CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY PRACTICES BY PUBLIC LIMITED CONSTRUCTION FIRMS IN MALAYSIA

SUZANA C. ALIH

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY PRACTICES BY PUBLIC LIMITED CONSTRUCTION FIRMS IN MALAYSIA

SUZANA C. ALIH

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Science (Quantity Surveying)

Faculty of Built Environment Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

To my parents

who have inspired me in many ways

and who are always there for me through hard times...

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, praise be to Allah, the Most Benevolent, Most Merciful, for it is with His blessings and permission that this thesis is completed as it is.

It is a pleasure to thank those who made the completion of this thesis possible especially to my parents who have endlessly supported me in times when I needed it most to complete this research. A thousand thanks to my supervisors, Prof. Dr. Christopher Nigel Preece and Dr. Sarajul Fikri bin Mohamed for their continuous encouragement, supervision and support from the preliminary to the concluding level without which I'm sure the completion of this thesis would have not been possible.

I would also like to express my gratitude to all my lecturers for their support and motivation, and to all my friends, who stood along me during the completion of this dissertation. I would like to make a special reference to my dearest sisters Dr. Sophia C. Alih, Khadijah C. Alih, Nur Ashiquin C. Alih and Mas Sutera C. Alih and brother-in-laws Dr. Meldi Suhatril and Dr. Mohammadreza Vafaei whose support, mentally, physically and financially has enabled me to undergo this trying years towards completing my master's study.

I would also like to express my gratitude to the Zamalah Institute for providing the funding required in the pursuit of my studies. Special thanks to every member of the e-SEER research group for their support, both directly and indirectly throughout my studies. Last but not least, I offer my regards and gratitude to all of those who have supported me in any aspect during the completion of this thesis.

ABSTRACT

This research examines the application of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in the Malaysian construction industry with the primary aim of developing a project-level CSR Framework for construction companies. Following the 2006 Budget Speech, CSR disclosure has been made compulsory for Public Listed Companies (PLC) in Malaysia but to date, there has been no sector-specific framework or guidelines for CSR. Considering the nature of the construction industry which involves complex processes and activities and a varied range of stakeholders, having a framework or guideline which is specific for construction companies is imperative. Hence, through literature reviews, content analysis on 26 CSR reports by Malaysian construction PLCs and subsequent interviews with some of the companies involved, the researcher assesses the development of CSR in the Malaysian construction industry, reviews the CSR policies and activities of construction firms in Malaysia and proposes a CSR framework for construction companies at the project level. Findings suggest that although CSR disclosure is compulsory for construction PLCs, the extent of CSR application can vary significantly across the industry as companies' CSR agendas are not governed by any specific framework, standards or guidelines. The proposed framework could assist construction companies in developing their CSR policies and to fully embrace the concept of CSR to achieve the triple bottom-line: economic, environmental and social sustainability.

ABSTRAK

Penyelidikan ini dijalankan bagi mengkaji aplikasi "Tanggungjawab Sosial Korporat" (CSR) dalam industri pembinaan di Malaysia dengan matlamat utama untuk mengemukakan rangka kerja (framework) CSR di peringkat projek untuk kontraktor pembinaan di Malaysia. Sejajar dengan Bajet 2006, laporan CSR telah diwajibkan bagi semua syarikat awam berhad (PLC) yang berdaftar dengan Bursa Malaysia. Akan tetapi, sehingga hari ini, rangka kerja CSR yang khusus untuk sektor pembinaan belum diwujudkan. Memandangkan struktur semulajadi sektor pembinaan yang melibatkan proses dan aktiviti yang kompleks serta kumpulan pihak berkepentingan yang luas dan pelbagai, memperkenalkan rangka kerja CSR yang khusus untuk sektor ini adalah sangat penting. Justeru, menerusi kajian literatur, analisis kandungan terhadap laporan tahunan CSR bagi 26 syarikat pembinaan awam berhad di Malaysia dan temu bual dengan beberapa syarikat tersebut, penyelidik mengkaji perkembangan CSR dalam industri pembinaan Malaysia, mengkaji polisi dan aktiviti CSR di kalangan kontraktor pembinaan di Malaysia, dan mencadangkan rangka kerja yang khusus untuk kontraktor pembinaan di Malaysia di peringkat projek. Kajian mendapati bahawa laporan CSR oleh kontraktor pembinaan di Malaysia adalah sangat berbeza antara satu sama lain disebabkan tiadanya panduan atau standard yang khusus untuk rujukan kontarktor. Oleh itu, rangka kerja yang dikemukakan dapat membantu kontraktor pembinaan di Malaysia mengembangkan polisi CSR mereka dan sekaligus merealisasikan matlamat "triple bottom-line" mencapai kemampanan ekonomi, persekitaran dan sosial.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

