# OBJECT TRACKING FROM UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE USING KANADE-LUCAS-TOMASI TRACKER AND SPEEDED UP ROBUST FEATURES

### FALAH JABAR RAHIM

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Electrical-Electronics & Telecommunications)

> Faculty of Electrical Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > JANUARY 2015

This thesis is dedicated to my parents.

For their endless love, support, encouragement.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

My first thanks is for my supervisor, Dr. USMAN ULLAH SHEIKH. This thesis would not have been possible without his valuable feedback. Most importantly, I want to thank to my family for endless support throughout my whole studies.

#### ABSTRACT

Object detection and tracking are important and challenging tasks in many computer vision applications such as surveillance, vehicle navigation, and autonomous robot navigation. Video surveillance in a dynamic environment, especially for humans and vehicles, is one of the current challenging research topics in computer vision. In this thesis a tracking and location method for Unmanned Aerial VehicleVision System called UAV tracker is proposed. In this method, the target is extracted from the Region of Interested (ROI) automatically by Speeded Up Robust Features (SURF); then the Kanade-Lucas-Tomasi tracker is used to get the target's position in the sequence images. The proposed framework learns about target appearance by updating the object module in each frame, which can further improve the robustness of tracker as well as feature extraction and matching process. Extensive experimental results are provided by comparing proposed algorithm with (15) related approaches on (15) challenging sequences, which demonstrate the robust tracking achieved by proposed tracker. Experimental results show that the proposed method deals with translation, rotation, partial occlusion, deformation, pose, scale changes, similar appearance and illumination change successfully.

#### ABSTRAK

Pengesanan penjejakan objek merupakan salah satu perkara penting dan mencabar dalam bidang penglihatan komputer terutamanya untuk aplikasi seperti pemantauan, navigasi kenderaan dan navigasi robot berautonomi. Pemantauan video dalam persekitaran dinamik terutamanya untuk manusia dan kenderaan merupakan salah satu topik hangat dalam bidang penglihatan komputer. Thesis ini mencadangkan kaedah penjejakan dan lokasi untuk sistem penglihatan kenderaan udara tanpa pemandu (UAV) yang juga dikenali sebagai penjejak UAV. Dalam kaedah yang dicadang, sasaran akan diekstrak dari kawasan berkepentingan (ROI) secara automatik menggunakan Cirian Teguh Yang Dipercepatkan (SURF) dan kemudian dengan penjejak Kanade-Lucas-Tomasi digunakan untuk menentukan lokasi sasaran dalam urutan imej. Rangka kerja yang dicadangkan belajar penampilan sasaran dengan mengemaskini modul objek dalam setiap kerangka yang dapat meningkatkan keteguhan penjejak dan juga pengekstrakan cirian dan proses pemadanan. Keputusan experimental yang menyeluruh terhadap 15 urutan yang mencabar berserta 15 kaedah sedia ada diberikan. Keputusan experimental menunjukkan bahawa kaedah yang dicadangkan dapat menangani penterjemahan, putaran, penutupan separa, perubahan pada bentuk, posisi, skala, penampilan yang serupa dan pencahayaan dengan berjaya.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	V
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	Х
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF ABBERIVATIONS	xiii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	XV
	LIST OF APPENDIXES	xvii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Problem statement	2
	1.3 Objectives	2
	1.4 Project Scope	3
	1.5 Thesis Outline	3
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	4
	2.1 Main challenges in video tracking	4
	2.2 Literature survey	6
	2.2.1 Particle Filter	6
	2.2.2 Kalman Filter	6

	2.2.3 Kernel based tracking	7
	2.2.4 Mean Shift	7
	2.2.5 Frame by frame tracking	8
	2.2.6 Patches based tracking	9
	C	
3	<b>BACKGROUND THEORY</b>	11
	3.1 Target Detection	11
	3.1.1 Object Representation	12
	3.1.2 Feature detection	14
	3.1.3 SIFT	15
	3.1.4 SURF	21
	3.2 Target Tracking	25
	3.2.1 Gradient-based Trackers	26
	3.2.2 Mean-Shift (MS) Tracker	28
4	METHODOLOGY	31
	4.1 System Overview	31
	4.2 Initialization	33
	4.3 Run-time	33
	4.4 Object Detection	34
	4.4.1 Feature Extraction and Matching	34
	(SURF)	
	4.4.2 SURF features parameters	35
	4.5 Object tracker	37
	4.5.1 Forward-Backward error	37
	4.6 Random Sample Consensus (RANSAC)	39
	4.6.1 The RANSAC algorithm	40
	4.6.2 Results from RANSAC	43
	4.7 Update initial frame	44
	4.8 Combine detector and tracker	44
		1-1

