# MODELING OF NON POINT SOURCE POLLUTION FROM RESIDENTAL AND COMMERCIAL CATCHMENTS IN SKUDAI, JOHOR

## SITI NAZAHIYAH BTE RAHMAT

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Hydrology and Water Resource)

> Faculty of Civil Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > DECEMBER 2005

Specially dedicated to my beloved parents, brothers and sisters for their love, encouragement and endless support towards the success of this study

Last but not least, to special one, Ahmad Zurisman, thanks for everything ...

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to convey my sincerest thanks to my supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Zulkifli bin Yusop for his dedicated guidance, valuable assistance and endless encouragement throughout the accomplishment of this research. I would also like to thank Associate Professor Dr. Maketab bin Mohamed for his support.

I am grateful to the technicians of the Environment Laboratory in the Faculty of Civil Engineering, UTM who had been very helpful in providing assistance throughout the work. My thanks is also extended to Associate Professor Dr. Ismail bin Abustan for his advice whether directly or indirectly in improving my research. Also not forgetting my fellow colleagues at Institute of Environmental and Water Resources (IPASA), UTM for their support.

I am deeply indebted to Kolej Universiti Teknologi Tun Hussien Onn (KUiTTHO) and Public Services Department (JPA) for the financial aid provided in pursuing this study. My most heartfelt gratitude to my beloved family for their unfailing love, care, encouragement and prayers.

Last but not least, my thanks to all those who had helped directly or indirectly in my research.

#### ABSTRACT

Sampling of urban runoff was carried out in two small catchments, which represent residential and commercial areas in Skudai, Johor. Ten storm events for residential and seven events for commercial catchments were analysed. Runoff quality showed large variations in concentrations during storms, especially for SS, BOD<sub>5</sub> and COD. Concentrations of NO<sub>3</sub>-N, NO<sub>2</sub>-N, NH<sub>3</sub>-N, and P were also high. Lead (Pb) was also detected in both catchments but the levels were low (<0.001 mg/l). In general, the water quality was badly polluted and fell in class V of the Interim National Water Quality Standards. The hydrographs and pollutographs for both catchments showed rapid increases and decreases equally rapidly. Most pollutants were diluted as storm events progress. In most cases, the peak concentrations preceded the peak runoff. This suggests that the pollutants were of short distant sources/origins and the bulk of the pollutant mass arrived at the catchment's outlet much faster than the runoff itself. For the hysteresis loop, both catchments showed most of the parameters were characterized by clockwise hysteresis. Only a few plots were exhibited counterclockwise and figure eight hysteresis loop. The relative strength of the first flush for the commercial catchment was P> COD>SS> NO<sub>3</sub>-N> NO<sub>2</sub>-N> BOD<sub>5</sub>> NH<sub>3</sub>-N whereas for the residential catchment was SS> COD> BOD<sub>5</sub>> NH<sub>3</sub>-N> P> NO<sub>3</sub>-N> NO<sub>2</sub>-N. The loadings were higher in the commercial than in the residential catchment and this was attributed to a greater runoff volume per unit area and higher Event Mean Concentration (EMC) in the former. Detail calibration and validation of Storm Water Management Model (SWMM) for modeling water quantity and quality were discussed. The simulation results, evaluated in terms of runoff depth, peak flow and the hydrograph shapes, were satisfactorily. For the water quality modeling, the simulation results were evaluated in terms of total load and peak load. SWMM can model SS load reasonably well for the residential catchment, but was not satisfactory for the commercial catchment.

### ABSTRAK

Air larian bandar telah disampel di tadahan perumahan dan tadahan komersil di Skudai, Johor. Sampel dari 10 kejadian ribut bagi tadahan perumahan dan tujuh kejadian ribut bagi tadahan komersil telah dianalisis. Kualiti air larian ribut menunjukkan pelbagai variasi terutamanya untuk kepekatan SS, BOD<sub>5</sub> dan COD. Kepekatan NO<sub>3</sub>-N, NO<sub>2</sub>-N, NH<sub>3</sub>-N dan P juga mencatatkan nilai yang tinggi. Sementara kepekatan Pb dalam kedua – dua tadahan adalah rendah (< 0.001 mg/l). Secara amnya, kualiti air dikategorikan di dalam kelas V berdasarkan Piawai Interim Kualiti Air Kebangsaan. Hidrograf dan pollutograf bagi kedua – dua tadahan menunjukkan kenaikan dan juga penurunan secara mendadak. Bahan cemar mengalami pencairan sepanjang kejadian ribut. Kebanyakan ribut, menunjukkan kepekatan maksimum berlaku lebih awal berbanding air larian puncak. Ini mencadangkan bahawa sumber bahan cemar adalah berdekatan dan sejumlah besar bahan cemar tiba di salur keluar tadahan lebih awal dari air larian itu sendiri. Bagi analisis'hysteresis loop', kebanyakan parameter di kedua- dua kawasan tadahan menunjukkan 'clockwise loop'. Kekuatan relatif fenomena 'first flush' bagi tadahan komersil adalah P> COD> SS> NO<sub>3</sub>-N> NO<sub>2</sub>-N> BOD<sub>5</sub>> NH<sub>3</sub>-N sementara bagi tadahan perumahan: SS> COD> BOD<sub>5</sub>> NH<sub>3</sub>-N> P> NO<sub>3</sub>-N> NO<sub>2</sub>-N. Beban bahan cemar di tadahan komersil adalah lebih tinggi berbanding di tadahan perumahan. Ini disebabkan isipadu air larian per unit luas dan 'Event Mean Concentration' yang lebih besar di tadahan komersial. Kalibrasi dan validasi menggunakan SWMM bagi pemodelan kuantiti dan kualiti air dibincangkan secara terperinci. Keputusan simulasi dinilai dari segi kedalaman air larian, aliran puncak dan bentuk hidrograf. SWMM memberi keputusan yang memuaskan untuk proses kalibrasi dan validasi. Sementara itu, bagi pemodelan kualiti air, keputusan simulasi dinilai dari segi jumlah beban dan beban puncak. Beban SS dapat dimodel dengan baik menggunakan SWMM bagi tadahan perumahan tetapi tidak begitu memuaskan bagi tadahan komersil.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

CHAPTER

## TITLE

#### PAGE

DECLARATION	ii
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	v
ABSTRAK	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	XV
LIST OF SYMBOLS / ABBREVIATIONS	XX
LIST OF APPENDICES	xxi

# 1 INRTRODUCTION

1.1	Background of the research	1
1.2	Statement of the problem	3
1.3	Objectives	5
1.4	Scope of work	6

# 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Urban water pollution	7	
<i>4</i> .1	orbail water pollution	1	

2.2	Runof	f Quality	9
2.3	Contro	ol of non point source pollution	13
2.4	Pollut	ion Build up and Wash off Process	14
2.5	Conce	entrations	16
	2.5.1	Event Mean Concentration	16
2.6	Load	Estimation	17
2.7	Hyster	resis Loop	18
2.8	Conce	epts of first flush	23
	2.8.1	Analysis by difference between	25
		dimensionless Cumulative Pollutant	
		and Volume Mass	
	2.8.2	Dimensionless cumulative	26
		analysis	
	2.8.3	Analysis by cumulative curve ratio	27
2.9	Non p	oint source pollution simulation models	31
2.10	Storm	Water Management Model (SWMM)	33
2.11	Sensit	ivity Analysis	35

