# ESTIMATING RELATIVE ABUNDANCE OF TREE SPECIES IN TROPICAL RAINFOREST USING REMOTELY SENSED DATA

NOORDYANA BINTI HASSAN

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Science (Remote Sensing)

Faculty of Geoinformation and Real Estate Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2013

### ABSTRACT

Mixed pixel occurrence in remote sensing imagery is a main source of problems in classifying ground features, especially when dealing with complex ecosystems such as tropical rainforest areas due to its high diversity of tree species. Pure pixel composed of a single species is very rare in most remote sensing imagery even in some advent ultrafine spatial resolution. In order to achieve an optimum output in classification of tree species in the forest, mixed pixel must be spectrally unmixed using sub-pixel approaches. This study was carried out in order to estimates the composition of tree species in Pasoh Forest Reserve by estimating the relative abundance of the tree species. The estimation of relative abundance was carried out using two types of spectral unmixing approaches which are Mixture Tuned Matched Filtering (MTMF) and modified Canopy Fractional Cover (mCFC). MTMF and mCFC were employed to Hyperion EO-1 satellite image with 30 meters spatial resolution. The relative abundance of Chengal trees was firstly estimated at a plot of 50 hectare. The correlation coefficients between the relative abundance obtained from MTMF and mCFC with the relative abundance of ground data in 50 hectare plot was 0.46 and 0.67, respectively. Therefore, mCFC was selected as it gives more encourage result in order to estimate relative abundance of Chengal trees at wider area such as compartment level. The model obtained from this study would be useful in forest monitoring and management.

#### ABSTRAK

Percampuran pembalikan spektral di dalam piksel imej satelit merupakan satu punca permasalahan bagi mengklasifikasikan permukaan bumi, salah terutamanya apabila berurusan dengan ekosistem yang kompleks seperti kawasan hutan hujan tropika kerana terdapat pelbagai spesies pokok. Piksel tulen yang terdiri daripada sejenis spesies sangat jarang berlaku dalam data satelit walaupun didalam sesetengah imej satelit yang beresolusi tinggi. Dalam usaha untuk mencapai output yang optimum dalam pengkelasan spesies pokok di hutan hujan tropika, spektral pembalikan yang bercampur didalam satu piksel mestilah dileraikan menggunakan pendekatan peleraian pembalikan spektral pada peringkat sub-piksel. Kajian ini telah dijalankan untuk menganggarkan komposisi spesies pokok di Hutan Simpan Pasoh dengan menganggarkan kelimpahan relatif spesies pokok. Penganggaran kelimpahan relatif telah dijalankan menggunakan dua jenis pendekatan peleraian pembalikan spektral pada peringkat sub-piksel iaitu Mixture Tuned Matched Filtering (MTMF) dan modified Canopy Fractional Cover (mCFC). MTMF dan mCFC telah digunakan untuk imej Hyperion EO-1 satelit beresolusi 30 meter. Kelimpahan relatif spesies pokok didalam plot 50 hektar dianggarkan pada peringkat awal kajian. Pekali korelasi antara kelimpahan relatif diperolehi dari MTMF dan mCFC dengan kelimpahan relatif data rujukan yang diperoleh dari data census di plot 50 hektar masing-masing adalah, 0.46 dan 0.67. mCFC telah dipilih kerana ia memberikan prestasi yang tinggi bagi menganggar kelimpahan relatif spesies pokok di peringkat kompartmen di Hutan Simpan Pasoh. Model yang diperolehi daripada kajian ini amat berguna kerana ia boleh digunakan uantuk pemantauan dan pengurusan hutan.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

CHAPTER

1

## TITLE

PAGE

DECLARATION	ii
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
ABSTRACT	V
ABSTRAK	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	XV
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
LIST OF APPENDICES	xvii
CHAPTER 1	1
1.1 Background of study	1

1.1 Background of study	1
1.2 Problem statement	4
1.3 Objectives of study	5
1.4 Scope of study	5
1.5 Significance of study	7
1.6 Study Area	7

