

DAMAGE STABILITY OF SMALL VESSEL

DONY SETYAWAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Mechanical)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

MARCH 2006

**DEDICATION**

I dedicate this work of mine to:

My beloved Wife and My beloved "Queen"  
My Father, My Late Mother and My Aunt "AS"  
My father and My Mother in Law  
My Brother and My Sister  
My Sister in Law

Whom I always remember for the help they have given me  
throughout my studies, emotionally, prayers, support, loves,  
understanding, and assistance.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main thesis supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Adi Maimun Abdul Malik, for encouragement, guidance, critics and friendship. I am also very thankful to my co-supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Omar Yaakob for his guidance, advices and motivation.

I would like to thank due to the following individuals:

All Marine Laboratory staff for the assistance given during the experimental part of the work.

All my fellow colleagues in the Marine Laboratory, Ahmad Nasirudin, Andi Haris Muhamad, Abu Hena Mustofa Kamal, Ahmad Fitriyadi and Noorazimar Zainuddin.

Mr. Loh Sheau Ping for his many fruitful discussion on Image Processing Program.

My family for their encouragement during my study.

## ABSTRACT

The survivability of a vessel is related to intact and damage stability requirements. However, intact ship survivability has received more attention than damage ship survivability. This study seeks to emphasise in damage stability for the reason, the safety of passenger vessels has always been the prime concern of regulatory bodies. There are various ways of assessing the damage stability such as deterministic, probabilistic and real time simulation approaches. The purpose of this study is to further develop a ship stability program using MATLAB based on real time simulation of the dynamic behaviour of the damaged vessel in wave conditions. The mathematical model comprises six degrees of freedom motions in beam seas whilst taking into consideration progressive flooding as well as water accumulation. The 'Sarawak Fast Ferry' was chosen for parametric study for the application of the developed Damage Stability Program. Damage stability experiment was carried out to validate the simulation program. The experiment was conducted using image processing technique. Experimental results have shown good correlation to the results of simulation. The result of the study also indicated that wave height and loading conditions are the main parameters influencing ship's stability in damage condition. The critical KG for Sarawak Fast Ferry was found to be 1.3 m and the vessel only can survive with wave height until 0.2 m. The safety KG was found to be 1.1 m since the vessel can survive with wave height 0.5m. On the basis of the results, suggestions are made to improve the damage survivability of the vessel.

## ABSTRAK

Ketahanan suatu kapal berkait dengan syarat kestabilan bocor dan juga kestabilan tanpa bocor. Walaupun begitu, ketahanan kapal tanpa bocor lebih mendapat perhatian daripada ketahanan kapal bocor. Kajian ini memberi penekanan kepada kestabilan bocor atas sebab keselamatan penumpang yang merupakan aspek yang diberi keutamaan oleh badan penyeragaman. Terdapat beberapa kaedah untuk menilai kestabilan bocor seperti kaedah penentuan, kaedah kemungkinann dan pendekatan simulasi masa sebenar. Tujuan kajian ini adalah memperkembangkan lagi pembangunan suatu perisian kestabilan kapal berasaskan simulasi masa sebenar dengan menggunakan MATLAB bagi perlakuan dinamik kapal bocor dalam keadaan laut berombak. Model matematik yang terdiri dari pergerakan enam darjah kebebasan pada keadaan ombak dari samping kapal dengan mengambil kira bocor yang berketerusan dan keadaan semasa pengumpulan air. 'Feri Cepat Sarawak' dijadikan kajian kes bagi aplikasi perisian kestabilan bocor. Sebagai pengesahan kesahihan perisian tersebut, uji kaji kestabilan bocor telah dilakukan. Uji kaji ini dijalankan dengan menggunakan teknik pemprosesan imej. Keputusan uji kaji menunjukkan hasil yang sesuai dengan keputusan perisian simulasi. Keputusan dari kajian ini menunjukkan terdapat dua parameter utama yang memberi pengaruh kepada kestabilan kapal bocor iaitu ketinggian ombak dan kondisi pembebanan kapal. Keputusan memberikan KG kritikal bagi Feri Cepat Sarawak adalah 1.3 m kerana kapal hanya mampu bertahan dengan tinggi ombak sampai 0.2 m. Adapun keadaan KG yang selamat adalah 1.1 m kerana kerana kapal mampu bertahan dengan tingi ombak sampai 0.5 m. Berdasarkan keputusan tersebut, sebarang cadangan diperbuat untuk memperbaiki ketahanan bocor dari kapal tersebut.

