optimization study on nanocellulose yield
- iii. To study the effect of varying the PVA, starch and nanocellulose content on the composites properties
- iv. To compare the properties of composites between pure PVA film, PVA/starch blend film, PVA/starch reinforced with cellulose composite film and PVA/starch reinforced with nanocellulose composite film.

#### 1.4 Scope of Study

Once the objective is decided, it is necessary to determine the scopes that will limit the range of the study. This study was firstly focused on the extraction of cellulose from empty fruit bunch fiber. The cellulose from empty fruit bunch fiber was extracted by using alkaline method, whereby the experimental conditions were fixed according to the method used by Moran *et al.* (2008). Meanwhile, the nanocellulose was isolated from obtained cellulose by using acid hydrolysis method, whereby the hydrolysis conditions were fixed at 60wt% sulfuric acid solutions and reaction temperature at about 45°C with hydrolysis time of 30 minutes under strong agitation (Moran *et al.*, 2008). After that, the extracted cellulose and nanocellulose were characterized by using Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM), x-ray diffraction (XRD) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). Furthermore, the nano-dimensions of nanocellulose were determined using a transmission electron microscope (TEM).

For the optimization study, the central composite design (CCD) method was used to determine the relationship between hydrolysis conditions on maximum yield of nanocellulose. Prior to optimization study, a preliminary screening test was conducted to determine trends in the yields of nanocellulose. The yield of nanocellulose was measured as a function of acid concentration, hydrolysis time and reaction temperature, whereby the sulfuric acid concentration in the range of 45–85 wt%, hydrolysis time from 30 to 90 minutes and reaction temperature between 25 and 65°C were used as hydrolysis conditions.

The third part of this study covered the production of PVA/starch blend films. The starch used in the blend was a corn starch, whereby corn starch has higher amylose content compared to other types of starch, around 28%. High amylose content in starch is known to produce films with better mechanical properties (Yun and Yoon, 2010). This experiments were done by mixing PVA with starch by varying the blend ratio of PVA:starch, which is 80:20, 70:30, 60:40, 50:50 and

40:60. Glycerol was used as a plasticizer, in which it was added to the mixed solution at a 30 wt% ratio based on total weight of starch and PVA (Yao *et al.*, 2011). The mixing temperature and time were fixed at 97°C and 2 hours, respectively (Rahman *et al.*, 2010). The effect of varying the PVA and starch content on the composites properties was analyzed according to their mechanical properties, water absorption and biodegradation properties.

The effectiveness of the nanocellulose fibers as reinforcement was tested in the PVA/starch blend solutions. In this study, content of nanocellulose was varied from 0 to 20% (v/v) of nanocellulose suspension with respect to the volume of PVA and starch solution. Nanocomposite films reinforced with nanocellulose fibers were characterized according to their mechanical properties and water absorption while the biodegradation of films were carried out by using the soil burial test.

In the comparative study, 5% (v/v) cellulose reinforced PVA/starch composite was produced. Therefore, a comparison was made between pure PVA film, PVA/starch blend film, 5% (v/v) cellulose reinforced PVA/starch composite and 5% (v/v) nanocellulose reinforced PVA/starch nanocomposite based on their mechanical properties, water absorption and degradation properties.

## **1.5 Research Hypothesis**

Nanocellulose can be successfully isolated from empty fruit bunch fiber by acid hydrolysis and their incorporation as reinforcement can result in an improvement in polyvinyl alcohol/starch blend film.

## **1.6 Significance of Study**

The finding of the research is important to discover the performance of nanocellulose fiber as reinforcing materials in polymer composites due to their large surface area and the nano-scale dimensions. Therefore, it can provide the opportunity for nano-engineered materials in composite processing that could have not achieved from conventional materials.

Apart from that, this research will also contribute on improving the properties and biodegradation of nanocomposite. Their good mechanical performance showed the potential replacement to glass fiber composite in the emerging advanced composite market. It may give the plastics industry a more economic solution in managing the environmental problems caused by conventional synthetic plastics.

## REFERENCES

- Abbasi, Z. (2012). Water Resistance, Weight Loss and Enzymatic Degradation Of Blends Starch/Polyvinyl Alcohol Containing  $\text{SiO}_2$  Nanoparticle. *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers*. 43(2): 264–268
- Abdul-Khalil, H. P. S., Bhat, A. H. and Ireana-Yusra, A. F. (2011). Green Composites from Sustainable Cellulose Nanofibrils: A Review. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 87(2): 963–979
- Abe, K. and Yano, H. (2009). Comparison of the Characteristics of Cellulose Microfibril Aggregates of Wood, Rice Straw and Potato Tuber. *Cellulose*. 16(6): 1017–1023
- Abraham, E., Deepa, B., Pothan, L. A., Jacob, M., Thomas, S., Cvelbar, U. and Anandjiwala, R. (2011). Extraction of Nanocellulose Fibrils from Lignocellulosic Fibers: A Novel Approach. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 86 (4): 1468–1475
- Akovali, G. (2001). *Handbook of Composite Fabrication*. (1<sup>st</sup> ed). Shropshire, United Kingdom: Rapra Technology Limited
- Alemdar, A. and Sain, M. (2007). Isolation and Characterization of Nanofibers from Agricultural Residues–Wheat Straw and Soy Hulls. *Bioresource Technology*. 99(6): 1664–1671
- Alhuthali, A., Low, I. M. and Dong. C. (2012). Characterisation of the Water Absorption, Mechanical and Thermal Properties of Recycled Cellulose Fibre Reinforced Vinyl-Ester Eco-Nanocomposites. *Composite Part B: Engineering*. 43(7): 2772–2781
- Aljuboori, A. H. R. (2013). Oil Palm Biomass Residue in Malaysia: Availability and Sustainability. *International Journal of Biomass & Renewables*. 2(1): 13–18

- Araki, J., Wada, M., Kuga, S. and Okano, T. (1998). Flow Properties of Microcrystalline Cellulose Suspension Prepared By Acid Treatment of Native Cellulose. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 142 (1): 75–82
- Araki, J., Wada, M., Kuga, S. and Okano, T. (1999). Influence of Surface Charge on Viscosity Behavior of Cellulose Microcrystal Suspension. *Journal of Wood Science*, 45 (3): 258-261
- Ardakani, K. M. and Nazari, B. (2010). Improving the Mechanical Properties of Thermoplastics Starch/Poly (Vinyl Alcohol)/Clay Nanocomposites. *Composites Science and Technology*. 70(10): 1557–1563
- Arib, R. M. N., Sapuan, S. M., Ahmad, M. M. H. M., Paridah, M. T. and Khairul Zaman, H. M. D. (2006). Mechanical Properties of Pineapple Leaf Fiber Reinforced Polypropylene Composites. *Materials and Design*. 27(5): 391–396
- Averous, L., Moro, L., Dole, P. and Fringant, C. (2000). Properties of Thermoplastic Blends: Starch–Polycaprolactone. *Polymer*. 41(11): 4157–4167
- Azahari, N. A., Othman, N. and Ismail, H. (2011). Biodegradation Studies of Polyvinyl Alcohol/Corn Starch Blend Films in Solid and Solution Media. *Journal of Physical Science*. 22(2): 15–31
- Azizi-Samir, M. A. S., Alloin, F., and Dufresne, A. (2005). Review of Recent Research into Cellulosic Whiskers, Their Properties and Their Application in Nanocomposite Field. *Biomacromolecules*, 6 (2): 612–626.
- Baker, A. A., Dutton, S. and Kelly, D. W. (2004). *Composite Materials for Aircraft Structure*. (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.) Reston, Virginia: American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics Education Series.
- Barnes, K. A., Sinclair, R. and Watson, D. (2007). *Chemical Migration and Food Contact Materials*. (1<sup>st</sup> ed.). United Kingdom: Woodhead Publisher
- Ben-Sghaier, A. E. L., Chaabouni, Y., Msahli, S. and Sakli, F. (2012). Morphological and Crystallinity Characterization of NaOH and NaOCl Treated Agave Americana L. Fiber. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 36(1): 257–266
- Berthelot, J. M. (1999). *Composite Materials: Mechanical Behavior and Structural Analysis*. (1<sup>st</sup> ed.) New York: Springer–Verlag

