

**STRENGTH PROPERTIES OF SELF-HEALING MORTARS**

**GHASSAN FAHIM HUSSEIEN**

**UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA**

# STRENGTH PROPERTIES OF SELF – HEALING MORTARS

GHASAN FAHIM HUSEIEN

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Civil-Structure)

Faculty of Civil Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2014

To my Family  
For your companionship, understanding and  
Continuous encouragement over the years.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The name of Allah, the most Gracious, the Dispenser of Grace, Salam to Nabi Muhammad SAW. His companion and friends as well to all the people who follow his path.

I would like to express my highest appreciation to my supervisor Prof.Ir.Dr. Mohd Warid Hussin, for his advice and guidance during the course of this project. His invaluable assistance and the constructive criticisms offered have resulted in the completion of this project.

Unforgettable, I would like to thank to Laboratory Technicians and research assistance of Civil Engineering Faculty, UTM for their kind help in assisting my project.

Last but not least, I would like to express special gratitude to my family, for their persistent support in my studying at UTM. Also to my colleagues, your helps are really appreciated and will be remembered forever.

## ABSTRACT

Cementitious materials are the most widely used in building materials all over the world. However, deterioration is inevitable even since in the very beginning of the service life. In addition, the maintenance and repair work which are often labor- and capital-intensive, would be followed. Thus, self-healing of the affected cementitious materials is of great importance. Self-healing phenomenon in cementitious materials has been noticed and been studied for a long time. Self-healing of micro cracks by introducing bacteria at the time of formation of mortar or concrete is gaining momentum these days. However presumably self-healing with polymeric admixtures might be relatively more durable and faster process. The development and characteristics of self-healing synthetic polymeric materials have been inspired by biological systems in which damage triggers an autonomic healing response. This is an emerging and fascinating area of research that could significantly extend the working life and safety of the polymeric components for a broad range of applications. In this research an epoxy resin (diglycidyl ether of bisphenol A) without any hardener is used as polymeric admixture to prepare polymeric-cementitious materials and their self-healing function is evaluated. Epoxy-modified mortars are prepared with various polymer-cement ratios subjected to initial wet/dry curing plus long term dry curing and tested for strength properties. The fundamental properties such as workability, influence of curing, strengths, strength development, porosity, permeability and water absorption are investigated. The ultrasonic pulse velocity (UPV) and compressive strength test were conducted to determine the ability of self-healing of Mortar by used liquid polymer. The result shows that 10% of polymer is the best percentages of polymer - cement and wet dry curing was the best mechanism to heal the hairline crack in mortar.

## ABSTRAK

Simen adalah yang paling banyak digunakan dalam bahan membuat bangunan di seluruh dunia. Walaubagaimanapun, kecacatan struktur tidak dapat dielakkan sejak dari mula bahan digunakan. Di samping itu, penyelenggaraan dan kerja-kerja pembaikan yang memerlukan modal dan tenaga kerja yang tinggi telah menjadi masalah dalam sektor pembangunan. Oleh itu, rawatan penyembuhan automatik oleh bahan tambahan dalam simen adalah amat penting. Rawatan penyembuhan automatik telah dikaji sejak dahulu lagi. Rawatan penyembuhan automatik untuk membaiki keretakan mikro dengan menggunakan bakteria didalam komponen simen sangat popular di kalangan penyelidik. Walaubagaimanapun, rawatan penyembuhan automatik dengan bahan tambah polimer adalah proses yang lebih tahan lama dan lebih cepat. Pembentukan dan ciri-ciri bahan sintetik rawatan penyembuhan automatik dari polimer telah diilhamkan oleh sistem biologi di mana kerosakan mencetuskan suatu gerak balas penyembuhan autonomi. Ini adalah rawatan baru dan menarik dalam penyelidikan yang boleh melanjutkan hayat kerja dan keselamatan sesuatu struktur bangunan. Dalam kajian ini, resin epoksi (diglycidyl ether bisphenol A) tanpa pengeras digunakan sebagai bahan tambah polimer untuk menyediakan bahan polimer-simen dan fungsi rawatan pemulihan secara automatik dikaji. Mortar epoksi diubahsuai disediakan dengan pelbagai nisbah polimer-simen tertakluk kepada pengawetan basah-kering ditambah pengawetan kering jangka panjang dan diuji untuk ciri-ciri kekuatan. Sifat-sifat asas seperti kebolehkerjaan, pengaruh keadaan pengawetan, kekuatan, keliangan, kebolehtelapan dan penyerapan air dikaji. Ultrasonik halaju (UPV) dan ujian kekuatan mampatan telah dijalankan untuk menentukan keupayaan diri penyembuhan mortar oleh bahan tambah polimer. Hasilnya menunjukkan bahawa 10% tambahan polimer ke dalam mortar adalah peratusan yang terbaik dan pengawetan kering basah adalah mekanisme yang sesuai untuk menyembuhkan keretakan halus dalam mortar.

