

COMPUTATIONAL STUDY OF PROTON TRANSFER IN RESTRICTED  
SULFONIC ACID FOR PROTON EXCHANGE MEMBRANE FUEL CELL

SITI NADIAH BINTI MD AJEMAN

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Science (Chemistry)

Faculty of Science  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2014

## **DEDICATION**

To my beloved mom and dad

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I thank Allah S.W.T. for giving me opportunity to embark on my Master degree and for completing this long and challenging journey. My gratitude goes to my beloved supervisor, Dr. Hasmerya Maarof, who had guide me all the way and given me full support and all the guidance as well as the advices while completing this project. My appreciation for her time and patience would not be able to express in words.

Special thanks to my seniors and our research group members who have been there to help and guide me in the progress of completing this project report. They had given me worthy advices and suggestions for my research and have provided assistance at various occasions. Their tips and advises are very useful indeed.

Last but not least, I would like to express my token of appreciation to my beloved supportive family especially my parents. The supports and encouragement given during this duration of final year project was so much motivating. This piece of victory is dedicated to both of you. Alhamdulillah.

## ABSTRACT

Interest in the use of fuel cell as highly efficient, clean energy conversion device has been rapidly increasing over the past twenty years. Currently, proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFC) are regarded as the paramount type of fuel cell due to their wide range of applicability. Perfluorosulfonic acid (PFSA) ionomer Nafion<sup>®</sup> by DuPont remains the typical membrane in PEMFC under development today, despite well recognized drawbacks which include limitations in thermal stability. Recent studies have found that although the polymer-zeolite composite membranes have lower value of proton conductivity than Nafion<sup>®</sup>, polymer-zeolite composites show a more stable performance at high temperature. In this study, the proton transfer mechanism of zeolite functionalized sulfonic acid with water molecules is investigated using density functional theory (DFT) calculation at PM3/ONIOM(B3LYP/6-311G(d,p):PM3) level of theory. The systems were constructively built up by modifying the crystal structure of Linde Type A (LTA) zeolite functionalized sulfonic acid side chains, by varying the degree of separation of sulfonic acid side chains (2T, 3T and 4T) as well as the alkyl chain length ( $n=3, 5, 7$ ) in order to study the effect of proton transfer at different distance and different chain length. Extensive searches for minimum energy conformations from 1 to 6 explicit water molecules revealed that 2T distance gives the best results for propyl sulfonic acid side chain, meanwhile 4T distance gives the best result for pentyl and heptyl sulfonic acid side chains, indicated by the minimum water molecules required to initiate second proton dissociation. The results have shown several agreements with previous *ab initio* calculation regarding polymeric fragments where partial dissociation of the protons in the fragments occurs at water contents of less than 3 H<sub>2</sub>O/SO<sub>3</sub>H. Furthermore, we found that water distributions that facilitate a higher degree of dissociation and separation of the protons are important factors in stabilizing the fragments.

## ABSTRAK

Kepentingan dalam penggunaan sel bahan api sebagai peranti penukaran tenaga yang sangat cekap dan bersih telah semakin meningkat dalam tempoh dua puluh tahun yang lalu. Pada masa ini, membran pertukaran proton sel bahan api (PEMFC) dianggap sebagai jenis yang paling utama kerana penggunaannya yang meluas. Ionomer asid perfluorosulfonik (PFSA) Nafion<sup>®</sup> oleh DuPont digunakan sebagai membran biasa dalam PEMFC hingga ke hari ini dan masih dalam penambahbaikan, walaupun terdapat kelemahan, termasuklah kurang kestabilan terma. Kajian terbaru mendapati bahawa walaupun membran komposit polimer-zeolit mempunyai nilai kekonduksian proton yang lebih rendah daripada Nafion<sup>®</sup>, komposit polimer-zeolit menunjukkan prestasi yang lebih stabil pada suhu tinggi. Dalam kajian ini, mekanisme pemindahan proton zeolit asid sulfonik dengan molekul air disiasat menggunakan pengiraan teori ketumpatan berfungsi (DFT) pada tahap PM3/ONIOM(B3LYP/6-311G(d,p):PM3). Sistem telah disimulasikan dengan memodifikasi struktur kristal zeolit Linde Type A (LTA) dengan kumpulan berfungsi asid sulfonik, dengan mengubah jarak antara rantaian sisi asid sulfonik (2T, 3T dan 4T) dan panjang rantai alkil ( $n=3, 5, 7$ ) untuk mengkaji kesan pemindahan proton pada jarak dan panjang rantaian yang berbeza. Kajian struktur tenaga minimum pada 1-6 molekul air, jelas menunjukkan bahawa jarak 2T memberikan hasil yang terbaik untuk rantaian propil asid sulfonik manakala jarak 4T memberikan hasil yang terbaik untuk rantaian pentil dan heptil asid sulfonik, di mana minimum molekul air diperlukan untuk memulakan penceraian proton kedua. Hasil kajian mendapati beberapa persamaan dengan pengiraan *ab initio* pada kajian sebelum ini terhadap struktur polimer di mana penceraian separa proton dalam sistem berlaku pada kandungan air kurang daripada 3 H<sub>2</sub>O/SO<sub>3</sub>H. Tambahan pula, kami mendapati bahawa pengagihan air yang memudahkan tahap penceraian dan pemisahan proton yang lebih tinggi adalah faktor penting dalam menstabilkan sistem.



