PROBABILISTIC BASED DESIGN FOR CONNECTING LINKS IN SINGLE POINT MOORING SYSTEM SUBJECTED TO DYNAMIC SPECTRAL FATIGUE LINE TENSION

YUGABALAN A/L KOOTHAYAN

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Structure)

> Faculty of Civil Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > NOVEMBER 2005

To my beloved family.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The research journey has added an unforgettable knowledge gaining experience in my life. Through the journey, I have come in contact with many researchers, academicians and practitioners, whom have commented, suggested and criticised my research work. I thank them all.

I would also take this opportunity to acknowledge the contributions of many people towards this research work. A big appreciation and 'thank you', my research supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Nordin Yahaya, for his dedicated knowledge and guidance path.

A special thanks to Mr. Torfinn Akselsen of APL Asia Sdn. Bhd. for sharing his knowledge, on and off his working hours. Appreciation is also extended to my employer APL Asia Sdn. Bhd. for acknowledging my research work and assisting with the facilities for my research work.

My heartfelt gratefulness to all my family members for their support, understanding and ever lasting love through this research study.

ABSTRACT

Structural reliability theory has been applied to design structural members. A major goal is to achieve a balance between safety and cost (or weight) for a given safety level. It is therefore desirable that optimum design is achieved through probabilistic based design procedures. This study is concerned with optimum design of connecting links frequently found in a single point mooring system, based on probabilistic based design at the component level. Single point mooring systems are subjected to fatigue loading due to environment conditions. The study considered a single point mooring system located in Volve Field in the North Sea, offshore Norway. With the existing deterministic design basis, the probabilistic based design is performed using the design parameters obtained from the design codes used in the deterministic design. The results of probabilistic based design output are checked against the deterministic based design output. The probabilistic based results are obtained from Monte Carlo simulation where the basic input in the Transfer Function value which was obtained from deterministic Finite Element Analysis. As a conclusion, the fatigue life values for the connecting links obtained are compared based on the design method. Through this research study, it has been proved that an economical and safe structure can be designed using probabilistic design method, with respect to fatigue loading. This method can be implemented in the engineering stage.

ABSTRAK

Teori keboleharapan telah diaplikasi untuk merekabentuk strucktur. Matlamat utama adalah untuk mencapai keseimbangan diantara keselamatan dan kos. Oleh itu, secara umumnya, rekabentuk optimum boleh dicapai menerusi prinsip rekabentuk keboleharapan. Objektif utama penyelidikan ini adalah untuk merekabentuk secara optimum penyambung yang terdapat dalam sistem single point mooring, dengan menggunakan prinsip rekabentuk keboleharapan di peringkat komponen. Sistem single point mooring terdedah kepada beban lesu akibat keadaan persekitaran. Penyelidikan ini tertumpu kepada sistem single point mooring yang terletak di sektor Volve di North Sea, pesisir Norway. Dengan berpandukan pelan rekabentuk konvensional, rekabentuk keboleharapan dibuat dengan menggunakan maklumat daripada kod-kod amalan yang diguna untuk merekabentuk struktur dengan kaedah biasa. Keputusan daripada rekabentuk keboleharapan dibandingkan dengan keputusan daripada rekabentuk biasa. Keputusan rekabentuk keboleharapan diperolehi menerusi simulasi Monte Carlo dimana input utama dalam simulasi ini adalah nilai Fungsi Pemindah, yang diperoleh daripada Analisa Elemen Terhingga. Sebagai kesimpulannya, hayat lesu penyambung yang diperolehi dibandingkan di antara kaedah rekabentuk biasa dan kaedah rekabentuk keboleharapan. Menerusi penyelidikan ini, adalah terbukti bahawa, struktur yang ekonomi and selamat dapat direkabentuk dengan menggunakan prinsip rekabentuk keboleharapan, sekiranya struktur tersebut terdedah kepada beban lesu. Aplikasi kaedah rekabentuk keboleharapan boleh diaplikasikan di peringkat kejuruteraan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1

