THE EFFECTS OF BISMUTH, STRONTIUM AND ANTIMONY ADDITIONS ON THE MICROSTRUCTURE AND MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF A356 ALUMINIUM CASTING ALLOY

LING TUONG THAI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Mechanical)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2006

To my beloved mum and dad (in Heaven). To all my companions who have accompanied me throughout my life's journey. World would not be the same without you all.

ACKNOWLEGDEMENT

Firstly, thank God for His blessings that enabled me to complete my thesis.

My deepest gratitude goes to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Ali Ourdjini, who has been extremely patient and helpful throughout the course of my research. His vast knowledge, experience and constructive ideas have helped me to undertake my study.

I would also like to express my appreciation to my co-supervisor, Dr. Mohd. Hasbullah bin. Haji Idris, who was always generous enough to offer his guidance and assistance, whenever I needed them.

My study could not have been carried out smoothly without the assistance and co-operation from the technicians in the laboratories at the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, in particular the Materials Science Laboratory which supported most of my work. Special thanks to Mr. Ayub, Mr. Zainal, Mr. Adnan, Mr. Jefri, Mr. Amri, Mr. Azri and Mr. Nazri for their experience and help.

Not forgetting my family members and friends, who have been ever supportive and always encouraged me to move on, no matter what difficulties that may lie ahead.

ABSTRACT

Aluminium castings offer significant weight reduction and improved fuel efficiency. Nowadays, aluminium recycling is widely practiced so impurity-related problems has become more important. Bismuth is one of the alloying elements added to aluminium alloys to improve their machinability, but little is known about its effect as a modifier or refiner. There has also been little investigation on the effect of low strontium contents (0.001wt% to 0.006wt%) on porosity formation. In the present work both sand and permanent moulds were used to produce bars containing varying strontium-bismuth ratios with some being treated with 0.2wt% antimony to investigate the interaction between these elements. A quench-during-solidification technique had been performed to study the effect of low strontium content on nucleation and growth of porosity in A356 alloy. Optical microscope, image analyzer, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), energy dispersive x-ray analysis (EDX) and x-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis were used to characterize the eutectic silicon, porosity and other phases. Strontium content as low as 0.004wt% was found to bring upon modification to the morphology of the eutectic silicon, whereas an addition of 0.005wt% bismuth refined the eutectic silicon. Beyond this level of bismuth the silicon phase was found to undergo coarsening. A strontium-bismuth ratio of at least 0.5 is suggested to be necessary to ensure a modified silicon morphology, whereas the refining effect of antimony was not affected by bismuth addition. Percentage area of porosity and pore roundness were found to increase with increasing strontium content, reasonably due to earlier pore growth and less shrinkage-type porosity in the castings. The nucleation of new pores occurred at the solid fraction of around 75%, regardless of strontium content. In the present work, the effect of low strontium content, cooling rate and heat treatment (T6) on the mechanical properties was also studied. The results showed that the mechanical properties were less affected by the strontium level but more by heat treatment and cooling rates.

ABSTRAK

Tuangan aluminium memberikan pengurangan berat yang ketara serta kecekapan penggunaan bahan api yang tinggi. Kini, kitar-semula aluminium telah dijalankan secara meluas dan masalah yang berkaitan dengan bendasing semakin penting. Bismuth merupakan salah satu unsur pengaloian untuk meningkatkan ciri kebolehmesinan aloi aluminium tetapi kesannya sebagai suatu pengubahsuai dan penghalus kurang diketahui. Kajian tentang kesan kandungan strontium yang rendah (0.001%bt to 0.006%bt) terhadap pembentukan keliangan juga sedikit. Dalam kerja ini, kedua-dua acuan pasir dan acuan kekal digunakan untuk menghasilkan bar-bar yang mengandungi nisbah strontium-bismuth yang berbeza dan sesetengahnya dirawat dengan 0.2%bt antimoni untuk mengkaji interaksi antara unsur-unsur ini. Suatu teknik lindap kejut-semasa-pemejalan telah dilakukan untuk mengkaji kesan kandungan strontium yang rendah ke atas penukleusan dan pertumbuhan keliangan dalam aloi A356. Mikroskopi optik, penganalisis imej, mikroskopi imbsan elektron (SEM), analisis sinar-x serakan tenaga (EDX) dan belauan sinar-x (XRD) telah digunakan untuk mencirikan silikon eutektik, keliangan dan fasa-fasa lain. Kandungan strontium serendah 0.004%bt didapati mampu memberikan pengubahsuaian ke atas morfologi silikon eutektik. Manakala penambahan pada 0.005bt% bismuth menghaluskan silikon eutektik. Melebihi paras ini fasa silikon didapati menjadi lebih kasar. Nisbah strontium-bismuth sekurang-kurangnya 0.5 dicadangkan adalah perlu untuk memastikan morfologi silikon yang terubahsuai manakala kesan penghalusan daripada antimoni tidak dipengaruhi oleh penambahan bismuth. Peratusan keliangan serta keliangan yang semakin membulat didapati bertambah apabila paras strontium meningkat, disebabkan oleh pertumbuhan keliangan yang lebih awal dan keliangan jenis-pengecutan yang kurang dalam tuangan. Penukleusan keliangan baru berlaku pada 75% bahagian-pepejal, tanpa mengira kandungan strontium. Dalam kerja ini, kesan daripada kandungan strontium yang rendah, kadar penyejukan dan rawatan haba (T6) ke atas sifat mekanik juga telah dikaji. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa sifat-sifat mekanik kurang dipengaruhi oleh paras strontium tetapi lebih dipengaruuhi oleh rawatan haba serta kadar pernyejukan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER		TITLE	PAGE
	TIT	LE PAGE	
	DEC	CLARATION	ii
	DED	DICATION	iii
	ACŀ	KNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABS	V	
	ABS	STRAK	vi
	TAB	BLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST	Г OF TABLES	xii
	LIST	Г OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST	Γ OF APPENDICES	xxii
1	RES	SEARCH BACKGROUND	1
	1.1	Introduction	1
	1.2	Objectives of Study	4
	1.3	Scope of Work	4
2	ALU	JMINIUM ALLOYS	5
	2.1	Introduction	5
	2.2	Wrought Aluminium Alloys	6
	2.3	Cast Aluminium Alloys	6
3	ALU	JMINIUM-SILICON ALLOYS	12
	3.1	Introduction	12
	3.2	Solidification of Aluminium-Silicon	13

