SAFETY KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS FOR SMES

ARASH GHOLAMKHASI

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Industrial Engineering)

> Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > JUNE 2014

To my beloved father and mother for their endless supports and encouragements

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to thank GOD Almighty for its guidance, helping and giving me the strength to complete this thesis. I wish to express my sincere to my great supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mat Rebi bin Abdul Rani for his advice, encouragement and continuous assistance whenever required.

I would like also thank my friends Ali Chegeni and Rashid Mesbah who helped me to do this study.

ABSTRACT

Today competitive market requires safer workplace and satisfied employees who highly improve quality and quantity of production. This may not possible without Occupational Safety and Health (OSH) programs that are utilized to handle hazardous circumstances. Trade-off between amount of incidents and related prevention costs seems to be more concerned while highly affects company profit. This study aims to determine safety key performance indicators which affect costing of occupational safety and health (OSH) in Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs). Since recent 20 years, OSH has been used in many researches, but few studies have been done regarding SMEs. A literature survey was conducted to find the most critical key performance indicators. Key performance indicators were then evaluated using a questionnaire distributed among 30 SMEs located in Johor Bahru, Malaysia. A costing model, including wage of the safety staff as fixed cost and 17 variable costs is defined regarding to the indicators. As a result, suggested model clarified that training, ergonomic and technological indicators were the most effective factors.

ABSTRAK

Persaingan dalam pasaran yang begitu kompetatif mutakhir ini mendorong kepada keperluan tempat kerja ynag lebih selamat dan kondusif untuk kemudahan para pekerja yang secara langsung menyumbang kepada peningkatan kualiti dan kuantiti pengeluaran. Kemudahan ini tidak mungkin dapat dicapai tanpa mengambil kira akta Keselamatan dan Kesihatan Tempat Kerja (Occupational Safety and Health) yang menerapkan pengendalian bahaya pada saat kritikal. Keseimbangan antara jumlah insiden dan kos pencegahan memerlukan penekanan yang khusus lebih lagi apabila ia mempengaruhi nilai capaian keuntungan syarikat. Kajian ini menumpukan kepada indeks petunjuk prestasi dimana memberi kesan terhadap Keselamatan dan Kesihatan Pekerja (OSH) untuk Syarikat Kecil dan Sederhana (IKS). Semenjak 20 tahun kebelakangan ini, OSH telah menjadi amalan lazim untuk kebanyakan penyelidik; namun hanya sebilangan kecil kajian di lakukan terhadap Syarikat Kecil dan Sederhana (IKS). Sebuah kaji selidik maklumat telah dijalankan untuk mengenal pasti penunjuk prestasi yang paling kritkal dalam membentuk kajian ini. Indeks petunjuk prestasi dicapai melalui edaran soal selidik kepada 30 buah Syarikat Kecil dan sederhana di sekitar Johor Bahru, Malaysia. Satu model telah ditakrifkan sebagai faktor, yang turut merangkumi nilai upah sebagai kos tetap untuk pekerja keselamatan dan juga 17 jenis kos-kos lain yang berkatian dengan faktor tersebut. Hasilnya, model yang dicadangkan menjelaskan bahawa latihan dan ergonomik dan petunjuk teknologi merupakan faktor-faktor yang berkesan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE DECLARATION			PAGE
				ii
	DED	ICATION	[iii
	ACK	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT		
	ABSTRACT			V
	ABST	ГRAK		vi
	TAB	LE OF CO	DNTENTS	vii
	LIST	OF TAB	LES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES			xiii
1	INTRODUCTION		1	
	1.1	Overvi	ew	1
	1.2	Backgr	round to the problem	2
		1.2.1	Human loses	2
		1.2.2	Human force as an asset	3
		1.2.3	Quality costs	3
		1.2.4	Company size	4
		1.2.5	Ineffective safety management	4
		1.2.6	Reputation	5
	1.3	Problem	n statement	5
	1.4	Scope		6
	1.5	Object	ves	6
	1.6	Signifi	cance of study	6
	1.7	Organi	zations of thesis	7
	1.8	Conclu	sion	8

