

POSITION CONTROL USING FUZZY-BASED CONTROLLER FOR
PNEUMATIC-SERVO CYLINDER IN BALL AND BEAM APPLICATION

MUHAMMAD ASYRAF BIN AZMAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Electrical)

Faculty of Electrical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

AUGUST 2014

*Specially dedicated to
my family for their encouragement and blessings,
my wife CK. Farhana for her support and care.
Thanks for always being there for me.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praise to Allah the Almighty, the Most Gracious, Most Merciful, and Most Benevolent for His blessings and for giving me this opportunity to complete this research successfully. My sincere appreciation goes to my supervisor, Ir. Dr. Ahmad ‘Athif Mohd Faudzi for his guidance, patience and support throughout the whole project until completion.

My appreciation also goes to my beloved family for their endless support and unconditional love. From the day I was born until now they are the ones that taught me everything. Without them I am nothing. I am also very thankful to my research group members especially Engr. Khairuddin bin Osman, Engr. Nu’man Din bin Mustafa, Engr. Omer Faris, Engr, Amar Faiz and Engr. Chai Chang Kai.

Last but not least, to all my friends that helped and shared ideas and material for this research. Thank you very much for the support given either directly or indirectly during the completion of this thesis. Once again thank you very much to all.

ABSTRACT

Pneumatic actuator is widely used in the automation industry and in the field of automatic control due to its advantages such as high power to weight ratio, cost-effective and uses air as a clean medium to drive it. However, pneumatic actuator also has some drawback in control due to the nonlinear factors such as air compressibility and friction. Therefore, the purpose of this research is to design a controller that will control the position of the cylinder pneumatic stroke. Fuzzy Logic Control is proposed because of its simplicity in terms of less mathematical equation and also its performance in controlling the nonlinearities. Three different types of Fuzzy Logic controller were designed and compared to observe the performance of the controller in controlling the pneumatic actuator. An optimization method of the Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) algorithm is used in tuning the fuzzy control parameter. PSO is used to find the best value of the parameter involved in the controller design. Both controllers and optimization method are designed using MATLAB/Simulink platform from position transfer function obtained by System Identification (SI) technique. Then, the simulation results are analyzed and validated with real-time experiment using the Data Acquisition (DAQ) card. The experiment has been done to the pneumatic actuator with different loads and positions target. A Pneumatic Ball and Beam System (PABBS) is proposed as the application of the position controller. The mathematical model of the system is developed and tested with simulation and experiments for its fast response and stability in controlling the ball movement. Results show that Proportional-Derivative Fuzzy Logic Controller (PD-Fuzzy) offers better control compared to other controllers in terms of stability and robustness for the pneumatic actuator and cascaded PD-Fuzzy controller gives better control compared with position and rate feedback controller for the PABBS application.

ABSTRAK

Sistem pneumatik banyak digunakan di dalam sektor industri automasi dan juga di dalam bidang kawalan automatik kerana kelebihan yang dimiliki seperti kuasa yang tinggi dinisbahkan kepada berat, kos yang efektif dan menggunakan udara yang bersih sebagai medium penggerak. Walau bagaimanapun, penggerak pneumatik juga terdapat beberapa kelemahan untuk mengawal disebabkan oleh tak lurus yang terlibat seperti kemampatan udara and geseran. Oleh itu, tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mereka bentuk satu pengawal yang akan mengawal kedudukan lejang pneumatik silinder. Kawalan Logik Kabur adalah dicadangkan kerana kemudahan dari segi persamaan matematik yang kurang dan juga prestasi dalam mengawal tak lurus. Tiga Kawalan Logik Kabur yang berbeza telah direka dan dibandingkan untuk melihat prestasi kawalan dalam mengawal penggerak pneumatik. Satu kaedah pengoptimuman algoritma Pengoptimuman Kerumunan Zarah (PSO) digunakan dalam mencari parameter yang sesuai. PSO digunakan untuk mencari nilai terbaik parameter yang terlibat dalam reka bentuk pengawal. Kedua-dua pengawal dan kaedah pengoptimuman direka menggunakan MATLAB/Simulink platform dari fungsi pemindahan kedudukan yang diperolehi dari teknik Sistem Pengenalan (SI). Kemudian, keputusan simulasi dianalisis dan disahkan dengan eksperimen masa nyata menggunakan kad Perolehan Data (DAQ) dengan beban dan kedudukan sasaran yang berbeza. Satu sistem pneumatik bola dan rasuk (PABBS) dicadangkan sebagai aplikasi pengawal kedudukan. Model matematik sistem ini dibangunkan dan diuji secara simulasi dan eksperimen untuk tindak balas yang cepat dan kestabilan dalam mengawal pergerakan bola. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa Pengawal Logik Kabur Berkadar-Derivatif (*PD-Fuzzy*) memberi pengawal kawalan yang lebih baik berbanding dengan pengawal lain dari segi kestabilan dan kekukuhan untuk penggerak pneumatik dan pengawal *cascaded PD-Fuzzy* memberikan kawalan yang lebih baik berbanding dengan kedudukan dan pengawal maklum balas kadar untuk aplikasi PABBS.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Overview	1
	1.2 Motivation	3
	1.3 Problem Statement	3
	1.4 Objective	4
	1.5 Scope	5
	1.6 Thesis Outline	5
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
	2.1 Introduction	7
	2.2 Pneumatic Cylinder Actuator (Intelligent Actuator)	7
	2.3 Position Control Algorithm	11
	2.4 Optimization Technique	15
	2.5 Ball and Beam System	16
	2.6 Summary	18

