

THE GREEN SUPPLIER SELECTION AND ORDER ALLOCATION IN THE
SUPPLY CHAIN UNDER STOCHASTIC CONDITIONS

POORIA HASHEMZAHI

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Industrial Engineering)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2014

Dedicated to...

My beloved parents; Hassan and Manijeh whose show me the path and support me

in every momonet of my life

My cherished brother and sister

You are in my heart forever

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Foremost, I would like to express my sincere thanks to Dr Syed Ahmad Helmi bin Syed Hassan for the continuous support, patience, motivation, enthusiasm, and immense knowledge all the time during this research. I would like to thank Faculty of Mechanical Engineering's staff, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia for their kind cooperation.

I would like to extend my gratitude to Amir Hossein Azadnia who always guide and support me in this research.

I would like to acknowledge my family who always support and encourage me in every stage of my life. My thanks and appreciations also go to all of my friends who help me with their abilities and encourage me.

ABSTRACT

The complexity of the competitive marketing in recent decades has forced the firms, industrial groups and researchers to have more attention towards the supply chain. The supply chain is a network that changes the raw materials to the finished products by passing them through the linked entities. This network consists of suppliers, industrial groups, warehouses, distribution centers, and retailers. Suppliers as a part of a supply chain play a functional role in this network. Since preserving the environment has been a paramount criterion for researchers in recent years, considering the green environment factors in the supply chain could be more favorable. This study was conducted to select the best suppliers among the existing suppliers, and allocate an appropriate order quantity to each of them. The input data have an uncertainty and fuzziness in the real problem, and this study considered this fact in the different levels of supplier selection. Firstly, this study defined the cost, lead time and green environment factors as the qualitative factors, and used fuzzy analytic hierarchy process (FAHP) to weigh each of the existed supplier regarding these criteria. Secondly, a mathematical multi-objective nonlinear model formed with three different objective functions under the stochastic conditions including the demand quantity and the demand timing. Total cost of purchasing, total value of purchasing, and supplier flexibility were considered in this mathematical model as the objective functions, simultaneously. The genetic algorithm was utilized to solve this mathematical method using MATLAB software. Finally, the best suppliers and their optimum order quantity ratio was obtained, and the optimum purchasing fitness function was calculated.