## CONTENTS

<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>TITLE PAGE</b>	<b>i</b>
	<b>DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY</b>	<b>ii</b>
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>CONTENTS</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xv</b>
	<b>NOMENCLATURES</b>	<b>xvi</b>
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xxi</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Research Objectives	3
	1.3 Scopes of Research	3
	1.4 Research Outline	4
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>5</b>
	2.1 General	5
	2.2 Historical Background of Passenger Vessel Survivability	5
	2.3 Methods of Investigating Ship Stability	8
	2.3.1 Statistical Approach	8
	2.3.2 Analytical Approach	9
	2.3.3 Experimental Approach	9

	2.3.4	Time Domain Simulation Approach	10
2.4		Review of Existing Damage Stability Criteria for Small Vessel	11
2.5		Limitation of the Existing Stability Criteria	14
2.6		Characteristic of Small Vessel in Dynamic Situation	15
2.7		Review on Stability and Survivability Problems	16
2.8		Review on Vessel Responses	22
2.9		Considering the Design Aspects	24
2.10		Concluding Remarks	26
<b>3</b>		<b>RESEARCH APPROACHES</b>	<b>27</b>
	3.1	General	27
	3.2	Dynamic Analysis	28
	3.2.1	Time Domain Simulation Approach	28
	3.2.2	Vessel Motions	29
	3.2.3	Forces and Moments	29
	3.2.4	Flooding	31
	3.2.5	Water Accumulation	32
	3.3	Computer Programming	32
	3.4	Model Experiments	33
	3.5	Parametric Study	33
	3.6	Concluding Remarks	34
<b>4</b>		<b>MATHEMATICAL MODEL</b>	<b>35</b>
	4.1	General	35
	4.2	Co-ordinate System	36
	4.3	Hydrodynamic Forces	37
	4.3.1	Wave Excitation Forces	39
	4.3.2	Hydrodynamic Coefficients	39
	4.3.2.1	Estimation of the Mass Moment of Inertia for Roll	40
	4.3.2.2	Vessel Mass	41
	4.4	Restoring Forces and Moments	41
	4.5	Modelling the Damage Scenarios	43

4.5.1	Damage Calculation Methods	44
4.5.1.1	Lost Buoyancy Method	44
4.5.1.2	Added Weight Method	45
4.5.1.3	Combination of Time Dependent Added Weight Method and Accumulation Water	45
4.5.2	Modelling of Water Ingress	47
4.5.2.1	Option 1	47
4.5.2.2	Option 2	48
4.6	Motions	51
4.7	Time Simulation Approach	52
4.8	Concluding Remarks	53
<b>5</b>	<b>SIMULATION PROGRAM</b>	<b>54</b>
5.1	General	54
5.2	Simulation Program	54
5.3	Ship Hull Form Data File	56
5.4	Vessel Condition and Environment Data File	57
5.5	Hydrodynamic Coefficient Data File	57
5.6	Concluding Remarks	58
<b>6</b>	<b>MODEL EXPERIMENTS</b>	<b>59</b>
6.1	General	59
6.2	Model Preparation	59
6.3	Roll Decay Test	60
6.3.1	Experimental Set-Up for Roll Decay Test	60
6.3.2	Roll Decay Test Analysis	61
6.3.3	Roll Decay Test Results	62
6.4	Water Ingress Experiment	64
6.4.1	Experimental Set-Up for Water Ingress	64
6.4.2	Water Ingress Experiment Analysis	65
6.4.3	Water Ingress Experiment Results	65
6.5	Damage Stability Experiment	66
6.5.1	Experimental Set-Up for Damage Stability	66
6.5.2	Damage Stability Experiment Condition	70



