

# LATERITIC SOIL STABILIZATION BY USING ROADPACKER PLUS

GOH LI LIAN

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Civil)

Faculty of Civil Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2014

*To my beloved family*

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

Firstly, I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my thesis supervisor, Dr Ahmad Safuan Bin A. Rashid for his guidance and support throughout my research in this project. His generousness guidance provides me valuable lessons and experiences throughout my academic years.

I would also like to express my appreciation to Prof. Madya. Ir. Dr. Ramli Nazir, Madam Fauziah Kassim and Mr. Muhammad Azril Bin Hezmi for their critical comments and suggestion for my thesis. I would also like to thank all the lecturers who have provided me with valuable inputs during this research and my academic years.

I wish to dedicate my greatest gratitude to members of family and my parents for their unswerving support and spiritual guidance given me.

Finally, special thanks to my beloved friends for their assistance and support in my research and studies. I would also like to thank everyone who has contributed to this project. This project would have been impossible without your guidance, advice and support.

## ABSTRACT

In Malaysia, more than half the area in Peninsular Malaysia is covered with lateritic soil. Improvement method is needed to provide a strong subgrade construction during construction process. Currently, there are a lot of popular improvement methods and stabilization methods are used in the field. In study, 7% and 13% of RoadPacker Plus were used as a mean of stabilization treatment of soil while 0% act as control sample. Soil stabilization is the alteration of one or more soil properties, by mechanical or chemical means, to improve soil material possessing the desired engineering properties. The main purpose of soil stabilization is to increase soil strength, bearing capacity and resistance to deteriorative forces of nature and manmade environment. Laboratory tests were conducted to determine the engineering properties of soil sample such as classification, Standard Proctor Compaction Test, and Unconfined Compressive Test (UCT). For compaction test, 7% RoadPacker Plus content has the highest MDD value which is 1.54 with 25.47% of moisture content. As for the UCS testing, soil mixture with 7% RoadPacker Plus content have gain higher strength improvement as compare to 13% content. The results indicated that RoadPacker Plus is able to significantly improve the unconfined compression strength of Laterite soil. The soil strength increased with the duration of curing period. As a result, RoadPacker Plus is suitable to use for some practical project such as increasing road bearing capacity.

## ABSTRAK

Di Malaysia, lebih daripada separuh kawasan di Semenanjung Malaysia diliputi dengan tanah laterit. Kaedah peningkatan kestabilan tanah diperlukan untuk menyediakan pembinaan subgrade yang kuat semasa proses pembinaan. Pada masa ini, terdapat banyak kaedah penambahbaikan dan kaedah penstabilan telah digunakan di pasaran. Dalam kajian ini, 7% dan 13% daripada RoadPacker Plus telah digunakan sebagai kaedah rawatan penstabilan tanah sementara 0% bertindak sebagai sampel kawalan. Penstabilan tanah adalah pengubahan ciri-ciri tanah, dengan cara mekanikal atau kimia untuk meningkatkan kestabilan tanah yang mempunyai sifat-sifat kejuruteraan dikehendaki. Tujuan utama penstabilan tanah adalah untuk meningkatkan kekuatan tanah, keupayaan dan kekuatan ricih untuk mengatasi kuasa yang meyebabkan kegagalan yang disebabkan alam semula jadi dan alam sekitar buatan manusia. Ujian makmal telah dijalankan untuk menentukan sifat-sifat kejuruteraan sampel tanah seperti analisis saiz partikel, Ujian Pemadatan Proktor Piawai, dan Ujian Kekuatan Mampatan Tidak Terkurung (UCT). Untuk ujian pemadatan, tanah dengan 7% kandungan RoadPacker Plus mempunyai nilai ketumpatan kering maksimum (MDD) tertinggi iaitu 1.54 dengan 25.47 % kandungan kelembapan. Bagi ujian Kekuatan Mampatan Tidak Terkurung (UCT), campuran tanah dengan 7 % kandungan RoadPacker Plus telah mendapat peningkatan kekuatan yang lebih tinggi berbanding dengan kandungan 13%. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa RoadPacker Plus dapat meningkatkan kekuatan mampatan tanah Laterit. Kekuatan tanah meningkat dengan peningkatan jangka masa tempoh pengawetan. Hasilnya, RoadPacker Plus sesuai digunakan untuk beberapa projek praktikal seperti meningkatkan keupayaan galas jalan .