CHAPTER 2	10
2.1 Introduction	10
2.2 Importance of Relative Abundance Estimation	11
2.3 Challenges in Identification of Tree Species	12
using Remote Sensing Data	
2.4 Principle of Spectral Unmixing Modelling	13
Method	
2.4.1 Utilization of Linear Spectral Unmixing	16
Approaches for Vegetation Mapping Related	
Studies	
2.5 Utilization of Spectral Unmixing Modelling	18
for Mixed Pixels Problem in Estimating	
Tree Species Composition and Distribution	
2.6 Summary	20
CHAPTER 3	00
	22
3.1 Introduction	22
<ul><li>3.1 Introduction</li><li>3.2 Materials and Data Source</li></ul>	22 22 22
<ul><li>3.1 Introduction</li><li>3.2 Materials and Data Source</li><li>3.2.1 Satellite remotely sensed data</li></ul>	22 22 22 23
<ul> <li>3.1 Introduction</li> <li>3.2 Materials and Data Source</li> <li>3.2.1 Satellite remotely sensed data</li> <li>3.2.1.1 Hyperion EO-1 Satellite</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>22</li> <li>22</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>23</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3.1 Introduction</li> <li>3.2 Materials and Data Source</li> <li>3.2.1 Satellite remotely sensed data</li> <li>3.2.1.1 Hyperion EO-1 Satellite</li> <li>Remotely Sensed Image</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>22</li> <li>22</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>23</li> <li>19</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3.1 Introduction</li> <li>3.2 Materials and Data Source</li> <li>3.2.1 Satellite remotely sensed data</li> <li>3.2.1.1 Hyperion EO-1 Satelllite</li> <li>Remotely Sensed Image</li> <li>3.2.2 Ancillary data</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>22</li> <li>22</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>23</li> <li>19</li> <li>24</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3.1 Introduction</li> <li>3.2 Materials and Data Source</li> <li>3.2.1 Satellite remotely sensed data</li> <li>3.2.1.1 Hyperion EO-1 Satelllite Remotely Sensed Image</li> <li>3.2.2 Ancillary data</li> <li>3.2.2.1 Topographic maps</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>22</li> <li>22</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>23</li> <li>19</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3.1 Introduction</li> <li>3.2 Materials and Data Source</li> <li>3.2.1 Satellite remotely sensed data</li> <li>3.2.1.1 Hyperion EO-1 Satelllite Remotely Sensed Image</li> <li>3.2.2 Ancillary data</li> <li>3.2.2.1 Topographic maps</li> <li>3.2.2.2 Census data</li> </ul>	22 22 23 23 19 24 25 26
<ul> <li>3.1 Introduction</li> <li>3.2 Materials and Data Source</li> <li>3.2.1 Satellite remotely sensed data</li> <li>3.2.1.1 Hyperion EO-1 Satelllite Remotely Sensed Image</li> <li>3.2.2 Ancillary data</li> <li>3.2.2.1 Topographic maps</li> <li>3.2.2.2 Census data</li> <li>a) Estimation of Tree Height</li> </ul>	22 22 23 23 19 24 25 26 26
<ul> <li>3.1 Introduction</li> <li>3.2 Materials and Data Source</li> <li>3.2.1 Satellite remotely sensed data</li> <li>3.2.1.1 Hyperion EO-1 Satelllite Remotely Sensed Image</li> <li>3.2.2 Ancillary data</li> <li>3.2.2.1 Topographic maps</li> <li>3.2.2.2 Census data</li> <li>a) Estimation of Tree Height from diameter breast height</li> </ul>	22 22 23 23 19 24 25 26 26
<ul> <li>3.1 Introduction</li> <li>3.2 Materials and Data Source</li> <li>3.2.1 Satellite remotely sensed data</li> <li>3.2.1.1 Hyperion EO-1 Satelllite Remotely Sensed Image</li> <li>3.2.2 Ancillary data</li> <li>3.2.2.1 Topographic maps</li> <li>3.2.2.2 Census data</li> <li>a) Estimation of Tree Height from diameter breast height (DBH)</li> </ul>	22 22 23 23 19 24 25 26 26

2

3

from Tree Height

3.2.2.3 Spectral radiometer data	28
a) Spectral radiometer data	29
collection	
3.3 Flows of Methodology	30
3.4 Pre-processing of satellite data	31
3.4.1 Radiometric correction by using	32
FLAASH method	
3.4.2 Geometric correction of Hyperion	34
satellite image	
3.4.3 Image enhancement	35
3.4.3.1 Minimum noise fraction (MNF)	35
3.4.3.2 Pixel purity index (PPI)	39
3.5 Data Processing	42
3.5.1 Spectral radiometer data analysis	43
3.5.2 Spectral unmixing analysis	46
3.5.2.1 Mixture tuned matched filtering	46
(MTMF)	
3.5.2.2 Canopy fractional cover	49
a) Vegetation Index used in	51
Canopy Fractional Cover	
3.6 Relative abundance assessment	54
3.7 Accuracy Assessment	56
CHAPTER 4	57
4.1 Introduction	57
4.2 Tree species relative abundance estimation using	58
spectral unmixing approaches	
4.2.1 Tree species relative abundance	58
estimation using Mixture Tuned	

Matched Filtering

	4.2.2 Tree species relative abundance	64
	estimation using Canopy Fractional	
	Cover	
	4.3 Upscale the relative abundance of tree species	67
	using Canopy Fractional Cover Method to	
	entire compartment of Pasoh Forest Reserve	
	4.4 Summary	70
5	CHAPTER 5	
	5.1 Conclusion	71
	5.2 Recommendations	72
REFERENCES		73
Appendix A		85-86

# LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Review on utilization of linear spectral unmixing approaches for vegetation mapping and related studies	18
3.1	The description of EO-1 Hyperion data used in this study	24
3.2	Topographic map information	25
3.3	Specification of spectroradiometer used	28
4.1	Match Filter fraction and feasibility scale extracted from Hyperion-EO1 for chengal, meranti langgong and damar laut	59
4.2	Fractional value obtained from MTMF approach.	62
4.3	Fractional cover of tree in 50-ha plot.	65
4.4	Estimated percentage of chengal	69
	tree in 50-ha plot.	
4.5	Estimated relative abundance of	69
	chengal tree in compartment 32 and	
	33.	