	6.5.3	Damage Stability Experiment Analysis	71
	6.5.4	Damage Stability Experiment Results	75
	6.6	Concluding Remarks	75
<b>7</b>		<b>VALIDATION</b>	<b>76</b>
	7.1	General	76
	7.2	Comparison of Experimental and Simulation Results	76
	7.3	Concluding Remarks	84
<b>8</b>		<b>PARAMETRIC STUDY</b>	<b>85</b>
	8.1	General	85
	8.2	Simulation Procedure	85
	8.3	Selection of Specific Vessel	86
	8.4	Identification of the Important Parameter	88
	8.5	Conditions and Assumptions	89
	8.6	Identification of the Situations after Damage	91
	8.7	Damage Scenarios	92
	8.8	Hydrodynamic Coefficient	93
	8.9	Dynamic Analysis	97
	8.10	Concluding Remarks	117
<b>9</b>		<b>CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORKS</b>	<b>118</b>
	9.1	General	118
	9.2	Discussion	119
	9.2.1	Mathematical Model	119
	9.2.2	Dynamic Stability of Damage Vessel	120
	9.2.3	Effect of Main Parameters	121
	9.2.4	Model Experiment	122
	9.3	Conclusions	122
	9.4	Future Works	124
	9.4.1	Water Ingress	124
	9.4.2	Wave Direction	125
	9.4.3	Hydrodynamic Coefficient	125
	9.4.4	Water Accumulation	126

9.4.5	The Effect of Ship Design and Hull Form	127
9.5	Concluding Remarks	127
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>129</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	
	APPENDIX A	135
	APPENDIX B	140
	APPENDIX C	146
	APPENDIX D	152
	APPENDIX E	169
	APPENDIX F	174

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
4.1	Co-ordinate systems	37
4.2	Modelling of water ingress	49
5.1	Flowchart of simulation program	55
6.1	Arrangement set-up of beam seas test and position of camera	67
6.2	Perspective views of cameras and markers	67
6.3	Front panel of capturing images program in LabView	68
6.4	Block diagram of capturing images program in LabView	68
6.5	Front panel of read AVI file program in LabView	69
6.6	Block diagram of read AVI file program in LabView	69
6.7	Extracted the AVI file to single image	72
6.8	Pattern matching tracked the co-ordinate of marker	73
6.9	Measured co-ordinates for heaving and rolling motion	74
6.10	Measured co-ordinates for pitching motion	75
7.1	Heave motion (case A): Comparison of experiment and simulation	78
7.2	Roll motion (case A): Comparison of experiment and simulation	78
7.3	Pitch motion (case A): Comparison of experiment and simulation	79
7.4	Heave motion (case B): Comparison of experiment and simulation	79
7.5	Roll motion (case B): Comparison of experiment and simulation	80
7.6	Pitch motion (case B): Comparison of experiment and simulation	80
7.7	Heave motion (case C): Comparison of experiment and simulation	81
7.8	Roll motion (case C): Comparison of experiment and simulation	81
7.9	Pitch motion (case C): Comparison of experiment and simulation	82
7.10	Heave motion (case D): Comparison of experiment and simulation	82
7.11	Roll motion (case D): Comparison of experiment and simulation	83
7.12	Pitch motion (case D): Comparison of experiment and simulation	83

8.1	Hydrostatic coefficients at initial condition	87
8.2	Damage scenario includes continuous flooding	92
8.3	Added mass and damping coefficient for swaying versus frequency	93
8.4	Added mass and damping coefficient for heaving versus frequency	94
8.5	Added mass and damping coefficient for rolling versus frequency	94
8.6	Added mass and damping coefficient for coupled sway-roll versus frequency	95
8.7	Added mass and damping coefficient for pitching versus frequency	95
8.8	Added mass and damping coefficient for yawing versus frequency	96
8.9a	Time histories of ship motions during progressive flooding KG = 1.1 m, WH = 0.1 m.	98
8.9b	Time histories of ship motions during progressive flooding KG = 1.1 m, WH = 0.1 m.	99
8.10a	Time histories of ship motions during progressive flooding KG = 1.2 m, WH = 0.1 m.	100
8.10b	Time histories of ship motions during progressive flooding KG = 1.2 m, WH = 0.1 m.	101
8.11a	Time histories of ship motions during progressive flooding KG = 1.3 m, WH = 0.1 m.	102
8.11b	Time histories of ship motions during progressive flooding KG = 1.3 m, WH = 0.1 m.	103
8.12a	Time histories of ship motions during progressive flooding KG = 1.1 m, WH = 0.2 m.	104
8.12b	Time histories of ship motions during progressive flooding KG = 1.1 m, WH = 0.2 m.	105
8.13a	Time histories of ship motions during progressive flooding KG = 1.2 m, WH = 0.2 m.	106
8.13b	Time histories of ship motions during progressive flooding KG = 1.2 m, WH = 0.2 m.	107
8.14a	Time histories of ship motions during progressive flooding KG = 1.3 m, WH = 0.2 m.	108
8.14b	Time histories of ship motions during progressive flooding KG = 1.3 m, WH = 0.2 m.	109