5 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

45

	5.1	Setup	45	
		5.1.1 Code library	46	
	5.2	Evaluation Methodology	46	
	5.3	Dataset	47	
	5.4	Quantitative analysis	49	
		5.4.1 Comparison 1 using dataset1	50	
		5.4.2 Comparison 2 using dataset2	52	
	5.5	Qualitative analysis	54	
		5.5.1 Strengths	54	
		5.5.2 Weaknesses	59	
6	CO	NCLUSION	62	
	6.1	Research Summary	62	
	6.2	Research Finding	63	
	6.3	Recommendation for future work	63	
REFERENCES			64	
Appendix			70	

Appendix	ζ
----------	---

ix

# LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
4.1	Basic affine transforms relations	42
5.1	Sequences in dataset1	48
5.2	Sequences in dataset2	49
5.3	Evaluated tracking algorithms	50
A1	Code library folders	70

# LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Main challenges in video tracking	5
3.1	Object representation (a)to (i)	14
3.2	DoG method to detect keypoints	16
3.3	The stage of keypoins selection(SIFT)	18
3.4	Keypoint descriptor	20
3.5	Object detection in clutter background by	21
	using(SIFT)	
3.6	Haar wavelet responses to calculate SURF	23
	descriptor	
3.7	Detect object of interest to be found in clutter	24
	background by using(SURF)	
4.1	Architecture of object tracking system	32
4.2	Forward -backward error measurement	38
4.3	Basic affine transform	41
4.4	Result from RANSAC algorithm (a)to (b)	43
5.1	Overlapping between two boxes	47
5.2	Tracking sequences for evaluation	48
5.3	The first frame of each sequence where the object	49
	Defined by yellow box	
5.4	Overall performance score for each tracker on	51
	dataset1	
5.5	Overall performance score for each tracker on	52
	dataset2	
5.6	Tracking results	53
5.7	Tracking under scale change	54

5.8	Illumination changes	55
5.9	Appearance changes	56
5.10	Partial occlusion	57
5.11	Target similarity	58
5.12	Example of out-of-plane rotation	59
5.13	Failure in tracking when full occlusion occurs	60
5.14	Effect of updating he initial frame	61

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AUC	-	Area under curve
BRISK	-	Binary Robust Invariant Scalable Keypoints
BSBT	-	Beyond Semi-Supervised Tracking
CPF	-	Convolution particle filtering
DoG	-	Difference of Gaussians
DFT	-	Distribution Field Tracking
EKF	-	Extended Kalman filter
EMD	-	Earth Mover's Distance
FRAG	-	Fragments
KF	-	Kalman filter
KMS	-	Kernel Mean-shift
KLT	-	Kanade-Lucas-Tomasi
LoG	-	Laplacian of Gaussians
LOT	-	Locally Orderless Tracking
MHT	-	Multiple Hypothesis Trackers
MS	-	Mean-Shift Tracker
MIL	-	Multiple Instance Learning
RANSAC	-	Random Sample Consensus
ROI	-	Region of interest
SIFT	-	Scale-Invariant Feature Transform
SURF	-	Speeded Up Robust Features

SAD	-	Sum of absolute differences
SHT	-	Single Hypothesis Trackers
SSD	-	Sum of squared differences
SemiT	-	Semi-supervised Tracker
UAV	-	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle
TLD	-	Tracking Learning Detection

