

STREAMFLOW ESTIMATION AT UNGAUGED SITE USING WAVELET GROUP
METHOD OF DATA HANDLING IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA

BASRI BADYALINA

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Science (Mathematics)

Faculty of Science
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2014

To my beloved father and mother and family

Badyalina b. Badron, Sae`di Bt Reff, Reff, Doremon, Haikal, Firdaus,

Rezaaomi Reff, Zamani, Asnal, Bahar, Hadzimah, Harith Shah.

To my supportive friends

Kak Zah, Kak Syida, Kak Ema, Kak Syada, Lina,

Amir, Izzul, Kahar, Azam, Zuna, Eyna, Adil, Dea

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

While preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people including academician, researcher and others who have helped me towards my understanding and thoughts. First of all, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Dr Ani Shabri for all the guidance, critics, thoughts and encouragement. I am also very thankful to Nuramalina Mat Jan, Zaharatul Amani Zakaria, Sukma Dewi and Nur Shahidah Roselan for the advices and motivation. Without their continuous support, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

I am also indebted to Kementerian Pengajian Tinggi Malaysia and Ministry Of Science, Technology and Innovation, Malaysia for funding my master study. Special thanks to Department of Irrigation and Drainage, Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment, Malaysia, Sultanah Zanariah Libraries and Universiti Teknologi Malaysia for providing the data and other relevant information for this thesis.

A million thanks to my beloved family members for their continuing support and prays for all the year. All the patience, understanding, love and kindness have blessed my life and made it easy for me to keep on fighting for the thesis. Last but not least, to all my fellow friends and all who had helped me in accomplishing this project. Thank you so much for all the support and assistance at various occasions.

May Allah repay all the kindness that you have given thus far.

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the ability of wavelet group method (WGM) of data handling conjunction model in the estimation of flood quantiles in ungauged sites in Peninsular Malaysia. The conjunction method was obtained by combining two methods, discrete wavelet transform and group method of data handling. Comparison between the WGM model, group method (GM) of data handling model, wavelet regression (WR) model and linear regression (LR) model were done. To assess the effectiveness of this model, 70 catchments in the province of Peninsular Malaysia were used as case studies. The performance of WGM model was compared with the conventional LR, GM and WR models using various statistical measures such as the mean absolute error, root mean square error and Nash-Sutcliffe coefficient of efficiency. Jackknife procedure was required for the evaluation of the performance of the four approaches. The jackknife procedure was needed to simulate the ungauged sites. The results of the comparison indicate that the WGM model was more accurate and perform better than the traditional LR, GM and WR models. Thus, WGM model is a promising new method for estimation of flood quantiles in ungauged sites.

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini menyelidik keupayaan model gabungan kaedah berkumpulan wavelet (WGM) untuk menangani data dalam anggaran kuantil banjir di stesen tiada data di Semenanjung Malaysia. Kaedah ini diperolehi dengan menggabungkan dua kaedah yang berlainan iaitu jelmaan wavelet diskrit (DWT) dan kaedah berkumpulan (GM) bagi menangani data. Kaedah gabungan ini kemudiannya diuji dengan menbandingkan model tradisional iaitu model GM, model regresi wavelet (WR) dan model regresi linear (LR). Untuk menilai keberkesanan model ini, 70 kawasan tadahan di wilayah Semenanjung Malaysia telah digunakan sebagai kajian kes. Prestasi model WGM dibandingkan dengan model konvensional LR, model GM dan model WR dengan menggunakan pelbagai ukuran statistik iaitu ralat mutlak, ralat kuasa dua dan pekali Nash-Sutcliffe bagi kecekapan. Prosedur Jackknife diperlukan untuk menilai prestasi bagi empat pendekatan. Prosedur Jackknife diperlukan untuk membuat simulasi stesen yang tiada data. Keputusan perbandingan menunjukkan bahawa model WGM adalah lebih tepat dan lebih baik daripada model tradisional LR, model GM dan model WR. Maka, model WGM adalah satu kaedah baharu yang menjanjikan hasil yang baik untuk anggaran kuantil banjir di tapak stesen tiada data.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiv
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of Study	1
	1.2 Background of Problem	4
	1.3 Objectives of Study	5
	1.4 Scope of Study	6
	1.5 Significance of the Study	7
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	8
	2.1 Introduction	8
	2.2 Estimation at Ungauged Site	8
	2.3 Group Method of Data Handling Model	9
	2.4 Improvement on Group Method of Data handling Model	11
	2.5 Wavelet Analysis Used in Models	12

2.6	Combination of Wavelet and Forecasting Models	13
2.7	Summary	16
3	FLOOD FREQUENCY ANALYSIS	17
3.1	Introduction	17
3.2	Probability Distribution Function	18
3.2.1	Generalized Extreme Value	18
3.2.2	Generalized Logistic Distribution	19
3.2.3	Generalized Pareto Distribution	20
3.2.4	Three-Parameter Lognormal Distributions	21
3.2.5	Pearson 3 Distributions	22
3.3	Parameter Estimation	23
3.3.1	L-moments	23
3.3.1.1	Generalized Extreme Value Distribution	27
3.3.1.2	Generalized Logistic Distributions	28
3.3.1.3	Generalized Pareto Distributions	29
3.3.2	Maxmun Likelihood Method	30
3.3.2.1	Three Parameter Lognormal	31
3.3.2.2	Pearson Type III	33
3.4	Goodness of Fit test	34
3.4.1	Anderson Darling Test	34
3.4.2	Root Mean Square Error	35
4	MODEL ESTIMATION	36
4.1	Introduction	36
4.2	Linear Regression Methods of Regionalization	36
4.3	The Group Method of Data Handling	41
4.4	Discrete Wavelet Transform	44
4.5	Wavelet Group Method of data Handling	47
4.6	Performance Criteria	49
4.7	Operational Framework	51