ABSTRAK

Selari dengan perkembangan bidang pemasaran yang begitu kompetitif semenjak beberapa dekad mutakhir ini, ia telah menarik minat dunia industri dan para penyelidik untuk menjuruskan fokus kearah bidang ventaian bekalan dengan lebih serius. Ventaian bekalan adalah rangkaian proses yang bermula dari bekalan bahan mentah hingga sesuatu produk siap sepenuhnya. Rantaian ini melalui beberapa bahagian dan proses yang saling berkaitan antara satu sama lain. Secara asasnya rantain ini terdiri daripada pembekal-pembekal, kumpulan industri, gudang-gudang, pusat pengagihan dan para peruncit. Dalam rantaian ventaian bekalan ini, pembekal memainkan peranan fungsi yang terbesar. Pada masa yang sama, beberapa tahun kebelakangan ini isu kelestarian alam sekitar telah menjadi parameter yang penting dalam kalangan penyelidik, selaras dengan itu mengabungkan idea kelestarian alam sekitar sebagai salah satu kriteria Supply chain adalah relevan. Kajian ini dengan itu dijalankan untuk menentukan pembekal yang terbaik antara kumpulan pembekal pembekal yang ada. Hal ini membolehkan kuantiti pesanan dapat diagihkan antara para pembekal secara tepat. Pada dasarnya, input mengandungi unsur ketidakpastian dan kekaburan, dengan itu, kajian ini turut mengambil kira kriteria tersebut dalam menentukan pemilihan pembekal pada tahap/ peringkat yang berbeza-beza. Pada peringkat pertama, kos, masa menunggu dan kelestarian alam sekitar didefinisikan sebagai element kualitatif dan dianalisis menggunakan (FAHP) bagi menilai kecederungan setiap pembekal terhadap kriteria-kriteria tersebut. Seterusnya, model matematik multi-objektif tidak linear dibentuk. Model ini dibina berdasarkan tiga fungsi objektif stokastik termasuk kuantiti permintaan dan peruntukan masa. Dalam pada masa yang sama nilai kos keseluruhan pembelian, nilai pembelian keseluruhan dan tolak ansur atau kefleksibelan pembekal yang terlibat turut diambil kira sebagai fungsi objektif model ini. Algorithma genetik menggunakan perisian MATLAB diguna pakai untuk menganalisis model yang telah dibina. Hasil dari analisis model ini, pembekal pembekal yang terbaik dapat dikenalpasti dan kuantiti optimum pesanan bagi setiap pembekal seterusnya fungsi bagi kesesuaian nilai optimum pembelian (dari pembekal terbabit) dapat dikira.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTER | TITLE | PAGE |
|----------|------------------------------|------------|
| | DECLARATION | ii |
| | DEDICATION | iii |
| | ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | iv |
| | ABSTRACT | v |
| | ABSTRAK | vi |
| | TABLE OF CONTENTS | vii |
| | LIST OF TABLES | x |
| | LIST OF FIGURES | xii |
| | LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xiv |
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | |
| | 1.1 Introduction | 1 |
| | 1.2 Background of Study | 1 |
| | 1.3 Problem Statement | 3 |
| | 1.3.1 Research Questions | 4 |
| | 1.4 Objective of the Study | 4 |
| | 1.5 Scope of the Study | 5 |
| | 1.6 Significant of the Study | 5 |
| | 1.7 Conclusion | 6 |
| 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW | |
| | 2.1 Introduction | 7 |

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| 2.2 | Supply Chain | 7 |
| 2.2.1 | Green Supply Chain | 9 |
| 2.2.2 | Supply Chain Benefits and Challenges | 9 |
| 2.3 | Supplier Selection | 10 |
| 2.3.1 | Advantages of Single Supplier | 13 |
| 2.3.2 | Advantages of Multiple Supplier | 14 |
| 2.3.3 | Supplier Selection Methods and Approaches | 14 |
| 2.4 | Fuzzy Multi-Objective Decision Making | 26 |
| 2.4.1 | Fuzzy multi-objective supplier selection | 27 |
| 2.5 | Multi-objective Non Linear Programming | 28 |
| 2.6 | Genetic Algorithm Approach | 29 |
| 2.7 | Supplier selection under stochastic condition | 30 |
| 2.8 | Multi-objective decision making in green supplier selection | 30 |
| 2.9 | Conclusions | 31 |

3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

| | | |
|-------|---------------------------------------|----|
| 3.1 | Introduction | 33 |
| 3.2 | Research design flow diagram | 33 |
| 3.3 | Research design | 35 |
| 3.3.1 | Define the topic | 35 |
| 3.3.2 | Literature search and review | 35 |
| 3.3.3 | Problem definition | 35 |
| 3.3.4 | Model formulation | 36 |
| 3.3.5 | Data collection | 36 |
| 3.3.6 | Programming with MATLAB | 36 |
| 3.3.7 | Results and analysis | 37 |
| 3.4 | Methodology | 37 |
| 3.4.1 | Fuzzy Analytic Hierarchy Process | 37 |
| 3.4.2 | Multi-Objective nonlinear programming | 40 |
| 3.4.3 | Genetic algorithm application | 41 |
| 3.4.4 | MATLAB Software | 42 |
| 3.5 | Conclusion | 43 |