# 3 METHODOLOGY

3.1	Catchment Description	36
3.2	Rainfall Measurement	39
3.3	Flow Measurement	40
3.4	Sampling Procedure	42
	3.4.1 Analysis of water quality	43
3.5	Event mean concentration	45
3.6	Correlation Analysis	46
3.7	Box plot analysis	46
3.8	Pollutant loading	47
3.9	First Flush Concept	48
	3.9.1 Dimensionless cumulative analysis	48
3.10	Storm Water Management Model (SWMM)	48

3.11	Water Quantity	49
3.12.	Hydrologic Parameter	50
	3.12.1 Horton Equation	50
3.13	Routing Method	53
	3.13.1 Kinematic Wave	53
3.14	Calibration and Validation	54
3.15	Sensitivity Analysis	56
3.16	Goodness of fit	56
3.17	Water Quality	58
	3.17.1 Estimation of Buildup Parameters	58
	3.17.2 Estimation of Wash-off Parameters	63

# 4 RUNOFF QUALITY AND POLLUTANT LOADINGS

4.1	Characteristics of Rainfall Events	66
4.2	Event Mean Concentrations (EMC)	67
4.3	Correlation Analysis	70
4.4	Baseflow and Stormflow Concentrations	71
4.5	Hydrograph and Pollutograph Analysis	80
4.6	Hysteresis Loop Analysis	85
4.7	First Flush Phenomenon	89
4.8	Pollutant Loadings	94

# 5 STORM WATER MANAGEMENT MODEL (SWMM)

5.1	Hydrologic Parameters	97
5.2	Sensitivity Analysis	99
	5.2.1 Sensitivity to Runoff Depth	99
	5.2.2 Sensitivity to Peak Flow	102

5.3	Model	Calibration and Validation for	104			
	Comm	Commercial Catchment				
	5.3.1	Calibration of Runoff Depth	104			
	5.3.2	Validation of Runoff Depth	105			
	5.3.3	Calibration of the Peak Flows	106			
	5.3.4	Validation of the Peak Flows	108			
5.4	Calibr	ation and Validation for Residential	112			
	Catchi	Catchment				
	5.4.1	Calibration of the Runoff Depth	112			
	5.4.2	Validation of the Runoff Depth	112			
	5.4.3	Calibration of the Peak Flows	113			
	5.4.4	Validation of the Peak Flows	115			
5.5	Water	Quality	118			
	5.5.1	Estimation of Buildup Parameters	118			
	5.5.2	Estimation of Washoff Parameters	122			
5.6	Calibr	ation of water quality for commercial	127			
	catchn	nent				
5.7	Calibr	ation of water quality for residential	134			
	catchn	catchment				

# 6 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1	Conclusion		141
-----	------------	--	-----

6.2	Recommendations	143
-----	-----------------	-----

REFERENCES	144
APPENDICES	160

# LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Mean concentrations (mg/l) of stormwater	17
	runoff for various types of studies	
2.2	Ranges of pollutants loads for various	18
	types of studies (in kg/ha/year)	
2.3	Summary of C/Q relationship	19
2.4	Summary of methodologies for	29
	determining First Flush	
3.1	Physiographical conditions of sub catchments	39
3.2	The analytical methods used in this study	44
3.3	Independent variables and parameters	50
	influencing stormwater pollution washoff	
3.4	Initial Infiltration Capacity	51
3.5	Minimum value of infiltration capacity	51
	for different hydrologic soil group	
3.6	Decay rate of infiltration	52
4.1	Characteristics of monitored storms	67
4.2	Event means concentration (EMC) of water	68
	quality parameters	
4.3	Water Quality Index Class Standard	68
4.4	Comparison between the results obtained from	69
	this study with the other studies	
4.5	Statistical analysis of pollutant concentration for	70
	both catchments	
4.6a	Correlation analysis of pollutant concentration	71
	in the residential catchment	

4.6b	Correlation analysis of pollutant concentration	71
	in the commercial catchment	
4.7a	Median concentration of stormflow and	74
	baseflow quality in the commercial catchment	
4.7b	Median concentration of water quality parameters	78
	for residential catchment	
4.8	Characterisation of pollutographs for each event	82
4.9	Summary of pollutographs for both catchments	82
4.10	Summary of C/Q hysteresis loop characterization	86
	for both catchments	
4.11	Cumulative load at 20-30% of the runoff volume	91
	in the commercial catchment	
4.12	Cumulative load at 20-30% of the runoff volume	93
	in the residential catchment	
4.13	Event pollutant loadings for residential and	94
	commercial catchments	
5.1	The Manning's roughness values	98
5.2	Default parameter coefficient in SWMM	98
5.3	Parameters values used for calibrating SWMM	104
	in the commercial and residential catchments	
5.4	Observed and simulated runoff depths of the	105
	calibrated events in the commercial catchment	
5.5	Observed and simulated runoff depths of the	106
	validated events in the commercial catchment	
5.6	Comparison between observed and simulated	107
	peak flows of calibrated events in the	
	commercial catchment	
5.7	Statistical fits of the validated peak flows in the	109
	commercial catchment	
5.8	Comparison between observed and simulated	112
	runoff depths of calibrated events in the	
	residential catchment	

5.9	Comparison between observed and simulated	113
	runoff depths of validated in the residential	
	catchment	
5.10	Observed and simulated peak flows of	113
	calibrated events in the residential catchment	
5.11	Comparison between observed and simulated	115
	peak flows of validated hydrographs in the	
	residential catchment	
5.12	Collected dust and dirt in the commercial	120
	catchment	
5.13	Deposition of dust and dirt in the residential	121
	catchment	
5.14	Parameters of buildup data in the commercial and	121
	residential catchments	
5.15	Washoff parameters for the commercial catchment	124
5.16	Washoff parameters for the residential catchment	126
5.17	Calibration of SS loading for 16 March 2004's storm	128
5.18	Peak load of SS (kg/s) for the event on	128
	March 16, 2004	
5.19	Calibration of SS loading for March 11, 2004	129
5.20	Peak load (kg/s) for the event on March 11, 2004	129
5.21	Calibration of SS loading for storm on March 19, 2004	130
5.22	Peak load of SS (kg/s) in the commercial	131
	catchment for storm on March 19, 2004	
5.23	Calibration of SS loading for storm on	132
	April 14, 2004	
5.24	Peak load of SS (kg/s) in the commercial	132
	catchment on April 14, 2004	
5.25	Calibration of SS loading in the commercial	133
	catchment for storm on September 10, 2004	
5.26	Peak load of SS (kg/s) in the commercial	133
	catchment for storm on September 10, 2004	
5.27	Calibration of SS loading in the residential	135
	catchment on March 4, 2004	