	Alloy	7S	
	3.2.1	Heterogeneous Nucleation	13
	3.2.2	Growth	14
	3.2.3	Eutectic Solidification	15
3.3	Hyp	poeutectic and Eutectic Aluminium-	17
	Silico	n Alloys	
	3.3.1	Aluminium-Silicon-Magnesium	18
3.4	Нуре	reutectic Alloys	18
МО	DIFICA	ATION OF ALUMINIUM-	19
SIL	ICON A	ALLOYS	
4.1	Introc	luction	19
4.2	Impu	rity Modification on Hypoeutectic	20
	and E	Eutectic Al-Si Alloys	
	4.2.1	Mechanisms of Modification	21
	4.2.2	Sodium Modification	22
	4.2.3	Strontium Modification	23
	4.2.4	Comparison Between Sodium	24
		and Strontium Modification	
4.3	Chill	or Quench Modification	27
4.4	Micro	ostructural Differences Between	28
	Impu	rity Modification and Quench	
	Modi	fication	
4.5	Antin	nony Refinement	29
4.6	Intera	actions Between Modifiers	35
4.7	Intera	action of Phosphorus With Sodium	38
	or Str	ontium	
4.8	Bism	uth	39
	4.8.1	Phase-Diagram of Al-Bi	39
	4.8.2	Effect of Bismuth	40
4.9	Effec	ts of Strontium Modification	43
	4.9.1	Fluidity	43
	4.9.2	Solidification Shrinkages and	43

4

		Porosit	у	
		4.9.2.1	Hydrogen Pickup	45
		4.9.2.2	Effect of Hydrogen	46
			Content	
		4.9.2.3	Hydrogen Threshold and	47
			Critical Solid Fraction	
		4.9.2.4	Effect of Strontium	51
			Content	
		4.9.2.5	Effect of Inclusions	51
		4.9.2.6	Effect on Eutectic	53
			Temperature and	
			Surface Tension	
		4.9.2.7	Porosity in Relation to	53
			Interdendritic Feeding	
		4.9.2.8	Effect on Pore	55
			Properties	
		4.9.2.9	Effect of Porosity on	57
			Mechanical Properties	
EXI	PERIMI	ENTAL N	1ETHODOLOGY	60
5.1	Intro	duction		60
5.2	Cooli	ing Rates		61
5.3	Bism	uth, Str	ontium, Antimony and	62
	Intera	actions		
	5.3.1	Mould	Preparation	62
	5.3.2	Melting	g Conditions	63
	5.3.3	Additio	ns of Bismuth, Strontium	64
		and An	timony	
	5.3.4	Specim	en Preparation and	66
		Metallo	graphic Examination	
5.4	Quen	ch-during	y-solidification	67
	5.4.1	Metallo	graphic Examination of	72
		Quench	led Specimens	

5

5.5	Step	ped Castin	gs		73
	5.5.1	Melting	and Pouring		75
	5.5.2	Tensile	Test Sp	pecimen	75
		Prepara	tion and Heat Treat	tment	
	5.5.3	Metallo	graphic Examinat	tion of	76
		Tensile	Test Specimens		
	5.5.4	Mechar	nical Testing		77
		5.5.4.1	Tensile Test		77
		5.5.4.2	Micro Hardness	ſest	78
EX	PERIM	ENTAL	RESUTLS	AND	79
DIS	CUSSI	ON			
6.1	Bism	uth, Stro	ontium and Ar	ntimony	79
	Treat	tment of A	356 Alloy		
	6.1.1	Effect o	of Bismuth		81
	6.1.2	Effect of	of Bismuth and St	rontium	89
		Interact	ions		
	6.1.3	Effect of	of Bismuth, Stronti	um and	98
		Antimo	ny Interactions		
6.2	Char	acteristics	of Porosity		102
	6.2.1	Effect	of Strontium Con	tent on	106
		Fully Se	olidified Castings		
	6.2.2	Effect	of Strontium Con	tent on	108
		Quench	-Solidified Casting	S	
6.3	Mecl	hanical Pro	perty Tests		112
	6.3.1	Tensile	Properties of .	As-Cast	113
		Casting	S		
		6.3.1.1	Effect of Coolir	ng Rate	116
			and Strontium Co	ontent	
	6.3.2	Tensile	Properties of Heat	-treated	121
		(T6) Ca	stings		
		6.3.2.1	Effect of Coolin	ng Rate	124
			and Strontium Co	ontent	

6

	6.3.3	Comparison of Tensile Properties	129
		Between The Heat-treated and	
		The As-cast	
	6.3.4	Micro Hardness Test	134
	6.3.5	Quality Index	136
7	CONCLUSI	ONS	139
REFERENC	CES		141

APPENDICES A - F 148-167

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Designation of Cast Aluminium Alloys According to AA (Budgen, 1947)	7
2.2	Basic temper designations for wrought alloys (Hatch, 1984)	8
2.3	Alloying elements and some of their effects (adapted from Brown, 1994)	10
4.1	Oxidation losses with sodium versus strontium (Kotte, 1985)	24
4.2	Effect of temperature on Na modification (Hellawell, 1970)	25
4.3	Effect of under- and over-modification on a sodium modified, sand cast eutectic alloy (Granger and Elliott, 1987)	25
4.4	Mechanical Properties of Aluminium, 13% Silicon (Hellawell, 1970)	29
4.5	Tensile properties of A356 alloy unmodified and treated with different modifiers (Kanicki, 1990)	33
5.1	Composition of alloy A356	60
5.2	Different concentrations of antimony, bismuth and strontium added	65
5.3	Different holding periods for each additive	66
5.4	Conditions for quenchings	70

5.5	Specimens from quench-during-solidification experiment	73
5.6	Casting section thicknesses and nominal diameter / plate thickness	76
6.1	Different concentrations of bismuth, strontium and antimony	80
6.2	Characteristics of porosity	106
6.3	Casting section thicknesses and the resulting cooling rates	113
6.4	Tensile properties of the as-cast tensile specimens	114
6.5	Tensile properties of the heat-treated tensile specimens	122
6.6	Average tensile properties for heat-treated specimens	128
6.7	Micro hardness for as-cast specimens	135
6.8	Micro hardness for heat-treated (T6) specimens	135
6.9	Quality index for flat and round specimens investigated	137