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

FIGURES NO.	TITLE	PAGE

1.1	(a) Pure pixel represents one feature per pixel	1
	(b) Spectral mixing from three different features per pixel	1
1.2	Study area	9
2.1	Linear spectral unmixing theory	14
2.2	Non-linear spectral unmixing theory	15
3.1	Plotted tree in three dimensional views based on calculated tree height and tree crown	27
3.2	Methodology flowchart	31
3.3	(a) Spectral radiance of Hyperion data before data conversion	33
	(b) After radiometric correction and radiance to reflectance conversion of Hyperion EO-1 data	33
3.4	MNF bands	38
3.5	Minimum noise fraction eigenvalue plot	39
3.6	Random "skewer" of pixel purity index	40
3.7	Pixel purity index up to 10,000 iterations	41
3.8	Pixel purity index of 50-ha plot and whole Pasoh Forest Reserve	42
3.9	(a) Spectral radiometer data collected during field campaign	45

	(b) First order derivative analysis employed on spectral radiometer collected during field campaign	45
	<ul> <li>(c)Second order derivative analysis employed on spectral radiometer collected during field campaign</li> </ul>	45
3.10	Relative abundance assessment methodology flowchart	55
3.11	An example of relative abundance measured	55
	from ground data	
4.1	Results of Mixture Tuned Matched Filtering	60
	(MTMF) fraction for endmember (a) Chengal	
	(Neobalanocarpus heimii), (b) meranti langgong	
	(Shorea lepidota) and (c) damar laut daun kecil	
	(Shorea maxwelliana).	
4.2	Infeasibility scale for endmember (a) Chengal	61
	(Neobalanocarpus heimii), (b) meranti langgong	
	(Shorea lepidota) and (c) damar laut daun kecil	
	(Shorea maxwelliana).	
4.3	Evaluation of chengal's relative abundance	62
	estimated from Hyperion EO-1 satellite data	
	against relative abundance estimated from ground	
	data in 50-ha plot	
4.4	Relative abundance of chengal tree and other species estimation using MTMF approach	63
4.5	Canopy fractional cover of chengal tree in 50-ha	65
	plot.	

4.6	Evaluation of chengal fractional cover estimated		
	from Hyperion EO-1 satellite data against		
	fractional cover estimated from the ground data		
	in 50-ha plot.		
4.7	Canopy fractional cover map of chengal tree in 6 each compartment of Pasoh Forest Reserve	8	

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

%	-	percentage
r	-	mixed pixel signal
М	-	matrix in which number correspond
f	-	column vector
ε	-	proportion of the spectrum
$\mathbf{R}^2$	-	coefficient of determination
Н	-	tree height
D	-	diameter at breast height
H <sub>max</sub>	-	maximum tree height
nm	-	nanometer
ρ	-	pixel surface reflectance
$ ho_e$	-	average surface reflectance
S	-	spherical albedo of the atmosphere
$L_a$	-	radiance back scattered by the atmospehere
$\mu m$	-	micrometer
$R(\lambda_i)$	-	reflectance in band i
$R(\lambda_j)$	-	reflectance in band j
$ec{ u}$	-	Match Filter vector
$ec{e}_i$	-	interpolated vector of eigenvalue
$\vec{e}_{MNF}$	-	vector of MNF eigenvalue
$\vec{e}_n$	-	vector of MNF noise

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

IFOV	- Instantaneous Field of View
LMM	- Linear Mixture Model
MTMF	- Mixture Tuned Matched Filtering
CFC	- Canopy Fractional Cover
SMA	- Spectral Mixture Analysis
REDD	- Reducing Emission from Deforestation and Forest
	Degradation
MESMA	- Multiple Endmember Spectral Mixture Analysis
SFM	- sustainable forest management
LSU	- Linear Spectral Unmixing
CoB	- Count based selection
IES	- Iterative Endmember Selection
SAM	- Spectral Angle Mapper
LDA	- Linear Discriminant Analysis
AVIRIS	- Airborne Visible Infrared Imaging Spectrometry
USGS	- United State Geological Survey
FRIM	- Forest Research Institute Malaysia
DBH	- Diameter At Breast Height
VNIR	- Visible near infrared
SWIR	- Shortwave near infrared
FLAASH	- Fast line of Sight Atmospheric Analysis of
	Hypercubes
MNF	- Minimum Noise Fraction
PPI	- Pixel Purity Index
MSAVI	- Modified version of Soil Adjusted Vegetation
	Index
RMSE	- Root Mean Square Error

# LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX

А

TITLEPAGEExample of Census Data Used (Column 1 only)85

## **CHAPTER 1**

## **INTRODUCTION**

## **1.1 Background of the Study**

Satellite remote sensing scenes recording involve the multi-spectral responses from numerous types of features from a single pixel (Keshava and Mustard, 2002). Multiple spectral responses from features that existed in a single pixel causes nonuniqueness of a single pixel's spectral pattern to represent a single class, instead the pixel contained a collections of all spectral responses and usually referred to as a mixed pixel. Therefore, the understanding of spectral unmixing is essential in decomposing mixed pixels to identify the individual constituents materials present in the mixture. However, mixels are in fact inevitable when the target of interest is equal or smaller than the sensor instantaneous field of view (IFOV). High contribution of various spectral reflectance from the land surface may result in the occurrence of mixels (Keshava and Mustard, 2002; Kanniah *et. al.*, 2007; Boardman and Kruse, 2011; Quintano *et. al.*, 2012). In surfaces of natural ecosystems, pixels of IFOV seldom represent as single uniform pure class (Figure 1.1(a)), and mixels frequently observed in an IFOV (Figure 1.1 (b)).



**Figure 1.1:** (a) Pure pixel represents one feature per pixel (b) Spectral mixing from three different features per pixel.