2	LITE	RATURE	REVIEW	9
	2.1	Introdu	ction	9
	2.2	Review	'S	10
		2.2.1	Background	10
		2.2.2	Lack of information	16
		2.2.3	Occupational Accident costs	16
		2.2.4	Costing models	19
	2.3	Conclu	sion	20
3	MET	HODOLC	DGY	22
	3.1	Introdu	ction	22
	3.2	Researc	ch design	22
	3.3	Researc	ch Steps	23
		3.3.1	Performance indicators	23
		3.3.2	Ranking of Performance indicators	23
		3.3.3	Costing model	23
	3.4	Researc	ch Procedure	24
		3.4.1	Finding performance indicators	24
		3.4.2	Selecting key performance indicators	24
		3.4.3	Developing indicators and costing model	24
	3.5	Collect	data by Questionnaire	24
	3.6	Existed	Models	26
		3.6.1	Inventory of Socioeconomic costs of work	
			accidents	26
		3.6.2	Participation for understanding (Dutch	
			method)	26
		3.6.3	Return on Health, Safety and	
			Environmental Investments (ROHSEI)	27
		3.6.4	Net cost method	27
		3.6.5	Productivity Assessment Tool (Product	
			ability)	27
		3.6.6	The CERSSO's self Evaluation method t	
			Tool (Tool Kit)	28
		3.6.7	Potential (method for economic analysis)	28

viii

	3.6.8	Industrial Hygiene Value Strategy	28
	3.6.9	INAIL method	29
3.7	Deploy	ed primary method	29
3.8	Researc	ch flowchart	29
	3.8.1	Phase1 (hypothesis Study)	31
	3.8.2	Phase 2: Pilots Testing the Questionnaire	31
	3.8.3	Preliminary Finding Analysis	32
3.9	Researc	ch schedule	32
3.10	Conclus	sion	32
PREL	IMINAR	Y FINDING	33
4.1	Introdu	ction	33
4.2	OSH C	osting Factors	33
4.3	Conclus	sion	64
PREL	IMINAR	Y FINDINGS	65
5.1	Introdu	ction	65
5.2	Ergono	mic Issues (section 1)	65
	5.2.1	Question 1: Proper design of tools (EI)	66
	5.2.2	Question 2: Appropriate Lighting	
		Condition (EI)	66
	5.2.3	Question 3: Humidity and Air	
		Conditioning (EI)	67
	5.2.4	Question 4: Mental Stress (EI)	67
	5.2.5	Question 5: Existence of Uncontrollable	
		Noise (EI)	68
	5.2.6	Question 6: High Frequency Vibration	
		(EI)	68
	5.2.7	Question 7: Ergonomic Working Methods	
		(EI)	69
	5.2.8	Question 8: Order and Cleanness of	
		Working Environment (EI)	69
	5.2.9	Question 9: Proper Use of Personal	
		Protective Equipments (PPEs)	70

ix

	5.2.10	Question 10: Proper Use of Machines and		
		Equipments (EI)	70	
5.3	Social Is	ssues (section 3)	71	
	5.3.1	Question 11: Social Support (SI)	71	
	5.3.2	Question 12: Working Diets (SI)	72	
	5.3.3	Question 13: Administrative Supervision		
		(SI)	72	
5.4	Training	g Issues (section 2)	73	
	5.4.1	Question 14: Refreshment Training		
		Sessions (TI)	73	
	5.4.2	Question 15: Job Qualification (TI)	74	
	5.4.3	Question 16: Treat of Violence (TI)	74	
	5.4.4	Question 17: Workers' Motivation (TI)	75	
	5.4.5	Question 18: Communication and		
		Cooperation (TI)	75	
	5.4.6	Question 19: Protection against		
		Disciplinary (TI)	76	
	5.4.7	Question 20: Workers Seniority Analysis		
		System (TI)	76	
	5.4.8	Question 21: Availability of Occupational		
		Safety Resources (TI)	77	
	5.4.9	Question 22: Harassment and other unfair		
		treatments (TI)	77	
	5.4.10	Question 23: Leading and Trailing (TI)	78	
5.5	Technology Issues (section 4)			
	5.5.1	Question 24: Preventive Maintenance		
		(TEI)	79	
	5.5.2	Question 25: Handling Tools (TEI)	79	
	5.5.3	Question 26: Continuous Improvement		
		(plan, do, check) (TEI)	80	
	5.5.4	Question 27: Housekeeping is properly		
		maintained (TEI)	80	
	5.5.5	Question 28: Penalty System for Workers'		
		Failure (TEI)	81	