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>TITLE</b>	i
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	ii
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	iv
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	vii
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	x
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xi
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xiv
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	xv
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	vi
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objective	3
	1.4 Scope of Study	4
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
	2.1 Laterite Soil	5
	2.1.1 Soil Properties of Laterite Soil	6
	2.1.1.1 Specific Gravity of Laterite Soil	7

	2.1.1.2 Plasticity Characteristic of Laterite Soil	7
	2.1.2 Compaction of Laterite Soil	8
2.2	Soil Stabilization	9
	2.2.1 Mechanical Stabilization	10
	2.2.2 Chemical Admixture Stabilization	10
2.3	Selection of Addictives	11
2.4	Environment Condition	13
2.5	RoadPacker Plus Stabilization	14
2.6	Cement Stabilization	16
2.7	Relationship between Unconfined Compressive Strength and Liquidity Index	17
2.8	Subgrade in Road Design	18
2.9	Stabilization of Subgrade	19
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	
3.1	Introduction	22
3.2	Material	24
	3.2.1 Laterite Soil	25
	3.2.2 RoadPacker Plus	25
3.3	Laboratory Testing	25
3.4	Soil Classification	25
	3.4.1 Particle Size Distribution	25
	3.4.1.1 Dry Sieving	26
	3.4.2 Atterberg Limit	27
	3.4.2.1 Liquid Limit (Drop Cone Penetration)	29
	3.4.2.2 Plastic Limit	31
3.5	Standard Proctor Compaction Test	32

3.6	Unconfined Compression Test (UCT)	35
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULT AND ANALYSIS</b>	
4.1	Introduction	40
4.2	Soil Classification	41
4.2.1	Particle Size Analysis	41
4.2.2	Atterberg Limit	42
4.2.2.1	Liquid Limit (LL)	42
4.2.2.2	Plastic Limit (PL)	43
4.2.2.3	Plasticity Index (PI)	43
4.3	Standard Proctor Compaction Test	44
4.4	Unconfined Compressive Test (UCT)	47
4.5	Comparison Result of RoadPacker Plus and Ordinary Portland Cement Stabilization of Soil	60
4.5.1	Compaction Test	60
4.5.2	Unconfined Compressive Test	61
4.6	Discussion	62
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</b>	
5.1	Conclusion	68
5.2	Recommendation	69
	<b>REFERENCE</b>	71
	<b>APPENDIX</b>	74

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Range of size fraction for fine-grained laterite soil	6
2.2	Guide for selection a stabilizing additive (Guyer,2011)	13
2.3	Description for RoadPacker Plus	15
2.4	Classes of subgrade strength based on CBR (ATJ 5/85- Amendment 2013)	21
4.1	Summary of soil classification	44
4.2	Results of compaction test	45
4.3	Results of UCS for 0% RoadPacker Plus content	51
4.4	Result of UCS for 7% RoadPacker Plus content	54
4.5	Reuslt of UCS for 13% RoadPacker Plus content	57
4.6	Liquidity index and strength for 0%, 7% and 13% of RoadPacker Plus with 7 days curing period	63
4.7	Liquidity index and strength for 0%, 7% and 13% of RoadPacker Plus with 14 days curing period	64
4.8	Liquidity index and strength for 0%, 7% and 13% of RoadPacker Plus with 28 days curing period	66

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Oven dried natural laterite soil	6
2.2	Plasticity characteristic and limits of soil (Gidigas, 1969)	8
2.3	Gradation triangle for aid in selecting a commercial stabilizing agent (Guyer, 2011)	12
2.4	RoadPacker Plus	15
2.5	Cement	17
2.6	Cross Section of pavement system	19
3.1	Flow chart of research activities	23
3.2	Laterite soil	24
3.3	Mechanical Shaker	26
3.4	Consistency limit chart (Mandel, 1995)	28
3.5	Plasticity chart system (BS 5930: 1981)	28
3.6	Cone penetration	30
3.7	Plastic limit sample	32
3.8	A typical compaction curve obtained from Standard Proctor Compaction test	33
3.9	Standard Proctor Compaction test apparatus	33
3.10	Sample is extruded from the mould by extruder	37
3.11	Curing process in controlled room temperature	37
3.12	Compression machine	39
4.1	Soil distribution of the laterite soil sample	41
4.2	Graph of penetration of cone versus moisture content	42
4.3	Plasticity chart system (BS 5930: 1981)	44