TITLE

PAGE

| DEC | LARAT | ION | ii |
|------|--|-------------------------------------|------|
| DED | ICATIO | DN | iii |
| ACK | NOWL | EDGEMENTS | iv |
| ABS | TRACT | | v |
| ABS | Background of Problem 1.2.1 Current World Economy 1.2.1.1 Crude Price 1.2.1.2 Construction Material Price 1.2.2 Safety of Structures 2.3 Development of Marginal Fields 1.3 Objective of Study | vi | |
| ТАВ | LE OF | CONTENTS | vii |
| LIST | OF TA | BLES | xi |
| LIST | OF FIG | GURES | xiii |
| LIST | OF AB | BREVATIONS | xvi |
| LIST | OF AP | PENDICES | xix |
| | | | 1 |
| 1.1 | Gener | al Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2 | Backg | round of Problem | 2 |
| | 1.2.1 | Current World Economy | 2 |
| | | 1.2.1.1 Crude Price | 3 |
| | | 1.2.1.2 Construction Material Price | 3 |
| | 1.2.2 | Safety of Structures | 4 |
| | 1.2.3 | Development of Marginal Fields | 5 |
| 1.3 | Objec | tive of Study | 5 |
| 1.4 | Scope | of Study | 6 |
| | | | |

| 1.4.1 | Introduction | 6 |
|-------|-------------------------------|---|
| 1.4.2 | Navion Saga, Submerged Turret | 8 |
| | Loading (STL) and Volve Field | |

| | 1.4.3 | Fatigue Life Computation | 9 |
|------|---------|-------------------------------------|----|
| 1.5 | Import | ance of Study | 9 |
| | | | |
| LITE | RATUR | RE REVIEW | 11 |
| 2.1 | Curren | t Global Oil and Gas Industry | 11 |
| 2.2 | Oil and | l Gas Industry in Malaysia | 12 |
| 2.3 | Global | Outlook on Offshore Structures | 19 |
| 2.4 | Fatigue | e Life Assessment for Offshore | 21 |
| | Structu | ires | |
| | 2.4.1 | Deterministic Based Design | 21 |
| | 2.4.2 | Probabilistic Based Design | 23 |
| 2.5 | Fatigue | e Analysis | 23 |
| | 2.5.1 | Introduction | 23 |
| | 2.5.2 | Fatigue Loading | 26 |
| | 2.5.3 | Application of Miner's Rule | 27 |
| 2.6 | Reliab | ility Engineering | 29 |
| | 2.6.1 | Introduction | 29 |
| | 2.6.2 | Concept and Reliability Evaluation | 30 |
| | 2.6.3 | Continuous Probability Distribution | 31 |
| | 2.6.4 | Goodness-of-Fit Test | 33 |
| | 2.6.5 | Reliability Method | 33 |
| | 2.6.6 | Monte Carlo Simulation | 35 |
| | 2.6.7 | Simulation Procedure | 35 |
| 2.7 | Previo | us Studies | 36 |
| | | | |
| RESE | ARCH | METHODOLOGY | 41 |
| 3.1 | Genera | ıl | 41 |
| 3.2 | Descrip | otion of Connecting Link | 41 |
| | 3.2.1 | Main Function | 41 |
| | 3.2.2 | Geometry and Fabrication Tolerance | 43 |
| | 3.2.3 | Material Properties | 45 |
| | 3.2.4 | Corrosion Protection | 46 |
| | 3.2.5 | Drawings | 46 |