2.3.1	Proton Mobility in Zeolite	15
2.4	Computational Chemistry	16
2.4.1	Quantum Mechanics (QM)	18
2.4.1.1	Schrödinger Equation	18
2.4.2	<i>Ab Initio</i> Methods	21
2.4.3	Density Functional Theory (DFT)	21
2.4.4	Semi Empirical Method Basis Set	23
2.4.4.1	Parametric Method Number 3 (PM3)	23
2.4.5	Molecular Mechanics (MM)	25
2.4.6	ONIOM Method of Calculation	26
2.4.7	Basis Set	28
<b>3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>30</b>
3.1	Building up the Systems	30
3.2	Optimization of Structures	32
3.3	Analysis of the Results	33
3.4	Flow Chart	34
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>35</b>
4.1	Method Development	35
4.2	Method Determination	40
4.3	Hydrated Unrestricted Sulfonic Acid Fragments	43
4.3.1	Unrestricted Propyl Sulfonic Acid Fragments	43
4.3.2	Unrestricted Pentyl Sulfonic Acid Fragments	50
4.3.3	Unrestricted Heptyl Sulfonic Acid Fragments	55
4.4	“Dry” fragments of restricted two sulfonic acid side chains at different distance on T8 site of zeolite	61
4.4.1	“Dry” fragments of restricted two propyl sulfonic acid side chains at 2T, 3T and 4T distances	62
4.4.2	“Dry” fragments of restricted two pentyl sulfonic acid side chain at 2T, 3T and 4T distances	64
4.4.3	“Dry” fragment of restricted two heptyl	65

	sulfonic acid side chain at 2T, 3T and 4T distances	
4.5	Hydrated fragments of restricted two sulfonic acid side chains at different distance on T8 site of zeolite	67
4.5.1	Restricted propyl sulfonic acid fragments at 2T, 3T and 4T distances	68
4.5.2	Restricted pentyl sulfonic acid fragments at 2T, 3T and 4T distances	74
4.5.3	Restricted heptyl sulfonic acid fragments at 2T, 3T and 4T distances	81
4.5.4	Conclusion	88
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</b>	<b>90</b>
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>93</b>



## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
4.1	Structural parameters of $\text{Si(OH)}_2(\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{SO}_3\text{H})\text{OAl(OH)}_2\text{OSi(OH)}_2(\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{SO}_3$ H) fragments.	38
4.2	Total energies and computational cost of optimized $\text{Si(OH)}_2(\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{SO}_3\text{H})\text{OAl(OH)}_2\text{OSi(OH)}_2(\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{SO}_3$ H) fragments.	39
4.3	Structural parameters of $\text{Si(OH)}_2(\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_5\text{SO}_3\text{H})\text{OAl(OH)}_2\text{OSi(OH)}_2(\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{SO}_3$ H) and $\text{Si(OH)}_2(\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{SO}_3\text{H})\text{OAl(OH)}_2\text{OSi(OH)}_2(\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{SO}_3$ H) fragments.	41
4.4	Total energies of $\text{Si(OH)}_2(\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_5\text{SO}_3\text{H})\text{OAl(OH)}_2\text{OSi(OH)}_2(\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{SO}_3$ H) and $\text{Si(OH)}_2(\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{SO}_3\text{H})\text{OAl(OH)}_2\text{OSi(OH)}_2(\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{SO}_3$ H) fragments.	41
4.5	Binding energies of two propyl sulfonic acid side chains fragments.	44
4.6	Structural data from optimized hydrated two propyl sulfonic acid fragments.	44
4.7	Binding energies of two pentyl sulfonic acid side chains fragments.	50
4.8	Structural data from optimized two pentyl sulfonic acid fragments.	50

4.9	Binding energies of two heptyl sulfonic acid side chains fragment.	56
4.10	Structural data from optimized two heptyl sulfonic acid fragments.	56
4.11	Total energies of two restricted two propyl, pentyl and heptyl sulfonic acid side chains fragments attached at three different distances on T8 sites of zeolite.	62
4.12	Structural parameters of “dry” fragments of restricted two propyl sulfonic acid side chains at 2T, 3T and 4T distances.	62
4.13	Structural parameters of “dry” fragments of restricted two pentyl sulfonic acid side chains at 2T, 3T and 4T distances.	64
4.14	Structural parameters of “dry” fragments of restricted two heptyl sulfonic acid side chains at 2T, 3T and 4T distances.	66
4.15	Binding energies of optimized restricted two propyl sulfonic acid side chains fragments at 2T, 3T and 4T distances.	68
4.16	Structural data from optimized two propyl sulfonic acid fragments at 2T distance.	68
4.17	Structural data from optimized two propyl sulfonic acid fragments at 3T distance.	70
4.18	Structural data from optimized two propyl sulfonic acid fragments at 4T distance.	72
4.19	Binding energies of optimized restricted two pentyl sulfonic acid side chains fragments at 2T, 3T and 4T distances.	74
4.20	Structural data from optimized two pentyl sulfonic acid fragments at 2T distance.	75
4.21	Structural data from optimized two pentyl sulfonic acid fragments at 3T distance.	77