Furthermore, single dominant pure pixel occupying the entire IFOV is very rare, especially in studying vegetated landscape such in tropical rainforest areas, where the diversity is commonly very high. For example, Figure 1.1 (b) illustrates that the total spectrum is made of the three classes. In such case, the existences of mixels problem are mainly due to three factors: (1) spatial resolution, (2) feature heterogeneity, and (3) spectral resolution. As the spatial resolution increases, the variations of spectral responses increase causing difficulties in uniqueness of signature vector for spectral classes of interest leading to difficulty in achieving precise accuracy. Variations of spectral responses may also lead to feature heterogeneity. Therefore, there are challenges for estimating the forest species composition in a complex forest ecosystem characterized by its heterogeneous and high density multilayer canopies. On the other hand, the spectral resolution of remotely sensed data also plays an important role in order to achieve high classification accuracy. High spectral resolution with high spatial resolution results in well-define signature vectors for target of interest, hence, able to distinguish similar features.

To solve these problems, the spectral unmixing approach has been widely used in many applications for heterogeneous land cover like tropical rainforest because these approaches are useful to estimate relative abundance of tree species by using satellite remotely sensed image. Spectral unmixing decomposes mixed pixels into a collection of distinct endmembers and sets of fractional abundances that indicate the proportions of each endmember in a pixel (Keshava and Mustard, 2002; Ball *et. al.*, 2004). Spectral unmixing approaches including Linear Mixture Model (LMM), Mixture Tuned Matched Filtering (MTMF), Canopy Fractional Cover (CFC) and Spectral Mixture Analysis (SMA) have been widely used to decompose mixed pixels existed in the remotely sensed image (Keshava and Mustard, 2002; William and Hunt, 2002; Ball *et. al.*, 2004; Kanniah *et. al.*, 2007; Somers *et. al.*, 2011; Boardman and Kruse, 2011).

## **1.2 Problem statement**

Forest management is important for assessing the sustainability of a forest. Therefore, comprehensive operational and spatial basis of forest monitoring are needed to achieve the sustainability forest management (Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), 2005). To achieve the sustainable forest management, estimation of tree species composition per unit compartment of forest reserve is crucial to be identified. The ground measurement has a limited capability to identify the composition of each species that exist in one unit area and time consuming. Thus, estimating relative abundance of tree species in large scale is urgent task for sustainable forest management.

Satellite based remote sensing techniques are capable to overcome this problem due to acceptable accuracy and covering continuous region of earth surface. However, there would be mixels problems when estimating relative abundance of tree species due to high heterogeneity features of a lowland dipterocarp rainforest due to high density and multilayer canopies. Hence, the utilization of spectral unmixing to decompose mixed pixels is good option for estimating relative abundance of tree species as it may decompose mixed pixels into endmember fraction and abundances map.

Numerous of study carried out the study on species richness and evenness. According to Foody and Cutler (2006) tree species richness and composition are important for conserving forest diversity and to sustain forest management. Therefore, to achieve sustainable forest management, forest diversity need to be monitored in large scale. Therefore, this study employs spectral unmixing for decomposing mixed pixels for estimating tree species composition at compartment level of a lowland dipterocarp rainforest.

## **1.3 Objectives of the study**

The aim of this study is to estimate tree species abundance using satellite remotely sensed image by decomposing mixed pixels using spectral unmixing approaches.

- To determine the best spectral unmixing models for identifying tree species within the given IFOV of the selected satellite remote sensing image;
- To apply the selected model to entire forest reserve in operational scale of forest management.

### 1.4 Scopes of the Study

Scopes of the study are as follows:

 Two types of data were used to develop spectral unmixing models. Primary data was hyperspectral Hyperion EO-1 with 30m spatial resolution. This data was chosen due to high spectral resolution which has narrow bands and more information for estimating tree species in high density dipterocarp forest. To assess accuracy of the models, tree census data of the 50-ha plot were used.

- 2) Two types of spectral unmixing approach were used in this study: (1) Canopy Fractional Cover (CFC) and (2) Mixture Tuned Matched Filtering (MTMF). Hyperion EO-1 was used as primary input in each approach. Firstly, CFC is derived from Linear Mixture Model (LMM). According to Keshava and Mustard (2002), LMM is the most frequently used algorithm that can determine high accuracy result. However, CFC was chosen due to its ability to identify the relative abundance of degraded area much better than LMM because CFC has a capability to eliminate soil background that may contribute to multi-spectral responses. Secondly, MTMF was chosen in this study because of the ability to eliminate the false positive to classify the features based on endmember that been fixed by user (William and Hunt, 2002).
- 3) Relative abundance of chengal (*Neobalanocarpus heimii*) was estimated in this study. In addition, meranti langgong (*Shorea lepidota*) and damar laut daun kecil (*Shorea maxwelliana*) also included in this study because this tree species tended to occur around chengal trees. In addition, these three species were selected due to their high commercial value and importance in biodiversity conservation. Only trees with diameter at breast height > 40cm was selected because tree with high DBH may have a big canopy. In this study, only big canopy and highest height (> 30m) were chosen.
- Mapping relative abundance of the tree species in the operational scale of forest management (i.e. forest compartment) to monitor forest biodiversity.