5.28	Peak load of SS (kg/s) on March 4, 2004	135
5.29	Calibration of SS loading in the residential	136
	catchment for storm on 12 July 2004	
5.30	Peak load of SS (kg/s) in the residential	137
	catchment on 12 July 2004	
5.31	Calibration of SS loading from residential	138
	catchment on September 8, 2004	
5.32	Peak load of SS (kg/s) from the residential	138
	catchment on September 8, 2004	
5.33	Calibration of SS loading from the residential	139
	catchment on November 4, 2004	
5.34	Peak load of SS (kg/s) from the residential	139
	catchment on November 4, 2004	

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Nitrogen cycle	
	11	
2.2	Phosphorus cycle	12
2.3	Pollutant accumulation on impervious surfaces	15
	of urban areas	
2.4	Three subgroups of single-valued-line class	20
2.5	Clockwise loop C-Q relationship	21
2.6	Counter-clockwise loop C-Q relationship	21
2.7	Single-valued plus a loop C-Q relationship	22
2.8	Figure eight C-Q relationship	22
2.9	Typical first flush of solids	25
2.10	Definition of the first flush	26
2.11	Cumulative mass/volume ratio for determining	27
	the presence of first flush	
2.12	Calibration procedure for SWMM	34
3.1	Location of the study site	37
3.2a	Residential Catchment	37
3.2b	Commercial Catchment	38
3.3	A rain gauge installed on roof top in the	40
	residential catchment	
3.4	Water Level – Discharge Rating Curve for	41
	both catchments	
3.5	Typical stormwater sampling interval	43

	1 1. 4. 41	
2.6	employed in this study	16
3.6	Information that can be derived from a box plot	46
3.7	Decay rate coefficient	52
3.8	Buildup relationship	59
3.9	Test strip for the commercial catchment	61
3.10	Test strip for the residential catchment	62
3.11	Cumulative values of $K_W$ and runoff rate ( $R$ )	65
4.1	Box plot analysis of stormwater quality in	73
	the commercial catchment	
4.2	Effects of antecedent dry days on	75
	Pollutant concentrations	
4.3	Box plot analysis of stormwater quality in	77
	the residential catchment	
4.4	Effects of antecedent dry day on	79
	pollutant concentrations	
4.5a	Pollutographs and hydrographs in the residential	83
	catchments	
4.5b	Pollutographs and hydrographs in the commercial	84
	catchments	
4.6	Hysteresis loops for residential catchment	87
4.7	Hysteresis loops for commercial catchment	88
4.8	Mass Volume, $M(V)$ ratios of BOD <sub>5</sub> , COD, SS,	90
	NO <sub>3</sub> -N, NO <sub>2</sub> -N, NH <sub>3</sub> -N and P in the commercial	
	catchment	
4.9	Mass Volume, $M(V)$ ratios of BOD <sub>5</sub> , COD, SS,	92
	$NO_3$ -N, $NO_2$ -N, $NH_3$ -N and P in the residential	
	catchment	
5.1	Relationship between observed runoff and rainfall	96
	depth for commercial catchment	
5.2	Relationship between observed runoff and rainfall	96
	depth for residential catchment	
5.3	Sensitivity analysis for percentage of impervious	99
	area on runoff volume	
5.4	Sensitivity analysis of catchment width on runoff	99
U 1 1	Sensitivity undryons of eutermient width on fution	,,

## volume

5.5	Sensitivity analysis of impervious depression storage	100
	on runoff volume	
5.6	Sensitivity analysis of percentage of impervious area	101
	on runoff volume	
5.7	Sensitivity analysis of runoff volume for catchment	101
	width	
5.8	Sensitivity analysis of runoff volume for impervious	101
	depression storage	
5.9	Sensitivity analysis of percentage of impervious area	102
	on the peak flows	
5.10	Sensitivity analysis of catchment width on the	103
	peak flows	
5.11	Sensitivity analysis of percentage of impervious area	103
	on the peak flows	
5.12	Sensitivity analysis of peak flows for catchment width	103
5.13	Observed and simulated hydrographs in the	107
	commercial catchment for storm on March 16, 2004	
5.14	Observed and simulated hydrographs in the	108
	commercial catchment for storm on March 11, 2004	
5.15	Observed and simulated hydrographs of the	109
	commercial catchment for storm on April 14, 2004	
5.16	Observed and simulated hydrographs of the	110
	commercial catchment for storm on March 19, 2004	
5.17	Observed and simulated hydrographs of the	110
	commercial catchment for storm on	
	September 10, 2004	
5.18	Observed and simulated runoff depth in the	111
	commercial catchment	
5.19	Observed and simulated peak flows in the	111
	commercial catchment	
5.20	Observed and simulated hydrographs of the residential	114
	catchment for storm on March 4, 2004	

5.21	Observed and simulated hydrographs of the residential	114
	catchment for storm on July 12, 2004	
5.22	Observed and simulated hydrographs of the residential	115
	catchment for storm on November 4, 2004	
5.23	Observed and simulated hydrographs of the	116
	residential catchment for storm on September 8, 2004	
5.24	Observed and simulated hydrographs of the	117
	residential catchment for storm on March 6, 2004	
5.25	Observed and simulated peak flows for the	117
	residential catchment	
5.26	Observed and simulated runoff depth for	118
	the residential catchment	
5.27	Total dust and dirt (DD) buildup rate in the	119
	commercial catchment	
5.28	Dust and dirt (DD) buildup rate of particulates	119
	$\leq 150 \ \mu m$	
5.29	Total dust and dirt buildup rate in the	120
	residential catchment	
5.30	Dust and dirt (DD) buildup rate for particulates	120
	≤ 150 μm	
5.31	Cumulative washoff coefficient and cumulative	124
	runoff exponent for the commercial catchment	
5.32	Cumulative washoff coefficient and cumulative	126
	runoff exponent for the residential catchment	
5.33	The observed and simulated loadographs of SS on	128
	16 March, 2004	
5.34	The observed and simulated loadographs of SS on	130
	March 11, 2004	
5.35	The observed and simulated loadographs of SS on	131
	March 19, 2004	
5.36	The observed and simulated loadographs of SS on	132
	April 14, 2004	
5.37	The observed and simulated loadographs of SS on	133
	September 10, 2004	
	-	

5.38	Relationship between observed and simulated	134
	loadings of SS in the commercial catchment	
5.39	The observed and simulated loadographs of SS in	136
	the residential catchment on March 4, 2004	
5.40	The observed and simulated loadographs of SS in	137
	the residential catchment on 12 July 2004	
5.41	The observed and simulated loadographs of SS from	138
	the residential catchment on 8 September 2004	
5.42	The observed and simulated loadographs of SS from	140
	the residential catchment on November 4, 2004	
5.43	Relationship between observed and simulated	140
	loadings for the residential catchment	