4.22	Structural data from optimized two pentyl sulfonic acid fragments at 4T distance.	79
4.23	Binding energies of optimized restricted two heptyl sulfonic acid side chains fragments at 2T, 3T and 4T distances.	81
4.24	Structural data from optimized two heptyl sulfonic acid fragments at 2T distance.	81
4.25	Structural data from optimized two heptyl sulfonic acid fragments at 3T distance.	84
4.26	Structural data from optimized two heptyl sulfonic acid fragments at 4T distance.	86

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Schematic design of PEMFC [5].	6
2.2	Classification of membranes based on materials (perfluorinated, partially fluorinated and non-fluorinated) and preparation method (acid-base blends and others) [15].	7
2.3	Nafion membrane and its preparation scheme [17].	8
2.4	Chemical structure of polymer electrolyte membranes based on hydrocarbon polymers [21].	10
2.5	Structure of basic polymers (a-d) and acidic polymers (e-f) [15].	11
2.6	The simple scheme of proton hopping mechanism [21].	12
2.7	The schematic design of the vehicular mechanism as proton conduction in polymer/nano-particle composite membranes [29].	13
2.8	The replacement of $\text{Si}^{4+}$ by $\text{Al}^{3+}$ in tetrahedron yields a negative charge [30].	14
2.9	The building steps of zeolite framework [31].	15
2.10	The ONIOM method. (A) The onion skin-like layers and models. (B) The two-layer ONIOM method. (C) Three-layer ONIOM method [46].	27
3.1	Double propyl sulfonic acid were attached to PM3 optimized $(\text{Si}(\text{OH})_3\text{OAl}(\text{OH})_2\text{OSi}(\text{OH})_3)$ backbone. The colored spheres represent: red - oxygen, blue - silicon, yellow - sulphur, grey - carbon, white -	31

	hydrogen, green - aluminium (applied for all structures).	
3.2	Double propyl sulfonic acid side chains were attached to (a) 2T; (b) 3T and (c) 4T distance. The low level atoms are indicated by wire frame atoms, high level atoms are shown as spheres (applied for all ONIOM structures).	32
3.3	Flow chart of research activities.	34
4.1	Fully optimized (PM3) structure of $\text{Si(OH)}_2(\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{SO}_3\text{H})\text{OAl(OH)}_2\text{OSi(OH)}_2(\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{SO}_3\text{H})$ fragment.	36
4.2	Fully optimized ONIOM (B3LYP/6-311G(d,p):PM3) structure of $\text{Si(OH)}_2(\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{SO}_3\text{H})\text{OAl(OH)}_2\text{OSi(OH)}_2(\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{SO}_3\text{H})$ fragment.	36
4.3	Fully optimized PM3/ONIOM(B3LYP/6-311G(d,p):PM3) structure of $\text{Si(OH)}_2(\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{SO}_3\text{H})\text{OAl(OH)}_2\text{OSi(OH)}_2(\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{SO}_3\text{H})$ fragment.	37
4.4	Fully optimized (B3LYP/6-311G(d,p)) structure of $\text{Si(OH)}_2(\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{SO}_3\text{H})\text{OAl(OH)}_2\text{OSi(OH)}_2(\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{SO}_3\text{H})$ fragment.	37
4.5	Fully optimized (PM3/B3LYP/6-311G(d,p)) structure of $\text{Si(OH)}_2(\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{SO}_3\text{H})\text{OAl(OH)}_2\text{OSi(OH)}_2(\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{SO}_3\text{H})$ fragment.	38
4.6	Fully optimized structures of $\text{Si(OH)}_2(\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_5\text{SO}_3\text{H})\text{OAl(OH)}_2\text{OSi(OH)}_2(\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{SO}_3\text{H})$ complexes for <b>(a)</b> ONIOM (B3LYP/6-311G(d,p):PM3) level of theory; <b>(b)</b> PM3/ONIOM (B3LYP/6-311G(d,p):PM3) level of theory.	42
4.7	Fully optimized structures of $\text{Si(OH)}_2(\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_7\text{SO}_3\text{H})\text{OAl(OH)}_2\text{OSi(OH)}_2(\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{SO}_3\text{H})$ complexes for <b>(a)</b>	42

