

FUZZY SLIDING MODE CONTROLLER FOR CASCADED MULTILEVEL  
INVERTER

ALSHAMMARI FAHAD SHUMRAN

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

FUZZY SLIDING MODE CONTROLLER FOR CASCADED MULTILEVEL  
INVERTER

ALSHAMMARI FAHAD SHUMRAN

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Electrical-Power)

Faculty of Electrical Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2015

This thesis is dedicated to my father

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

First praise is to Allah, the Almighty, on whom ultimately we depend for sustenance and guidance. Second, apart from my efforts, the success of any project depends largely on the support and guidelines of many others who support me at every step I followed.

I would like to show my greatest appreciation to my supervisor Dr. Shahrin Md Ayob. I would thank him for his tremendous support and help. I feel motivated and encouraged at every time I attend his meeting. His knowledge, advices and patience have also got me overcome numerous difficulties encountered while doing the tasks.

I would like to express my very great appreciation to my wife for her valuable and constructive caring during the progress this project.

## **ABSTRACT**

This research provide an analytical study to sliding mode controller and fuzzy sliding mode controller for cascaded 5-Level inverter. The cascaded 5-Level inverter used in this research is implemented based on phase shifted Pulse width modulation technique. An output LC filter is used to reduce harmonics elements. The system is implemented mathematically using a state space representation. Then the averaging model is obtained on order to prepare the system's equations to be appreciated with sliding mode method. After modeling the system, sliding mode controller is designed. Lastly, Fuzzy logic controller is designed with desired scaling factor to fuzzify the sliding surface. Both controller are connected to three types of load to identify their behavior. The simulation results show that the proposed fuzzy sliding mode controller is more robust than sliding mode controller.

## **ABSTRAK**

Penyelidikan ini menghasilkan kajian analitikal alat kawalan mod sliding dan mod fuzzy sliding kepada 5 tahap jujukan alat penyongsang. Alat kawalan 5 tahap jujukan alat penyongsang yang digunapakai di dalam kajian ini adalah berdasarkan teknik modular pertukaran lebar denyutan. Oleh itu, penapis LC digunakan untuk menurunkan elemen harmoni. Secara matematikanya, sistem ini dilaksanakan secara a state space representation. Kemudian model pemurataan diperolehi untuk menyediakan persamaan sistem untuk kaedah mod sliding. Kemudian model ini direkabentuk. Akhir sekali, alat kawalan fuzzy direkabentuk berdasarkan pengukuran yang diperlukan untuk fuzzify permukaan sliding.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	ii
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	iv
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	vii
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	ix
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	x
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xii
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objective	2
	1.4 Scope	3
	1.5 Thesis Organization	3
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>5</b>
	2.1 Background	5
	2.2 Cascaded Multilevel Inverter	7
	2.2.1 MODULATION TECHNIQUES	8
	2.2.1.1 Phase-shifted PWM	9
	2.3 The Controller for Inverter	10
	2.3.1 Overview	11
	2.3.2 Fuzzy Sliding Mode Controller	13
	2.3.3 Application	14
	2.3.3.1 Uninterruptible power supply	14
	2.3.3.2 Pumps and Fans	14
	2.3.3.3 STATCOM	15

<b>3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>16</b>
3.1	Overview	16
3.2	System Modeling	17
3.2.1	Mathematical model	17
3.2.2	Average model	19
3.2.3	Sliding Mode Controller Design	21
3.2.3.1	Equivalent control	22
3.2.3.2	Switching Control	24
3.2.4	Fuzzy Sliding Mode Controller	26
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULT AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>30</b>
4.1	Sliding Mode Controller	31
4.1.1	SMC under Full Resistive load	32
4.1.2	SMC under Full Wave Rectifier Load	33
4.1.3	SMC under Triac-controlled Load	36
4.2	Fuzzy Sliding Mode Controller	38
4.2.1	FSMC under Full Resistive load	38
4.2.2	FSMC under Full wave Rectifier load	40
4.2.3	FSMC under Triac-controlled load	42
4.3	Comparison between SMC and FSMC	44
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>47</b>
5.1	Summary	47
5.2	Research Outcomes	47
5.3	Future Works	48
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>49</b>
	Appendix A	53