		5.5.6	Question 29: Critical Supervision (TEI)	81
		5.5.7	Question 30: Auditing and Workers'	
			Orientation (TEI)	82
		5.5.8	Question 31: Compliance with Operating	
			Procedures (TEI)	82
		5.5.9	Question 32: Working Time scheduling	
			(TEI)	83
		5.5.10	Question 33: Poor Material Specification	
			(TEI)	83
		5.5.11	Question 34: Working Environment	
			Functionality (TEI)	84
	5.6	Average	e Score for Ergonomic Issues (EI)	84
	5.7	Average	e Score for Training Issues (TI)	85
	5.8	Average	e Score for Social Issues (SI)	86
	5.9	Average	e Score for Technology Issues (TEI)	87
	5.10	Conclus	sion	87
6	A MA	THEMA	FICAL MODEL ON SAFETY COSTS	90
	6.1	Introduc	ction	90
	6.2	Mathem	natical Modelling	90
	6.3	Differen	nt Mathematical Models	91
	6.4	Propose	ed Model	93
	6.5	Conclus	sion	96
7	CONC	CLUSION	1	97
	7.1	Introduc	etion	97
	7.2	Researc	h Objectives	97
	7.3	Finding	s	98
	7.4	Contrib	ution	98
	7.5	Limitati	on of Study and Future Research	98
REF	ERENCE	S		99
APPI	ENDICES	5		105

xi

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO	D. TITLE	PAGE	
31	Three Phases	31	
3.2	Research schedule	32	
4.1	Calculation of the prevention cost	39	
4.2	Different Metrics of OSH Programs	41	
4.3	Reduction matrixes	41	
4.4	Factors, sub-factors and affinity areas	42	
4.5	Performing of obligatory certification and testing regimes	46	
4.6	Summary of models and/or methods for OSH quantitative		
	economic evaluation	48	
4.7	A Summary of publications with pertinent studies, discussions		
	or reviews on economic evaluation of OSH	53	
4.8	A framework of safety elements and its attributes included in		
	the preliminary survey	62	
6.1	Costs related to key performance factors	95	

LIST OF FIGURES

TITLE

FIGURE NO.

2.1	Chapter trend	9
3.1	Research Flowchart	30
4.1	SOBANE strategy for risk management	37
4.2	Effect of preventive measures on hit	39
4.3	Effect due to changes in the cost of risk reduction	
	(prevention)	59
4.4	The effect of quality towards safety	60
4.5	Hierarchy of Safety Category, Elements and Attributes for	
	safety performance in Malaysian Low Cost Housing	63
5.1	Analyzing question 1- Proper design of tools (EI)	66
5.2	Analyzing question 2- Appropriate Lighting Condition (EI)	66
5.3	Analyzing question 3- Humidity and Air Conditioning (EI)	67
5.4	Analyzing question 4- Mental Stress (EI)	67
5.5	Analyzing question 5- Existence of Uncontrollable Noise	
	(EI)	68
5.6	Analyzing question 6- High Frequency Vibration (EI)	68
5.7	Analyzing question 7- Ergonomic Working Methods (EI)	69
5.8	Analyzing question 8- Order and Cleanness of Working	
	Environment (EI)	69
5.9	Analyzing question 9- Proper Use of Personal Protective	
	Equipments (PPEs)	70
5.10	Analyzing question 10- Proper Use of Machines and	
	Equipments (EI)	70
5.11	Analyzing question 11- Social Support (SI)	71
5.12	Analyzing question 12- Working Diets (SI)	72

