

THE EFFECT OF MILLING TIME ON ALUMINUM- SILICON
CARBIDE COMPOSITE

MUSTAFA KHALEEL IBRAHIM

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

THE EFFECT OF MILLING TIME ON ALUMINUM- SILICON
CARBIDE COMPOSITE

MUSTAFA KHALEEL IBRAHIM

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Materials Engineering)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2014

To my beloved family

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of God, the most Gracious, the most Merciful.

I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Jamaliah Idris for her guidance and advice.

Special thanks to all the technicians in faculty of Mechanical Engineering, UTM who involved directly or indirectly in the accomplishment of this research.

ABSTRACT

Silicon carbide particle reinforced aluminum matrix composites have been developed over past few decades, owing to their excellent properties like light weight, wear resistance and high elastic modulus. Thus, the silicon carbide particle reinforced aluminum matrix composites are expected to have many applications in aerospace, automobile, aircraft and electronic industries. In this study, aluminum metal matrix composites contains two weight percentages of reinforcement particles were prepared by mechanical alloying. The main steps in mechanical alloying are milling, compacting and sintering. The experiments were performed on two composition of silicon carbide powder in the composite. The study presents the results of the influence of milling time on aluminum- silicon carbide composite and the effect of different weight percentage of silicon carbide in composite on hardness and microstructure of the composite. Aluminum and silicon carbide particles are mixed of different milling times 0, 40, 80, 120 minute. Digital image analyzer was used to characterize the composites. The effect of weight percentage of silicon carbide on hardness of composites was investigated by using Vickers hardness Test. Hardness of the composites increased with increasing silicon carbide addition in it.

ABSTRAK

Matriks komposit aluminium yang diperkukuh dengan zarah silikon karbida telah dibangunkan sejak beberapa dekad lalu kerana kecemerlangan sifat-sifat seperti ringan, tahan rintang dan modulus elastik yang tinggi. Oleh itu, matriks komposit aluminium yang diperkukuh dengan zarah silikon karbida dijangka mempunyai banyak aplikasi dalam aeroangkasa, kereta, kapal terbang dan industri elektronik. Dalam kajian ini, komposit matriks logam aluminium mengandungi dua peratusan berat zarah pengukuhan telah disediakan melalui pengalioian mekanikal. Langkah-langkah utama dalam pengalioian mekanikal ialah penghancuran, mampatan dan pensinteran. Eksperimen dijalankan ke atas dua komposisi serbuk silikon karbida dalam komposit. Kajian ini membentangkan hasil pengaruh masa pengisaran terhadap komposit aluminium-silikon karbida dan kesan peratusan berat yang berbeza daripada silikon karbida dalam komposit pada kekerasan dan struktur mikro yang telah dikaji. Zarah aluminium dan silikon karbida dicampur pada masa pengisaran yang berbeza; 0, 40, 80, 120 minit. Penganalisis imej digital digunakan untuk mencirikan komposit. Kesan peratusan berat silikon karbida pada kekerasan komposit dikaji menggunakan kekerasan Ujian Vickers. Kekerasan komposit meningkat dengan peningkatan silikon karbida di dalamnya.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Project Background	1
	1.2 Metal Matrix Composite	2
	1.2.1 Metal Matrix Composite Definitions	2
	1.2.2 Metal Matrix Composite Applications	2
	1.3 Problem Statement	3
	1.4 Research Objectives	4
	1.5 Research Significance	4
	1.6 Research Scope	4
	1.7 Research Organization	5
2	LITERATURE REVIEWS	6
	2.1 Introduction	6

