ESTABLISHMENT OF SUSTAINABLE DESIGN CRITERIA FOR MALAYSIAN GREEN HIGHWAY INDEX

NAZURAH ZAHIDAH BINTI UMAR BAKI

A project report submitted in partially fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Civil (Highway and Transportation)

> Faculty of Civil Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > JUNE 2013

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thank you to Allah for giving me strength to finish my project report. A sincere appreciation to my beloved family especially my mother and father for their doa' and support.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Mr. Che Ros Ismail and my co-supervisor Dr. Rozana Zakaria for their invaluable enthusiasm, guidance, patience, encouragement and advice during the entire study.

I express my warmest gratitude to the group members of Indek Lebuhraya Hijau Malaysia (ILHAM) and the highway expert members for providing the help and guidelines throughout the whole research. I am also owe and very grateful to my roommate Izni Izzati and Nurulhusna to share all the hard time together.

My billion thank you to my beloved friends Jasmine, Melissa, Muhaizila, Norpatimah, Nur Ain and Sharifah Azmin who are very understanding, supporting and give me a strength when I'm feeling down.

Last but not least, to all people who involved directly and indirectly for their love and everlasting unconditional support.

ABSTRACT

The green rating system becomes a popular tool to confirm the green credential of an infrastructure. Malaysia has established the green rating system for building but not for highway. The aim of this study was to identify the suitable sustainable design criteria and sub-criteria of the green highway criteria for Malaysian Green Highway Index. The objectives of this study were to identify the suitable criteria and sub-criteria for sustainable design; and to identify the weighting of sustainable design highway criteria. The information was gathered from journals, books, conference papers, internet and others country green rating system manual. Other than that, it also included the discussion with the experts and questionnaire distribution. Experts are the academician, professional from government agency and private sector that have been involved in highway projects. This study focuses on the suitable design criteria for expressway and toll highway. The suitable design criteria and sub-criteria of sustainable design was analysed using the factor analysis. From the analysis, there are two criteria and seven sub-criteria of sustainable design that is suitable with Malaysian highway condition. Factor score were determined by multiplying the factor loading with a mean of each sub-criteria. Factor score were used as a weighting for determine the score point of sustainable design criteria and sub-criteria.

ABSTRAK

Sistem penarafan hijau telah menjadi medium yang popular untuk menentukan tahap kehijauan sesuatu infrastruktur. Malaysia telah menubuhkan sistem penarafan hijau untuk bangunan tetapi tidak untuk lebuh raya. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mengenal pasti kriteria dan sub-kriteria kriteria reka bentuk mampan lebuh raya hijau yang sesuai untuk Indeks Lebuhraya Hijau Malaysia. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk mengenal pasti kriteria dan sub-kriteria yang sesuai untuk reka bentuk yang mampan, dan untuk mengenal pasti pemberat kriteria reka bentuk lebuh raya yang mapan. Maklumat berkaitan dengan kajian telah diperolehi daripada jurnal, buku, kertas kerja persidangan, internet dan manual sistem penarafan hijau negara lain. Selain daripada itu, ia juga termasuk perbincangan dengan pakar-pakar dan pengedaran borang soal selidik. Pakar-pakar terdiri daripa akademik, profesional dari agensi kerajaan dan sektor swasta yang terlibat dalam projek-projek lebuh raya. Kajian ini memberi tumpuan kepada kriteria reka bentuk yang sesuai untuk lebuh raya dan lebuh raya tol. Kriteria dan sub-kriteria yang sesuai dengan reka bentuk yang mampan telah dianalisis dengan menggunakan analisis faktor. Daripada analisis ini, terdapat dua kriteria dan tujuh sub-kriteria reka bentuk yang mampan yang sesuai dengan keadaan jalan raya di Malaysia. Skor faktor ditentukan dengan mendarabkan faktor pemberat dengan min setiap subkriteria. Skor faktor telah digunakan sebagai pemberat untuk menentukan markah kriteria dan sub-kriteria reka bentuk yang mampan.