- Bhatnagar, A. (2004). *Isolation of Cellulose Nanofibres from Renewable Feed Stocks and Root Crops*. Degree of Master, University of Toronto, Canada.
- Bhatnagar, A. and Sain, M. (2005). Processing of Cellulose Nanofiber–Reinforced Composites. *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*. 24(12): 1259–1268
- Bilbao–Sainz, C., Bras, J., Williams, T., Senechal, T. and Orts, W. (2011). HPMC Reinforced with Different Cellulose Nano–Particles. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 86 (4): 1549–1557
- Bledzki, A. K. and Gassan, J. (1999). Composites Reinforced With Cellulose Based Fibers. *Progress in Polymer Science*. 24(2): 221–274
- Bledzki, A. K., Mamun, A. A., Lucka-Gabor, M. and Gutowski, V. S. (2008). The Effects of Acetylation on Properties of Flax Fiber and its Polypropylene Composite. *Express Polymer Letters*. 2(6): 413–422
- Bohlmann, G. M. (2005). *General Characteristics, Processability, Industrial Applications and Market Evolution of Biodegradability Polymers*. In: *Handbook of Biodegradable Polymer*. (1<sup>st</sup> ed). United Kingdom: Rapra Technology Limited Publisher.
- Bondeson, D., Mathew, A. and Oksman, K. (2006). Optimization of the Isolation of Nanocrystalline Cellulose by Acid Hydrolysis. *Cellulose*. 13(2): 171–180
- Bourtoom T (2008) Edible Films and Coatings: Characteristics and Properties. *International Food Research Journal*. 15(3), 1–12
- Bourtoom, T. and Chinnan, M. S. (2008). Preparation and Properties of Rice Starch–Chitosan Blend Biodegradable Film. *LWT–Food Science and Technology*. 41(9): 1633–1641
- Bradley, E. L., Castle, L. and Chaudhry, Q. (2011). Applications of Nanomaterials in Food Packaging with a Consideration of Opportunities for Developing Countries. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*. 22(11): 604–610
- Bras, J., Hassan, M. L., Bruzesse, C., Hassan, E. A., El–Wakil, N. A. and Dufresne, A. (2010). Mechanical, Barrier and Biodegradability Properties of Bagasse Cellulose Whiskers Reinforced Natural Rubber Nanocomposites. *Industrial Crops and Products*. 32 (3): 627–633
- Browning, B. (1967). *Methods of Wood Chemistry*. (1<sup>st</sup> ed.) New York: John Wiley and Sons.

- Camacho, F., Gonzalez-Tello, P., Jurado, E. and Robles, A. (1996). Microcrystalline-Cellulose Hydrolysis with Concentrated Sulphuric Acid. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*. 67(4), 350–356
- Cao, X., Ding, B., Yu, J. and Al-Deyab, S. S. (2012). Cellulose Nanowhiskers Extracted from TEMPO-Oxidized Jute Fibers. *Carbohydrate Polymer*. 90(1): 1075–1080
- Charernsriwilaiwat, N., Rojanarata, T., Ngawhirunpat, T. and Opanasopit, P. (2012). Electrospun Chitosan/Polyvinyl Alcohol Nanofibre Mats for Wound Healing. *International Wound Journal*. 1–10
- Chawla, K. K. (1998). *Composite Materials: Science and Engineering*. (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.) New York, USA: Springer Verlag
- Chawla, K. K. (1998). *Fibrous materials*. (1<sup>st</sup> ed). United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press Publisher
- Chawla, K. K. (2003). *Ceramic Matrix Composites*. (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.) The Netherlands, United States of America: Kluwer Academic
- Chen, J. K., Huang, Z. P. and Mai, Y. W. (2003). Constitutive Relation of Particulate–Reinforced Viscoelastic Composite Materials with Debonded Microvoids. *Acta Materialia*. 51(12): 3375–3384
- Chen, L., Qiu, X., Xie, Z., Hong, Z., Sun, J., Chen, X. and Jing, X. (2007). Poly(L-Lactide)/Starch Blends Compatibilized with Poly(L-Lactide)-*g*-Starch Copolymer. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 65(1): 75–80
- Chen, W., Yu, H. and Liu, Y. (2011). Preparation of Millimeter-Long Cellulose I Nanofibers with Diameters of 30–80 Nm from Bamboo Fibers. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 86(2): 453–461
- Chen, Y., Liu, C., Chang, P. R., Cao, X. and Anderson, D. P. (2009). Bionanocomposites Based on Pea Starch and Cellulose Nanowhiskers Hydrolyzed from Pea Hull Fiber: Effect of Hydrolysis Time. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 76(4), 607–615
- Chenampulli, S., Unnikrishan, G., Sujith, A., Thomas, S. and Francis, T. (2013). Cellulose Nano-Particles from Pandanus: Viscometric and Crystallographic Studies. *Cellulose*. 20(1): 429–438