## LIST OF SYMBOLS/ ABBREVIATIONS

ALD	-	Absolute Load Difference
ARE	-	Absolute Relative Error
EMC	-	Event Mean Concentratotion
F	-	Dimensionless cumulative runoff volume
FF	-	First Flush
Fp	-	Infiltration capacity
$K_w$	-	washoff coefficient
L	-	Dimensionless cumulative pollutant mass
М	-	Mass
R	-	Runoff rate
RE	-	Relative Error
RLD	-	Relative Load Difference
$Q_o$	-	Observed discharges
$Q_s$	-	Simulated discharges
V	-	Volume

# LIST OF APPENDICES

## APPENDIX

## TITLE

## PAGE

А	Phosphorus, P Analysis (ICP – MS)	160
	Calibration	
В	Sample Analysis	162
С	Residential Catchment	164
D	Commercial Carchment	166
E	Hydrographs and Pollutographs	167
F	Hysteresis Loops	182
G	Sieve Analysis	200
Н	Estimation of Washoff Parameters	201

## **CHAPTER 1**

#### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Background of the research**

Pollution has been defined as changes in the physical, chemical or biological quality of the resources (air, land and or water) that is injurious to the existing, intended or potential uses of the resource (Novotny and Chesters, 1981). The sources or causes of pollution can be classified as either point sources (PS) or non-point sources (NPS) of pollution. Point sources of pollution are defined as pollutants that enter the transport routes at discrete, identifiable locations and that can usually be measured. Non point sources are defined as diffuse, water flows on the surface dissolving and washing away pollutants and soil sediments along its path and finally discharging into receiving waters (Stevenson and Wyman, 1991; Taebi and Droste, 2004). There are several general characteristics that describe non point source pollution;

- NPS discharges enter surface waters in a diffuse manner and at intermittent intervals that are related mostly to that occurrence of meteorological events
- ii) Pollution arises over an extensive area of land and is in transitoverland before it reaches surface waters
- iii) Generally, NPS cannot be monitored at their point of origin and their exact source is difficult to trace
- iv) Elimination or control of pollutants must be directed at specific sites

#### v) NPS pollutants cannot be measured in terms of effluent limitations

Several major factors have severely disrupted the environmental (ecological) balance, resulting in accelerated increases of nonpoint sources pollution (Novotny and Olem, 1994). They are

- population increase (sometimes termed explosion) especially in developing countries
- land-use transformation and conversion of land to intensive agriculture and increased use of chemicals to sustain high agricultural yield
- urbanization and industrialization
- increased living standard, resulting in an increased per capita use of natural resources and increasing waste generation

There are various types of diffuse sources of pollution, but the ones that are most common and regarded as having the most significant impact are agriculture (mainly nutrients and pesticides), transport (road, air, shipping), atmospheric deposition (especially on lakes and the sea), leaching and corrosion of building materials and consumer products, urban and industrial site run-off, storm water and forestry activities (Moxon, 1998). Also, some non-agricultural land use (e.g. golf courses) can be a significant contribution for nonpoint pollution source (Evans and Nizeyimana, 1998). Due to complex modes of transport and site-specific characteristics, NPS pollutants are generally more difficult to control compared to point sources. Because of the difficulty to quantify and understand the processes that contribute to pollutant generation, transport and deposition, the effective management of non-point source control is complex and always involved non-standard local boundaries. In addition the cost involved is high whereas the benefits are often not obvious.

Among non point sources, urban stormwater runoff was reported as a major contributor to the pollution of many receiving waters (Saget *et al.*, 1996; Appel and Hudak, 2001; Brezonik and Stadelmann, 2002; Buffleben et al., 2002; Lee *et al.*, 2004). The quantity and quality of stormwater runoff from urban areas are influenced by many factors including human activities, meteorological variables and catchment

characteristics. The meteorological variables include rainfall, temperature, wind and inter event periods, whereas the catchment characteristic include catchment area, topography, landuse, soil types and conditions, population density, drainage systems and waste disposal practices (Driver and Tasker, 1990).

#### **1.2** Statement of the problem

Typically, there are two main impacts of urbanization. First the hydrology is modified causing more rapid flow path and the second, increase of human activities that adds pollutants. Construction of roads and buildings reduce the vegetated area and increase the catchment's imperviousness, while the groundwater recharge is reduced (Whipple, 1983; Lazaro, 1990). These often lead to enhancement of overland flow, greater peakflow with a shorter time to peak and decrease base flow. In addition, rapid population growth and land disturbance generate significant sources of contaminants especially from residential and industrial areas. Ineffective handling of urban wastewater is quite common and results in adverse environmental problems (Bedient *et al.*, 1978; Lee *et al.*, 1996).

The more rapid hydrological pathway and readily available sources of pollutants are responsible for the quality degradation of many receiving water systems (Petry *et al.*, 2002; Pieterse *et al.*, 2003; Taebi and Droste, 2004). Numerous studies on urban runoff quality conducted in different parts of the world over recent decades have shown that runoff can carry relatively high concentrations of a variety of pollutants. In the early stages of runoff, the land surfaces, especially the impervious surfaces like streets and parking areas, as well as solids accumulated in the collection system during the antecedent dry weather period, are flushed by stormwater. Normally, the velocity of the flow is high in urban drainage systems so that the runoff is able to transport higher volume of sediments. In small catchments, this can transport large loading of pollutants in the form of a first flush.

The loadings and concentrations of suspended solids, nutrients and other contaminants are much higher in urban stormwater runoff than in runoff from unimpaired and rural areas (Sartor and Boyd, 1972; Vaze, 2002). These pollutants are transported into water bodies, such as lakes and rivers, especially during rainy season and may lead to eutrophication. Nitrogen in the form of ammoniacal-N and nitrate, and phosphorus as orthophosphates are readily available for plant growth. This could lead to algal blooms and excessive macrophytic growth and causing depletion of dissolved oxygen upon death and decay. The long term effects would include eutrophication, sedimentation of lakes and rivers, threatening habitats, losses of biodiversity, channel constriction and more frequent flooding.

The existence of the first flush of pollutants provides an opportunity for stormwater managers and engineers to control water pollution in an economic and efficient way. If most of the urban-surface pollutant load were transported during the initial phase of a storm, then a rather small volume of runoff storage would be needed to treat and remove the bulk of urban-surface pollutants. As a result, controlling the first flush has become the most practiced criterion for the design of stormwater treatment facilities; and first flush collection systems are employed to capture and isolate this most polluted runoff, with subsequent runoff being diverted directly to the stormwater system and finally into the receiving environment (Deng, 2005).