TABLE OF CONTENT

| CHAPTER | | | TITLE | PAGE |
|---------|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|------|
| | DECLARATION | | | ii |
| | DED | ICATI | ON | iii |
| | ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | | | iv |
| | ABS | TRACI | K | V |
| | ABSTRAK | | | vi |
| | TAB | SLE OF | CONTENTS | vii |
| | LIST OF TABLES LIST OF FIGURES | | | Х |
| | | | | xi |
| | LIST | F OF A | BBREVIATIONS | xii |
| 1 | INT | RODU | CTION | |
| | 1.1 | Backg | ground of the Problem | 1 |
| | 1.2 | Proble | em Statement | 3 |
| | 1.3 | Objec | tives of the Study | 4 |
| | 1.4 | Scope | of the Study | 4 |
| | 1.5 | Signif | ïcant of the Study | 4 |
| 2 | LIT | ERATU | JRE REVIEW | |
| | 2.1 | Malay | sian Expressway and Toll Highway | 5 |
| | | 2.1.1 | PLUS Expressway Berhad | 8 |
| | | 2.1.2 | MTD Prime Sdn. Bhd | 8 |
| | | 2.1.3 | Senai-Desaru Expressway Berhad | 9 |
| | | 2.1.4 | Expressway Lingkaran Tengah Sdn. Bhd. | 9 |
| | | 2.1.5 | SKVE Holding Sdn. Bhd | 9 |

| 2.2 | Green Highway 10 | | | |
|-----|---------------------|--|----|--|
| 2.3 | Green Rating System | | | |
| | 2.3.1 | Leadership in Energy and Environmental | 16 | |
| | | Design | | |
| | 2.3.2 | Greenroads | 17 | |
| | 2.3.3 | Green Leadership in Transportation and | 18 | |
| | | Environmental Sustainability | | |
| | 2.3.4 | Illinois-Livable and Sustainable | 20 | |
| | | Transportation | | |
| | 2.3.5 | Malaysian Green Building Index | 21 | |
| 2.4 | Criter | a in Green Rating System | | |
| 2.5 | Sustai | nable | 26 | |
| | 2.5.1 | Sustainable Development | 29 | |
| | 2.5.2 | Sustainable Construction | 30 | |

3

METHODOLOGY

| 3.1 | Expert Discussion | 32 |
|-----|---------------------|----|
| 3.2 | Group of Experts | 32 |
| 3.3 | Group of Respondent | 33 |
| 3.4 | Questionnaires | 34 |
| 3.5 | Factor Analysis | 35 |

4

RESULT AND ANALYSIS

| 4.1 | Demographic of Respondents | | |
|-----|----------------------------|---|----|
| | 4.1.1 | Type of Company | 38 |
| | 4.1.2 | Education Level | 38 |
| | 4.1.3 | Working Experience | 39 |
| | 4.1.4 | Involvement in Highway Development | 40 |
| | 4.1.5 | Level of Awareness on Green Development | 41 |
| | 4.1.6 | Involvement in Green Development | 42 |
| 4.2 | Result | Discussion | 43 |

| | | 4.2.1 | Objective 1: Suitable criteria and sub-criteria | |
|----------|-----|-------|---|----|
| | | | for sustainable design | 43 |
| | | 4.2.2 | Objective 2: Weighting of sub-criteria for | |
| | | | sustainable design highway criteria | 63 |
| 5 | CON | ICLUS | ION AND RECOMMENDATION | |
| | 5.1 | Concl | usion | 66 |
| | 5.2 | Discu | ssion | 67 |
| | 5.3 | Recor | nmendation | 68 |
| | | | | |
| REFERENC | ES | | | 69 |
| APPENDIX | | | | 75 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO | TITLE | PAGE |
|----------|---|------|
| 2.1 | List of other Malaysian concessionaries | 6 |
| 2.2 | Other country rating system | 12 |
| 2.3 | Summary of criteria for the green rating system | 23 |
| 2.4 | List of sustainable items (Tsai and Chang, 2011) | 27 |
| 3.1 | Details of the specialist participated in the first stage | |
| | expert discussion | 33 |
| 4.1 | Rating system and category | 44 |
| 4.2 | Criteria, sub-criteria and element description | 50 |
| 4.3 | River reserve width (DID, 2012) | 58 |
| 4.4 | Sub-criteria of alignment selection and context sensitive | |
| | design | 58 |
| 4.5 | Suitable criteria and sub-criteria for Malaysian highway | |
| | condition | 60 |
| 4.6 | List of suitable sub-criteria for Malaysian highway | |
| | condition | 62 |
| 4.7 | Factor loading of sustainable design sub-criteria | 63 |
| 4.8 | Factor score | 64 |