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Equilibrium Diagram Of Aluminium-Silicon Alloys (Agrawal, 1988)	13
3.2	Equiaxed and columnar grains	15
3.3	The growth of silicon under TPRE mechanism (Hellawell and Shu, 1995)	16
3.4	Different silicon morphology: (a) flakes under slow cooling rate, (b) rodlike structure or fibrous form at high cooling rate and/or under modification effect by certain elements	17
4.1	Measured cooling/heating curves of both unmodified and sodium-modified alloys (Lu and Hellawell, 1995)	20
4.2	A model for impurity induced twinning that shows how an impurity atom of appropriate size promotes twinning by causing a growth step to assume the alternative {111} stacking sequence (Lu and Hellawell, 1987)	22
4.3	Scanning electron micrograph of deeply etched Al-Si eutectic alloy (a) before modification (b) after modification (Khan <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 1993)	23
4.4	Effectiveness of sodium and strontium modifiers as a function of time (Rooy, 1987)	26
4.5	Comparison of the eutectic silicon growth interface between (a) unmodified flake silicon, (b) quench-modified fibrous silicon and (c) impurity modified fibrous silicon (Granger and Elliott, 1987)	28

4.6	Variation in (a) undercooling and (b) Si interparticle spacing with growth velocity with temperature gradient of 32Kcm ⁻¹ (Ourdjini and Elliot, 1995)	31
4.7	Thermal analysis curves of the 0.2wt% Sb treated Al-12% Si alloy in comparison with an untreated alloy (Liu, 1998)	32
4.8	SEM micrograph of Sb treated flake Si in directionally solidified Al-Si alloy (Khan et al., 1993)	32
4.9	Influence of repeated melting and isothermal holding on eutectic silicon morphology of A356 alloy treated with 0.2wt% Sb (Pan <i>et al.</i> , 1994)	34
4.10	Sodium-modified structure of Al-S13 (Al- 12%Si) (Rowley, 1980)	36
4.11	Concentration variations of both Sr and Sb throughout the two cycles of remelting and holding process for an alloy initially treated with 0.2wt% Sb (Pan <i>et al.</i> , 1994)	37
4.12	Effect of antimony on strontium modification in A356 alloy (Neff, 1987)	37
4.13	The Al-Bi phase diagram (Loper and Cho, 2000)	40
4.14	Alloy fluidity versus degree of silicon modification (Pan et al., 1994)	43
4.15	A typical gas pore (Anson and Gruzleski, 1999)	45
4.16	Curves for hydrogen concentration versus holding time for unmodified LM6 and LM6 modified with strontium: percentage shown is melt composition 5 min after addition of strontium (Denton and Spittle, 1985)	46
4.17	Comparison of density between A356 alloys, with or without Sr-Na modification or Sb refining (Garat <i>et al.</i> ,1992)	48

4.18	Percentage porosity versus hydrogen concentration (Denton and Spittle, 1985)	50
4.19	Two stage solidification process showing microshrinkage formation in: a) an unmodified casting with a short interdendritic feeding distance and an irregular eutectic solidification front, and b) a modified casting with a long interdendritic feeding distance and a regular eutectic solidification front (Argo and Gruzleski, 1988)	54
4.20	Pore size distribution in (a) umodified, and (b) Sr-modified A356 alloy with a hydrogen content of 0.26 ml/ 100g solidified at a cooling rate of 0.38°C/sec (Emadi and Gruzleski, 1994)	56
4.21	Influence of Mg content on the relationship between percent porosity and tensile elongation in Al-7%Si alloys having DAS in the range 33-37 μ m (Eady and Smith, 1986)	58
4.22	The effect of heat treatment on Al-Si piston alloy in (a) tensile properties, (b) hardness values	59
5.1	Determining cooling rate from a cooling curve	61
5.2	Sand mould	62
5.3	Cone-shaped permanent mould casting	63
5.4	Funnel-shape copper mould	67
5.5	Quenching and first derivative curves for A356 added with strontium at: (a) 0.001wt%, (b) 0.004wt% and (c) 0.006wt%	68
5.6	The cooling and quenching curves for melt A356 added with strontium at: (a) 0.001wt%, (b) 0.004wt%, and (c) 0.006wt%	71
5.7	Isometric drawing for stepped wooden pattern	74
5.8	Stepped wooden pattern with its gating sytem	74
5.9	Cooling curves for different casting section thicknesses	75

6.1	Optical micrographs of slow-cooled specimens with different bismuth concentrations, (a) 0wt%, (b) 0.005wt%, (c) 0.015wt%, (d) 0.03wt%, (e) 0.05wt%, (f) 0.06wt%, magnified at 200x; (g) 0wt%, (h) 0.005wt%, and (i) 0.015wt%, magnified at 500x (arrowheads show fragmentation of silicon)	82
6.2	SEM micrographs of slow-cooled specimens with different bismuth additions, (a) 0wt%, (b) 0.005wt%, (c) 0.015wt%, and (d) 0.03wt%, magnified at 2000x	84
6.3	Optical micrographs of fast-cooled specimens with different bismuth additions, (a) $0wt\%$, (b) $0.005wt\%$, (c) $0.015wt\%$, (d) $0.03wt\%$, (e) 0.05wt%, (f) $0.06wt%$, magnified at $200x$; (g) 0wt%, (h) $0.005wt%$, (i) $0.015wt%$, (j) 0.03wt%, (k) $0.05wt%$, and (l) $0.06wt%$, magnified at $500x$	85
6.4	SEM micrographs of fast-cooled specimens with bismuth concentration of (a) 0.005wt%, and (b) 0.015wt%, magnified at 1500x	87
6.5	Matching of XRD analysis results, showing the presence of aluminium bismuth oxide in the melt treated with 0.03wt% and 0.05wt% Bi while at lower concentrations of bismuth (0.005wt% and 0.015wt%) no aluminium bismuth oxide was detected	89
6.6	Optical micrographs of slow-cooled specimens with different strontium-bismuth ratios, (a) less than 0.10, (b) 0.20, (c) 0.27, (d) 0.60, (e) 1.33, (f) 2.07, (g) 0.004wt% Sr (without Bi), magnified at 200x; (h) 0.004wt% Sr (without Bi), (i) 0.2, (j) 0.60, and (k) 1.33, magnified at 500x	90
6.7	Optical micrographs of slow-cooled specimens with strontium and bismuth higher in concentrations but at different Sr-Bi ratios of (a) 0.15 (in SrBi17a), (b) 0.16 (in SrBi17b), (c) 0.45, (d) 0.72, magnified at 100x; (e) 0.15 (in SrBi17a), (f) 0.16 in (SrBi17b), (g) 0.45, (h) 0.72, magnified at 200x	92

