

**EFFECTS OF HEAT TREATMENT ON THE MICROSTRUCTURES AND
ELECTROCHEMICAL BEHAVIOR OF STAINLESS STEEL**

NUR HASMISHA BINTI HASLAN

**A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the award of degree of
Master of Engineering (Mechanical-Materials)**

**Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia**

JANUARY 2013

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my supervisor, Professor Dr Esah Hamzah for her constant guidance and advice comments throughout the completion of this work.

Thanks a lot to all the technicians of Material Science Lab in Faculty of Mechanical Engineering for their willingness to guide and help me to do my thesis experiments using all available facilities.

Very special thanks go to my mother Mrs. Ruslina Binti Dollah, my father Haslan Bin H.Abdullah and my husband Mohd Hafiz Bin Harun for their support and dedication.

Finally, I would like to thank all for their encouragement and constructive advice.

ABSTRACT

The objective of this project is to investigate the effects of heat treatment parameters on corrosion resistance and phase transformation in relation to the microstructures and electrochemical behaviors of austenitic 304 and martensitic 420 stainless steel. In this project, there are several heat treatment parameters under investigation namely annealing at temperature 900 °C and 1000°C and normalizing with difference soaking times. Other heat treatment process carried out on martensitic stainless steel only is quench and temper. Corrosion test was conducted on non-treated and heat treated samples according to British Standard (BS ISO 17475:2005) for electrochemical test (Tafel test). Hardness test was also carried out on the non-treated and heat treated samples using Vickers hardness test. Microstructure analysis was performed on the samples using characterization equipment such as Glow Discharge Spectroscopy (GDS), Optical Microscope (OM), Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM), Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX) and X-ray Diffraction (XRD). The results shows that heat treatment affect the microstructures and electrochemical behaviors of stainless steel. It was also found that higher temperature gives lower hardness. From the corrosion test results, it can be concluded that higher austenization temperatures and higher normalizing soaking times improved the corrosion resistance of stainless steel due to increase in grain size and less in formation of carbides. These carbides will contribute to the corrosion whereby it provides sites for anodic and cathodic reaction to occur between the carbide and the matrix phases.

ABSTRAK

Objektif projek ini adalah untuk mengkaji kesan parameter rawatan haba ke atas rintangan kakisan dan penjelmaan fasa dalam hubungan dengan mikrostruktur dan tingkah laku elektrokimia 304 austenit dan martensit 420 keluli tahan karat. Di dalam projek ini, terdapat dua parameter rawatan haba yang dikaji iaitu suhu austenit bersuhu 900°C dan 1000 °C dan menormalkan dengan perbezaan masa merendam. Lain-lain proses rawatan haba yang dijalankan pada keluli tahan karat martensit sahaja iaitu pelinkejutan dan pembajaan. Ujian kakisan telah dilakukan ke atas sampel-sampel yang belum dirawat dan telah dirawat haba berdasarkan Piawaian British (BS ISO 17475:2005) untuk ujian elektrokimia. Ujian kekerasan juga telah dilakukan ke atas sampel-sampel belum dirawat dan yang telah dirawat haba menggunakan ujian kekerasan Vickers. Analisis mikrostruktur telah dilakukan ke atas sampel-sampel menggunakan peralatan pencirian seperti Spektroskopi Nyahcas Bara (GDS), Mikroskop Optik (OM), Mikroskop Imbasan Elektron (SEM), Sinar-X Serakan Tenaga (EDX) dan Pembelauan Sinar-X (XRD). Keputusan kajian menunjukkan rawatan haba menjejaskan mikrostruktur dan tingkah laku elektrokimia keluli tahan karat. Ia juga didapati bahawa suhu yang lebih tinggi memberikan kekerasan yang lebih rendah. Daripada hasil ujian kakisan, dapat disimpulkan bahawa suhu austenit dan lebih tinggi masa rendaman penormalan meningkatkan rintangan kakisan keluli tahan karat disebabkan oleh peningkatan dalam saiz bijian dan pengurangan pembentukan karbida.. Karbida ini akan

menyumbang kepada kakisan di mana ia menyediakan laman untuk reaksi anodic dan katod berlaku antara karbida dan fasa matriks.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	TITLE PAGE	i
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xiii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxi
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Objectives of The Research	3
	1.3 Statement of Research Problems	3
	1.4 Scopes of Study	4
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	5
	2.1 Introduction	5
	2.2 Stainless Steel	5
	2.3 Basic elements in Stainless steel	6
	2.4 Types of Stainless steel	8
	2.4.1 Austenitic Stainless Steel	10
	2.4.2 Martensitic Stainless Steel	11

