## INTELLIGENT MODELLING AND ACTIVE VIBRATION CONTROL OF FLEXIBLE MANIPULATOR

MOHSEN GOL ZARDIAN

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirement of the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Mechanical)

> Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > JUNE 2014

Specially dedicated to my mother, my father, my brother and my Bo For your everlasting love and care...

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In preparing this report, I was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians, and practitioners. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts.

In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my thesis supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Intan Zaurah Mat Darus, for encouragement, guidance, critics and friendship. Without her continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here. Her enthusiasm has been a great source of inspiration to me and it is indeed fortunate to be under her supervision and guidance. Her dedication will always be remembered.

I am indeed thankful to those who have shown their full support to the making of this dissertation especially my family members, my mother, my father, my brother and my compassionate friend Liu Linbo. Thank you for all the support and love you gave to me.

### ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to investigate the application of Least Square (LS), Recursive Least Square (RLS), and Neural Network to estimate the identification of flexible beam structure and development a (Proportional-Integral-Derivative) PID controller for the system. The input and output data used for system identification process were obtained from experimental setup. The performance of system identification used, Lest Squares (LS), Recursive Least Squares (RLS), and Neural Network (NN) were verified using Mean Square Error (MSE) technique. Comparative assessment was conducted to compare all the result obtained and the best transfer function was obtained from RLS system identification approach with smallest MSE value of  $8.182 \times 10^{-08}$ . The transfer function has been used to help the development of control system to suppress unwanted vibration of the flexible manipulator system. In this study, a PID controller has been proposed to use for the vibration suppression. This controller was tuned by using heuristic tuning in Matlab SIMULINK simulation environment.

### ABSTRAK

Penyelidikan ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji penggunaan aplikasi kaedah Kuadrat Terkecil (LS), Rekursi Kuadrat Terkecil (RLS), dan Rangkaian Neural (NN) untuk mengenalpasti identiti model struktur rasuk yang fleksibel dan mencadangkan satu sistem pengawalan (Proportional-Integral-Derivative) PID untuk sistem fleksibel tersebut. Eksperimen telah dijalankan untuk memperolehi data masukan dan keluaran yang digunakan dalam proses pengenalpastian model sistem tersebut. Keupayaan LS, RLS, dan NN disahkan dengan menggunakan kaedah Minimum Ralat Kuasa Dua (MSE). Perbandingan telah dijalankan untuk menilai prestasi kaedah-kaedah yang digunakan untuk mencari identiti struktur fleksibel yang terbaik dengan nilai MSE yang terkecil ialah 8.182×10<sup>-08</sup>diperolehi menggunakan kaedah RLS. Model identiti yang terbaik digunakan dalam pada sistem manipulator fleksibel. Dalam kajian ini, pengawalan PID telah dicadangkan untuk tujuan menyekat getaran tersebut. Pengawal PID tersebut telah ditala menggunakan kaedah heuristik dan disimulasi menggunakan Matlab SIMULINK.

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

CHAI	PTER TITLE	PAGE		
	DECLARATION	ii		
	DEDICATION ACKNOWLEDGEMENT			
ABSTRACT ABSTRAK TABLE OF CONTENTS LIST OF TABLES		v vi vii		
			ix	
				LIST OF FIGURES
1	INTRODUCTION			
	1.1 Back ground of study	1		
	1.2 Objectives	3		
	1.3 Problem statement	4		
	1.4 Scope of study	5		
	1.5 Research approach	5		
	1.6 Outline of thesis	7		
2	LITERATURE REVIEW			
	2.1 Introduction	8		
	2.2 Modelling of flexible manipulator	9		
	2.2.1 Classical modelling	11		
	2.2.2 System identification	13		
	2.3 Vibration control of flexible manipulator	16		