СНАРТЕГ	R TITLE I	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xiv
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background Information	1
	1.2 Significance of Research	3
	1.3 Aim and Objectives	4
	1.4 Research Questions	5
	1.5 Thesis Structure	6
	1.5.1 Chapter 2: Literature Review	6
	1.5.2 Chapter 3: Research Methodology	7
	1.5.3 Chapter 4: CSR Reporting Trends in Malaysian	7
	Construction Industry	
	1.5.4 Chapter 5: CSR Framework for Construction Companies	8
	in Malaysia	

	1.5.5 Chapter 6: Conclusions and Recommendations	8
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	9
	2.1 Introduction	9
	2.2 Introduction to CSR	10
	2.2.1 Defining CSR	10
	2.2.2 The CSR Models	13
	2.2.2.1 The Pyramid of CSR	13
	2.2.2.2 CSR 2.0	15
	2.2.2.3 Consumer-Driven Corporate Responsibility Model	16
	2.3 History of CSR - Evolution and Purpose	18
	2.3.1 CSR Evolution	18
	2.3.2 Purpose of CSR	20
	2.4 Drivers for CSR	21
	2.5 CSR, Sustainable Development and Sustainable Construction	25
	2.6 CSR in the Construction Industry	26
	2.6.1 Issues and Problems Associated with the Construction	27
	Industry	
	2.6.1.1 Corruption	28
	2.6.1.2 The 3Ds Problem – Dirty, Dangerous, Difficult	28
	2.6.2 Drivers for CSR in the Construction Industry	30
	2.6.3 CSR Reporting in the Construction Industry	31
	2.6.4 CSR Reporting in the Malaysian Construction Industry	34
	2.7 Existing Guidelines for CSR Practice and Reporting	34
	2.7.1 ISO 26000 Guidance on Social Responsibility	35
	2.7.2 Global Reporting Initiative (GRI)	38
	2.7.3 Bursa Malaysia CSR Framework 2006	39
	2.7.4 Comparing the ISO, GRI and BM CSR Framework	40
	2.7.5 Initial Framework for the Purpose of Analysing	41
	Companies' CSR Reports	
	2.8 Introduction to Project-Level CSR	42
	2.9 Research Questions	43
	2.10 Chapter Summary	45

3	RE	SEARCH METHODOLOGY	46			
	3.1	Introduction	46			
	3.2	Research Methodology	46			
	3.3	Data Collection	49			
	3.4	Data Analysis	49			
		3.4.1 Content Analysis	50			
		3.4.2 Structured Interviews	53			
	3.5	Limitations to the research methodology	54			
		3.5.1 Limitations to the Data Sample	55			
		3.5.2 Limitations to the Interviews	55			
	3.6	Summary	56			
4	CS	R REPORTING TREND BY MALAYSIAN	57			
	CO	CONSTRUCTION COMPANIES				
	4.1	Introduction	57			
	4.2	Analysis on the Extent of CSR Disclosure by Construction PLCs	58			
		in Malaysia				
	4.3	Analysis on the General Trend of CSR Reporting by	60			
		Construction PLCs				
		4.3.1 Average Index of CSR Issues and Core Subjects within the	66			
		Companies Annual Reports				
		4.3.2 Discussion on the Results of the Report Analysis	68			
	4.4	Analysis on the Interview Results	71			
		4.4.1 Analysis of Interview Result - Drivers for CSR in the	71			
		Construction Industry				
		4.4.2 Analysis of Interview Result – Importance of CSR within	74			
		the Industry in the Future				
		4.4.3 Analysis of Interview Result – Importance of Sector-	75			
		specific Framework for Construction Companies				
		4.4.4 Analysis of Interview Result – Introduction of	76			
		Project-level CSR				
	4.5	Chapter Summary	78			