LIST OF FIGURES

TITLE

PAGE

| 2.1 | LEED Logo | 16 |
|-----|---|----|
| 2.2 | LEED Certification Level | 17 |
| 2.3 | Greenroads Logo | 17 |
| 2.4 | Greenroads Certification Level | 18 |
| 2.5 | GreenLITES Logo | 18 |
| 2.6 | GreenLITES Certification Level | 19 |
| 2.7 | Green Building Index Logo | 21 |
| 3.1 | Research methodology flow chart | 31 |
| 3.2 | Basic Likert scale format | 35 |
| 4.1 | Percentage distribution of type of the company | 38 |
| 4.2 | Percentage distribution of the education level | 39 |
| 4.3 | Percentage distribution of working experience | 40 |
| 4.4 | Percentage distribution of involvement in highway | |
| | development | 41 |
| 4.5 | Percentage distribution of level of awareness on green | |
| | development | 42 |
| 4.6 | Percentage distribution of involvement in green | |
| | development | 43 |
| 4.7 | Average index value for sustainable design sub-criteria | 62 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| ACEC-Illinois | - | American Council of Engineering Companies - Illinois |
|--------------------|---|--|
| ACEM | - | Association of Consulting Engineering Malaysia |
| BEAM-Plus | - | Building Environmental Assessment Method |
| BERDE | - | Building for Ecologically Responsive Design Excellence |
| ВОТ | - | Build-Operate-Transfer |
| CASBEE | - | Comprehensive Assessment System for Built Environment |
| | | Efficiency |
| CIDB | - | Construction Industry Development Board |
| DID | - | Department of Irrigation and Drainage |
| ECE | - | East Coast Expressway |
| ECE1 | - | East Coast Expressway Phase 1 |
| ELITE | - | Expressway Lingkaran Tengah Sdn. Bhd. |
| FDM | - | Fuzzy Delphi Method |
| FHR2 | - | Federal Highway Route 2 |
| GBI | - | Green Building Index |
| Green <i>LITES</i> | - | Green Leadership in Transportation Environmental |
| | | Sustainability |
| GRI | - | Global Reporting Initiative |
| HK-BEAM | - | Hong Kong Building Environmental Assessment Method |
| IDOT | - | Illinois Department of Transportation |
| I-LAST | - | Illinois-Livable and Sustainable Transportation |
| ILHAM | - | Index Lebuhraya Hijau Malaysia |
| IRTBA | - | Illinois Road and Transportation Builders Association |
| KLBK | - | Konsortium Lebuhraa Butterworth-Kulim |
| KLIA | - | Kuala Lumpur International Airport |
| KLK | - | Kuala Lumpur-Karak Highway |

| - | Keiser-Meyer-Olkin |
|---|--|
| - | Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design |
| - | Malaysian Green Highway Index |
| - | Malaysian Highway Authority |
| - | New Klang Valley Expressway |
| - | North-South Expressway |
| - | New York State Department of Transportation |
| - | Pertubuhan Arkitek Malaysia |
| - | Senai Desaru Expressway Berhad |
| - | Sustainable Site Initiative |
| - | Seremban-Port Dickson Highway |
| - | Toyota Eco Youth |
| - | United States Green Building Council |
| - | Universiti Teknologi Malaysia |
| - | Washington Internship for Students Engineering |
| | |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Problem

UMW Toyota Motor has been collaborated with the Ministry of Education in established the program of Toyota Eco Youth (TEY). This program has been started on 2001 which is aimed to awaken and nurture a sense of responsibility among the younger generation about the importance of preserving the environment in order to maintain a sustainable future. The participant of this program is over 1,600 students, principals and teachers from 146 schools across Malaysia. With the successful of this program, it has been leading the Toyota Motor Manufacturing Indonesia to launch their Eco Youth program in 2006 (TOYOTA, 2013).

