INTRODUCTION OF FOREIGN METALS ONTO UNSUPPORTED AND SUPPORTED NICKEL PRASEODYMIUM OXIDE CATALYST FOR CONVERSION OF CARBON DIOXIDE TO METHANE

FARIDAH BINTI MOHD MARSIN

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

To my beloved father and mother And all the special people in my life that made me live my life to the fullest

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, in a humble way I wish to give all the Praise to Allah, the Almighty God for His mercy has given me the strength, *His blessings* and enough time to complete this work.

My greatest gratitute goes to my supervisor, Assoc Prof Dr. Nor Aziah Buang, for the brilliant ideas, suggestions, helpful guidance, and for tolerating with all the mischievous behavior I showed and imparting her vast knowledge to me. Her constant advice on writing up the thesis made this report a remarkable success.

Sincere appreciation also goes to all who have helped in this research, Prof. Dr. Wan Azelee Wan Abu Bakar for his wise and useful ideas, Assoc. Prof Dr Yusuf Othman for financial support and his sincere help in carrying out my research, Prof. Zakaria Mohd Amin (Universiti Sains Malaysia), Mrs. Mariam Hassan, Mr. Jaafar Raji (Department of Physics), Mr Zainal Abidin Abbas, Mr. Ayub (Faculty of Mechanical Engineering) and Mr Said Ghani (Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia) for their time and effort in completing my data.

Not forgetting to all lecturers, supporting staff and also the *Catalyst Technology Group* members and all the research assistants and fellow friends for their help, support, interest and valuable hints. I am particularly grateful to Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia and UTM-PTP for all facilities and financial support

Last but not least to my beloved family and friends, and especially to Ahmad Zamani Ab Halim, whose patience and love enabled me to complete this research, thank you for cheering me up all the way.

ABSTRACT

Nickel oxide based catalysts have long been known as one of the most used based materials applied for various catalyst developments. Researchers nowadays are trying to emerge with a suitable method to enhance the NiO catalyst capability for the benefit of the industries. It was observed that by introducing foreign metals with an optimized condition will increase the catalyst capability. In this study, a catalyst has been succesfully developed that can potentially be used for natural gas purification where CO₂ is catalytically converted to methane. A screening test was performed in the hope to find a suitable dopant for NiO catalyst. Seven chosen metals, M*; Mg, Zr, Mo, Mn, Fe, Co, and Cu, with a required addition of Pr from the lanthanide series was incorporated into NiO based catalyst in the weight ratio of (60% Ni: 30% M*: 10% Pr) and (60% Ni: 10% M*: 30% Pr). All prepared catalysts were aged for one day and calcined for 17 hours before tested for its ability to remove CO_2 using a home-built reactor. In assistance of X-ray Diffraction (XRD) and X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) analysis, Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), nitrogen adsorption, single point BET and Fourier Transformed Infra Red analysis (FTIR) were also carried out to reinforce the results. It was found that the incorporation of Co and Pr enhances the catalytic performance by full removal of CO₂ as well as producing methane at a low temperature of 330°C. Optimization on the ratio was carried out and was catalytically tested. From the characterization of the best catalyst, the XRD results showed that the catalyst formed individual phases of NiO, Co₃O₄ and PrO₂, while SEM assigned the presence of small particles that homogeneously distributed. The study was continued using support for the Ni/Co/Pr catalyst, whereby three supports were chosen; alumina beads (Al₂O₃), molecular sieve (Na₁₂[(AlO₂)₁₂]5SiO. x H₂O), and cordierite (2MgO-2Al₂O₃-5SiO₂). Adsorption and impregnation method was used in coating the catalyst onto the support. Verifications have been made to optimize the preparation conditions; catalyst loading, time of dipping, ratio of catalyst, calcination temperature, and addition of binder. Results showed the most favorable support for Ni/Co/Pr catalyst was cordierite. From the catalytic activity, the optimum catalyst ratio for the supported catalyst was 60:35:5, and the best possible catalyst loading onto the cordierite is approximately 25 %. The optimum calcination temperature was at 400°C for 17 hours as concluded from the XRD analysis. However as the conversion of CO_2 to methane was extended for another 10 hours on stream test, it appeared that the catalytic performance declined. The decrease from a maximum of a 100 % of CO_2 conversion to 60 % conversion gave a lot of impact. From the XPS study it was found that throughout the stages of catalytic testing, the oxidation state of the individual phases changed from Ni²⁺ to Ni³⁺, and from mixture of Co²⁺ and Co^{3+} to completely Co^{2+} . This finding was backed up by XRD analysis that also confirmed the changing of oxidation state. The changing of oxidation state in metal in supported catalyst resulted in rapid deactivation of catalytic performance that shortened the life span of the catalyst. The performance of the catalyst also declined as it was exposed to H₂S.