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
2.5	Heat Treatment	11
2.5.1	Heat Treatment Process for Austenitic Stainless Steel	12
2.5.1.1	Annealing	12
2.5.1.2	Normalizing	14
2.5.1.3	Effect of Microstructure and Mechanical Properties after Annealing and Normalizing	14
2.5.2	Heat Treatment Process for Martensitic Stainless Steel.	17
2.5.2.1	Effect of Microstructure and Mechanical Properties after Annealing and Normalizing	18
2.5.2.2	Effect of Microstructure and Mechanical Properties after Quenched and Tempered	19
2.5.2.3	Effect of Hardness on Martensitic Stainless Steel after Heat Treatment	23
2.6	Electrochemical Behavior of Stainless Steel	26
2.6.1	Electrochemical test	27
2.6.2	Effect of Heat Treatment on the Electrochemical Behavior on Martensitic Stainless Steel	29
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	33
3.1	Introduction	33
3.2	Materials	35
3.2.1	Samples preparation	36

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
3.3	Heat Treatment Processes	40
3.4	Metallographic Investigation	42
3.4.1	Determination of Grain Size	42
3.4.2	Microstructure Observation by Using Optical Microscope	43
3.4.3	Microstructure Observation by Using Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)	44
3.5	Materials Characterization	45
3.5.1	Energy Dispersive X-Ray Analysis (EDX)	45
3.5.2	X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)	47
3.6	Hardness Test	48
3.7	Electrochemical Test	49
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	53
4.1	Introduction	53
4.2	Microstructural Characterization of As-Received Materials	53
4.2.1	Chemical Composition of AISI 304 Austenitic Stainless Steel and AISI 420 Martensitic Stainless Steel.	54
4.2.2	Microstructure Analysis of Austenitic Stainless Steel	54
4.2.2.1	Optical Microscopy Analysis	54
4.2.2.2	Scanning Electron Microscope and Energy Dispersive X-Ray Analysis of As-received Sample	55
4.2.2.3	X-Ray Diffraction Analysis of As-received sample	57

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
4.2.3	Microstructure Analysis of Martensitic Stainless Steel	57
4.2.3.1	Optical Microscopy Analysis	58
4.2.3.2	Scanning Electron Microscope and Energy Dispersive X-Ray Analysis of As-received Sample	58
4.2.3.3	X-Ray Diffraction Analysis of As-received Martensitic Stainless Steel Sample.	60
4.3	Microstructural Characterization of Heat Treated Samples	61
4.3.1	Austenitic Stainless Steel after Annealing Process	61
4.3.2	Austenitic Stainless Steel after Normalizing Process	63
4.3.3	Martensitic Stainless Steel after Annealing Process	66
4.3.4	Martensitic Stainless Steel after Normalizing Process	67
4.3.5	Martensitic Stainless Steel after Quench and Temper Process	70
4.3.6	Effect of Grain Size on Heat Treated Austenitic Stainless Steel	71
4.3.7	XRD Analysis on Heat Treated Stainless Steel	73
4.3.7.1	Austenitic Stainless Steel after Annealing Process	73
4.3.7.2	Austenitic Stainless Steel after Normalizing Process	74
4.3.7.3	Martensitic Stainless Steel after Annealing Process	76

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	4.3.7.4 Martensitic Stainless Steel after Normalizing Process	77
	4.3.7.5 Martensitic Stainless Steel after Quench and Temper Process	78
	4.4 Mechanical Property – Hardness	80
	4.5 Electrochemical Behavior after Heat Treatment	82
5	CONCLUSIONS	89
	5.1 Conclusions	89
	5.2 Recommendation for future work	90
	REFERENCES	91
	APPENDICES	97

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Allotropes of Iron (Rivlin and Raynor, 1980)	6
2.2	Atomic sizes of Fe, Cr and Ni (Rivlin and Raynor, 1980)	7
2.3	304 stainless steel chemical compositions [wt%]	10
2.4	Grain sizes of austenite crystal at different quenching temperatures (Liu Yu-rong, 2011)	19
3.1	Chemical Composition (wt%) of materials used	35
3.2	Parameters for X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) Measurement	47
4.1	Results of chemical composition of the as-received stainless steel	54