## **3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

3.1	Introduction	20
3.2	Research methodology flow chart	20
3.3	Identification of research variable	21
3.4	Data gathering	22
3.5	Model structure	22
3.6	Model estimation	24
	3.6.1 Least square method (LS)	24
	3.6.2 Recursive least square method (RLS)	26
3.7	Neural network	28
3.8	Result validation	31
	3.8.1 Mean square error	31
3.9	Controller design	31
	3.9.1 Heuristic PID controller tuning	32

### 4 **RESULTS**

5

4.1	Introduction	34
4.2	Input and output signal	34
4.3	Least square estimation(LS)	36
4.4	Recursive least square estimation(RLS)	38
	4.4.1 Model transfer function	40
4.5	Neural network (NN) model	41
4.6	Controller design	44
	4.6.1 Controller design for linear system	44
	4.6.2 Controller design for nonlinear system	47
CO	NCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION	
5.1 Conclusions		53

5.2	Recommendation	54

# **REFERENCE** 55

viii

### LIST OF TABLES

### TABLE NO. PAGE TITLE Mean square error in training process for LS 4.1 37 Mean square error in testing process for RLS 4.2 39 4.3 Estimated parameters by RLS 40 Neural network estimation performance in the training 4.4 42 process 4.5 The coefficients of PID controller for ARX model 45 4.6 The coefficients of PID controller for NN model 48

### LIST OF FIGURES

### FIGURE NO. TITLE

### PAGE

3.1	Research methodology flow chart	21
3.2	ARX model structure	23
3.3	LS structure	24
3.4	Diagram of RLS algorithm	26
3.5	Neural network structure	30
3.6	Neural network diagram in Matlab	30
4.1	Prototype flexible manipulator	35
4.2(a)	Input torque signal	35
4.2(b)	Output signal	35
4.3	Estimation for half of data by LS	36
4.4	Estimation for entire data by LS	37
4.5	Estimation for half of data by RLS	38
4.6	Estimation for entire data by RLS	39
4.7	Neural network estimation for training process	41
4.8	Neural network model structure	43
4.9	Estimated output by neural network technique	43
4.10	PID controller on ARX model	44
4.11	PID controller transfer function	45
4.12	Response of the system to the step input	45
4.13	Disturbance trend	46
4.14	Response to the new disturbance	46
4.15	Error between step input and response	47
4.16	Control scheme for nonlinear model	47
4.17	PID controller transfer function for nonlinear model	48
4.18	Step response of nonlinear system	49

4.19	Control scheme of nonlinear system with new disturbance	49
4.20	Step response of nonlinear system with new disturbance	50
4.21	Pulse generator disturbance signal configuration	50
4.22	Error between step input and response	51
4.23	Bang-bang torque and response	51
4.24	Error between bang-bang torque and response	52

### **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

### 4.1 Background of Study

Manipulators are one of the prominent components of robots system. Due to having some functional characteristics, manipulators have been implemented in the industry (Minh et al., 2012; Jnifene, 2007) aero investigations (Hu, 2008; Zarafshan, 2013), biomedical application (Kenser and Howe, 2011; Yaran et al., 2012), and many scientific purposes. When the human cannot afford to carry out some tedious and accurate tasks, automaton's manipulators come in handy to implement such operations; also they have been implored in order to do backbreaking labors in the industry (Ding et al., 2014). In the most of cases flexible manipulator has been preferred rather than its rigid counterpart. Some striking characteristics such as light weight, small size, fast response and consuming low energy encourage using flexible manipulators.

Flexible structure can be referred to the ability of the lightweight structure in the various and complicated applications. The usual flexible structures such as beam, plate, shell, and frame have been used wieldy in engineering applications.

In the industrial application the flexible structure can be used in order to remove the large space of working place that have been occupied with heavy and huge rigid structures. Producing high performance such as the using the less energy to lunch and manoeuvre the flexible structure is another superior attribute of flexible structure. Kesner, Member, Howe, & Member, The flexible robot manipulator is one of the sensitive flexible structures that has been used for carrying out the acute and precise scientific tasks, like surgical operation and assembling the tiny electronic products (Kesner, Member, Howe, & Member, 2011).