8.15a	Time histories of ship motions during progressive flooding KG = 1.1 m, WH = 0.3 m.	110
8.15b	Time histories of ship motions during progressive flooding KG = 1.1 m, WH = 0.3 m.	111
8.16a	Time histories of ship motions during progressive flooding KG = 1.2 m, WH = 0.3 m.	112
8.16b	Time histories of ship motions during progressive flooding KG = 1.2 m, WH = 0.3 m.	113
8.17a	Time histories of ship motions during progressive flooding KG = 1.3 m, WH = 0.3 m.	114
8.17b	Time histories of ship motions during progressive flooding KG = 1.3 m, WH = 0.3 m.	115
8.18	Safe and unsafe condition of the Sarawak Fast Ferry	116

**LIST OF TABLES**

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Extent of Damage Length	13
2.2	Damage stability criteria for small vessel	13
6.1	Summary of results for roll decay test of Sarawak Fast Ferry	63
6.2	Summary of results of water ingress experiment	65
6.3	Test conditions for damage stability experiment	71
7.1	Comparison condition for Sarawak Fast Ferry	77
8.1	Summarized of hydrodynamic coefficients for simulation program	96

## NOMENCLATURES

### Vessel/Environment Parameters

B	:	Breadth of vessel
C <sub>b</sub>	:	Block coefficient
C <sub>m</sub>	:	Midship area coefficient
C <sub>PL</sub>	:	Longitudinal prismatic coefficient
D	:	Depth of vessel
D <sub>w</sub>	:	Water depth
F <sub>bd</sub>	:	Freeboard of vessel
GM	:	Metacentric height
GZ	:	Righting lever
H <sub>w</sub>	:	Wave height
T	:	Draught of vessel
KG	:	Vertical height of centre of gravity from the Keel
L	:	Length of vessel
V <sub>s</sub>	:	Forward speed of vessel
V <sub>w</sub>	:	Wave celerity
Δ	:	Displacement
λ <sub>w</sub>	:	Wave length
ζ <sub>w</sub>	:	Wave profile
γ	:	Wave number
η	:	Wave elevation

## Co-ordinate Systems

- $oxyz$  : Vessel co-ordinate system  
 $OeXeYeZe$  : Earth co-ordinate system  
 $OgeXgeYgeZge$  : Co-ordinate system at the centre of gravity  $G$  and the directions are parallel to the earth system, is used to measure vessel motions.

## Equations of Motion

- $a_n$  : Added mass  
 $b$  : Damping moment coefficient  
 $b_c$  : Critical damping  
 $F_{i \text{ wave}}, M_{i \text{ wave}}$  : Wave excitation force and moment  
 $F_{i \text{ wod}}, M_{i \text{ wod}}$  : Excitation force and moments due to water on deck  
 $i, j$  : Mode of motion, 1 for surge, 2 for Sway, 3 for Heave, 4 for Roll, 5 for pitch and 6 for yaw  
 $I_{ij}$  : Mass moment of inertia  
 $I_v$  : Virtual mass moment of inertia  
 $M$  : Mass of vessel  
 $u, v, w$  : Velocity of linear motion : surge, sway and heave respectively  
 $\dot{u}, \dot{v}, \dot{w}$  : Acceleration of linear motion : surge, sway and heave respectively  
 $p, q, r$  : Velocity of angular motion : roll, pitch and yaw respectively  
 $\dot{p}, \dot{q}, \dot{r}$  : Acceleration of angular motion : roll, pitch and yaw respectively  
 $RES_i$  : Restoring force and moments  
 $x, y, z$  : Displacement of linear motion : surge, sway and heave respectively  
 $\phi, \theta, \psi$  : Angle of angular motion : roll, pitch and yaw respectively  
 $\phi_{\max}$  : Roll response  
 $\phi_3$  : Roll amplitude at time  $t_3$   
 $\phi_1$  : Roll amplitude at time  $t_1$   
 $\gamma$  : Damping ratio



$\kappa$	:	Non-dimensional damping factor
$\Lambda$	:	Tuning factor
$\mu_\phi$	:	Magnification factor