	ONIOM (B3LYP/6-311G(d,p):PM3) level of theory; <b>(b)</b> PM3/ONIOM (B3LYP/6-311G(d,p):PM3) level of theory.	
4.8	Optimized PM3/ONIOM(B3LYP/6-311G(d,p):PM3) of two propyl sulfonic acid side chains upon addition of one water molecule. Hydrogen bonds are shown by dashed line and hydrogen bond distances presented are in Å (applied for all optimized structures).	45
4.9	Optimized PM3/ONIOM(B3LYP/6-311G(d,p):PM3) of two propyl sulfonic acid side chains upon addition of two water molecules.	45
4.10	Optimized PM3/ONIOM(B3LYP/6-311G(d,p):PM3) of two propyl sulfonic acid side chains upon addition of three water molecules.	46
4.11	Optimized PM3/ONIOM(B3LYP/6-311G(d,p):PM3) of two propyl sulfonic acid side chains upon addition of four water molecules.	47
4.12	Optimized PM3/ONIOM(B3LYP/6-311G(d,p):PM3) of two propyl sulfonic acid side chains upon addition of five water molecules.	48
4.13	Optimized PM3/ONIOM(B3LYP/6-311G(d,p):PM3) of two propyl sulfonic acid side chains upon addition of six water molecules.	49
4.14	Optimized PM3/ONIOM(B3LYP/6-311G(d,p):PM3) of two pentyl sulfonic acid side chains upon addition of one water molecule.	51
4.15	Optimized PM3/ONIOM(B3LYP/6-311G(d,p):PM3) of two pentyl sulfonic acid side chains upon addition of two water molecules.	52
4.16	Optimized PM3/ONIOM(B3LYP/6-311G(d,p):PM3) of two pentyl sulfonic acid side chains upon addition of three water molecules.	53

4.17	Optimized PM3/ONIOM(B3LYP/6-311G(d,p):PM3) of two pentyl sulfonic acid side chains upon addition of four water molecules.	53
4.18	Optimized PM3/ONIOM(B3LYP/6-311G(d,p):PM3) of two pentyl sulfonic acid side chains upon addition of five water molecules.	54
4.19	Optimized PM3/ONIOM(B3LYP/6-311G(d,p):PM3) of two pentyl sulfonic acid side chains upon addition of six water molecules.	55
4.20	Optimized PM3/ONIOM(B3LYP/6-311G(d,p):PM3) of two heptyl sulfonic acid side chains fragment upon addition of one water molecule.	57
4.21	Optimized PM3/ONIOM(B3LYP/6-311G(d,p):PM3) of two heptyl sulfonic acid side chains fragment upon addition of two water molecules.	57
4.22	Optimized PM3/ONIOM(B3LYP/6-311G(d,p):PM3) of two heptyl sulfonic acid side chains fragment upon addition of three water molecules.	58
4.23	Optimized PM3/ONIOM(B3LYP/6-311G(d,p):PM3) of two heptyl sulfonic acid side chains fragment upon addition of four water molecules.	59
4.24	Optimized PM3/B3LYP/6-311G(d,p): PM3 of two heptyl sulfonic acid side chains fragment upon addition of five water molecules.	60
4.25	Optimized PM3/ONIOM(B3LYP/6-311G(d,p):PM3) of two heptyl sulfonic acid side chains fragment upon addition of six water molecules.	61
4.26	Optimized PM3/ONIOM(B3LYP/6-311G(d,p):PM3) of restricted two propyl sulfonic acid fragment at: (a) 2T distance, (b) 3T distance and (c) 4T distance on T8 site of zeolite.	63
4.27	Optimized PM3/ONIOM(B3LYP/6-311G(d,p):PM3)	65

- of restricted two pentyl sulfonic acid fragment at: (a) 2T distance, (b) 3T distance and (c) 4T distance on T8 site of zeolite.
- 4.28 Optimized PM3/ONIOM(B3LYP/6-311G(d,p):PM3) of restricted two heptyl sulfonic acid fragment at: (a) 2T distance, (b) 3T distance and (c) 4T distance on T8 site of zeolite. 67
- 4.29 Fully optimized propyl sulfonic acid fragments at 2T distance after addition of: (a) one water molecule; (b) two water molecules; (c) three water molecules. 69
- 4.30 Fully optimized propyl sulfonic acid fragments at 3T distance after addition of: (a) one water molecule; (b) two water molecules; (c) three water molecules. 71
- 4.31 Fully optimized propyl sulfonic acid fragments at 4T distance after addition of: (a) one water molecule; (b) two water molecules; (c) three water molecules; (d) four water molecules; (e) five water molecules; (f) six water molecules. 73
- 4.32 Fully optimized pentyl sulfonic acid fragments at 2T distance after addition of: (a) one water molecule; (b) two water molecules; (c) three water molecules; (d) four water molecules; (e) five water molecules. 76
- 4.33 Fully optimized pentyl sulfonic acid fragments at 3T distance after addition of: (a) one water molecule; (b) two water molecules; (c) three water molecules; (d) four water molecules. 78
- 4.34 Fully optimized pentyl sulfonic acid fragments at 4T distance after addition of: (a) one water molecule; (b) two water molecules; (c) three water molecules. 80
- 4.35 Fully optimized heptyl sulfonic acid fragments at 2T distance after addition of: (a) one water molecule; (b) two water molecules; (c) three water molecules; (d) 82



- four water molecules; (e) five water molecules.
- 4.36 Fully optimized heptyl sulfonic acid fragments at 3T distance after addition of: (a) one water molecule; (b) two water molecules; (c) three water molecules; (d) four water molecules; (e) five water molecules; (f) six water molecules. 85
- 4.37 Fully optimized heptyl sulfonic acid fragments at 4T distance after addition of: (a) one water molecule; (b) two water molecules; (c) three water molecules; (d) four water molecules. 87