ABSTRAK

Mangkin yang berasaskan nikel oksida telah lama digunakan sebagai bahan asas di dalam pelbagai kaedah pemangkinan. Para penyelidik masa kini sedang mencuba untuk mencari kaedah yang sesuai untuk meningkatkan keupayaan mangkin NiO utuk digunakan di sektor industri. Di dalam kajian ini, satu mangkin telah dihasilkan di mana ia berpotensi untuk digunakan dalam penyulingan gas asli;CO₂ akan bertukar kepada metana dengan bantuan mangkin. Kaedah cubajaya digunakan untuk mencari bahan pendop yang sesuai untuk mangkin NiO. Tujuh logam terpilih, M*; Mg, Zr, Mo, Mn, Fe, Co, dan Cu, dan bahan pendopan yang wajib, Pr dari unsur lantanida telah dimasukkan bersama mangkin NiO di dalam nisbah berat (Ni 60%: M* 30%: Pr 10%) dan (Ni 60%: M* 10%: Pr 30%). Semua mangkin yang telah disediakan telah dikeringkan selama sehari dan dikalsin selama 17 jam sebelum keupayaan menyingkirkan CO2 diuji menggunakan reaktor buatan sendiri. Selain daripada analisis pembelauan sinar X (XRD), dan spektroskopi elektron sinar X (XPS), analisis mikroskopi elektron (SEM), penyerapan gas nitrogen (NA), BET dan analisis infra merah (FTIR) juga telah dilakukan untuk memperkukuhkan lagi keputusan ujian. Ujian mendapati dengan penambahan Co dan Pr dapat meningkatkan keupayaan mangkin untuk menyingkirkan CO₂ sepenuhnya dan menghasilkan metana pada suhu terendah 330°C. Pengoptimaan nisbah logam telah dibuat dan diuji secara mangkin. Daripada pencirian mangkin terbaik, keputusan analisa XRD menunjukkan mangkin membentuk fasa individu NiO, Co_3O_4 dan PrO₂, manakala SEM mengesahkan pembentukan saiz zarah kecil yang sekata. Penyelidikan diteruskan dengan menggunakan bahan penyokong yang $(Al_2O_3).$ terpilih alumina penapis molekul (molecular sieve. $Na_{12}[(AlO_2)_{12}]5SiO_xH_2O)$ dan kordierit . $(2MgO-2Al_2O_3-5SiO_2).$ Kaedah penyerapan dan pengisitepuan digunakan untuk menyalut mangkin pada bahan penyokong. Pengenalpastian dilakukan untuk mencari keadaan optima bagi penyediaan mangkin; peratusan kandungan mangkin, masa celupan, nisbah logam dalam mangkin, suhu pengkalsinan, dan penambahan bahan ikatan. Keputusan menunjukkan bahan penyokong yang paling sesuai bagi mangkin Ni/Co/Pr adalah kordierit. daripada ujian pemangkinan, nisbah mangkin yang optima bagi mangkin berpenvokong adalah 60:35:5, dan peratusan kandungan mangkin yang paling baik adalah sebanyak 25 %. Suhu kalsin optima adalah suhu 400°C selama 17 jam, dan ia disokong oleh keputusan daripada XRD. Namun begitu apabila ujian penukaran CO₂ kepada metana dilanjutkan kepada 10 jam tanpa henti, keupayaan mangkin semakin menurun. Penurunan daripada 100 % penukaran CO₂ kepada 60 % telah memberi impak yang besar. Daripada analisa XPS, ia mendapati bahawa semasa peringkat ujian pemangkinan, nombor pengoksidaan bagi fasa individu telah berubah daripada Ni^{2+} kepada Ni^{3+} , dan daripada campuran Co^{2+} dan Co^{3+} , kepada Co^{2+} sepenuhnya. Perubahan ini juga dibuktikan daripada XRD yang mengesahkan perubahan nombor pengoksidaan. Perubahan nombor pengoksidaan logam pada mangkin berpenyokong telah menyebabkan penurunan mendadak kepada keupayaan mangkin dan memendekkan jangka hayatnya. Keupayaan mangkin menyingkirkan CO₂ juga menurun apabila ia didedahkan kepada H₂S.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xiv
	LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS	xxiii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	XXV
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Natural Gas	1
	1.2 Current natural gas purification system	4
	1.2.1 Wet Scrubber system	5
	1.2.2 Membrane separation system	6
	1.2.3 Solvent system	7
	1.2.4 Catalytic conversion system	8
	1.3 Problem statement	9
	1.4 Research objectives	9
	1.5 Scope of research	10
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	11
	2.1 Nickel based catalyst	11
	2.2 Dopants contribution towards the catalyst activity	14

	2.3 Me	tal oxide as promoters	15
	2.3.1	Catalysis by magnesium oxide	15
	2.3.2	Catalysis by zirconium oxide	17
	2.3.3	Catalysis by molybdenum oxide	19
	2.3.4	Catalysis by manganese oxide	21
	2.3.5	Catalysis by cobalt oxide	22
	2.3.6	Catalysis by iron oxide	25
	2.3.7	Catalysis by copper oxide	27
	2.4 Ad	dition of rare earth elements as dopants	29
	2.4.1	Catalysis by praseodymium oxide	30
	2.5 Sup	oported catalyst	31
	2.5.1	Different forms of support	33
	2.5	.1.1 Honeycomb monoliths	33
	2.5	.1.2 Bead catalysts	36
	2.5	.1.3 Molecular sieves	37
CHAPTER 3	EXPERI	MENTAL	40
	3.1 Sy	unthesis of the catalyst	40
	3.1.1	Preparation of unsupported catalyst	40
	3.1.2	Preparation of supported catalyst	42
	3.2 Ca	atalytic performance	45
	3.2.1	Experimental set-up	45
	3.2.2	Catalytic test	47
	3.2.3	Fourier Transformed Infra Red analysis (FTIR)	48
	3.3 C	haracterization of catalyst	49
	3.3.1	X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)	50
	3.3.2	X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS)	51
	3.3.3	Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	55
		and Energy Dispersive X-ray Analysis (EDX)	
	3.3.4	Nitrogen gas Adsorption (pore texture analysis)	56
	3.3.5	Single Point BET analysis	58
	3.3.6	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy	60
		(FTIR)	

CHAPTER 4	CATALY	TIC ACTIVITY, MEASUREMENTS AND	62
	CHARA	CTERIZATION OF UNSUPPORTED	
	CATALY	(ST	
	4.1 Cat	alytic activity measurements	62
	4.1.1	Addition of Mg ²⁺	64
	4.1.2	Addition of Zr ⁴⁺	65
	4.1.3	Addition of Mo ⁶⁺	66
	4.1.4	Addition of Mn ³⁺	68
	4.1.5	Addition of Co ²⁺	69
	4.1.6	Addition of Fe ³⁺	70
	4.1.7	Addition of Cu ²⁺	72
	4.1.8	Comparison of Ni/M*/Pr catalyst with the ratio	73
		of 6:10:30 and 6:30:10 (M=Mg, Zr, Mo, Mn,	
		Co, Fe, andCu)	
	4.1.9	Catalytic activity measurements of unsupported	74
		Ni/Co/Pr catalyst in various ratios of elemental	
		compositions	
	4.1.10	Comparison of unsupported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst	76
		with unsupported Ni/Pr catalyst	
	4.1.11	Life span of the unsupported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst	76
	4.2 Cha	aracterization	77
	4.2.1	X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD)	78
	4.2.	1.1 XRD analysis on Ni/Mg/Pr catalyst with	78
		different ratios	
	4.2.	1.2 XRD analysis on Ni/Fe/Pr catalyst with	80
		different ratios	
	4.2.	1.3 XRD analysis on Ni/Co/Pr catalyst with	81
		different ratios	
	4.2.	.1.4 XRD on Ni/Co/Pr(60:35:5) catalyst at	83
		different calcination temperatures	
	4.2.2	Scanning electron microscopy analysis (SEM)	85
	4.2.3	Energy Dispersive X-ray analysis(EDX)	86
	4.2.4	Nitrogen gas Adsorption analysis (NA)	87