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Three dimensional view of the Fe-Cr-Ni equilibrium diagram. (H.J.Eckstein, 1990)	8
2.2	Equilibrium diagram for Fe-Cr alloys (without carbon content) (L. Colombier and J. Hochman, 1967).	9
2.3	900 °C isotherm of Cr-Fe-Ni system. (Rivlin, V.G. and Raynor, G.V.1980)	13
2.4	1000 °C isotherm of Cr-Fe-Ni system. (Rivlin, V.G. and Raynor, G.V.1980)	13
2.5	Optical micrograph of the solution annealed material consisting of equiaxed austenite grains (J. Kallqvist, 1999)	15
2.6	Grain boundary $M_{23}C_6$ precipitates in a austenitic stainless steel observed using transmission electron microscopy (A. F. Padilha and P.R.Rios, 2006)	15
2.7	Optical micrographs paired with representative maps of the modified 316LN alloy in the (a and d) as-received, (b and e) 20 hours and (c and f) 100 hours annealed conditions, respectively (S. Downey II, P.N. Kalu, K. Han, 2008)	16

2.8	SEM micrographs of M316LN in the (a) 100 hours annealed and (b) as-received conditions (S. Downey II, P.N. Kalu, K. Han, 2008)	17
2.9	Microstructure of tested steel quenched at 1050 °C (a) Steel 1, (b) Steel 2 (Liu Yu-rong, 2011)	20
2.10	Microstructure of the heat treated AISI 420, (a) 1050 °C, (b) 1015 °C, (c) 980 °C (A. N. Isfahany, 2011)	21
2.11	EDS analysis of specimens tempered at (a) 200 °C, (b) 500 °C, (c) 700 °C. (A. N. Isfahany, 2011)	22
2.12	The relationship between the carbon content and the hardness of martensite (Wei Du, 2011)	24
2.13	Effect of austenitizing time and temperature on Hardness (A. N. Isfahany, 2011)	25
2.14	Hardness versus tempering temperature (A. N. Isfahany, 2011)	26
2.15	Potentiodynamic plot of austenitic stainless steel sample at different tempering times and tempering temperature of (a) 150 °C and (b) 250 °C (Ayo Afolabi, 2011)	30
2.16	Comparison between 980°C and 1050°C potentiostatic curves in AISI 420 (A. N. Isfahany, 2011)	32
3.1	Research Methodology	34

3.2	LECO GDS850A Glow Discharge Atomic Spectrometer (GDS)	35
3.3	Schematic drawings of shapes and dimensions of the (a) Austenitic and (b) Martensitic stainless steel were sectioned respectively	37
3.4	Mecatome T255/300 Cutter Machine	38
3.5	Buehler Rool Grinder	38
3.6	Metaserv Polishing Machine	39
3.7	Buehler Electromet 4 Electro Etching Machine	39
3.8	Schematic heat treatment path for annealing process	41
3.9	Schematic heat treatment path for normalizing process	41
3.10	Schematic heat treatment path for quench and temper process	42
3.11	Research Microscope (manufactured by Nikon in 1995)	44
3.12	Phillips XL40 scanning electron microscope	45
3.13	Schematic Drawing of X-Ray Detector for EDX Analysis	46
3.14	X-Ray Diffractometer	47
3.15	Matsuzawa Seiki Vickers Hardness	48
3.16	The samples cold mounted in plastic moulds	50

3.17	Working electrode (mounted samples and copper wire enclosed in glass tube)	50
3.18	Potentiostat/ galvanostat Corrosion Test Machine (Parstat-2263)	51
3.19	Sample in all-glass cell of (a) an actual preparation and (b) schematic drawing according to ASTM Standard G-5	51
3.20	The anodic and cathodic polarization curves in E vs. I / (A/cm ²)	52
4.1	Optical micrograph of as-received austenitic stainless steel (etched with oxalic acid solution, 200x)	55
4.2	(a) Scanning Electron Micrograph of as-received austenitic stainless steel at magnification 2000x; (b), (c), (d), and (e) EDX results to verify element content on microstructure	56
4.3	XRD results of as-received austenitic stainless steel	57
4.4	Optical micrograph of as-received martensitic stainless steel (etched with oxalic acid solution, 200x)	58
4.5	SEM micrograph of as-received martensitic stainless steel (etched with oxalic acid solution, 2000x)	59
4.6	(a) SEM micrograph of as-received martensitic stainless steel at magnification 2000x, (b), (c), (d), and (e) EDX results to verify element content on microstructure	59