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| <b>CHAPTER</b> | <b>TITLE</b>                   | <b>PAGE</b> |
|----------------|--------------------------------|-------------|
|                | <b>DECLARATION</b>             | ii          |
|                | <b>DEDICATION</b>              | iii         |
|                | <b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>         | iv          |
|                | <b>ABSTRACT</b>                | v           |
|                | <b>ABSTRAK</b>                 | vi          |
|                | <b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>       | vii         |
|                | <b>LIST OF TABLES</b>          | x           |
|                | <b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>         | xii         |
| <b>1</b>       | <b>INTRODUCTION</b>            | 1           |
|                | 1.1 General                    | 1           |
|                | 1.2 Problem Statement          | 2           |
|                | 1.3 Objectives                 | 3           |
|                | 1.4 Scope                      | 3           |
| <b>2</b>       | <b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>       | 4           |
|                | 2.1 Introduction               | 4           |
|                | 2.2 Self-healing Materials     | 5           |
|                | 2.3 Design Strategies          | 6           |
|                | 2.3.1 Release of healing agent | 6           |

|          |         |   |           |
|----------|---------|---|-----------|
|          | 2.3.1.1 | Microcapsule Embedment  | 7         |
|          | 2.3.1.2 | Hollow Fiber Embedment  | 8         |
|          | 2.3.1.3 | Micro vascular System   | 9         |
|          | 2.3.2   | Reversible Cross-links  | 10        |
|          | 2.3.3   | Miscellaneous Technologies                                      | 11        |
| 2.4      |         | General Types of Self-Healing                                   | 11        |
|          | 2.4.1   | Self-healing Concrete   | 11        |
|          | 2.4.2   | Self-healing in Polymer Composites                              | 12        |
| 2.5      |         | Self-Healing in Concrete Materials                              | 16        |
| 2.6      |         | Self-healing Processes in Concrete                              | 17        |
| 2.7      |         | Concrete Durability, Deterioration, and Self-Healing Properties | 21        |
| 2.8      |         | Autogenic Healing of Concrete                                   | 22        |
| 2.9      |         | Autonomic Healing of Concrete                                   | 23        |
|          | 2.9.1   | Healing Agents  | 23        |
|          |         | 2.9.1.1 Epoxy Resins  | 24        |
|          |         | 2.9.1.2 Cyanoacrylates  | 25        |
|          |         | 2.9.1.3 Alkali–silica Solution                                  | 26        |
| 2.10     |         | Concrete Self-healing Methods                                   | 26        |
|          | 2.10.1  | Self-healing of Cementitious Composites                         | 27        |
|          | 2.10.2  | The Self-healing Mechanism of Bacterial Concrete                | 28        |
|          | 2.10.3  | Self-Healing P/C Material using Epoxy Resin                     | 29        |
| <b>3</b> |         | <b>METHODOLOGY</b>  | <b>32</b> |
|          | 3.1     | Introduction  | 32        |
|          | 3.2     | Experimental Program  | 34        |
|          | 3.3     | Materials Used  | 34        |
|          |         | 3.3.1 Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC)                            | 34        |
|          |         | 3.3.2 Fine Aggregate  | 35        |
|          |         | 3.3.3 Epoxy Resin   | 36        |



|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 3.4      | Mix Proportion                                    | 37        |
| 3.5      | Specimen Preparation                              | 38        |
| 3.6      | Curing Conditions                                 | 38        |
| 3.7      | Tests   | 39        |
| 3.7.1    | Flow Tests  | 40        |
| 3.7.2    | Compressive Strength Tests                        | 41        |
| 3.7.3    | Flexural Test                                     | 42        |
| 3.7.4    | Water Absorption                                  | 43        |
| 3.7.5    | Tensile Strength Test on<br>Cylindrical Specimens | 44        |
| 3.7.6    | Self-healing Evaluation                           | 46        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>                     | <b>48</b> |
| 4.1      | Introduction                                      | 48        |
| 4.2      | Analysis of Compressive Strength                  | 49        |
| 4.3      | Flexural Strength                                 | 56        |
| 4.4      | Water Absorption                                  | 57        |
| 4.5      | Tensile strength test                             | 59        |
| 4.6      | Self-healing                                      | 60        |
| 4.6.1    | UPV Reading                                       | 60        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>            | <b>66</b> |
| 5.1      | Conclusions                                       | 66        |
| 5.2      | Recommendations                                   | 67        |
|          | <b>REFERENCES</b>                                 | <b>68</b> |

## LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO | TITLE  | PAGE |
|----------|--|------|
| 3.1      | Chemical composition of OPC                                    | 35   |
| 3.2      | Mix proportions of self-healing mortars                        | 38   |
| 4.1      | Compressive strength with various P/C ratios (DC–28 days)      | 49   |
| 4.2      | Compressive strength with various P/C ratios (DWC–28 days)     | 50   |
| 4.3      | Compressive strength with various P/C ratios (DC–56 days)      | 50   |
| 4.4      | Compressive strength with various P/C ratios (DWC–56 days)     | 51   |
| 4.5      | Average Comp. strength with various P/C ratios (DWC– 28 days)  | 51   |
| 4.6      | Average Comp. strength with various P/C ratios (DC – 28 days)  | 52   |
| 4.7      | Average Comp. strength with various P/C ratios (DC – 28 days)  | 52   |
| 4.8      | Average Comp. strength with various P/C ratios (DWC – 28 days) | 53   |
| 4.9      | Average Flexural strength with various P/C ratios (DC /DWC)    | 56   |
| 4.10     | Water absorption with various polymer/cement ratios            | 58   |

|      |                                       |    |
|------|---------------------------------------|----|
| 4.11 | Tensile strength test after 28 days   | 59 |
| 4.12 | UPV reading after 6 months dry curing | 60 |
| 4.13 | UPV reading after 6 months dry curing | 61 |

**LIST OF FIGURES**

| <b>FIGURE NO</b> | <b>TITLE</b>  | <b>PAGE</b> |
|------------------|---|-------------|
| 2.1              | Schematic representation of self-healing using embedded microcapsules                                 | 8           |
| 2.2              | Schematic representation of self-healing using hollow fibers  | 9           |
| 2.3              | Microencapsulated self-healing concept  | 14          |
| 2.4              | Microvascular self-healing concept  | 16          |
| 2.5              | Chemical reaction between epoxy resin hardener and cement hydrates                                    | 30          |
| 2.6              | Simplified model for self-healing mechanism of microcrackes in epoxy- modified cementitious materials | 31          |
| 3.1              | Flow charts of experimental work  | 33          |
| 3.2              | OPC   | 35          |
| 3.3              | Fine aggregate  | 36          |
| 3.4              | Epoxy   | 37          |
| 3.5              | Method to measure the flow  | 40          |
| 3.6              | Flow test equipment   | 41          |
| 3.7              | The compressive test  | 42          |
| 3.8              | Flexural test   | 43          |
| 3.9              | Water Absorption Test   | 44          |
| 3.10             | Tensile strength test   | 46          |
| 3.11             | UPV Test  | 47          |

|      |   |    |
|------|---|----|
| 4.1  | Bar chart compressive strength with various P/C ratio (DWC-28 days)                     | 51 |
| 4.2  | Bar chart compressive strength with various P/C ratio (DC-28 days)                      | 52 |
| 4.3  | Bar chart compressive strength with various P/C ratio (DC-56 days)                      | 53 |
| 4.4  | Bar chart compressive strength with various P/C ratio (DWC-56 days)                     | 53 |
| 4.5  | Bar chart compare comp. strength with various P/C ratio (DWC/DC-28 days)                | 54 |
| 4.6  | Bar chart compare compressive strength with various P/C ratio (DWC/DC-56 days)          | 54 |
| 4.7  | Bar chart compare compressive strength with various P/C ratios                          | 55 |
| 4.8  | Strength development  | 55 |
| 4.9  | Bar chart compare Flexural strength with various P/C ratios                             | 57 |
| 4.10 | Bar chart compares Water absorption with various P/C ratios                             | 58 |
| 4.11 | Tensile Strength Test   | 59 |
| 4.12 | UPV reading after 6 months dry curing (10% p/c)   | 61 |
| 4.13 | UPV reading after 6 months dry curing (OPC)   | 62 |
| 4.14 | Development Compressive Strength after 6 months dry curing (10% p/c) at pre-loading 50% | 62 |
| 4.15 | Development Compressive Strength after 6 months dry curing (opc) at pre-loading 50%     | 63 |
| 4.16 | Development Compressive Strength after 6 months dry curing (10% p/c) at pre-loading 80% | 63 |
| 4.17 | Development Compressive Strength after 6 months dry curing (opc) at pre-loading 80%     | 64 |
| 4.18 | Chemical reaction between epoxy resins without hardener and cement hydrates             | 64 |