**LIST OF SYMBOLS/ABBREVIATIONS**

$\psi$	-	Wavefunction
$\lambda$	-	Wavelength
$\hat{H}$	-	Hamiltonian operator
E	-	Total energy of particle
$\nabla$	-	Laplacian operator
V	-	Potential energy
x	-	Distance
m	-	Mass
r, $\theta$ , $\phi$	-	Spherical coordinate
N	-	Normalization constant
PFSA	-	Perfluorosulfonic acid
PEM	-	Proton Exchange Membrane
PEMFC	-	Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell
MEA	-	Membrane Electrode Assembly
DFT	-	Density Functional Theory
B3LYP	-	(Becke, three parameter, Lee-Yang-Par)
GTO	-	Gaussian Type Orbital
HF	-	Hartree Fock
PM3	-	Parameterized Model 3
STO	-	Slater Type Orbital
QM	-	Quantum Mechanics
MM	-	Molecular Mechanics
ZDO	-	Zero Differential Overlap

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Background of Study

Apparently, environmental and energy saving issue have become one of crucial issue for human worldwide. In order to solve these problems, many efforts have being done to replace fossil fuels with other energy sources such as its connotation clean fuel. Fuel cells are on the edge of creating an enormous revolutionary change in the field of electricity due to their special properties. By definition, fuel cell is an electrochemical apparatus that convert the chemical energy of fuel without fuel combustion to electrical energy. Hence, in a fuel cell system, the chemical energy related to electrochemical reaction of the fuel with oxidant directly change into the water, electricity and heat.

Proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFCs) have been discovered as capable power source for applications in transportation, stationary and portable device requiring clean, quiet and portable power [1]. The other major advantages include current prototype efficiency of up to 64%, high energy densities compared to batteries and the ability to operate on clean fuels while emitting no pollutants [2]. Despite these superiorities, diffusion of PEMFC technology into the market place is being limited by the cost and reliability issues [3]. According to Kreuer, research into fuel cells has grown exponentially over the last 15 years [4]. The key components in the fuel cell system are the proton exchange membranes (PEMs) itself [5]. In the case of polymer fuel cell, the major breakthrough in technology that have allowed significant improvement in the overall performance of PEMFC has been the modifications of Nafion<sup>®</sup> by Dupont.

In 1970s, a chemically stable cation-exchange membrane based on sulfonated poly-tetrafluoro-ethylene was first developed by Dupont as Nafion<sup>®</sup> leading to a large scale use of this membrane in the chlor-alkali production industry and energy storage or conversion system (fuel cell). This Nafion<sup>®</sup> membrane was selected as a standard membrane for polymeric electrolyte fuel cell [6]. However, it has a number of drawbacks that need to be overcome, which is the high cost, due to its complicated system construction, the durability, and the poor performance at temperature above 80°C due to the loss of water. Besides, the CO poisoning at the anode and environmental hazards related with its disposal have caused the development of new membrane which include organic and inorganic hybrid membrane by using silica as support material [7]. This critical situation leads to the appropriate preservation and sustainable development strategies attempt to the production of green materials which are safer to users and more environmental friendly.

Performance, durability and cost are the three major properties known as “iron triangle” that need to be taken care of in order to have excellent proton exchange membrane. Hence, researches have to focus to obtain the proton exchange membrane with high conductivity, low electroosmotic drag coefficient, good chemical and thermal stability, good mechanical properties and low cost [5]. Although hydrophobic silica particles are not proton conductors, inclusion of the hygroscopic silica particles in composite solid electrolytes is primary single functional that is for water retention. Clearly, bifunctional particles, being both hydrophilic and proton conducting are preferred to be used as proton conducting material. Thus, zeolite could be one of the suitable materials with the preferred properties due to its crystalline aluminasilicate with a uniform pore size [8]. Hamdan [9] also states that nano zeolites are potential candidates to be used as proton conducting membrane instead of silica due to their significant moderate proton conductivity, excellent water retention at high temperature and molecular sieving capabilities. In addition, although the polymer-zeolite composite membranes have the lower values of proton conductivity than Nafion, polymer-zeolite composites show a more stable performance at high temperature [5]. In fact, nearly every application of zeolites has been driven by environmental concerns, or plays a significant role in reducing toxic waste and energy consumption [10].

## 1.2 Problem Statement

This decade, it is evidenced how our planet is being threatened by local and global environment problems as well as the consumption and supply of energy. PEMFC are one of the most promising clean energy technologies under development. The fuel cell membrane can be synthesized using different materials such as Nafion<sup>®</sup> or zeolite functionalized sulfonic acid used for PEMFC purposes. However, there is no fundamental computational study focusing on the proton transfer mechanism which occurs in zeolite PEM system which have been reported to be a better and cost effective performance material compared to Nafion<sup>®</sup> [8]. Therefore, simulation using density functional theory (DFT) calculation would help us to understand the role of each functionalized group and would eventually create a new possibility to use other type of compounds which can lead to the improvement of PEMFC material.

## 1.3 Objectives of Study

The aim of this research is to identify optimum condition of zeolite functionalized sulfonic acid for minimally hydrated PEMs. Thus, to achieve this aim, the related objectives are identified as follows:

- 1) To observe the mechanism of proton transfer in restricted sulfonic acid for PEMFC.
- 2) To study the effect of chain length to the proton transfer in restricted sulfonic acid for PEMFC.
- 3) To study the effect of sulfonic acid side chain distance to the proton transfer in sulfonic acid for PEMFC.