- Cherian, B. M., Leao, A. L., de-Souza, S. F., Costa, L. M. M., de-Olyveira, G., Kottaisamy, M., Nagarajan, E. R. and Thomas, S. (2011). Cellulose Nanocomposites with Nanofibres Isolated from Pineapple Leaf Fibers for Medical Applications. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 86(4): 1790–1798
- Cherian, B. M., Leao, A. L., de-Souza, S. F., Thomas, S., Pothan, L. A. and Kottaisamy, M. (2010). Isolation of Nanocellulose from Pineapple Leaf Fibres by Steam Explosion. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 81(3): 720–725
- Cherian, B. M., Pothan, L. A. and Kottaisamy, M., Chung, T. N., Mennig, G., Kottaisamy, M. and Thomas, S. (2008). A Novel Method for the Synthesis of Cellulose Nanofibril Whiskers from Banana Fibers and Characterization. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 56(14): 5617–5627
- Chiellini, E., Corti, A. and Solaro, R. (1999). Biodegradation of Poly (Vinyl Alcohol) Based Blown Film under Different Environmental Conditions. *Polymer Degradation and Stability*. 64(2): 305–312
- Chirayil, C.J., Joy, J., Mathew, L., Mozetic, M., Koetz, J. and Thomas, S. (2014). Isolation and Characterization of Cellulose Nanofibrils from *Helicteres Isora* Plant. *Industrial Crops and Products*. 59: 27–34
- Cho, M. J. and Park, B. D. (2011). Tensile and Thermal Properties of Nanocellulose Reinforced Poly(Vinyl Alcohol) Nanocomposites. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*. 17(1): 36–40
- Chung, D. D. L. (1994). *Carbon Fiber Composites*. (1<sup>st</sup> ed.) Newton, Massachusetts: Butterworth Heinemann Publisher
- Chung, D. D. L. (2003). *Composite Materials: Functional Materials for Modern Technologies*. (1<sup>st</sup> ed.) Great Britain: Springer–Verlag
- Constantin, M., Fundueanu, G. Bortolotti, F., Cortesi, R., Ascenzi, P. and Menegatti, E. (2004). Preparation and Characterization of Poly (Vinyl Alcohol)/Cyclodextrin Microspheres as Matrix for Inclusion and Separation of Drugs. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*. 285(1–2): 87–96
- Dai, D., Fan, M. and Collins, P. (2013). Fabrication of Nanocelluloses from Hemp Fibers and their Application for the Reinforcement of Hemp Fibers. *Industrial Crops and Products*. 44: 192–199

- Das, K., Ray, D., Bandyopadhyay, N. R., Ghosh, T., Mohanty, A. K. and Misra, M. (2009). A Study of the Mechanical, Thermal and Morphological Properties of Microcrystalline Cellulose Particles Prepared from Cotton Slivers Using Different Acid Concentrations. *Cellulose*. 16(5), 783–793
- Das, K., Ray, D., Bandyopadhyay, N. R., Sahoo, S., Mohanty, A. K. and Misra, M. (2011). Physico–Mechanical Properties of the Jute Micro/Nanofibril Reinforced Starch/Polyvinyl Alcohol Biocomposite Films. *Composites: Part B*. 42(3): 376–381
- Ding, H. Z., Biermann, H. and Hartmann, O. (2003). Low Cycle Fatigue Crack Growth and Life Prediction of Short–Fiber Reinforced Aluminium Matrix Composites. *International Journal of Fatigue*. 25(3): 209–220
- Dong, X. M., Revol, J. F. and Gray, D. G. (1998). Effect of Microcrystallite Preparation Conditions on the Formation of Colloid Crystals of Cellulose. *Cellulose*. 5(1), 19–32
- Dufresne, A. (2012). *Nanocellulose: From Nature to High Performance Tailored Materials*. (1<sup>st</sup> ed.). Berlin, Germany: Walter de Gruyter
- Durairaj, T. and Sittaramane, A. (2012). Nanotechnology Based Water Purification Using Pro-E. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA)*. 2(3): 2750–2753
- Eger, C. and Schultz, P. (2005). Reinforcing Epoxy Resins with Silica Nanoparticles. *The International Conference on Fillers for Polymers*. 8–9 March. Cologne, Germany: 1–6
- El-Sayed, S., Mahmoud, K. H., Fatah, A. A. and Hassen, A. (2011). DSC, TGA and Dielectric Properties of Carboxymethyl Cellulose/Polyvinyl Alcohol Blends. *Physica B: Condenser Matter*. 406 (21): 4068–4076
- Fahma, F., Iwamoto, S., Hori, N., Iwata, T. and Takemura, A. (2010). Isolation, Preparation, and Characterization of Nanofibers from Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunch (OPEFB). *Cellulose*. 17(5), 977–985
- Fahma, F., Iwamoto, S., Hori, N., Iwata, T. and Takemura, A. (2011). Effect of Pre-Acid-Hydrolysis Treatment on Morphology and Properties of Cellulose Nanowhiskers from Coconut Husk. . *Cellulose*. 18(2): 443–450
- Fan, J. and Li, Y. (2012). Maximizing the Yield of Nanocrystalline Cellulose from Cotton Pulp Fiber. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 88(4), 1184–1188

- Faruk, O., Bledzki, A. K., Fink, H. P. and Sain, M. (201). Biocomposites Reinforced with Natural fibers: 2000–2010. *Progress in Polymer Science*. 37(11): 1552–1596
- Follain, N., Joly, C., Dole, P. and Bliard, C. (2005). Properties of Starch Based Blends. Part 2. Influence of Poly Vinyl Alcohol Addition and Photocrosslinking on Starch Based Materials Mechanical Properties. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 60(2): 185–192.
- Fung, K. L., Xing, X. S., Li, R. K. Y., Tiong, S. C. and Main, Y. W. (2003). An Investigation on the Processing of Sisal Fiber Reinforced Polypropylene Composites. *Composites Science and Technology*. 63(9): 1255–1258
- Gironès, J., López, J. P., Mutjè, P., Carvalho, A. J. H., Curvelo, A. A. S. and Vilaseca, F. (2012). Natural Fiber–Reinforced Thermoplastic Starch Composites Obtained by Melt Processing. *Composites Science and Technology*. 72(7): 858–863
- Godbole, S., Gote, S., Latkar, M. and Chakrabarti, T. (2003). Preparation and Characterization of Biodegradable Poly–3–Hydroxybutyrate–Starch Blend Films. *Bioresource Technology*. 86(1): 33–37
- Goodger, E. M. (1976). *Hydrocarbon Fuels, Production, Properties, and Performance of Liquids and Gases*. (1<sup>st</sup> ed.). London: Macmillan Publisher.
- Gundel, D. B. and Wawner, F. E. (1997). Experimental and Theoretical Assessment of the Longitudinal Tensile Strength of Unidirectional Sic–Fiber/Titanium–Matrix Composites. *Composites Science and Technology*. 57(4): 471–481
- Guohua, Z., Ya, L., Cuilan, F., Min, Z., Caiqiong, Z. and Zongdao, C. (2006). Water Resistance, Mechanical Properties and Biodegradability of Methylated–Cornstarch/Poly (Vinyl Alcohol) Blend Film. *Polymer Degradation and Stability*. 91(4): 703–711
- Gupta, M. C. (2005). *Polymer Composite*. (1<sup>st</sup> ed.). New Delhi: New Age International Limited Publisher.
- Habibi, Y. and Dufresne, A. (2008). Highly Filled Bionanocomposites from Functionalized Polysaccharide Nanocrystals. *Biomacromolecules*. 9(7), 1974–1980