viii

| 3.3 | Design | n Principles of Finite Element (FE) | 47 |
|------|--------|-------------------------------------|----|
| | Analy | sis | |
| | 3.3.1 | Design Principles and Acceptance | 47 |
| | | Criteria | |
| | 3.3.2 | Design by Consequence Classes | 48 |
| | 3.3.3 | Design Load | 50 |
| 3.4 | Fatigu | e Limit State (FLS) | 53 |
| | 3.4.1 | General | 53 |
| | 3.4.2 | Damage Calculation | 53 |
| | 3.4.3 | Fatigue Load Spectra | 56 |
| 3.5 | Analy | sis Method and Modeling | 59 |
| | 3.5.1 | Finite Element (FE) Analysis | 59 |
| | 3.5.2 | Geometry | 60 |
| | 3.5.3 | Boundary Condition | 61 |
| | 3.5.4 | Element Selection | 62 |
| | | 3.5.4.1 SOLID95 Element | 62 |
| | | 3.5.4.2 SOLID92 Element | 63 |
| | | 3.5.4.3 CONTA174 Element | 63 |
| | | 3.5.4.4 TARGE170 Element | 64 |
| | 3.5.5 | Load | 65 |
| | 3.5.6 | Material | 68 |
| 3.6 | Monte | e Carlo Simulation | 69 |
| | 3.6.1 | General | 69 |
| | 3.6.2 | Application of Monte Carlo | 69 |
| | | Simulation | |
| 3.7 | Summ | nary of Research Methodology | 73 |
| | | | |
| RESU | LT AN | ID FINDINGS | 75 |
| 4.1 | Gener | al | 75 |
| 4.2 | Deterr | ninistic Based Results | 75 |
| | 4.2.1 | Finite Element Analysis | 76 |
| | 4.2.2 | Deterministic Based Fatigue Life | 82 |
| | | Computation | |
| 4.3 | Reliab | vility Based Results | 83 |

4

| | | 4.3.1 Random Number Cycles | 84 |
|---------|------|--------------------------------------|-----|
| | | 4.3.2 Reliability Based Fatigue Life | 84 |
| | | Computation | |
| | | 4.3.3 Goodness-of-Fit Test | 97 |
| | | | |
| 5 | DISC | CUSSION AND RECOMMENDATION | 104 |
| | 5.1 | General | 104 |
| | 5.2 | Discussion on Deterministic Based | 104 |
| | | Assessment | |
| | 5.3 | Discussion on Reliability Based | 110 |
| | | Assessment | |
| | 5.4 | Comparison Between Reliability and | 113 |
| | | Deterministic Based Assessments | |
| | 5.5 | Validation of Results | 117 |
| 6 | CON | ICLUSION | 121 |
| | 6.1 | Conclusion | 121 |
| | 6.2 | Further Work | 122 |
| REFEREN | ICE | | 123 |
| APPENDI | XA | | 130 |
| APPENDI | X B1 | | 132 |
| APPENDI | X B2 | | 134 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------|--|------|
| 2.1 | Malaysia's estimated recoverable reserves of crude oil | 17 |
| 2.2 | Malaysia's estimated recoverable non-associated gas reserves | 18 |
| 2.3 | Original areas operated by petroleum companies After 1974 | 18 |
| 2.4 | Production system worldwide up to June 2004 | 20 |
| 2.5 | New discoveries up to June 2004 | 20 |
| 2.6 | Global offshore reserves on stream by water depth | 21 |
| | up to June 2004 | |
| 2.7 | S-N curves' details in sea water with cathodic | 25 |
| | protection | |
| 2.8 | Comparison of reliability indices between | 37 |
| | reliability-based designs and minimum weight | |
| | design | |
| 3.1 | Dimensions of mooring line segments | 44 |
| 3.2 | Material properties for the connecting link | 45 |
| | assembly | |
| 3.3 | Partial safety factor for ULS condition | 49 |
| 3.4 | Partial safety factor for ALS condition | 49 |
| 3.5 | Design load summary | 52 |
| 3.6 | Typical statistical input parameters for Monte Carlo | 70 |
| | simulation | |
| 4.1 | Summary of FEA result | 82 |