**LIST OF TABLES**

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
3.1	The reduced cell's output for power switches $T_a$ and $T_b$ .	18
3.2	The reduced cell's output for power switches $T_c$ and $T_d$ .	18
4.1	Cascaded 5-Level inverter parameters.	31
4.2	The parameters of both controllers.	31
4.3	The $THD_V$ of both controller.	44

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	output waveform of multilevel inverters	6
2.2	a) Diode clamp b) Flying capacitors c) cascade inverter	7
2.3	3-Level and 5-Level structures of cascaded inverter .	8
2.4	Modulation techniques for cascaded multilevel inverters.	9
2.5	PWM, from a contrast of carriers with reference	10
2.6	The classification of controllers	11
2.7	Switching criteria a) SMC b) FSMC.	13
3.1	Cascaded 5–level inverter with LC filter and different loads.	17
3.2	Simplified equivalent circuit for 5-level inverter.	19
3.3	The fuzzified sliding surface proposed.	28
3.4	Fuzzy sets of input/output membership functions of $\tilde{s}$ and $\tilde{u}$ .	28
4.1	The proposed cascaded 5-Level inverter.	30
4.2	The block diagram of the system with SMC.	32
4.3	The Voltage and current with SMC under full resistive load.	32
4.4	FFT for output voltage under full resistive load.	33
4.5	A) Reference and output voltages . B) Voltage error tracking.	34
4.6	The Voltage and current for SMC under FWR load.	34
4.7	FFT for output voltage under full wave rectifier load.	35
4.8	A) Reference and output voltages . B) Voltage error tracking.	35
4.9	The Voltage and current of SMC under Triac-controlled load.	36
4.10	FFT for output voltage under Triac-controlled load.	37
4.11	A) Reference and output voltages . B) Voltage error tracking.	37
4.12	Block diagram of the system with FSMC.	38
4.13	The Voltage and current of FSMC under FWR load.	39
4.14	FFT for output voltage under full resistive load.	39
4.15	A) Reference and output voltages . B) Voltage error tracking.	40
4.16	The Voltage and current of FSMC under FWR load.	41
4.17	FFT for output voltage under full resistive load.	41
4.18	A) Reference and output voltages . B) Voltage error tracking.	42
4.19	The Voltage and current of FSMC under FWR load.	43

4.20	The FFT for output voltage under full resistive load.	43
4.21	A) Reference and output voltages . B) Voltage error tracking.	44
4.22	The phase plane of sliding mode controller	45
4.23	The phase plane of fuzzy sliding mode controller	45
4.24	Switching criteria of SMC	46
4.25	Switching criteria of FSMC	46

**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

PV	-	Photovoltaic
UPS	-	uninterruptable power supply
FLC	-	Fuzzy Logic Controller
SMC	-	Sliding Mode Controller
FSMC	-	Fuzzy Sliding Mode Controller
THD	-	Total Harmonic Distortion
PWM	-	Pulse Width Modulation
STATCOM	-	static synchronous compensator
FFT	-	Fast Fourier transform
FWR	-	Full Wave Rectifier

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Background

Recently, the demand of energy conversion through power electronics are extremely increased. This because their capability and precise conversion of voltage, current, and frequency. The inverters is the most essential component of any DC to AC conversion equipment such as Photovoltaic (PV) inverters, induction motor drive, or the uninterruptable power supply (UPS), etc. The Inverter's main function is to transfer power from DC source into AC load. The process of conversion is achieved by using switches that are switched independently according to appreciate switching mechanism. Many topologies are offered in order to provide such process. Multilevel inverter is a common topology of inverters introduced by [1] [2]. The idea of multilevel inverter is to generate sinusoidal voltage by several levels of voltages. The advantages of using multilevel converter are to achieve a higher voltage capability, reduce the content of harmonics, reduce the EMI, and reduction of switching losses [3].