- Hariharan, A. B. A. and Abdul-Khalil, H. P. S. (2005). Lignocellulose Based Hybrid Bi-Layer Laminate Composites: Part 1-Studies on Tensile and Impact Behavior of Oil Palm Fiber/Glass Fiber Reinforced Epoxy. *Journal of Composite Materials*. 39(8): 663–684
- Hassan, A., Salema, A. A., Ani, F. N. and Abu-Bakar, A. (2010). A review on Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunch Fiber-Reinforced Polymer Composite Materials. *Polymer Composites*. 31(12): 2079–2101
- He, Y., Asakawa, N., Li, J. and Inoue, Y. (2001). Effects of Low Intermolecular Weight Compound with Hydroxyl Groups on Properties of Poly(L-lactic Acid). *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*. 82(3): 640–649
- Ibrahim, M. M., El-Zawawy, W. K. and Nassar, M. A. (2010). Synthesis and Characterization of Polyvinyl Alcohol/Nanospherical Cellulose Particle Films. *Carbohydrate Polymer*. 79(3): 694–699
- Ioelovich, M. (2012). Optimal Conditions for Isolation of Nanocrystalline Cellulose Particles. *Nanoscience and Nanotechnology*. 2(2), 9–13
- Ishiaku, U. S., Pang, K. W., Lee, W. S. and Ishak, Z. A. M. (2002). Mechanical Properties and Enzymic Degradation of Thermoplastic and Granular Sago Starch Filled Poly( $\epsilon$ -Caprolactone). *European Polymer Journal*. 38(2): 393–401
- Ishigaki, T., Kawagoshi, Y., Ike, M. and Fujita, M. (1999). Biodegradation of A Polyvinyl Alcohol-Starch Blend Plastic Film. *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 15(3): 321–327
- Iuliano, L., Settineri, L. and Gatto, A. (1998). High-Speed Turning Experiments on Metal Matrix Composites. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*. 29(12): 1501–1509
- John, M. J. and Anandjiwala, R. D. (2008). Recent Developments in Chemical Modification and Characterization of Natural Fiber-Reinforced Composites. *Polymer Composites*. 29(2): 187–207
- Jonoobi, M., Khazaeian, A., Tahir, P. M., Azry, S. S. and Oksman, K. (2011). Characteristics Of Cellulose Nanofibers Isolated from Rubberwood and Empty Fruit Bunches of Oil Palm using Chemo-Mechanical Process. *Cellulose*. 18(4): 1085–1095

- Joshy, M. K., Mathew, L. and Joseph, R. (2008). Effect of Alkali treatment on the Mechanical Properties of Short Randomly Oriented Isora Fibre-Polyester Composite. *Progress in Rubber, Plastics and Recycling Technology*. 24(4): 255–272
- Kabir, M. M. (2012). *Effects of Chemical Treatment on Hemp Fibre Reinforced Polyester Composites*. Doctor Philosophy. University of Southern Queensland Toowoomba, Queensland.
- Kadla, J. F. and Gilbert, R. D. (2000). Cellulose Structure: A Review. *Cellulose Chemistry and Technology*. 34(3-4): 197–216
- Kargarzadeh, H., Ahmad, I., Abdullah, I., Dufresne, A., Zainudin, S. Y. and Sheltami, R. M. (2012). Effects of Hydrolysis on the Morphology, Crystallinity and Thermal Stability of Cellulose Nanocrystals Extracted from Kenaf Bast Fibers. *Cellulose*. 19(3): 855–866
- Kelly, A. (1989). *Concise Encyclopedia of Composite Materials*. (1<sup>st</sup> ed.) Massachusetts, United States of America: Pergamon Press
- Khademhosseini, A. and Langer, R. (2006). Nanobiotechnology: Drug Delivery and Tissue Engineering. *Chemical Engineering Progress*. 102(2): 38–42
- Khalid, M., Ratnam, C. T., Chuah T. G., Ali, S. and Chong, T. S. Y. (2008). Comparative Study of Polypropylene Composites Reinforced with Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunch Fiber and Oil Palm Derived Cellulose. *Materials and Design*. 29(1): 173–178
- Khalil, H. P. S. A., Ismail, H., Rozman, H. D. and Ahmad, M. N. (2001). The Effect of Acetylation on Interfacial Shear Strength between Plant Fibres and Various Matrices. *European Polymer Journal*. 37(5): 1037–1045
- Klemm, D., Heublein, B., Fink, H. P. and Bohn, A. (2005). Cellulose: Fascinating Biopolymer and Sustainable Raw Material. *Angewandte Chemie-International Edition*, 44(22): 3358–3393.
- Klemm, D., Kramer, F., Moritz, S., Lindström, T., Ankerfors, M., Gray, D. and Dorris, A. (2011): Nanocelluloses: A New Family of Nature-Based Materials. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*. 50(24): 5438–5466
- Koenig, M. F. and Huang, S. J. (1995). Biodegradable Blends and Composites of Polycaprolactone and Starch Derivatives. *Polymer*. 36(9): 1877–1882

- Koráb, J., Štefánek, P., Kavecký, S., Šebo, P. and Korb, G. (2002). Thermal Conductivity of Unidirectional Copper Matrix Carbon Fiber Composites. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*. 33(4), 577–581
- Kozłowski, M., Masirek, R., Piorkowska, E. and Gazicki-Lipman, M. (2007). Biodegradable Blends of Poly(L-Lactide) and Starch. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*. 105(1): 269–277
- Krishnamachari, P., Hashaikeh, R., Chiesa, M., and El-Rab, K.R.M. (2011). Effects of Acid Hydrolysis Time on Cellulose Nanocrystals Properties: Nanoindentation and Thermogravimetric Studies. *Cellulose Chemical Technology*. 46: 13–18.
- La-Mantia, F. P. and Morreale, M. (2011). Green Composites: A Brief Review. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*. 42(6): 579–588
- Lee, H. L., Chen, G. C. and Rowell, R. M. (2004). Thermal Properties of Wood Reacted with A Phosphorus Pentoxide–Amine System. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*. 91(4), 2465–2481
- Lennholm, H. and Iversen, T. (1995). Classification of Pulp Fibers from Different Wood Species by Multivariate Data-Analysis of C-13-CP/MAS-NMR-Spectra. *International Journal of the Biology, Chemistry, Physics and Technology of Wood*. 49(5): 462–464
- Li, J., Wei, X., Wang, Q., Chen, J., Chang, G., Kong, L., Su, J. and Liu, Y. (2012). Homogenous Isolation of Nanocellulose from Sugarcane Bagasse by High Pressure Homogenization. *Carbohydrate Polymer*. 90(4): 1609–1613
- Li, L., Sun, J. and Jia, G. (2012). Properties of Natural Cotton Stalk Bark Fiber under Alkali Treating. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*. 125(S2): E534–E539
- Li, R., Fei, J., Cai, Y., Yu, Li., Feng, J. and Yao, J. (2009) Cellulose Whisker Extracted From Mulberry: A Novel Biomass Production. *Carbohydrate Polymer*. 76(1):94–99
- Li, W., Yue, J. and Liu, S. (2012). Preparation of Nanocrystalline Cellulose via Ultrasonic and Its Reinforcement Capability for Poly(Vinyl Alcohol) Composites. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry*. 19(3): 479–485
- Li, X., Tabil, L. G. and Panigrahi, S. (2007). Chemical Treatments of Natural Fiber for use in Natural–Reinforced Composites: A Review. *Journal of Polymers and the Environment*. 15(1): 25–33