The worldwide usage and increasing demand of robot in scientific applications, many researchers have focused on designing and controlling the precise robot for implementing the any rigorous task, similarly, modelling of flexible manipulator as inherent part of robot control system is considered as well.

Despite the functional attribute of flexible manipulator, there is no guarantee on perfect performance of it. Flexibility in terms of low stiffness characteristic (Qiu and Zhao, 2012; Yatim et al, 2012) result in unwanted vibration or chaotic motion, so the quality of operation could be affected by such vibration. Consequently, Extra oscillation at the operation point leads to time delay and may mess up all the performances. With regard to all consideration, the flexible manipulator has prevalent usage as well, in other word, there have been many superior ways to get over some drawbacks and preserve the applying of the flexible manipulator in the today's application.

Undesirable vibration of robot manipulator when the accuracy is the first and vital factor is not negligible. This unwanted vibration may make the catastrophic incident for human and causes economic losses thus, controlling and supressing such vibration is one of the engineering obsession.

All the prominent consideration related to the vibration control of the flexible robot manipulator call for this study. This vibration is much severe in slender flexible manipulator especially in the tip with or without the external loading.

Yurkovich et al.(1990) stated, there is close relationship between accurate modelling and precise controlling the flexible beam. The key point is flexible structures are suffering from low stiffness that comes from the inherent lightweight properties (Yurkovich, Tzes, & Hillsley, 1990).

Gu et al. (1997) Vibration damping of flexible structure requires obtaining the dynamic behaviour of flexible structure(Gu, Song, & Zhou, 1997), in other word, the proper modelling of motion that express the relationship between inputs and out puts is necessary to design the efficient controller in order to mitigate the unwanted vibration.

During the past years, many rigorous studies have been done in order to drive the mathematical modelling of flexible structure. These researches have been done by considering some additional condition and some simplification due to the complex physical characteristics such as nonlinearities and uncertainties.

Extracting the mathematical model of flexible manipulator by applying the new method in place of classical method would improve the appropriate modelling for designing the adaptive controller strategy. System identification is one of the novel and well-known technique that is established to introduce the transfer function of flexible manipulator motion with making the relationship between the inputs and outputs data in a simple algebraic mathematic form.

### 1.1 Objectives

The objective of this study is to suppress the vibration of flexible manipulator. Briefly:

- 1. To present the dynamic modelling of flexible manipulator by using parametric and non-parametric system identification techniques.
- 2. To investigate the best model from the proposed techniques.
- 3. To develop the adaptive and robust PID controller to suppress the vibration of flexible manipulator.

### **1.2 Problem Statement**

Numerous application of flexible manipulator has being increased during these days because of striking characteristic of flexibility; however, the flexibility associated with low stiffness results in some problem such as unwanted vibration.

Vibration is one of the dynamic characteristic of any system that related to the any loading or instantaneous stimulations with external factors. Some vibration manifestation is desirable such as vibrating guitar string producing the music, on the other hand, some vibration phenomenon are destructive like the vibrating the suspension bridge in presence of the wind as external excitation.

Manifestation of vibration in the some structure in the inherent fact that may cause the catastrophic loss either in human or economic vision in the excessive vibration cases beside the designing and controlling the vibrating system the maintenance and monitoring such system has priority.

In order to control the vibration such as flexible manipulator as vibrating system, the behaviour of the manipulator must be investigated by modelling the dynamic motion and finding the mathematical model of motion, so the appropriate method should be introduced except for the classical approach.

The novel and adaptive method of modelling is called system identification that based on subset data as inputs and outputs, the inputs data are include the discretized input signal, in other word, excitation signal and output data are include the response of input or oscillating motion as discretized signal. The outcome of system identification modelling is the transfer function of model that has been presented as difference equation in which indicates the relationship between output and inputs. With respect to system identification approach there are divers methods to describe the system identification, some researcher have proposed different models structure that used the system identification method to describe the inputs and outputs data. There are two main prominent standpoints with regard to system identification, one is linear model structure and another is nonlinear model structure. In the some literature is known as parametric and nonparametric model respectively.