# LIST OF SYMBOLS

σ	-	Scale parameter
G	-	Gaussian kernel
Ι	-	Input image
L	-	Smoothed image
D	-	Stands for DoG
Η	-	Hessian matrix
$D_{xx}$	-	Partial derivative in x-axis
$D_{xy}$	-	Partial derivative in y-axis
v	-	Descriptor vector
W	-	Window size
x <sub>t</sub>	-	Object state
w	-	Pixel location
$b^T$	-	Template transpose
It	-	Template
$I_k$	-	Original image
$\hat{q}$	-	Model histogram
$\hat{p}$	-	Candidate histogram
N <sub>b</sub>	-	Number of pixels
$\hat{q}_u$	-	Target model
$\hat{p}_u$	-	Likelihood value
ρ	-	Bhattacharyya coefficient

- ∩ Intersection
- U Union
- S Intersection area
- $t_0$  Overlapping threshold

# LIST OF APPENDIX

APPENDIX

TITLE

PAGE

A

Code library

70

#### **CHAPTER 1**

#### **INTRODUCTION**

### 1.1 Introduction

Video surveillance is an active research topic in computer vision that focuses on to detection, recognition and tracking objects over a sequence of images and it also makes an attempt to understand and describe object behaviour by replacing the aging old traditional method of monitoring cameras by human operators. Object detection and tracking are important and challenging tasks in many computer vision applications such as surveillance, vehicle navigation and autonomous robot navigation. Object detection involves locating objects in the frame of a video sequence. Every tracking method requires an object detection mechanism either in every frame or when the object first appears in the video. Object tracking is the process of locating an object or multiple objects over time using a camera. The high availability of computers, high quality video and Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAV) to perform challenging surveillance, monitoring tasks, has generated a great deal of interest in object tracking algorithms.

In order to achieve the goal of autonomous UAV operation, algorithms for automatic target detection and tracking are deployed on aerial platforms. Nevertheless, processing of UAV imagery is challenging due to several factors such as rapid camera motion and low-resolution images captured from high altitude vehicles making it difficult to discriminate similar targets. Additional challenges stem from the fact that targets may undergo significant appearance changes and they can also go in and out of camera field of view. Since the technology has matured and the general hardware is sufficient, so it is necessary to start conducting research in the area and develop a video tracking solution that can be applicable to surveillance missions carried out by an UAV.

#### 1.2 Problem Statement

This project aims to propose an object-tracking algorithm, which can detect and track moving or stationary object in video streams, which is captured from a moving or stationary camera. This algorithm will address some of the tracking challenges such as; pose change, partial occlusion, appearance and viewpoint changes, background clutter, scale changes and Illumination changes.

### 1.3 Objectives

- (i) Given a bounding box defining the object of interest in a single frame, the goal is to automatically determine the object's bounding box in every frame that follows.
- (ii) To design object detection and tracking which overcome the following challenges :
  - Illumination changes.
  - Similar targets.
  - Clutter background.
  - Scale change.
  - Out-of- plane rotation.

### 1.4 Project Scope

The aim of this project is to propose a tracking algorithm which can track single moving or stationary object in video streams, which are captured from moving or stationary camera.

### 1.5 Thesis Outline

The structure of this thesis is as follows. In chapter 2 reviewed previous works related to object tracking. Chapter 3 describes the methodology of the proposed object tracking method. Chapter 4 goes to explain object tracking method and, implementing the algorithms with necessary parameters. In Chapter 5 the experimental results and performance of the proposed algorithm is provided. In 6, the work is concluded and direction for future work is proposed.

#### REFERENCES

- Avidan, S (2007). Ensemble tracking. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on, 29(2), 261-271.
- Adam, A., Rivlin, E., and Shimshoni, I. (2006, June). Robust fragments-based tracking using the integral histogram. In Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2006 IEEE Computer Society Conference on (Vol. 1, pp. 798-805). IEEE.
- Bradski, G. R. (1998). Computer vision face tracking for use in a perceptual user interface
- Babenko, B., Yang, M. H., and Belongie, S. (2009, June). Visual trackingOnline multiple instance learning. In Computer Vision and PatternRecognition, 2009. CVPR 2009. IEEE Conference on (pp. 983-990). IEEE.
- Bay, H., Ess, A., Tuytelaars, T., and Van Gool, L. (2008). Speeded-up robust features (SURF). Computer vision and image understanding, 110(3), 346-359.
- Babenko, B., Yang, M. H., and Belongie, S. (2011). Robust object tracking with online multiple instance learning. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on, 33(8), 1619-1632.
- Comaniciu, D., Ramesh, V., and Meer, P. (2003). Kernel-based object tracking.Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on, 25(5), 564-577.
- Collins, R. T., Liu, Y., and Leordeanu, M. (2005). Online selection of discriminative tracking features. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on, 27(10), 1631-1643.