3	METHODOLOGY	19
3.1	Introduction	19
3.2	Methodologies Approaches	20
3.3	System Modelling and Designs	21
3.3.1	The Pneumatic-servo Cylinder Plant	21
3.3.2	Pneumatic Actuated Ball and Beam System (PABBS)	25
3.4	Controllers Design	32
3.4.1	Pneumatic-servo Cylinder Controller Design – Inner Loop	33
3.4.2	PABBS Controller Design – Outer Loop	44
3.5	Swarm Intelligent – Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)	50
3.6	Design of Pneumatic Actuated Ball and Beam System Structure	54
3.6.1	PABBS CAD Design	55
3.6.2	PABBS Dimension	57
3.6.3	PABBS Parts	58
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	60
4.1	Introduction	60
4.2	Experimental Setup	61
4.2.1	Real-time Experimental Setup for Position Control	61
4.2.2	Real-time Experimental Setup with variation of loads	62
4.2.3	Real-time Experimental Setup for PABBS	64
4.3	Implementation, Results and Discussion for Pneumatic-servo Cylinder	65
4.3.1	Simulation-based for Pneumatic-servo Cylinder	65
4.3.2	Real-time Experimental-based for Pneumatic-servo Cylinder	75
4.3.3	Comparison Between Simulation and Experiment for Pneumatic-servo Cylinder	85

4.4	Implementation, Results and Discussion for PABBS Application	86
4.4.1	Simulation-based for PABBS	88
4.4.2	Real-time Experimental-based for PABBS Application	94
4.4.3	Comparison Between Simulation and Experiment for PABBS	100
5	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	101
5.1	Conclusion	101
5.2	Future Work	102
	REFERENCES	103
	Appendices A - B	111-114

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Valve Configuration	23
3.2	Specification of the pneumatic actuator system.	24
3.3	System Parameter for PABBS	27
3.4	MATLAB m-file	31
3.5	Defuzzification Technique	36
3.6	Criteria for designing fuzzy logic controller	39
3.7	Linguistic rules of the fuzzy controller design - Version 2	41
3.8	Criteria for designing fuzzy logic controller	48
3.9	Linguistic rules of the fuzzy controller design - PABBS	50
3.10	PABBS dimension	55
4.1	PSO parameters and the value of the IAE obtained	69
4.2	Optimized parameters	69
4.3	PSO Parameters and the value of the IAE obtained	71
4.4	Optimized parameters	72
4.5	Comparisons for step response position tracking analysis results - Simulation	73
4.6	Comparisons of the performance controlled by overall fuzzy-based controller - Simulation	75
4.7	Comparisons for step response position tracking analysis results - Simulation	78
4.8	Comparisons of the performance controlled by overall fuzzy-based controller - Experimental	80
4.9	Performance for pneumatic-servo cylinder controlled by PD-Fuzzy-based on load variation (horizontal)	83

4.10	Performance for pneumatic-servo cylinder controlled by PD-Fuzzy-based on load variation (vertical)	84
4.11	PD-Fuzzy position experimental results compared with other controllers	85
4.12	Comparison performance between simulation and experimental result	86
4.13	PSO Parameters	91
4.14	Optimized parameters	92
4.15	Step response analysis results both controllers - simulation	93
4.16	Step response ball tracking for PABBS analysis results	98
4.17	Performance analysis of real-time experiment for PABBS	99
4.18	Comparison performance between simulation and experimental result	100

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	The PRSD and the intelligent linear actuator [24]	8
2.2	The intelligent pneumatic cylinder and its application to active link mechanism [25]	9
2.3	Intelligent pneumatic design (a) side view (b) top view	10
2.4	Developed intelligent cylinder	10
2.5	Test platform with nozzle and three pneumatic muscles [34]	13
2.6	Ball and Beam system [19]	17
2.7	Magnetic suspension actuator ball and beam system [56]	17
3.1	Research methodology	20
3.2	Structure of Pneumatic-servo cylinder [38]	21
3.3	Schematic diagram and valve connection of the pneumatic-servo cylinder	23
3.4	(a) Poles and zeroes (b) Frequency response	25
3.5	(a) PABBS (b) Free body diagram	26
3.6	Beam angle vs Pneumatic stroke displacement graph	30
3.7	Pneumatic-servo cylinder controller designed	32
3.8	Pneumatic Actuated Ball & Beam System (PABBS) controller designed	32
3.9	Components of the fuzzy logic controller	35
3.10	Fuzzy Inference System (FIS) editor for designing fuzzy logic controller	38
3.11	MATLAB graphical tool for designing fuzzy logic controller - Version 2	39