2.1.1	AMCs Applications	7
2.2	Mechanical Alloying	7
2.2.1	Milling Objectives and Limits	9
2.2.2	Grinding Machine and Process	10
2.2.3	Factors Affecting Grinding Process	13
2.3	Materials and Applications	15
2.3.1	Aluminum (Al)	15
2.3.2	SiC	17
2.3.3	Al SiC	20
2.3.4	Al ₂ O ₃ SiC	27
2.4	Nano Composites Fabrication Methods	31
2.4.1	Spark Plasma Sintering	31
2.4.2	High-Pressure Sintering	32
2.4.3	Hot Press Sintering	32
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	33
3.1	Introduction	33
3.2	Research Design	34
3.3	Materials	35
3.4	Milling Device	36
3.5	Experiment Design	37
3.6	Measurement	37
3.7	Hydraulic Press	38
3.8	Sintering	40
3.9	Mounting	41
3.10	Grinding and Polishing	42
3.11	Summary	42
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	43
4.1	Introduction	43
4.2	Aluminum	44
4.3	Silicon Carbide	46
4.4	Aluminum- Silicon Carbide	48

4.5	Hardness Test	73
4.5	Compression Test	74
5	CONCLUSION	82
5.1	Conclusion	82
5.2	Recommendations	83
	REFERENCES	84

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Milling media in high-energy milling	11
3.1	Milling time	37
4.1	Milling parameters for mixing aluminum and silicon carbide powders	44
4.2	Compressive test parameters	75
4.3	Maximum data	76

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Impact Event at a Time of Maximum Impacting Force	8
2.2	(a) Ball milling, (b) High energy ball milling, (c) Grinder	11
2.3	An overview of the main factors to be considered in the milling process	13
2.4	Different SiC Particle Sizes: [17] 10 μm and (b) 40 μm	18
2.5	Variation of Hardness as a Function of Vol. % SiC Particulates	20
2.6	Microstructure of Al – wt 10 % with milling time (a) 0 hour, (b) 2 hours, (c) 5 hours, (d) 10 hours	21
2.7	Relationship between hardness and milling time	22
2.8	SEM images of (a) as-received Al powder, (b) 12 μm SiC powder, (c) 16 μm SiC powder and the Al–10%SiC–12 μm powder mechanically alloyed for (d) 4 h and (e) 8 h (lamellar structure of one of powder particles is shown in higher magnification) and (f) 12 h	23
2.9	SEM images of (a) Al–5%SiC–16 μm , (b) Al–10%SiC–16 μm and (c) Al–20%SiC–16 μm , after 12 h of milling time (the right column is same as the left but in higher magnification).	24

2.10	Powder structure development after attritor- (A1–A5) and planetary ball milling (B2–B5) of pure Al and with 1 vol.%, 5 vol.%, 10 vol.% and 20 vol.% reinforcement. The scale is the same for all the micrographs except for B2 with mm-sized granules	26
2.11	Microstructure of Monolithic Al ₂ O ₃ and AS _x Samples: (a) Monolithic Al ₂ O ₃ , (b) AS1, (c) AS2, and (d) AS5	29
3.1	The Research Design	34
3.2	Ball Mill	36
3.3	Weight Scale	38
3.4	Hydraulic press mould	39
3.5	Hydraulic press machine	39
3.6	Sintering furnace	40
3.7	The samples after sintering	40
3.8	Mounting machine	41
3.9	Mounted samples	41
3.10	Grinding and polishing machine	42
4.1(a)	SEM of aluminum powder before milling	44
4.1(b)	X- RAY diffraction (XRD) showed the meeting of the analysis peaks with aluminum peaks	45
4.1(c)	Energy dispersive X-RAY analysis (EDX) showed the chemical composition of aluminum	46
4.2(a)	SEM of silicon carbide powder before milling	46
4.2(b)	X- RAY diffraction (XRD) showed the meeting of the analysis peaks with silicon carbide peaks	47
4.2(c)	Energy dispersive X-RAY analysis (EDX) showed the chemical composition of silicon carbide	48
4.3 (a)	SEM images of 5%SiC- Al powder composite, at milling time (a) 0 minute, (b) 40 minute, (c) 80 minute, (d) 120 minute, of 500x magnification	49
4.3 (b)	SEM images of 5%SiC- Al powder composite, at milling time (a) 0 minute, (b) 40 minute, (c) 80	