6.8	SEM micrographs of slow-cooled specimens with strontium-bismuth ratio of (a) 0.20, and (b) 0.45, and (c) 1.33, magnified at 1500x	94
6.9	Interactions between bismuth and strontium in sand-cast aluminium alloy A356	94
6.10	Optical micrographs of fast-cooled specimens with different strontium-bismuth ratios of (a) less than 0.10, (b) 0.15, (c) 0.27, (d) 0.60, (e) 0.72, (f) 2.07, magnified at 200x ; (g) less than 0.10, (h) 0.15, (i) 0.27, (j) 0.60, (k) 0.72, (l) 2.07, magnified at 500x; (m) 0.004wt% Sr (without Bi), magnified at 200x, and (n) 0.004wt% Sr (without Bi), magnified at 500x	95
6.11	X-ray diffraction result showing the presence of strontium bismuth oxide (taken from the specimen containing Sr-Bi ratio = 0.66)	98
6.12	Optical micrographs of slow-cooled specimens (with different strontium, bismuth and antimony proportions) labelled (a) SbBiSr1S, and (b) SbBiSr2S, magnified at 200x; (c) SbBiSr1S, and (d) SbBiSr2S, magnified at 500x; (e) SbSrS, magnified at 200x, and (f) SbSrS, magnified at 500x	99
6.13	SEM micrographs of slow-cooled specimens (with different strontium, bismuth and antimony proportions) labelled (a) SbBiSr1S, (b) SbBiSr2S, and (c) SbSrS, magnified at 500x	100
6.14	Optical micrographs of slow-cooled specimens (with different amount of bismuth and 0.2wt% of antimony) labelled (a) SbBi015S, and (b) SbBi06S, magnified at 200x; (c) SbBi015S, and (d) SbBi06S, magnified at 500x	101
6.15	SEM micrographs of slow-cooled specimens (with different amount of bismuth and 0.2wt% of antimony) labelled (a) SbBi015S, and (b) SbBi06S, magnified at 500x	102
6.16	Full solidification of silicon eutectic at different level of strontium (a) 0.001wt%, (b) 0.004wt% and (c) 0.006wt%, each at a magnification of 50x	103

6.17	Typical pores in the quenched specimens (with respect to different fraction solid within each strontium addition) labeled (a) Q001(10), (b) Q001(55), (c) Q001(75), (d) Q001, (e) Q004(10), (f) Q004(55), (g) Q004(75), (h) Q004, (i) Q006(10), (j) Q006(55), (k) Q006(75), and (l) Q006, magnified at 50x	105
6.18	Average percent porosity area versus strontium content for unquenched castings	107
6.19	Average pore size versus strontium content for unquenched castings	108
6.20	Average density of porosity versus strontium content for unquenched castings	108
6.21	Average roundness versus strontium content for unquenched castings	109
6.22	Average percentage porosity area versus fraction solid	110
6.23	Average pore size versus fraction solid	111
6.24	Average porosity density versus fraction solid	112
6.25	Average percentage values of elongation for the as-cast tensile specimens	115
6.26	Average values of ultimate tensile strength for the as-cast tensile specimens	115
6.27	Average values of Young Modulus for the as- cast tensile specimens	115
6.28	Average yield strength (0.2%) values for the as-cast tensile specimens	116
6.29	Porosity and aluminium oxide inclusion (verified through EDX analysis) detected on the fracture surface of 30mm section casting, without strontium, 50x	118
6.30	Iron intermetallics (in casting containing 0.002wt% Sr, section with 30mm thickness), verified through EDX analysis, are brittle and detrimental to the tensile properties, 500x	118

6.31	Fracture surface of an as-cast tensile plate from (a) 5mm, (b) 30mm section thickness, without strontium addition, 200x	119
6.32	Fracture surface of an as-cast tensile plate from (a) 5mm section thickness, (b) 30mm section thickness, with 0.003wt% Sr, magnified at 200x and 100x respectively	119
6.33	Fracture surface of an as-cast tensile plate from (a) 10mm, (b) 30mm section thickness, with 0.006wt% Sr, 200x	120
6.34	Percentage area of porosity in stepped castings	121
6.35	Average percentage values of elongation for the heat-treated tensile specimens	123
6.36	Average values of ultimate tensile strength for the heat-treated tensile specimens	123
6.37	Average values of Young Modulus for the heat-treated tensile specimens	124
6.38	Average yield strength (0.2%) values for the heat-treated tensile specimens	124
6.39	Effect of heat treatment on the microstructure of casting section with 5mm thickness, (a) without, (b) with 0.003wt%, and (c) with 0.006wt% Sr, 100x	125
6.40	Effect of heat treatment on the microstructure of casting section with 30mm thickness, (a) without, (b) with 0.003wt%, and (c) with 0.006wt% Sr, 100x	126
6.41	Porosity detected on the fracture surface of 30mm-section in casting with 0.006wt% Sr, 25x	128
6.42	Variations of different tensile properties against increasing cooling rates	128
6.43	The effect of increasing cooling rate on the ductility	129
6.44	Presence of magnesium compounds (verified	130

	with EDX analysis) on the microstructure of casting section with 30mm thickness, containing 0.006wt% Sr, 100x	
6.45	Effect of heat treatment on the ultimate tensile property	130
6.46	Effect of heat treatment on the yield strength property	131
6.47	Effect of heat treatment on the ductility	131
6.48	Fracture surface of a heat-treated tensile plate from (a) 5mm, (b) 30mm section thickness, without strontium addition, 200x	133
6.49	Fracture surface of a heat-treated tensile plate from (a) 5mm, and (b) 30mm section thickness, with 0.003wt% Sr, magnified at 500x and 250x respectively	133
6.50	Fracture surface of a heat-treated tensile plate from (a) 5mm, and (b) 30mm section thickness, with 0.006wt% Sr, magnified at 500x and 100x respectively	133
6.51	Silicon precipitates (where arrows show) within the aluminium dendrite of (a) 5mm casting section, with 0.006wt% Sr, 100x, (b) 10mm casting section, with 0.006wt% Sr, 200x, (c) 20mm casting section, with 0.003wt% of Sr, 200x, and (d) 30mm casting section, without strontium addition, 100x	134
6.52	Micro-hardness for as-cast and heat-treated (T6) specimens from different section thickness and increasing strontium content	135
6.53	Comparison of quality index for as-cast and heat-treated specimens	137