### **Water Ingress and Flooding**

$A_{op}$	:	Area of the damaged hole or opening
DC	:	Distance between the centre of volume of the flooded water and the centre of rotation
H	:	The head between the water level and the center of damage hole
K	:	Flow coefficient
$M_f$	:	Mass of flooded water
$M_{tR}(t, \phi, \theta)$	:	Instantaneous static heeling moment due to water on deck
$M_{tT}(t, \phi, \theta)$	:	Instantaneous static trimming moment due to water on deck
LCB (t, z, $\theta$ , $\phi$ )	:	Longitudinal centre of buoyancy of the vessel
LCG	:	Longitudinal centre of gravity of the vessel
l <sub>cg</sub> (t, $\phi$ , $\theta$ )	:	Longitudinal centre of gravity of water on deck
S <sub>f</sub> (t)	:	Instantaneous static sinkage force due to water on deck
TCB (t, z, $\theta$ , $\phi$ )	:	Transverse centre of buoyancy of the vessel
TCG	:	Transverse centre of gravity of the vessel
t <sub>cg</sub> (t, $\phi$ , $\theta$ )	:	Transverse centre of gravity of water on deck
U	:	Velocity of the water
W <sub>d</sub> (t)	:	Instantaneous amount of water on deck
$\Delta$ (t, z, $\theta$ , $\phi$ )	:	Instantaneous displacement
$\Delta$ (t <sub>0</sub> )	:	Initial displacement at time t = t <sub>0</sub>

## Forces and Moments

$a$	:	Maximum amplitude of the incident wave
$\cos(n,j)$	:	Cosines directions
$G$	:	Pulsating source potential of unit strength at a point $(\zeta,\eta)$ in the strip contour
$g$	:	Gravitational acceleration
$n^{(i)}$	:	Direction cosines of the outward normal vector for each mode of motion
$p^{(i)}$	:	Hydrodynamic sectional pressure
$Q_d$	:	Unknown source strength
$S$	:	Wetted surface area of vessel
$s$	:	Wetted contour of the strip section
$V_n$	:	the normal velocity component of a point on the section contour.
$\rho$	:	Density of water
$\nabla$	:	Under water volume of vessel
$\mu$	:	Heading angle (0.0:following, 180:head, 90 and 270:beam seas)
$\omega$	:	Frequency of excitation
$\omega_e$	:	Frequency of encounter
$n^{(i)}$	:	Directional cosines of the outward normal vector and changes depending on the mode of motion (i)
$\phi_D(y,z)$	:	Sectional diffracted wave potential
$\phi_I(y,z)$	:	Sectional incident wave potential
$\Phi_{RR}$	:	Real part of radiated velocity potential
$\Phi_{RI}$	:	Imaginary part of radiated velocity potential
$\Phi_I$	:	The incident wave potential (Froude-Krylov potential) representing the incoming waves
$\Phi_D$	:	The diffracted wave potential representing the disturbance waves diffracted by the section
$\Phi_R$	:	The radiation potential representing the motion induced disturbance of the initially calm water

## Hydrodynamic Coefficients

- $A_{ij}$  : Hydrodynamic reaction in phase with acceleration (added mass) in the  $i^{\text{th}}$  and  $j^{\text{th}}$  direction ( $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ )
- $B_{ij}$  : Hydrodynamic reaction in phase with velocity (damping) in the  $i^{\text{th}}$  and  $j^{\text{th}}$  direction ( $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ )
- $C_{ij}$  : Hydrostatic stiffness of body in the  $i^{\text{th}}$  and  $j^{\text{th}}$  direction ( $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ )
- $M_i$  : Mass or mass moment of inertia of body in the  $i^{\text{th}}$  direction  
( $i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ )

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	Hydrodynamic Forces	135
B	Water Ingress	140
C	Numerical Solution of the Equation of Motion	146
D	Simulation Program	152
E	Hull Form Particulars	169
F	Model Experiment	174

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Background**

The safety of any vessel is of paramount importance to vessel designers and operators and to the regulatory bodies. For this reason, it is mandatory requirement for the vessel designers to submit stability booklet to the regulatory bodies such as classification society and marine department before the construction begins. Stability is generally defined as the ability of the vessel to return to the upright position whenever it heels to one side either by internal or external forces.

The consideration of safety is a complex matter as it has to be considered together with a number of conflicting factors such as the vessel's mission, performance, comfort, appearance, cost and profit. The type of vessel and function influence its safety standard. Vessels built for a specific duty such as research or defense has safety as their prime concern, while for commercial vehicles it is the economic viability (Turan, 1993).

The safety standard of commercial vessel at times conflict with their economical viability and their operational efficiency. A compromise has to be achieved between safety and economic viability. The main concern changes on design and regulations, results in extra cost or low operational efficiency. It is obvious that this conflict increases the potential risk of vessels loss. Therefore, improvement in the safety of vessel must be practical but at the same time offer a substantially improved standard.