5	PROJECT LEVEL CSR FRAMEWORK FOR	80
	CONSTRUCTION COMPANIES	
	5.1 Introduction	80
	5.2 Developing a Project-Level CSR Framework	81
	5.3 Project-level CSR Implementation Process	82
	5.4 Proposed Project-Level CSR Framework for Construction	83
	Companies	
	5.5 Critical Review on the Proposed Framework	86
	5.6 Summary	87
6	CONCLUSION AND RECCOMMENDATIONS	88
	6.1 Introduction	88
	6.2 Summary of the Literature	88
	6.3 Conclusion for the research aim and objectives	89
	6.3.1 Research Questions	90
	6.3.2 Research Aim and Objectives	91
	6.4 Limitations of the Study and Recommendations for	91
REFEREN	CES	93
Appendix A		101-107

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.1.	Drivers for corporate responsibility reporting as listed by the	23
	G250 and N100 companies (across all sectors) in KPMG's	
	2005 and 2008 surveys.	
2.2	List of CSR issues in the ISO 26000	37
3.1	Companies involved in the content analysis of CSR reports	52
3.2	List of interview respondent	54
4.1	Extent of CSR disclosures by Construction PLCs in Malaysia	58
	(derived from construction PLCs' annual report for financial	
	year between 2011 and 2012).	
4.2	Average Index of CSR Issues and Core Subjects within the	67
	Companies Annual Reports	
4.3	Interview Results - Drivers for CSR Practice by Construction Firm	ns 71
4.4	Interview Results – Future Prominence of CSR in the Industry	74
4.5	Interview Results – Sector-specific CSR Framework	76
4.6	Interview Results – Project-level CSR	77
5.1	Proposed Project-Level CSR Framework for Construction	84
	Companies	

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO	TITLE PA	GE
2.1	The Pyramid of CSR (Caroll, 1991)	14
2.2	CSR 2.0 double helix model (Visser, 2010)	15
2.3	Consumer-Driven Corporate Responsibility Model (Claydon, 2011)	17
2.4	Visser's CSR Drivers (2010)	24
2.5	Core subjects of social responsibility in the ISO 26000 (ISO, 2010)	36
2.6	GRI Integer (Arksustainability, 2009)	38
2.7	Bursa Malaysia CSR Framework (Bursa Malaysia, 2010)	40
2.8	Initial Framework for the Analysis of Companies' CSR Reports	42
3.1	Research Methodology Flowchart	48
4.1	NVivo coding of companies reports - Community Division	60
4.2	NVivo coding of companies reports - Environment Division	62
4.3	NVivo coding of companies reports - Marketplace Division	64
4.4	NVivo coding of companies reports - Workplace Division	65
5.1	Project-level CSR Implementation Process	82

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BBC - British Broadcasting Corporation

BHD - Berhad

BM - Bursa Malaysia

CIOB - Chartered Institute of Buildings

CSR - Corporate Social Responsibility

DTI - Department of Trade and Industry

EMS - Environmental Management System

ESG - Environmental, Social and Governance

GRI - Global Reporting Initiative

ILO - International Labor Organization

ISO - The International Organisation on Standardisation

KPI - Key Performance Indicators

LEED - US Energy and Environmental Design

MNC - Multi-National Company

MRCB - Malaysian Resources Corporation Berhad

OECD - Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

PLC - Public Limited Company

PMBOK - Project Management Body of Knowledge

WCED - World Commission on Environment and Development

WRAP - UK Waste and Resources Action Programme

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Δ	Terms and Definitions of CSR Issues (ISO, 2010)	101

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background Information

The concept of sustainable development has been receiving global attention and recognition since the Rio Earth Summit of 1992. Sustainable development, as defined by the Brundtland Commission (formerly known as the World Commission on Environment and Development (WCED)), is "development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs" (WCED, 1987). While the definition of sustainable development is clear, achieving its goal in today's era of unprecedented growth is almost impossible (GRI, 2011). Indeed, one of the most pressing dilemmas for the 21st century is that positive improvements in the living standards of people around the globe are often counterbalanced by alarming evidence on the worsening condition of the environment and the ongoing problem of poverty and hunger on millions of people.