4.4	Dry density vs moisture content (0% RoadPacker Plus)	45
4.5	Dry density vs moisture content (7% RoadPacker Plus)	45
4.6	Dry density vs moisture content (13% RoadPacker Plus)	46
4.7	Maximum Dry Density vs percentage of RoadPacker Plus	46
4.8	Optimum Moisture Content vs. percentage of RoadPacker Plus	47
4.9	Categories of Unconfined Compressive test samples	48
4.10	Stress vs Strain (0% RoadPacker Plus) with 7 days curing period	49
4.11	Stress vs Strain (0% RoadPacker Plus) with 14 days curing period	50
4.12	Stress vs Strain (0% RoadPacker Plus) with 28 days curing period	50
4.13	Stress vs Strain (7% RoadPacker Plus) with 7 days curing period	53
4.14	Stress vs Strain (7% RoadPacker Plus) with 14 days curing period	53
4.15	Stress vs Strain (7% RoadPacker Plus) with 28 days curing period	54
4.16	Stress vs Strain (13% RoadPacker Plus) with 7 days curing period	55
4.17	Stress vs Strain (13% RoadPacker Plus) with 14 days curing period	56
4.18	Stress vs Strain (13% RoadPacker Plus) with 28 days curing period	56
4.19	Graph failure stress vs RoadPacker Plus content for 7 days curing period	57
4.20	Graph failure stress vs RoadPacker Plus content for 14 days curing period	58
4.21	Graph failure stress vs RoadPacker Plus content for 28 days curing period	58

4.22	Graph of Maximum Dry Density vs amount of RoadPacker Plus and Ordinary Portland Cement	60
4.23	Graphh of Optimum Moisture Content vs amount of RoadPacker Plus and Ordinary Portland Cement	61
4.24	Graph of percentage of strength increment vs amount of RoadPacker Plus and Ordinary Portland Cement	62
4.25	Graph of undrained shear strength vs Liquidity Index for 7 days curing period	63
4.26	Graph of undrained shear strength vs Liquidity Index for 14 days curing period	65
4.27	Graph of undrained shear strength vs Liquidity Index for 28 days curing period	66
4.28	Graph undrained shear strength vs LI for RoadPacker Pus	67

**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

BS	-	British Standard
CBR	-	California Bearing Ratio
JKR	-	Jabatan Kerja Raya
LI	-	Liquidity Index
LL	-	Liquid Limit
MDD	-	Maximum Dry Density
OMC	-	Optimum Moisture Content
OPC	-	Ordinary Portland Cement
PI	-	Plasticity Index
PL	-	Plastic Limit
PSD	-	Particle Size Distribution
PVC	-	Polyvinyl Chloride
UCS	-	Unconfined Compressive Strength

**LIST OF SYMBOLS**

$^{\circ}$	-	Degree
$^{\circ}\text{C}$	-	Degree Celsius
$\text{Ca}^{+}$	-	Calcium Ion
$\text{Na}^{+}$	-	Sodium Ion
$\text{K}^{+}$	-	Potassium Ion
$\text{Mg}^{+}$	-	Magnesium Ion
$\text{H}^{+}$	-	Hydrogen Ion
$q_u$	-	Unconfined Compressive Strength
$w$	-	Moisture Content
$\sigma$	-	Shear Stress

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	Particle Size Distribution Data Sheet	75
B	Atterberg Limit Test Data Sheet	77
C	Standard Proctor Compaction Test Data Sheet	79
D	Unconfined Compressive Strength Test Data Sheet	83
E	Comparison of Undrained Shear Strength and Liquidity Index for RoadPacker Plus and OPC	140

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Background**

Lateritic soils are generally found in tropical and sub-tropical countries. In Malaysia, more than half the area in Peninsular Malaysia is covered with residual sedimentary rock soil rich in iron and alumina content which give its lateritic nature (Aun. OT., 1982). Their geotechnical properties are influenced by the climate, parent rock and the degree of laterisation. During laterisation, iron oxide and aluminium oxide coat and bind the clay particles leading to change in the micro-structure of the soil. The mineralogy and micro-structure influence the geotechnical properties of lateritic soil (Mahalinga-Iyer and Williams, 1991).

Therefore, the characteristic of lateritic soil is hard to predict during construction. Lateritic soil are not conducive to road construction thus, if the techniques of road design and construction is not in accordance with the soil conditions, then failure will prevail before its design life. To ease the work of construction pavement, stabilization works have been introduced to improve characteristics of soil such as compressive strength, compressibility and permeability of the soil.