3.12	Membership function of input (a,b) – Version 2	40
3.13	Control surface of the fuzzy controller (a) 3D view (b) 2D view – Version 2	41
3.14	PD-Fuzzy logic controller architecture	43
3.15	Fuzzy-PID controller architecture	44
3.16	Feedback controller architecture	45
3.17	Percentage overshoot versus damping ratio [72]	46
3.18	Cascade PD-Fuzzy controller architecture	47
3.19	MATLAB graphical tool for designing fuzzy logic controller – PABBS	48
3.20	Membership function of (a) input 1 (b) input 2 – PABBS	49
3.21	Control surface of the fuzzy controller (a) 3D view (b) 2D view – PABBS	50
3.22	Flow chart of the PSO algorithm	53
3.23	Example block diagram for PSO PD-Fuzzy control system	54
3.24	Ball and Beam structure development	54
3.25	Acceleration of the ball vs beam length graph	56
3.26	Final design of PABBS from (a) front, (b) left angle and (c) the beam	56
3.27	Dimension of PABBS (a) front view (b) side view	57
3.28	Beam angle change depends on h	58
3.29	The Pneumatic Actuated Ball & Beam System (PABBS)	58
3.30	Softpot Membrane Potentiometer – 500mm	59
3.31	Sensor attached (left) and ball (right) on the beam	59
4.1	Flow of the procedures	60
4.2	Experimental setup for position control	62
4.3	Block diagram for real-time experiment	62
4.4	Experimental setup for variation of loads (a) horizontal (b) vertical	63
4.5	Experimental setup for position control	64
4.6	Block diagram for PABBS	64

4.7	Simulink diagram for FLC position controller	66
4.8	Response for Fuzzy simulation (a) Multistep response (b) Step response	67
4.9	Simulink diagram for PD-Fuzzy position controller	68
4.10	The value of IAE in successive generations of the PSO	68
4.11	Response for PD-Fuzzy simulation (a) Multistep response (b) Step response	70
4.12	Simulink diagram for Fuzzy-PID position controller	70
4.13	The value of IAE in successive generations of the PSO	71
4.14	Response for Fuzzy-PID simulation (a) Multistep response (b) Step response	72
4.15	Simulated step response for overall controller design	73
4.16	Simulated multistep response for overall controllers design	74
4.17	DAQ card configuration in MATLAB/Simulink	76
4.18	Simulink diagram for real-time experiment	76
4.19	Experimental step response for (a) Horizontal position (b) Vertical position	77
4.20	Experimental multistep response for (a) Horizontal position (b) Vertical position	79
4.21	Experimental multistep response for Horizontal position with load (3kg)	81
4.22	Experimental step response based on load variation (a) Horizontal position (b) Vertical position	82
4.23	Experimental multistep response based on load variation (a) Horizontal position (b) Vertical position using PD-Fuzzy	83
4.24	The variation of performance index, IAE with respect to the load changes	84
4.25	Comparison between simulation and experiment result for PD-Fuzzy controller – Pneumatic-servo Cylinder	86
4.26	Simulink diagram for PABBS system - simulation	87
4.27	Step response using both PABBS models	88

4.28	Simulink diagram using position and rate feedback controller	89
4.29	Response for simulated PABBS using feedback controller (a) step response (b) multistep response	89
4.30	Simulink diagram for PD-Fuzzy position controller	90
4.31	Response for simulated PABBS using cascade controller (a) step response (b) multistep response	92
4.32	Simulated step response for both controllers design	93
4.33	Simulated multistep response for both controllers design	94
4.34	DAQ card configuration in MATLAB/Simulink	95
4.35	Simulink diagram for real-time experiment of PABBS	96
4.36	Real-time experiment results for step response (a) Feedback controller (b) cascade PD-Fuzzy controller	97
4.37	Comparisons of dynamic response of both controllers – real-time experiment	99

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ARMAX	-	Auto-Regressive Moving Average with Exogenous
CAD	-	Computer –aided Design
DAQ	-	Data Acquisition
FIS	-	Fuzzy Inference System
FLC	-	Fuzzy Logic Control
GA	-	Genetic Algorithm
GPC	-	Generalized Predictive Control
IAE	-	Integral Absolute Error
ICT	-	Intelligent Chair Tool
IPA	-	Intelligent Pneumatic Actuator
ISE	-	Integral Squared Error
ITAE	-	Integral of Time Absolute Error
I/O	-	Input/ Output
LED	-	Light-emitting Diode
MF	-	Membership Function
MISO	-	Multiple Input Single Output
NN	-	Neural Network
PABBS	-	Pneumatic Actuated Ball and Beam System
PD	-	Proportional-Derivative
PI	-	Proportional-Integral
PID	-	Proportional-Integral-Derivative
PWM	-	Pulse Width Modulation
PSO	-	Particle Swarm Optimization
SI	-	System Identification
SISO	-	Single Input Single Output
TF	-	Transfer Function

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

The number of fields in which actuators are applied has increased over the past few years. Actuators can be categorized as piezoelectric, electromagnetic, pneumatic, and hydraulic actuators, which range in size and force from nano to mega range [1]. Actuators are used for automation applications in many industries. In the process control plants, actuators regulate the respective material, mass or energy flows by adjusting valves, flaps or slide valves [2]. In home application, actuators are applied in human friendly robot, and other robot's application [3, 4]. They are also used in micro machines, medical application and in special environment [4].