5	ANALYSIS OF FLOOD FREQUENCY ANALYSIS	52
	5.1 Introduction	52
	5.2 Fitting Probability Distribution Funcion	53
	5.3 Choosing Best Fitted Distribution	60
	5.4 Catchment Characteristics Data	65
6	ANALYSIS RESULT	67
	6.1 Introduction	67
	6.2 Model Implementation in Estimating Q_{10} at Ungauged Site	67
	6.2.1 Fitting Linear Regression to the Data	69
	6.2.2 Fitting Group Method of Data Handling to the Data	74
	6.2.3 Wavelet Decomposition at Various Resolution Level	72
	6.2.4 Fitting Wavelet Regression to the Data	85
	6.2.5 Fitting Wavelet Group Method of Handling to the Data	87
	6.2.6 Comparison between Models in Estimating Flood Quantile	90
	6.3 Model Implementation in Estimating Q_{50} at Ungauged Site	93
	6.4 Model Implementation in Estimating Q_{100} at Ungauged Site	96
	6.5 Conclusion	99
7	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	100
	7.1 Summary	100
	7.2 Recommendation for Future Studies	101
	REFERENCES	103

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
5.1	$F(x)$ and $Q(x)$ estimation of GEV distribution for Sayong River	55
5.2	Estimation of Q_{10} , Q_{50} and Q_{100}	57
5.3	Cumulative Distribution Function and Quantile Function for GPA, GLO, LN3 and P3.	57
5.4	Estimated Parameters for GPA, GLO, LN3 and P3 at Sayong station	57
5.5	Results for the Anderson Darling test and RMSE value for each distribution at 70 stations.	59
5.6	Goodness of fit and RMSE at Sayong Station	61
5.7	Selected distributions and quantile estimates for catchment in Peninsular Malaysia	64
5.8	Descriptive statistics of hydrologic, physiographical and meteorological variables	66
6.1	Numerical values of linear regression with different combination of input variables to estimate flood quantile for T=10 years obtain from Jackknife procedure	70
6.2	Comparative performance of GMDH model with various input obtained from the jackknife procedure to estimate for T=10 year.	73
6.3	The correlation coefficient between discrete wavelet components and the observed flood quantile for T=10 year for decomposition at two resolution levels.	82

6.4	The correlation coefficient between discrete wavelet components and the observed flood quantile for T=10 year for decomposition at three resolution levels.	82
6.5	The correlation coefficient between discrete wavelet components and the observed flood quantile for T=10 year for decomposition at four resolution levels.	83
6.6	The correlation coefficient between discrete wavelet components and the observed flood quantile for T=10 year for decomposition at five resolution levels.	84
6.7	Comparative performance of WR model with various input and resolution level obtained from the jackknife procedure to estimate for T=10 year.	85
6.8	Comparative performance of WGMDH model with various input and resolution level obtained from the jackknife procedure to estimate for T=10 year.	88
6.9	Comparison between models in estimating flood quantile for T=10 years.	90
6.10	Comparison between models in estimating flood quantile for T=50 years.	94
6.11	Comparison between models in estimating flood quantile for T=100 years.	97

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
4.1	The WGMDH model structure	48
5.1	Observed vs. Predicted flood peak value at Sayong Station for GEV, GLO, GPA, LN3 and P3 distributions.	62
5.2	Map showing location of streamflow stations used in the study	66
6.1	Q_{10} plot for 70 stations	68
6.2	Observed and predicted streamflow by MLR models of stations in Peninsular Malaysia for 10 year return periods	71
6.3	Observed and predicted streamflow by GMDH models of stations in Peninsular Malaysia for 10 year return periods	74
6.4	The decomposed discrete wavelet components of catchment area for 2-level decomposition.	76
6.5	The decomposed discrete wavelet components of elevation for 2-level decomposition	77
6.6	The decomposed discrete wavelet components of longest drainage path for 2-level decomposition	78
6.7	The decomposed discrete wavelet components of mean river slope for 2-level decomposition	79
6.8	The decomposed discrete wavelet components of mean annual total rainfall for 2-level decomposition	80
6.9	The decomposition of original data at 2-level decomposition	81
6.10	Observed and predicted streamflow by WR models of stations in Peninsular Malaysia for 10 year return periods	87

6.11	Observed and predicted streamflow by WGMDH models of stations in Peninsular Malaysia for 10 year return periods.	89
6.12	Comparison of the observed and estimated quantiles values using LR, GMDH, WR and WGMDH for T=10 year.	92
6.13	Q_{50} plot for 70 stations	93
6.14	Comparison of the observed and estimated quantiles values using LR, GMDH, WR and WGMDH for T=50 year.	95
6.15	Q_{100} plot for 70 stations	96
6.15	Comparison of the observed and estimated quantiles values using LR, GMDH, WR and WGMDH for T=100 year.	98