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	The determination of the dimensions for gating	148
	system and riser for stepped casting	
В	Dimensions for tensile bars and plates	152
	according to Standard ASTM B557M	
С	Examples of analysis report on percentage of	155
	porosity using image analyzer. Magnification	
	at 25x was used for all samples	
D	Examples of optical micrographs used for	158
	porosity analysis	
Е	Examples of XRD analysis results	161
F	Chemical analysis results (provided by Intech	165
	Integrated Sdn. Bhd.) for some of the samples	

CHAPTER 1

RESEARCH BACKGROUND

1.1 Introduction

Aluminium alloys have been widely used in the automotive industry, as the trend nowadays is to achieve higher performance without increasing the weight. Therefore, more and more automotive components are made of aluminium alloys in order to reduce weight, at the same time maintaining or improving mechanical properties. Apart from their excellent casting characteristics, wear and corrosion resistance, aluminium-silicon casting alloys are used extensively because they also impart a wide range of mechanical properties and high strength to weight ratio.

Aluminium silicon foundry alloys with hypoeutectic (<12.7%) and eutectic (~12.7%) ranges are more commonly used due to their exceptional casting properties. Al-Si-Mg alloys such as A356 or LM 25 (Al—7Si-0.3Mg) are widely used for sand and permanent mould castings and they are found to be particularly useful for automotive applications. Sand casting offers high versatility and it is more economically feasible while permanent mould and die casting yield better mechanical properties in the castings.

The increasing demand and use of these aluminium foundry alloys, particularly in those critical service environments, have prompted a more in-depth research and development to enhance the casting and mechanical properties. Besides controlling the inclusions and gas, silicon modification is another important area that catches the interest of many researchers ever since its discovery by Pacz in the 1920s (Polmear, 1981). It was found that silicon modification is able to improve the mechanical properties of Al-Si alloys by altering the structure of the silicon phase. Modification induces the change in silicon structure from a coarse acicular morphology, which can cause brittleness in the casting to a fine, interconnected, fibrous morphology that increases the tensile strength and ductility of the casting. There are many types of modifiers available in the market but the more commonly used modifiers are sodium and strontium. Antimony that is used to refine the silicon structures has not gained wide popularity if compared with the former modifiers due to its health hazard potential. Apart from modification through chemical additions, quenching or chill modification also enhances the mechanical properties of the castings.

Nowadays, in one of the environmental conservation efforts, aluminiumrecycling operation is acquiring more and more momentum. The scrap metals are not only resourced from the return of aluminium castings but also from wrought aluminium. Bismuth has constantly been added as one of the alloying elements in aluminium wrought alloys with the purpose to improve the machinability of the alloys. However, little is known about the effect of bismuth on the microstructure of aluminium cast alloy (i.e. A356 (AA) or LM25 (BS) alloy in this research) and its interaction with the addition of other modifiers such as strontium and sodium. Some suggested that the presence of bismuth might actually interfere with strontium modification effect on the alloy. Moreover, the presence of antimony that is originally added as a refiner in some aluminium scrap materials also constitutes some poisoning effect especially when strontium modification is much intended in the subsequent process. Therefore, additional work is required to investigate the effect of bismuth addition in A356 alloy and its interactions with other modifiers and/ or refiners.

Casting process has often been the economical means of achieving high volume production of complex automotive parts. Aluminium castings offer significant weight reduction that eventually generates into improved fuel efficiency. As to attain sound castings, a good control of the melt treatment and casting processes in order to produce the desired microstructures has become an

utmost important task. The quality of the castings is often related to features such as silicon shape and sizes, porosity, inclusions and intermetallics phases. In the case of aluminium castings, porosity formation has always been a quality issue since it is extremely difficult to produce an entirely pore-free casting. Although a number of researchers have attempted to explain the nucleation and growth mechanism of porosity in aluminium castings using different approaches, there is still limited understanding on the subject. Most of the work relates porosity formation with modification in which strontium was added in higher amount (approximately 0.02wt%). Less investigation has been done on the effect of low strontium contents (less than 0.01wt%) on porosity formation in the aluminium cast alloys, even though modification could have been attained at lower strontium contents. Hence, more work has to be carried out in order to gain better understanding as well as to ascertain what others have postulated.

Heat treatment or thermal modification has long been practiced as one of the feasible means to enhance the mechanical properties of aluminium castings through spheroidising the plate-like silicon, apart from the usual chemical modification. This treatment improves the mechanical properties such as tensile strength, ductility and impact strength. Heat treatment often follows suit after casting process in order to maintain optimum mechanical properties of the castings, especially for those used in areas where structural integrity is a key concern. Some combine the chemical and thermal treatment processes to achieve greater improvement. Therefore, it is reasonable to perform heat treatment on the castings added with low strontium contents and their mechanical properties being evaluated against those in the as-cast condition. In addition, it is not uncommon to find varying cooling rates within a casting during solidification, particularly in an intricately designed casting. As solidification rate affects the mechanical properties of the cast section, the cooling rate factor should also be taken into consideration during the evaluation of the quality of the castings.

1.2 Objectives of Study

In response to the concerns identified above, the present research is aimed at:

- 1. Investigating the effect of bismuth addition and its interactions with strontium (modifier) and antimony (refiner) in aluminium foundry alloy (i.e. A356).
- 2. To study the evolution process of the porosity in order to gain a better understanding of the nucleation and growth characteristics of porosity with respect to strontium additions
- 3. To evaluate the mechanical properties of the castings produced and examine the effect of process parameters such, cooling rate of the casting, melt treatment and heat treatment.

1.3 Scope of Work

1. Examination of the effect of bismuth, strontium and antimony, which are added in different proportions, on the microstructure of the castings.