	minute, (d) 120 minute, of 1,00kx magnification	50
4.4 (a)	SEM images of 10%SiC- Al powder composite, at milling time (a) 0 minute, (b) 40 minute, (c) 80 minute, (d) 120 minute, of 500x magnification	51
4.4 (b)	SEM images of 10%SiC- Al powder composite, at milling time (a) 0 minute, (b) 40 minute, (c) 80 minute, (d) 120 minute, of 1,00kx magnification	52
4.5	X-RAY diffraction (XRD) of aluminum	53
4.6	X-RAY diffraction (XRD) of silicon carbide	53
4.7	X-RAY diffraction (XRD) of 5%SiC-Al powder composite	54
4.8	X-RAY diffraction (XRD) of 10%SiC-Al powder composite	54
4.9	Energy dispersive X-RAY analysis (EDX) showed the chemical composition of 5%SiC- Al powder composite, at 0 minute milling time	55
4.10	Energy dispersive X-RAY analysis (EDX) showed the chemical composition of 10%SiC- Al powder composite, at 0 minute milling time	56
4.11	Energy dispersive X-RAY analysis (EDX) showed the chemical composition of 5%SiC- Al powder composite, at 40 minute milling time	57
4.12	Energy dispersive X-RAY analysis (EDX) showed the chemical composition of 5%SiC- Al powder composite, at 80 minute milling time	58
4.13	Energy dispersive X-RAY analysis (EDX) showed the chemical composition of 5%SiC- Al powder composite, at 120 minute milling time	59
4.14	Energy dispersive X-RAY analysis (EDX) showed the chemical composition of 10%SiC- Al powder composite, at 40 minute milling time	60
4.15	Energy dispersive X-RAY analysis (EDX) showed the chemical composition of 10%SiC- Al powder	

	composite, at 80 minute milling time	61
4.16	Energy dispersive X-RAY analyses (EDX) showed the chemical composition of 10%SiC- Al powder composite, at 120 minute milling time	62
4.17	Optical microscope images of 5%SiC- Al samples after hydraulic press and sintering of 550c for 5 hours, at milling time of pressed powder (a) 0 minute, (b) 40 minute, (c) 80 minute, (d) 120 minute.	63
4.18	Optical microscope images of 10%SiC- Al samples after hydraulic press and sintering of 550c for 5 hours, at milling time of pressed powder (a) 0 minute, (b) 40 minute, (c) 80 minute, (d) 120 minute	64
4.19	Scanning electron microscope image of 5%SiC- Al samples after hydraulic press and sintering of 550c for 5 hours, at 0 minute milling time and 500x magnification	65
4.20	Scanning electron microscope image of 5%SiC- Al sample after hydraulic press and sintering of 550c for 5 hours, at 40 minute milling time and 500x magnification	65
4.21	Scanning electron microscope image of 5%SiC- Al sample after hydraulic press and sintering of 550c for 5 hours, at 80 minute milling time and 500x magnification	66
4.22	Scanning electron microscope image of 5%SiC- Al sample after hydraulic press and sintering of 550c for 5 hours, at 120 minute milling time and 500x magnification	66
4.23	Scanning electron microscope image of 5%SiC- Al sample after hydraulic press and sintering of 550c for 5 hours, at 0 minute milling time and 1000x	