Concern over continuous degradation of urban runoff quality, emerged only recently as opposed to quantity aspects of flood mitigation. Unlike in the developed countries especially the US, Japan and the EC where funding are more readily available, monitoring of urban runoff in the developing countries generally receive less priority. This despite the fact that the latter are experiencing much more severe water quality problems. A comprehensive understanding on the processes of contaminants transport and loadings are crucial for formulating effective urban water and waste-water management strategies (Brezonik and Stadelmann, 2002). To date coordinated and comprehensive study on these aspects, particularly in tropical region, is still scarce. Systematic evaluation of non point source impacts often requires water quality models. Models provide a predictive ability, which enables potentially expensive water quality management options to be evaluated and tested prior to their implementation. This is far more cost-effective by considerably reduce financial resources required for data collection and provides a systematic and rigorous framework for examining water quality impacts. In this study the Storm Water Management Model (SWMM) has been selected. This model performs both continuous and single event simulation. The model can also simulate backwater, surcharging, pressure flow, looped connections and has a variety of options for quality simulations, including traditional buildup and wash off formulations (Novotny and Olem, 1994).

A major advantage of using simulations model is the insight gained by gathering and organizing data required as inputs to the mathematical algorithms that made up the overall model system. Besides, many alternative schemes for development and flood control can be quickly tested and compared with simulation models (Huber and Bedient, 1992).

#### 1.3 Objectives

The overall aim of this study is to gain a better understanding on the extent of non-point source pollution in developed urban catchments. Specifically the study will:-

- i) Quantify loadings of major pollution from selected urban catchments
- ii) Investigate the influence of hydrological regime (rainfall and runoff) on the pattern of pollutant loading
- iii) Simulate the NPS pollution loadings using Storm Water Management Model (SWMM)

## 1.4 Scope of work

In order to archive the above objectives the following tasks were carried out:-

- Selecting two small catchments representing residential and commercial catchment;
- Measuring discharge and water level during low flow and storm flow;
- 3) Baseflow and stormflow sampling of water;
- Labarotary analysis of Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>),
   Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), Suspended Solids (SS),
   Nutrients (NO<sub>3</sub>-N, NO<sub>2</sub>-N, NH<sub>3</sub>-N and P) and heavy metal (Pb);
- Data analysis including Event Mean Concentration (EMC), pollutant loading, statistical analysis, box plot analysis, hysteresis loops and first flush analysis;
- 6) Simulation of NPS using XP-SWMM model in terms of water quantity and quality

## 6.2 **Recommendations**

In view of the large temporal and spatial variations of EMC value, a more intensive stormwater monitoring program is recommended. Preferably, the sampling design must include various storm size and to be replicated for different land-use types. Consideration on the antecedent conditions of the catchment is also crucial for a better understanding of the pollutant transport mechanism.

An important issue to be addressed is the influence of length of dry period and rainfall intensity on the water quality and pollution loading. Continuous water quality monitoring programme with reliable rainfall data, though expensive, is crucial in getting reliable data for estimating pollutant loading.

Data on pollutant buildup on catchment surfaces is extremely lacking in the tropics. More work is necessary in this line to improve water quality modeling using SWMM. In addition, data of washoff processes are also essential during calibration of the pollutographs.

#### REFERENCES

- Abustan, I. (1997). Modelling of phosphorous transport in urban stormwater runoff, Ph.D dissertation, School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, The University of New South Wales, Australia, Sydney, Australia.
- Adams, B.J. and Papa, F. (2000). Urban Stormwater management planning with analytical probabilistic models, John Wiley & Son, New York.
- Akan, O. (1993). Urban stormwater hydrology: A guide to engineering calculations, Technomic, Lancaster, Pa.
- Alley, W.M. and Smith, P.E. (1981). Estimation of accumulation parameters urban runoff quality modeling. *Water Resourses Research*, 17(6): 1657-1664.
- Ammon, D.C. (1979). Urban Stormwater Pollutant Buildup and Washoff Relationship, Master of Engineering Thesis, Department of Environmental Engineering Sciences, University of Florida, Florida.
- Appel, P.L., Hudak, P.F. (2001). Automated sampling of stormwater runoff in an urban watershed, North-Central Texas. *Journal Environmental Science Health*, A36 (6), 897–907.
- APHA, AWWA and WEF. (1995). Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, Washington, DC: American Public Health Association, New York, no 19.
- Ball, J.E. and Luk, K.C. (1998). Modeling the spatial variability of rainfall over a catchment. *ASCE, Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 3(2), 122–130.

- Baffaut, C. and Delleur, J.W. (1990). Calibration of SWMM runoff quality model with expert system, *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, 116: 247-261.
- Barbosa, A. E. and Hvitved-Jacobsen, T. (1999). Highway runoff and potential for removal of heavy metals in an infiltration pond in Portugal. *The science of the total environment*, 235: 151–159.
- Barrett, M. E. (1999). "Complying with the Edwards aquifer rules: Technical guidance on best management practices." (http://www.tnrcc.state.tx.us/admin/topdoc/rg/348.pdf)
- Barrett, M. E., Irish, L. B., Malina, J. F., and Charbeneau, R. J. (1997). Characterization of highway runoff in Austin, Texas, area. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 124(2), 131–137.
- Bedient, P.B., Harned, D.A. and Charaklis, W.G. (1980). Stormwater analysis and prediction in Houston. *Journal of Environmental Engineering.*, 104 (EE6):1087 -1100.
- Bedient, P.B., Harned, D.A. and Characklis, W.G. (1978). Stormwater analysis and prediction in Houston. *Journal of Environmental Engineering. Div. ASCE*. 104 (EE6), 1087-1100.
- Bertrand, J.L., Chebbo, G., and Saget, A. (1998). Distribution of pollutant mass vs volume in stormwater discharges and the first flush phenomenon. *Water Research*, 32: 2341-2356.
- Brezonik, P.L. and Stadelmann, T.H. (2002). Analysis and predictive models of stromwater runoff volumes, loads, and pollutants concentrations from watersheds in the Twin Cities metropolitan area, Minnesota, USA. *Water Research*, 36, 1743 - 1757.

- Brown, L.C and Barnwell, T.O. (1987). The Enhanced Stream Water Quality Models QUAL2E and QUAL2E-UNCAS : Documentation and User Manual.
   Report No. EPA/600/3-87/007. U.S Environmental Protection Agency, Athens, Georgia , USA.
- Buffleben, M.S., Zayeed, K., Kimbrough, D., Stenstrom, M.K. and Suffet, I.H. (2002). Evaluation of urban non-point source runoff of hazardous metals entering Santa Monica Bay, California. *Water Science Technology*, 45 (9), 263–268.
- Charbeneau, R. J. and Barretti, M. (1998). Evaluation of methods for estimating stormwater pollutants loads. *Water Environmental Research*, 70:1295-1302.
- Chiew, F. H. S., Mudgway, L. B. and McMahon, T. A. (1997). Urban stormwater pollution. Cooperative Research Centre for Catchment Hydrology, *Industry Rep.*, Melbourne, Australia.
- Choe, J.S., Bang, K.W. and Lee, J.H. (2002). Characterization of Surface Runoff in Urban Areas. *Water Science and Technology*, 45 (9). 249-254.
- Choi, K.S and Ball, J.E. (2002). Parameter estimation for urban runoff modeling. *Urban Water*, 4 :31–41.
- City of Boise. (1998). *Boise storm water management design manual*, (http://www.cityofboise.org/publicIworks/services/water/stormIwater/manual .pdf).
- Cordery, I. (1977). Quality characteristics of urban storm water in Sydney, Australia. *Water Resources Research*, 13(1), 197–202.
- Cristina, C. M. and Sansalone, J. J. (2003). First flush, power law and particle separation diagrams for urban storm-water suspended particulates. *Journal* of Environmental Engineering, 129(4), 298–307.