The latest technology has now reached actuators as they become part of intelligent field devices [1]. While the conventional (normal/basic) actuator requires fast rotation, strong, efficient, and precise, the requirements for new actuators also have become numerous and in many varieties depending on their application. For example, micro robots and active catheters require powerful micro actuators, whereas nursing robots and pet robots require actuators with intelligence, force control, and safety. On the other hand, the motions of actuators have been changing where not only rotation and linear motion are applied; but motions with multiple degrees of freedom and bending motions are also needed. These motions correspond to the contacting objects, for example, actuators that have several applications. Currently, there are various applications that require new actuators as part of the

system such as methods of communication between human and machines which are urgently desired by engineers; therefore, a new intelligent actuator for such applications is expected.

In this research, a pneumatic intelligent actuator (is then called as the pneumatic-servo cylinder) is selected because of its advantages such as communication ability and local control functions, while reducing the number of cables connected, as well as having more delicate and high-performance actuator motions. This actuator has a different characteristic because most of the pneumatic actuator has full extend and contract while this actuator, the pneumatic stroke can be control accordingly. A particularly well suited application for pneumatic actuators is the position control of robotic manipulators, end effectors, pick and place system, and grippers, where stiff and lightweight structures are critical. Unfortunately, pneumatic actuators are subjected to high friction forces, dead time (due to the compressibility of air), valve dead zone problems, mass flow rate parameters, the compliance variation and the generating force [5, 6]. These nonlinearities make accurate position control of a pneumatic actuator is difficult to achieve [7].

As a result, a considerable amount of research work has been devoted to the development of various position-control systems for pneumatic actuators [8-11]. The controller will be focused on the position control and will be tested in simulation and experimental (real-time experiment) to see the performance of the controller. In order to test the controller robustness and performance, an external load will be attached at the end effector of the pneumatic. To this end, a pneumatic actuated ball and beam system will be proposed as a linear stabilizing system. The system will use the pneumatic-servo cylinder as the actuator to move the ball along the beam. The ball and beam system is viewed as a benchmark in control engineering setup where the underlying concept can be applied in the stabilization problem for diverse system such as the balance problem dealing with goods to be carried by a moving robot, the spaceship position control systems in aerospace engineering [12, 13] and to test pneumatic actuator to its limit.

The proposed controller method is Artificial Intelligent, which will be using Fuzzy Logic Control (Fuzzy-based controller) and will be validated with different controllers by other researchers [14, 15]. An optimization method of the Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) algorithm is used in tuning the parameter. PSO is used to find the best value of the parameter (scaling factors) to get an optimum response. Previous researcher has tried to implement this intelligent actuator in physical human-machine interaction [4, 16, 17]. By using position control as the main objective, this research will implement it on Pneumatic Actuated Ball and Beam System (PABBS).

1.2 Motivation

Pneumatic systems are widely known in the field of engineering and industries. Both sectors are fast emerging and the same also goes to the pneumatic system. Pneumatic system evolves to suit the demand of the industries and different types of pneumatic system have different characteristics. Different pneumatic systems need different types of controller to give a better response. Therefore controller design is important as different pneumatic been developed.

1.3 Problem Statement

Pneumatic cylinders are used to provide linear motion in machines and tools in industrial operations. Some key advantages of pneumatic cylinders are regarding their speed, high power to weight ratio, versatility, cost effectiveness, and the use of a clean medium to drive them. In spite of these advantages, it is difficult to get accurate position control for pneumatic actuators due to compressibility of air, high friction forces and valve dead zone problems [18]. Position control is important in determining the accuracy and response of the pneumatic cylinder which is very critical in the application of stabilizing system (i.e. ball beam system). Therefore, this

research is focused on the position controller for pneumatic-servo cylinder using Fuzzy-based controller.

The ball and beam system is chosen because the system is one of the most popular and important benchmark systems for studying control systems [19]. The common type of ball and beam system usually comprises a mechanical combination of motor, gear and pull belt. It also uses the servo motor as an actuator to control the angle of the beam. With this proposed system, pneumatic-servo cylinder is used as an actuator to control the angle of the beam and it will give more challenging in control system. Therefore, a good control algorithm is important in determined the performance of the proposed system.

1.4 Objective

The main objective of this research is to develop a position controller for pneumatic-servo cylinder to give better position of the pneumatic stroke. In order to achieve the objective, several sub-objectives are outlined below

The sub-objectives of the research are:

- I. To design a position controller using fuzzy-based controller for the pneumatic-servo cylinder.
- II. To tune the parameter (scaling factors) available in the controller design using Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) technique.
- III. To do simulation analysis and then to validate it with real-time experiment through MATLAB/Simulink using Data Acquisition (DAQ) card.
- IV. To propose and develop a Pneumatic Actuated Ball and Beam System (applying the position controller).