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

| | | |
|-------|--|----|
| 4.1 | Introduction | 44 |
| 4.2 | Fuzzy AHP | 44 |
| 4.3 | Model Formulation | 49 |
| 4.3.1 | Operational conditions and Assumptions of the model | 49 |
| 4.3.2 | Parameters definition and decision variables | 50 |
| 4.3.3 | Objective Functions | 52 |
| 4.3.4 | Constraints | 54 |
| 4.4 | Input Data and Results | 55 |
| 4.4.1 | Computing the Weight of Each Supplier | 56 |
| 4.4.2 | Supplier Quantitative Data | 63 |
| 4.4.3 | MATLAB Genetic Algorithm | 65 |
| 4.5 | Results and Discussions | 67 |
| 4.5.1 | FAHP results | 68 |
| 4.5.2 | Genetic Algorithm Results | 72 |
| 4.6 | Model Validation | 78 |
| 4.7 | Conclusions | 80 |

5 CONCLUSION

| | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|
| 5.1 | Introduction | 81 |
| 5.2 | Summary | 81 |
| 5.3 | Recommendations and Future Research | 82 |
| 5.4 | Conclusion | 83 |

REFERENCES

84

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------------|---|-------------|
| 3.1. | Linguistic variables for pairwise comparisons of each criterion | 39 |
| 4.1. | Importance (preference) of one main criterion over another with respect to overall goal | 45 |
| 4.2. | Importance (Preference) of one Sub-Criterion over another | 46 |
| 4.3. | Importance (Preference) of one supplier over another in Cost | 46 |
| 4.4. | Importance (Preference) of one supplier over another in Lead Time | 47 |
| 4.5. | Importance (Preference) of one supplier over another in EMS | 47 |
| 4.6. | Importance (Preference) of one supplier over another in pollution | 48 |
| 4.7. | Importance (Preference) of one supplier over another in waste | 48 |
| 4.8. | Importance (preference) of one main criterion over another with respect to overall goal | 56 |
| 4.9. | Importance (Preference) of one Sub-Criterion over another | 58 |
| 4.10. | Importance (Preference) of one supplier over another in Cost | 58 |
| 4.11. | Importance (Preference) of one supplier over another in Lead Time | 59 |

| | | |
|-------|--|----|
| 4.12. | Importance (Preference) of one supplier over another in EMS | 60 |
| 4.13. | Importance (Preference) of one supplier over another in pollution | 60 |
| 4.14. | Importance (Preference) of one supplier over another in waste | 61 |
| 4.15. | Information of company a suppliers | 64 |
| 4.16. | The program options and parameters of GA | 73 |
| 4.17. | Amount of ξ for each supplier and fitness value | 74 |
| 4.18. | Amount of ξ for each supplier and fitness value | 79 |
| 4.19. | Amount of ξ for each supplier and fitness value | 79 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------|---|------|
| 2.1. | Schematic of supply chain flow | 8 |
| 2.2. | Impact of supplier selection criteria and supplier involvement on performance | 12 |
| 3.1. | Research design flow diagram | 34 |
| 3.2. | Graphical solution of non-linear programming | 41 |
| 3.3. | Procedure to run the GA application | 42 |
| 4.1. | Hierarchical structure of decision problem | 45 |
| 4.2. | Stochastic demand conditions and flexibility parameters | 50 |
| 4.3. | Importance (preference) of main criterion | 68 |
| 4.4. | Importance (preference) of sub-criterion | 69 |
| 4.5. | Suppliers cost comparing | 69 |
| 4.6. | Suppliers lead time comparing | 70 |
| 4.7. | Suppliers EMS comparing | 70 |
| 4.8. | Suppliers' pollution comparing | 71 |
| 4.9. | Suppliers waste comparing | 71 |
| 4.10. | Weight of suppliers | 72 |
| 4.11. | Fitness function values versus generations | 75 |
| 4.12. | Variable with the best fitness function value | 75 |
| 4.13. | Pareto chart for xi | 75 |
| 4.14. | Fitness function values versus generations | 76 |
| 4.15. | Variable with the best fitness function value | 76 |
| 4.16. | Pareto chart for xi | 76 |
| 4.17. | Fitness function values versus generations | 77 |