The damage that might occur to any compartment of a vessel can cause the loss of its cargo, crew and the vessel itself. Compartment damage can cause the vessel to sink, trim, heel, reduction of GM and GZ or combination of two or more of them, which could eventually lead to capsize. Therefore it is incumbent to the designer to provide all necessary documentation to the classification society or other related/concerned authorities to prove that the vessel still has adequate minimum buoyancy and stability. Unlike intact stability, where the concern over transverse stability always outweighs the longitudinal stability, during the damage situation, both transverse and longitudinal stability need to be assessed. This is due to high possibility of forward or aft end compartment being flooded which results of excessive trim and if the damage is unsymmetrical, it also can cause the vessel to heel.

The damage stability assessment for large vessel is not adequate for small vessel. The main reason is due to the smaller reserve buoyancy and the length of compartment is relatively smaller as compared to large vessel. The reason leads the small vessel to be more sensitive to damage. As a result, a small vessel can capsize in the damaged situation even it has satisfied the damage stability criteria requirement (Samian and Maimun, 2000).

The aim of this research is to concentrate on the assessment of damage stability of small vessel. Time Domain Simulation approach is used to examine the vessel motions during and after flooding in order to understand the physical problems behind the capsizing phenomena. By using the results of the analysis, an approach for more realistic residual and intermediate damage stability criteria can be developed. For such an investigation the most important thing has to be studied is motion. It is common knowledge that Roll motion, which is the most important motion for the dynamic stability of vessels is normally taken into consideration when researching the capsizing especially for Beam Seas. The rolling motion become bigger due to asymmetry leads the vessel to heel and capsize rapidly. Parametric studies are conducted to develop a damage stability simulation program for small vessel.

It should be noted that the present study does not attempt to develop a new damage stability criteria for small vessel, but this study is to develop a methodology for assessing damage stability of small vessel using Time Domain Simulation. However, with the developed methodology for assessing damage stability of small vessel, it is believed to be very useful as a reference for future development of damage stability criteria.

## **1.2 Research Objectives**

The objectives of this research are given as follow:

- i. To develop mathematical model to describe the water ingress and motions of small vessel in damaged condition.
- ii. To develop a technique using Time Domain Simulation for the stability assessment of damaged vessel.
- iii. To validate the output of simulation program with the experiment result.

## **1.3 Scopes of Research**

- i. The research is to modify an existing Time Domain Simulation program for damage stability.
- ii. This research covers progressive flooding and method of calculation being used is added weight method.
- iii. The research is limited to regular wave in Beam Sea condition and includes 6 degrees-of-freedom motions.
- iv. The simulation program will be applied to the parametric study of “Sarawak Fast Ferry”
- v. The experiment will be run to verify the output of the simulation program.

## 1.4 Research Outline

This study starts by critical review of the existing damage stability criteria. The summary of the background and basis of the existing damage stability criteria are provided. Then, it concentrates on the limitation of the existing damage stability criteria and the problem of damage vessel stability. This is followed by the use of Time Domain Simulation approach to analyze the vessel motion.

In the modelling part, a six-degrees-of-freedom mathematical model is adopted to the simulation program. The main effort of this model is based on the accurate computation of the water ingress acting on the vessel at each instant of time. Whilst, the dynamic term in the equation of motion is estimated by using the frequency independent coefficient, which can be obtained through the published literatures.

In the analysis, parametric studies are carried out to find the behaviour of the vessel in damage condition. The vessel is chosen for analysis is Sarawak Fast Ferry. In parametric studies, the boundary of safe region is determined by changing the environment and vessel design parameters. The experimental results will be used to validate the simulation output.

Finally, the safe and unsafe region determined by studying the results obtained from the parametric studies. These results are then discussed and conclusions are drawn. For future work, suggestions and steps to improve the present study are recommended.



vessel in other wave direction situation has to be done. Since the relevant hydrodynamic coefficients must be determined as accurately as possible, more experiments may have to be carried out to improve the mathematical model. Experiments also must be carried out as realistically as possible by continuously flooding the compartment of the vessel oscillating in the presence of waves to improve the mathematical modelling of water accumulation.