- Chapelle, O., B. Schölkopf, and A. Zien, editors. Semi-Supervised Learning. The MIT Press, Sept. 2006. 3, 28, 29
- Cheng, P., Zhou, G., and Zheng, Z. (2009). Detecting and counting vehicles from small low-cost UAV images. In ASPRS 2009 Annual Conference, Baltimore (Vol. 3, pp. 9-13).
- Comaniciu, D., Ramesh V., and Meer P.(2000) Detection and Tracking of Point Features Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, Proceedings. IEEE Conference, Vol. 2, pages 142-149, 2000
- Collins, R., Zhou, X., and Teh, S. K. (2005, January). An open source tracking testbed and evaluation web site. In IEEE International Workshop on Performance Evaluation of Tracking and Surveillance (pp. 17-24).
- Collins, R. T. (2003, June). Mean-shift blob tracking through scale space. InComputer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2003. Proceedings. 2003 IEEEComputer Society Conference on (Vol. 2, pp. II-234). IEEE.
- Del Moral, P. (1996). Non-linear filtering: interacting particle resolution. Markov Processes and related fields, 2(4), 555-581.
- Fischler, M. A., and Bolles, R. C. (1981). Random sample consensus: a paradigm for model fitting with applications to image analysis and automated cartography. Communications of the ACM, 24(6), 381-395.
- Grabner, H., Leistner, C., and Bischof, H. (2008). Semi-supervised on-line boosting for robust tracking. In Computer Vision–ECCV 2008 (pp. 234-247). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Grabner, M., Grabner, H., and Bischof, H. (2007, June). Learning features for tracking. In Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2007. CVPR'07. IEEE Conference on (pp. 1-8). IEEE.

- Grabner, H., Grabner, M., and Bischof, H. (2006, September). Real-Time Tracking via On-line Boosting. In BMVC (Vol. 1, No. 5, p. 6).
- Haykin, S. S. (Ed.). (2001). Kalman filtering and neural networks (pp. 123-174). New York: Wiley.
- Hare, S., Saffari, A., and Torr, P. H. (2011, November). Struck: Structured output
- Shahed Nejhum, S. M., Ho, J., and Yang, M. H. (2008, June). Visual tracking with histograms and articulating blocks. In Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2008. CVPR 2008. IEEE Conference on (pp. 1-8). IEEE.
- Hua, G., and Wu, Y. (2006, June). Measurement integration under inconsistency for robust tracking. In Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2006 IEEE Computer Society Conference on (Vol. 1, pp. 650-657). IEEE.
- Harris, C., and Stephens, M. (1988, August). A combined corner and edge detector.In Alvey vision conference (Vol. 15, p. 50).
- Henriques, J. F., Caseiro, R., Martins, P., and Batista, J. (2012). Exploiting the Circulant structure of tracking-by-detection with kernels. In Computer Vision– ECCV 2012 (pp. 702-715). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Hare, S., Saffari, A., and Torr, P. H. (2012, June). Efficient online structured output learning for keypoint-based object tracking. In Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2012 IEEE Conference on (pp. 1894-1901). IEEE.
- Isard, M., and Blake, A. (1998). Condensation—conditional density propagation for visual tracking. International journal of computer vision, 29(1), 5-28.
- Javed, O., Ali, S., and Shah, M. (2005, June). Online detection and classification of moving objects using progressively improving detectors. In Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2005. CVPR 2005. IEEE Computer Society Conference on (Vol. 1, pp. 696-701). IEEE.