LIST OF SYMBOLS

α, σ	-	scale parameter
ξ, μ	-	location parameter
k	-	shape parameter
$f(x)$	-	probability density function
$F(x)$	-	cumulative distribution function
$x(F)$	-	quantile function
x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5		catchment characteristics
F	-	nonexceedance probability
RMSE	-	root mean square error
MAE	-	mean absolute error
AD	-	Anderson Darling
GEV	-	generalized extreme value distribution
GLO	-	generalized logistic distribution
GPA	-	generalized pareto distribution
P3	-	Pearson 3 distribution
LN3		three parameter lognormal distributions
LR		linear regression
WR		wavelet regression

WGMDH	wavelet group method of data handling
GMDH	group method of data handling
DID	Department of Irrigation and Drainage
$\alpha_0 A_1^{\alpha_1} A_1^{\alpha_2} \cdots A_2^{\alpha_n} \varepsilon_0$	power function
$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$	model parameters
CE	Nash-Sutcliffe coefficient of efficiency
r^2	correlation coefficient
DWT	discrete wavelet transform
FFA	flood frequency analysis
MLM	maximum likelihood method
PWM	probability weighted moments
KS	Kolmogorov Smirnov
Q_T	flood quantiles with T return period
PD	Partial Description
DWT	Discrete Wavelet Transform
$\psi(t)$	mother of wavelet
$Q(F)$	quantile function

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

Flood is one of the most dangerous and recurrent type of natural disasters that occurs in Peninsular Malaysia. Flood event contribute to a lot of damages to properties, infrastructures and even loss of people lives. The basic cause of river flooding is the incidence of heavy rainfalls such as monsoon season or convective, and the resultant large concentration of runoff, which exceeds river capacity. The increase of impermeable area due to rapid development in the urban areas has shortened the time of flow travel into the river.

Flood surely cannot be prevented from occurring but human beings can prepare for it. This make a reliable estimation of flood quantiles is important for flood risk assessment project (e.g., dams, spillways, road, and culverts), the safe design of the river system, and it give a closed valuation budget of flood protection project. In order to acquire accurate estimation of flood quantiles, recorded historical time series data of stream flows is required. Long term historical data used for estimation are more reliable compared to short term data and may also reduce risk. However, it often happens that the historical data at-site of interest not always available. Although at-site of interest may have some available data but the data are not enough to describe the catchment flow because of the changes in watershed characteristics such as

urbanization (Pandey and Nguyen, 1999). The UK Flood Estimation Handbook (FEH) notes that “many flood estimation problems arise at ungauged sites which there are no flood peak data” (Reed and Robson, 1999).

Mamun et al. (2012) stated that river located in Malaysia is gauged only at a strategic location and other river is usually ungauged. This could become a problem to the developer when development projects are located at ungauged catchments. Typically some site characteristics for the ungauged sites are known. Thus, regionalization is carried out to make the estimation of flow statistics at ungauged sites using physiographic characteristics. Regionalization technique includes fitting a probability distribution to series of flow and then linking the relationship to catchment characteristics (Dawson et al., 2006).

The variables affecting the flood quantile estimation include catchment characteristics (size, slope, shape and storage characteristics of the catchment), storm characteristics (intensity and duration of rainfall events), geomorphologic characteristics (topology, land use patterns, vegetation and soil types that affect the infiltration) and climatic characteristics (temperature, humidity and wind characteristics) (Hosking and Wallis 1997; Jain and Kumar 2007). In relating flood quantile at site of interest to catchment characteristics a power form equations are mostly used (e.g., Thomas and Benson 1970; Fennessey and Vogel 1990; Mosley and Mckerchar 1993; Pandey and Nguyen, 1999; Seckin, 2011; Mamun, 2012).

At ungauged sites linear regression (LR) model is always reliable to make estimates of flow statistics or flood quantiles (see e.g. Vogel and Kroll, 1990; Shu & Ouarda, 2008; Pandey & Nguyen, 1999). Mohamoud (2008) used step-wise linear regression to identify dominant landscape and climate descriptor from 29 catchments and then developed flow duration curves that managed to forecast flow in nearby ungauged catchments. Mamun et al. (2012) used linear regression of various return

periods in ten flood region in Peninsular Malaysia. The performances of LR models in estimating the flood quantiles for ungauged sites have been assessed in Pandey and Nguyen (1999) by applying jackknife procedure in simulating the ungauged sites. Several studies were also carried out by comparing the ability of LR methods with artificial intelligent (AI) based models such as artificial neural networks (ANN) and adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system (ANFIS) in predicting hydrologic events at ungauged sites by Kashani et al. (2007), Shu and Ouarda (2008) and Seckin (2011).

Group Method of Data Handling (GMDH) has shown a significant improvement by doing a combination with other method. Zadeh et al. (2002) combined GMDH model with singular value decomposition and it has shown that the combined method prediction is better than GMDH itself. Samsudin et al. (2010) proposed combined GMDH with least square support vector machine and the result showed a significant improvement on prediction.

Nowadays, wavelet transform analysis has gained its popularity because it can produce an encouraging outcome in multi-resolution analysis, variations, periodicities, and trends in time series. The wavelet transforms has the ability to decompose a signal into different level of decompositions which allows the required information to be extracted from data. Usually the extracted data gained from wavelet transformation become the input to other model. The result shows a significant improvement in predictions ability of the model applied. Thus, the ability wavelet transform has become a major reason in improving the ability of model applied predictions. The terms combinations are the popular trend nowadays. The reason is the hybridization or combination method improved the performance of traditional model. Kisi (2009) had proposed the combination of the wavelet transform and linear regression since the hybrid model is much easier to interpret for monthly stream flow forecasting.