	4.2.5	Single point BET analysis	90
	4.2.	5.1 Ni/M*/Pr with the ratio of $60:10:30$ (M =	90
		Mg, Zr, Mo, Mn, Co, Fe, and Cu)	
	4.2.:	5.2 Ni/M*/Pr with the ratio of $60:30:10$ (M =	91
		Mg, Zr, Mo, Mn, Co, Fe, and Cu)	
	4.2.:	5.3 Comparison of unsupported Ni/Co/Pr	93
		(60:35:5) with Ni/Pr (60:40) and Ni/Co	
		(60:40) catalyst	
	4.2.6	Fourier Transformed Infra-Red analysis (FTIR)	93
CHAPTER 5	CATALY	TIC ACTIVITY, MEASUREMENTS	96
	AND CH	ARACTERIZATION OF SUPPORTED	
	CATALY	ZST	
	5.1 Cat	alytic activity measurements	96
	5.1.1	Comparison of Ni/Co/Prcatalyst	97
		performance on various supports	
	5.1.2	Comparison of cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr	98
		catalyst with various catalyst elemental	
		ratios	
	5.1.3	Comparison of cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr	99
		catalyst with various catalyst loading	
		percentages	
	5.1.4	Comparison of cordierite supportedNi/Co/Pr	101
		catalyst with various calcination temperatures	
	5.1.5	Comparison of cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr	102
		catalyst with addition of washcoat	
	5.1.6	Comparison of Ni/Co/Pr (60:35:5) catalyst	103
		with Ni/Pr (60:40) catalyst	
	5.1.7	Life span of cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr	104
		catalyst	
	5.1.8	Poisoning of cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr	105
		catalyst	
	5.2 Cha	aracterization	106
	5.2.1	X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD)	106

5.2.1.1	Ni/Co/Pr (60:35:5) catalyst supported	107
	onto alumina bead	
5.2.1.2	Ni/Co/Pr (60:35:5) catalyst supported	108
	onto molecular sieve	
5.2.1.3	Ni/Co/Pr (60:35:5) catalyst supported	109
	onto cordierite	
5.2.1.4	Comparison of cordierite supported Ni/	112
	Pr catalyst (60:40) before and after the	
	catalytic test	
5.2.1.5	Comparison of Ni/Co/Pr catalyst with	113
	zirconium as binder before and after	
	the catalytic test	
5.2.2 X-r	ay Photoelectron Spectroscopy analysis	114
(XI	PS) on series of cordierite supported	
Ni/	Co/Pr catalyst at different stages of	
cata	alytic tests	
5.2.2.1	Surface composition of Ni (2p) in	115
	cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst	
	at different stages of catalytic tests	
5.2.2.2	Surface composition of Co (2p) in	118
	cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst	
	at different stages of catalytic tests	
5.2.2.3	Surface composition of Pr (2p) in	120
	cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst	
	at different stages of catalytic tests	
5.2.2.4	Surface composition of Mg (2p) in	120
	cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst	
	at different stages of catalytic tests	
5.2.2.5	Surface composition of Al (2p) in	123
	cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst	
	at different stages of catalytic tests	

5.2.2	2.6 Surface composition of Si (2p) in	125
	cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst	
	at different stages of catalytic tests	
5.2.2	2.7 Surface composition of O (1s) in	127
	cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst	
	at different stages of catalytic tests	
5.2.2	2.8 Catalyst composition and carbon	130
	contamination in cordierite supported	
	Ni/Co/Pr catalyst at different stages of	
	catalytic tests	
5.2.3	Scanning electron microscopy analysis	131
	(SEM)	
5.2.4	Energy Dispersive X-ray Analysis (EDX)	134
5.2.4	EDX of alumina bead supported	135
	Ni/Co/Pr catalyst with the ratio of	
	60:35:5	
5.2.4	EDX of molecular sieve supported	136
	Ni/Co/Pr catalyst with the ratio of	
	60:35:5	
5.2.4	EDX of cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr	137
	catalyst with the ratio of 60:35:5	
5.2.5	Nitrogen gas Adsorption analysis (NA)	138
5.2.6	Single Point BET analysis	141
5.2.0	6.1 Ni/Co/Pr (60:35:5) catalyst with	141
	different supports	
5.2.0	6.2 Comparison of cordierite supported	142
	Ni/Co/Pr (60:35:5) with Ni/Pr (60:40)	
	and Ni/Co (60:40) catalyst	
5.2.7	Fourier Transformed Infra-Red analysis (FTIR)	143
CONCLUS	SIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR	146
FURTHER	STUDY	
6.1 Con	clusions	146

CHAPTER 6

6.2	Suggestions for further study	148
REF	TERENCES	149
APP	ENDICES	
	APPENDIX A	174
	APPENDIX B	175
	APPENDIX C	178
	APPENDIX D	184

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
NO.		
1.1	Chemical composition in crude natural gas in weight	4
	percent	
3.1	Parameters for catalytic activity measurements	47
3.2	Spectroscopic notation used in XPS	52
3.3	Classification of infrared radiation	60
4.1	Positions of the characteristic infrared stretching bands for	63
	CO ₂ , CO, CH ₄ , and OH	
4.2	Average particle size calculated from SEM for unsupported	85
	Ni/Co/Pr catalyst in the ratio of 60:35:5	
4.3	Elemental composition of unsupported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst	86
	(60:35:5)	
4.4	Nitrogen gas adsorption analysis for unsupported Ni/M*/Pr	87
	catalyst in the ratio of $60:35:5$. (M = Mg, Fe, Co).	
4.5	Types of BET isotherms and porosity for unsupported	88
	Ni/M*/Pr catalyst. (M = Mg, Fe, and Co).	
4.6	Summary of type of hysteresis and shape of pores for	90
	unsupported Ni/M*/Pr catalyst. ($M = Mg$, Fe, and Co).	
4.7	Surface area of unsupported Ni, Ni/Pr (60:40), and	91
	Ni/M*/Pr catalyst (60:10:30) before and after catalytic test.	
	(M = Mg, Zr, Mo, Mn, Co, Fe, and Cu)	
4.8	Surface area of unsupported Ni, Ni/Pr (60:40), and	92
	Ni/M*/Pr catalyst (60:30:10) before and after catalytic test	
	(M = Mg, Zr, Mo, Mn, Co, Fe, and Cu)	