| 4.2 | Summary of fatigue life computation based on | 83 |
|-----|--|-----|
| | deterministic method | |
| 4.3 | Accuracy level of random number cycles for | 84 |
| | reliability method | |
| 4.4 | Summary of fatigue life computation based on | 97 |
| | reliability method | |
| 4.5 | Summary of result for goodness-of-fit test using | 103 |
| | chi square method | |
| 5.1 | Summary of recommended deterministic factor of | 114 |
| | safety | |
| B-1 | Table of Standard Normal Distribution | 132 |
| B-2 | Chi-Square Table | 134 |
| | | |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO | . TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------|---|------|
| 2.1 | Peninsular Malaysia Operations – oil and gas | 15 |
| | fields | |
| 2.2 | East Malaysia Operations – oil and gas | 16 |
| | fields | |
| 2.3 | Construction of S-N curve | 24 |
| 2.4 | S-N curves in sea water with cathodic protection | 25 |
| 3.1 | Dynamic motion of floating vessel covering | 42 |
| | translation (surge, heave and sway) and rotation | |
| | (pitch, yaw and roll) | |
| 3.2 | Moring line identification for Volve Field STL | 43 |
| | system | |
| 3.3 | Typical mooring line assembly for Volve Field | 44 |
| | STL system | |
| 3.4 | Turret table connection with connecting link | 47 |
| 3.5 | Mooring line tension for Volve Field | 52 |
| 3.6 | Chain annual damage | 57 |
| 3.7 | Wire annual damage | 58 |
| 3.8 | Fatigue load spectra for Mooring Line 4 | 58 |
| 3.9 | Line tension on connecting link with variation of | 60 |
| | slip angle | |
| 3.10 | Application of boundary condition on the FE | 61 |
| | model | |
| 3.11 | SOLID95 geometry | 62 |
| 3.12 | SOLID92 geometry | 63 |
| 3.13 | CONTA174 geometry | 64 |

| 3.14 | TARGE174 geometry | 65 |
|------|--|----|
| 3.15 | Cosine distributed pressure | 66 |
| 3.16 | Application of cosine distributed pressure on FE | 68 |
| | model | |
| 3.17 | Distribution functions | 70 |
| 3.18 | Example of histogram plot | 72 |
| 4.1 | Maximum Principal Stress with slip angle of 0° | 76 |
| 4.2 | Maximum Principal Stress with slip angle of 1° | 77 |
| 4.3 | Maximum Principal Stress with slip angle of 2° | 77 |
| 4.4 | Maximum Principal Stress with slip angle of 3° | 78 |
| 4.5 | Maximum Principal Stress with slip angle of 4° | 78 |
| 4.6 | Maximum Principal Stress with slip angle of 5° | 79 |
| 4.7 | Maximum Principal Stress with slip angle of 6° | 79 |
| 4.8 | Maximum Principal Stress with slip angle of 7° | 80 |
| 4.9 | Maximum Principal Stress with slip angle of 8° | 80 |
| 4.10 | Maximum Principal Stress with slip angle of 9° | 81 |
| 4.11 | Maximum Principal Stress with slip angle of 10° | 81 |
| 4.12 | Histogram with curved fitting at 0° | 85 |
| 4.13 | Histogram with curved fitting at 1° | 86 |
| 4.14 | Histogram with curved fitting at 2° | 86 |
| 4.15 | Histogram with curved fitting at 3° | 87 |
| 4.16 | Histogram with curved fitting at 4° | 87 |
| 4.17 | Histogram with curved fitting at 5° | 88 |
| 4.18 | Histogram with curved fitting at 6° | 88 |
| 4.19 | Histogram with curved fitting at 7° | 89 |
| 4.20 | Histogram with curved fitting at 8° | 89 |
| 4.21 | Histogram with curved fitting at 9° | 90 |
| 4.22 | Histogram with curved fitting at 10° | 90 |
| 4.23 | Monte Carlo Simulation: Probability of Failure | 91 |
| | at 0° | |
| 4.24 | Monte Carlo Simulation: Probability of Failure | 91 |
| | at 1° | |
| 4.25 | Monte Carlo Simulation: Probability of Failure | 92 |
| | at 2° | |