PAGE

5.13	Analyzing question 13- Administrative Supervision (SI)	72
5.14	Analyzing question 14- Refreshment Training Sessions (TI)	73
5.15	Analyzing question 15- Job Qualification (TI)	74
5.16	Analyzing question 16- Treat of Violence (TI)	74
5.17	Analyzing question 17- Workers' Motivation (TI)	75
5.18	Analyzing question 18- Communication and Cooperation	
	(TI)	75
5.19	Analyzing question 19- Protection against disciplinary (TI)	76
5.20	Analyzing question 20- Workers Seniority Analysis System	
	(TI)	76
5.21	Analyzing question 21-Availability of Occupational Safety	
	Resources (TI)	77
5.22	Analyzing question 22- Harassment and other unfair	
	treatments (TI)	77
5.23	Analyzing question 23- Leading and Trailing (TI)	78
5.24	Analyzing question 24- Preventive Maintenance (TEI)	79
5.25	Analyzing question 25- Handling Tools (TEI)	79
5.26	Analyzing question 26- Continuous Improvement (plan, do,	
	check) (TEI)	80
5.27	Analyzing question 27- Housekeeping is properly	
	maintained (TEI)	80
5.28	Analyzing question 28- Penalty System for Workers'	
	Failure (TEI)	81
5.29	Analyzing question 29- Critical Supervision (TEI)	81
5.30	Analyzing question 30- Auditing and Workers' Orientation	
	(TEI)	82
5.31	Analyzing question 31- Compliance with Operating	
	Procedures (TEI)	82
5.32	Analyzing question 32- Working Time scheduling (TEI)	83
5.33	Analyzing question 33- Poor Material Specification (TEI)	83
5.34	Analyzing question 34- Working Environment Functionality	
	(TEI)	84
5.35	Analyzing section 1- Ergonomic Issues (EI)	85
5.36	Analyzing section 2- Training Issues (TI)	86

5.37	Analyzing section 3- Social Issues (SI)	86
5.38	Analyzing section 4- Technology Issues (TEI)	87
5.39	Analyzing the results	88
6.1	Presents the mathematical modelling in a glance	91

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

Work place accidents or illnesses are undeniable causes of loss in quality which mostly it's not easy to use compensation and rehabilitation programs to eliminate waste in asset. Prevention tactics concerning reduction in severity and frequency of such potential incidents may minimize the financial cost and extends sustainability of human resources as a result.

Here in, Occupational safety and health (OSH), as essential long term programs to the social protection of workers, benefit employees and society by preventing work accidents and occupational illnesses. A simple definition of explanation of OSH in respect of a "place of work" is the "state of being safe" or "the absence of factors that could lead to accidents, injuries or interruptions to work" (Alwi, 2011).

The International Labour Organization (ILO) appraises more than two million casualty per year occur due to occupational accidents or illnesses. Some believe that around 337,000,000 occupational accidents and 160 million occupational diseases happening each year. They deduce almost 1,950,000 deadly work-related illnesses happen per year (Niu, 2010).

The most important questions for companies which establish OSH programs are how beneficial is occupational safety and health? And financially whether workplace prevention strategy is beneficial at a microeconomic level or not? So, the economic benefits of prevention measures are analyzed and the distinction among different types of prevention measures including: direct; indirect; short-term; and long-term have been made.

These reasons motivate every researcher to find a more economic way to establish OSH programs. This study is based on a costing model to decrease; but, in spite of investing money in these types of researches, there are some trade-offs to care about which, investigating cost-effective analyze of such model will save many lives.