The demand for new and innovative choices as well as ways of thinking has always been one of the key challenges in achieving sustainable development. Improved knowledge and innovations in technology, management and public policy have placed a challenge on organisations, as key forces in society, to make innovative choices in the way their operations, services, products and activities

impact the environment, economy and society. The advent of globalisation, the everincreasing environmental problems, shortage of resources and increasing demands and awareness from stakeholders have all lead to corporations being under immense pressure to operate and conduct business in a more sustainable, responsible and ethical manner. Consequently, the notion of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has started to gain considerable attention as a vital 'tool' to achieve sustainable development and is now being integrated into every aspect of business operation by corporations worldwide.

Barthorpe (2010) refers to CSR as a 'relatively recent, but rapidly expanding high-profile phenomenon', influencing organisations to take into account how their operations affect the environment and society at large. In the past decade, CSR activities and reporting have been gradually increasing across all industries throughout the world (Brown *et al.*, 2009). Besides offering organisations with the opportunity of addressing stakeholders' concerns, CSR activities and reporting act as a way to demonstrate that the business operates in a sustainable and ethically responsible manner. However, since most CSR activities are carried out voluntarily, with a variety of guidelines on the subject, the quality, uptake and effectiveness of its implementation as well as reporting could vary significantly within and between industries.

The construction industry is often regarded as one of the main contributors towards a nation's development by providing both the public and private with necessary infrastructures and physical structures for activities such as commerce, services, utilities and others (Mackley, 2001). The industry generates employment opportunities for unskilled, semi-skilled and skilled labour, and injects money into a nation's economy by creating foreign and local investment opportunities (Wibowo, 2009). However, in spite of these contributions, construction activities have been terribly affecting the environment and the society, causing public concern on sustainability issues within the construction industry (Siti Nurhuda Abd Wahid, 2010). According to Murray and Dainty (2009), the interest in CSR, both researchwise and industry-wise, has been growing and Brown (2012) suggests that increased understanding on the aspects of CSR can help in reducing the negative impacts of the industry and offers more benefits for future development.

Nonetheless, there has been very limited academic literature on CSR in the construction industry to date with Murray and Dainty (2009) noting that their book is the first text ever to examine the various aspects of CSR with specific reference to the construction sector. This provides an indication that although organisations within the construction industry are aware of CSR and its importance, very few researches have been conducted and much less has been published with relation to CSR in construction. Hence, this research seeks to expand upon the currently available and relatively limited information in the area of CSR within the construction industry, specifically focusing on CSR activities and reporting by construction firms in Malaysia.

1.2 Significance of Research

In the past few years, there has been a growing level of awareness, globally, for the concept of sustainable development to be regarded as an utmost priority across all sectors (Myers, 2005) and this has already beginning to have an immense effect on the construction industry worldwide (Wadell, 2008). Stakeholders have been voicing their concern on the traditional nature of the construction industry which is regarded as both beneficial and destructive at the same time (Brown, 2012) and urged construction companies to adopt sustainable and collaborative approaches through the practice of CSR (Myers, 2005).

There has been a comprehensive academic literature on the extent to which CSR is being practiced by corporations in other sectors such as pharmaceuticals (Adams and Kuasirikun, 2000) but such is not the case for the construction industry (Murray and Dainty, 2009). There has been a limited number of academic literatures which relates CSR to the construction industry (for instance, Herridge (2003); Petrovic-Lazarevic (2008); Murray and Dainty (2009) and Barthorpe (2010)) with much fewer that review, analyse or compare construction companies' CSR activities (notable researches include that of Myers (2005) and Brown (2012)). According to

Brown (2012), this indicates that although the construction industry is not entirely ignorance of the concept of CSR, the limited literature suggests that it is lagging behind other industries such as finance, pharmaceuticals and food with regard to CSR.

With respect to the Malaysian construction industry, there has been no research, to date, which analyses and reviews the implementation of CSR by Malaysian construction companies by looking at the disclosure practice despite the fact that CSR reporting has been made compulsory for all Public Limited Companies (PLCs) in Malaysia (Bursa, 2008). The only study that was found which relates to CSR by construction companies in Malaysia was that of Athira (2012) which investigates the awareness on CSR, barriers to its implementation and strategies to overcome them. However, this research does not examine the CSR practice by construction firms in terms of the extent to which CSR is being implemented and reported upon by the companies. Other studies which have been carried out on the subject include that of Chan *et al.* (2009) and Nik *et al.* (2003), both of which are not specific for the construction industry.