## REFERENCES

- Ackers, P. White et al. (1978). *Weirs and Flumes for Flow Measurements*. John Wiley and Sons.
- Adee, B.H. and Caglayan, I. (1982). The Effects of Free Water on Deck on the Motions and Stability of Vessels. *Proceedings of Second International Conference on Stability of Ships and Ocean Vehicles*, Tokyo, Japan.
- Amy, J.R., Johnson, R.E. and Miller, E.R (1976). Development of Intact Stability Criteria for Towing and Fishing Vessels. *SNAME Annual General Meeting*. Paper No. 2.
- Bird, H., and Browne, R. P. (1973). Damage Stability Model Experiments. *Trans RINA*, pp 69-91.
- Bishop, R.E.D., Price, W.G. and Temarel, P. (1989). On the danger of Trim by Bow. *Trans. RINA*, pp 281-303.
- Bovet, D..M. (1973). Development of a Time Domain Simulation for Ship Capsizing in Following Waves. *Dept. of Transportation United States Coast Guard: Report No. CG-d-28-74*.
- Buang Ain, V. (2001). *Computer Aided Calculation of Hydrodynamic Coefficients of Simple Hull Section*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. Bachelor Research.

- Chang, B. C. and Blume, P. (2000). Survivability of Damaged Ro-Ro Passenger Vessels. *London: Elsevier*. **Vol. 1**, pp. 237-248.
- Caglayan, I. (1985). Water on Deck: A Theoretical and Experimental Study. *SNAME*, Texas, America.
- Dand, I.W. (1990). *Experiments with a Floodable Model of Ro-Ro Passenger Ferry*. BMT Fluid Mechanics Ltd.
- De Kat, J. and Pauling, J. R. (1989). The Simulation of Ship Motions and Capsizing in Severe Seas. *Trans SNAME*. **Vol. 97**, pp. 139-168.
- De Kat, J. O. (2000). Dynamics of a Ship with Partially Flooded Compartment. *London: Elsevier*. **Vol. 1**, pp. 249-263.
- Denise, J-P. F. (1982) On the roll Motion of Barges. *Trans. RINA*, pp 255-268.
- Dillingham, J. (1981). Motion studies of a Vessel with Water on Deck. *Marine Technology*. **Vol. 18**, No.1, pp 38-50.
- Faltinsen, O. M. (1978). A Numerical Non-linear Method of Sloshing in Tanks with Two-dimensional Flow. *Journal of Ship Research*. **Vol. 22**, No.3, pp 193-202.
- Grochowalski, S. (1989). Investigation into the Physics of Ship Capsizing by Combined Captive and Free-Running Model Test. *Trans SNAME*. **Vol. 97**. 169 - 212.
- Hamamoto, M. and Akiyoshi, T. (1988). Study on Ship Motions and Capsizing in Following Seas (1st Report) - Equations of Motion for Numerical

Simulation. *Journal of the Society of Naval Architects of Japan*. **Vol. 163**: 173 - 180.

Haraguchi, T. and Murashige, S. (2000). On the Critical Significant Wave Height for Capsizing of a Damaged Ro-Ro Passenger Ship. Contemporary Ideas on Ship Stability. *London: Elsevier*. **Vol. 1**, pp. 291-302.

Huang, D.L. et al (1994). A Study of Stability and Capsizing of Fishing Boats in North China Inshore Water. *Fifth International Conference on Stability of Ship and Ocean Vehicles*, Florida.

IMO (1974). *Regulations on Subdivision and Stability of Passenger Ships as an Equivalent to Part B of Chapter 2 of the International Convention for the safety of Life at Sea, 1960*. IMO Publications. London.

IMO (2000). *The International Code of Safety for High-Speed Craft*. I.M.O Publications. London.

Inglis, R. B., Price, W. G. (1981). A Three Dimensional Ship Motion Theory: Calculation of Wave Loading and Responses with Forward Speed. *Trans RINA*. **Vol 124**, pp. 183-192.

Inglis, R. B., Price, W. G. (1981). A Three Dimensional Ship Motion Theory: Comparison Between Theoretical Predictions and Experimental Data of the Hydrodynamic Coefficients With Forward Speed. *Trans RINA*. **Vol 124**, pp. 141-157.

Ishida, S., Murashige, S., Watanabe, I., Ogawa, Y. and Fujiwara, T. (2000). Damage Stability with Water on Deck of a Ro-Ro Passenger Ship in Waves. *London: Elsevier*. **Vol. 1**, pp. 341-352.