#### 1.4 Scope/Limitation of Study

This study only focused on the proton transfer mechanism in restricted sulfonic acid with alkyl chain up to 7 carbons (heptyl) for PEMFC. In addition, the selection of the basis set for the DFT calculation will reflect not only the accuracy, but also the time consumed for computing the job. It is evidenced that DFT method give a faster calculation compared to *ab initio*, but the accuracy of results still depends on the type of basis set used. Therefore, a few points stated above were taken into account in order to complete this project within time.

## REFERENCES

1. Rikukawa, M., Sanui, K. (2000). Proton-conducting polymer electrolyte membranes based on hydrocarbon polymers. *Prog Polym Sci.* **25**, 1463-1502.
2. Grant, P. (2003). Hydrogen lifts off-with a heavy load. *Nature.* **424**, 129-130.
3. Kalhammer, F., Prokopius, P., Roan, V., Voecks, G. (1998). Status and prospects of fuel cells as automobile engines. Technical Report, Air Resources Board Sacramento, California.
4. Kreuer, K. D. (2000). On the complexity of proton conduction phenomena. *Solid State Ionics.* **136-137**, 149-160.
5. Peighambardoust, S.J., Rowshanzamir, S., Ambjadi, M. (2010). Review of proton exchange membranes for fuel cell. *Journal of Hydrogen Energy.* **35**, 9349-9384.
6. Grot, W.G., Ford, C. (1973). Laminates of support material and fluorinated polymer containing pendant side chains containing sulfonyl groups. *United States Patent.* **3**, 770, 567.
7. Itoh, T., Sakakibara, T., Takagi, Y., Tamura, M., Uno, T., Kubo, M., Aihara, Y. (2010). Proton-conducting electrolyte membranes based on hyperbranched polymer with a sulfonic acid group for high-temperature fuel cells. *Electrochimica Acta.* **55**, 1419-1424.
8. Nur, H., Kee, G.L., Hamdan, H., Mahlia, T.M.I., Efendi, J., Metselaar, H.S.C. (2012). Organosulfonic acid functionalized zeolite ZSM-5 as temperature tolerant proton conducting material. *Journal of Hydrogen Energy.* **37**, 12513-12521.
9. Hamdan, H. (2009). Amphiphilic nanocomposite proton conducting membrane. Zeolite and Nanostructured Materials Laboratory, Faculty of Science, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
10. Bell, R.G. (2001). What are zeolites? <http://www.bza.org/zeolites/html> (31/10/12)
11. Shen, C.H., Hsu, S.L., Bulycheva, E., Belomia, N. (2012). Polybenzimidazole/1H-imidazole-4-sulfonic acid hybrid membranes for high-temperature proton exchange membranes fuel cells. *Journal of Membrane Science.* **399-400**, 11-15.
12. Thanganathan, U., Dixon, D., Ghatty, S.L., Rombabu, B. (2012). Development of non-perfluorinated hybrid materials for single-cell proton exchange membrane fuel cells. *Journal of Hydrogen Energy.* **37**, 17180-17190.
13. Karo, J., Aabloo, A., Thomas, J. O., Brander, D. (2010). Molecular dynamics modelling of proton transport in nafion and hyflon nanostructures. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B.* **114**, 6056-6064.
14. Ahmad, M.I., Zaidi, S.M.J., Rahman, S.U. (2006). Proton conductivity and characterization of novel composite membranes for medium-temperature fuel cells. *Desalination.* **193**, 387-397.