The rising prominence of CSR within the industry which is due to the significant impact of construction activities upon many areas, coupled with the limited availability of academic literature on the subject provides sound justification for this research to be carried out. A review on the practice of CSR by Malaysian construction companies listed in the Bursa Malaysia will offer some baseline information with regard to CSR activities and reporting in the Malaysian construction industry which can be built upon and expanded via future research.

1.3 Aim and Objectives

The primary aim of this research is to develop a project-level CSR framework for construction companies in Malaysia through a review of the companies' annual CSR reports and current available guidelines on CSR. The aim described will be realised through achieving the succeeding objectives:

- 1. To review available literature on CSR across general business sectors to provide a background information on the subject, along with the current international standards in relation to reporting and implementation
- 2. To assess the development of CSR within the construction industry and specifically in relation to the Malaysian construction sector
- To investigate and analyse the CSR activities and reporting practices of construction companies in Malaysia to identify trends in reporting and their possible drivers

1.4 Research Questions

Based on the review on the available literature as presented in Chapter 2 and the objectives stated in section 1.3, the following research questions have emerged which will help to further direct the study and ensure the overall aim of this research is achieved:

- 1. Why has CSR become a vital aspect in today's businesses?
- 2. Is CSR an important aspect of the work of construction companies, and why?
- 3. What areas of CSR are most commonly reported upon by companies within the industry, and why?
- 4. How do these topics vary within the construction industry and why might such variation occur?
- 5. How should construction companies address CSR at construction project level?

1.5 Thesis Structure

In order to achieve the aim and objectives mentioned above, this research has incorporated information from previous literatures, employed a number of methodologies and presented the findings in the relevant chapters of this thesis. This section provides a brief summary of each of the chapter.

1.5.1 Chapter 2: Literature Review

In this chapter, the author presents a detailed review on the literature on CSR, providing an introduction on the subject, developing a definition and looking at the evolution of the concept across general sectors and the purpose of its implementation in general. The drivers for CSR implementation across general business are also presented and discussed. In addition, the reason for the rising prominence of CSR within the construction industry globally is also explained, focusing on the nature of the industry which is very complex and different than the other sectors and highlighting the current issues facing the construction industry worldwide. The chapter also elaborates on the possible drivers for CSR in the construction industry as well as the practice of CSR disclosure by construction companies in general. The researcher then reviewed available literature on CSR reporting in the Malaysian construction industry followed by a review on the current available guidelines and framework for CSR, both internationally and in Malaysia. At the end of the chapter, the writer develops an initial framework which would be the basis for the analysis of the reports and presents the research questions which were derived from the literature review. This chapter helps achieve objectives 1 and 2 as stated in section 1.3.

1.5.2 Chapter 3: Research Methodology

Chapter 3 of this thesis elaborates on the data collection and the methods applied in conducting this research, which includes literature review, content analysis and personal interview, providing an introduction to these methods and justification for their selection. A list of the companies whose annual CSR reports are part of the primary data of this research and a brief background on the practitioners that were interviewed will also be presented. At the end of this chapter, the author discusses the limitations to the primary data collection and the methods used to carry out this research and justification as to why such limitations occur.

1.5.3 Chapter 4: CSR Reporting Trends in Malaysian Construction Industry

In this chapter, the overall results of this study are presented and discussed. The author first presents the results of the content analysis of the sample reports which include determining the extent of CSR disclosure by the sample companies and the general reporting trend which appears to be emerging within the industry. The author then proceeds with the interview results which include information that are not available from the analysis of the reports such as drivers behind CSR practice, the companies' view of the importance of CSR in the future, importance of a sector-specific framework and their view on project-level CSR. This chapter achieves objective 3 as stated in Section 1.3 and answers research question 3 and 4 as listed in Section 1.4.

1.5.4 Chapter 5: CSR Framework for Construction Companies in Malaysia

In this chapter, the author proposes a project-level CSR framework for construction companies in Malaysia based on the findings from the reviews of the reports and the available guidelines and framework on CSR. The outcome of this chapter helps in answering research question 5 (refer Section 1.4), as well as achieving the final objective and the overall aim of this research (refer Section 1.3). A critical review on the proposed framework will also be included at the end of this chapter.