	magnification	67
4.24	Scanning electron microscope image of 5%SiC- Al sample after hydraulic press and sintering of 550c for 5 hours, at 40 minute milling time and 1000x magnification	67
4.25	Scanning electron microscope image of 5%SiC- Al sample after hydraulic press and sintering of 550c for 5 hours, at 80 minute milling time and 1000x magnification	68
4.26	Scanning electron microscope image of 5%SiC- Al samples after hydraulic press and sintering of 550c for 5 hours, at 120 minute milling time and 1000x magnification	68
4.27	Scanning electron microscope image of 10%SiC- Al sample after hydraulic press and sintering of 550c for 5 hours, at 0 minute milling time and 500x magnification	69
4.28	Scanning electron microscope image of 10%SiC- Al sample after hydraulic press and sintering of 550c for 5 hours, at 40 minute milling time and 500x magnification	69
4.29	Scanning electron microscope image of 10%SiC- Al sample after hydraulic press and sintering of 550c for 5 hours, at 80 minute milling time and 500x magnification	70
4.30	Scanning electron microscope image of 10%SiC- Al sample after hydraulic press and sintering of 550c for 5 hours, at 120 minute milling time and 500x magnification	70
4.31	Scanning electron microscope image of 10%SiC- Al sample after hydraulic press and sintering of 550c for 5 hours, at 0 minute milling time and 1000x magnification	71

4.32	Scanning electron microscope image of 10%SiC-Al sample after hydraulic press and sintering of 550c for 5 hours, at 40 minute milling time and 1000x magnification	71
4.33	Scanning electron microscope image of 10%SiC-Al sample after hydraulic press and sintering of 550c for 5 hours, at 80 minute milling time and 1000x magnification	72
4.34	Scanning electron microscope image of 10%SiC-Al sample after hydraulic press and sintering of 550c for 5 hours, at 120 minute milling time and 1000x magnification	72
4.35	Relationship between hardness and milling time of Al- 10% SiC composite	73
4.36	Relationship between hardness and milling time of Al- 10% SiC composite	74
4.37	Compression test of aluminum- silicon carbide composites	75
4.38	5%SiC –Al composite sample, and 0 minute milling time. Shows even in presence of the cracks the ultimate stress is still high because of the orientation of the cracks horizontal to the applied load. Also may be due to high ductility	76
4.39	5%SiC- Al composite sample, and 40 minute milling time shows lower ultimate stress (73) Mpa due to the presence of cracks with orientation vertical to applied load. And the value 513 Mpa refers to the machine strain not to the sample	77
4.40	5%SiC –Al composite, and 80 minute milling time	77
4.41	5%SiC –Al composite, and 120 minute milling time. Shows decreasing in ultimate stress may be because of decreasing of ductility of composite.	78

4.42	10%SiC- Al composite, and 0 minute milling time	78
4.43	10%SiC- Al composite, and 40 minute milling time	79
4.44	10%SiC- Al composite, and 80 minute milling time	79
4.45	10%SiC- Al composite, and 120 minute milling time	80
4.46	5% SiC –Al composite	80
4.47	10% SiC –Al composite	81

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Background

A composite material is a 'material system' composed of a combination of two or more micro or macro constituents that differ in form, chemical composition and which are essentially insoluble in each other. Aluminum-matrix composites are not a single material but a family of materials whose stiffness, strength, density, thermal and electrical properties can be tailored. The matrix alloy, reinforcement material, volume and shape of the reinforcement, location of the reinforcement and fabrication method can all be varied to achieve required properties. The aim involved in designing metal matrix composite materials is to combine the desirable attributes of metals and ceramics. One of the major challenges when processing MMCs is achieving a homogeneous distribution of reinforcement in the matrix as it has a strong impact on the properties and the quality of the material (Mares, 2001).

1.2 Metal Matrix Composite

1.2.1 Metal Matrix Composite Definitions

A composite material is made by combining two or more materials – often ones that have very different properties. The two materials work together to give the composite unique properties. However, within the composite you can easily tell the different materials apart as they do not dissolve or blend into each other.

Composite material is a mixture of two or more materials or phases of the same material, insoluble in one another, possessing properties which are superior to any of the component materials (Vencl & Rac, 2004).

1.2.2 Metal Matrix Composite Applications

Metal matrix composite (MMC) is engineered combination of the metal (Matrix) and hard particle/ceramic (Reinforcement) to get tailored properties. MMC's are either in use or prototyping for the space shuttle, commercial airliners, electronic substrates, bicycles, automobiles, golf clubs, and a variety of other applications (Singla, et al., 2009).