At the moment, there are a lot of researchers implemented the time series model to estimate flood quantile at ungauged site. Practically, linear regression is the most common method to apply in ungauged site (Shu and Ourda, 2008). GMDH is one of the time series models that have proven that it has good performance in time series forecasting. Therefore, GMDH is applied. By combining GMDH with discrete wavelet transform (DWT), it can improve the performance of GMDH. The jackknife procedure is implemented to simulate ungauged site.

1.2 Background of Problem

Hydrological records of stream flow and rainfall are important for the design, planning, and operation of various water resource projects. However, it often happens that the record length of the available stream flow data at sites of interest is much shorter (partially ungauged) and even worse there may not be any stream flow record (ungauged) at these sites of interest. Typically at any catchment, there are the existences of physiographic, meteorological and hydrological characteristics. There are five variables implemented in this study which are catchment area, elevation, longest drainage path and annual mean total rainfall. Thus, regionalization is carried out to estimates the flow statistics at ungauged site. Patton and Baker (1976) stated that to identify which catchment characteristics that have meaningful statistical relationship with stream flow is a major challenge. In 1987, Department of Irrigation and Drainage (DID 1987) found that generalized extreme value (GEV) is suitable for flood patterns in Malaysia. This finding was over twenty years ago and it need to reconsider again which distribution is actually suitable to represent flood patterns at each catchment in Peninsular Malaysia. Flood frequency analysis is needed to choose the best fitted distributions for each catchment. Five distributions are applied at all catchments which are generalized extreme value (GEV), generalized pareto (GPA), generalized logistic (GLO), pearson 3 (P3) and lognormal (LN3).

Nowadays a lot of time series models are implemented in ungauged site and they prove the estimation of flood quantile is sometimes better than the conventional method which is the linear regression. In simulating the ungauged site problem jackknife procedure is applied. One of the time series models, GMDH model has shown its capability in time series forecasting. GMDH had been applied in many areas such as economy, ecology, medical diagnostics, signal processing, and control systems systems (Oh and Pedrycz, 2002; Nariman-Zadeh et al., 2002; Kondo and Ueno, 2006; Onwubolu, 2009). Although GMDH was a useful statistical tool used in many fields but within hydrology field it is rarely applied especially as a tool to estimate flood quantile at ungauged sites. Discrete wavelet transform (DWT) had been widely used to improve forecasting performance for time series model (Zhang and Dong, 2001; Partal and Cigizoglu, 2008; Elanien and Salama, 2009; Jalal and Kisi, 2010; Kisi and Cimen, 2011; Choi et al., 2011; Davanipoor et al., 2012). The DWT has various level of decomposition level. There are still no methods or techniques to determine which resolution level or decomposition level that is suitable for a specific data. GMDH also show a significant improvement by combining with genetic algorithm and fuzzy logic (Oh et al., 2005; Nariman-Zadeh et al., 2002). This study investigates the accuracy of combination of discrete wavelet transform (DWT) and GMDH model in the estimation flood quantile at ungauged sites. The combination of DWT and GMDH is to improve the estimation of GMDH itself.

1.3 Objectives of Study

In view of the above mentioned problems, this study is intended to propose WGMDH for estimating flood quantile for ungauged sites (no data available) at Peninsular Malaysia. The specific objectives of the study are as follows:

- i. Identifying the significant physiographic, meteorological and hydrological characteristics at catchment area that should be used as input variables for flood model.
- ii. Selection of a suitable distribution (GPA, GEV, GLO, P3 and LN3) at each station and the best distribution used for various quantile estimation.
- iii. To proposed the potential application of GMDH model for flood frequency analysis at ungauged sites
- iv. To proposed the potential application of Wavelet Group Method of Data Handling (WGMDH) model for flood frequency analysis at ungauged sites.
- v. To proposed the effect of different level decomposition of DWT towards WGMDH and WR model estimations.
- vi. To compare the performance between WGMDH model and LR model, Wavelet Regression (WR) model and GMDH model in terms of RMSE, MAE, CE and r^2 .

1.4 Scope of Study

In this study, the data were obtained from Department of Irrigation and Drainage, Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment, Malaysia. There were seventy gauged stations selected including all the stations located at Peninsular Malaysia. They are located within latitude 1° N- 5° N and longitudes of 100° N- 104° N. The stations include wide variety of basins region ranging between 16.3 km² to 19,000 km². The period of the flow series for different sites varies from 11 -50 years starting from 1959 – 2009. The gauged stations are needed to simulate the ungauged site. The characteristics of catchment implemented in this study are the catchment area, elevation, longest drainage path, river slope and mean total annual rainfall.

Applying flood frequency analysis, only five distribution are used that is generalized extreme value, generalized pareto, generalized logistic, three parameter pearson and three parameter lognormal. The parameters of these five distributions are estimated using EasyFit software. The best distribution was chosen based on Anderson Darling test and root mean square error (RMSE). The most fitted distribution used to estimate flood quantile for T=10 year, T=50 year and T=100 year.

The DWT, Daubechies wavelet was chose as mother of wavelet and DWT decomposed using Mallat algorithm. In this study two, three, four and five level decomposition of DWT were applied. The DWT is combining with GMDH to produce WGMDH model. LR, WR and GMDH model are used to compare the performance of WGMDH. In simulating ungauged site, jackknife procedure was implemented. There are four numerical indices to evaluate the performance of estimation of flood quantile each model which are the root mean square error (RMSE), mean absolute error (MAE), Nash-Sutcliffe coefficient (CE) and correlation coefficient (r^2).