2. Study of nucleation and growth of porosity in aluminium silicon castings by conducting quench during solidification experiments.

3. Effect of process factors such strontium concentration, cooling rate and heat treatment (T6) on the mechanical properties of the castings.

- 5. The nucleation of new shrinkage pores occurs at the fraction solid of about 75%, where the feeding resistance builds up. Porosity formation process starts with the nucleation and growth of inherently-present baseline pores through hydrogen diffusion. Strontium reduces the fraction of solid where baseline pores starts to form, or reduces the hydrogen threshold value and promotes earlier pore growth.
- 6. Heat treatment (T6), through fragmentation and sphreroidization of silicon, enhances as well as moderate the strontium effect on the mechanical properties if compared to the as-cast alloys. The effects of low strontium content and cooling rate are lessened through heat treatment and the heat-treated castings always show higher quality index compared to those of the as-cast, for both slow and fast cooling conditions.

REFERENCES

- Agrawal, B. K. (1988). *Introduction To Engineering Materials*. New Delhi: Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company Ltd.
- Alam Najafabadi, M. A., Khan, S., Ourdjini, Ali and Elliott, R. (1994a). The Flake-
- Fibre Transition In Aluminium-Silicon Eutectic Alloys. *Cast Metals*. 8(1): 35-42.
- Alam Najafabadi, M. A., Ourdjini, A. and Elliott, R. (1994b). Impurity Modification Of Aluminium-Silicon Eutectic Alloys. *Cast Metals*. 8(1): 43-50.
- Alexopoulus, N. D. and Pantelakis, S. G. (2004). A New Quality Index For Characterizing Aluminum Cast Alloys With Regard To Aircraft Structure Design Requirements. *Metallurgical and Materials Transactions*. 35A(1): 301-308.
- American Society For Metals ed. (1985). *Metals Handbook, Volume 15: Casting.* 9th Edition. Ohio: American Society For Metals.
- Anson, J. P. (2000). *The Nucleation and Growth of Microporosity in Aluminum-7% Silicon Foundry Alloy*. McGill University: Phd. Thesis.
- Anson, J. P. and Gruzleski, J. E. (1999). The Quantitative Discrimination between Shrinkage and Gas Microporosity in Cast Aluminum Alloys Using Spatial Data Analysis. *Materials Characterization*. 43(5): 319-335.
- Argo, D. and Gruzleski, J. E. (1988). Porosity in Modified Aluminum Alloy Castings. AFS Transactions. 96: 65-74.
- Ashley, S. (1997). New Automotive Alloy. *Mechanical Engineering*. 119(5): 30.
- Atxaga, G., Pelayo, A. and Irisarri, A. M. (2001). Effect Of Microstructure On Fatigue Behaviour Of Cast Al-7Si-Mg Alloy. *Materials Science and Technology*. 17(4): 446-450.
- Backerud, L., Chai, G. and Tamminen, J. (1990). Solidification Characteristics Of Aluminum Alloys, Volume 2: Foundry Alloys. Des Plaines, IL: AFS/Skanaluminium. 129-141.
- Bercovici, S. (1979). Control Of The Solidification Structure And The Properties Of Al-Si Alloys. *Hommes et Fonderie*. 3: 17-26.

- Bian, Xiufang, Chen, Junhua, Liu, Xiangfa, Yu, Xiujuan and Rong, Furong. (1997).The Effect Of Magnesium On The Antimony Modification Of Al-Si. *JOM*. 49(2): 35-36.
- Boone, G. W., Rodney. F. C. and Seese, R. G. (1998). Optimizing Grain Refiners And Modifiers In Al-Si Alloys. *Modern Casting*. 88(1): 52-54.
- Brown, J. R. (1994). *Foseco Foundryman's Handbook*. 10th Edition. Oxford: Butterwoth Heinemann.
- Budgen, N. F. (1947). Aluminium And Its Alloys. 2nd Edition. London: Sir Isaac Pitman & Sons, Ltd.
- Budinski, K. G. and Budinski, M. K. (2002). Engineering Materials: Properties and Selection. 7th Edition. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Campbell, J. (1991). Castings. Oxford: Butterworth Heinemann.
- Charbonnier, J., Perrier, J. J. and Portalier, R. (1978). Recent Developments In Aluminum-Silicon Alloys Having Guaranteed Structures Or Properties. *AFS International Cast Journal*. 6: 17-26.
- Chen, Xiao-Guang and Gruzleski, J. E. (1996). Influence of melt cleanliness on pore formation in Aluminium-Silicon Alloys. *Int. J. Cast Metals*. 9: 17-26.
- Cho, J-I, Seong, H-G. and Loper, C. R. Jr. (2004). *Interaction Of Phosphorus And Bismuth In A356.2 Alloy*. University of Winsconsin-Madison. unpublished.
- Closset, B. and Gruzleski, J. E. (1982). Structure And Properties Of Hypoeutectic Al-Si-Mg Alloys Modified With Pure Strontium. *Metallurgical Transactions A*. 13A: 945-951.
- Denton, J. R. and Spittle, J. A. (1985). Solidification And Susceptibility To Hydrogen Absorption Of Al-Si Alloys Containing Strontium. *Materials Science and Technology*. 1(4): 305-311.
- Eady, J. A. and Smith, D. M. (1986). The Effect Of Porosity On The Tensile Properties Of Aluminium Castings. *Materials Forum*. 9(4): 217-223.
- Emadi, D. and Gruzleski, J. E. (1994). Effects Of Casting And Melt Variables On Porosity In Directionally- Solidified Al-Si Alloys. AFS Transactions. 102: 307-312.
- Emadi, D., Gruzleski, J. E. and Pekguleryuz, M. (1996). Melt Oxidation Behavior And Inclusion Content In Unmodified And Sr-Modified A356 Alloy- Their Role In Pore Nucleation. *AFS Transactions*. 104: 763-768.