1.5 Scope

This research intends to concentrate on several scopes such as listed below:

- I. Designing a fuzzy-based controller based on previous transfer function model of the pneumatic-servo cylinder using MATLAB/Simulink for simulation evaluation.
- II. The parameters that have to be optimized are the scaling factors available in the controller design.
- III. Validating the designed controller with real-time experiment for position control and tested with external load for robustness and performance checking using NI PCI/PXI 6221 DAQ card as a communication tool.
- IV. Developing Pneumatic Actuated Ball and Beam System by using two servo-pneumatic actuators (one fixed and the other one moving).

1.6 Thesis Outline

Chapter 1 provides a brief introduction of pneumatic actuator and its background. The objectives and the problem statement of the research were also stated. The areas that the project will be focused on were explained in the scope of research.

Chapter 2 provides a literature review in the area of the pneumatic actuator. Types of the pneumatic actuator, the position controls algorithm, optimization technique and the application of the pneumatic actuator are discussed in detail. The summary of all the literature reviews are also included in this chapter.

Chapter 3 describes the methodology used throughout the research. This covers the system designs and models, the controller designs, optimization technique

that have been used and the experiment setups done. The controller simulation and experimental analysis were performed using MATLAB/Simulink.

Chapter 4 explains the details of the design of the Pneumatic Actuated Ball and Beam System (PABBS) structure. The CAD design of the system was designed using SolidWorks software. The overall dimension of the structure is provided in this chapter.

Chapter 5 discusses the implementation of the controller designs and the proposed application. The simulated results are obtained and compared with real-time experiments. An analysis of the results is made and compared with other types of controller.

Chapter 6 discusses the conclusion of the research and future work that can be done to improve the research project.

REFERENCE

- [1] J. Ochi, Hashimoto, T., Suzumori, K. and Kanda, T. (2003). Active link mechanism for physical man-machine interaction. *International Symposium on Micromechatronics and Human Science, MHS 2003*. . 19-22 Oct. 2003. 141-146
- [2] Hazem I. Ali, Samsul Bahari B Mohd Noor, S. M. Bashi and M. H. Marhaban (2009). A Review of Pneumatic Actuators (Modeling and Control). *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Science*. 3 (2), 440-454
- [3] S. N. S. S. M.F. Rahmat, Ahmad ‘Athif Mohd Faudzi, Zool Hilmi Ismail, S.I. Samsudin, N.H.Sunar and K.Jusoff (2011). Non-linear Modeling and Cascade Control of an Industrial Pneumatic Actuator System. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Science*. 5 (8), 465-477
- [4] A. A. M. Faudzi. *Development of Intelligent Pneumatic Actuators and Their Applications to Physical Human-Mechine Interaction System*. PhD. The Graduate School of Natural Science and Technology, Okayama University; 2010
- [5] G. Priyandoko, M. Mailah and H. Jamaluddin (2009). Vehicle active suspension system using skyhook adaptive neuro active force control. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*. 23 (3), 855-868
- [6] H. M. Chen, Y. P. Shyu and C. H. Chen (2010). Design and realization of a sliding mode control scheme for a pneumatic cylinder X-Y axles position servo system. *Frontier Computing. Theory, Technologies and Applications, 2010 IET International Conference on*. 4-6 Aug. 2010. 416-421
- [7] R. B. van Varseveld and G. M. Bone (1997). Accurate position control of a pneumatic actuator using on/off solenoid valves. *Mechatronics, IEEE/ASME Transactions on*. 2 (3), 195-204
- [8] S. Kaitwanidvilai and M. Parnichkun (2004). Position control of a pneumatic servo system by genetic algorithm based fixed-structure robust H_{∞} loop

- shaping control. *Industrial Electronics Society, 2004. IECON 2004. 30th Annual Conference of IEEE*. 2-6 Nov. 2004. 2246-2251 Vol. 3
- [9] B. W. Surgenor and N. D. Vaughan (1997). Continuous Sliding Mode Control of a Pneumatic Actuator. *Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement, and Control*. 119 (3), 578-581
- [10] S. Qiang and L. Fang (2006). Improved Control of a Pneumatic Actuator Pulsed with PWM. *Mechatronic and Embedded Systems and Applications, Proceedings of the 2nd IEEE/ASME International Conference on*. 13-16 Aug. 2006. 1-4
- [11] J.-Y. Lai, C. H. Menq and R. Singh (1989). Accurate Position Control of a Pneumatic Actuator. *American Control Conference, 1989*. 21-23 June 1989. 1497-1502
- [12] J. Hauser, S. Sastry and P. Kokotovic (1992). Nonlinear control via approximate input-output linearization: the ball and beam example. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*. 37 (3), 392-398
- [13] B. C. Chang, H. Kwatny and H. Shr-Shiung (1998). An application of robust feedback linearization to a ball and beam control problem. *Proceedings of the 1998 IEEE International Conference on Control Applications*. 1-4 Sep 1998. 694-698 vol.1
- [14] M. O. E. Abdelrahman. *System Identification and PI Neuro-Fuzzy Control of A Pneumatic Actuator*. Master Universiti Teknologi Malaysia; 2012
- [15] O. F. Hikmat. *Observer-Based Receding Horizon Controller for Position and Force Control of a Pneumatic Actuator*. Master. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia; 2013
- [16] A. A. M. Faudzi, K. Suzumori and S. Wakimoto (2009). Development of an Intelligent Pneumatic Cylinder for Distributed Physical Human–Machine Interaction. *Advanced Robotics*. 23 (1-2), 203-225
- [17] A. A. M. Faudzi, K. Suzumori and S. Wakimoto (2010). Development of an Intelligent Chair Tool System Applying New Intelligent Pneumatic Actuators. *Advanced Robotics*. 24 (10), 1503-1528
- [18] K. b. O. Ahmad ‘Athif Mohd Faudzi, Rahmat, M. F., Nu’man Din Mustafa, M. Asyraf Azman and Koichi Suzumori (2012). Controller Design for Simulation Control of Intelligent Pneumatic Actuators (IPA) System. *Procedia Engineering*. 41 (0), 593-599