1.3 Problem Statement

There are many methods used to reduce the size of materials to become powder but not all types can achieve the desirable size of fine powder. The common methods such as jaw crusher or hammering method, sometimes results in the material losses of its characteristics during size reduction process. High quality ball mills are potentially expensive and can grind mixture particles to as small as 0.0001 mm, enormously increasing surface area and reaction rates. However, normal ball mill is inexpensive and simple process, but it suffer from some defects such as occurring of wear, principally from the balls, but partially from the casing and this may result in the product being contaminated. Also soft or sticky materials may cause problems by caking on the sides of the mill or by holding the balls in aggregates (Bhatt & Agrawal, 2007).

In milling process, there are many different process variables that can be tuned in order to obtain a product with well-established properties: milling time, milling speed, size of grinding media, ball-to-powder ratio, controlled atmosphere, and so on. This fabrication method is thus simple but not trivial and it can be an attractive technology in nano-powder production (Russo et al., 2011; Singla et al., 2009).

Generally, nanoparticles synthesis requires the use of a device or process that handles these problems (Horikoshi & Serpone, 2013):

- Control of particle size, size distribution, shape, crystal structure and composition distribution
- Improvement of the purity of particles (lower impurities)
- Control of aggregation
- Stabilization of physical properties, structures and reactants
- Higher reproducibility
- Higher mass production, scale-up and lower costs

1.4 Research Objectives

1. To obtain (Aluminum -Silicon carbide) composite from mixing of the two powders and to study the effect of the milling time on particles size and distribution.
2. To study the effect of milling time on microstructure and mechanical properties of (Aluminum –Silicon carbide) composites.

1.5 Research Significance

Raw materials and often occur in sizes that are too big to be used, and therefore, there must be a reduction or changing in size however if the milled raw material is too fine and the dispersion of reinforcement material is not good. Different raw materials vary in shape and size, fragility and durability, and the product may vary from coarse powder for ease in different industries for different purposes. Fabrications of fine particles are being investigated increasingly in industry and academic research because of the applications on a large scale in all the fields of science and production.

1.6 Research Scope

The research aims to study the effect of ball milling on two selected materials. Different quantities of powder of Aluminum (Al) and Silicon Carbide (SiC) will be used to achieve the research goals. The ball mill machine PM 400 will

be used in the research experiments. The machine is designed to fabricate a small powder size estimated in hundreds of grams.

1.7 Research Organization

This research is organized into five chapters as follows. Chapter 2 explores the literature review. Chapter 3 explains the research methodology. The results and discussion are demonstrated in Chapter 4, while conclusions and recommendations are in chapter 5.

REFERENCES

- Baláž, P. (2008). High-Energy Milling. In *Mechanochemistry in Nanoscience and Minerals Engineering* (pp. 103–132). Springer.
- Bharath, V., Nagaral, M., & Auradi, V. (2012). Preparation , Characterization and Mechanical Properties of Al₂O₃ Reinforced 6061Al Particulate MMC's. *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)*, 1(6), 1–6.
- Bhatt, B., & Agrawal, S. . (2007). *Pharmaceutical Engineering: Size Reduction and Size Separation* (pp. 1–24).
- Carlson, T. A. (2013). *Processing, Microstructure, and Properties of Carbon Nanotube and Silicon Carbide Composites*. University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.
- Chae, J. H., Kim, K. H., Choa, Y. H., Matsushita, J., Yoon, J.-W., & Shim, K. B. (2006). Microstructural Evolution of Al₂O₃–SiC Nanocomposites during Spark Plasma Sintering. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 413(1), 259–264.
- Chawla, N., & Shen, Y.-L. (2001). Mechanical Behavior of Particle Reinforced Metal Matrix Composites. *Advanced Engineering Materials*, 3(6), 357–370.
- DeCastro, C. L., & Mitchell, B. S. (2002). Nanoparticles from Mechanical Attrition. In M.-I. Baraton (Ed.), *Synthesis, functionalization, and surface treatment of nanoparticles* (pp. 1–15). American Scientific Publishers.