## 1.5 Significance of Study

This study has several significances in tropical forest management in Malaysia. There are various tropical rainforest with rich flora and fauna in Malaysia. Therefore, to sustain integrity of the forest ecosystems, enhanced forest monitoring is one of the urgent issues. Remote sensing approach has advantage on this issue in terms of cost-effectiveness, ability to provide data in large areal extent and also continuous temporal observation. In particular, utilization of hyperspectral satellite could be useful to support monitoring methods because it provides more information in spectral bands that could distinguish spectra of different tree species in the same genera. Using spectral unmixing models, relative abundance of individual species can be estimated in operational scale of forest management. The development of novel spectral unmixing method may allow relative abundance of tree species being estimated in operational scale.

#### 1.6 Study Area

This study focused on Pasoh Forest Reserve. The study area is located in Jelebu district of Negeri Sembilan (2° 58' N latitude and  $102^{\circ}$  18' E longitude). Pasoh Forest Reserve is located approximately 70 km from southeast Kuala Lumpur. The study area is further confined to 50-ha plot which is 1 km long and 0.5 km wide of Pasoh Forest Reserve (Figure 1.2). Pasoh Forest Reserve is covered with primary lowland mixed dipterocarp forest, which contains of several timber species called *Shorea* and *Dipterocarpus* species. The 50-ha plot contains 338,360 trees with  $\geq$ 1cm in DBH, comprising 81 families, 295 genera and 818 species (Kochummen *et al.*, 1990;

Manokaran *et al.*, 1992; Okuda *et al*, 1997, Hoshizaki *et. al.*, 2004). 50-ha plot was dominated by 30 species of Dipterocarpaceae accounting for 27.3% of basal area. The Euphorbiceae is the richest family in the 50-ha plot with 85 species. *Shorea* is the fifth most abundant species in 50-ha plot. The emergent layer averages 46 m and the height of the main canopy is 20-30 m (Kochummen *et al*, 1990; Manokaran *et al.*, 1992; Okuda *et al*, 1997, Hoshizaki *et. al.*, 2004). The chosen study area is selected based on remotely sensed data availability over the study area. Moreover, various timber species exist in 50-ha plot of Pasoh Forest Reserve which represent heterogeneous of tropical rainforest in Malaysia.



### REFERENCES

- Aardt, J. A. N. and Wynne, R. H. (2007). "Examining Pine Spectral Separability using Hyperspectral Data from Airborne Sensor: An Extension of Field-based Results". International Journal of Remote Sensing. Vol. 28 (2), pp. 431-436.
- Achard, F., Eva,H.D., Stibig, H.J., Mayaux, P., Gallego, J., Richards, T., and Malingreau, J.P. (2002). "Determination of deforestation rates of the World's humid tropical forests." Science 297(2002): 1002-1999.
- Adams, J. B., & Gillespie, A. R. (2006). Remote sensing of landscapes with spectral images: A physical modeling approach. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. pp.362.
- Asner, G.P., Knapp, D.E., Broadbent, E.N., Oliveira, P.J.C., Keller, M., Silva, J.N., (2005).Selective logging in the Brazilian Amazon. Science 310, 480–482.
- Alvin Lau Meng Shin. (2009). "High spatial resolution and hyperspectral remote sensing for mapping vegetation species in tropical rainforest." Doctor of Philosophy (Remote Sensing). Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Arai, K. (2008). "Nonlinear mixture model of mixed pixels in remote sensing satellite images based on Monte Carlo simulation". Advance in Space Research 41(2008): 1715-1723.

- Avery, T. E. and Burkhart, H. E. (2002). "Forest Measurements." 5th ed. McGraw Hill, New York. 456pp
- Ball, J.E., Kari, S.,and Younan, N.H. (2004). "Hyperspectral Pixel Unmixing using Singular Value Decomposition". Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, 2004. IGARSS '04. Proceedings. (5) pp. 3253 – 3256.
- Baret, F., Guyot, G. and Major, D.J. (1989). TSAVI: a vegetation index which minimizes soil brightness effects in LAI and APAR estimation. Proceedings of the 12th Canadian Symposium on Remote Sensing, IGARRS'90, Vancouver, BC, Canada.
- Bastin, L. (1997). "Comparison of fuzzy c-means classification, linear mixture modelling and MLC probabilities as tools for unmixing coarse pixels."
  International Journal of Remote Sensing 18(17): 3629-3648.
- Basuki, T. M., A. K. Skidmore, et al. (2011). "The potential of spectral mixture analysis to improve the estimation accuracy of tropical forest biomass." Geocarto International 27(4): 329-345.
- Boardman, J. W., Kruse, F.A., Green, R.O. (1995). "Mapping Target Signatures Via Partial Unmixing of Aviris Data " Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, California, USA.
- Boardman, J. W. (1998). "Leveraging the high dimensionality of AVIRIS data for improved sub-pixel target unmixing and rejection of false positives: Mixture tuned matched filtering". Proceedings of the 5th JPL Geoscience Workshop, Pasadena, CA.
- Boardman, J. W., and Kruse, F.A. (2011). "Analysis of Imaging Spectrometer Data Using N-Dimensional Geometry and a Mixture-Tuned Matched Filtering Approach". IEEE Transaction on Geoscience and Remote Sensing 49(11): 4138 -4152.