15. Smitha, B., Sridhar, S., Khan, A.A. (2005). Solid polymer electrolyte membranes for fuel cell applications – A review. *J. Membr Sci.* **259**, 10-26.
16. Kundu, P.P., Pal, A. (2006). Cation exchange polymeric membranes for fuel cells. *Rev. Chem. Eng.* **22**, 125-153.
17. Kariduraganavar, M.Y., Nagarale, R.K., Kittur, A.A., Kulkarni, S.S. (2006). Ion-exchange membranes; preparative methods for electrodialysis and fuel cell applications. *Desalination.* **197**, 225-246.
18. Costamagna, P., Srinivasan, S. (2001). Quantum jumps in the PEMFC science and technology from the 1960 to the year 2000 part 1. Fundamental scientific aspects. *J Power Sources.* **102**, 242-252.
19. Kreuer, K.D., Paddison, S.J., Spohr, E., Sshuster, M. (2004). Transport in proton conductors for fuel-cell applications: simulations, elementary reactions and phenomenology. *Chem. Rev.* **104**, 4637-4678.
20. Hickner, M.A., Pivovar, B.S. (2005). The chemical and structural nature of proton exchange membrane fuel cell properties. *Fuel Cells.* **5**, 213-229.
21. Deluca, N.W., Elabd, Y.A. (2006). Polymer electrolyte membranes for the direct methanol fuel cell: A review. *J. Polym. Sci. Part B Polym. Phys.* **44**, 2201-2213.
22. Tomoya, H., Kazuya, M., Mitsuru, U. (2009). Sulfonated aromatic hydrocarbon polymers as proton exchange membranes for fuel cells. *Polymer.* **50**, 5341-5357.
23. Lee, J.K., Li, W., Manthiram, A. (2009). Poly(arylene ether sulfonate)s containing pendant sulfonic acid groups as membrane materials for direct methanol fuel cells. *J Membr Sci.* **330**, 73-79.
24. Young, T.H., Chang, H.L., Hyung, S.P., Kyung, A.M., Hyung, J.K., Sang, Y.N. (2008). Improvement of electrochemical performances of sulfonated poly(arylene ether sulfone) via incorporation of sulfonated poly(arylene ether benzimidazole). *J Power Sourc.* **175**, 724-731.
25. Sheng, W., Chunli, G., Wen-Chin, T., Yao-Chi, S., Fang-Chang, T. (2009). Sulfonated poly(ether sulfone) (sPES)/boron phosphate (BPO<sub>4</sub>) composite membranes for high-temperature proton exchange membrane fuel cells. *Int Journal Hydrogen Energy.* **34**, 8982-8991.
26. Qengfeng, L., Jens, O., Robert, F.S., Niels, J.B. (2009). High temperature proton exchange membrane based on polybenzimidazoles for fuel cells. *Prog Polym Sci.* **34**, 449-477.
27. Choi, J.H., Lee, H.J., Moon, S.H. (2001). Effects of electrolytes on the transport phenomena in a cation-exchange membrane. *J. Colloid Interf. Sci.* **238**, 188-195.
28. Park, Y.S., Hatae, T., Itoh, H., Jang, M.Y., Yamazaki, Y. (2004). High proton conducting Nafion/calcium hydroxyphosphate composite membranes for fuel cells. *Electrochimica Acta.* **50**, 592-596.
29. Hogarth, W.J.H., Costa, J.C.D., Lu, G.Q.M. (2005). Solid acid membranes for high temperature (>140°C) proton exchange membrane fuel cells. *Journal of Power Source.* **142**, 223-237.



30. Querol, X., Moreno, N., Umana, J.C., Alastuey, A., Hernandez, E., Lopez-Soler, A., Plana, F. (2002). Synthesis of zeolites from coal fly ash: an overview. *International Journal of Coal Geology*. **50**, 413-423.
31. Sarwar, H. (2004). The rocky road to a greener future. Davy Faraday Research Laboratory, Royal Institution of Great Britain. <http://www.bza.org/Bursary04/Sarwar.html> (13/03/13)
32. Wang, Y., Zhou, D., Yang, G., Liu, X., Ma, D., Liang, D., Bao, X. (2004). Density functional theory study of proton hopping in MCM-22 zeolite. *Chemical Physics Letters*. **388**, 363-366.
33. Han, W., Kwan, S.M., Yeung, K.L. (2012). Zeolite applications in fuel cells: Water management and proton conductivity. *Chemical Engineering Journal*. **187**, 367-371.
34. Marcus, B.K., Cormier, W.E. (1999). Going green with zeolites. *Chemical Engineering Progress*. <http://www.cheresources.com/zeolitezz.shtml> (25/10/12)
35. Son, D.H., Sharma, R.K., Shul, Y.G., Kim, H. (2007). Preparation of Pt/zeolite-Nafion composite membranes for self-humidifying polymer electrolyte fuel cells. *Journal of Power Sources*. **165**, 733-738.
36. Ryder, J.A., Chakraborty, A.K., Bell, A.T. (2000). Density functional theory study of proton mobility in zeolites: proton migration and hydrogen exchange in ZMS-5. *J. Phys. Chem. B*. **104**, 6998-7011.
37. Young, D.C. (2001). *Computational Chemistry: A Practical Guide for Applying Techniques to Real-World Problems*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
38. Francisco, J.S. (2011). A New Frontier in Atmospheric Chemistry: Computational Atmospheric Chemistry. *Computational and Theoretical Chemistry*. **965**, 248.
39. Lipkowitz, K.B. (2011). *Reviews in Computational Chemistry*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
40. Rogers, D.W. (2003). *Computational Chemistry Using the PC*. 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
41. Lewars, E. (2003). *Computational Chemistry: Introduction to the theory and applications of molecular and quantum mechanics*. Massachusetts: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
42. Dewar, M.J.S., Zoebisch, E.G., Healy, E.F., Stewart, E.F. (1985). The development and use of quantum mechanical molecular models. 76. AM1: a new general purpose quantum mechanical molecular model. *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* **107**, 3902-3909.
43. Sadley, J. (1985). *Semi-empirical methods of quantum chemistry*. Wiley; M. C. Zerner, *Rev. Comp. Chem.*, **2** (1991), 313, T. Bredow, K. Jug, *Theor. Chem. Acc.*, **113** (2005), 1.
44. Jensen, F. (2007). *Introduction to Computational Chemistry*. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
45. Stewart, J.J.P. (1990). *Reviews in Computational Chemistry*, Volume 1, Eds. K.B. Likowits and D.B. Boyd, VCH, New York, 45.