- Lim, C. Y. H., Lim, S. C. and Gupta, M. (2003). Wear Behavior of SiC<sub>p</sub>-Reinforced Magnesium Matrix Composites. *Wear*. 255(1–6): 629–637
- Limpan, N., Prodpran, T., Benjakul, S. and Prasarnpran, S. (2010). Properties of Biodegradable Blend Films Based on Fish Myofibrillar Protein and Polyvinyl Alcohol as Influenced by Blend Composition and pH Level. *Journal of Food Engineering*. 100(1): 85–92.
- Liu, D., Zhong, T., Chang, P. R., Li, K. and Wu, Q. (2010). Starch Composites Reinforced by Bamboo Cellulosic Crystal. *Bioresource Technology*. 101(7): 2529–2536
- Liu, L., Yu, J., Cheng, L. and Yang, X. (2009). Biodegradability of Poly(Butylene Succinate) (PBS) Composite Reinforced with Jute Fiber. *Polymer Degradation and Stability*. 94(1): 90–94
- Lu, J., Wang, T. and Drzal, L. T. (2008). Preparation and Properties of Microfibrillated Cellulose Polyvinyl Alcohol Composite Materials. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*. 39(5): 738–746
- Lu, P. and Hsieh, Y. L. (2010). Preparation and Properties of Cellulose Nanocrystal: Rods, Spheres and Network. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 82(5), 329–336
- Lu, Y., Weng, L. and Cao, X. (2005). Biocomposites of Plasticized Starch Reinforced with Cellulose Crystallites from Cottonseed Linter. *Macromolecular Bioscience*. 5(11), 1101–1107
- Ludueno, L., Fasce, D., Alvarez, V. A. and Stefani, P. M. (2011). Nanocellulose from Rice Husk Following Alkaline Treatment to Remove Silica. *BioResources*. 6(2): 1440–1453
- Ludueno, L., Vecchio, A., Stefani, P. M. and Alvarez, V. A. (2013). Extraction of Cellulose Nanowhiskers from Natural Fibers and Agricultural Byproducts. *Fibers and Polymers*. 14(7): 1118–1127
- Luo, X., Yang, Y. Q., Liu, Y. C., Ma, Z. J., Yuan, M. N. and Chen, Y. (2007). The Fabrication and Property of SiC Fiber Reinforced Copper Matrix Composites. *Materials Science and Engineering*. 459(1–2): 244–250
- Mamalis, A. G., Wallace, W., Kandeil, A., de-Malherbe, M. C. and Immarigeon, J. P. A. (1981). Spread and Fracture Patterns in Forging Superalloy Fiber-Reinforced Composites. *Journal of Mechanical Working*. 5(1–2): 15–30

- Mandal, A. and Chakrabarty, D. (2011). Isolation of Nanocellulose from Waste Sugarcane Bagasse (SCB) and Its Characterization. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 86 (3): 1291–1299
- Mangal, M. A., Saxena, N. S., Sreekala, M. S., Thomas, S. and Singh, K. (2003). Thermal Properties of Pineapple Leaf Fiber Reinforced Composites. *Materials Science and Engineering: A*. 339(1–2): 281–285
- Mansur, M. A. and Aziz, M. A. (1982). A Study of Jute Fiber Reinforced Cement Composites. *International Journal of Cement Composites and Lightweight Concrete*. 4(2): 75–82
- Mao, L., Imam, S., Gordon, S., Cinelli, P. and Chiellin, E. (2002). Extruded Cornstarch–Glycerol–Polyvinyl Alcohol Blends: Mechanical Properties, Morphology, and Biodegradability. *Journal of Polymers and the Environment*. 8(4): 205–211
- Martinez-Sanz, M., Lopez-Rubio, A. and Lagaron, J. M. (2011). Optimization of the Nanofabrication by Acid Hydrolysis of Bacterial Cellulose Nanowhiskers. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 85(1), 228–236
- Masuhira, T., Giuliano, F. and John, S. C. (1994). Structure and Compatibility of Poly (vinyl Alcohol)–Silk Fibroin (PVA/SA) Blend Films. *Journal of Polymer Science Part B: Polymer Physics*. 32(2): 243–248
- Mathews, D. T., Birney, Y. A., Cahill, P. A. and McGuinness, G. B. (2007). Vascular Cell Viability on Polyvinyl Alcohol Hydrogels Modified with Water-Soluble and -Insoluble Chitosan. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B: Applied Biomaterials*. 84B(2): 532–540
- Matthews, F. L. and Rawlings, R. D. (1999). *Composite Materials: Engineering and Science*. (1<sup>st</sup> ed.) Cambridge, England: Woodhead Publisher
- Mehta, G., Mohanty, A. K., Misra, M. and Drzal. L. T. (2004). Effect of Novel Sizing on the Mechanical and Morphological Characteristics of Natural Fiber Reinforced Unsaturated Polyester Resin Based Bio-Composites. *Journal of Material Science*. 39(8): 2961–2964.
- Menon, N. R., Ab-Rahman, Z. and Abu-Bakar, N. (2003). Empty Fruit Bunches Evaluation: Mulch in Plantation vs. Fuel for Electricity Generation. *Journal of Oil Palm Industry Economic*. 3(2), 15–20

- Mohanty, A. K., Misra, M. and Drzal, L. T. (2002). Sustainable Bio-Composites from Renewable Resources: Opportunities and Challenges in the Green Materials World. *Journal of Polymers and the Environment*, 10(1-2): 19–26
- Mohanty, A. K., Misra, M. and Drzal, L. T. (2005). *Natural Fibers, Biopolymers, and Biocomposites*. (1<sup>st</sup> ed.) Boca Raton, Florida: Taylor and Francis Group
- Morais, J. P. S., Rosa, M. F., Filho, M. M. S., Nascimento, L. D., Nascimento, D. M. and Cassales, A. R. (2012). Extraction and Characterization of Nanocellulose Structures from Raw Cotton Linter. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 91(1), 229–235
- Moran, J. I., Alvarez, V. A., Cyras, V. P. and Vazquez, A. (2008). Extraction of Cellulose and Preparation of Nanocellulose from Sisal Fibers. *Cellulose*. 15(1): 149–159
- Mucha, M., Ludwiczak, S. and Kawinska, M. (2005). Kinetics of Water Sorption by Chitosan and Its Blends with Poly(Vinyl Alcohol). *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 62(1): 42–49.
- Mukhopadhyay, M. (2001). *Mechanics of Composite Materials and Structures*. (1<sup>st</sup> ed.) Hyderabad, India: University Press Private Limited
- Murphy, J. (2001). *Additives for Plastics Handbook*. (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.) Oxford, United Kingdom: Elsevier Advanced Technology
- Nacos, M. K., Katapodis, P., Pappas, C., Daferera, D., Tarantilis, P. A., Christakopoulos, P. and Polissiou, M. (2006). Kenaf Xylan—A Source of Biologically Active Acidic Oligosaccharides. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 66(1): 126–134
- Nardone, V. C. (1994). Analysis of Superalloy–Toughened NiAl Composites. *Composites Science and Technology*. 52(2): 151–161
- Ning, W., Jiugao, Y., Xiaofei, M. and Ying, W. (2007). The Influence of Citric Acid on the Properties of Thermoplastic Starch/Linear Low-Density Polyethylene Blends. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 67(3): 446–453
- Nishino, T., Hirao, K., Kotera, M., Nakamae, K. and Inagaki, H. (2003) Kenaf Reinforced Biodegradable Composite. *Composites Science and Technology*. 63(9): 1281–1286
- Ochi, S. (2008). Mechanical Properties of Kenaf Fibers and Kenaf/PLA Composites. *Mechanics of Materials*. 40(4–5): 446–452