1.5 Significance of the Study

This research is to expect that the proposed model WGMDH model is better than GMDH model because WGMDH is an improvement of GMDH model. Thus, WGMDH is applied in estimating flood quantile at ungauged sites. Although GMDH and WGMDH models have never been used to estimate flood quantile at ungauged site, they are expected that the estimation of WGMDH model is better than conventional method to estimate flood which is that is linear regression.

REFERENCES

- Abbod, M. and. Deshpande, M. (2008). Using Intelligent Optimization Methods to Improve the Group Method of Data Handling in Time Series Prediction. . *Berlin:Springer.*, 16-25.
- Addison, P. S., Murraray, K. B. and Watson, J. N. (2001). Wavelet Transform Analysis of Open Channel Wake Flows. *Journal Engineering Mechanical.*, 127(1), 58-70.
- Addison, P. S. (2002). *The Illustrated Wavelet Transform Handbook*. UK: IOP Publishing Ltd.
- Anctil, F., and Tape, D. G. (2004). An Exploration of Artificial Neural Network Rainfall-Runoff Forecasting Combined with Wavelet Decomposition. *Journal Environment Engineering Science.*, 3, 5121-5128.
- Antar, M. A., Elassiouti, I. and Allam, M. N. (2006). Rainfall-Runoff Modeling using Artificial Neural Networks Technique: A Blue Nile Catchment Case Study. . *Hydrology Process*, 20, 1201-1216.
- Ashkar, F. and. Mahdi, S. (2006). Fitting the Log-Logistic Distribution by Generalized Moments. *Journal of Hydrology*, 328, 694-703.
- Aussem, A., Campbell, J. and Murtagh, F. (1998). Wavelet-based feature extraction and decomposition strategies for financial forecasting. *Journal of Computational Intelligence in Finance*, 6, 5-12.

- Bayazit, M., Onoz, B. and Aksoy, H. (2001). Nonparametric Streamflow Simulation by Wavelet or Fourier Analysis. *Hydrology Science Journal*, 46(4), 623-634.
- Bayazit, M. and Aksoy, H. (2001). Using Wavelets for Data Generation. *Journal Applied Statistics*, 28(2), 157-166.
- Bhattarai, K. P. (2005). *Flood Frequency Analysis of Irish River Flow Data using Variants of L-moments*. Paper presented at the National Hydrology Seminar.
- Cannas, B., Fanni, A., See, L. and Sias, G. (2006). Data preprocessing for River Flow Forecasting using Neural Networks, Wavelet Transforms and Data Partitioning. . *Physics Chemical Earth*, 31(18), 1164-1171.
- Chang, F. J., and Hwang, Y.Y. . (1999). A Self-Organization Algorithm for Real-Time Flood Forecast. *Hydrological Processes*, 13, 123-138.
- Choi, T. M., Yu, Y. and Au, K. F. (2011). A hybrid SARIMA wavelet transform method for sales forecasting. *Decision Support Systems*, 51(1), 130-140.
- Chou, C. M. and Wang, R.Y. . (2002). On-line Estimation of Unit Hydrographs using the Wavelet-based LMS Algorithm. . *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 47(4), 721-738.
- Cigizoglu, H. K. and Kisi, O. (2005). Flow Prediction by Three Back Propagation Techniques using K-Fold Partitioning of Neural Network Training Data. . *Nord. Hydrology*, 36(1), 49-64.
- Coulibaly, P., Anctil, F., and Bobee, B. (2000). Daily reservoir Inflow Forecasting using Artificial Neural Networks with Stopped Training Approach. *Journal of Hydrology*, 230, 244-257.

- Coulibaly, P. and Burn, H. D. (2004). Wavelet Analysis of Variability in Annual Canadian Streamflows. . *Water Resource Research*, 40(3), 1-14.
- Davanipoor, M., Zekri, M. and Sheikholeslam, F. (2012). Fuzzy Wavelet Neural Network With an Accelerated Hybrid Learning Algorithm. *Fuzzy Systems, IEEE Transactions*, 20(3), 463 - 470.
- Dawson, C. W., Abrahart, R. J., Shamseldin, A. Y. and Wilby, R. L. (2006). Flood estimation at ungauged sites using artificial neural network. *Journal of Hydrology*, 319, 391-409.
- Department of Irrigation and Drainage (DID) (1987). Magnitude and frequency of floods in Peninsular Malaysia. Hydrological Procedure No 4, Ministry of Agriculture, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Elanien, A. E. B. and Salama, M. M. A. (2009). A Wavelet-ANN Technique for Locating Switched Capacitors in Distribution Systems. *IEEE Transaction on Power Delivery*, 24(1), 400-409.
- Farlow, S. J. (1984). *Self-Organizing Methods in Modeling: GMDH Type Algorithms* (Vol. 54). 270 Madison Avenue, New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc.
- Feng, G. (1998, November 23-27). *A Method for Simulation of Periodic Hydrologic Time Series using Wavelet Transform. Stochastic Models for Hydrological Processes and Their Applications to Problems of Environmental Preservation.* Paper presented at the Proceedings NATO Advanced Research Workshop, Moscow, Rusia.
- Fennessey, N. and Vogel., R. M. (1990). Regional flow-duration curves for ungauged sites in Massachusetts. . *Journal Water Resource Planning Management*, 116(4).