Toyota, a well-known auto company has leaded the automotive industry in green building efforts. It has achieved the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) certification for their 29 facilities which is 11 Toyota/Lexus U.S. facilities and 18 Toyota/Lexus dealership. Since 2003, Toyota Motor Sales started achieved the LEED certificate for its facilities. The Toyota Motor Sales South Campus at Torrance, California is the first Toyota facilities that achieved LEED certified with Gold Certificate. The latest one is Toyota Motor Sales Kansas City Regional Technical Training Center in Kansas City which had achieved LEED Gold Certified on May 2012 (TOYOTA, 2012).

Development of the LEED has initiated the other countries to started establish their own green rating system. One of the criteria in rating system is sustainable design. In earlier decade, sustainable development idea has grown up from numerous environmental movements. Recently sustainable issues have been widely discussed especially in construction industry. Sustainable development is a key issue in order to meeting the environmental objectives and fulfils the demand of the large infrastructure projects due to increasing numbers of population growth and urban density (Constandopoulos and Nation, 2010). In the rating system, in order to maintain, support or endure the long term maintenance of responsibility, sustainable design becomes one of the most important criteria for giving a credit (McLennan, 2004). This is one of the strategies that encourage minimizing the impacts on ecosystem and water resources (USGBC, 2011).

Sustainable design can be one of the factors that can minimize the impacts of the highway to the environment. Noise, ground and water pollution, habitat disturbance, land use, air, climate change vibration and contamination to plant and wildlife are the effects of construction and vehicle emissions (Griffith and Bhutto, 2009). The impact can change by design, construction and management of road, parking and other facilities. Washington Internship for Students Engineering (WISE) has introduced the green highway rating system. The rating system is to make sure the highway design is sustainable, environmental friendly and giving less impact of environment damage (WISE, 2011) which can be used for developing and classifying an environmentally and economically sustainable highway (Bryce, 2008a). In the modern highway design, the new technology such as advance planning, intelligent construction and transport system and maintenance technique has been used to reduce the impact of highway to the environment.

Many researches in highway construction have been done especially in road materials. Modification of bitumen and pavement can improved the quality of highway and road structure. Modification of the bitumen was not a new technology because it has been started for more than 30 years ago (Kersey, 2000). The use of non-recycle and waste materials such as plastic in highway construction has been developed by a team of entrepreneurs in Bangalore, Hyderabad, India (Ahmad, 2012). Other researchers have founded modifiers for bitumen such as softwood bark charcoal (Chebil, *et al.*, 1999), maleic anhydride and dicarboxylic acids (Herrington,

et al., 1999), recycled polymer (Murphy, *et al.*, 2000), tire rubber (Navarro, *et al.*, 2002), rubber latex and crumb rubber.

The green highway rating system was introduced to determine the level of greenery and environmental friendly of the highway. Since roads run through the landscape, road have point source impact and linear effect (McClendon, 2012). *Greenroads* is the first green highway rating system that has been established in United States. It is a voluntary third party rating system for road project which seeks to recognized and reward the roadway projects that exceed the public expectation for environmental, economic and social performance (WISE, 2011).

University Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) and Malaysian Highway Authority (MHA) have been collaborated to produce the Malaysian Green Highway Index (MGHI). In Malaysia, MGHI is a new project that will used to evaluate the highway. It is the rating system that would classify the highway and rate it based on their environmental sustainability. The MGHI focus on highway and it concept is almost the same as the Green Building Index (GBI) and LEED which provides a checklist of prerequisites and credit required to evaluate the environment performance (Tsai and Chang, 2011).

1.2 Problem Statement

Nowadays, green rating system becomes a popular tool to confirm the green credential of building. Most countries have developed their own green building rating system. The country that already has the rating system is United States, Canada, Australia, United Kingdom, Hong Kong, Japan, Taiwan, Singapore, Philippine, European, Korea, India and Australia (FMLink, 1996). Malaysia also owns the green building rating system which is GBI.

With the successful implementation of green building rating system, the rating system has been widened into the highway. There are three rating system for the highway that has been found which is *Greenroads*, Green Leadership in

Transportation and Sustainable (Green*LITES*) and Illinois-Livable and Sustainable Transportation (I-LAST). The evaluation for the green highway is not yet available in Malaysia. This is because it is a new project which is still in the process of developing.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

The aim of this study is to identify the suitable sustainable design criteria and sub-criteria to be included in the green highway criteria for the Malaysian Green Highway Index. More specific objectives of this study are:

- a) To identify the suitable criteria and sub-criteria for sustainable design; and
- b) To identify the weighting of sub-criteria for sustainable design highway criteria.