- Borel, C. C., & Gerstl, S. A. (1994). Nonlinear spectral mixing models for vegetative and soil surfaces. Remote Sensing of Environment, 47, 403–416.
- Boyd, D. S. and G. M. Foody (2011). "An overview of recent remote sensing and GIS based research in ecological informatics." Ecological Informatics 6(1): 25-36.
- Brockerhoff, E., H. Jactel, et al. (2008). "Plantation forests and biodiversity: oxymoron or opportunity?" Biodiversity and Conservation 17(5): 925-951.
- Carlson, T.N. and Ripley D.A. (1997). "On the Relation between NDVI, Fractional Vegetation Cover, and Leaf Area Index". Remote Sensing of Environment 62: 241-252.
- Debinski, D.M. & Humphrey, P.S. (1997). "An integrated approach to biological diversity assessment". Natural Areas Journal, 17, 355–365.
- DeFries, R., Achard, F., Brown, S., Herold, M., Murdiyarso, D., Schlamadinger, B., Souza Jr., C.D., (2007)." Earth observations for estimating greenhouse gas emissions from deforestation in developing countries". Environ. Sci. Policy 10, 385–394.
- Dehaan, R., Louis, J., Wilson, A., Hall, A., and Rumbachs, R., (2007).
  "Discrimination of blackberry (Rubus fruticosus sp. agg.) Using hyperspectral imagery in Kosciuszko National Park, NSW, Australia". ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry & Remote Sensing, 62, 13–24.
- Elmore, A. J., Mustard, J.F., Manning, S.J., and Lobell, D.B. (2000). "Quantifying Vegetation Change in Semiarid Environments: Precision and Accuracy of Spectral Mixture Analysis and the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index." Remote Sensing of Environment 73: 87-102.

- Food and Agriculture Organisation (2000). "Forest Resource Assessment 2000". Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nations, Rome, Italy.
- Food and Agriculture Organisation (2004). "Reduced impact logging in tropical forests, Literature synthesis analysisand prototype statistical framework".Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nations, Rome, Italy.
- Food and Agriculture Organisation, F.,(2005). "Global Forest Resources Assessment 2005 country report: Myanmar". FRA2005/107. Rome, Italy.
- Food and Agriculture Organisation, (2010). "Global Forest Resource Assessment 2010". Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nations, Rome, Italy.
- Foody, G. M. a. C., D. P. (1994). "Sub-pixel land cover composition estimation using a linear mixture model and fuzzy membership functions." International Journal of Remote Sensing 15: 619–631.
- Foody, G. M. (1996). "Fuzzy modelling of vegetation from remotely sensed imagery." Ecological Modelling 85(1): 3-12.
- Foody, G. M., and Cutler, M. E. J. (2006). "Mapping the species richness and composition of tropical forests from remotely sensed data with neural networks." Ecological Modelling 195: 37–42.
- Green, A.A., Berman, M., Switzer, P., and Craig M.D. (1988). "A transformation for ordering multispectral data in terms of image quality with implications for noise removal". IEEE Transactions on Geosciences and Remote Sensing, 26 (1): 65-74.
- Gong, P., Pu, R., and Yu, B. (1997). "Conifer species recognition: An exploratory analysis of in situ hyperspectral data". Remote Sensing of Environment Vol. 62 (2), pp. 189–200.

- Gullison, R. E., Frumhoff, P.C., Canadell, J.G., Field, C.B., Nepstad, D.C., Hayhoe, K., Avissar, R., Curran, L.M., Friedlingstein, P., Jones, C.D., and Nobre, C. (2007). "Tropical Forests and Climate Policy " Science 316(5827): 985 986.
- Gutman, G., and A. Ignatov (1998): "The derivation of the green vegetation fraction from NOAA/ AVHRR data for use in numerical weather prediction models". International Journal of Remote Sensing, 19: 1533–1543.
- Haertel, V. F., and Shimabukuro, Y.E.(2005)."Spectral Linear Mixing Model in Low Spatial Resolution Image Data" IEEE Transactions on Geoscience And Remote Sensing, Vol. 43, No. 11.
- Hashim,M., Alvin, L.M.S., Ibrahim, A. L., and Deliman, S. (2009)." Spectral Library of dipterocarp Timber Species in Pasoh." Annual Report of NIES/FRIM/UPM/UTM/FDNS Join Research Project on Tropical Ecology and Biodiversity. National Institute for Environmental Study, Tsukuba, Japan. Pp 13.
- Hassan, N. and Hashim, M. (2011). "Decomposition of Mixed Pixels of ASTER Satellite Data for Mapping Chengal (Neobalanocarpus heimii sp) Tree."
  IEEE International Conference on Control System, Computing and Engineering.
- Hoshizaki, K., Niiyama, K., Kimura, K., Yamashita, T., Bekku, Y., Okuda, T., Quah,
  E. S., Nur Supardi, M. N. (2004). "Temporal and spatial variation of forest biomass in relation to stand dynamics in a mature, lowland tropical rainforest, Malaysia". Ecological Research, Vol 19, pp. 367 363.
- Huete, A. R. (1988). "A soil-adjusted vegetation index (SAVI)." Remote Sensing of Environment 25(3): 295-309.