- Hosking, J. R. M. (1986). The theory of Probability Weighted Moments. *Research Report RC12210*. NY: IBM Research Division. Yorktown Heights.
- Hosking, J. R. M. (1990). L-Moments: Analysis and Estimation of Distributions using Linear Combinations of Order Statistics. *Journal of Royal Statistical Society B*, 52, 105-124.
- Hosking, J. R. M. and Wallis, J. R. (1997). *Regional frequency analysis: An approach based on L-Moments*, . UK: Cambridge, University Press,.
- Hwang, C. and Chen, S. (2000). Fourier and Wavelet Analysis of Topex/Poseidon Derived Sea Level Anomaly over the South China Sea: a Contribution to the South China Sea Monsoon Experiment. *Journal Geology Research*, 105(C12), 28785-28804.
- Ikeda, S., Ochiai, M. and Sawaragi, Y. (1976). Sequential GMDH Algorithm and Its Application to River Flow Prediction. *IEEE Transaction on Systems, Manufacturing and Cybernetics*.
- Ivakhnenko, A. G. (1971). Polynomial Theory of Complex Systems. *IEEE Transactions System Manufactured Cybern*, 1, 364-378.
- Ivakhnenko, A. G., and Ivakhnenko, N. A. (1974). Long Rand Prediction of Random Processes by GMDH algorithms using the unbiasedness Criterion and Balance of Variables Criterion. *Automatyka Soviet Automatic*, 4, 52-58.
- Ivakhnenko, A. G. (1979). Development of Models of Optimal Complexity using Self-Organization Theory. *International Journal Computing Information Science*, 8(2), 12-21.

- Jain, A. and Kumar, A. M. (2007). Hybrid neural network models for hydrologic time series forecasting. *Applied Software Computing*, 7, 585-592.
- Jalal, S. and Kisi, O. (2010). Short-term and long-term streamflow forecasting using a wavelet and neuro-fuzzy conjunction model. *Journal of Hydrology*, 394, 486-493.
- Jenkinson, A. F. (1955). The frequency distribution of the annual maximum (or minimum) values of meteorological elements. *Water Resource Bulletin*, 22(6), 903-912.
- Kashani M. H., Montaseri, M. and Yaghin, M. A. L. (2007). Flood estimation at ungaged sites using a new nonlinear regression model and artificial neural networks. . *American-Eurasian Journal Agriculture. and Environmental Science*, 2(6), 784-791.
- Kim, D., and Park, G. T. (2005). GMDH-Type Neural Network Modeling in Evolutionary Optimization. *Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg*, 563-570.
- Kim, D., Seo, S. J. and Park, G. T. (2009). Hybrid GMDH-type Modeling for Nonlinear Systems: Synergism to Intelligent Identification. . *Advances in Engineering Software.*, 40, 1087-1094.
- Kim, T. W., and Valdes, J. B. (2003). Nonlinear Model for Drought Forecasting Based on a Conjunction of Wavelet Transforms and Neural Networks. . *Journal Hydrology Engineering.*, 8(6), 319-328.
- Kisi, O. (2004). River Flow Modeling using Artificial Neural Networks. *Journal Hydrology Engineering*, 9(1), 60-63.
- Kisi, O. (2007). Streamflow Forecasting using Different Artificial Neural Network Algorithms. *Journal Hydrology Engineering*, 12(5), 532-539.

- Kisi, O. (2008). River Flow Forecasting and Estimation using Different Artificial Neural Network Techniques. *Hydrology Research*, 39(1), 27-40.
- Kisi, O. (2008). Streamflow Forecasting using Neuro-Wavelet Technique. *Hydrology Process*, 22(20), 4142-4152.
- Kisi, O. (2009). Neural networks and wavelet conjunction model for intermittent streamflow forecasting. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 14(8), 773-782.
- Kisi, O. (2009). Wavelet Regression Model as an Alternative to Neural Networks for Monthly Streamflow Forecasting. *Hydrological Processes*, 23, 3583-3597.
- Kisi, O. and Cimen, M. (2011). A wavelet-support vector machine conjunction model for monthly streamflow forecasting. . *Journal of Hydrology*, 399, 132-140.
- Kite, G. W. (1977). Frequency and risk analysis in hydrology. *Water Research Publication, Fort Collins, CO*.
- Kondo, T. and Pandya, A. S. (2004). Identification of the Multi-Layered Neural Networks by Revised GMDH-Type Neural Network Algorithm with PSS Criterion. . *Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg*, 1051-1059.
- Kondo, T. and Ueno, J. (2006). Logistic GMDH-Type Neural Network and its Application to Identification of X-Ray Film Characteristic. *Journal of Advanced Computational Intelligence and Intelligent Informatics*, 11(3).
- Kondo, T., Pandya, A. S. and Nagashino, H. (2007). GMDH-type neural network algorithm with a feedback loop for structural identification of RBF neural network. . *International Journal of Knowledge-Based and Intelligent Engineering Systems.*, 11, 157-168