1.5.5 Chapter 6: Conclusions and Recommendations

This chapter presents a discussion of the key themes derived throughout this study and provides an overall summary of the research findings with relation to the overall aim and objectives. It also elaborates on the limitations to this study and offers recommendations for future research relevant to this topic.

REFERENCES

- Abbot, W.F., and R.J. Monsen. (1979). On the Measurement of Corporate Social Responsibility: Self-Reported Disclosures as a Method of Measuring Corporate Social Involvement. *Academy of Management Journal*. 22(3), 501-15.
- Abu Baker, N., and K. Naser. (2000). Empirical Evidence on Corporate Social Disclosure (CSD) Practices in Jordan. *International Journal of Commerce and Management*. 10 (3&4), 18-34.
- Adams, C. and Kuasirikun, N. (2000). A comparative analysis of corporate reporting on ethical issues by UK and German chemical and pharmaceutical companies. *The European Accounting Review.* 9(1), 53 79.
- Arksustainability (2009). Sustainability Management. Retrieved from: http://www.arksustainability.com/sustainability_management.aspx [Accessed: February 25, 2011].
- Athira Abdullah. (2012) The practices of corporate social responsibility among construction companies in Malaysia. Masters thesis, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Faculty of Education.
- Aupperle, K.E., Carroll, A.B. and Hatfield, J.D. (1985). An empirical examination of the relationship between Corporate Social Responsibility and Profitability. *Academy of Management Journal*. 28(2), 446-463.
- Barry, M. (2003). Corporate social responsibility unworkable paradox or sustainable paradigm? *Proceedings of the ICE, Engineering Sustainability*, Issue ES3, September, 129-130.
- Barthorpe, S. (2010). Implementing corporate social responsibility in the UK construction industry. *Property Management*. 28(1), 4-17

- BBC News (2002). Gap hit by sweatshop protests. *BBC News*, Retrieved 22/9, 2011, from http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/business/2497957.stm.
- Belal, A.R. (2001). A Study of Corporate Social Disclosures in Bangladesh. *Managerial Auditing Journal*. 16 (5), 274-89.
- BRC Project Building Responsible Competitiveness. *Guidelines to enhance CSR in the construction sector Executive summary*. DG enterprise and Industry (2009).
- Brown, J. (2012). Corporate Responsibility in the UK Construction Industry: A Study of Activities and Reporting. Doctor Philosophy, University of Nottingham, UK.
- Brown, J., Parry, T., & Moon, J. (2009). Corporate responsibility reporting in UK construction. *Engineering Sustainability*. 162(ES4), 193-205
- Bursa Malaysia (2006). Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) Framework for Malaysian Public Listed Companies.
- Bursa Malaysia (2008). Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in Malaysian PLCs: 2007 Status Report. Technical Report, BM.
- Business for Social Responsibility (2000). *Introduction to Corporate Social Responsibility*. BSR Resources.
- Carroll, A.B. (1991). The pyramid of corporate social responsibility: toward the moral management of organisational stakeholders. *Business Horizons*. 34 (4), 39-48.
- Carroll, B. A. (1999). Corporate Social Responsibility: Evolution of a Definitional Construct. *Business and Society*. 38(3), 268-295.
- Chan Shirley, Ang Gaik Suan, Chan Pau Leng, Maurice O.A. Okoth and Ng Bee Fei. (2009). Corporate social responsibility reporting in Malaysia: An analysis of website reporting of second board companies listed in Bursa Malaysia. *SEGi Review*. 2(2), 85-110.
- Chartered Institute of Building (2006). Corruption in the UK construction industry. Retrieved from http://www.ciob.org.uk/filegrab/CIOBCorruption.pdf?ref5283