- Hsieh, P.F., Lou, L. C., and Chen, N.Y. (2001). "Effect of Spatial Resolution on Classification Errors of Pure and Mixed Pixels in Remote Sensing". IEEE Transactions on Geoscience And Remote Sensing, Vol. 39, No. 12.
- Innes, J. L., and Koch, B., (1998). "Forest biodiversity and its assessment by remote sensing". Global Ecology and Biogeography Letters, 7, 397–419.
- Jasinski, M. F.(1990). "Sensitivity of the normalized difference vegetation index to subpixel canopy cover, soil albedo, and pixel scale". Remote Sensing of Environment 32: 169–187.
- Jensen, J.R., (2005). Introductory of Digital Image Processing: A Remote Sensing Perspective. Third Edition. Unites State of America: Prentice Hall.
- Kanniah, K. D., Su Wai, N., Alvin, L.M.S., and Rasib, A.W. (2007). "Per-pixel and sub-pixel classifications of high-resolution satellite data for mangrove species mapping." Applied GIS 3(8): 22.
- Kato, R., Tadaki, Y., and Ogawa, I.I. (1978). "Plant biomass and growth increment studies in Pasoh Reserve Forest." National Journal, 30,211-224.
- Keshava, N., and Mustard, J.F. (2002). Spectral Unmixing. IEEE Signal Process Mag. 19: 44-57.
- Kochummen, K. M., LaFrankie, J. V. and Manokaran, N. (1990). Floristic composition of Pasoh Forest Reserve, a lowland rain forest in Peninsular Malaysia. J. Trop. Forest Sci. 3: 1-13.
- Laurance, W. F. (1999). "Reflections on the tropical deforestation crisis." Biological Conservation 91(2–3): 109-117.

- Leckie, D. G., Gougeon, F.A., Walsworth, N., and Paradine, D. (2003). "Stand delineation and composition estimation using semi-automated individual tree crown analysis." Remote Sensing of Environment 85: 355-369.
- Lele, N. and P. K. Joshi (2009). "Analyzing deforestation rates, spatial forest cover changes and identifying critical areas of forest cover changes in North-East India during 1972–1999." Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 156(1-4): 159-170.
- Liu, W. and E. Y. Wu (2005). "Comparison of non-linear mixture models: sub-pixel classification." Remote Sensing of Environment 94(2): 145-154.
- Lu, D., E. Moran, et al. (2003). "Linear mixture model applied to Amazonian vegetation classification." Remote Sensing of Environment 87(4): 456-469.
- Ludeke, A. K., R. C. Maggio, et al. (1990). "An analysis of anthropogenic deforestation using logistic regression and GIS." Journal of Environmental Management 31(3): 247-259.
- May, R.M. (1990) "How many species?" Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B, 330, 293–304.
- Manokaran, N., LaFrankie, J.V., Kochummen, K.M., Quah, E.S., Klahn, J.E, Ashton, P.S., and Hubbell, S.P. (1992). Stand table distribution of species in the 50-ha research plot at Pasoh Forest Reserve, FRIM Research data No.1, Forest Research Institute Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur.
- Martin, M. E., Newman, S. D., Aber, J. D., and Congalton, R. G., (1998).
  "Determining Forest Species Composition Using High Spectral Resolution Remote Sensing Data". Remote Sensing Environment, 65:249–254.

- Mertens, B. a. L., E.F. (2000). "A spatial model of land cover change trajectories in a frontier region in southern Cameroon." Ann. Assoc. Am. Geogr. 90(2000): 467 - 494.
- Miles, L. a. K., V. (2008). "Reducing Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation: Global Land-Use Implications." Science 320(5882): 1454 - 1455.
- Mitchell, J. J. and N. F. Glenn (2009). "Leafy Spurge (Euphorbia esula) Classification Performance Using Hyperspectral and Multispectral Sensors." Rangeland Ecology & Management 62(1): 16-27.
- Mittermeier, R. A., Myers, N., Tliomsen, J.B., Olivieri, S. (1998). "Biodiversity hotspots and major tropical wilderness areas: Approaches to setting conservation priorities." Conservation Biology 12(3): 516-520.
- Mon, M. S., Mizoue, N., Htun, N. Z., Kajisa, T., Yoshida, S.(2012). "Factors affecting deforestation and forest degradation in selectively logged production forest: A case study in Myanmar". Forest Ecology and Management 267, 190–198.
- Mustard, J. F., & Pieters, C. M. (1987). "Quantitative abundance estimates from bidirectional reflectance measurements". Journal of Geophysical Research, 92, 617–626.
- Mustard, J. F., & Pieters, C. M. (1989). "Photometric phase functions of common geologic minerals and applications to quantitative-analysis of mineral mixture reflectance spectra". Journal of Geophysical Research, 10, 13619–13634.
- Nascimento, J. M. P., and Bioucas-Dias, J.M. (2009). Nonlinear mixture model for hyperspectral unmixing. Image and Signal Processing for Remote Sensing XV, SPIE Digital Library.
- Nash, D. B., & Conel, J. E. (1974). "Spectral reflectance systematics for mixtures of

powdered hypersthene, labradorite, and ilmenite". Journal of Geophysical Research, 79, 1615–1621.