4.7	XRD results of as-received martensitic stainless steel	61
4.8	Optical micrographs of the annealed austenitic stainless steel at (a) 900 ° C and (b) 1000 °C consisting of equiaxed austenite grains (etched with oxalic acid solution, 200x)	62
4.9	Optical micrographs of the austenitic stainless steel normalized at 900 ° C for (a) 1 hour and (b) 2 hours consisting of equiaxed austenite grains (etched with oxalic acid solution, 200x)	63
4.10	SEM micrograph of the austenitic stainless steel normalized at 900 ° C for (a) 1 hour and (b) 2 hours (c) 8 hours consisting of equiaxed austenite grains, Spot A = at dark area, Spot B = at grain boundary, Spot C = at matrix of the surface	65
4.11	Optical micrographs of the martensitic stainless steel annealed at (a) 900 ° C and (b) 1000 °C (etched with oxalic acid solution, 200x)	67
4.12	Optical micrographs of the martensitic stainless steel normalized at 900 ° C for (a) 1 hour, (b) 2 hours and (c) 8 hours (etched with oxalic acid solution, 200x)	68
4.13	Scanning electron micrographs of the martensitic Stainless steel normalized at 900 ° C for (a) 1 hour and (b) 2 hours (1000x) and (c) 8 hours (1000x)	69
4.14	Optical micrographs of the martensitic stainless steel austenizing at 980 °C for (a) 1 hour and (b) 2 hours and tempered at 200 °C for 1 hour (etched with oxalic acid solution, 200x)	70

4.15	Result of hardness (grain size vs temperature and soaking time) on (a) annealed samples and (b) normalized samples respectively	71
4.16	XRD Analysis on the Austenitic Stainless Steel Annealed at (a) 900°C and (b) 1000°C	72
4.17	XRD Analysis on the Austenitic Stainless Steel Normalized at 900°C for (a) 1 hour, (b) 2 hours and (c) 8 hours soaking times	74
4.18	XRD Analysis on the Martensitic Stainless Steel Annealed at (a) 900°C and (b) 1000°C	75
4.19	XRD Analysis on the Martensitic Stainless Steel Normalized at 900°C for (a) 1 hour , (b) 2 hours and (c) 8 hours soaking times	76
4.20	XRD Analysis on the Martensitic Stainless Steel Austenized at 980°C for (a) 1 hour and (b) 2 hours soaking times	78
4.21	Hardness (Hv) of Annealed Stainless Steel	79
4.22	Hardness (Hv) of Normalized Stainless Steel	79
4.23	Hardness (Hv) of Quenched and Tempered Stainless Steel	80
4.24	Tafel graph E vs A/cm^2 results in water medium for Annealed 304 Stainless Steel at (a) 900°C, (b) 1000°C	81

- 4.25 Tafel graph E vs log (I) results in water medium on the Austenitic Stainless Steel Normalized at 900°C for (a) 1 hour, and (b) 2 hours soaking times and (c) 8 hours soaking times 82
- 4.26 Tafel graph E vs log (I) results in 3.5% NaCl solution as a medium for Annealed 304 Stainless Steel at (a) 900°C and (b) 1000°C 84
- 4.27 Tafel graph E vs log (I) results in 3.5% NaCl medium on the Austenitic Stainless Steel Normalized at 900°C for (a) 1 hour, and (b) 2 hours soaking times and (c) 8 hours soaking times 85
- 4.28 The corrosion rate (mpy) of annealed austenitic stainless steel in water medium and 3.5% NaCl solution 87
- 4.29 The corrosion rate (mpy) of normalized austenitic stainless steel in water medium and 3.5% NaCl solution 87
- 4.30 Tafel graph E vs log (I) results in water medium on the Martensitic Stainless Steel Quench and Temper for (a) 1 hour and (b) hours soaking times 88

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Stainless steels form part of the great section cut through history by the development of iron alloys, beginning about 1400 B.C. with the first man-made iron. The so-called industrial revolution was made possible only through Cort's improvement in iron making methods and his introduction of mills to produce rolled sections (J. Gordon Parr, 1971). As a class of materials, stainless steels stand apart and are considered the backbone of modern industry since they find wide applications in chemicals, petrochemicals, off-shore, power generation, allied industries (Maurer E. and Strauss B, 1920).