1.4 Scope of the Study

The scope of this study is focus on the sustainable design criteria for expressway and toll highway only. The expressway and toll highway in Peninsular Malaysia is taken as a case study area. It is to obtain the simulation of suitability analysis based on the perspectives of the Malaysian stakeholders which are consist of academicians, government agency specialists and private sector specialists.

1.5 Significant of the Study

This study is to identify the sustainable design criteria in rating system that can provide a method of measurement to evaluate the highway. The criteria can be used as a gauge for the improvement of existing highway and guideline for the new highway so it can be more environmental friendly.

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, A. H. (2012, 17 Feb 2012). Waste Plastic for Road Construction. Retrieved from http://lbina.my/blog/2012/02/17/waste-plastic-for-road-construction
- BCA. (2005). Building and Construction Authority BCA Green Mark for New Residential Buildings Version RB/4.1. Singapore: Singapore Government.
- Belton, J. M., Thompson, R. P., & Jukes, A. (2008). Assessment of Sustainable Highway Geotechnics. Advances in Transportation Geotechnics, 6.
- Bertram, D. (2007). Likert Scale. *CPSC* 681 *Topic Report*, 200. Retrieved from http://pages.cpsc.ucalgary.ca/~saul/wiki/uploads/CPSC681/topic-dane-likert.pdf
- Bhattacharya, S. (2006). Rating Approach For Toll Roads Project Financing. Malaysia: Malaysian Rating Corporation Berhad.
- Brundtland, G. H. (1987). Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development: Our Common Future: United Nation.
- Bryce, J. M. (2008a). Developing Sustainable Transportation Infrastructure. Exploring the Development and Implementation of a Green Highway Rating System.
- Bryce, J. M. (2008b). Exploring Green Highways. ASTM International Standard Worldwide, 1-5.
- Campbell, A. J. (2009). *A Multi-Criteria Approach for Rating Roadway Sustainability*. Master of Science, Florida State University, Florida.
- Chebil, S., Chaala, A., & Roy, C. (1999). Use of softwood bark charcoal as a modifier for road bitumen. *Fuel*, 79, 671-683.
- Clark, M., Paulli, C., Tetreault, Z., & Thomas, J. (2009). Green Guide For Roads Rating System (S. S. D. P. Site, Trans.) A Major Qualifying Projet Report for The Stantec Sustainable Design Project Site. Worcester: Worcester Polytechnical Institute.
- Cleaver, L. (2011). For Construction Pros.com. Illnois Promotes Sustainable Highway Projects With I-LAST Retrieved 9 May 2012, 2012, from

http://www.forconstructionpros.com/article/10224616/illinois-promotessustainable-highway-projects-with-i-last

- Constandopoulos, J., & Nation, M. (2010). Towards Sustainable Roadways. Sinclair Knight Merz, 2013, from http://www.globalskm.com/Insights/Achieve-Magazine/Issue1-2010/article9.aspx
- DID. (2012). Garis Panduan Pembangunan Melibatkan Sungai & Rezab Sungai. *River Management*, 2013, from http://www.water.gov.my/resource-centremainmenu-255/manual-a-guidelines/river-management-mainmenu-289?lang=en
- Field, A. (2000). *Discovering Statistic using SPSS for Windows*. New Delhi: Sage Publications.
- Field, A. (2005a). Factor Analysis Using SPSSC8057 (Research Method 2). London.
- Field, A. (2005b). Effect SizesC8057 (Research Methods 2). London.
- FMLink. (1996). FMLink. A comparison of the world's various green rating systems Retrieved 2 June 2012, 2012, from <u>http://www.fmlink.com/article.cgi?type</u>= Magazine&title=A%20comparison%20of%20the%20world%27s%20various%2 Ogreen%20rating%20systems&pub=RFP%20Office%20Space&id=31124&mod e=source
- Friel, C. M. (2005). Notes on Factor Analysis. Criminal Justic Center, Sam Houston State University.
- GBI. (2009). GBI Assessment Criteria for Residential New Construction (RNC).Malaysia.
- GBI. (2011). Green Building Index Retrieved 29 April 2012, 2012, from http://www.greenbuildingindex.org/index.html
- GBI. (2012). Green Building Index. Malaysia: Green Building Index.
- Golder, B., & Gawler, M. (2005). Cross-Cutting Tool Stakeholder Analysis.
- Griffith, A., & Bhutto, K. (2009). Better environmental performance: A framework for integrated management systems (IMS). *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal*, 20(5), 566-580.
- Habing, B. (2003). College of Arts and Science Statistics. *Exploratory Factor Analysis*, 2013, from http://www.stat.sc.edu/~habing/courses/530EFA.pdf
- Herrington, P. R., Wu, Y., & Forbes, M. C. (1999). Rheological modification of bitumen with maleic anhydride and dicarboxylic acids. *Fuel*, 78, 101-110.