- Davies, J.W. (1987). Laboratory studies related to the first foul flush in combined sewers.Proceedings of the 4<sup>th</sup> International Conferences on Urban Storm Drainage, Lausanne, Switzerland, 47-48.
- Deletic, A. (1998). The first flush load of urban surface runoff. *Water Research*, 32: 2462-2470.
- Deletic, A. and Maksumovic, C.T. (1998). Evaluation of water quality factors in storm runoff from paved areas. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 124: 869-879.
- Deng, Z-Q, de Lima J.L.M.P. and Singh V. P. (2005). Fractional Kinetic Model for First Flush of Stormwater Pollutants. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*. 131 (2), 232–241.
- de Neufville, R. (1990). Applied Systems Analysis: Engineering Planning and Technology Management, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Diaz-Fierros T, F., Suarez, J., Puerta, J. and Diaz-Fierros, V, F. (2002). Contaminant loads of CSOs at the wastewater treatment plant of a city in NW Spain. *Urban Water*, 4:291-299.
- Dolan, D.M., Yui, A.K. and Geist, R.D. (1981). Evaluation of river load estimation methods for total phosphorus. *Journal Great Lakes Research*, 7:207-214.
- Drapper, D., Tomlimson, R. and Williams, P. (2000). Pollutant concentrations in road runoff: Southeast Queensland case study. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 126(4), 313–320.
- Driver, NE and Tasker GD. (1990). Techniques for estimation of storm runoff loads, volumes and selected constituent concentrations in urban watersheds in the United States. Water-Supply Paper 2363. Denver, CO: US Geological Survey.

- Driver, N.E. and Lynstrom, D.J. (1986). "Estimation of Urban storm runoff loads." Urban runoff quality –Impact and quality enhancement technology,
  B.Urbonas and L.A.Roesner, ed., ASCE, New York.
- Droste, RL. and Hartt, JP. (1975). Quality and variation of pollutant loads in urban stormwater runoff. *Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering*, 2:418-429.
- Ellis, J.B. (1991). Measures for the control and treatment of urban runoff quality. Report DT/PL/FV/JB Etude des Moyens de Reduction de la Pollution Pluviale. Agence de l'Eau Seine Normandie.
- El-Shaarawi A.H., Kuntz, K.W. and Sylvestre, A. (1986). Estimation of loading by numerical integration. In: Statistical Aspects of Water Quality Monitoring, A.H. El-Shaarawi and R.E. Kwiatkowski (Eds)., Development in Water Science 27, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 469-478.
- U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (1984). Report to Congress: Nonpoint Source Pollution in the U.S., Office of Water Program Operations, Synectics Group, Inc., U.S. EPA, Washington, DC.
- Evans, C. and Davies, T.D. (1998). Causes of concentration/ discharge hysteresis and its potential as a tool for analysis of episode hydrochemistry. *Journal Water Resources Research*, 34: 129-137.
- Evans, C., Davies, T.D. and Murdoch, P.S. (1999). Component of flow processes at four streams in the Catskill Mountain New York, analysed using episodic concentration/ discharge relationships. *Hydrological Processes*, 13: 563-575.
- Evans, B.M. and Nizeyimana, E. (1998). GIS-based quantification of regional nutrient loads. In: Proceedings of the 3<sup>rd</sup> International Conference on Diffuse Pollution, Edinburgh, Scotland, IAWQ, pp. 48-55 Venue 1.

- Faridah Ang Mei Ling, Mohd Harun Abdullah and Maketab Mohamed. (2004).
   Urban river pollution in Sabah- A case study of Sembulan river. Proceedings of 2<sup>nd</sup> Bangi World Conference on Environmental Management: Facing Changing Conditions. Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Farm, C. (2002). Evaluation of the accumulation of sediment and heavy metals in a storm-water detention pond. *Water Science Technology*, 45(7), 105–112.
- Fleming, G. (1975). *Computer Simulation Techniques in Hydrology*, Elserver, New York.
- Forster, J. (1996). Patterns of roof runoff contamination and their potential implications on practice and regulation of treatment and local infiltration. *Water Science Technology*, 33(6), 39–48.
- Gaume, E., Villeneuve, J.-P. and Desbordes, M. (1998). Uncertainty assessment and analysis of the calibrated parameter values of an urban storm water quality model. *Journal of Hydrology*, 210, 38-50.
- Geiger, W. (1987). Flushing effects in combined sewer systems. In prod. 4<sup>th</sup> Int. Conf. on Urban Storm Drainage, Lausanne, Switzerland, pp 40-46.
- Grisham, D.M. (1995). Designing for the first flush. *Civil Engineering (N.Y.)*, 65 (11), 67-69.
- Gupta, K. and Saul, A. J. (1996). Specific relationships for the first flush load in combined sewer flows. *Water Research*, 30 (5): 1244-1252.
- He, W., Wallinder, I. O. and Leygraf, C. (2001). A laboratory study of copper and zinc runoff during first flush and steady-state conditions. *Corrosion Science*, 43, 127–146.

- Helsel, D., Kim, J., Grizzard, T., Randall, C. and Hoehn, R. (1979). Land use influences on metals in storm drainage. *Journal of Water Pollution Control Federation*, 51(4): 709-717.
- Herricks, E.E. (Ed.) (1995). Stormwater Runoff and Receiving Systems : Impact, Monitoring, and Assessment, CRC Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton.
- Hornberger, G.M., Scanlon, T.M. and Raffensperger, J.P. (2001). Modelling transport of dissolved silica in a forested headwater catchment: the effect of hydrological and chemical time scales on hysteresis in the concentrationdischarge relationship. *Hydrological Processes*. 15, 2029-2038.
- Huber, W.C. (1995). EPA Storm Water Management Model SWMM. In Singh V.P (ed.) Computer Models of Watershed Hydrology. Water Resource Publications, Highlands Ranch, CO, pp. 783-808.
- Huber, W.C and Dickinson, R.E. (1988). Storm Water Management Model User's Manual, Version 4. EPA/600/3-88/00Ia (NTIS PB88-236641/AS), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Athens, GA.
- Huber, W.C. (1986). "Deterministic Modeling of Urban Runoff Quality," in Proceedings, Urban Runoff Pollution, H.C. Torno et al. (Eds.), Springer – Verlag, Berlin, pp. 167-242.
- Hvitved-Jacobsen, T., Keiding, K.and Yousef, Y.A. (1987). Urban runoff pollutant removal in wet detention ponds. *Proceedings of the 4<sup>th</sup> International Conferences on urban Storm Drainage,Lausanne, Switzerland*, 137-142.
- James, W. and Boregowda, S. (1986). "Continuous Mass-Balance of Pollutant Build Up Processes," in *Proceedings, Urban Runoff Pollution*, H.C. Torno *et al* (Eds.), Springer Verlag, Berlin, pp. 243-272.
- James, L.D. and Lee, R. (1971). Economics of Water Resources Planning, McGraw-Hill, New York.