In recent years too many studies carried out by different researchers all around the world to prepare a safer work place by decreasing the cost of establishing such programs. In Malaysia also some studies managed to control accident losses due to work places. Some studies investigated necessity of OSH programs and some tried to decrease additional costs.

This study is carried out to establish costing model of OSH where the importance of this study is about human lives. Costs of doing or consequences of leaving this issue both affect society. Therefore, need to more knowledge in this area seems undeniable. In regards to the gap result of insufficient studies in this area in Malaysia, especially in SMEs, investigating costing in OSH in Malaysia sounds significant for us.

1.2 Background to the problem

1.2.1 Human loses

It is undeniable fact that high level of injury and disease continuously happening in the workplaces. Tragedy of human loses affects family, friends and workmates. Community that values individuals also regrets by the cost for a worker life, especially when prevention could be taken. As an ethic duty of each organization taking care of workers comes first. As mentioned above, uncovering this issue will affect society's sense of humanity.

Some effects of unsafe working place on people are:

- (i) Disease, injury and death
- (ii) Suffering or pain
- (iii) Lifestyle altered
- (iv) Psychological effects
- (v) Absenteeism

1.2.2 Human force as an asset

Another problem of unsafe working place is costs related to training new worker, lack of experts, and so on strongly affects Company's productivity. Skilled workers are parts of company's capital. Each company pays money and time to teach worker how to deal with their job. Loosing these workers as a valuable asset will damage company's economy seriously. Some phenomenon effecting by unsafe working environment are:

- (i) Absenteeism
- (ii) Reduced or poor productivity
- (iii) Poor corporate image
- (iv) Low workplace morale

1.2.3 Quality costs

More incidents will cause more quality deviation. Worker effect quality of part as the person who operates machine or carry out the job. Changing operator continuously will cause different dimensions, different specifications and so on; which, resulted from to their different level of skills, training and knowledge. It is proved that high diversity in production means low quality, and low quality causes more cost on company and community.

- (i) Financial problems
- (ii) Reduced or poor productivity

1.2.4 Company size

Some stock holders (managers or owners) think that because of the small size of their company there is no need to establish an OSH preventing program. Actually some researches shown smaller companies had somewhat higher accident costs comparing to the large enterprises. This wrong believes will damage company by choosing inadequate strategies. This believes will cause wrong decision and more incidents or occupational illness; following by higher costs on community. Eakin, 1992; Hasle and Limborg, 2006, discussed limited resources of company is the main reason of manager's minor focus on OSH (Hasle, Bager, & Granerud, 2010).

Unlike mentioned believe of such stockholders, Stevens,1999; Walters, 2001; Fabiano et al., 2004; Sorensen et al., 2007 believed small enterprises have a more hazardous work environment (Hasle et al., 2010).

1.2.5 Ineffective safety management

SMEs need more attention to choose or train a more adequate manager; some studies illustrate untrained manager or supervisor caused many problems in OSH area. These circumstances hurt both sides; workers due loss of income, medical costs and pains and suffering during rehabilitation, and company due to production losses, equipment costs and complains. On the other hand trained workers familiar with OSH principals will decrease accidents happened. So, lack of training of workers, supervisors and managers is another critical issue in OSH area which may not be forgotten. Uncovering this training will increase cost concerning to occupational safety area.

1.2.6 Reputation

Another problem concerning to unsafe working situation are complains and loosing reputation of the company. Unsafe circumstances with and uncovering standards in work place will cause incidents or occupational illnesses. Besides loosing human lives and skilled asset of company, compensation of complained will destroy the company' reputation.

- (i) High compensation costs
- (ii) Community costs.

1.3 Problem statement

The number of small enterprises has increased greatly over the last decade and small and medium size enterprises (SMEs) which have 50 employees or less conformed huge portion of all firms in all sectors. Studies related to OSH in SMES are a totally new field developing fast, Hsiao-Yu Yang, 2013 says. (Yang, 2013)

Owners and workers have to obey same OSH lows and regulation; while some doubt SMES don't report injuries clearly. On the other hand these companies may face fewer accidents concerning their less occupation rate which causes ignorance of the casualties (Champoux & Brun, 2003).