4.9	BET surface area of unsupported Ni/Co/Pr (60:35:5)	93
	catalyst with Ni/Pr (60:40) and Ni/Co (60:40) catalyst	
5.1	Parameters obtained by deconvolution of XPS spectra for	116
	Ni (2p) peaks in Ni/Co/Pr catalyst material	
5.2	Parameters obtained by deconvolution of XPS spectra for	118
	Co (2p) peaks in Ni/Co/Pr catalyst material	
5.3	Mass percentage obtained by deconvolution of XPS spectra	120
	for Pr (2p) peaks in Ni/Co/Pr catalyst material	
5.4	Parameters obtained by deconvolution of XPS spectra for	121
	Mg (2p) peaks in Ni/Co/Pr catalyst material	
5.5	Parameters obtained by deconvolution of XPS spectra for	123
	Al (2p) peaks in Ni/Co/Pr catalyst material	
5.6	Parameters obtained by deconvolution of XPS spectra for	125
	Si (2p) peaks in Ni/Co/Pr catalyst material	
5.7	Parameters obtained by deconvolution of XPS spectra for	128
	O (1s) peaks in Ni/Co/Pr catalyst material	
5.8	Mass percentage of the catalyst obtained by deconvolution	130
	of XPS spectra in Ni/Co/Pr catalyst material	
5.9	Mass percentage of carbon contamination by deconvolution	131
	of XPS spectra for C (2p) peaks in Ni/Co/Pr catalyst	
	material	
5.10	Average particle size calculated from SEM for Ni/Co/Pr	134
	catalyst in the ratio of 60:35:5 with various supports	
5.11	Elemental composition of alumina bead supported	135
	Ni/Co/Pr catalyst (60:35:5)	
5.12	Elemental composition of molecular sieve supported	137
	Ni/Co/Pr catalyst (60:35:5)	
5.13	Elemental composition of cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr	138
	catalyst (60:35:5)	
5.14	Nitrogen gas adsorption analysis for supported Ni/Co/Pr	139
	catalyst in the ratio of 60:35:5 with different supports	

5.15	Types of BET isotherms and porosity for supported	140
	Ni/Co/Pr (60:35:5) catalyst by various supports	
5.16	Summary of type of hysteresis and shape of pores for	141
	supported Ni/Co/Pr (60:35:5) catalyst by various supports	
5.17	BET Surface area of blank support (without catalyst), and	141
	supported catalyst before and after catalytic test	
5.18	BET surface area of cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr	143
	(60:35:5) catalyst with Ni/Pr (60:40) and Ni/Co (60:40)	
	catalyst	

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO). TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Natural Gas Consumption by Region 1970-2025	2
1.2	Diagram of complete scrubber process	6
1.3	Diagram of basic membrane separation	7
2.1	Potential interactions of CO ₂ with MgO surface sites	16
3.1	Steps in adsorption of the catalyst	43
3.2	Schematic diagram of home built micro reactor	46
3.3	Packing arrangements of component in the sample tube	47
3.4	Schematic diagram of KBr window cell in the alignment	49
	with the FTIR beam	
3.5	X-rays scattered by atoms in an ordered lattice interfere	50
	constructively in directions given by Braggs Law	
3.6	Photoemission and the Auger process. Left: an incident X-	52
	ray photon and a photoelectron emitted.	
3.7	Block diagram of a photoelectron spectrometer	54
3.8	IUPAC Classifications of Gas Adsorption Isotherms	57
3.9	IUPAC Classification of hysteresis	58
4.1	Representative of the FTIR spectra for the CO ₂ decreasing	63
	peak and formation of CH ₄ peak in the catalytic testing with	
	the increase of temperature	
4.2	Percentage of CO_2 removal (line chart) and CH_4 detected	64
	(bar chart) for Ni/Mg/Pr catalyst with the ratio of 60:10:30	
	and 60:30:10, and Ni/Mg 60:40 versus temperature from 25-	
	500 °C	

4.3	Percentage of CO ₂ removal (line chart) and CH ₄ detected	66
	(bar chart) for Ni/Zr/Pr catalyst with the ratio of 60:10:30	
	and 60:30:10, and Ni/Zr 60:40 versus temperature from 25-	
	500 °C	
4.4	Percentage of CO ₂ removal (line chart) and CH ₄ detected	67
	(bar chart) for Ni/Mo/Pr catalyst with the ratio of 60:10:30	
	and 60:30:10, and Ni/Mo 60:40 versus temperature from 25-	
	500 °C.	
4.5	Percentage of CO ₂ removal (line chart) and CH ₄ detected	69
	(bar chart) for Ni/Mn/Pr catalyst with the ratio of 60:10:30	
	and 60:30:10, and Ni/Mn 60:40 versus temperature from 25-	
	500 °C	
4.6	Percentage of CO ₂ removal (line chart) and CH ₄ detected	70
	(bar chart) for Ni/Co/Pr catalyst with the ratio of 60:10:30	
	and 60:30:10, and Ni/Co 60:40 versus temperature from 25-	
	500 °C.	
4.7	Percentage of CO ₂ removal (line chart) and CH ₄ detected	71
	(bar chart) for Ni/Fe/Pr catalyst with the ratio of 60:10:30	
	and 60:30:10, and Ni/Fe 60:40, versus temperature from 25-	
	500 °C	
4.8	500 °C Percentage of CO_2 removed (line chart) and CH_4 detected	72
4.8	500 °C Percentage of CO_2 removed (line chart) and CH_4 detected (bar chart) for Ni/Cu/Pr catalyst with the ratio of 60:10:30	72
4.8	500 °C Percentage of CO_2 removed (line chart) and CH_4 detected (bar chart) for Ni/Cu/Pr catalyst with the ratio of 60:10:30 and 60:30:10, and Ni/Cu 60:40, versus temperature from 25-	72
4.8	500 °C Percentage of CO_2 removed (line chart) and CH_4 detected (bar chart) for Ni/Cu/Pr catalyst with the ratio of 60:10:30 and 60:30:10, and Ni/Cu 60:40, versus temperature from 25- 500 °C.	72
4.8 4.9	500 °C Percentage of CO ₂ removed (line chart) and CH ₄ detected (bar chart) for Ni/Cu/Pr catalyst with the ratio of 60:10:30 and 60:30:10, and Ni/Cu 60:40, versus temperature from 25- 500 °C. Comparison of CO ₂ removal and CH ₄ yield for Ni/M*/Pr	72 74
4.8 4.9	 500 °C Percentage of CO₂ removed (line chart) and CH₄ detected (bar chart) for Ni/Cu/Pr catalyst with the ratio of 60:10:30 and 60:30:10, and Ni/Cu 60:40, versus temperature from 25-500 °C. Comparison of CO₂ removal and CH₄ yield for Ni/M*/Pr catalyst in the ratio of (a) 60:10:30 and (b) 60:30:10. 	72 74
4.8 4.9	 500 °C Percentage of CO₂ removed (line chart) and CH₄ detected (bar chart) for Ni/Cu/Pr catalyst with the ratio of 60:10:30 and 60:30:10, and Ni/Cu 60:40, versus temperature from 25-500 °C. Comparison of CO₂ removal and CH₄ yield for Ni/M*/Pr catalyst in the ratio of (a) 60:10:30 and (b) 60:30:10. (M=Mg, Zr, Mo, Mn, Co, Fe, and Cu) at the temperature of 	72 74
4.8 4.9	 500 °C Percentage of CO₂ removed (line chart) and CH₄ detected (bar chart) for Ni/Cu/Pr catalyst with the ratio of 60:10:30 and 60:30:10, and Ni/Cu 60:40, versus temperature from 25-500 °C. Comparison of CO₂ removal and CH₄ yield for Ni/M*/Pr catalyst in the ratio of (a) 60:10:30 and (b) 60:30:10. (M=Mg, Zr, Mo, Mn, Co, Fe, and Cu) at the temperature of 350 °C 	72 74
4.84.94.10	 500 °C Percentage of CO₂ removed (line chart) and CH₄ detected (bar chart) for Ni/Cu/Pr catalyst with the ratio of 60:10:30 and 60:30:10, and Ni/Cu 60:40, versus temperature from 25-500 °C. Comparison of CO₂ removal and CH₄ yield for Ni/M*/Pr catalyst in the ratio of (a) 60:10:30 and (b) 60:30:10. (M=Mg, Zr, Mo, Mn, Co, Fe, and Cu) at the temperature of 350 °C CO₂ elimination for Ni/Co/Pr catalyst with various ratios of 	72 74 75
4.84.94.10	 500 °C Percentage of CO₂ removed (line chart) and CH₄ detected (bar chart) for Ni/Cu/Pr catalyst with the ratio of 60:10:30 and 60:30:10, and Ni/Cu 60:40, versus temperature from 25-500 °C. Comparison of CO₂ removal and CH₄ yield for Ni/M*/Pr catalyst in the ratio of (a) 60:10:30 and (b) 60:30:10. (M=Mg, Zr, Mo, Mn, Co, Fe, and Cu) at the temperature of 350 °C CO₂ elimination for Ni/Co/Pr catalyst with various ratios of Co at the temperature in the range of 30 to 500°C. 	72 74 75
 4.8 4.9 4.10 4.11 	 500 °C Percentage of CO₂ removed (line chart) and CH₄ detected (bar chart) for Ni/Cu/Pr catalyst with the ratio of 60:10:30 and 60:30:10, and Ni/Cu 60:40, versus temperature from 25-500 °C. Comparison of CO₂ removal and CH₄ yield for Ni/M*/Pr catalyst in the ratio of (a) 60:10:30 and (b) 60:30:10. (M=Mg, Zr, Mo, Mn, Co, Fe, and Cu) at the temperature of 350 °C CO₂ elimination for Ni/Co/Pr catalyst with various ratios of Co at the temperature in the range of 30 to 500°C. CO₂ elimination for Ni/Co/Pr (60:35:5) and Ni/Pr (60:40) 	72 74 75 76