In some applications, cascaded multilevel inverter is required to provide a pure and stable sinusoidal AC voltage regardless of the type of load that is connected to it. It also requires being capable to recover from transients caused by external disturbances as quickly as possible. However, the inverter may provide nonlinear currents because of power converters connected as loads. The highly distorted currents may cause decline in the quality of its output voltage. Furthermore, the high voltage and current switching of the inverter generates harmonics which can be harmful to sensitive equipment. Therefore, the challenge of well-designed inverter is to maintain high quality sinusoidal output voltage under any load conditions. The key point to achieve such behavior is by designing appreciated controller with fast response. Nowadays, a dozens of control techniques have been proposed and applied to inverter systems. While, those controlling techniques have the same control objectives, they differ noticeably in terms of concept, design and approach. Some of controllers are

simple in principle but may be difficult during implementation. Oppositely, other controllers require complex understanding of its principle, but its implementation can be relatively simple. Multilevel inverter is typically operated under a closed-loop controller in order to have the desired output voltage. Therefore, the choice of a suitable control strategy is very significant. The control strategy should be chosen based on the requirements of the system.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

Fuzzy Logic Controller (FLC) is linguistic based controller. FLC is operated based on rules obtained through designer's experience and knowledge about the system behavior. It exhibits excellent immunity to disturbances. As well as, it offers a high degree of freedom in tuning its control parameters. While, FLC has no standardized design procedure; the design is basically heuristic in nature. The heuristic approach is difficult because it deals with large number of rules and tuning parameters that has to be considered to obtain acceptable performance. Sliding Mode controller (SMC) is more robust performance, highly immune to any external disturbances and parameter changes. But, the practical realization of the controller is difficult. As well as, the SMC suffers from chattering phenomenon problem around the sliding surface which is caused by imperfection of switching and computation delay [4]. To diminish the chattering, fuzzy logic is introduced around the sliding surface. Firstly, the design method of the SMC is adopted to select both the membership functions and fuzzy inference rules. Then, the sliding surface is fuzzified to alleviate the chattering problem [5].

## **1.3 Objective**

The objectives of the project are:

- To design, simulate, and analyze namely sliding mode controller and Fuzzy sliding mode controller applied to a 5-levels cascaded H-bridge.
- To compare the behavior of both controllers under different types of loads.

## 1.4 Scope

- The project scope will mainly focus on modeling, simulating and analysis Fuzzy Sliding mode Controller for Cascaded Multilevel Inverter using MATLAB/SIMULINK software
- Compare SMC with FSMC in term of the structure and their performance.
- Analysis of system behavior under varieties of load disturbances.

## 1.5 Thesis Organization

In order to make the reader grabs the flow and the contents of this project; the report is organized into five chapters as following:

Chapter 1 talks about the research motivations to continue in this research. Research objectives are also presented. The scope of this project has been viewed as well. Chapter 1 also illustrates the thesis organization.

Chapter 2 focuses on the background and literature review of cascaded multilevel inverters and their controllers. Besides that, it expresses the concept of cascaded multilevel inverters and modulation techniques literature review in previous papers. In addition, the controlling strategies are generally stated for review. A background and basic information of sliding mode controllers, and fuzzy sliding mode controller are represented. Finally, a real life application of cascaded multilevel inverters with corresponding controllers are illustrated.

Chapter 3 will generally highlight the overall design parameters and derivations of the system equations. The mathematical of cascaded multilevel inverters is derived through state space equations and averaging technique. Then, sliding mode controller is designed based on derived mathematical representation of the system. A fuzzy logic controller is designed for the sliding surface. Lastly, the control signal equations for both controllers are obtained.

Chapter 4 will show the performance evaluations of system for both controllers under varieties of loads, compare the dynamic behavior of the controllers. Initially, the system and controllers parameters are shown in order to apply them into the

loads. Then, the system with sliding mode controller is tested under full resistive, full wave rectifier, and Triac-controlled loads. The data for those tests are obtained. Fuzzy sliding mode controller is then tested for the same types of loads and system parameters. Finally, a comparison between both controllers is provided to conclude this chapter.

Chapter 5 will summarize the system modeling and simulation result of the research. Also, it will conclude the result of comparison between the two controllers. Recommendation and future work is suggested at the end.