While, the proposed models based on big companies is not adequate for small enterprises; actually, the analyzing their scattering geographically or other aspects such as their youth nature may be useful to define regarded devices to ensure safe work place (Harms-Ringdahl, Jansson, & Malmén, 2000).

Some unclear issues in past researches are studied here. Lack of studies to declare costing in safety, especially in SMEs, might is the most important purpose of this paper. Such constraints may prevent using this outcome to other cases. On the other hand, most owner/managers believe that adapt OSH obligations or even investigating it, is unnecessary costly thing which is not important in SMEs.

Finally, through existing lack of information and related researches it is challenging to find and review key indicates of OSH costing model.

1.4 Scope

The study will include costing in OSH and the key indicators, and moreover how effective they are. It is focused on defining adequate costing model; While, this study does not cover any other SMEs that do not place in Malaysia.

1.5 Objectives

- 1. To determine the performance indicators for costing in OSH
- 2. To establish the ranking of key performance indicators for costing in OSH
- 3. To develop key performance indicators and a model for costing in OSH

1.6 Significance of study

Costing in OSH and economic approach to safety issues is in not concerned in much works; particularly in SMEs. Such lack of research motivates us to focus on SMEs; through the critical role of those enterprises in the Malaysian economy. Generally, some benefits of using OSH are consisting of:

- (i) Improved productivity
- (ii) Reduced absenteeism
- (iii) Reduced claiming for life insurance
- (iv) Economically profit resulted by program.

Economically approach to performing OSH in SMEs motivates these enterprises to utilize safety programs; more research in this field then is suggested as a result.

Concerning lack of information in this area and reasons mentioned for importance of performing OSH in SMEs this study investigates costing of OSH in SMEs.

1.7 Organizations of thesis

Chapter 1 introduces costing in OSH. Then it summarize of background of problems denoted. Some explanations including organizing the thesis are also proposed.

Second chapter then characterize the Literature review of costing in OSH. The indicators of such costing model are explained. After measuring key factors, some logics for choosing these indicators in this paper are proposed.

Chapter 3 defines existed models and investigates the outline of a model which seems more appropriate. This supports the costing model in detail.

Chapter 4 explains the offered costing model. An illustration of key performance indicators also is given.

Examining the existed literature of costing model and Key performance indicators are also presented in chapter 5.

1.8 Conclusion

Occupational accidents or illness cause huge costs on each community in different ways. Human losses, capital costs, quality costs, complains and loss of reputation resulted by wrong believes, untrained staff and supervisors and lack of information are most critical problem in occupational safety area.

This chapter has presented the justification to accomplish costing in OSH and lack of information about costing in occupational safety area and other side problems, especially in SMEs in Malaysia. Next chapter studied the literature of the area under investigation.

REFERENCES

- Aaltonen, M. V. P., (1996). A consequence and cost analysis of occupational accidents in the furniture industry: Doctoral dissertation, People and Work 6, Finnish Institute of Occupational Health, Helsinki.
- Aaltonen, M V. P., Uusi-Rauva, E., Saari, J., Räsänen, T., Antti-Poika, M., & Vinni, K. (1996). The accident consequence tree method and its application by real-time data collection in the Finnish furniture industry, Safety Science, 23 (1), 11–26.
- Alwi, S. (2011), Occupational safety and health management: USM publication.
- Andreoni, D. (1986). The Cost of Occupational Accidents and Diseases, International Labor Office, Geneva.
- Bakri, A., Zin, R. M., Misnan, M. S., & Hakim, A. (2006). Occupational Safety and Health (OSH) Management Systems : Towards development of Safety and health culture, (September), 5–6.
- Brooks, B. (2008). The natural selection of organizational and safety culture within a small to medium sized enterprise (SME). Journal of Safety Research, 39(1), 73–85. doi:10.1016/j.jsr.2007.09.008
- Cagno, E., Micheli, G. J. L., Masi, D., & Jacinto, C. (2013). Economic evaluation of OSH and its way to SMEs: A constructive review. Safety Science, 53, 134– 152. doi:10.1016/j.ssci.2012.08.016