| | | |
|-------|--|----|
| 4.18. | Variable with the best fitness function value | 77 |
| 4.19. | Pareto chart for xi | 77 |
| 4.20. | MATLAB fitness function values versus generations and variable with the best fitness function value | 79 |
| 4.21. | EXCEL Solver 2010 quantity ratio plot | 79 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|--------|---|
| SCM | Supply Chain Management |
| SC | Supply Chain |
| GSC | Green Supply Chain |
| DEA | Data Envelopment Analysis |
| AHP | Analytic Hierarchy Process |
| ANP | Analytic Network Process |
| GP | Goal Programming |
| GA | Genetic Algorithm |
| FAHP | Fuzzy Analytic Hierarchy Process |
| MODM | Multi-Objective Decision Making |
| NLP | Non-Linear Programming |
| MONLP | Multi-Objective Non-Linear Programming |
| TCP | Total Cost of Purchasing |
| TVP | Total Value of Purchasing |
| EDQRP | Expected Demand Quantity Reduction Penalty |
| EDTRP | Expected Demand Timing Reduction Penalty |
| EMS | Environment Managing System |
| TOPSIS | Technique for Order Performance by Similarity |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

This chapter introduces this research purpose and scope. The aim of this study was to develop a multi-objective model under stochastic parameters for green supplier selection and order allocation. Moreover, it presents the nonlinear programming model to select optimum suppliers by using a Genetic Algorithm technique. This chapter discusses about background of study, the problems in this study, objectives, scope of study and significance of this research.

1.2 Background of Study

With the globalization of the economic market and the development of information technology, many companies consider that a well-designed and implemented supply chain management (SCM) system is an important tool for increasing competitive advantage, which can be achieved by strategic collaboration

with suppliers and service providers. Companies need to work with different suppliers to continue their activities. The success of a supply chain is highly dependent on selection of good suppliers.

Beamon (1998) mentioned that firms fierce competition, global marketing, product shorter life and customers' expectations have forced firms to pay more attention to supply chain. The purpose of these forms is to reduce their cost and improve quality to save their old users and attract new ones, for this purpose they need supply chain. Typically, in supply chains, raw materials procured, and then products produced in factories, shipped to warehouses and distributed to markets or customers. Supply chain consists of suppliers, factories or manufacturing centers, warehouses, distribution center and retailers. Hou and Wie proposed that 70% of product cost is raw materials and component parts, as a result the supplier selection plays a huge role in supply chain success.

Dickson (1966) presented and listed 23 important evaluation criteria for supplier selection. (Wu *et al.*, 2010; Haleh *et al.* (2011); Zhang *et al.*, 2011) and many other researchers studied the supplier selection and presented models which can help industrial groups to choose the best suppliers and allocate quantity orders to each supplier because selecting the right supplier gives firms a competitive edge, reduced cost, improved quality, and reduces their lead time and decreases supply chain's risk.

On the other hand, sustainability has become more and more important factor in supply chain production process so that scientists have more attention paid on environmental sustainability nowadays. Miemczyk *et al.* (2012) provided a structured literature review of sustainability in purchasing and supply management, moving beyond the traditional environmental and social sustainability.

1.3 Problem Statement

Selecting a supplier has a direct effect on final product price and quality; also firms responsibility depends on their supplier lead time. Selecting wrong supplier will increase costs, reduce quality and also increase lead time. These factors are enough to firms losing their customer satisfaction and marketing. Selecting the wrong suppliers can be enough to upset the company's financial and operational position whereas the selection of right suppliers significantly can reduce purchasing costs, improve competitiveness in the market and enhance final customer satisfaction. Therefore, the supplier selection problem has become one of the most important issues for establishing an effective supply chain System.

In several related researches and studies on the supplier selection area, the researchers assume that all their data in their models are clearly and precisely. However, many of the decision making problems in the real world take place in a fuzzy environment. On the other hand, several papers which used a fuzzy environment in their studies used single factor, objective or criteria. Considering one factor in supplier selection will break-down the industrial groups since in today's competitive market, cost, quality, and lead time should take into account simultaneously.