- [19] Mohammad Keshmiri, Ali Fellah Jahromi, Abolfazl Mohebbi, M. H. A. and W.-F. Xie (2012). Modeling and Control of Ball and Beam System using Model Based and Non-Model Based Control Approaches. *International Journal on Smart Sensing and Intelligent Systems*. 5 (1),
- [20] K. Ahn and S. Yokota (2005). Intelligent switching control of pneumatic actuator using on/off solenoid valves. *Mechatronics*. 15 (6), 683-702
- [21] X. G. Wang and K. Chris Kyung-Hyun (2001). Improved control of pneumatic lumber-handling systems. *Control Systems Technology, IEEE Transactions on*. 9 (3), 458-472
- [22] J. Wang, J. Pu and P. Moore (1999). Accurate position control of servo pneumatic actuator systems: an application to food packaging. *Control Engineering Practice*. 7 (6), 699-706
- [23] J. E. L. H. Paul Strickland, John Billingsley and Barry Haynes (1992). Intelligent Actuator. *Singapore International Conference on Intelligent Control and Instrumentation, 1992. SICICI '92. Proceedings.*, . 17-21 Feb 1992. 630-635
- [24] Y. Boulenger, Kramer, E., Hong, Liu, Seitz, N. and Hirzinger, G. (2001). An intelligent linear actuator and its control system. *Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics, 2001. Proceedings. 2001 IEEE/ASME International Conference on*. 2001. 521-526 vol.1
- [25] K. Suzumori, J. Tanaka and T. Kanda (2005). Development of an intelligent pneumatic cylinder and its application to pneumatic servo mechanism. *Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics. Proceedings, 2005 IEEE/ASME International Conference on*. 24-28 July 2005. 479-484
- [26] A. A. M. Faudzi, Suzumori, K. and Wakimoto, S. (2008). Distributed Physical Human Machine Interaction Using Intelligent Pneumatic Cylinders. *Micro-NanoMechatronics and Human Science, 2008. MHS 2008. International Symposium on*. 6-9 Nov. 2008. 249-254
- [27] T. Kubo, Kobayashi, Y., Faudzi, A. M. and Suzumori, K. (2009). Development of Active 80-faced Polyhedron for haptic physical human-machine interface. *Intelligent Robots and Systems, 2009. IROS 2009. IEEE/RSJ International Conference on*. 10-15 Oct. 2009. 1259-1264

- [28] I. G. French and C. S. Cox (1988). The robust control of a modern electropneumatic actuator. *Control, 1988. CONTROL 88., International Conference on.* 13-15 Apr 1988. 47-52
- [29] C. Gi Sang, L. Han Koo and C. Gi Heung (1998). A study on tracking position control of pneumatic actuators using neural network. *Industrial Electronics Society, 1998. IECON '98. Proceedings of the 24th Annual Conference of the IEEE.* 31 Aug-4 Sep 1998. 1749-1753 vol.3
- [30] E. Gómez-Ramírez. Simple Tuning of Fuzzy Controllers. In: O. Castillo, P. Melin, J. Kacprzyk and W. Pedrycz. *Hybrid Intelligent Systems.* Springer Berlin Heidelberg. 115-133; 2007
- [31] S. D. Tiberiu Vesselenyi, Ioan Dzitac and Manolescu Misu-Jan. (2007). Fuzzy and Neural Controllers for a Pneumatic Actuator. *International Journal of Computers Communications & Control.* ISSN 1841-9836 2(4): 375-387
- [32] M. F. A. a. D. F. H. Ali (2008). Position Control using Fuzzy Logic. *Al-Rafidain Engineering.* 16 (No. 1),
- [33] J. C. P.-O. Juan Manuel Ramos-Arreguin, Efren Gorrostieta-Hurtado, Rene de Jesus Romero-Troncoso and Jose Emilio Pneumatic Fuzzy Controller Simulation vs Practical Results for Flexible Manipulator. In: *Automation and Robotics.* 191-200; 2008
- [34] V. Bugarski, P. Nikolic and F. Kulic (2008). Realization of control of pneumatic system for positioning of nozzle based on fuzzy logic. *Neural Network Applications in Electrical Engineering, 2008. NEUREL 2008. 9th Symposium on.* 25-27 Sept. 2008. 211-214
- [35] T. Leephakpreeda (2011). Fuzzy logic based PWM control and neural controlled-variable estimation of pneumatic artificial muscle actuators. *Expert Systems with Applications.* 38 (6), 7837-7850
- [36] S. Cetin and O. Demir (2008). Fuzzy PID Controller with Coupled Rules for a Nonlinear Quarter Car Model. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology* 41.
- [37] S. Khan, S. F. Abdulazeez, L. W. Adetunji, A. H. M. Z. Alam, M. J. E. Salami, S. A. Hameed, A. H. Abdalla and M. R. Islam (2008). Design and implementation of an optimal fuzzy logic controller using genetic algorithm. *Journal of Computer Science.* 4 (10), 799-806