- Emadi, D. Gruzleski, J. E. and Toguri, J. M. (1993). The Effect Of Na And Sr Modification On Surface Tension And Volumetric Shrinkage Of A356 Alloy And Their Influence On Porosity Formation. *Metallurgical Transactions B*. 24B(12): 1055-1063.
- Engku Mohd Nazim b. Engku Abu Bakar and Ourdjini, Ali. (1996). Growth Crystallography Of Silicon Phases In Unmodified, Impurity and Chill Modified Al-Si Eutectic Alloys. Asian Conference On X-Rays And Related Techniques In Research And Industry: ACXRI 96 PROCEEDINGS. June 6-8. Ipoh, Malaysia: School of Materials and Mineral Resources Engineering, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 293-298.
- Fang, Q. T. and Granger, D. A. (1989). Porosity Formation In Modified And Unmodified A356 Alloy Castings. AFS Transactions. 97: 989-1000.
- Flemings, M. C. (1974). Solidification Processing. New York: McGraw-Hill. 135.
- Fuoco, R., Correa, E. R. and Correa, A. V. O. (1995). Effect Of Modification Treatment On Microporosity Formation In 356 Al Alloy. *AFS Transactions*. 103: 379-387.
- Fuoco, R., Correa, E. R. and Goldenstein, H. (1996). Effect Of Modification Treatment On Microporosity Formation In 356 Al Alloy, Part I: Interdendritic Feeding Evaluation. AFS Transactions. 104: 1151-1157.
- Garat, M., Laslaz, G., Jacob, S., Meyer, P., Guerin, P. H. and Adam, R. (1992). State-Of-The-Art Use Of Sb-, Na- And Sr-Modified Al-Si Casting Alloys. AFS Transactions. 100: 821-832.
- Granger, Douglas A. and Elliott, R. (1987). Solidification of Eutectic Alloys. *Metals Handbook, Volume 15: Casting.* 9th Edition.Ohio: American Society For Metals. 159-167.
- Gruzleski, J., Jandiak, H. and Campbell, H. (1986). Hydrogen Measurement By Telegas In Strontium-Treated A356 Melts. *AFS Transactions*. 94: 147-154.
- Hanna, M. D., Lu, Shu-Zu and Hellawell, A. (1984). Modification In The Aluminium Silicon System. *Metallurgical Transactions A*. 15A(3): 459-469.
- Haque, M. M. (1995). Effects Of Strontium On The Structure And Properties
- Of Aluminium-Silicon Alloys. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*. 55: 193-198.

- Haque, M. M. and Maleque, M. A. (1998). Effect Of Process Variables On Structure And Properties Of Aluminium–Silicon Piston Alloy. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*. 77: 122–128.
- Hatch, J. E. (1984). Aluminium: Properties and Physical Metallurgy. Ohio: American Society for Metals.
- Hellawell, A. (1970). The Growth And Structure Of Eutectics Silicon With Germanium. In: Bruce. C., Christian, J. W. and Massalski, T. B. (Editiors). *Progress In Materials Science*. 15(1). Oxford: Pergamon Press. 56-57.
- Hellawell, A. and Lu, Shu-Zu. (1995). Modification of Al-Si Alloys: Microstructure, Thermal Analysis and Mechanisms. *JOM*. 47(2): 38-40.
- Hurley, T. J. and Atkinson, R. G. (1985). Effects Of Modification Practice On Aluminum A-356 Alloys. AFS Transactions. 93: 291-296.
- Jaquet, J. C. (1989). Comparison Of Na, Sr And Sb Modification Of Hypoeutectic Al-Si Alloys: Resulting Mechanical Properties, Microstructure And Metal Quality. *Proceedings of the 2nd Aluminium Melt Treatment*. Paper 10. Orlando: American Foudrymen's Society.
- Kanicki, David P. ed. (1990). Processing Molten Aluminum- Part 1: Understanding Silicon Modification. *Modern Casting*. 80(1): 24-27.
- Khan, S., Ourdjini, A., Hamed, Q. S., Alam Najafabadi, M. A. and Elliott, R. (1993). Hardness And Mechanical Property Relationships In Directionally Solidified Aluminium Silicon Eutectic Alloys With Different Silicon Morphologies. *Journal* of Materials Science. 28(21): 5957-5962.
- Kim, J. H., Kwon, I. S., Kim, K. M., Lee, C. H. and Yoon, E. P. (2000). Effect Of Phosphorus On Modification Of Eutectic Silicon In Al-7Si-0.3Mg Alloy. *Materials Science and* Technology. 16(3): 243-248.
- Korane, K. J. (1999). Finding The Best Mix In Aluminum Alloys. *Machine Design*. 71(4): 94-95.
- Kori, S. A., Murty, B. S. and Chakraborty, M. (2000). Development Of An Efficient Grain Refiner For Al-7Si Alloy And Its Modification With Strontium. *Materials Science and Engineering A*. 28(3): 94-104.
- Kotte, B. (1985). Strontium Modification Gives Critical Melt Control. *Modern Casting*. 75(5): 33-35.
- Krohn, B. R. (1985). Thermal Analysis: Metallurgical Thumbprint. *Modern Casting*. 75(3): 21-25.

- Kurdyumov, A. V. (1986). Influence Of Bismuth And Antimony On The Structure And Surface Tension Of Alloy Al2. Soviet Castings Technology (English Translation of Liteinoe Proizvodstvo). 6: 56-57.
- Li, Y. M. and Li, R. D. (2001). Effect Of The Casting Process Variables On Microporosity And Mechanical Properties In An Investment Cast Aluminum Alloy. Science and Technology of Advanced Materials. 2(1): 277-280.
- Lips, E. M. H. (1954). *Engineering Metallurgy*. Eindhoven (Netherlands): Philip's Technical Library.
- Liu, Qiyang (1998). Effect Of Antimony On The Growth Kinetics Of High Purity Al-Si Alloys. *Scripta Materialia*. 38(7): 1083-1089.
- Loper, C. R. and Cho, J. (2000). Limitation of Bismuth Residual in A356.2 Aluminum. *AFS 104th Casting Congress*. April 8-11. Pittsburgh, PA. unpublished.
- Lu, Shu-Zu and Hellawell, A. (1987). The Mechanism Of Silicon Modification In Aluminium-Silicon Alloys: Impurity Induced Twinning. *Metallurgical Transactions A*. 18A(10). 1721-1733.
- Lu, Shu-Lu and Hellawell, A. (1995). Modification Of Al-Si Alloys: Microstructure, Thermal Analysis, And Mechanisms. *JOM*. 47(2): 38-40.
- Machovec, C. J., Zindel, J. W., Godlewski, L. A. and Byczynski, G. E. (2000). Determining The Effect Of Bi-Sr Interactions On Si Morphology In 319A1. *Modern Casting*, 90(6): 42-44.
- Magnin, P. and Kurz, W. (1987). Solidification Of Eutectics. *Metals Handbook, Volume 15: Casting*. 9th Edition. Ohio: American Society For Metals. 119-124.
- McDonald, S. D., Dahle, A. K., Taylor, J. A. and StJohn, D. H. (2004). Eutectic
 Grains in Unmodified and Strontium-Modified Hypoeutectic Aluminum-Silicon
 Alloys. *Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A*. 35A(6): 1829-1837.
- Mohanty, P. S., Samuel, F. H. and Gruzleski, J. E. (1993). Mechanism Heterogeneous Nucleation of Pores in Metals and Alloys. *Metallurgical Transactions A*. 24A(8): 1845-1856.
- Mohanty, P. S., Samuel, F. H. and Gruzleski, J. E. (1995). Experimental Study On Pore Nucleation By Inclusions In Aluminum Castings. *AFS Transactions*. 103: 555-564.
- Neff, D. V. (1987). Nonferrous Molten Metal Processes. *Metals Handbook, Volume* 15: Casting. 9th Edition. Ohio: American Society For Metals. 445-493.