In recent years, discussion and role about green environment and green supply chain improve between researcher, Society and government. As a result, firms need to improve environmental factors in their product and production process. Implementing green system for firms is impossible without the cooperation of all partners in the supply chain. Hence, selecting good supplier is critical and aims to achieve this purpose. There are few specific studies in the supplier selection area which consider sustainable factors in their mathematical models and all the researchers did this before, assumed that the demands are certain. In realistic models demands are not constant in all the periods and decision making under stochastic demand is more realistic.

Finally, based on Kannan study lack of mathematical model for green supplier selection and order allocation under fuzzy environment and stochastic conditions exists.

1.3.1 Research Questions

The research questions of this study can be stated as follows:

1. What are appropriate criteria and sub criteria and their importance weight for green supplier selection?
2. How to formulate a multi-objective mathematical model for green supplier selection under stochastic parameters?
3. How the optimum order quantity to be allocated to suppliers under green environment can be achieved?

1.4 Objective of the Study

The objectives of this study can be stated as follows:

1. To determine criteria and sub criteria and the importance weight of each criterion and sub criterion
2. To develop a mathematical model for green supplier selection and order allocation
3. From the developed model, determine the optimum order quantity to be allocated to suppliers.

1.5 Scope of the Study

The scopes of this study are as follows:

1. This research covers green supplier selection in the supply chain.
2. The Fuzzy Analytic Hierarchy Process is used for weight to criterion and sub criterion.
3. The multi objective nonlinear programming is used for mathematical model and solves the formulation.
4. The mathematical model considers to Cost, quality, lead time and environmental factors.
5. MATLAB software is used for writing codes and get the results.

1.6 Significant of the Study

Based on what has mentioned in the literature and the problem statement supplier selection is an essential factor in the firm's success. This study provides a mathematical model for the best supplier selection in fuzzy environment and allocates optimum quantity order to each supplier under green environment. Fuzzy environment used in this study makes this model more realistic and Genetic Algorithm that used to solve nonlinear programming give optimum results. The purpose of this model is to reduce cost, improve quality and decrease lead time with considering the green environmental factors. Multi objective programming that used in this study will help managers who may have more than one objective with different priorities.

Cost, lead time and sustainable factors chosen because they have a direct effect on customers' satisfaction, productivity, profit and environmental concerns.

Logical and clever supplier selection is able to promote competitive capability and improve productivity performance.

1.7 Conclusion

This chapter discussed the research foundation. The background presented in this chapter gives sufficient content to understand the intention of the study. In addition, the significance and effect of this study on solving the existing problem has been illustrated in this chapter.

REFERENCES

- Aktar Demirtas, E. and O. Ustun (2009). Analytic network process and multi-period goal programming integration in purchasing decisions. *Computers & Industrial Engineering* **56**(2): 677-690.
- Amid, A., S. H. Ghodsypour and C. O'Brien (2006). Fuzzy multiobjective linear model for supplier selection in a supply chain. *International Journal of Production Economics* **104**(2): 394-407.
- Amid, A., S. H. Ghodsypour and C. O'Brien (2009). A weighted additive fuzzy multiobjective model for the supplier selection problem under price breaks in a supply Chain. *International Journal of Production Economics* **121**(2): 323-332.
- Amid, A., S. H. Ghodsypour and C. O'Brien (2011). A weighted max–min model for fuzzy multi-objective supplier selection in a supply chain. *International Journal of Production Economics* **131**(1): 139-145.
- Awasthi, A., S. Chauhan, S. Goyal and J.-M. Proth (2009). Supplier selection problem for a single manufacturing unit under stochastic demand. *International Journal of Production Economics* **117**(1): 229-233.
- Bayazit, O. (2006). Use of analytic network process in vendor selection decisions. *Benchmarking: An International Journal* **13**(5): 566-579.
- Beamon, B. M. (1998). Supply chain design and analysis:: Models and methods. *International Journal of Production Economics* **55**(3): 281-294.
- Bellman, R. E. and L. A. Zadeh (1970). Decision-making in a fuzzy environment. *Management science* **17**(4): B-141-B-164.
- Bevilacqua, M., F. E. Ciarapica and G. Giacchetta (2006). A fuzzy-QFD approach to supplier selection. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management* **12**(1): 14-27.