4.12	The catalytic activity of powdered Ni/Co/Pr catalyst versus	77
	number of catalytic testing	
4.13	Diffractogram of unsupported Ni/Mg/Pr with ratio of (a)	79
	60:10:30 and (b) 60:30:10	
4.14	Diffractogram of unsupported Ni/Fe/Pr with ratio of (a)	81
	60:10:30 and (b) 60:30:10	
4.15	Diffractogram of unsupported Ni/Co/Pr with ratio of (a)	82
	60:10:30 and (b) 60:30:10	
4.16	Diffractograms of unsupported Ni/Co/Pr ratio 60:35:5	84
	catalyst calcined at different temperatures; (a) 300°C, (b)	
	400°C, and (c) 800°C	
4.17	SEM micrographs of unsupported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst in the	85
	ratio of 60:35:5 (a) before and (b) after it was calcined at	
	400°C for 17 hours. (Magnification 1000x)	
4.18	EDX of unsupported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst with ratio of	86
	(60:35:5)	
4.19	BET isotherm plot of unsupported Ni/Mg/Pr catalyst in the	88
	ratio of 60:35:5	
4.20	BET isotherm plot of unsupported Ni/Fe/Pr catalyst in the	89
	ratio of 60:35:5.	
4.21	BET isotherm plot of unsupported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst in the	89
	ratio of 60:35:5	
4.22	Spectra of unsupported Ni/M*/Pr (M* = Mg, Mo, Zr, Mn,	94
	Co, Fe, Cu) in the ratio of 60:30:10 after calcined at 400°C	
	before catalytic testing	
4.23	Spectra of unsupported Ni/M*/Pr (M* = Mg, Mo, Zr, Mn,	95
	Co, Fe, Cu) in the ratio of 60:30:10 after calcined at 400°C	
	and after catalytic testing	
5.1	Catalytic activity of various supported Ni/Co/Pr catalysts	97
	with the ratio of 60:35:5	
5.2	Catalytic activity of cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr with	98
	various ratio of elemental composition (a) 60:35:5, (b)	
	50:45:5, (c) 47.5: 47.5: 5, and (d) 45:50:5	

5.3	Catalytic activity of cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr with	99
	various catalyst loading percentage of (a) 5%, (b) 25%, and	
	(c) 50%.	
5.4	Catalytic activity of cordierite supported catalyst with	101
	different duration of dipping time; (a) 0.25hours, (b) 1hour,	
	(c) 12 hours, (d) 24 hours, and (e) 48 hours	
5.5	Catalytic activity of cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr with	102
	various calcination temperatures (a) 400 °C, (b) 600 °C, and	
	(c) 800 °C for 10 hours	
5.6	Catalytic activity of cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr with	103
	addition of (a) magnesium, and (b) zirconium as binder	
	compared to cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst	
5.7	CO ₂ elimination for Ni/Co/Pr (60:35:5) and Ni/Pr (60:40)	104
	catalyst supported by cordierite from the temperature of 25	
	to 500°C.	
5.8	Catalytic activity of cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst	105
	versus number of catalytic tests	
5.9	Catalytic activity of cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst	106
	that has been exposed to (a) CO and (b) H_2S for 2 hours at	
	300 °C of temperature before the catalytic testing	
5.10	Diffractogram of alumina bead supported Ni/Co/Pr (60:35:5)	108
	catalyst	
5.11	Diffractogram of molecular sieve of supported Ni/Co/Pr	109
	(60:35:5) catalyst	
5.12	Diffractograms of cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst	111
	(60:35:5) at different stages of catalytic testing; (a) before	
	catalytic test, (b) after first catalytic test (intermediate), and	
	(c) after third catalytic test	
5.13	Diffractograms of cordierite supported Ni/Pr (60:40) catalyst	112
	(a) before, and (b) after testing	
5.14	Diffractograms of cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr (60:35:5)	113
	catalyst with Zr as binder (a) before, and (b) after testing	