There are various method are adapted to improve the engineering characteristic of soil to ensure stability of soil. The problematic of soil either removed and replaced by good or better quality material or stabilized by using

physical or chemical stabilization. Chemical stabilization includes cement, lime, fly ash, bitumen and asphalt emulsion. These are the most common soil stabilization method used in the construction industry. However, in recent years, commercial sector has a variety of non-traditional soil stabilization such as enzymes, liquid polymers, resins, acids, silicates, and lignin derivatives which are considered not common (Marto et al., 2013).

In this study, a liquid chemical was used as soil stabilizer which is known as RoadPacker Plus. RoadPacker Plus is a corrosive liquid, N.O.S. (Phosphoric Acid, Sulphuric Acid) Class 8; UN 1760; PGII which acts as a highly effective soil stabilizer and has a branched molecular structure comprised of millions of molecules linked and cross linked together to create an unbreakable bonding adhesion matrix that increase the strength of the in-situ soil significantly.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

Malaysia is a rapidly developing country. As the result, there has been a considerable amount of development in all major cities. To cope with the developing and strong economic performance, there has been lots of urbanization project done by the government.

Problem laterites are laterite soils that have the reputation of being problematic in road and airfield pavement construction. Highway and airfield pavements that have this soil as bases and sub-bases are characterized by pavements swelling, depression, and lateral movement in the presence of water, even under moderate wheel loads. Problem laterites are generally identified as residually weathered soils formed in regions of recent volcanic activity and/ or continuous wet climate with an average rainfall generally above 1,500mm. They are characterized by high natural water contents and liquid limits, low natural densities, and are friable and/ or have a crumbly structure (Terzaghi 1958, Millard 1962).

Alternative ways to deal with lateritic soil included attempts to dry and compact the soil, adding geosynthetic material into the soil or adding chemical stabilizer such as lime, cement, polymer. Chemical stabilizer such as cement, lime, or bitumen treatment is effective in soil treatment, but this research focus on the efficiency and performance of RoadPacker Plus soil stabilizer to treat the lateritic soil by conducting laboratory tests.

### **1.3 Objectives**

The objectives of the research as below:

1. To determine the engineering properties of laterite soil.
2. To determine the strength of the soil after stabilization by using RoadPacker Plus with different emulsion content and curing period.
3. To determine the optimum composition of RoadPacker Plus emulsion for soil stabilization.
4. To determine the relationship of soil strength and the Liquidity Index (LI) under varies percentage of RoadPacker Plus content and curing period.
5. To compare the soil strength, maximum dry density, optimum moisture content and the relationship of soil strength and liquidity index after stabilized using RoadPacker Plus and Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) for 7 days curing period.

#### 1.4 Scope of study

The main purpose of this research is to determine optimum chemical emulsion to be used in soil stabilization lateritic soil. The scope of this research includes:

1. The soil selected is laterite soil and the soil stabilizer is RoadPacker Plus.
2. The physical properties and classification tests of laterite soil according to BS 1377 part 2 to identify physical properties of soil such as Atterberg limits, particle size distribution of laterite soil and moisture content.
3. The Optimum Moisture Content (OPC) for the most efficient compaction that is at which the maximum dry density is achieved under that compaction effort, which is accordance to BS 1377: 1990: Part 4 (2.5 kg rammer method).
4. The Maximum Dry Density (MDD) and Optimum Moisture Content (OMC) of the RoadPacker Plus soil stabilizer is used in preparation on the remolded samples and the samples are cured for 1, 7, 14 and 28 days at room temperature.
5. 7% and 13% of Stabilizer are added in different sample in order to stabilize the laterite soil.
6. Obtaining the shear strength of the laterite soil which stabilized with 0%, 7% and 13% RoadPacker Plus soil stabilizer content by using unconfined compression test according to BS 1924.
7. The relationship between the soil strength and Liquidity Index (LI) is to shows the soil sensitivity according to the amount of soil stabilizer and curing period. The relationship will help in determine the optimum amount of RoadPacker Plus to be used during road construction.