- Champoux, D., & Brun, J.-P. (2003). Occupational health and safety management in small size enterprises: an overview of the situation and avenues for intervention and research. Safety Science, 41(4), 301–318. doi:10.1016/S0925-7535(02)00043-7
- Glendon, A. I., & McKenna, E. F. (1995). Human Safety and Risk Management, London: Chapman and Hall.
- Grimaldi, J. V., & Simonds, R. H. (1984). Safety Management & Richard D. Irwin, New York.
- Hale, A. R., Guldenmund, F. W., van Loenhout, P. L. C. H., & Oh, J. I. H. (2010).
 Evaluating safety management and culture interventions to improve safety:
 Effectiv intervention strategies. Safety Science, 48, 1026–1035.
- Hämäläinen, P., Leena Saarela, K., & Takala, J., (2009). Global trend according to estimated number of occupational accidents and fatal work-related diseases at region and country level, Journal of Safety Research, 40(2), 125-139.
- Harms-Ringdahl, L., Jansson, T., & Malmén, Y. (2000). Safety, Health and Environment in Small Process Plants—Results from a European Survey. Journal of Safety Research, 31(2), 71–80. doi:10.1016/S0022-4375(00)00026-8
- Harms-Ringdahl, L., Jansson, T., & Malmén, Y. (2000). Safety, Health and Environment in Small Process Plants—Results from a European Survey. Journal of Safety Research, 31(2), 71–80. doi:10.1016/S0022-4375(00)00026-8
- Harrington, Susan S., & Walker, Bonnie L. (2004). The effects of ergonomics training on the knowledge, attitudes, and practices of teleworkers. Journal of Safety Research 35.1 : 13-22.

- Hasle, P., & Limborg, H. J. (2006). A review of the literature on preventive occupational health and safety activities in small enterprises. Industrial Health, 44, 6–12.
- Hasle, P., Bager, B., & Granerud, L. (2010). Small enterprises Accountants as occupational health and safety intermediaries. Safety Science, 48(3), 404– 409. doi:10.1016/j.ssci.2009.09.008
- Heinrich, H. W. (1959). Industrial Accident Prevention: A scientific approach. 4th ed., McGraw-Hill, New York.
- H M S O (1993). The Cost of Accidents at Work, HMSO, London.
- Jingdong, Z., & Han, Z. (2012). Occupational Health Management Research on Small & Medium-sized Enterprises Together with Large & Medium-sized State-owned Enterprises ——A Case Study in Hubei Province. Procedia Engineering, 43, 288–292. doi:10.1016/j.proeng.2012.08.049
- Kaplan, R. S., & Cooper, R. (1997). Cost and Effect: Using Integrated Cost Systems to Drive Profitability and Performance, Harvard Business School Press, Boston, MA.
- Kines, P., Andersen, D., Andersen, L. P., Nielsen, K., & Pedersen, L. (2013). Improving safety in small enterprises through an integrated safety management intervention. Journal of Safety Research, 44, 87–95. doi:10.1016/j.jsr.2012.08.022
- Kishchuk N. et al. (1991). Efficiency of printed materials in worksite health promotion. American Journal of Health Promotion, 5(5):355-359.
- Koubek, R. J., & Liang, S. F. (1999). Training Issues in Industrial Ergonomics.