- [38] A. M. Faudzi, K. Suzumori and S. Wakimoto (2009). Design and control of new intelligent pneumatic cylinder for intelligent chair tool application. *IEEE/ASME International Conference on Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics (AIM)*. 14-17 July 2009. 1909-1914
- [39] N. m. D. M. Ahmad 'Athif Mohd Faudzi, Khairuddin bin Osman, M. Asyraf Azman and Koichi Suzumori (2012). GPC Controller Design for an Intelligent Pneumatic Actuator. *Procedia Engineering*. 41 (0), 657-663
- [40] A. M. Faudzi, K. Osman, M. F. Rahmat, N. D. Mustafa, M. A. Azman and K. Suzumori (2012). Nonlinear mathematical model of an Intelligent Pneumatic Actuator (IPA) systems: Position and force controls. *Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics (AIM), 2012 IEEE/ASME International Conference on*. 11-14 July 2012. 1105-1110
- [41] A. A. M. F. M. Asyraf Azman, Mohamed Omer Elnimair, Omer F. Hikmat, Khairuddin Osman and Chai Chang Kai (2013). P-Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy and PD-Fuzzy controller design for position control of a modified single acting pneumatic cylinder. *Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics (AIM), 2013 IEEE/ASME International Conference on*. 9-12 July 2013. 176-181
- [42] M. S. Osman, M. A. Abo-Sinna and A. A. Mousa (2005). A combined genetic algorithm-fuzzy logic controller (GA-FLC) in nonlinear programming. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. 170 (2), 821-840
- [43] F. Gu, K. Ngai Ming and H. Quang (2008). Automatic Fuzzy Membership Function Tuning Using the Particle Swarm Optimization. *Computational Intelligence and Industrial Application, 2008. PACIIA '08. Pacific-Asia Workshop on*. 19-20 Dec. 2008. 324-328
- [44] Z. Yongwei, Q. Fei, L. Jianfeng, W. Lei and W. Qidi (2010). Performance Criteria Research on PSO-PID Control Systems. *Intelligent Computing and Cognitive Informatics (ICICCI), 2010 International Conference on*. 22-23 June 2010. 316-320
- [45] S. Yuhui and R. Eberhart (1998). A modified particle swarm optimizer. *Evolutionary Computation Proceedings, 1998. IEEE World Congress on Computational Intelligence., The 1998 IEEE International Conference on*. 4-9 May 1998. 69-73
- [46] K. Rajeswari and P. Lakshmi (2010). PSO Optimized Fuzzy Logic Controller for Active Suspension System. *Advances in Recent Technologies in*

- Communication and Computing (ARTCom), 2010 International Conference on.* 16-17 Oct. 2010. 278-283
- [47] A. Adam, A. F. Z. Abidin, Z. Ibrahim, A. R. Husain, Z. M. Yusof and I. Ibrahim (2010). A Particle Swarm Optimization Approach to Robotic Drill Route Optimization. *Mathematical/Analytical Modelling and Computer Simulation (AMS), 2010 Fourth Asia International Conference on.* 26-28 May 2010. 60-64
- [48] M. N. Ayob, Z. M. Yusof, A. Adam, A. F. Z. Abidin, I. Ibrahim, Z. Ibrahim, S. Sudin, N. Shaikh-Husin and M. K. Hani (2010). A Particle Swarm Optimization Approach for Routing in VLSI. *Computational Intelligence, Communication Systems and Networks (CICSyN), 2010 Second International Conference on.* 28-30 July 2010. 49-53
- [49] D. Pelusi (2011). Optimization of a fuzzy logic controller using genetic algorithms. *Intelligent Human-Machine Systems and Cybernetics (IHMSC), 2011 International Conference on.* 26-27 Aug. 2011. 143-146
- [50] M. A. Shamsudin, Z. Ibrahim, S. W. Nawawi, A. R. Husain and J. Abdulla (2011). An adaptive control of two wheel inverted pendulum robot based on particle swarm optimization. *Automation, Robotics and Applications (ICARA), 2011 5th International Conference on.* 6-8 Dec. 2011. 151-156
- [51] T.-K. Dao and C.-K. Chen (2012). *Tuning Fuzzy-Logic Controllers.*
- [52] J. Hurel, A. Mandow and A. Garcia-Cerezo (2012). Tuning a fuzzy controller by particle swarm optimization for an active suspension system. *IECON 2012 - 38th Annual Conference on IEEE Industrial Electronics Society.* 25-28 Oct. 2012. 2524-2529
- [53] C. Wenzhuo, S. Xiaomei and X. Yonghui (2012). Modeling and modulation of nonlinear ball-beam system controller based on matlab. *9th International Conference on Fuzzy Systems and Knowledge Discovery (FSKD).* 29-31 May 2012. 2388-2391
- [54] S.-K. Oh, H.-J. Jang and W. Pedrycz (2011). Optimized fuzzy PD cascade controller: A comparative analysis and design. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory.* 19 (1), 181-195
- [55] M. Amjad, M. I. Kashif, S. S. Abdullah and Z. Shareef (2010). Fuzzy logic control of ball and beam system. *2nd International Conference on Education Technology and Computer (ICETC).* 22-24 June 2010. V3-489-V3-493