This study has used two method of system identification. First of all implementing the linear model structure using ARX model and estimation of proposed model is done by least square (LS) and recursive least square (RLS) as parametric model estimation. The proposed model is in form of difference equation to show the mathematical model. The second proposed model is nonlinear model structure, in this approach the neural network (NN) concept is employed to present the model structure. The resulting outcome of neural network is considered as nonlinear modelling that relates the inputs and outputs of system.

### **1.3** Scope of Study

- 1. Experimental test rig of flexible manipulator is used to obtain the inputs and outputs. (data set).
- 2. Establishment of linear and nonlinear dynamic model.
- 3. Using least square (LS) and recursive least square (RLS) for estimation of linear model and neural network (NN) approach as nonlinear model estimation.
- 4. Developing the PID controller to supress the vibration of flexible manipulator.

### 1.4 Research Approach

This study is divided into two prominent parts, first of all the mathematical model which is extracted by using the system identification approach, namely least

square (LS) and recursive least square (RLS) as linear model estimation, then the neural network (NN) technique has been applied in order to find the nonlinear model estimation. Both proposed model present the transfer function of model. Finally, the proposed modelling is used to design the PID controller in order to supress the vibration of flexible manipulator. The flow chart of this research is illustrated in Figure 1.1.



Figure 1.1 Research Approach

### **1.5** Outline of Thesis

This research is divided into five main chapters as below:

**Chapter 1** presents the introduction, background, and study of the flexible manipulator, the objective, problem statement, scope and theoretical framework of this study.

**Chapter 2** includes review of study the previous research and study of other researchers in modelling of flexible manipulator.

**Chapter 3** presents the research methodology of this study, the procedure of implementation of research, include of gathering data, system identification using least square, recursive least square and neural network, validation test and controller design is proposed.

**Chapter 4** concentrates on obtained result from system identification and correctness and accuracy of result from LS, RLS and NN using the least square error and also develop the mathematical model in order to designing and tuning the controller .

Chapter 5 contains the conclusion part and recommendation based on results and discussion.

### REFERENCES

- Ã, F. R., & Feliu, V. (2008). ARTICLE IN PRESS New online payload identification for flexible robots. Application to adaptive control, 315, 34–57. doi:10.1016/j.jsv.2008.02.003
- Ã, G. N., & Tzes, A. (2010). Application of adaptive lattice filters for modal parameter tracking of a single flexible link carrying a shifting payload. *Mechanical Systems & Signal Processing*, 24(5), 1338–1348. doi:10.1016/j.ymssp.2009.11.007
- Ã, S. E. S., & Abdullah, O. (2008). ARTICLE IN PRESS An event-based vibration control for a two-link flexible robotic arm : Numerical and experimental observations, 313, 375–394. doi:10.1016/j.jsv.2006.09.021
- Ã, Y. T., Ãã, F. S., & Sun, Z. (2006). Neural network control of flexible-link manipulators using sliding mode, 70, 288–295. doi:10.1016/j.neucom.2006.01.030
- Ahmad, M. A., Ismail, R. M. T. R., & Ramli, M. S. (2010). Control Strategy for Active Vibration Suppression of Flexible Robot Manipulator, 741–746.
- Becedas, J., & Trapero, J. R. (2007). Fast identification method to control a flexible manipulator with parameter uncertainties, (April), 10–14.
- Boulevard, T., & Lane, L. (1994). An Iterative Scheme for Learning Gravity Compensation in Flexible Robot Arms \* t, *30*(6).
- Chatterjee, A., & Chatterjee, R. (2007). Neuro-fuzzy state modeling of flexible robotic arm employing dynamically varying cognitive and social component based PSO, *40*, 628–643. doi:10.1016/j.measurement.2006.09.004
- Cole, M. O. T., Wongratanaphisan, T., Pongvuthithum, R., & Fakkaew, W. (2008). Automatica, 44(11), 2876–2883. doi:10.1016/j.automatica.2008.03.022
- Conference, I. (2011). No Title, 0.
- Feliu, V., Castillo, F. J., Ramos, F., & Somolinos, J. A. (2012). Mechatronics Robust tip trajectory tracking of a very lightweight single-link flexible arm in

presence of large payload changes. *Mechatronics*, 22(5), 594–613. doi:10.1016/j.mechatronics.2012.01.012