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 General**

Over the past 20 centuries the properties of structural materials at our disposal have improved tremendously in every aspect. The early improvements were due to a slow trial and error process, appropriately called “black magic” at the time, guided by just the appraisal of the final material, or more importantly the resulting product performance. The development took place without real knowledge of the material itself, or of the internal changes taking place during materials processing. In the nineteenth, and in particular, in the twentieth centuries, however, the pace of material development accelerated greatly. Two major factors played a role in this development: the notion of a microstructure in combination with physicochemical techniques to quantify it, and the availability of (semi empirical) models linking the microstructure to the final material properties and vice versa. Both factors led to a real acceleration of material development and today material properties can be tuned precisely, and to very high levels, sometimes even approaching the theoretical limits.

Concrete constructions are currently designed according to set norms that allow cracks to form up to 0.2 mm wide. Such micro cracks are generally considered

acceptable, as these do not directly impair the safety and strength of a construction. Moreover, micro cracks sometimes heal themselves as many types of concrete feature a certain crack-healing capacity. Research has shown that this so called ‘autonomous’ healing capacity is largely related to the number of non-reacted cement particles present in the concrete matrix. On crack formation, ingress water reacts with these particles, resulting in closure of micro cracks. However, because of the variability of autonomous crack healing of concrete constructions, water leakage as a result of micro crack formation in tunnel and underground structures can occur. Concrete will continue to be the most important building material for infrastructure but most concrete structures are prone to cracking. Tiny cracks on the surface of the concrete make the whole structure vulnerable because water seeps in to degrade the concrete and corrode the steel reinforcement, greatly reducing the lifespan of a structure. Concrete can withstand compressive forces very well but not tensile forces. When it is subjected to tension it starts to crack, which is why it is reinforced with steel; to withstand the tensile forces. Structures built in a high water environment, such as underground basements and marine structures, are particularly vulnerable to corrosion of steel reinforcement. Motorway bridges are also vulnerable because salts used to de-ice the roads penetrate into the cracks in the structures and can accelerate the corrosion of steel reinforcement.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

In many civil engineering structures tensile forces can lead to cracks and these can occur relatively soon after the structure is built. Repair of conventional concrete structures usually involves applying a concrete mortar which is bonded to the damaged surface. Sometimes, the mortar needs to be keyed into the existing structure with metal pins to ensure that it does not fall away. Repairs can be particularly time consuming and expensive because it is often very difficult to gain access to the structure to make repairs, especially if they are underground or at a great height.

### 1.3 Objectives

This research aims to develop a self-healing polymeric-cementitious material using polymeric admixture such as an epoxy resin (diglycidyl ether of bisphenol A type) without any hardener which can be applied as repair materials for reinforced concrete structures or manufacturing of precast concrete products.

This study embarks on the following objectives:

1. To determine the mix proportions of mortars using various polymer-cement ratios (epoxy resin content).
2. To investigate the engineering properties of epoxy-modified mortars as self-healing materials.
3. To investigate the mechanism of degree of hardening and self-healing function of epoxy resin in hardened mortars.

### 1.4 Scope

In this research an epoxy resin (diglycidyl ether of bisphenol A) without any hardener is used as polymeric admixture to prepare polymeric-cementitious materials and their self-healing function is evaluated. Epoxy-modified mortars using an epoxy resin without any hardener are prepared with various polymer-cement ratios, subjected to initial wet/dry curing plus long term dry curing and tested for strength properties. The fundamental properties such as workability, influence of curing, strengths, strength development, porosity, permeability and water absorption are investigated. The self-healing function and hardening of epoxy resin are evaluated by preloading and drying of specimens, chemical analysis, and ultrasonic pulse velocity test.