- [56] C. E. Lin, C. C. Ker, R. T. Wang and C. L. Chen (2005). A new ball and beam system using magnetic suspension actuator. *31st Annual Conference of IEEE, Industrial Electronics Society, IECON 2005*. . 6-10 Nov. 2005. 6 pp.
- [57] A. M. Faudzi, K. Suzumori and S. Wakimoto (2010). Development of Pneumatic Actuated Seating System to aid chair design. *Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics (AIM), 2010 IEEE/ASME International Conference on*. 6-9 July 2010. 1035-1040
- [58] G. K. I. Mann, H. Bao-Gang and R. G. Gosine (1999). Analysis of direct action fuzzy PID controller structures. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B: Cybernetics*. 29 (3), 371-388
- [59] J. Kennedy and R. Eberhart (1995). Particle swarm optimization. *Neural Networks, 1995. Proceedings., IEEE International Conference on*. Nov/Dec 1995. 1942-1948 vol.4
- [60] M. Clerc and J. Kennedy (2002). The particle swarm - explosion, stability, and convergence in a multidimensional complex space. *Evolutionary Computation, IEEE Transactions on*. 6 (1), 58-73
- [61] K. Osman, A. A. M. Faudzi, M. F. Rahmat, N. D. Mustafa, M. A. Azman and K. Suzumori (2012). System Identification model for an Intelligent Pneumatic Actuator (IPA) system. *Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), 2012 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on*. 7-12 Oct. 2012. 628-633
- [62] W. Yu (2009). Nonlinear PD regulation for ball and beam system. *International Journal of Electrical Engineering Education*. 46 (1), 59-73
- [63] F. Nagi and L. Perumal (2009). Optimization of fuzzy controller for minimum time response. *Mechatronics*. 19 (3), 325-333
- [64] L. A. Zadeh (1973). Outline of a New Approach to the Analysis of Complex Systems and Decision Processes. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics*. SMC-3 (1), 28-44
- [65] E. H. Mamdani (1974). Application of fuzzy algorithms for control of simple dynamic plant. *Proceedings of the Institution of Electrical Engineers*. 121 (12), 1585-1588
- [66] E. H. Mamdani and S. Assilian (1975). An experiment in linguistic synthesis with a fuzzy logic controller. *International Journal of Man-Machine Studies*. 7 (1), 1-13

- [67] T. Takagi and M. Sugeno (1985). Fuzzy identification of systems and its applications to modeling and control. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics*. SMC-15 (1), 116-132
- [68] H. Bao-Gang, G. K. I. Mann and R. G. Gosine (2001). A systematic study of fuzzy PID controllers-function-based evaluation approach. *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*. 9 (5), 699-712
- [69] L. Sang-Hong and J. S. Lim (2007). Forecasting KOSPI by weighted average defuzzification based on NEWFM. *International Conference on Intelligent and Advanced Systems, ICIAS*. 25-28 Nov. 2007. 66-70
- [70] T. J. Ross (1995). *Fuzzy Logic with Engineering Applications*. McGraw-Hill, Inc
- [71] Y. Yu, Y. Huang, M. Wang, B. Zeng and G. Zhong (2004). Fuzzy neural PID controller and tuning its weight factors using genetic algorithm based on different location crossover. *Systems, Man and Cybernetics, 2004 IEEE International Conference on*. 10-13 Oct. 2004. 3709-3713 vol.4
- [72] N. S. Nise (2008). *Control Systems Engineering*. (5th). John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- [73] J. Kennedy (1999). Small worlds and mega-minds: effects of neighborhood topology on particle swarm performance. *Evolutionary Computation, 1999. CEC 99. Proceedings of the 1999 Congress on*. 1999. 1938 Vol. 3
- [74] J. Kennedy and R. Mendes (2006). Neighborhood topologies in fully informed and best-of-neighborhood particle swarms. *Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part C: Applications and Reviews, IEEE Transactions on*. 36 (4), 515-519
- [75] H. I. Jaafar, Z. Mohamed, A. F. Z. Abidin and Z. A. Ghani (2012). PSO-tuned PID controller for a nonlinear gantry crane system. *Control System, Computing and Engineering (ICCSCE), 2012 IEEE International Conference on*. 23-25 Nov. 2012. 515-519