5.15	XPS spectra of deconvoluted Ni (2p) for (a) unsupported	117
	Ni/Co/Pr catalyst, (b) cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst	
	before catalytic testing, (c) cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr	
	catalyst after first catalytic testing, and (d) cordierite	
	supported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst after third testing	
5.16	XPS spectra of deconvoluted Co (2p) for (a) unsupported	119
	Ni/Co/Pr catalyst, (b) cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst	
	before catalytic testing, (c) cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr	
	catalyst after first catalytic testing, and (d) cordierite	
	supported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst after third testing	
5.17	XPS spectra of deconvoluted Mg (2p) for (a) cordierite	122
	support without catalyst, (b) cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr	
	catalyst before catalytic testing, (c) cordierite supported	
	Ni/Co/Pr catalyst after first catalytic testing, and (d)	
	cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst after third testing	
5.18	XPS spectra of deconvoluted Al (2p) for (a) cordierite	124
	support without catalyst, (b) cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr	
	catalyst before catalytic testing, (c) cordierite supported	
	Ni/Co/Pr catalyst after first catalytic testing, and (d)	
	cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst after third testing	
5.19	XPS spectra of deconvoluted Si (2p) for (a) cordierite	126
	support without catalyst, (b) cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr	
	catalyst before catalytic testing, (c) cordierite supported	
	Ni/Co/Pr catalyst after first catalytic testing, and (d)	
	cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst after third testing	
5.20	XPS spectra of deconvoluted O (1s) for (a) cordierite support	129
	without catalyst, (b) cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst	
	before catalytic testing, (c) cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr	
	catalyst after first catalytic testing, and (d) cordierite	
	supported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst after third testing	
5.21	SEM micrographs of alumina bead supported Ni/Co/Pr	132
	catalyst in the ratio of 60:35:5 (a) before and (b) after it was	
	calcined at 400°C for 17 hours. (1000x and 5000x)	

5.22	SEM micrographs of molecular sieve supported Ni/Co/Pr				
	catalyst in the ratio of 60:35:5 (a) before and (b) after it was				
	calcined at 400°C for 17 hours. (Magnification 1000x and				
	5000x)				
5.23	SEM micrographs of cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst				
	in the ratio of 60:35:5 (a) before and (b) after it was calcined				
	at 400°C for 17 hours. (Magnification 1000x and 5000x)				
5.24	EDX of alumina bead supported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst (60:35:5)	135			
5.25	EDX of molecular sieve supported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst	136			
	(60:35:5)				
5.26	EDX of cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst (60:35:5)	137			
5.27	BET isotherm plot of alumina supported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst in	139			
	the ratio of 60:35:5				
5.28	BET isotherm plot of molecular sieve supported Ni/Co/Pr	140			
	catalyst in the ratio of 60:35:5				
5.29	BET isotherm plot of cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr catalyst	140			
	in the ratio of 60:35:5				
5.30	FTIR spectrum of alumina bead supported Ni/Co/Pr	144			
	(60:35:5) catalyst				
5.31	FTIR spectrum of molecular sieve supported Ni/Co/Pr	144			
	(60:35:5) catalyst				
5.32	FTIR spectrum of cordierite supported Ni/Co/Pr (60:35:5)	145			
	catalyst				

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

BET	-	Brunnauer, Emmett and Teller
Conv.	-	Conversion
$Cu\;K_{\alpha}$	-	X-ray diffraction from Copper K energy levels Rate of Conversion
		(percentage)
d	-	Pore diameter
DTA	-	Differential thermal analysis
EDX		Energy Dispersive X-Ray Analysis
EDF	-	Equilibrium deposition filtration
EIA	-	Energy Information Administration
FTIR	-	Fourier Transformed Infra Red
HC	-	Hydrocarbon
IR	-	Infra red
IUPAC	-	International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry
IWI	-	Incipient Wetness Impregnation
NA	-	Nitrogen gas adsorption
NG	-	Natural gas
NO _x	-	Nitrogen oxides
OSC	-	Oxygen Storage Capacity
P/P_o	-	Relative pressure; obtained by forming the ratio of the
		equilibrium pressure and vapour pressure P_o of the adsorbate at the
		temperature where the isotherm is measured
PDF	-	Powder Diffraction File
REO	-	Rare Earth Oxides
RT	-	Room Temperature
SEM	-	Scanning Electron Microscopy

SMSI	-	Strong Metal-Support Interaction		
TG	-	Thermogravimetry		
T_{LO}	-	Light-off Temperature		
T _{max}	-	Maximum Conversion Temperature		
TOF	-	Turnover frequency (repeated tests)		
TWC	-	Three-way Catalyst		
w/w %	-	Weight per weight percentage ratio.		
XPS	-	X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy		
XRD	-	X-ray Diffraction		
θ	-	Half Angle of Diffracted Beam		
λ	-	wavelength		
ΔE_{so}	-	Spinning orbital energy		

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
А	Calculation of atomic weight percentage ratio of	178
	element in catalyst preparation	
В	Calculation of the percentage for CO ₂ removal and	175
	CH ₄ yield from catalytic testing	
С	d-spacing values from XRD analysis of unsupported	178
	and supported catalyst	
D	Figures of supported catalyst with different metal	184
	loadings	

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Natural Gas

Natural gas is a fossil fuel that was found far below the earth's crust, much further than oil deposits, formed when organic matter (remains of plants and animals) was compressed at high pressure for a long time. It is also known as thermogenic methane. Akin to the formation of oil, the particulates were piled up with mud and other sediment on top of the other occasionally until the weight of the debris puts a great deal of pressure on the organic matter. Combining with the heat from underneath, the organic matter will breakdown and form lower carbon-carbon bonds. It may also have been formed by slow out gassing of methane from vast deep deposits dating back to the origin of our planet. The formation of methane depends on the heat, whereby the lower temperature will form oil deposits and the higher temperatures primarily form methane (Kiricsi and Guczi, 1999).