- Bottani, E. and A. Rizzi (2008). An adapted multi-criteria approach to suppliers and products selection—An application oriented to lead-time reduction. *International Journal of Production Economics* **111**(2): 763-781.
- Chan, F. S. (2003). Interactive selection model for supplier selection process: an analytical hierarchy process approach. *International Journal of Production Research* **41**(15): 3549-3579.
- Chan, F. T. S. and N. Kumar (2007). Global supplier development considering risk factors using fuzzy extended AHP-based approach. *Omega* **35**(4): 417-431.
- Chang, D.-Y. (1996). Applications of the extent analysis method on fuzzy AHP. *European journal of operational research* **95**(3): 649-655.
- Chen, C.-T., C.-T. Lin and S.-F. Huang (2006). A fuzzy approach for supplier evaluation and selection in supply chain management. *International Journal of Production Economics* **102**(2): 289-301.
- Chen, Y. M. and P.-N. Huang (2007). Bi-negotiation integrated AHP in suppliers selection. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management* **27**(11): 1254-1274.
- Chou, S.-Y. and Y.-H. Chang (2008). A decision support system for supplier selection based on a strategy-aligned fuzzy SMART approach. *Expert Systems with Applications* **34**(4): 2241-2253.
- Choy, K. and W. Lee (2002). A generic tool for the selection and management of supplier relationships in an outsourced manufacturing environment: the application of case based reasoning. *Logistics Information Management* **15**(4): 235-253.
- Choy, K. and W. Lee (2003). A generic supplier management tool for outsourcing manufacturing. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal* **8**(2): 140-154.
- Choy, K. L., W. B. Lee and V. Lo (2003). Design of an intelligent supplier relationship management system: a hybrid case based neural network approach. *Expert Systems with Applications* **24**(2): 225-237.
- Demirtas, E. A. and Ö. Üstün (2008). An integrated multiobjective decision making process for supplier selection and order allocation. *Omega* **36**(1): 76-90.
- Dickson, G. W. (1966). An analysis of vendor selection systems and decisions. *Journal of purchasing* **2**(1): 5-17.

- Ding, H., L. Benyoucef and X. Xie (2005). A simulation optimization methodology for supplier selection problem. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing* **18**(2-3): 210-224.
- Fletcher, R. and S. Leyffer (2002). Nonlinear programming without a penalty function. *Mathematical programming* **91**(2): 239-269.
- Florez-Lopez, R. (2007). Strategic supplier selection in the added-value perspective: A CI approach. *Information Sciences* **177**(5): 1169-1179.
- Forker, L. B. and D. Mendez (2001). An analytical method for benchmarking best peer suppliers. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management* **21**(1/2): 195-209.
- Gencer, C. and D. Gürpınar (2007). Analytic network process in supplier selection: A case study in an electronic firm. *Applied Mathematical Modelling* **31**(11): 2475-2486.
- Ghodsypour, S. H. and C. O'Brien (2001). The total cost of logistics in supplier selection, under conditions of multiple sourcing, multiple criteria and capacity constraint. *International Journal of Production Economics* **73**(1): 15-27.
- Ha, S. H. and R. Krishnan (2008). A hybrid approach to supplier selection for the maintenance of a competitive supply chain. *Expert Systems with Applications* **34**(2): 1303-1311.
- Haleh, H. and A. Hamidi (2011). A fuzzy MCDM model for allocating orders to suppliers in a supply chain under uncertainty over a multi-period time horizon. *Expert Systems with Applications* **38**(8): 9076-9083.
- Hong, G. H., S. C. Park, D. S. Jang and H. M. Rho (2005). An effective supplier selection method for constructing a competitive supply-relationship. *Expert Systems with Applications* **28**(4): 629-639.
- Hou, J. and D. Su (2007). EJB-MVC oriented supplier selection system for mass customization. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management* **18**(1): 54-71.
- Huang, S. H. and H. Keskar (2007). Comprehensive and configurable metrics for supplier selection. *International Journal of Production Economics* **105**(2): 510-523.
- Huo, H. and Z. Wei (2008). Suppliers selection and order allocation in the environment of supply chain based on fuzzy multi-objective integer programming model. *Service Operations and Logistics, and Informatics, 2008. IEEE/SOLI 2008. IEEE International Conference on*, IEEE.