- Ohnabe, H., Masaki, S., Onozuka, M., Miyahara, K. and Sasa, T. (1999). Potential Application of Ceramic Matrix Composites to Aero–Engine Components. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*. 30(4): 489–496
- Ollier, R. P., Perez, C. J. and Alvarez, V. A. (2013) Preparation and Characterization of Micro and Nanocomposites Based on Poly(Vinyl Alcohol) for Packaging Applications. *Journal of Materials Science*. 48(20): 7088–7096
- Ooi, Z. X., Ismail, H., Abu–Bakar, A. and Abdul–Aziz, N. A. (2012). Properties of the Crosslinked Plasticized Biodegradable Poly(Vinyl Alcohol)/Rambutan Skin Waste Flour Blends. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*. 125(2): 1127–1135
- Othman, S. H., Abdul–Rashid, S., Mohd–Ghazi, T. I. and Abdullah, N. (2012). Dispersion and Stabilization of Photocatalytic TiO<sub>2</sub> Nanoparticles in Aqueous Suspension for Coatings Applications. *Journal of Nanomaterials*. 2012(718214): 1–10
- Pääkkö, M., Ankerfors, M., Kosonen, H., Nykänen, A., Ahola, S., Österberg, M., Ruokolainen, J., Laine, J., Larsson, P. T., Ikkala, O. and Lindström, T. (2007). Enzymatic Hydrolysis Combined with Mechanical Shearing and High-Pressure Homogenization for Nanoscale Cellulose Fibrils and Strong Gels. *Biomacromolecules*. 8(6): 1934–1941.
- Palma-Rodríguez, H. D., Gabriel-Álvarez, G., Chavarría-Hernández, N., Rodríguez-Hernández, A. I., Beelo-Pérez, L. A. and Vargas-Torres, A. (2012). Oxidized Banana Starch–Polyvinyl Alcohol Film: Partial Characterization. *Starch–Strike*. 1–8
- Pan, M., Zhou, X. and Chen, M. (2013) Cellulose Nanowhiskers Isolation and Properties from Acid Hydrolysis Combined with High Pressure Homogenization. *Bioresources*, 8(1): 933–943
- Pei, H. N., Chen, X. G., Li, Y. and Zhou, H. Y. (2007). Characterization and Ornidazole Release In Vitro of A Novel Composite Film Prepared with Chitosan/Poly(Vinyl Alcohol)/Alginate. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part A*. 85A(2): 566–572
- Prasanth–Kumar, R., Manikandan Nair, K. C., Thomas, S., Schit, S. C. and Ramamurthy, K. (2000). Morphology and Melt Rheological Behavior of Short–Sisal Reinforced SBR Composites. *Composites Science and Technology*. 60(9): 1737–1751

- Rahman, W. A. W. A., Sin, L. T., Rahmat, A. R. and Samad, A. A. (2010). Thermal Behaviour and Interactions of Cassava Starch Filled with Glycerol Plasticized Polyvinyl Alcohol Blends. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 81(4): 805–810
- Ramadevi, P., Sampathkumar, D., Srinivasa, C. V. and Bennehalli, B. (2012). Effect of Alkali Treatment on Water Absorption of Single Cellulosic Abaca Fiber. *BioResource*. 7(3): 3515–3524
- Ramis, X., Cadenato, A., Salla, J. M., Morancho, J. M., Vallés, A., Contat, L. and Ribes, A. (2004). Thermal Degradation of Polypropylene/Starch-Based Materials with Enhanced Biodegradability. *Polymer Degradation and Stability*. 86(3): 483–491
- Reddy, N. and Yang, Y. (2005). Properties and Potential Applications of Natural Cellulose Fibers from Cornhusks. *Green Chemistry*. 7(4): 190–195
- Reis, K. C., Pereira, J., Smith, A. C., Carvalho, C. W. P., Wellner, N. and Yakimets, I. (2008). Characterization of Polyhydroxybutyrate-Hydroxyvalerate (PHB-HV)/Maize Starch Blend Films. *Journal of Food Engineering*. 89(4): 361–369
- Revol, J. F., Bradford, H., Giasson, J., Marchessault, R. H. and Gray D. G. (1992). Helicoidal Self-Ordering Of Cellulose Microfibrils in Aqueous Suspension. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*. 14 (3): 170–172
- Roohani, M., Habibi, Y., Belgacem, N. M., Ebrahim, G., Karimi, A. L. and Dufresne, A. (2008). Cellulose Whiskers Reinforced Polyvinyl Alcohol Copolymers Nanocomposites. *European Polymer Journal*. 44(8): 2489–2498
- Rosa, D. S., Lopes, D. R. and Calil, M. R. (2005). Thermal Properties and Enzymatic Degradation of Blends of Poly( $\epsilon$ -caprolactone) with Starches. *Polymer Testing*. 24(6): 756–761
- Rosa, S. M. L., Rehman, N., de-Miranda, M. I. G., Nachtigall, S. M. B. and Bica, C. I. D. (2012). Chlorine-Free Extraction of Cellulose From Rice Husk and Whisker Isolation. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 87(2): 1131–1138
- Russo, M. A. L., Truss, R. and Halley, P. J. (2009). The Enzymatic Hydrolysis of Starch-Based PVOH and Polyol Plasticized Blends. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 77(3): 442–448