With the mass production of steel came a scientific interest in the material. Of course, there were brilliant examples of earlier research. But it is not until about 1890 that the constitution and properties of steels were methodically investigated. By 1920

metallurgist were applying methods of x-rays diffraction to the study of metallic properties (J. Gordon Parr, 1971).

Satisfactory and economical heat treatment plays an important role in the selection and development of engineering materials, and stainless steel are no exception. Such steels are normally favored for engineering applications requiring good strength at moderate temperatures and high corrosion resistance. Most grades of stainless steels are usually low in carbon (0.05 to 0.20%) but contain 4 to 18% chromium along with other alloying elements (M. I. Qureshi and M. Mujahid, 2000). In the industry, the component that used stainless steel will expose to the high temperature environment and at the long time exposure. That will result in changing mechanical properties or microstructures of the stainless steel due to the failure. Many researches had been conducted to investigate the effect of the heat treatment on the stainless steels.

Besides the favorable corrosion properties of stainless steels, the good mechanical properties make these materials very interesting for mechanical engineering applications. They are used in demanding applications as, for instance, in the processing and power industry (Henrik Sieurin, 2006).

Austenitic stainless steels of the AISI 304 and 316 types, amongst other hundred types of stainless steels available in the market, are the most frequently used ones worldwide. They are selected for numerous applications due to their favorable combination of characteristics such as low price, moderate to good corrosion resistance, excellent ductility and toughness along with good weldability (C.Herrera, 2007).

Martensitic stainless steels are commonly used to manufacturing components with excellent mechanical properties and moderate corrosion resistance, so that they can work under high and low temperatures. Unlike other stainless steel, their properties could be changed by heat treatments hence these steels usually are used for a wide range of applications such as steam generators, pressure vessels, cutting tools, and offshore platforms for oil extraction (J.-Y. Park and Y.-S. Park, 2006).

1.2 Objectives of The Research

The objectives of the research are as follows:

1. To characterize the microstructures of stainless steels after various heat treatments.
2. To determine the electrochemical behavior of heat treated stainless steels.

1.3 Statement of Research Problems

Microstructures of stainless steel can be varied by heat treatment. Variation in microstructures is expected to affect the mechanical properties and electrochemical behavior of the steels. The heat treatment may enhance the steel properties but it may also gives poor performance. Therefore, selection of correct heat treatment is paramount in order to have stainless steel with better mechanical and electrochemical properties.

1.4 Scopes of Study

The scopes of the study are as follows:

- a) Initial investigation on as-received martensitic and austenitic stainless steels in terms of chemical composition, microstructures and properties
- b) Selection of heat treatment methods that can vary the microstructures and properties: Annealing, normalizing, quench and temper.
- c) Detail investigation on the heat treated samples by using optical microscope, SEM, EDX and XRD.
- d) Electrochemical test (Tafel) on heat treated samples to relate between variation in microstructures due to heat treatment and the corrosion resistance of the steels.

REFERENCES

A.Bjarbo and M. Hatterstrand (2001). Complex Carbides Growth, Dissolution, and Coarsening in a Modified 12 Pct Chromium Steel—An Experimental and Theoretical Study, *Metallurgy Materials Trans. A*, 32A, p 19–27.

A.F. Candelaria (2003). *Journal of Material Science*, Vol. 22, pp 1151–1153.

A. Pardo, M.C. Merino, A.E. Coy, F. Viejo, R. Arrabal, E. Matykina (2008), *Corrosion Science*, Vol. 50, p 780.

Ardagh, E.G.R., R.M.B. Roome, and H.W. Owens (1933), Mechanism of Corrosion of Iron in Sodium Chloride Solution. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry*, 25(10): p. 1116-1121.

ASTM International Standard (E112 – 10), Standard Test Methods for Determining Average Grain Size.

ASTM International Standard (G102 – 89), Standard Practice for Calculation of Corrosion Rates and Related Information from Electrochemical Measurements.