- Kulkarni, J. R. (2000). Wavelet Analysis of the Association between the Southern Oscillation and the Indian Summer Monsoon. *International Journal of Climatology*, 20, 89-104.
- Kumar, P. and Foufoula-Georgiou, E. (1993). A Multicomponent Decomposition of Spatial Rainfall Fields. *Water Resources Research*, 29(8), 2515-2532.
- Labat, D., Ababou, R. and Mangin, A. (1999). Wavelet Analysis in Karstic Hydrology 2nd Part: Rainfall-Runoff Cross-Wavelet Analysis. *Comptes Rendus de l'Academie Des Sciences Series IIA. Earth Planet Science Lett.*, 329, 881-887.
- Labat, D., Ababou, R. and Mangin, A. (2000). Rainfall-Runoff Relation for Karstic Spring. Part 2: Continuous Wavelet and Discrete Orthogonal Multi Resolution Analyses. *Journal Hydrology*, 238, 149-178.
- Li, X. B., Ding, J. and Li, H.Q. (1999). Combing Neural Network Models Based on Wavelet Transform. *Journal Hydrology Engineering*, 2, 1-4.
- Lim, Y. H. and Lye, L. M. (2003). Regional Flood Estimation for Ungauged Basins in Sarawak, Malaysia. *Hydrology Science Journal*, 48(1), 79-94.
- Liu, H. M., Qi, H. and Cai, Z.Q. (2003). Nonlinear Chaotic Model of Landslide Forecasting. *Chinese Journal of Rock Mechanics and Engineering.*, 22(3), 434-437.
- Lu, R. Y. (2002). Decomposition of Interdecadal and Iinterannual Components for North China Rainfall in Rainy Season. *China Journal Atmosphere*, 26, 611-624.
- Mallat, S. G. (1989). A theory for multiresolution signal decomposition: the wavelet representation. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence.*, 11, 674-693.

- Mamun, A. A., Hashim, A. and Amir, Z. (2012). Regional Statistical Models for the Estimations of Flood Peak Values at Ungauged Cathments: Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 17(4), 547-553.
- Matalas, N. C. and Wallis, J. R. (1973). Eureka!! It fits a pearson type 3 distribution. *Water Resources Research*, 9(2), 281-289.
- Millington, N., Das, S. and Simonovic, S. P. (2011). The comparison of GEV, Log-Pearson type 3 and Gumbel distributions in the upper thames river watershed under global climate models. *Water Resources Research Report*. London, Ontario, Canada.
- Mohamoud, Y. M. (2008). Prediction of daily flow duration curves and streamflows for ungauged cathments using regional flow duration curves. *Hydrological Scineces*, 53(4), 706-724.
- Mosley, M. P. and McKerchar, A. (1993). “*Streamflow*” *Handbook of hydrology*, . New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Najafzadeh, M. and Barani, G. A. (2011). Comparison of group method of data handling based genetic programming and back propagation systems to predict scour depth around bridge piers. *Scientia Iranica*, 18(6), 1207-1213.
- Nason, G. P. and Sachs, V. R. (1999). Wavelets in Time Series Analysis. . *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, 357, 2511-2526.
- Nguyen, V. T. V., Tao, D. and Bourque, A. (2002). *On Selection of Probability Distributions for Representing Annual Extreme Rainfall Series*. Paper presented at the ASCE. 2002.

- Oh, S. K., and Pedrycz, W. (2002). The Design of Self Organizing Polynomial Neural Networks. *Inf. Science.*, 141, 237-258.
- Oh, S. K., Park, B., Pedrycz, W. and Ahn, T. C. (2005). Genetically Optimized Hybrid Fuzzy Neural Networks based on Simplified Fuzzy Inference Rules and Polynomial Neurons. *LNCS*, 3514, 798-803.
- Onwubolu, G. C. (2009). Prediction of Burr Formation during Face Milling using a Hybrid GMDH Network Model with Optimized Cutting Conditions. *Journal Advances Manufactured Technology.*, 44, 1083-1093.
- Osowski, S. and Garanty, K. (2007). Forecasting of the Daily Meteorological Pollution using Wavelets and Support Vector Machine. . *Engineering Application Artificial Intelligent*, 20, 745-755.
- Pandey, G. R. and Nguyen, V. T. V. (1999). A comparative study of regression based methods in regional flood frequency analysis. *Journal of Hydrology*, 225, 92-101.
- Partal, T. and Kucuk, M. (2006). Long-Term Trend Analysis Using Discrete Wavelet Components of Annual Precipitations Measurements in Marmara Region (Turkey). *Physics Chemical Earth, Part A Solid Earth Geod*, 31, 1189-1200.
- Partal, T. and Cigizoglu, H. K. (2008). Estimation and Forecasting of Daily Suspended Sediment Data using Wavelet-Neural Networks. *Journal Hydrology*, 358(3-4), 246-255.
- Pasquini, A. and Depetris, P. (2007). Discharge Trends and Flow Dynamics of South American Rivers Draining the Southern Atlantic Seaboard: an Overview. *Journal Hydrology.*, 333, 385-399.

- Patton, P. C. and Baker, V. R. (1976). Morphometry and Floods in Small Drainage Basins Subject to Diverse Hydrogeomorphic Controls. *Water Resource Research*, 12(5), 941-952.
- Phien, H. N. and Fang, T. S. E. (1989). Maximum Likelihood Estimation of the Parameters and Quantiles of the GEV Distribution From Censored Samples. . *Journal of Hydraulics*, 105, 139-155.
- Rao, A. R. and Hosking, K. H. (2000). *Flood Frequency Analysis*. Boca Raton, London, New York,: CRC Press.
- Robinson, C. (1998). *Multi-Objective Optimization of Polynomial models for Time Series Prediction using Genetic Algorithms and Neural Networks*. University of Sheffield, UK.: Doctor Philosophy.
- Saco, P. and Kumar, P. (2000). Coherent Modes in Multiscale Variability of Streamflow over the United States. *Water Resources Research*, 36, 1049-1068.
- Sahoo, G. B. and Ray, C. (2006). Flow Forecasting for a Hawaii Stream using Rating Curves and Neural Networks. *Journal Hydrology*, 317, 63-80.
- Samsudin, R., Saad P. and Shabri, A. (2010). A hybrid least squares support vector machines and GMDH approach for river flow forecasting. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci*, 7, 3691–3731.
- Seckin, N. (2011). Modelling flood discharge at ungauged sites across Turkey using neuro fuzzy and neural network. *Journal of Hydroinformatics*, 13(4), 842 - 849.
- Shabri, A. and Jemain, A. A. (2007). LQ-Moments for Statistical Analysis of Extreme Events. *Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods*, 6(1), 228-238.