## REFERENCES

- Alexander, L. T., and Cady, J. G. (1962). “*Genesis and hardening of laterite in soils.*” Tech. Bull 128J; U.S. Dept. of Agric., Washington, D.C.
- Aun. OT. *Malaysian Soils and Associated Problems*, Geotechnical Engineering Course, Universiti Malaya, (1982)
- Bawa, K.S., 1957. *Laterite soil and their engineering characteristics*. Proc. Am. Soc. Civ. Eng., 83 (SM.4), (Paper 1428): 1-15
- Brand, E.W. and Hongsnoi, M., 1969. *Effects of methods of preparation on compaction and strength characteristics of lateritic soils*. Proc. Spec. Sess. Int. Conf. Soil Mech. Foundation Eng., 7<sup>th</sup>, Mexico, 1: 107-116
- BS 1377 Part 2:1990 Clause 4A, *Method of test for Soils for civil engineering purpose Part 4: Compaction-related tests*.
- BS 1377 Part 2: 1990 Clause 5A, *Methods of test for Soils for civil engineering purposes Part 5: Compressibility, permeability and durability tests*
- BS 1377 Part 4: 1990 Clause 3, *Methods of test for Soils for civil engineering purposes Part 1: General requirements and sample preparation*.
- BS 1377:1990. Part 7, Clause 7.2., *Methods of test for Soils for civil engineering purposes Part 7: Shear strength tests (total stress)*.
- Dyg Nur Intan Afzan Binti Awang Shahminan. *Relationship between Strength and Liquidity Index of Cement Stabilized Laterite*. Undergraduate Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia; 2013
- De Graft-Johnson, J.W.S., Bhatia, H.S. and Yebao, S.L., 1972. *Influence of geology and physical properties on strength characteristics of lateritic gravels for road pavements*. Highw. Res. Board, Wash., Rec., 405; 87-104.
- Evans, E.A. 1958. *A laboratory investigation of six lateritic gravels from Uganda*. Brit. Road Res. Lab., Note 3241: 20

- Gidigasu, M.D. and Yeboa, S.L., 1972. *Significance of pretesting preparations in evaluating index properties of laterite materials*. Highw. Res. Board. Rec., 405: 105-116.
- Gidigasu, M.D., 1969. *The Highway Geotechnical Properties of Ghana Soils*. Ph.D. Thesis, State Technical University, Warsaw, 171 pp. (unpublished).
- Gidigasu, M.D., 1972. *Mode of formation and geotechnical characteristic of laterite materials of Ghana in relation to soil forming factors*. Eng. Geol., 6: 79-150.
- Hirashima, K.B. *Highway Experience with thixotropic volcanic clay*. HRB Proc., Vol. 28, 1948, pp. 481-494
- J. Paul Guyer. *Introduction to Soil Stabilization in Pavements*, (2011)
- Jabatan Kerja Raya Malaysia. *Manual for the Structural Design of Flexible Pavement*. Cawangan Kejuruteraan Jalan dan Geoteknik; ATJ 5/85-Amendments 2013
- Mahalinga-Iyer, U. and Willams, D.J., 1991. *Engineering properties of a lateritic soil profile*. Eng. Geol., 31: 45-58.
- Maignien, R., 1966. *Review of Research on Laterites*. Nat. Resour. Res. IV. UNESCO, Paris, 148 pp.
- Markwick, A.H.D. (1944). *The basic principles of soil compaction and their application*. Inst. Civ. Eng. London. Road Engineering Division, Road Paper No. 16
- Millard, M. S. (1962). "Road building in the tropics." J. Appl. Chem., London, England, 12, 342-357
- Newill, D., 1961. *A laboratory investigation of two red clays from Kenya*. *Geotechnique*, 11: 303 -318.
- Nixon, I.K. and Skipp, B.O., 1957. *Airfield constriction on overseas soils*, 5. Laterite. Proc. Brit. Inst. Civ. Eng., Lond., 8: 253-292
- Sarker, S.L., B.E. Herbert, and R. J. Scharlin. *Injection stabilization of expansive clays using a hydrogen ion exchange chemical*. Geotechnical Special Publication No. 99, *Advances in Unsaturated Geotechnics*, Proc. Geo-Denver 2000 (C. D. Shackelford, S.L. Houston, and N. Y. Chang, eds.), Denver, Colo. Geo-Institute of the American Society of Civil Engineers, Reston, Va., pp. 487-516
- Tateishi, H., 1967. *Basic engineering characteristics of high-moisture tropical soils*. Proc. W.Assoc. State Highw. Off. Conf., July 1967, 19 pp.

Terzaghi, K. (1958). "*Design and performance of sasuma dam.*" Proc., Inst. Civ. Engrs., London, England, 9, 368-394