Natural gas is a colorless and odorless in its pure form. It is combustible and has been proven to be a renewable energy source as it gives a great deal of power upon consumption (Olah and Molnar, 2003). Natural gas is the fastest growing primary energy source in the *International Energy Outlook 2004* (EIA, 2004) forecast (EIA, 2004). Consumption of natural gas worldwide is projected to increase by an average of 2.2 percent annually from 2001 to 2025, which is increase by nearly 70 percent higher than energy consumed in 2001. The projected demand is definitely

higher compared with annual growth rates of 1.9 percent for oil consumption and 1.6 percent for coal. Furthermore, the most robust growth in natural gas demand is expected among the developing nations, including Malaysia, where overall demand is projected to increase by an average of 2.9 percent per year from 2001 to 2025. Most of that increase is expected to be for daily usage of electricity generation through pipeline or in the form of liquefied natural gas (LNG).

The world natural gas reserves have generally trended upward since the mid-1970s (Figure 1.1) until now and it was proven that the developing countries accounted for virtually all in the increase in proved reserves (EIA, 2004; Radler, 2003). In 2002, Indonesia and Malaysia were the largest natural gas producers in developing Asia, exporting 1108 and 741 billion cubic feet of natural gas, respectively, and accounted for about 70 % of Asia's gas trade, both by way of pipeline (small amounts to Singapore) and as LNG (to Japan, South Korea, Taiwan and United States) (EIA, 2003).



Figure 1.1 Natural Gas Consumption by Region, 1970-2025 (EIA, 2004)

Malaysia's proved gas reserves were estimated 75 million cubic feet (Radler, 2001). About 60 % of its marketed gas production is consumed domestically, threequarters of which is used for generating electricity. Malaysia is also the region's second largest LNG exporter, accounting for 14 % of total world trade in LNG in 2002. Malaysia's reserves are mainly in eastern Malaysia, which is Sarawak and Sabah (59 %) and the rest are at the offshore east coast of Peninsular Malaysia. The largest gas field is in Miri, Sarawak. It was followed by Kota Kinabalu, Sabah.

The country is seeking ways to increase its production of natural gas. Approximately 38 % of Malaysia's reserves are under Petronas Carigali Sdn. Bhd. (Muhamad, 2001). Malaysia also has offshore fields in the South China Sea, which have been developed by Exxon Mobil (EIA, 2004). The country is currently exporting 9.2 billion cubic feet of natural gas per year to Singapore via pipeline. The Malaysia-Thailand Joint Development Authority is a join-venture program by the two countries and now is being explored by Petronas and the Petroleum Authority of Thailand (PTT) as well as Amerada Hess and BP. Its purpose is to build a pipeline linked to a gas-fired electricity generation plant in Thailand near the Thailand's and Malaysia's boundaries, with plans for a future gas pipeline to Malaysia. Malaysia has also begun imports of Indonesian gas from Natuna offshore field through a connection to Malaysia's Duyong field pipeline (EIA, 2004) in order to position itself as Southeast Asia's gas hub.

In view of the expected growth in the demand for natural gas increasing attention is being devoted by the gas industry to upgrade of low quality of natural gas. Table 1.1 (Kiricsi and Guczi, 1999) showed the chemical composition of Malaysian raw untreated natural gas. It is primarily consists of low density hydrocarbons (methane, ethane, propane), and impurities (CO₂, H₂S, O₂, N₂ etc.)

From the comparison of the location, it is clearly stated that Malaysian raw natural gas consists of more of the impurities; sour gas and flue gas than any other countries stated above. These impurities will lower the price of natural gas in the worldwide market and will also cause trouble for distributing them.

Location	CH ₄	C_2H_6	C_3H_8	C_4H_{10}	Others (CO ₂ , H_2S , O_2 , N_2)
United States	89.5	5.1	0.7	0.5	4.2
Algeria	86.9	9.0	2.6	1.2	0.3
Iran	74.9	13.0	7.2	3.1	1.8
North Sea	90.8	6.1	0.7	0.1	2.3
Malaysia	70.0	13.0	6.0	1.0	10.0

Table 1.1: Chemical composition in crude natural gas in weight percent (w/w %) (Olah and Molnar, 2003; Minerals and Geoscience Department of Malaysia, 2001)

1.2 Current natural gas purification system

The major problem of having impurities in natural gas is not only it will lower the quality of natural gas, it will also affect worldwide price. High cost is needed in all the stages of providing customers with natural gas that met with US pipeline specification, which is that the natural gas must contain not more than 2 w/w% CO₂ and 4 ppm H₂S before being delivered to customers (Echterhoff and McKee, 1991). With steel pipelines as medium of transportation that easily can rust and corrode by carbonic acid from sour gas (Ertesva *et al.*, 2005; Zou *et al.*, 2005), and very long distance is involved, whereby pipelines in South East Asia are over 4000 km in length, creating complications in the computation of costs to frequently replace with new pipelines (Wybrew-Bond and Stern, 2002). That is why in view of expected growth in the demand for natural gas, increasing attention is being devoted by the gas industry to the upgrading of low-quality natural gas.

A variety of conventional separation methods are presently being used to remove the "acid gasses", CO_2 and H_2S from crude natural gas (Abdellah *et al.*, 2003; Pei *et al.*, 2004; Vu *et al.*, 2003; Taulbee *et al.*, 1996; Hao *et al.*, 2002). These methods include gas adsorption on solid sorbents, absorption in liquid solvents, and chemical conversion.

1.2.1 Wet Scrubber system (WGS)

One of the suggestions was the use of limestone-based sorbents which is a flue gas desulphurization unit, for the removal of CO₂ from multi-component gas streams (Taulbee *et al.*, 1996). Wet scrubbers capture sulfur in flue gas to form both hydrated and slurry mixture of Ca, Ca(OH)₂ and CaSO₄. It was also been used as biotrickling filters for H₂S control in the environment (Gabriel *et al.*, 2004). An overview of the Wet Gas Scrubber system (WGS) used by one of the Malaysian NG company is in Figure 1.2 (Morris, 2002);

- The small, on-site WGS washes the flue gas removing both particulate and sulfur oxides.
- The cleaned flue gas is then exhausted to the atmosphere with residual particulate and sulphur oxides.
- Other streams entering the Wet Gas Scrubber are water and an alkali.
- A separate liquid purge stream leaves the WGS for further treatment.
- The purge stream, which contains the particulate and sulfur oxides removed from the flue gas, may either be treated in the refinery's existing wastewater treatment system or may be treated in a dedicated PTU (Purge Treatment Unit).
- The discharge from the PTU consists of earth moist solids suitable for sanitary landfill disposal and a benign liquid.

The advantages of using this method are it provides efficient chemical usage and it is also stable in low pH conditions. It does not promote scale and corrosion in the system and operates at low temperatures. However, the waste product exhibits a strong affinity for CO_2 , whereby it was reacted with unsulfated Ca to form CaCO₃. Although it is able to absorb CO_2 , a significant amount of waste is formed with the absorption. Furthermore, a separate disposal treatment has to be developed in order to treat the waste.