- Sadeghifar, H., Filpponen, I., Clarke, S. P., Brougham, D. F. and Argyropoulos, D. S. (2011). Production of Cellulose Nanocrystals Using Hydrobromic Acid and Click Reactions on Their Surface. *Journal of Materials Science*. 46(22), 7344–7355
- Şanlı, O., Karaca, I. and Işıklan, N. (2008). Preparation, Characterization, and Salicylic Acid Release Behavior of Chitosan/Poly(Vinyl Alcohol) Blend Microspheres. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*. 111(6): 2731–2740
- Satyanarayana, K. G., Arizaga, G. G. C. and Wypych, F. (2009). Biodegradable Composites Based on Lignocellulosic Fibers: An Overview. *Progress in Polymer Science*. 34(9): 982–1021
- Segal, L., Creely, J. J., Martin, A. E. and Conrad, C. M. (1959). An Empirical Method for Estimating the Degree of Crystallinity of Native Cellulose Using the X-Ray Diffractometer. *Textile Research Journal*. 29(10): 2114–2121
- Shanks, R. A., Hodzic, A. and Wong, S. (2004) Thermoplastic Biopolyester Natural Fiber Composites. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*. 91(4): 2114–2121
- Sin, L. T., Rahman, W. A. W. A., Rahmat, A. R. and Samad, A. A. (2010). Computational Modeling and Experimental Infrared Spectroscopy of Hydrogen Bonding Interactions in Polyvinyl Alcohol–Starch Blends. *Polymer*. 51(5): 1206–1211
- Singh, R. P., Pandey, J. K., Rutot, Degée, P. and Dubois, P. (2003). Biodegradation of Poly( $\epsilon$ -Caprolactone)/Starch Blends and Composites in Composting and Culture Environments: The Effect of Compatibilization on the Inherent Biodegradability of the Host Polymer. *Carbohydrate Research*. 338(17): 1759–1769
- Soykeabkaew, N., Laosat, N., Ngaokla, A., Yodsuwan, N. and Tunkasiri, T. (2012). Reinforcing Potential of Micro- and Nanosized Fibers in the Starch-Based Biocomposite. *Composites Science and Technology*. 72(7): 845–852
- Spěváček, J., Brus, J., Divers, T. and Grohens, Y. (2007). Solid-State NMR Study of Biodegradable Starch/Polycaprolactone Blends. *European Polymer Journal*. 43(5): 1866–1875
- Spiridon, I., Popescu, M. C., Bodârlău, R. and Vasile, C. (2008). Enzymatic Degradation of Some Nanocomposites of Poly(Vinyl Alcohol) with Starch. *Polymer Degradation and Stability*. 93(10): 1884–1890

- Sreedhar, B., Chattopadhyay, D. K., Karunakar, M. S. H. and Sastry, A. R. K. (2006). Thermal and Surface Characterization of Plasticized Starch Polyvinyl Alcohol Blends Crosslinked with Epichlorohydrin. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*. 101(1): 25–34
- Sreekala, M. S., Kumaran, M. G. and Thomas, S. (2002). Water Sorption in Oil Palm Fiber Reinforced Phenol Formaldehyde Composites. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*. 33(6): 763–777
- Sreekumar, P. A., Al-Harhi, M. A. and De, S. K. (2012). Effect of Glycerol on Thermal and Mechanical Properties of Polyvinyl Alcohol/Starch Blends. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*. 123(1): 135–142
- Sreekumar, P. A., Al-Harhi, M. A. and De, S. K. (2012). Studies of Compatibility of Biodegradable Starch/Polyvinyl Alcohol Blends. *Polymer Engineering and Science*. 52(10): 2167–2172
- Srinivasa, P. C., Ramesh, M. N., Kumar, K. R. and Tharanathan, R. N. (2003). Properties and Sorption Studies of Chitosan–Polyvinyl Alcohol Blend Films. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 53(4): 431–438
- Srinivasan R. (2010). *Engineering Materials and Metallurgy*. (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.) New Delhi, India: Tata McGraw Hill
- Su, J. F., Huang, Z., Zhao, Y. H., Yuan, X. Y., Wang, X. Y. and Li, M. (2010). Moisture Sorption and Water Vapor Permeability of Soy Protein Isolate/Poly (Vinyl Alcohol)/Glycerol Blend Films. *Industrial Crops and Products*. 31(2): 266–276
- Taghizadeh, M. T., Abbasi, Z. and Nasrollahzade, Z. (2012). Study of Enzymatic Degradation and Water Absorption of Nanocomposites Starch/Polyvinyl Alcohol and Sodium Montmorillonite Clay. *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers*. 43(1): 120–124
- Taj, S., Munawar, M. A. and Khan, S. (2007). Natural Fiber–Reinforced Polymer Composites. *Proceedings of the Pakistan Academy of Sciences*. 44(2): 129–144
- Takasu A., Itou H., Takada M., Inai Y., Hirabayashi T. (2002) Accelerated Biodegradation of Poly(Vinyl Alcohol) by A Glycosidation of the Hydroxyl Groups. *Polymer*. 43(1): 227–231

- Tan, C., Ahmad, I. and Heng, M. (2011). Characterization of Polyester Composites from Recycled Polyethylene Terephthalate Reinforced with Empty Fruit Bunch Fibers. *Materials & Design*. 32(8–9): 4493–4501
- Tang, S., Zou, P., Xiong, H. and Tang, H. (2008). Effect of Nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> on the Performance of Starch/Polyvinyl Alcohol Blend Films. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 72(3), 521–526
- Tang, X. and Alavi, S. (2011). Recent Advances in Starch, Polyvinyl Alcohol based Polymer Blends, Nanocomposites and Their Biodegradability. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 85(1): 7–16
- Tang, Y., Yang, S., Zhang, N. and Zhang, J. (2014). Preparation and Characterization of Nanocrystalline Cellulose via Low-Intensity Ultrasonic-Assisted Sulfuric Acid Hydrolysis. *Cellulose*. 21(1): 335–346
- Teixera, E. M., Pasquini, D., Curvelo, A. A. S., Corradini, E., Belgacem, M. N. and Dufresne, A. (2009). Cassava Bagasse Cellulose Nanofibrils Reinforced Thermoplastics Cassava Starch. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 78(3): 422–431
- Thakore, I. M., Iyer, S., Desai, A., Lele, A. and Devi, S. (1999). Morphology, Thermomechanical Properties and Biodegradability of Low Density Polyethylene/Starch Blends. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*. 74(12): 2791–2802
- Thiré, R. S. M., Rebeiro, T. A. A. and Andrade, C. T. (2006). Effect of Starch Addition on Compression-Molded Poly-3-Hydroxybutyrate/Starch Blend. *Journal of Applied Science Polymer*. 100(6): 4338–4347
- Thomas, M. P. and Winstone, M. R. (1999). Longitudinal Yielding Behavior of SiC-Fiber Reinforced Titanium-Matrix Composites. *Composites Science and Technology*. 59(2): 297–303
- Tjong, S. C. and Ma, Z. Y. (1997). The High-Temperature Creep Behavior of Aluminium-Matrix Composites Reinforced with SiC, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and TiB<sub>2</sub> Particles. *Composites Science and Technology*. 57(6): 697–702
- Tohgo, K. and Itoh, T. (2005). Elastic and Elastic-Plastics Singular Fields around a Crack-Tip in Particulate-Reinforced Composites with Progressive Debonding Damage. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*. 42(26): 6566–6585