- Kalal, Z., Mikolajczyk, K., and Matas, J. (2012). Tracking-learning-detection. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on, 34(7), 1409-1422.
- Kalal, Z., Matas, J., and Mikolajczyk, K. (2010, June). Pn learning: Bootstrapping binary classifiers by structural constraints. In Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2010 IEEE Conference on (pp. 49-56). IEEE.
- Kalal, Z., Mikolajczyk, K., and Matas, J. (2010, August). Forward-backward error: Automatic detection of tracking failures. In Pattern Recognition (ICPR), 2010 20th International Conference on (pp. 2756-2759). IEEE.
- Lucas, B. D., and Kanade, T. (1981, August). An iterative image registration technique with an application to stereo vision. In IJCAI (Vol. 81, pp. 674-679).
- Lucas, B. D., and Kanade, T. (1981, August). An iterative image registration technique with an application to stereo vision. In IJCAI (Vol. 81, pp. 674-679).
- Lowe, D. G. (2004). Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints. International journal of computer vision, 60(2), 91-110.
- Leutenegger, S., Chli, M., and Siegwart, R. Y. (2011, November). BRISK: Binary robust invariant scalable keypoints. In Computer Vision (ICCV), 2011 IEEE International Conference on (pp. 2548-2555). IEEE.
- Lowe, D. G. (2004). Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints. International journal of computer vision, 60(2), 91-110.
- Maggio, E., and Cavallaro, A. (2011). Video tracking: theory and practice. John Wiley & Sons.
- Nebehay, Georg and Pflugfelder, Roman. (2014). Consensus-based Matching and Tracking of Keypoints for Object Tracking. IEEE.

- Oron, S., Bar-Hillel, A., Levi, D., and Avidan, S. (2012, June). Locally orderless tracking. In Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2012 IEEE Conference on (pp. 1940-1947). IEEE.
- Ozuysal, M., Calonder, M., Lepetit, V., and Fua, P. (2010). Fast keypoint recognition using random ferns. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on, 32(3), 448-461.
- Özuysal, M., Lepetit, V., Fleuret, F., and Fua, P. (2006). Feature harvesting for tracking-by-detection. In Computer Vision–ECCV 2006 (pp. 592-605). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Pérez, P., Hue, C., Vermaak, J., and Gangnet, M. (2002). Color-based probabilistic tracking. In Computer vision—ECCV 2002 (pp. 661-675). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Rosten, E., and Drummond, T. (2005, October). Fusing points and lines for high performance tracking. In Computer Vision, 2005. ICCV 2005. Tenth IEEE International Conference on (Vol. 2, pp. 1508-1515). IEEE.
- Ross, D. A., Lim, J., Lin, R. S., and Yang, M. H. (2008). Incremental learning for robust visual tracking. International Journal of Computer Vision, 77(1-3), 125-141.
- Stalder, S., Grabner, H., and Van Gool, L. (2009, September). Beyond semisupervised tracking: Tracking should be as simple as detection, but not simpler than recognition. In Computer Vision Workshops (ICCV Workshops), 2009 IEEE 12th International Conference on (pp. 1409-1416). IEEE.
- Santner, J., Leistner, C., Saffari, A., Pock, T., and Bischof, H. (2010, June). Prost: Parallel robust online simple tracking. In Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2010 IEEE Conference on (pp. 723-730). IEEE.
- Stauffer, C., and Grimson, W. E. L. (2000). Learning patterns of activity using realtime tracking. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions

- Sevilla, L., and Learned-Miller, E. (2012, June). Distribution fields for tracking Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2012 IEEE Conference on (pp. 1910-1917). IEEE.
- Tomasi, C., and Kanade, T. (1991). Detection and tracking of point features. Pittsburgh: School of Computer Science, Carnegie Mellon Univ.
- Viola, P., and Jones, M. (2001). Rapid object detection using a boosted cascade of simple features. In Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2001. CVPR 2001. Proceedings of the 2001 IEEE Computer Society Conference on (Vol. 1, pp. I-511). IEEE.
- Wu, Y., Lim, J., and Yang, M. H. (2013, June). Online object tracking:A benchmark. In Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2013IEEE Conference on (pp. 2411-2418). IEEE.
- Xiao, J., and Shah, M. (2005). Motion layer extraction in the presence of occlusion using graph cuts. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on, 27(10), 1644-1659.
- Yilmaz, A., Javed, O., and Shah, M. (2006). Object tracking: A survey. Acm computing surveys (CSUR), 38(4), 13.
- Zhang, L., and van der Maaten, L. (2013, June). Structure preserving object tracking. In Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2013 IEEE Conference on (pp. 1838-1845). IEEE.