- Ourdjini, A. (1995). Crystal Morphology Of Antimony Treated Al-Si Eutectic Alloys. Proceedings Annual General Meeting and Fifth Scientific Conference of the Electron Microscopy Society Malaysia. November 13-14. Selangor, Malaysia: Pusat Latihan Getah Malaysia. 10-16.
- Ourdjini, A. and Elliot, R. (1995). Chill Modification In Al-Si-Sb Eutectic Alloys. *Materials Science and Technology*. 11(12): 1241-1245.
- Pan, E. N., Cherng, Y. C., Lin, C. A. and Chiou, H. S. (1994). Roles Of Sr And Sb On Silicon Modification Of A356 Aluminum Alloys. *AFS Transactions*. 70: 609-629.
- Papworth, A. and Fox, P. (1999). Ability Of Aluminium Alloys To Wet Alumina Fibres By Addition Of Bismuth. *Materials Science and Technology*. 15(4): 419-428.
- Pedersen, L. and Arnberg, L. (2001). The Effect Of Solution Heat Treatment And Quenching Rates On Mechanical Properties And Microstructures In Alsing Foundry Alloys. *Metallurgical and Materials Transactions*. 32A(3): 525-532.
- Pillai, N. P. and. Anantharaman, T. R. (1968). Elements Of V Group As Modifiers Of Aluminum-Silicon Alloys. *Transactions of the Metallurgical Society of AIME*. 24(2): 2025-2027.
- Polmear, I. J. (1981). *Light Alloys: Metallurgy of the Light Metals*. London: Edward Arnold (Publishers) Ltd.
- Porter, D. A. and Eastering, K. E. (1992). *Phase Transformations in Metals and Alloys*. 2nd Edition. London: Chapman and Hall.
- Rooy, E.L. (1987). Aluminum And Aluminum Alloys. *Metals Handbook, Volume 15: Casting*. 9th Edition. Ohio: American Society For Metals. 743-769.
- Rooy, E. L. (1992). Mechanism Of Porosity Formation In Aluminum. *Modern Casting*. 82(9): 34-36.

Rowley, M. T. (1980). Incompatibility Of Sodium And Antimony In The Treatment Of Al-Si Alloys. *Modern Casting*. 70(3): 56.

- Roy, N., Samuel, A. M. and Samuel, F. H. (1996). Porosity Formation in Al-9 Wt Pct Si-3 Wt Pct Cu Alloy Systems: Metallographic Observations. *Metallurgical And Materials Transactions A*. 27A(2): 415-429.
- Shahani, H. and Fredriksson, H. (1985). On The Mechanism Of Precipitation Of Pores In Melts. Scandinavian Journal Of Metallurgy. 14: 316-320.

- Smith, W. F. (1996). *Principle of Materials Science and Engineering*. 3rd Edition. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Steve, C. H. (2000). *Antimony Modification on Aluminium-Silicon Alloys*. University of Winsconsin-Madison: Phd. Thesis
- Stucky, M., Gruzleski, J. E. and Anson, J. (2000). Effect of Strontium Modification on the Nucleation and Growth of Microporosity During the Solidification of Aluminum - 7% Silicon Foundry Alloy. AFS 104th Casting Congress. April 8-11. Pittsburgh, PA. unpublished.
- Stucky, M., Gruzleski, J. E. and Anson, J. (2001). Effect of Strontium Concentration On Microporosity In A356 Aluminum Alloy. AFS 105th Casting Congress. April 28- May 1. Dalas, Texas. unpublished.
- Talbot, D. E. J. (1975). Effects Of Hydrogen In Aluminium, Magnesium, Copper, And Their Alloys. *International Metallurgical Reviews*. 20: 166-184.
- Talbot, D. E. J. and Granger, D. A. (1995). Effects Of Sodium And Bismuth In Aluminum-Magnesium Alloys. *JOM*. 47(2): 44-46.
- Tenekedjiev, N. and Gruzleski, J. E. (1990). "Hypereutectic Aluminium-Silicon Casting Alloys- A Review." Cast Metals. 3(2): 15-24.
- Tuttle, B. L., Keslinke, A., Twarog, D. and Daniels, E. (1989). Influence of Antimony On A356 Sr-Modified Aluminum Melts. AFS Transactions. 97: 889-902.
- Tuttle, B. L., Twarog, D. and Daniels, E. (1991). The Effect Of Trace Amounts Of Antimony On The Structure And Properties Of Aluminum Alloy A356.2. AFS Transactions. 99: 7-16.
- Viswanathan, S., Sikka, V. K. and Brody, H. D. (1992). Using Solidification Parameters To Predict Porosity Distributions In Alloy Castings. *JOM*. 44(9): 37-40.
- Zhang, D L., Zheng, L. (1996). The Quench Sensitivity of Cast Al-7 Wt Pct Si-0.4 Wt Pct Mg Alloy. *Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A*. 27A(12): 3983-3991.
- Zhang, D L., Zheng, L H and StJohn, D. H. (1998). Effect Of Solution Treatment Temperature On Tensile Properties Of Al-7Si-O-3Mg (Wt-%) Alloy. *Materials Science and Technology*. 14(7): 619-625.