- EBay. (2014). Digital Weight Scale. Retrieved from <http://www.ebay.com/bhp/digital-weight-scale>
- Egbe, E. A. P. (2013). Effects of Ball Milling Conditions on Breakage Response of Baban Tsauni (Nigeria) Lead-Gold Ore. *IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IOSR-JMCE)*, 6(3), 82–86.
- El-Kady, O., & Fathy, A. (2014). Effect of SiC Particle Size on the Physical and Mechanical Properties of Extruded Al Matrix Nanocomposites. *Materials & Design*, 54, 348–353.
- Eom, N., Bhuiyan, M. H., Kim, T.-S., & Hong, S.-J. (2011). Synthesis and Characterization of Agglomerated Coarse Al Powders Comprising Nanoparticles by Low Energy Ball Milling Process. *Materials Transactions*, 52(8), 1674–1678.
- Gao, L., Wang, H. Z., Hong, J. S., Miyamoto, H., Miyamoto, K., Nishikawa, Y., & Torre, S. D. D. L. (1999). Mechanical Properties and Microstructure of Nano-SiC-Al₂O₃ Composites Densified by Spark Plasma Sintering. *Journal of the European Ceramic Society*, 19, 609–613.
- Hassani, A., Bagherpour, E., & Qods, F. (2014). Influence of Pores on Workability of Porous Al/SiC Composites Fabricated through Powder Metallurgy+Mechanical Alloying. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 591, 132–142.
- Horikoshi, S., & Serpone, N. (2013). *Microwaves in Nanoparticle Synthesis: Fundamentals and Applications*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Koli, D. K., Agnihotri, G., & Purohit, R. (2013). Properties and Characterization of Al-Al₂O₃ Composites Processed by Casting and Powder Metallurgy Routes (Review). *International Journal of Latest Trends in Engineering and Technology*, 2(4), 486–496.
- Kollo, L., Bradbury, C. R., Veinthal, R., Jäggi, C., Carreño-Morelli, E., & Leparoux, M. (2011). Nano-silicon Carbide Reinforced Aluminium Produced by High-

Energy Milling and Hot Consolidation. *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 528(21), 6606–6615.

- Kumar, B. S., & Ramesh, L. (2012). Characterization of Al-Si Casting Alloys Reinforced with Nano SiC Composites. *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)*, 1(6), 1–17.
- Lan, J., Yang, Y., & Li, X. (2004). Microstructure and Microhardness of SiC Nanoparticles Reinforced Magnesium Composites Fabricated by Ultrasonic Method. *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 386(1-2), 284–290.
- Lv, B., Zhang, F. C., Luo, H. H., & Zhang, M. (2011). Inter-phase Microstress on the Grain Boundary in Al₂O₃/SiC Nanocomposites. *Scripta Materialia*, 64(3), 260–263.
- Mares, M. (2001). Some Issues on Tailoring Possibilities for Mechanical Properties of Particulate Reinforced Metal Matrix Composites. *Journal of Optoelectronics and Advanced Materials*, 3(1), 119–124.
- Parchovianský, M., Galusek, D., Sedláček, J., Švančárek, P., Kašiarová, M., Dusza, J., & Šajgalík, P. (2013). Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of Hot Pressed Al₂O₃/SiC Nanocomposites. *Journal of the European Ceramic Society*, 33(12), 2291–2298.
- Pech-Canul, M. I. (2011). Aluminum Alloys for Al/SiC. In Prof. Zaki Ahmad (Ed.), *Composites Recent Trends in Processing and Degradation of Aluminum Alloys* (pp. 299–314). intechopen.
- Raju, C. H. A. I., Satyanandam, K., Sravanthi, D. V. S., Reddy, P. H., & Rao, P. J. (2013). Studies on Batch Grinding of Bauxite Ore in Ball Mill. *International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT)*, 2(11), 140–147.
- Rostamzadeh, T., & Shahverdi, H. R. (2011). Microstructure Study on Al-5% SiC Nanocomposite Powders. *Iranian Journal of Materials Science & Engineering*, 8(1), 32–39.