- Jain, V., M. Tiwari and F. Chan (2004). Evaluation of the supplier performance using an evolutionary fuzzy-based approach. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management* **15**(8): 735-744.
- Kahraman, C., U. Cebeci and Z. Ulukan (2003). Multi-criteria supplier selection using fuzzy AHP. *Logistics Information Management* **16**(6): 382-394.
- Kannan, D., R. Khodaverdi, L. Olfat, A. Jafarian and A. Diabat (2013). Integrated fuzzy multi criteria decision making method and multi-objective programming approach for supplier selection and order allocation in a green supply chain. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **47**(0): 355-367.
- Karpak, B., E. Kumcu and R. R. Kasuganti (2001). Purchasing materials in the supply chain: managing a multi-objective task. *European Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management* **7**(3): 209-216.
- Kilic, H. S. (2013). An integrated approach for supplier selection in multi-item/multi-supplier environment. *Applied Mathematical Modelling* **37**(14-15): 7752-7763.
- Kubat, C. and B. Yuce (2006). Supplier selection with genetic algorithm and fuzzy AHP. *Proceedings of 5th International Symposium on Intelligent Manufacturing Systems*.
- Kull, T. J. and S. Talluri (2008). A supply risk reduction model using integrated multicriteria decision making. *Engineering Management, IEEE Transactions on* **55**(3): 409-419.
- Kwong, C., W. Ip and J. Chan (2002). Combining scoring method and fuzzy expert systems approach to supplier assessment: a case study. *Integrated manufacturing systems* **13**(7): 512-519.
- Lau, H. C., C. K. Lee, G. T. Ho and K. Pun (2006). A performance benchmarking system to support supplier selection. *International Journal of Business Performance Management* **8**(2): 132-151.
- Liao, Z. and J. Rittscher (2007). A multi-objective supplier selection model under stochastic demand conditions. *International Journal of Production Economics* **105**(1): 150-159.
- Liu, F.-H. F. and H. L. Hai (2005). The voting analytic hierarchy process method for selecting supplier. *International Journal of Production Economics* **97**(3): 308-317.
- Liu, P. and X. Zhang (2011). Research on the supplier selection of a supply chain based on entropy weight and improved ELECTRE-III method. *International Journal of Production Research* **49**(3): 637-646.