- HKGBC. (2004). Hong Kong Building Environmental Assessment Method An environmental assessment for new building version 4/04. Hong Kong: HK-BEAM Society.
- HKGBC. (2010). Building Environmental Assessment Method BEAM Plus Existing Buildings. Hong Kong: BEAM Society.
- Huang, R. Y., & Yeh, C. H. (2008). Development of An Assessment Framework For Green Highway Construction. *Journal of Chinese Institute of Engineers*, 31, 573-585.
- Hunter-Zaworski, K., Fowler, j., Lall, K., Bardwell, T., Bird, P., Dahl, S., . . .
 Nelson, J. (2000). Route Selection and Alignment. *Roadway Design Theory & Concepts*, 2013, from http://www.webpages.uidaho.edu/niatt_labmanual/Chapters/roadwaydesign/theo ryandconcepts/RouteSelectionAndAlignment.htm
- IDOT. (2010). Illinois Livable and Sustainable Transportation Rating System and Guide *I-LAST Project Environmental Sustainability Rating System Scorecard*.Illinois: Illinois Department of Transportation.
- IGBC. (2011). LEED 2011 For India Green Building Rating System for New Construction & Major Renovations. India: Indian Green Building Council (IGBC).
- Jie, S. (2010). The Sustainable Development of Highway Transportation in China.
- JSBC. (2010). Comprehensive Assessment System for Built Environment Efficiency CASBEE for New Constructuon. Japan: Japan Sustainable Building Consortium (JSBC).
- Kane, L. (2010). Sustainable transport indicators for Cape Town, South Africa: Advocacy, negotiation and partnership in transportion planning practice. *Natural Resources Forum*, 34, 289-302.
- Kersey, T. (2000). *Modified Bitumen Blend Technology*. Paper presented at the RCI 15th Annual Convention and Trade Show, Reno.
- KKR. (2012). Road Traffic Volume Malaysia 2011. Kuala Lumpur: Highway Planning Division.
- Klatzel, F. (2000). Green Road: Building environmentally friendly, low maintenance rural roads through local participation.
- LLM. (2010). Laporan Tahunan LLM 2010. Malaysia: Lembaga Lebuhraya Malaysia.

- LLM. (2012, 28 April 2012). Lembaga Lebuhraya Malaysia Portal Retrieved 28 April 2012, from http://www.llm.gov.my/background.aspx
- Longman. (Ed.) (2001) Longman Dictionary of Contemporary English (Vols. 3). England: Pearson Education Limited.
- Ma, Z., Shao, C., Ma, S., & Ye, Z. (2011). Constructing Road Safety Performance Indicators Using Fuzzy Delphi Method and Grey Delphi Method. *Expert Systems With Applications*, 38, 1509-1514.
- McClendon, E. (2012). 6 Signs that mark the path to sustainable road design. *Environmental & Energy Management News*, 2013, from http://www.environmentalleader.com/2012/01/05/6-signs-that-mark-the-path-tosustainable-road-design/
- McDonald, J., & Cuomo, A. M. (2011). Growing GreenLITES. NYSDOT Sustainability & GreenLITES. Retrieved from
- McLennan, J. F. (2004). *The Philosophy of Sustainable Design*. Kansas City, Missouri: ECOtone.
- MTD. (2011, 27 August 2011). Infra Perdana (A Member of The MTD Group) Retrieved 2 June 2012, 2012
- Mun, T. L. (2009). The Development of GBI Malaysia (GBI) (pp. 1-8). Malaysia.
- Murphy, M., O'Mahony, M., Lycett, C., & Jamieson, I. (2000). Bitumens modified with recycled polymers. *Materials and Structure*, *33*, 438-444.
- Navarro, F. J., Partal, P., Martinez-Boza, F., Valencia, C., & Gallegos, C. (2002). Rheological characteristics of ground tire rubber - modified bitumens. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 89, 53-61.
- NYSDOT. (1999). GreenLITES Retrieved 9 May 2012, 2012, from https://www.dot.ny.gov/programs/greenlites
- NYSDOT. (2008). GreenLITES Project Design Certification Program *Recognizing Outstanding Leadership in Transportation and Environmental Sustainability*. New York: NYSDOT.
- PAM. (2009). Green Building Index *GBI Assessment Criteria for Residential New Construction*. Malaysia.
- PGBC. (2011). Building for Ecologically Responsive Design Excellence *BERDE Version 1.0 Existing Building*. Philippine: Philippine Green Building Council.
- PLUS. (2009). PLUS Expressway Berhad Corporate Social Responsibility Report. Malaysia.