- Russo, L., Colangelo, F., Cioffi, R., Rea, I., & Stefano, L. De. (2011). A Mechanochemical Approach to Porous Silicon Nanoparticles Fabrication. *Materials*, 4(6), 1023–1033.
- Santhosh Kumar, S., Devaiah, M., Seshu Bai, V., & Rajasekharan, T. (2012). Mechanical Properties of SiCp/Al₂O₃ Ceramic Matrix Composites Prepared by Directed Oxidation of an Aluminum Alloy. *Ceramics International*, 38(2), 1139–1147.
- Sarin, V. (2003). *Development of Hot Pressing as a Low Cost Processing Technique for Fuel Cell Fabrication* (pp. 1–41).
- Schilling, R. E., & Yang, M. (2000). Attritors and Ball Mills: How They Work Ohio Presented. In *The Philadelphia Society For Coatings Technology Inc . Eastern Training Conference and Show* (pp. 1–10). Akron, Ohio.
- Seetharaman, S., Subramanian, J., & Gupta, M. (2013). Improving the Mechanical Properties of Mg-5.6Ti-3Al Composite through Nano-Al₂O₃ Addition with Recrystallisation Heat Treatment. *Journal of Engineering Science*, 9, 1–9.
- Shamsul, J. B., Ashiqin, A. R. N., Rizam, S. S., & Azmi, K. (2007). The Effect of Milling Time on Microstructure and Hardness of the Aluminium Silicon Carbide (Al-10 wt% SiCp) Composite. In *International Conference On Sustainable Materials 2007 (ICoSM2007) Conference 9th to 11th June 2007*. (pp. 205–207). Parkroyal Hotel, Batu Ferringhi, Penang,, Malaysia.
- Shi, X., Dong, Y., Xu, F., Tan, Y., Wang, L., & Yang, J. (2011). Preparation and Properties of Nano-SiC Strengthening Al₂O₃ Composite Ceramics. *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 528(6), 2246–2249.
- Singla, M., Dwivedi, D. D., Singh, L., & Chawla, V. (2009). Development of Aluminium Based Silicon Carbide Particulate Metal Matrix Composite. *Journal of Minerals & Materials Characterization & Engineering*, 8(6), 455–467.

- Subramanian, J., Loh, Z., Seetharaman, S., Hamouda, A. S., & Gupta, M. (2012). Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of Mg-5Nb Metal-Metal Composite Reinforced with Nano SiC Ceramic Particles. *Metals*, 2(4), 178–194.
- Syazana, K. M. N. E. A. (2008). *Effect of Weight Percentage of Silicon Carbide (SiC) Reinforcement Particles on Mechanical Behavior of Aluminium Metal Matrix Composite (Al MMC)*. Universiti Malaysia Pahang.
- Teng, X., Liu, H., & Huang, C. (2007). Effect of Al₂O₃ Particle Size on the Mechanical Properties of Alumina-based Ceramics. *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 452-453, 545–551.
- Teng, Y., Sun, Z., Zhang, K., & Lu, W. (2013). Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of High-pressure Sintered Al₂O₃/SiC Nanocomposites. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 578, 67–71.
- Tsakiris, V., Enescu, E., Lungu, M., Lucaci, M., & Savu, D. (2001). Al-Al₂O₃ Metallic Composites Consolidated by Spark Plasma Sintering. In *The 5th International Conference – Innovative Technologies for Joining Advanced Materials* (pp. 2–7). Timișoara, Romania.
- Venci, A., & Rac, A. (2004). Tribological Behaviour of Al-Based Mmcs and Their Application in Automotive Industry. *Tribology in Industry*, 26(3), 31–38.
- Wang, C. P. (2009). *Zero-Dimensional Nanostructures : Nanoparticles (1)* (pp. 1–38).