- Sharma, A. and Onwubolu, G. (2009). Hybrid Particle Swarm Optimization and GMDH System. *Hybrid Self-Organizing Modeling Systems*, 211, 193-231.
- Shu, C. and Ourda, T. B. M. J. (2008). Regional flood frequency analysis at ungauged sites using the adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system. *Journal of Hydrology*, 31-43.
- Smith, L. C., Turcotte, D. L. and Isacks, B. (1998). Streamflow Characterization and Feature Detection using a Discrete Wavelet Transform. . *Hydrology Process*, 12, 233-249.
- Soltani, S. (2002). On the Use of the Wavelet Decomposition for Time Series Prediction. *Neurocomputing*, 48, 267-277.
- Stedinger, J. R., Vogel, R. M. and Georgiou, F. E. (1993). *Handbook of Hydrology*. New York: Mc Graw Hill.
- Swee, E. G. T. and Elangovan, S. (1999). Applications of Symmlets for Denoising and Load Forecasting. *Proceedings of the IEEE Signal Processing Workshop on Higher-Order Statistics*, 165-169.
- Tamura, H. and Halfon, E. (1980). Identification of a Dynamic Lake Model by the Group Method of Data Handling: an Application to Lake Ontario. . *Ecological Modeling*, 11, 81-100.
- Tantanee, S., Patamatammakul, S., Oki, T., Sriboonlue, V. and Prempree, T. . (2005). Coupled Wavelet-Autoregressive Model for Annual Rainfall Prediction. . *Journal of Environmental Hydrology*, 13.

- Tasker, G. D., Hodge, S. A. and Barks C. S. (1996) Region of influence regression for estimating the 50- year flood at ungauged sites. . *American Water Resources Association.*, 32(1), 163-170.
- Thomas, D. M. and Benson, M. A. (1970). Generalization of streamflow characteristics from drainage-basin characteristics. *US Geological Survey, Water Supply Paper.*
- Tong, X. H., Kuang, J. C., Wang, X.Y., and Qi, T. X. (1996). Setting Up Prediction Model of Gas Well Prediction Rate by Various Methods. . *Natural Gas Industry.*, 16(6), 49-53.
- Vogel, R. M. and Kroll, C. N. (1990). Generalized low-flow frequency relationships for ungauged sites in Massachusetts. *American Water Resources Association.*, 26(2), 241-253.
- Wang, L., Koblinsky, C. J. and Howden, S. (2000). Mesoscale Variability in the South China Sea from the Topex/Poseidon Altimetry Data. *Deep-Sea Research, Part 1.*, 47(4), 681-708.
- Wang, Q. J. (1997). LH-Moments for Statistical Analysis of Extreme Events. *Water Resour. Res.*, 33(12), 2841-2848.
- Wang, W., and Ding, S. (2003). Wavelet Network Model and Its Application to the Predication of Hydrology. . *Nature and Science*, 1(1), 67-71.
- Wang, W. S., Jin, J. L., and Li, Y. Q. (2009). Prediction of Inflow at Three Gorges Dam in Yangtze River with Wavelet Network Model. *Water Resource Management*, 23, 2791-2803.

- Xingang, D., Ping, W. and Jifan, C. (2003). Multiscale Characteristics of the Rainy Season Rainfall and Interdecadal Decaying of Summer Monsoon in North China. *China Science Bulletin.*, 48, 2730-2734.
- Xizheng, K., Licheng, J., Tinggao, Y., and Zhensen, W. . (1999). Wavelet Model for the Time Scale. . . *Proceedings of the 1999 Joint Meeting of the European Frequency and Time Forum*, 1, 177-181.
- Zadeh, N., Darvideh, A., Felezi, M. A. and Gharababaei, H. (2002). Polynomial modelling of explosive compaction process of metallic powders using GMDH-type neural networks and singular value decomposition. . *Modelling Simulation Material Science Engineering*, 10, 727-744.
- Zadeh, N., Darvizeh, A., Jamali, A., and Moeini, A. (2005). Evolutionary Design of Generalized Polynomial Neural Networks for Modeling and Prediction of Explosive Forming Process. . *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 164-165, 1561-1571.
- Zhang, B. L. and Dong, Z. Y. (2001). An Adaptive Neural Wavelet Model for Short Term Load Forecasting. *Electrical Power System Research*, 59, 121-129.
- Zhang, L. and Singh, V. P. (2005). Frequency analysis of flood damage. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 10(2), 100-109.
- Zhu, Y., Qian, J., Fan, Q., Wan, D. and Li, S. (2009). Study on Hydrology Time Series Prediction Based on Wavelet Neural Networks. . *Proceedings of the 2009 8th IEEE ACIS International Conference on Computer and Information Science, ICIS 2009*, 411-415.