- PLUS. (2012). PLUS Expressway Retrieved 10 May 2012, 2012, from http://www.plus.com.my/
- Presley, A., & Meade, L. (2010). Benchmarking for sustainability: An application to the sustainable construction industry. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 17(3), 435-451.
- REAM. (2002). REAM GL 2/2002 A Guide on Geometric Design of Road.Selangor: Road Engineering Association of Malaysia.
- Reddy, A. K. N., Anand, Y. P., & D'Sa, A. (2000). Energy for a sustainable road/rail transport system in India. *Energy for sustainable development*, *4*(1), 29-44.
- SDEB. (2008). E22 Senai-Desaru Expressway Berhad Retrieved 2 June 2012, 2012, from http://www.e22.com.my/
- Sharma, D. (2010). Advancing Carbon intensity Reduction Towards Combating Climate Change in Malaysia. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the National Conference on Sustainability Malaysia 40%: Let's Get Down To Business, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur.
- SKVE. (2011). SKVE SKVE Holding Sdn Bhd Retrieved 10 May 2012, 2012, from http://www.skveholdings.com/
- Steel, M. (Ed.) (2005) New Oxford English-English-Malay Dictionary (7 ed.). Malaysia: Penerbit Fajar Bakti.
- TOYOTA. (2012). Toyota USA Newsroom Retrieved 2 June 2012, 2012, from http://toyotanewsroom.com/releases/toyota+leads+green+buildings+may2012.ht m
- TOYOTA. (2013). Toyota Eco Youth, 2013, from http://www.toyota.com.my/heartof-toyota/toyota-eco-youth.html
- Trochim, W. M. K. (2006). Selecting the Survey Method. Research Methods Knowledge Base 2nd Edition. 2013, from http://www.socialresearchmethods.net/kb/survsel.php
- Tsai, C. Y., & Chang, A. S. (2011). Framework For Developing Construction Sustainability Items: The Example of Highway Design. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 1-10.
- USGBC. (2011). U.S Green Building Council Retrieved 9 May 2012, 2012, from http://www.usgbc.org/Default.aspx
- USGBC. (2013). U.S Green Building Council. *Receive the certification decision*, 2013, from http://www.usgbc.org/leed/certification/certify

- Vickers, A. (2002). *Handbook of Water Use and Conservation*. Amherst, Massachusetts: MA Waterplow Press.
- VTPI. (2010). Context Sensitive Design. *Roadway Design That is Responsive to Local Community Values*, 2013, from http://www.vtpi.org/tdm/tdm57.htm
- WISE. (2011). Greenroads *Greenroads Manual V1.5*. Washington: Washington Internship for Students Engineering.
- Yi, T. X. (2013, 15 February 2013). Link from Teluk Panglima Garang to Pulau Indah to be ready in September, *The Star Online*. Retrieved from http://thestar.com.my/metro/story.asp?file=/2013/2/15/central/12691770&sec=ce ntral
- Zhen, F. (2010). On the Road Construction and Environmental Protection.
- Zhi-bin, Q., & Zhao-hui, L. (2009). A Study of Highway Ecosystems Evaluation Based on Sustainable Development. Paper presented at the 2009 Second International Conference on Intelligent Computation Technology and Automation.