- Jewel, T.K., Nunno, T.J and Andrian, D.D. (1978). "Methodology for Calibrating Stormwater Models." *Journal of the Environmental Engineering Division*, ASCE, 104(EE5): 485-501.
- Johansen, N.B. (1985). Discharge to receiving waters from sewer systems during rain. PhD Thesis: Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark, 287 pp.
- Kohlmann, J. F. (2003). What is pH, and how is it measured?. Technical Handbook for Industry, Hach Company.
- Lane, S.N., Richards, K.S. (2001). The 'validation' of hydrodynamic models: some critical perspectives, in: Anderson, M.G., Bates, P.D. (Eds.), Model Validation: Perspectives in Hydrological Science. Wiley, Chichester, pp. 413–438.
- Larsen, T., Broch, K. and Andersen, M. R. (1998). First flush effects in an urban catchment area in Aalborg. *Water Science Technology*, 37(1), 251–257.
- Lazaro, T.R. (1990). Urban Hydrology A Multidisciplinary Perspective. Technomic Publishing Co. Inc., Lancaster, Pa.
- Lee, J. H., Bang, K. W., Ketchum, L.H., Choe, J.S. and Yu, M.J. (2002). First flush analysis of urban storm runoff. *The Science of the Total Environment*, 293:163-175.
- Lee, J. H., Bang, K. W., Ketchum, L. H., Choe, J. S. and Yu, M. J. (2001). First flush analysis of urban storm runoff. *The Science of the Total Environment*, 293: 163-175.
- Lee, J. H. and Bang, K.W. (2000). Characterization of urban stormwater runoff. J. *Water Research*, 34 (6): 1773-1780.

- Lee, J. H. (1998). Estimation of storm water runoff unit loading in urban area, Proceeding paper in Korean Society of Environmental Engineering, 615-621.
- Lee, J. H., Bang, K.W. and Lee, J.K. (1996). A study of runoff characteristics of pollutants in combined sewer overflow. *Journal of Korean Society Environmental Engineering*, 18 (10), 1147-1160.
- Lee, H., Lau, S.-L., Kayhanian, M. and Stenstrom, M.K. (2004). Seasonal first flush phenomenon of urban stormwater discharges. *Water Research*, 38, 4153-4163.
- Loehr, R.C. (1974). Characteristics and comparative magnitude of non point sources. *Journal of Water Pollution Control Federation*, 46 (8), 1849-1872.
- Maalel, K. and Huber, W.C. (1984). SWMM calibration using conditions and multiple events simulation. Proc. 3<sup>rd</sup> Int. Conf. on Urban Storm Drainage, Goteborg, Sweeden.
- Maestri, B., Johnson, F., Burch, CW. and Dawson, BL. (1985). Management practices for mitigation of highway stormwater runoff pollution; stormwater runoff pollution; vol. III. Research Report FHWA/RD-85/003, Federal Highways Authority, US Dept. of Transportation.

Maidment, D. R. (1993). Handbook of Hydrology, McGraw-Hill, New York.

- Manning, M. J., Sullivan, R. H. and Kipp, T. M. (1977). Nationwide Evaluation of Combined Sewer Overflows and Urban Stormwater Discharges – Vol. III: Characteristics of Discharges. EPA-600/2-77-064c (NTIS PB-272107), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati, OH.
- Marsalek , J., Brownlee, B., Mayer , T., Lawal , S. and Larkin, G.A. (1997). Heavy Metals and PAHs in Stormwater Runoff from the Skyway Bridge, Burlington, Ontario. *Water Quality Research Journal of Canada*. 32 (4), pp.815-827.

- Metcalf, L. and Eddy, H. (1916). *American Sewerage Practice, Disposal of Sewage*, Vol. III, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Metcalf and Eddy, Inc. (1971). University of Florida, and Water Resources Engineers, Inc., Storm Water Management Model, Volume I- Final Report.
  EPA Report 11024DOC07/71 (NTIS PB-203289), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- Moffa, P.E. (1990). *Control and Treatment of Combined- Sewer Overflows*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York.
- Moxon, R. (1998). The control of diffuse pollution in the marine environment. In:
   Proceedings of the 3<sup>rd</sup> International Conference on Diffuse Pollution,
   Edinburgh, Scotland, 31 August 1998, IAWQ, pp. 2-20 Venue 1.
- Mulcahy, J.P. (1990). Phosphorus export in the Twin Cities metropolitan area. Technical Report Metropolitan Council, St. Paul, MN.
- Najarian, T. O., Gunawardana, V. K., Ram, R. V. and Lai, D. (1986). Development Impacts on Water Quality: A Case Study, *Journal Water Resources Planning* and Management, ASCE. 112 (1), 20-35.
- Nix, S.J. (1994). Urban Stormwater Modeling and Simulation, Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton.
- Novotny, V. (Ed.) (1995). Non point Pollution and Urban Stormwater Management. Lancaster: Technomic.
- Novotny, V. and Olem, H. (1994). *Water Quality Prevention, Identification and Management of Diffuse Pollution*. Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York.
- Novotny, V. and Chesters, G. (1981). *Handbook of Non point Pollution: Sources and Management*. Van Nostrand Reinhold Publishers, New York.

- Pearson, L.G., Thornton, R.C., Saul, A.J. and Howard, K. (1986). An introductory analysis of the factors affecting the concentration of pollutants in the first foul flush of a combined storm sewer system. *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Urban Stormwater Quality and effects upon receiving waters*, Wageningen, the Netherlands, 93-102.
- Petry, J., Soulsby, C., Malcolm, I.A., Youngson A.F. (2002). Hydrological controls on nutrient concentrations and fluxes in agricultural catchments. *The Science* of the Total Environment. 294, 95–110.
- Peterson, FM. and Batley, GE. (1992). Road runoff and its impact on the aquatic environment: a review. Investigation Report No CET/LH/IR076. Lucas Heights, Australia: Centre for Analytical Chemistry, CSIRO.
- Philippe, J.P. and Ranchet, J. (1987). Pollution of runoff water in urban areas. Synthesis of data from ten catchment around Paris. LCPC Research Report nr. 142, Paris.
- Pieterse, N.M., Bleuten, W. and Jorgensen, S.E. (2003). Contribution of point sources and diffuse sources to nitrogen and phosphorus loads in lowland river tributaries. *Journal of Hydrology*. 271, 213–225.
- Pitt, R.E (1979). Demonstration of non-Point Abatement through Improved Street Cleaning Practices. EPA-600/2-79-161 (NTIS PB80-108988), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Chincinati, OH.

Rabitz, H. (1989). System analysis at molecular scale. Science, 246: 221–226.