- CIRIA (2004). *ENGAGE*; How to Deliver Socially Responsible Construction A Client's Guide, Construction Industry Research Information Association C627 Report, Construction Industry Research Information Association, London.
- Claydon, J. (2011). A new direction for CSR: the shortcomings of previous CSR models and the rationale for a new model. *Social Responsibility Journal*. 7(3), 405-420.
- Cochran, P. L. and Wood, R. A. (1984). Corporate social responsibility and financial performance. *Academy of Management Journal*. 27(1), 42-56.
- CRC Press (2010). Social Responsibility: Failure Mode Effects and Analysis. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- CSR (2004). Corporate Social Responsibility Magazine—a supplement of Sustain: Built Environment Matters, McClelland Publishing, Manchester.
- Department of Trade and Industry. (2002). *Corporate Social Responsibility* Retrieved from http://www.dti.gov.uk/sustainability/sus/corp.htm.
- Din. (1984). Industrialised building and its application in Malaysia. Proceeding for Seminar on Prefabrication Building Construction.
- DTI (2006). Sustainable construction strategy report 2006. UK: Department of Trade and Industry.
- Epstein, E. M. (1987). The corporate social-policy process beyond business ethics, corporate social responsibility, and corporate social responsiveness. *California Management Review*. 29(3), 99-114.
- Gamble, G.D., H. Kathy, C. Jackson, and C.D. Tollerson (1996). Environmental Disclosures in Annual Reports. *The International Journal of Accounting*. 31(3): 293-329.
- Global Reporting Initiative (2011). *Sustainability Reporting Guidelines*. London: Global Reporting Initiative.

- Graafland, J. J., S. C. W. Eijffinger, *et al.* (2003). Corporate social responsibility of Dutch companies: Benchmarking and Transparency. CMO Report. Tilburg University.
- Haigh, M. and Jones, M. (2006). The Drivers of Corporate Social Responsibility: A Critical Review. *The Business Review*. 5(2).
- Henriques, A. and Richardson, J. (2004). The Triple Bottom Line: Does it All Add Up?, Earthscan: London.
- Herridge, J. (2003). The potential benefits of corporate social responsibility in the construction industry: Construction Paper 159. *Construction Information Quarterly*. 5(3), 12-16.
- Jones P., Comfort D. and Hillier D. (2006). Corporate social responsibility and the UK construction industry. *Journal of Corporate Real Estate*. 8(3), 134–150.
- Jones, T. M. (1980). Corporate social responsibility revisited, redefined. *California Management Review*. 22(3), 59-67.
- Kashyap, R., R. Mir, *et al.* (2004). Corporate social responsibility: a call for mulitdisciplinary inquiry. *Journal of Business Ethics Research*. 2(7), 51-58.
- Kemp, R. and Martens, P. (2007). Sustainable development: How to manage something that is subjective and never can be achieved? *Sustainability: Science, Practice, & Policy*. 3(2), 5-14. Proquest.
- Khairuddin Abdul Rashid. (2002). Construction Procurement in Malaysia. Processes and Systems, Constraints and strategies. Kuala Lumpur: IIUM.
- KPMG (2005). KPMG International Survey of Corporate Responsibility Reporting: 55. KPMG International.
- KPMG (2008). KPMG International Survey of Corporate Responsibility Reporting. KPMG International.

- Krippendorff, K. (2004). Reliability in Content Analysis: Some Common Misconceptions and Recommendations. *Human Communication Research*. *30* (3), 411-433. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2958.2004.tb00738.x
- Lantos, G. P. (2001). The boundaries of corporate strategic corporate social responsibility. *Journal of consumer Marketing*. 18(7), 595 632.
- Lopez, M. V., Garcia, A., Rodriguez, L. (2007). Sustainable development and corporate performance: A study based on the Dow Jones Sustainability Index. *Journal of Business Ethics*. 75(3), 285-300.
- Mackley, C. (2001). The planet in crisis. In: Langston, C.A. and Ding, G.K.C. (Eds.) Sustainable Practices in the Built Environment. 2nd Edition. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Margolis, J. D. and Walsh, J. P. (2001). *People and profits? The search for a link between a company's social and financial performance*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Ministry of Finance Malaysia. (2006). *Budget 2006*. Retrieved October 14th, 2009, from http://www.treasury.gov.my/pdf/budget/bs06.pdf
- Moir, L. (2001). What do we mean by corporate social responsibility? *Corporate Governance*, Vol. 1 No. 2, pp. 16-22.
- Moon, J. (2003). *Socializing Business? Government and Opposition Ltd.* Oxford: Blackwell Publishing.
- Moon, J. (2007). The contribution of corporate social responsibility to sustainable development. *Sustainable Development*, 15(5), 296-306.
- Murray, M. and Dainty, A. (Eds.) (2009). *Corporate social responsibility in the construction industry*. London: Taylor & Francis
- Myers, D. (2005). A review of construction companies' attitudes to sustainability. *Construction Management and Economics*. 23(8), 781-785.