Ayo Afolabi and Najeem Peleowo (2011). Effect of Heat Treatment on Corrosion Behaviour of Austenitic Stainless Steel in Mild Acid Medium, International Conference on *Chemical, Ecology and Environmental Sciences* (ICCEES'2011) Pattaya.

Bain, E.C. and Griffiths, W.E. (1927). *Trans. AIME*, Vol.75, pp 166-213.

Calliari, M. Zanesco, M. Dabala, K. Brunelli, E. Ramous (2006). *Material & Design*, pp.1–5.

C. Garcí'a de Andres, G. Caruana, L.F. Alvarez (1998). Control of $M_{23}C_6$ carbides in 0.45C–13Cr martensitic stainless steel by means of three representative heat treatment parameters. *Materials Science and Engineering A241*, 211–215.

Charlie R.Brook (1979). *Heat treatment of Ferrous Alloys*, Mc Grow Hill, Washington, New York.

C. Herrera, R.L. Plaut and A.F. Padilha (2007). Microstructural Refinement during Annealing of Plastically Deformed Austenitic Stainless Steel, *Materials Science Forum*, Vol. 550, pp 423-428.

Conolly, T.F. and Copenhover, E.D (1972). *Bibliography of magnetic materials and tabulation of magnetic transition temperatures*, New York, I.F.I. Plenum Press.

D.H. Mesa, A. Torb, A. Sinatora, A.P. Tschiptschin (2003), *Wear* 255. pp 139.

D.R. Barraclough, D.J. Gooch (1985). *Materials Science Technology*. 1. Pp 961.

F. Mansfeld (1976). The Polarization Resistance Technique for Measuring Corrosion Currents, in: M.G.Fontana, R.W. Staehle (Eds.), *Advances in Corrosion Science and Technology*, Vol. 7, p. 163.

Florian Mansfeld (2005). Tafel slopes and corrosion rates obtained in the pre-Tafel region of polarization curves, *Corrosion Science*, Vol. 47, pp 3178–3186

Hasabe, M. and Nishizawa, T. (1978). *Applications of phase diagrams in metallurgy and ceramics*, Vol. 2, NBS Special Publication 496, pp.910-54, Washington, National Bureau of Standards.

Harvey J. Flitt, D. Paul Schweinsberg (2005). *Corrosion Science*, Volume 47, Issue 12, pp 3034–3052

H.S Khatak and Baldev Raj (2002). *Corrosion of Austenitic Stainless Steels*, Delhi Medical Association Road, New Delhi, India, p. 1.

Jee-Yong Park and Yong-Soo Park (2007). The effects of heat treatment parameters on corrosion resistance and phase transformations of 14Cr.3Mo martensitic stainless steel, Volumes 449-451, pp 1131-1134.

J.G. Gonzalez-Rodriguez, G. Bahena-Martinez, V.M. Salinas-Bravo (2000), *Material Letters*, Vol. 43, pp.208–214.

J. Ka'llqvist, H.-O. Andre'n (1999). Microanalysis of a stabilised austenitic stainless steel after long term ageing. *Materials Science and Engineering A270* p. 27–32.

J.L. Pandey, Inder Singh, M.N. Singh, (1997). Electrochemical corrosion behaviour of heat-treated AISI 304 austenitic stainless steel in inorganic acid mixture, *Anti-Corrosion Methods and Materials*, Vol. 44 Iss: 1, pp.6 – 9.

J.S. Dubey, S.A. Vadekar, J.K. Chakravatry (1998), *Journal of Nuclear Materials* 254, pp. 271–274.

K.P. Balan, A. Venugopal Reddy, D.S. Sarma (1999), *Met. Material Process*. Vol. 11. pp 61.

L. Colombier and J. Hochman (1967). *Stainless and Heat Resisting Steels*, Edward Arnold (Publishers) Ltd.

L.D. Barlow and M. Du Toit (2012). Effect of Austenitizing Heat Treatment on the Microstructure and Hardness of Martensitic Stainless Steel AISI 420. *Journal of Materials Engineering and Performance* vol. 21. p. 1327 – 1336.

Levin, L.A. (2002). *Deep-ocean life where oxygen is scarce: oxygen-deprived zones are common and might become more so with climate change. Here life hangs on, with some unusual adaptations*. *American Scientist*, 90(5): p. 436(9).

L.F. Alvarez, C. Garcia, V. Lopez (1994), *ISIJ Int.* 34. pp 516.