Roesner , L. A., Aldrich, J. A. and Dickinson, R. E. (1988). Storm Water Management Model User's Manual Version 4 : Addendum I, EXTRAN. EPA-600/2/3-88/001b (NTIS PB88-236658/AS), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Athens, GA.

- Rose, S. (2003). Comparative solute-discharge hysteresis analysis for an urbanized and a 'control basin' in the Georgia (USA) Piedmont. *Journal of Hydrology*, 284: 45-56.
- Saget, A., Chebbo, G. and Bertrand Krajewski, J.L. (1996). The first flush in sewer systems. *Water Science Technology*, 33 (9), 101-108.
- Saltelli, A. (2000). Fortune and future of sensitivity analysis, in: Saltelli, A., Chan,K., Scott, E.M. (Eds.), Sensitivity Analysis. Wiley, Chichester, pp. 421–426.
- Sansalone, J. J. and Buchberger, S. G. (1997). Partitioning and first flush of urban roadway storm water. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 123 (2):134-143.
- Sansalone, J.J. and Christina, C.M. (2004). First Flush Concepts for Suspended and Dissolved Solids in Small Impervious Watersheds. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 130 (11): 1301–1314.
- Sansalone, J. J., Koran, J. M., Smithson, J. A. and Buchberger, S. G. (1998). Physical characteristics of urban roadway solids transported during rain events. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 124:427-440.
- Sartor, J.D., Boyd, G.B. and Agardy, F.J. (1974). Water Pollution Aspects of Street Surface Contaminations. *Journal of the Water Pollution Control Federation*, 46(3): 458-465.
- Sartor, J.D. and Boyd, G.B. (1972). Water Pollution Aspects of Street Surface Contaminants. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, EPA-R2-72-081.
- Schueler, T. R. (1994). First flush of stormwater pollutants investigated in Texas. Watershed Protection Technology, 1(2), 88–90.

- Schueler, T. R. (1987). Controlling urban runoff: A practical manual for planning and designing urban BMPs, MetropolitanWashington Council of Governments.
- Shaheen, DG. (1975). Contributions of urban roadway usage to water pollution. Report No. EPA-600/2-75/004, Municipal Pollution Control Division, Office of Research and Development, US Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, USA.
- Shelley, P.E. and Gaboury, D.R. (1986). "Estimation of Pollution from Highway Runoff: Initial Results," in *Proceedings, Urban Runoff Quality : Impact and Quality Enhancement Technology*, B.Urbonas and L.A.Roesner (Eds.), ASCE, New York, pp. 459-473.
- Smullen, J.T., Shallcross, A.L. and Cave, K.A. (1999). Updating the U.S. Nationwide Urban Runoff Quality database. *Water Science and Technology*, 39 (12), 9-16.
- Sriananthakumar, K. and Codner, G. P. (1995). Urban Storm Water Pollutant Load Estimation Using Overland Flow Depth. Proceedings of the International Symposium on Urban Stormwater Management, Melbourne, Australia. pp. 229-234.
- Stahre, P. and Urbonas, B. (1990). Stormwater Detention. Prentice Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: 338 p.
- State of California. (2001). "Waste discharge requirements for municipal storm water and urban runoff discharges within the county of Los Angeles and the incorporated cities therein, except the city of Long Beach." Order No. 01-182, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles.

State of Idaho. (2001). Catalog of stormwater best management practices for Idaho cities and counties, (http://www.deq.state.id.us/water/stormwater\_catalog/doc\_bmp50.asp).

- Stevenson, H. and Wyman, B. (1991). The facts on the file dictionary of environmental science. Facts on File Limited, Oxford, UK.
- Stenstrom, M. K., Silverman, G. S. and Buraztynsky, T. A. (1984). Oil and grease in urban stormwaters. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 110(1), 58–72.
- Stotz, G., and Krauth, K.L. (1984). Factors affecting first flushes in combined sewers. In Proc.,111 International Conference On Urban Storm Drainage, Sweden, June 4-8, pp. 869-878.
- Stotz, G and Krauth, K.L. (1986). Depositions in combined sewers and their flushing behaviour. *In* Urban Drainage Modeling, C. Maksimovic (Ed.), London (UK), Pergamon Press, 443-453.
- Supiah Shamsudin (2003). Non point phosphorus loadings and reduction in Layang Reservoir Systems. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia: Phd Thesis.
- Taebi, A and Droste, RL. (2004). Pollution loads in urban runoff and sanitary wastewater. *The Science of the Total Environment*, 327: 175-184.
- Thornton, R.C. and Saul, A.J. (1987). Temporal variation of pollutants in two combined sewer systems. *In* Proceedings of the 4<sup>th</sup> International Conferences On Urban Storm Drainage, Lausanne, Switzerland, 51-52.
- Toler, L.G. (1965). Relation between chemical quality and water discharge in spring Creek, Southwestern Georgia. US Geological Survey Professional Paper 525-C, 209-213.
- USEPA. (1983). Results of the nationwide urban runoff program , volume I final report. NTIS PB84-185552. Washington, DC: US Environmental Protection Agency.

- Vaze, J. and Chiew, F.H.S. (2002). Experimental study of pollutant accumulation on an urban road surface. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*. 130 (4), 379-389.
- Walling, D.E. and Webb, B.W. (1986). Solutes in river systems, in *Solute Processes*, S.T. Trudgill (Ed.), John Wiley, New York, pp. 251-327.
- Wanielista, M.P. and Yousef, Y.A. (1993). Stormwater Management. New York, USA John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- Warwick, J.J. and Tadepolli, P. (1991). Efficacity of SWMM application. *Journal* of Water Resources Planning and Management, 117 (3), 352-366.
- WEF and ASCE. (1998). Urban runoff quality management. WEF Manual of Practice No.23 and ASCE Manual Report on Engineering Practice No.87.
   Alexandria, VA: Water Environment Federation and American Society of Civil Engineers.
- Whipple, D.J., Grigg N.S., Grizzard T., Randall C.W., Shubinski R.P. and Tucker L.S. (1983). Stormwater Management in Urbanizing Areas. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- Williams, G.P. (1989). Sediment concentration versus water discharge during single hydrologic events in rivers. *Journal of Hydrology*, 111: 89-106.
- Wu, J. S., Allan, C. J., Saunders, W. L. and Evett, J. B. (1998). Characterization and pollutant loading estimation for highway runoff. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 124(7), 584-592.
- Zaman, S. and Ball, J.E. (1994). Simulation of Small Events in an Urban Catchment, Proceedings of Hydrology and Water Resources Symposium Water Down Under '94, Adelaide, Australia, pp. 353- 358.

Zulkifli Yusop, Tan Lai Wai, Kamarul Azlan Mohd Nasir and Maketab Mohamed.
(2002). Stormwater quality and pollutant loadings from an urban catchment in Johor Bahru. Proceedings of *Water Environmental Planning Seminar* -*"Technologies of Water Resource Management*", International Islamic University, Kuala Lumpur.