46. Hehre, W.J. (2003). A guide to molecular mechanics and Quantum Chemical calculations in Spartan. Wavefunction, Inc.
47. Adejoro, I.A., Oyeneyin, O.E., Adeboye, O.O., Obaleye, J.A. (2012). PM3 semiempirical quantum mechanical calculations on a novel dischlorobis (N-{4-((2-pyrimidinyl-kN-amino)sulfonyl}acetamide)copper(II) containing a metabolite N-acetylsulfadiazine. *Journal of Computational Method in Molecular Design*. **2(4)**, 142-148.
48. Hinchliffe, A. (1987). *Ab Initio* Determination of Molecular Properties, Adam Hilger imprint, IOP Publishing Ltd.
49. Hinchliffe, A. (2008). *Molecular Modelling for Beginners*. West Sussex: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
50. Collet, C.T., Robson, C.D. (2010). *Handbook of computational chemistry research*. Nova Science Publishers, Inc.
51. Dapprich, S., Komaromi, I., Byun, K.S., Morokuma, K., Frisch, M.J. (1999). A new ONIOM implementation in Gaussian 98. Part 1. The calculation of energies, gradients and vibrational frequencies and electric field derivatives. *J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem)*. **462**, 1-21.
52. Vreven, T., Morokuma, K., Farkas, O., Schlegel, H.B., Frisch, M.J. (2003). Geometry optimization with QM/MM, ONIOM and other combined methods. I. Microiterations and constraints. *J Comput Chem*. **24**, 760-769.
53. Morokuma, K. (2003). ONIOM and its applications to material chemistry and catalyses. *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc*. **24(6)**, 797-801.
54. Balabin, R.M. (2010). Communications: Intramolecular basis set superposition error as a measure of basis set incompleteness: Can one reach the basis set limit without extrapolation?. *J. Chem. Phys*. **132**, 211103.
55. Valeev, E. (2007). *Basis Sets in Quantum Chemistry*. Department of Chemistry, Virginia Tech. <http://www.chem.vt.edu/chem-dept/valeev/docs/basisset notes.pdf> (6/11/12)
56. Framework Type LTA, Database of Zeolite Structure, International Zeolite Association. [http://izasc-mirror.la.asu.edu/fmi/xsl/IZA-SC/ftc\\_fw.xsl?db=Atlas\\_main&-lav=fw&-max=25&STC=LTA&-find](http://izasc-mirror.la.asu.edu/fmi/xsl/IZA-SC/ftc_fw.xsl?db=Atlas_main&-lav=fw&-max=25&STC=LTA&-find) (13/03/13)
57. Paddison, S.J., Elliott, J.A. (2007). Selective hydration of the 'short-side-chain' perfluorosulfonic acid membrane. An ONIOM study. *Solid State Ionics*. **178**, 561-567.
58. Li, Y., Guo, W., Yuan, S., Fan, W., Wang, J. (2009). Effective ONIOM schemes for modeling MCM-22 zeolite. *Journal of Molecular Structure: THEOCHEM*. **916**, 53-60.
59. Rice, M.J., Chakraborty, A.K., Bell, A.T. (1998). A density functional theory study of the interactions of water with H-ZSM-5, Cu-ZSM-5 and Co-ZSM-5. *J. Phys. Chem*. **102**, 7498-7504.
60. Clark II, J.K., Paddison, S.J. (2012). The effect of side chain connectivity and local hydration on proton transfer in 3M perfluorosulfonic acid membranes. *Solid State Ionics*. **213**, 83-91.

61. Sagarik, K., Phonyiem, M., Lao-ngam, C., Chaiwongwattana, S. (2008). Mechanism of proton transfer in Nafion<sup>®</sup>: elementary reactions at the sulfonic acid groups. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **10**, 2098-2112.
62. Tanimura, S., Matsuoka, T. (2004). Proton transfer in Nafion membrane by quantum chemistry calculation. *J. Polym. Sci. B.* **42**, 1905-1914.
63. Paddison, S.J., Elliott, J.A. (2005). Molecular modelling of the short-side-chain perfluorosulfonic acid membrane. *J. Phys. Chem.* **109**, 7583-7593.
64. Clark II, J.K., Paddison, S.J. (2013). Proton dissociation and transfer in proton exchange membrane ionomers with multiple and pendant acid groups: An *ab initio* study. *Electrochimica Acta*, **101**, 279-292.
65. Paddison, S.J., Pratt, L.R., Zawodzinski Jr, J. (1999). Conformations of perfluoroether sulfonic acid side chains for the modelling of Nafion. *J. New Mater. Electrochem. Syst.* **2**, 183-188.
66. Paddison, S.J., Elliot, J.A. (2006). On the consequences of the side chain flexibility and backbone conformation on hydration and proton dissociation in perfluorosulfonic acid membrane. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics.* **8**, 2193-2203.
67. Eigen, M. (1963). Proton transfer, acid-base catalyses and enzymatic hydrolysis. Part I: elementary processes. *Angew. Chem.* **75**, 489-508.
68. Kreuer, K.D. (2001). On the development of proton conducting polymer membranes for hydrogen and methanol fuel cells. *Journal of Membrane Science.* **185**, 29-39.