- Feliu, V., Pereira, E., & Roncero, P. (2006). Feedforward control of multimode single-link flexible manipulators based on an optimal mechanical design, 54, 651–666. doi:10.1016/j.robot.2006.02.012
- Gu, G., Song, H., & Zhou, K. (1997). An Integrated Approach to Modeling and Control of Flexible Structures via, 50(December), 0–5.
- Hassan, M., Dubay, R., Li, C., & Wang, R. (2007). Active vibration control of a flexible one-link manipulator using a multivariable predictive controller, *17*, 311–323. doi:10.1016/j.mechatronics.2007.02.004
- Jen, C. W., Johnson, D. A., & Gorez, R. (1996). A reduced-order dynamic model for end-effector position control of a flexible robot arm, 4.
- Kesner, S. B., Member, S., Howe, R. D., & Member, S. (2011). Force Control of Flexible Catheter Robots for Beating Heart Surgery, 1589–1594.
- Miro, J. V, & White, A. S. (2002). Modelling an industrial manipulator a case study, 9, 293–319.
- Montazeri, A., Poshtan, J., & Choobdar, A. (2009). Performance and robust stability trade-off in minimax LQG control of vibrations in flexible structures. *Engineering Structures*, 31(10), 2407–2413. doi:10.1016/j.engstruct.2009.05.011
- Mosayebi, M., Ghayour, M., & Sadigh, M. J. (2012). A nonlinear high gain observer based input output control of flexible link manipulator. *Mechanics Research Communications*, 45, 34–41. doi:10.1016/j.mechrescom.2012.06.004
- Polytechnic, Y. (n.d.). Dynamic Ana ( y . sis of a Two-link Flexible Manipulator System using Extended Bond Graphs, 1113–1134.
- Pradhan, S. K. (n.d.). NARMAX Modeling of a Two-Link Flexible Robot, (3), 1–5.
- Qian, W. T., & Ma, C. C. H. (1991). Experiments on a flexible one-link manipulator, 262–265.
- Qiu, Z. (2012). Adaptive nonlinear vibration control of a Cartesian flexible manipulator driven by a ballscrew mechanism. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 30, 248–266. doi:10.1016/j.ymssp.2012.01.002
- Tian, L., & Collins, C. (2005). Adaptive neuro-fuzzy control of a flexible manipulator, 15, 1305–1320. doi:10.1016/j.mechatronics.2005.02.001