- Kambisseri, R. and Ikeda, Y. (2000). About Safety Assessment of Damaged Ships. London: *Elsevier*. **Vol. 1**, pp. 225-236.
- Kobylinski, L. (1990). On the Possibility of Establishing Rational Stability Criteria, *Proceeding of Fourth International Conference on Stability of Ships and Ocean Vehicles*, Naples, Italy.
- Lewis, E.V. (1988). *Principles of Naval Architecture*. USA: The Society of Naval Architects and Marine Engineers.
- Morall, A. (1979). Capsizing of Small Trawlers. *Trans. RINA*. **Vol 121**, pp 71-101.
- Neves, M.A.S., Perez, N.A. and Valerio, L. (1999): Stability of Small Fishing Vessels in Longitudinal Waves. *Ocean Engineering*. **Vol. 26**. 1389 - 1419.
- Nickum, G.C. (1978). An Evaluation of Intact Stability Criteria. *Marine Technology*. **Vol. 15**, pp 259-265.
- Papanikolaou, A. D. et al (2000). Simulation of Large Amplitude motions and of Capsizing in High Seas. London: *Elsevier*. **Vol. 1**, pp. 279-290.
- Paulling, J.R., Oakley, O.H. and Wood, P.D. (1975). Ship Capsizing in Heavy Seas: The Correlation of Theory and Experiment. *Intl. Conf. on Stability of Ships and Ocean Vehicles*. Glasgow.
- Pinto, S. G. et al. (2001). Implementation of hih-Order Implicit Runge-Kutta Methods. *Journal of Computers and Mathematics for Applications*. Pergamon. **Vol. 41**, pp 1009-1024.
- Salvesen, N., Tuck, E.O. and Faltinsen, O. (1970). Ship Motions and Sea Loads. *Trans. SNAME*. **Vol. 78**. 250 – 287.

- Scheltema de Heere, R.F and Bakker, A.R. (1969). *Buoyancy and Stability of Ships, Volume 1*. Technical Publication H. Stam, The Netherland.
- Schindler, M. (2000). Damage Stability Tests with Models of Ro-Ro Ferries-A Cost Effective Method for upgrading and designing Ro-Ro Ferries. London: *Elsevier*. **Vol. 1**, pp. 213-224.
- Shimizu, N., Kambisserri, R. and Ikeda, Y. (2000). An Experiment Research on Flooding into the Car Deck of a Ro-Ro Ferry through Damaged Bow Door. London: *Elsevier*. Vol. 1, pp. 199-212.
- Solas'74 (1974) *International Convention for Safety of Life at Sea*, London IMO.
- Storch, R.L. (1980). Small Boat Safety: The Alaskan King Crab Boat Experience. *Marine Technology*. **Vol. 17**. 231 - 242.
- Turan, O. (1993). *Dynamic Stability Assessment of Damaged Passenger Ship Using a Time Simulation Approach*. University of Strathclyde. Ph.D. Thesis.
- Turan, O. and Vassalos, D. (1993). Dynamic Stability Assessment of Damaged Passenger Ships. *Trans RINA*.
- Umeda, N. (1999): Nonlinear Dynamics of Ship Capsizing due to Broaching in Following and Quartering Seas. *Journal of Marine Science and Technology*. **Vol. 4**. 16 - 26.
- Umeda, N., Munif, A. and Hashimoto, H. (2000). Numerical Prediction of Extreme Motions and Capsizing for intact Ships in Following/Quartering Seas. *Fourth Osaka Colloquium on Seakeeping Performance of Ships*. Japan.

Vassalos, D. (2000). The Water on Deck Problem of damaged Ro-Ro Ferries. London: *Elsevier*. **Vol. 1**, pp. 163-185.

Vassalos, D., Letizia, L. and Turan, O. (2000). Modeling the Accumulation of Water on the Vehicle Deck of a Damaged Ro-Ro Vessel and proposal of Survival Criteria. London: *Elsevier*. **Vol 1**: 315-337.

Walshaw, A.C. and Jobson, D.A. (1979). *Mechanic of Fluids*. Longman Group.

Y, Samian and A. Maimun. (2001). Static and Dynamic Stability Assessment of Small Craft. *Seminar on Ship Design, Model Testing and Sea Trial Activities*. Skudai, Johor.

Yamakoshi, Y., Takaishi, Y., Kan, M., Yoshino, T. and Tsuchiya, T. (1982). Model Experiments on Capsize of Fishing Boats in Waves. *Second International Conference on Stability of Ship and Ocean Vehicles*. Tokyo.