Figure 1.2 Diagram of complete scrubber process (Morris, 2002)

1.2.2 Membrane separation system

Membrane separation processes have also emerged as an alternative and offered a number of important advantages for the upgrading of crude natural gas (Abdellah *et al.*, 2003). Membrane separation involves partially separating a feed containing a mixture of two or more components by use of a semi permeable barrier (the membrane) through which one or more of the species moves faster than another or other species. As shown in Figure 1.3, the basic process of the membrane separation involves a feed mixture separated into a *retentate* (part of the feed that does not pass through the membrane, i.e., is retained) and a *permeate* (part of the feed that passes through the membrane). It was found to economically reduce the concentrations of CO_2 and H_2S whereby it require polymer membranes that exhibit high CO_2/CH_4 or H_2S/CH_4 selectivity, or both types of membranes.



Figure 1.3 Diagram of basic membrane separation (Ali *et al.*, 2000)

Hence the issue not considered in this study is the environmental impact of the permeate product streams. These streams will contain substantial amounts of H_2S and cannot be discharged to the atmosphere. For this reason and also because of economic considerations the permeate streams will have to be treated by a suitable sulphur recovery process. The nature of this process will depend on the composition and flow rates of the permeate streams (Hao *et al.*, 2002).

1.2.3 Solvent system

Traditionally the preferred acid component removal routes were based on various amine-based or mixed solvent processes for relevant sulphur component removal, followed with drying of the gas by molecular sieves. Alternately, amine processes have been selected which did not remove the mercaptants, the latter being achieved by the molecular sieves or a physical solvent processes. These techniques have been adapted by oil and gas companies that are treating their feed gas which contains CO_2 , H_2S and other organic sulphur components (Van der Graaf and Klinkenbijl, 2003).

The patented research by Triplett and Brauer (1970) has included the purification of petroleum along with natural gas. The process provides methods for dissolution of the petroleum products followed by displacement through the subterranean formation from one or more injection wells to one or more production wells. The process provides for downhole heating of the liquid solvent in each injection well at the time the liquid solvent flows into the formation from the injection well. The liquid solvent is heated through the use of heat generated by radioactive waste material in general.

Processes such as this are mainly to be used when the petroleum is of low gravity and/or the formation is of low porosity, so that the petroleum has poor flow characteristics through the formation. Since the solvent dissolves the petroleum, and both the solvent and the solvent-petroleum solution have relatively low gravities and viscosities, flow through the formation is improved. But the problem is that another treatment has to be conducted in order to separate the solvent from the petroleum.

1.2.4 Catalytic conversion system

Then the researchers turn to the most promising alternative catalytic conversion. The research interest in the catalytic reforming of CO_2 to methane has been increasing. The hydrogenation of CO_2 to methane is potentially an important reaction. The reaction of CO hydrogenation into methane is a particularly favorable example for applying these techniques since it combines fast steps such as H₂ and CO activation (Agnelli *et al.*, 1998), affordable system as only the catalyst has to be maintained and it possibly can be recycled, and environmental friendly as it does not permeate any toxic gasses during reaction into the air.

The basic reaction of methanation can be considered either as a target reaction for producing methane for further chemical use or for burning as an auxiliary heat source or as a detrimental route when higher hydrocarbons and/or oxygenates are targeted such as those for the Fischer-Tropsch process or for methanol or higher alcohols syntheses (Agnelli and Mirodatos, 2000).

Methanation can be accomplished by applying heterogeneous metal oxide catalysts. Due to the high activity of rhodium and ruthenium catalysts most of the recent investigation for methanation of CO_2 have been carried out using supported Rh and Ru catalyst (Kudo and Komatsu, 1999a; 1999b). Besides commonly used

supported metal catalysts, amorphous alloys have attracted great interest as catalyst and catalyst precursors (Yamasaki *et al.*, 1997; Habazaki *et al.*, 1998). Ni is also well-known catalyst that is suitable for hydrogenation and popular reported for its low price (Aksoylu and Onsan, 1997; Yamasaki *et al.*, 1997). It possesses high activity and selectivity for CO_2 elimination, while coke deposition, which deactivates Ni catalyst and blocks the reactor, is the main problem. A lot of promoters have been reported for Ni catalyst to depress coke deposition, increase catalytic activity, and also to lower the elimination temperature so that all the CO_2 will be removed at lowest temperature possible.

1.3 Problem statement

Natural gas, typically methane, is frequently contaminated with moisture, nitrogen gas, carbon dioxide and other hydrocarbons. At some point in the extraction, transportation or treatment stages, it is important that these impurities and extraneous hydrocarbon molecules be removed or separated. Nickel based catalysts are generally considered as reference methanation catalysts, able to work efficiently in the temperature and pressure ranges of 400 to 500°C and 1000–7000 kPa (Agnelli and Mirodatos, 2000). Deactivation processes remain, however, as the main drawback encountered for this reaction. The present work was devoted to study a suitable promoter needed towards finding an affordable novel catalyst for CO_2 elimination that is high in activity, stability and selectivity. The nickel based catalyst was doped with foreign metal oxide and added with Pr. The best promoted nickel catalyst was then supported on various supports to obtain the most fitting supported catalyst towards CO_2 methanation.

1.4. Research objectives

The objectives of this research are as follows;

- 1. To convert CO_2 to methane using catalytic conversion of CO_2 to fuel gas.
- 2. To observe the effect of different mixtures of metal oxides in different ratios towards CO₂ removal.
- 3. To modify the catalyst to enhance the capability in catalytic activity, selectivity and durability using affordable metals to lower the cost.
- 4. To study the suitability and potential of mixed oxide catalyst onto different forms of support for commercialization.

1.5 Scope of research

In this research, Ni/Pr catalyst was added with selected foreign metals, M^* ($M^* = Mg$, Mo, Zr, Mn, Fe, Co, Cu), in different ratios to compose a mixed metal oxide catalyst, Ni/M/Pr. The catalyst was tested in the hope to remove CO₂ with the presence of H₂ resulting in CO₂ hydrogenation. The best unsupported catalyst was then supported on various supports to determine its compatibility between the catalyst and support and to find out its physical changes as it was put through catalytic testing. The reducibility and characteristics of the Ni based catalysts were tested by means of FTIR for catalytic activity. XRD analysis was carried out to determined phase or structural changes and it was complimented by XPS analysis that determined the oxidation state. Meanwhile, SEM, nitrogen adsorption and single point BET analysis were carried out to verify the changes in surface area and pore size of the catalyst.