Liu Yu-rong, YE Dong, Yong Qi-long, SU Jie, Zhao Kun-yu, Jiang Wen (2011). Effect of Heat Treatment on Microstructure and Property of Cr13 Super Martensitic Stainless Steel. *Journal of Iron and Steel Research, International*, 18(11): 6C-66.

Maurer E. and Strauss B. (1920). *Kruppshe Monatsch*, p. 120

M.G. Fontana, N.D. Greene (1967), *Corrosion Engineering*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 51.

Muhammad Iqbal Qureshi and Mohammad Mujahid (2000). An Optimal Heat Treatment Cycle for a 26Cr, 2Mo Stainless Steel, *Materials Engineering and Performance*, Vol. 9, pp 261-264

Nasery Isfahany, H. Saghan, G. Borhani (2011). The effect of heat treatment on mechanical properties and corrosion behavior of AISI420 martensitic stainless steel, *Alloys and Compounds* , Vol. 509, pp. 3931-3936.

O. Vedat Akgfin, Mustafa Urgan, Ali Fuatakir (1995). The effect of heat treatment on corrosion behavior of laser surface melted 304L stainless steel. *Materials Science and Engineering A203*, pp. 324-331.

P. Atanda, A. Fatudimu and O.Oluwole (2010). Sensisation Study of Normalized 316L Stainless Steel, *Minerals and Materials Characterization & Engineering*, Vol. 9, 1, pp. 13-23.

P.M. Unterweiser, H.E. Boyer and J.J. Kubbs (1982). *American Society for Metals*, Metals Park, Ohio, p.401.

P.R.Rios, R.L. Plaut and A. F. Padilha (2006). *Steel Heat Treatment Handbook*, Second Edition, Metallurgy and Technologies, Japan. Taylor and Francis Group, LLC.

Rivlin, V.G. and Raynor, G.V. (1980). *International Metals Reviews*, 1, pp. 21-38

S. Salem (1993). Alloyed Steel Intended for Hot Rolling Mill Rolls, *Met. Sci. Heat Treatment*, 35(11), p 657–659.

Seifedine Kadry (2008). Corrosion Analysis of Stainless Steel, *Corrosion Analysis of Stainless Steel*, ISSN 1450-216X Vol.22 No.4, pp.508-516.

S. Downey II, P.N. Kalu, K. Han (2008). The effect of heat treatment on the microstructure stability of modified 316LN stainless steel. *Materials Science and Engineering A 480*, pp. 96–100.

Walter J. Sperko (2009). *Rust on Stainless Steel*, USA, P.E.Sperko Engineering Services, Inc.

Wei Du, Zhuang Chu, Cheng Bin Li and Xiang Deng (2011). Effect of Chemical Composition on Quenching Hardness of 1Cr17Ni2 Stainless Steel. *Advanced Materials Research* Vols. 311-313, pp 1008-1011.

Xin Liu (2012). The Influence of Heat Treatment on Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of Cr15 Super Martensitic Stainless Steel. *Advanced Materials Research* Vols. 393-395, pp 440-443.

Y. Ait Albrimi, A. Eddib, J. Douch, Y. Berghoute, M. Hamdani, R.M. Souto (2011), Electrochemical Behaviour of AISI 316 Austenitic Stainless Steel in Acidic Media Containing Chloride Ions, *International Journal of Electrochemical Science*, Vol. 6, pp 4614 – 4627.

Y.K. Song, L. Speckert, F. Mansfeld (2004), *Corrosion protection of different types of galvanized steel using treatments based on cerium salt solutions*, Research in Progress Symp., NACE, New Orleans, LA.

Yoon-Seok Choi, Jung-Gu Kim, Yong-Soo Park, Jee-Yong Park (2007). Austenitizing treatment influence on the electrochemical corrosion behavior of 0.3C–14Cr–3Mo martensitic stainless steel. *Materials Letters* 61. pp 244–247.

Yuli Lin, Chih-Chung Lin, Tsung-Hsien Tsai and Hong-Jen Lai (2008). Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of 0.63C-12.7Cr Martensitic Stainless Steel During Various Tempering Treatments. *Advanced Materials Research* Vols. 47-50, pp 274-277.

Z. Szlarska-Smialowska (1986). *Pitting Corrosion of Metals*, NACE, Houston, TX, 1986, pp. 69-97.