- Lummus, R. R. and R. J. Vokurka (1999). Defining supply chain management: a historical perspective and practical guidelines. *Industrial Management & Data Systems* **99**(1): 11-17.
- Mendoza, A. and J. A. Ventura (2008). An effective method to supplier selection and order quantity allocation. *International Journal of Business and Systems Research* **2**(1): 1-15.
- Miemczyk, J., T. E. Johnsen and M. Macquet (2012). Sustainable purchasing and supply management: a structured literature review of definitions and measures at the dyad, chain and network levels. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal* **17**(5): 478-496.
- Narasimhan, R., S. Talluri and S. K. Mahapatra (2006). Multiproduct, Multicriteria Model for Supplier Selection with Product Life-Cycle Considerations. *Decision Sciences* **37**(4): 577-603.
- Narasimhan, R., S. Talluri and D. Mendez (2001). Supplier evaluation and rationalization via data envelopment analysis: an empirical examination. *Journal of Supply Chain Management* **37**(3): 28-37.
- Naslund, D. and S. Williamson (2010). What is Management in Supply Chain Management?-A Critical Review of Definitions, Frameworks and Terminology. *Journal of Management Policy and Practice* **11**(4): 11-28.
- Ng, W. L. (2008). An efficient and simple model for multiple criteria supplier selection problem. *European Journal of Operational Research* **186**(3): 1059-1067.
- Sanayei, A., S. Farid Mousavi and A. Yazdankhah (2010). Group decision making process for supplier selection with VIKOR under fuzzy environment. *Expert Systems with Applications* **37**(1): 24-30.
- Sarkar, A. and P. K. J. Mohapatra (2006). Evaluation of supplier capability and performance: A method for supply base reduction. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management* **12**(3): 148-163.
- Sarkis, J., Q. Zhu and K.-h. Lai (2011). An organizational theoretic review of green supply chain management literature. *International Journal of Production Economics* **130**(1): 1-15.
- Sawik, T. (2010). Single vs. multiple objective supplier selection in a make to order environment. *Omega* **38**(3-4): 203-212.
- Sawik, T. (2011). Selection of supply portfolio under disruption risks. *Omega* **39**(2): 194-208.

- Sevкли, M., S. Lenny Koh, S. Zaim, M. Demirbag and E. Tatoglu (2007). An application of data envelopment analytic hierarchy process for supplier selection: a case study of BEKO in Turkey. *International Journal of Production Research* **45**(9): 1973-2003.
- Seydel, J. (2005). Supporting the paradigm shift in vendor selection: multicriteria methods for sole-sourcing. *Managerial Finance* **31**(3): 49-66.
- Stevenson, M. and M. Spring (2007). Flexibility from a supply chain perspective: definition and review. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management* **27**(7): 685-713.
- Stock, J. R. and S. L. Boyer (2009). Developing a consensus definition of supply chain management: a qualitative study. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management* **39**(8): 690-711.
- Talluri, S. and R. Narasimhan (2005). A note on. *Engineering Management, IEEE Transactions on* **52**(1): 130-139.
- Talluri, S., R. Narasimhan and A. Nair (2006). Vendor performance with supply risk: A chance-constrained DEA approach. *International Journal of Production Economics* **100**(2): 212-222.
- Testa, F. and F. Iraldo (2010). Shadows and lights of GSCM (Green Supply Chain Management): determinants and effects of these practices based on a multi-national study. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **18**(10-11): 953-962.
- Vonderembse, M. A. and M. Tracey (1999). The impact of supplier selection criteria and supplier involvement on manufacturing performance. *Journal of Supply Chain Management* **35**(3): 33-39.
- Wadhwa, V. and A. R. Ravindran (2007). Vendor selection in outsourcing. *Computers & Operations Research* **34**(12): 3725-3737.
- Weber, C. A., J. Current and A. Desai (2000). An optimization approach to determining the number of vendors to employ. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal* **5**(2): 90-98.
- Wu, D. D., Y. Zhang, D. Wu and D. L. Olson (2010). Fuzzy multi-objective programming for supplier selection and risk modeling: A possibility approach. *European Journal of Operational Research* **200**(3): 774-787.
- Xia, W. and Z. Wu (2007). Supplier selection with multiple criteria in volume discount environments. *Omega* **35**(5): 494-504.

- Yang, C.-C. and B.-S. Chen (2006). Supplier selection using combined analytical hierarchy process and grey relational analysis. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management* **17**(7): 926-941.
- Zhang, J.-l. and M.-y. Zhang (2011). Supplier selection and purchase problem with fixed cost and constrained order quantities under stochastic demand. *International Journal of Production Economics* **129**(1): 1-7.