- Kroemer, K. H. E. (1992). Personnel training for safer material handling. Ergonomics 35.9: 1119-1134.
- Kuorinka, Ilkka et al,(1995). Work related musculoskeletal disorders (WMSDs): a reference book for prevention. London: Taylor & Francis.
- Larsson, T. J., & Betts, N. J. (1996). The variation of occupational injury cost in Australia estimates based on a small empirical study, Safety Science, 24 (2), 143–155.
- Merrill M. (1994). Trust in training: the oil, chemical, and atomic workers international union worker-to-worker training program, Occupational Medicine, 9(2):341-354.
- Miller, T. R., & Galbraith, M., (1995). Estimating the costs of occupational injury in the United States, Accident Analysis & Prevention: 27 (6), 741–747.
- Monnery, N. (1998). The costs of accidents and work-related ill health to a chequeclearing department of a financial services Organisation, Safety Science, 31, 59–69.
- Niu, S. (2010). Ergonomics and occupational safety and health: an ILO perspective. Applied Ergonomics, 41(6), 744–53. doi:10.1016/j.apergo.2010.03.004
- Pat, P. (2003). Health and safety questions and answers: a practical approach, Thomas Telford pub.
- Radandt, S., Rantanen, J., & Renn, O. (2008). Governance of Occupational Safety and Health, 127–258.

- Riel, P., & Imbeau, D., (1995). Applying ABC to ergonomic and safety costs. In: Proceedings of the 46th International Industrial Engineering Conference, vol. 2, no. 1.
- Riel, P., & Imbeau, D. (1996). Justifying investments in industrial ergonomics. International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics, 18, 349–361.
- Riel, P., & Imbeau, D. (1998). How to allocate the health and safety insurance cost within the firm. Journal of Safety Research, 29 (1), 25–34.
- Rikhardsson, P. M., & Impgaard, M. (2004). Corporate cost of occupational accidents: an activity-based analysis. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 36(2), 173–182. doi:10.1016/S0001-4575(02)00147-1
- Robertson, M. M., & O'Neill, M. J. (2003). Reducing musculoskeletal discomfort: effects of an office ergonomics workplace and training intervention. International journal of occupational safety and ergonomics: JOSE 9.4: 491.
- Robson, L. S., Clarke, J. a., Cullen, K., Bielecky, A., Severin, C., Bigelow, P. L., ... Mahood, Q. (2007). The effectiveness of occupational health and safety management system interventions: A systematic review. Safety Science, 45(3), 329–353. doi:10.1016/j.ssci.2006.07.003.
- Söderqvist, A., Rundmo, T., & Aaltonen, M. (1990). Costs of occupational accidents in the Nordic furniture industry (Sweden, Norway, Finland), Journal of Occupational Accidents, 12(1), 79-88.
- Schlomer R. S., Anderson M. A., & Shaw R. (1997). Teaching strategies and knowledge retention. Journal of Nursing Staff Development, 13(5):249-253.

- Stewart-james, J. E. (2002). Managed Occupational Health vs . Traditional Care : A Cost And Satisfaction Analysis Of Workers ' Compensation In Healthcare Workers Committee :
- Torp, S., & Moen, B. E. (2006). The effects of occupational health and safety management on work environment and health: a prospective study. Applied Ergonomics, 37(6), 775–83. doi:10.1016/j.apergo.2005.11.005
- Walker, D., & Tait, R. (2004). Health and safety management in small enterprises: an effective low cost approach. Safety Science, 42(1), 69–83. doi:10.1016/S0925-7535(02)00068-1
- Yang, H.-Y. (2013). Awareness of labor insurance coverage for occupational injuries and diseases among employees at small and large enterprises. Tzu Chi Medical Journal, 25(2), 108–111. doi:10.1016/j.tcmj.2013.03.008
- Yapp, C., & Fairman, R. (2006). Factors affecting food safety compliance within small and medium-sized enterprises: implications for regulatory and enforcement strategies. Food Control, 17(1), 42–51. doi:10.1016/j.foodcont.2004.08.007
- Yuan, X., & Wang, K. (2012). Study on Safety Management of Small and Mediumsized Enterprises based on BBS. Procedia Engineering, 45, 208–213. doi:10.1016/j.proeng.2012.08.145