## REFERENCES

1. R. Baker, "High-voltage converter circuit," May 1980.
2. A. T. . A. Nabae, "A new neutral-point-clamped pwm-inverter," *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 518–523, 1981.
3. K. Corzine, "Control of cascaded multi-level inverters," vol. 3, pp. 1549 – 1555, Electric Machines and Drives Conference. IEEE, 2003.
4. N. A. A. S. M. A. a. Z. Salam., "A review on controllers for pwm inverters," (Johor Bahru), pp. 589 – 593, IEEE International Conference on Power and Energy, 2008.
5. J.-F. C. En-Chih Chang, Tsorng-Juu Liang and R.-L. Lin, "A sliding- mode controller based on fuzzy logic for pwm inverters," vol. 2, (Tainan), pp. 965 – 968, Circuits and Systems, IEEE, Dec 2010.
6. W. E. Newell, "Power electronics—emerging from limbo," *Industry Applications, IEEE Transactions*, vol. IA-10, no. 1, pp. 7 – 11, 2007.
7. M. S. j. Asghar, *Power Electronics*. New Delhi: Prentice-Hall, 2004.
8. M.-C. Jiang, "A novel single-phase soft-switching unipolar pwm inverter," (Jeju), pp. 2874 – 2879, 8th international Conference on Power Electronics, IEEE, May 2011.
9. J.-S. Lai and F. Z. Peng, "Multilevel converters-a new breed of power converters," (Orlando, FL), Industry Applications Conference, IEEE, Oct 1995.
10. J.-S. L. Rodriguez, J and F. Z. Peng, "Multilevel inverters: A survey of topologies, controls, and applications," *Industrial Electronics, IEEE Transactions*, vol. 49, pp. 724 – 738, Aug 2002.
11. B. Shanthi and S. Natarajan, "Comparative study on unipolar multicarrier pwm strategies for five level flying capacitor inverter," (Perundurai, Tamilnadu), Control, Automation, Communication and Energy Conservation, IEEE, June 2009.
12. M. M. Marchesoni, M and, "Multilevel converters for high power ac drives: a

- review,” (Budapest, Hungary), pp. 38 – 43, IEEE, Jun 1993.
13. F. Z. P. Tolbert, L.M. and T. Habetler, “A multilevel converter-based universal power conditioner,” *Industry Applications, IEEE Transactions*, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 596 – 603, 2000.
  14. J.-H. K. Sun-kyoung Lim and K. Nam, “A dc-link voltage balancing algorithm for 3-level converter using the zero sequence current,” vol. 2, (Charleston, SC), pp. 1083 – 1088, Power Electronics Specialists Conference, IEEE, Jun 1999.
  15. H. Xiaoming Yuan, Stemmler and I. Barbi, “Investigation on the clamping voltage self-balancing of the three-level capacitor clamping inverters,” vol. 2, (Charleston, SC), pp. 1059 – 1064, Power Electronics Specialists Conference, IEEE, 1999.
  16. M. J. Peng, F.Z. and D. Adams, “A power line conditioner using cascade multilevel inverters for distribution systems,” vol. 2, (New Orleans, LA), pp. 1316 – 1321, Industry Applications Conference IEEE, Oct 1997.
  17. I. Pharne and Y. Bhosale, “A review on multilevel inverter topology,” (Sri Ranganalathum Dindigul), pp. 700 – 703, Power, Energy and Control (ICPEC), IEEE, Feb 2013.
  18. E. K. Ilhami Colaka and R. Bayindira, “Review of multilevel voltage source inverter topologies and control schemes,” *Energy Conversion and Management*, vol. 52, pp. 1114 – 1128, Feb 2011.
  19. K. c. a. Y. Familiant, “A new cascaded multi-level h-bridge drive,” *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 125–130, 2002.
  20. C. F. Cecati, C. and P. Siano, “A multilevel inverter for photovoltaic systems with fuzzy logic control,” *Industrial Electronics, IEEE Transactions*, vol. 75, no. 12, pp. 4115 – 4125, 2010.
  21. G. A. Gupta, R. and A. Joshi, “Switching characterization of cascaded multilevel-inverter-controlled systems,” *Industrial Electronics, IEEE Transactions*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 1047 – 1058, 2008.
  22. J. . L.-J. . K. S. Franquelo, L.G. ; Rodriguez, “The age of multilevel converters arrives,” *Industrial Electronics Magazine, IEEE*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 28 – 39, 2008.
  23. N. Celanovic and D. Boroyevich, “Industry applications conference,” vol. 2, (Phoenix, AZ), pp. 1173 – 1177, Industry Applications Conference, IEEE, Oct 1999.
  24. U. A. G. E. Sanchis, P. and L. Marroyo, “Boost dc-ac inverter: a new control