- Troedec, M., Sedan, D., Peyratout, C., Bonnet, J. P., Smith, A., Guinebretiere, R., Gloaguen, V. and Krausz, P. (2008). Influence of Various Chemical Treatments on the Composition and Structure of Hemp Fibres. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*. 39(3): 514–522
- Tudorachi, N., Cascaval, C. N., Rusu, M. and Pruteanu, M. (2000). Testing of Polyvinyl Alcohol and Starch Mixtures as Biodegradable Polymeris Materials. *Polymer Testing*. 19(7): 785–799
- Ureña, A., Martínez, E. E., Rodrigo, P. and Gil, L. (2004). Oxidation Treatments for SiC Particles Used as Reinforcement in Aluminium Matrix Composites. *Composites Science and Technology*. 64(12): 1843–1854
- Venkataraman, M. (2002). *The Effect of Colloidal Stability on the Heat Transfer Characteristics of Nanosilica Dispersed Fluids*. Degree of Master. University of Madras, Chepauk Chennai.
- Wan, Y. Z., Luo, H., He, F., Liang, H., Huang, Y. and Li, X. L. (2009). Mechanical, Moisture Absorption and Biodegradation Behaviours of Bacterial Cellulose Fibre-Reinforced Starch Biocomposites. *Composites Science and Technology*. 69(7–8): 1212–1217
- Wan-Razali, W. A., Baharuddin, A. S., Talib, A. T., Sulaiman, A., Naim, N. M., Hassan, M. N. and Shirai, Y. (2012). Degradation of Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunches (OPEFB) Fibre during Composting Process Using In-Vessel Composter. *BioResource*. 7(4): 4786–4805
- Wang, X. J., Wu, K., Huang, W. X., Zhang, H. F., Zheng, M. Y. and Peng, D. L. (2007). Study on Fracture Behavior of Particulate Reinforced Magnesium Matrix Composite Using In Situ SEM. *Composites Science and Technology*. 67(11–12): 2253–2260
- Wang, Z. F., Peng, Z., Li, S. D., Lin, H., Zhang, K. X., Dong, X. and Fu, X. (2009). The Impact of Esterification on the Properties of Starch/Natural Rubber Composite. *Composite Science and Technology*. 69(11–12): 1797–1803
- Wang, Z. H., Wang, X. D., Zhao, Y. X. and Du, W. B. (2010). SiC Nanoparticles Reinforced Magnesium Matrix Composites Fabricated by Ultrasonic Method. *Transactions of Nonferrous Metals Society of China*. 20(3): 1029–1032
- Warren, R. (1992). *Ceramic–Matrix Composites*. (1<sup>st</sup> ed.) New York, United States of America: Chapman and Hall

- Wu, C. S. (2003). Physical Properties and Biodegradability of Maleated Polycaprolactone/Starch Composite. *Polymer Degradation and Stability*. 80(1):127–134
- Xia, Y., Yang, P., Sun, Y., Wu, Y., Mayers, B. and Gates, B. (2003). One Dimensional Nanostructures: Synthesis, Characterization, and Applications. *Advanced Materials*, 15(5): 353–389
- Xiong, H. G., Tang, S. W., Tang, H. L. and Zou, P. (2008). Effect of Nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> on the Performance of Starch/Polyvinyl Alcohol Blend Films. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 72(3): 521–526
- Xiong, H. G., Tang, S. W., Tang, H. L. and Zou, P. (2008). The Structure and Properties of Starch-Based Biodegradable Film. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 71(2): 263–268
- Yang, H., Yan, R., Chen, H., Dong, H. L., and Zheng, C. (2007). Characteristics of Hemicellulose, Cellulose and Lignin Pyrolysis. *Fuel*. 86(12–13): 1781–1788.
- Yang, S. H., Lee, Y. S. J., Lin, F. H., Yang, J. M. and Chen, K. S. (2007). Chitosan/Poly(vinyl alcohol) Blending Hydrogel Coating Improves the Surface Characteristics of Segmented Polyurethane Urethral Catheters. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B: Applied Biomaterials*. 83B(2): 304–313
- Yao, K., Cai, J., Liu, M., Yu, Y., Xiong, H., Tang, S. and Ding, S. (2011). Structure And Properties of Starch/PVA/Nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> Hybrid Films. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 86(4): 1784–1789
- Yao, S. J. (2009). Sulfation Kinetics in the Preparation of Cellulose Sulfate. *Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering*. 7(1): 47–55
- Yee, T. W., Sin, L. T., Rahman, W. A. W. A. and Samad, A. A. (2011). Properties and Interactions of Poly(Vinyl Alcohol)-Sago Pith Waste Biocomposites. *Journal of Composite Materials*. 45(21): 2199–2209
- Yoon, S. D., Park, M. H. and Byun, H. S. (2012). Mechanical and Water Barrier Properties of Starch/PVA Composite Films by Adding Nano-Sized Poly(Methyl Methacrylate-co-Acrylamide) Particles. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 87(1): 676–686
- Yu, D., Wu, J., Zhou, L., Xie, D. and Wu, S. (2000). The Dielectric and Mechanical Properties of a Potassium-Titanate-Whisker-Reinforced PP/PA Blend. *Composites Science and Technology*. 60(4): 499–508

- Yun, Y. H., Wee, Y. J., Byun, H. S. and Yoon, S. D. (2008). Biodegradability of Chemically Modified Starch (RS4)/PVA Blend Films: Part 2. *Journal of Polymers and the Environment*. 16(1): 12–18
- Yun, Y. H. and Yoon, S. D. (2010). Effect of Amylose Contents of Starches on Physical Properties and Biodegradability of Starch/PVA-Blended Films. *Polymer Bulletin*. 64(6), 553–568
- Zainuddin, S.Y.Z., Ahmad, I., Kargazadeh, H., Abdullah, I. and Dufresne, A. (2013). Potential of Using Multiscale Kecaf Fibers as Reinforcing Filler in Cassave Strach-Kenaf Biocomposites. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 92(2): 2299–2305
- Zampaloni, M., Pourboghra, F., Yankovich, S. A., Rodgers, B. N., Moore, J., Drzal, L. T., Mohanty, A. K. and Misra, M. (2007). Kenaf Natural Fiber Reinforced Polypropylene Composites: A Discussion on Manufacturing Problems and Solutions. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*. 38(6): 1569–1580
- Zhang, S., Cao, V. Y., Ma, Y. M., Ke, Y. C., Zhang, J. K. and Wang, F. S. (2011). The Effects of Particle Size and Content on the Thermal Conductivity and Mechanical Properties of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) Composites. *eXPRESS Polymer Letters*. 5(7), 581–590
- Zhang, W., Yang, X., Li, C., Liang, M., Lu, C. and Deng, Y. (2011). Mechanochemical Activation of Cellulose and Its Thermoplastic Polyvinyl Alcohol Ecomposites with Enhanced Physicochemical Properties. *Carbohydrate Polymer*. 83(1): 257–263
- Zhong, O. X., Ismail, H., Abdul-Aziz, N. A. and Abu-Bakar, A. (2011). Preparation and Properties of Biodegradable Polymer Film Based on Polyvinyl Alcohol and Tropical Fruit Waste Flour. *Polymer-Plastics Technology and Engineering*. 50(7): 705–711
- Zimmerman, T., Pohler, E. and Schwaller, P. (2005). Mechanical and Morphological Properties of Cellulose Fibril Reinforced Nanocomposites. *Advanced Engineering Materials*. 7(12): 1156–1161
- Zobel, H. F. (1992). Starch granule structure. In: Alexander, R. J. and Zobel, H. F. (Ed.) *Development in Carbohydrate Chemistry*. Minnesota, USA: American Association of Cereal Chemistry Publisher.