- Vakil, M., Fotouhi, R., & Nikiforuk, P. N. (2009). Mechatronics Causal end-effector inversion of a flexible link manipulator. *Mechatronics*, 19(7), 1197–1210. doi:10.1016/j.mechatronics.2009.03.010
- Yatim, H., Darus, I. Z. M., & Hadi, M. S. (2013). Particle Swarm Optimization for Identification of a Flexible Manipulator System, (1), 112–117.
- Yatim, H. M., Darus, I. Z. M., & Hadi, M. S. (2013). Modeling of Flexible Manipulator Structure Using Genetic Algorithm with Parameter Exchanger, 37–42. doi:10.1109/CIMSim.2013.15
- Yurkovich, S. (1990). Experiments in Identification and Control of Flexible-Link Manipulators, (February).
- Yurkovich, S., Tzes, A. P., & Hillsley, K. L. (1990). Control and system identification of a, 1626–1631.
- Ziaei, K., & Ã, D. W. L. W. (2006). Application of orthonormal basis functions for identification of flexible-link manipulators, 14, 99–106. doi:10.1016/j.conengprac.2004.11.020
- Ziaei, K., Ni, L., & Wang, D. W. L. (2009). Control Engineering Practice QFT-based design of force and contact transition controllers for a flexible link manipulator, 17, 329–344. doi:10.1016/j.conengprac.2008.06.004
- Qiu, Z. & Zhao, Z., 2012. Pneumatic Drive Active Vibration Control for Flexible Manipulator Using an Adaptive Interactive PD Controller. , pp.1956–1961.
- Reis, C.P., 2012. Motion planning and actuator specialization in the control of active-flexible link robots. , 331, pp.3255–3270.
- Rigatos, G.G., 2009. Model-based and model-free control of flexible-link robots : A comparison between representative methods. Applied Mathematical Modelling, 33(10), pp.3906–3925. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2009.01.012.
- Rocha, C.R.Ã., Tonetto, C.P. & Dias, A., 2011. Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing A comparison between the Denavit – Hartenberg and the screw-based methods used in kinematic modeling of robot manipulators \$.
  Robotics and Computer Integrated Manufacturing, 27(4), pp.723–728. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2010.12.009.
- Payo, I., Feliu, V. & Cortázar, O.D., 2009. Force control of a very lightweight singlelink flexible arm based on coupling torque feedback. *Mechatronics*, 19(3), pp.334–347. Available at:

http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0957415808001748 [Accessed May 9, 2014].

Pereira, E. et al., 2011. Integral Resonant Control for Vibration Damping and Precise Tip-Positioning of a Single-Link Flexible Manipulator. , 16(2), pp.232–240.

Pradhan, S.K., NARMAX Modeling of a Two-Link Flexible Robot., (3), pp.1–5.

- Franke, R. et al., 2009. Vibration control of a multi-link Àexible robot arm with Fiber-Bragg-Grating sensors. , pp.3365–3370.
- Gautier, M. et al., 2013. Dynamic Identification of flexible joint manipulators with an efficient closed loop output error method based on motor torque output data., pp.2949–2955.
- Gurses, K., Buckham, B.J. & Park, E.J., 2009. Vibration control of a single-link flexible manipulator using an array of fiber optic curvature sensors and PZT actuators. *Mechatronics*, 19(2), pp.167–177. Available at: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0957415808001438 [Accessed May 9, 2014].
- Malzahn, J. et al., 2010. Input shaping and strain gauge feedback vibration control of an elastic robotic arm. , pp.672–677.
- Mansour, T. et al., 2008. Experimental Verification on Vibration Suppression of a Flexible Manipulator Using MPID Controller. , pp.2896–2901.
- Merc, G., Lovera, M. & Laroche, E., 2011. Identification of a flexible robot manipulator using a linear parameter-varying descriptor state-space structure. , pp.3–8.
- Mirzaee, E., Eghtesad, M. & Fazelzadeh, S. a., 2010. Maneuver control and active vibration suppression of a two-link flexible arm using a hybrid variable structure/Lyapunov control design. *Acta Astronautica*, 67(9-10), pp.1218–1232. Available at:

http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0094576510002456 [Accessed May 9, 2014].

- Montazeri, A., Poshtan, J. & Choobdar, A., 2009. Performance and robust stability trade-off in minimax LQG control of vibrations in flexible structures. *Engineering Structures*, 31(10), pp.2407–2413. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2009.05.011.
- Morales, R., Feliu, V. & Jaramillo, V., 2012. Position control of very lightweight single-link flexible arms with large payload variations by using disturbance

observers. *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, 60(4), pp.532–547. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.robot.2011.11.016.

Moreno-valenzuela, J. & González-hernández, L., 2011. Operational space trajectory tracking control of robot manipulators endowed with a primary controller of synthetic joint velocity ☆. *ISA Transactions*, 50(1), pp.131–140. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.isatra.2010.08.002.