## REFERENCES

1. Ghosh, S. K. (Ed.). (2009). *Self-healing materials: fundamentals, design strategies, and applications*. John Wiley & Sons.
2. Zhou, B. L. (1996). Some progress in the biomimetic study of composite materials. *Materials chemistry and physics*, 45(2), 114-119.
3. Fratzl, P., & Weinkamer, R. (2007). Hierarchical structure and repair of bone: deformation, remodelling, healing. *SPRINGER SERIES IN MATERIALS SCIENCE*, 100, 323.
4. Vermolen, F. J., & Javierre, E. (2009). A suite of continuum models for different aspects in wound healing. In *Bioengineering Research of Chronic Wounds* (pp. 127-168). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
5. Kessler, M. R. (2007). Self-healing: a new paradigm in materials design. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part G: Journal of Aerospace Engineering*, 221(4), 479-495.
6. Trask, R. S., Williams, H. R., & Bond, I. P. (2007). Self-healing polymer composites: mimicking nature to enhance performance. *Bioinspiration & Biomimetics*, 2(1), P1.
7. White, S. R., Sottos, N. R., Geubelle, P. H., Moore, J. S., Kessler, M., Sriram, S. R., & Viswanathan, S. (2001). Autonomic healing of polymer composites. *Nature*, 409(6822), 794-797.
8. Therriault, D., White, S. R., & Lewis, J. A. (2003). Chaotic mixing in three-dimensional microvascular networks fabricated by direct-write assembly. *Nature Materials*, 2(4), 265-271.
9. Therriault, D., Shepherd, R. F., White, S. R., & Lewis, J. A. (2005). Fugitive Inks for Direct-Write Assembly of Three-Dimensional Microvascular Networks. *Advanced Materials*, 17(4), 395-399.

10. Dry, C. (1996). Procedures developed for self-repair of polymer matrix composite materials. *Composite Structures*, 35(3), 263-269.
11. Pang, J. W., & Bond, I. P. (2005). A hollow fibre reinforced polymer composite encompassing self-healing and enhanced damage visibility. *Composites Science and Technology*, 65(11), 1791-1799.
12. Motuku, M. J. G. M., Vaidya, U. K., & Janowski, G. M. (1999). Parametric studies on self-repairing approaches for resin infused composites subjected to low velocity impact. *Smart Materials and Structures*, 8(5), 623.
13. Brown, E. N., Sottos, N. R., & White, S. R. (2002). Fracture testing of a self-healing polymer composite. *Experimental Mechanics*, 42(4), 372-379.
14. Mauldin, T. C., Rule, J. D., Sottos, N. R., White, S. R., & Moore, J. S. (2007). Self-healing kinetics and the stereoisomers of dicyclopentadiene. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface*, 4(13), 389-393.
15. Jones, A. S., Rule, J. D., Moore, J. S., Sottos, N. R., & White, S. R. (2007). Life extension of self-healing polymers with rapidly growing fatigue cracks. *Journal of the royal society interface*, 4(13), 395-403.
16. Burattini, S., Greenland, B. W., Chappell, D., Colquhoun, H. M., & Hayes, W. (2010). Healable polymeric materials: a tutorial review. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 39(6), 1973-1985.
17. Jonkers, H. M. (2008). Self-healing concrete: a biological approach. In *Self Healing Materials* (pp. 195-204). Springer Netherlands.
18. Dick, J., De Windt, W., De Graef, B., Saveyn, H., Van der Meeren, P., De Belie, N., & Verstraete, W. (2006). Bio-deposition of a calcium carbonate layer on degraded limestone by *Bacillus* species. *Biodegradation*, 17(4), 357-367.
19. Ghosh, S., Biswas, M., Chattopadhyay, B. D., & Mandal, S. (2009). Microbial activity on the microstructure of bacteria modified mortar. *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 31(2), 93-98.
20. Van Oss, H. G. (2005). *Background facts and issues concerning cement and cement data*.
21. Van Breugel, K. (2007, April). Is there a market for self-healing cement-based materials. In *Proceedings of the first international conference on self-healing materials. Noordwijk aan Zee, The Netherlands*.

22. Yunovich, M., & Thompson, N. G. (2003). Corrosion of highway bridges: Economic impact and control methodologies. *Concrete International*, 25(1).
23. Freyermuth, C. L. (2001). Life-cycle cost analysis for large segmental bridges. *Concrete International*, 23(2).
24. Aritua, B., Smith, N. J., & Bower, D. (2009). Construction client multi-projects—A complex adaptive systems perspective. *International Journal of Project Management*, 27(1), 72-79.
25. Li, V. C., Sakulich, A. R., Reinhardt, H. W., Schlangen, E., Van Tittelboom, K., Snoeck, D., & Nishiwaki, T. (2013). Recovery against Mechanical Actions. In *Self-Healing Phenomena in Cement-Based Materials* (pp. 119-215). Springer Nether.
26. Schlangen, E., & Joseph, C. (2009). Self healing Processes in Concrete. *Self-healing materials: Fundamentals, design strategies, and applications*.
27. Y. Oham.(1995).Handbook of polymer-modified concrete and mortar, properties and process technology, Noyes Publications, NJ.