- Numata, S., Yasuda, M., Okuda, T., Kachi, N., Nur Supardi, M. N. (2006). "Canopy Gap Dynamics of Two Different Forest Stands in A Malaysian Lowland Rainforest". Journal of Tropical Forest Science. Vol. 18. Pp. 109 – 116.
- Padalia, H., M. Kudrat, et al. (2012). "Mapping sub-pixel occurrence of an alien invasive Hyptis suaveolens (L.) Poit. using spectral unmixing technique." International Journal of Remote Sensing 34(1): 325-340.
- Panta, M., K. Kim, et al. (2008). "Temporal mapping of deforestation and forest degradation in Nepal: Applications to forest conservation." Forest Ecology and Management 256(9): 1587-1595.
- Peng Gong, M., IEEE, John R. Miller, and Michael Spanner (1994). "Forest Canopy Closure from Classification and Spectral Unmixing of Scene Components-Multisensor Evaluation of an Open Canopy." IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON GEOSCIENCE AND REMOTE SENSING 32(5): 1067-1080.
- Phelps, J., Webb, E. L., Agrawal, A. (2010). "Does REDD+ Threaten to Recentralize Forest Governance?" Science 328(5976): 312 - 313.
- Pignatti, S., R. M. Cavalli, et al. (2009). "Evaluating Hyperion capability for land cover mapping in a fragmented ecosystem: Pollino National Park, Italy." Remote Sensing of Environment 113(3): 622-634.
- Plourde, L. C., Ollinger, S.V., Smith, M.-L., Martin, M.E. ((2007)). "Estimating species abundance in a northern temperate forest using spectral mixture analysis." Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing 73(7): 829-840.
- Purvis, A. & Hector, A. (2000). "Getting the measure of biodiversity". Nature, 405, 212–219.

- Qi, J., A. Chehbouni, A. R. Huete, and Kerr, Y. (1994): "A modified soil adjusted vegetation index (MSAVI). Remote Sensing Environment, 48:119–126.
- Quintano, C., Fernández-Manso, A., Shimabukuro, Y.E., and Pereira, G. (2012). "Spectral unmixing". International Journal of Remote Sensing 33(17): 5307-5340.
- Roth, K. L., P. E. Dennison, et al. (2012). "Comparing endmember selection techniques for accurate mapping of plant species and land cover using imaging spectrometer data." Remote Sensing of Environment 127(0): 139-152.
- Roberts, M.R. and Gilliam, F.S. (1995). "Patterns and mechanisms of plant diversity in forested ecosystems: implications for forest management". Ecological Applications, 5, 969–977.
- Rudel, T. and J. Roper (1997). "The paths to rain forest destruction: Crossnational patterns of tropical deforestation, 1975–1990." World Development 25(1): 53-65.
- Shipman, H., and Adams, J. B. (1987). "Detectability of minerals in desert alluvial fans using reflectance spectra". Journal of Geophysical Research, 92, 10391–10492.
- Stoms, D. M., and Estes, J. E., (1993). "A remote sensing research agenda for mapping and monitoring biodiversity". International Journal of Remote Sensing, 14, 1839–1860.
- Somers, B., Cools, K., Delalieux, S., Jan Stuckens, J., Zande, D.V., Verstraeten, W.W., and Coppin, P. (2009). "Nonlinear Hyperspectral Mixture Analysis for tree cover estimates in orchards." Remote Sensing of Environment 113(2009): 1183-1193.

- Somers, B., Delalieux, S., Verstraeten, W. W., van Aardt, J.A.N., Albrigo, G. L., and Coppin, P. (2010). "An automated waveband selection technique for optimized hyperspectral mixture analysis." International Journal of Remote Sensing 31(20): 5549-5568.
- Somers, B., Asner, G.P., Tits, L., Coppin, P. (2011). "Endmember variability in Spectral Mixture Analysis: A review." Remote Sensing of Environment 115: 1603 - 1616.
- Theiler J. and Lavenier D., (2000). "FPGA Implementation of the Pixel Purity Index Algorithm for Hyperspectral Images." Technical Report. LA-UR-00-2426, Los Alamos National Laboratory.
- Tsai, F. and Philpot, W. (1998). "Derivative analysis of hyperspectral data". Remote Sensing of Environment, 66 (1), 41–51.
- Valérie, T. and J. Marie-Pierre (2006). "Tree species identification on large-scale aerial photographs in a tropical rain forest, French Guiana—application for management and conservation." Forest Ecology and Management 225(1–3): 51-61.
- Verolme, H., Moussa, J.H., and Juliette, M. (1999). "Addressing the underlying causes of deforestation and forest degradation—case studies
  " Analysis and Policy RecommendationsBiodiversity Action Network, Washington, DC, USA.: 141.
- Wang, C., Qi, J. and Cochrane, M. (2005). "Assessment of Tropical Forest Degradation with Canopy Fractional Cover from Landsat ETM+ and IKONOS Imagery". Earth Interactions, Vol. 9, 22. pp. 1- 18.
- Wang, L., J. L. Silván-Cárdenas, et al. (2012). "Invasive Saltcedar (Tamarisk spp.)
   Distribution Mapping Using Multiresolution Remote Sensing Imagery." The Professional Geographer 65(1): 1-15.

- Williams, A. P., and Jr, E. R. Hunt (2002). "Estimation of leafy spurge cover from hyperspectral imagery using mixture tuned matched filtering." Remote Sensing of Environment 82: 446–456.
- Woodcock, C. E. and A. H. Strahler (1987). "The factor of scale in remote sensing." Remote Sensing of Environment 21(3): 311-332.
- Zeng, X., Dickinson, R. E, Walker A., and Shaikh M. (2000): "Derivation and evaluation of global 1-km fractional vegetation cover data for land modelling". Journal of Applied Meteorology 39, 826–839.