- strategy,” *Power Electronics, IEEE Transactions*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 343 – 353, 2005.
25. Y. Liang and C. Nwankpa, “A new type of statcom based on cascading voltage-source inverters with phase-shifted unipolar spwm,” *Industry Applications, IEEE Transactions*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 1118 – 1123, 2002.
  26. K. A. Gokhale, Kalyan P. and R. Hoft, “Dead beat microprocessor control of pwm inverter for sinusoidal output waveform synthesis,” *Industry Applications, IEEE Transactions*, vol. IA-23, no. 5, pp. 901 – 910, 2008.
  27. J. Reddy and K. Reddy, “Power quality improvement using neural network controller based cascaded h-bridge multilevel inverter type d-statcom,” (Coimbatore), pp. 1 – 6, International Conference on Computer Communication and Informatics, IEEE, 2012.
  28. T.-J. L. a. R.-L. L. Jiann-Fuh Chen En-Chih Chang, “A sliding-mode controller based on fuzzy logic for pwm inverters,” vol. 2, (Tainan), pp. 965 – 968, Asia-Pacific Conference on Circuits and System, IEEE, 2004.
  29. V. I. Utkin, *Sliding Modes in Control and Optimization*. Springer, 1992.
  30. K. J. Kim, “Chattering free sliding mode control,” (Busan), pp. 732 – 735, International Joint Conference, IEEE, 2006.
  31. F. Song and S. Smith, “A comparison of sliding mode fuzzy controller and fuzzy sliding mode controller,” (Atlanta, GA), pp. 480 – 484, International Conference of the North American, IEEE, Jul 2000.
  32. S. Slotine, J.J. ; Sastry, “Tracking control of nonlinear systems using sliding surfaces with application to robot manipulator,” (San Francisco, CA), pp. 132 – 135, American Control Conference, IEEE, 1983.
  33. P. H. Botteron, F., “A three-phase ups that complies with the standard iec 62040-3.,” *Industrial Electronics, IEEE Transactions*, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 2120 – 2136, 2007.
  34. W. W. Francis, B.A., “The internal model principle of control theory.,” *Automatica*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 457 – 465, 1976.
  35. C. S. R. M.-P. N. R. V. J. Rodriguez, J. Pontt and S. Fuentes, “Resonances and overvoltages in a medium-voltage fan motor drive with long cables in an underground mine,” *Industrial Electronics, IEEE Transactions*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 856 – 863, 2006.
  36. M. A. R. V. J. A. Barrena, L. Marroyo and J. R. T. Apraiz, “Individual voltage balancing strategy for pwm cascaded h-bridge converterbased statcom,”

- Industrial Electronics, IEEE Transactions*, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 21 – 29, 2008.
37. V. E.-P. G. N.-P. D. Miranda, H. ; Cardenas, “Multilevel cascade inverter with voltage and current output regulated using a passivity - based controller,” (Tampa, FL), pp. 974 – 981, Industry Applications Conference,, IEEE, 2006.
  38. Q. R.-D. D.-W.-H. Ha, Q.P. ; Nguyen, “Fuzzy sliding-mode controllers with applications,” *Industrial Electronics, IEEE Transactions*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 38 – 46, 2001.
  39. S.-H. K. Y.-H. K. K.-M. S. S.-S. B. K.-S. Kim, “Harmonic analysis and output filter design of npc multi-level inverters,” (Jeju), pp. 1 – 5, Power Electronics Specialists Conference, IEEE, 2006.
  40. L. F. a. S. S. A. Sabanovic, *Variable Structure Systems: from principles to implementation*. The Institution of Engineering and Technology, 2004.