- Nguyen, VoThucQuyen (2013). Corporate social responsibility implementation by Vietnamese enterprises. Degree Programme, Lahti University of Applied Sciences.
- Nik Ahmad, N. N., Sulaiman, M., & Siswantoro, D. (2003). Corporate social responsibility disclosure in Malaysia: an analysis of annual reports of KLSE listed companies. *IIUM Journal of Economics and Management*. 11(1), 1-21.
- O. Brady, A.K. (2005). *The Sustainability Effect*. Gordonsville: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Orlitzky, M. (2008). Corporate social performance and financial performance: A research synthesis. In A. Crane, A. McWilliams, D. Matten, J. Moon, & D. S. Siegel (Eds.) *The Oxford Handbook of CSR* (pp. 114-134). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Pearce, D. (2003) The Social and Economic Value of Construction: The Construction Industry's Contribution to Sustainable Development, nCRISP, London, available from: www.ncrisp.org.uk
- Peng, C.S. (1986). *The scenario of IBS in Malaysia*. Proceedings for UNESCO/FEISEAP Regional Workshop, UPM Serdang.
- Petrovic-Lazarevic, S. (2008). The development of corporate social responsibility in the Australian construction industry. *Construction Management and Economics*. 26(2), 93-101.
- Pinkston, T.S. and Carroll, A.B. (1994). Corporate Citizenship Perspectives and Foreign Direct Investment in the US. *Journal of Business Ethics*. 13(3), 157-169.
- Project Management Institute. (2004). *A guide to the project management body of knowledge (PMBOK guide)*. (3rd ed.). Newtown Square, Pa: Project Management Institute.
- Sarja, A. (1998). Open and industrialized building. London: E&FN Spon.
- Siltaoja M. E. (2006). Value priorities as combining core factors between CSR and reputation a qualitative study. *Journal of Business Ethics*. 68: 91–111.

- Siti Nurhuda Abd Wahid. (2010). Promoting sustainable construction in the Malaysian public procurement context. Oxford Brookes University, Headington, UK.
- Siti Uzairiah (2012). *Understanding the research methodology cage*. Malaysia: Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
- SOCSO (1998). Annual Report: Occurrence of accidental death by respective categories in 1998.
- The International Organization for Standardization (2010). *ISO 26000 Guidance on social responsibility*. United Kingdom: ISO.
- Ullmann, A. (1985). Data in search of a theory: a critical examination of the relationship among social performance, social disclosure, and economic performance. *Academy of Management Review*. 10(3), 540-577.
- Veleva, V. (2010). *The new ISO 26000 Social Responsibility Standard is launched*. Boston College Centre
- Visser, W. (2010). CSR 2.0: The evolution and revolution of corporate social responsibility. In Pohl, M. and Tolhurst, N. (Eds.) Responsible Business: How to Manage a CSR Strategy Successfully. Chichester: John Wiley& Sons.
- Wadell, H. (2008). Sustainable construction and UK legislation and policy. Management Procurement and Law. 161(MP3), 127-132.
- Ward, H. and Smith, C. (2006). *Corporate Social Responsibility at a Cross-roads:* Futures for CSR in the UK to 2015. London: International Institute for Environment & Development.
- Weber, O. (2005). Sustainability benchmarking of European banks and financial service organisations. *Corporate Social Responsiobility and Environmental Management*. 12(2), 73-87.
- Wibowo, A. (2009). The Contribution Of The Construction Industry To The Economy Of Indonesia: A Systemic Approach. Discussion Paper. Diponegoro University, Indonesia.

- World Business Council for Sustainable Development (1999). *Corporate Social Responsibility: Meeting Changing Expectations*. Geneva: World Business Council for Sustainable Development.
- World Commission on Environment and Development (WCED) (1987). *Our Common Future*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- World Commission on Environment and Development. (1987) Chapter Two, Our Common Future: Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development. United Nations Documents. Retrieved from http://www.undocuments.net/ocf-02.htm