| 4.26 | Monte Carlo Simulation: Probability of Failure at 3° | 92 |
|------|--|-----|
| 4.27 | Monte Carlo Simulation: Probability of Failure at 4° | 93 |
| 4.28 | Monte Carlo Simulation: Probability of Failure at 5° | 93 |
| 4.29 | Monte Carlo Simulation: Probability of Failure at 6° | 94 |
| 4.30 | Monte Carlo Simulation: Probability of Failure at 7° | 94 |
| 4.31 | Monte Carlo Simulation: Probability of Failure at 8° | 95 |
| 4.32 | Monte Carlo Simulation: Probability of Failure at 9° | 95 |
| 4.33 | Monte Carlo Simulation: Probability of Failure at 10° | 96 |
| 4.34 | Histogram construction for chi-square test at 0° | 98 |
| 4.35 | Histogram construction for chi-square test at 1° | 98 |
| 4.36 | Histogram construction for chi-square test at 2° | 99 |
| 4.37 | Histogram construction for chi-square test at 3° | 99 |
| 4.38 | Histogram construction for chi-square test at 4° | 100 |
| 4.39 | Histogram construction for chi-square test at 5° | 100 |
| 4.40 | Histogram construction for chi-square test at 6° | 101 |
| 4.41 | Histogram construction for chi-square test at 7° | 101 |
| 4.42 | Histogram construction for chi-square test at 8° | 102 |
| 4.43 | Histogram construction for chi-square test at 9° | 102 |
| 4.44 | Histogram construction for chi-square test at 10° | 103 |
| 5.1 | Effects of Maximum Principal Stress with | 105 |
| | variation of slip angle | |
| 5.2 | Effects of displacement with variation of slip angle | 106 |
| 5.3 | Effects of Transfer Function with variation of slip | 107 |
| | angle | |
| 5.4 | Effects of Transfer Function with variation of | 107 |
| | Maximum Principal Stress | |

| 5.5 | Effects of Predicted Fatigue Life and fatigue life | 109 |
|------|---|-----|
| | limit with variation of slip angle | |
| 5.6 | Effects of Predicted Fatigue Life and fatigue life | 109 |
| | limit with variation of Transfer Function | |
| 5.7 | Effects of Mean Fatigue Life over variation of | 111 |
| | slip angle | |
| 5.8 | Effects of Standard Deviation for fatigue life with | 112 |
| | variation of slip angle | |
| 5.9 | Effects of Probability of Failure and Probability | 113 |
| | of Failure Limit with variation of slip angle | |
| 5.10 | Mean Fatigue Life and Predicted Fatigue Life over | 114 |
| | variation of slip angle | |
| 5.11 | Utilisation of Mean Fatigue Life and Predicted | 117 |
| | Fatigue Life over variation of slip angle | |
| 5.12 | Validation of Predicted Fatigue Life and Mean | 118 |
| | Fatigue Life over iteration of random number cycles | 5 |
| 5.13 | Validation on effects of computational time with | 119 |
| | variation of random number cycles | |
| A-1 | Connecting link details | 130 |
| A-2 | Connecting link assembly | 130 |
| | | |

LIST OF ABBREVATIONS

| μ_x | - | mean value |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| σ_{x} | - | standard deviation |
| $\Delta \sigma_{i}$ | - | stress range in stress block i |
| $\sigma_{\rm L}$ | - | local peak stress |
| γ | - | Poisson ratio |
| ρ | - | density |
| $\gamma_{\rm mean}$ | - | partial safety factor on mean tension |
| $\gamma_{dynamic}$ | - | partial safety factor on dynamic tension |
| $\gamma_{\rm m}$ | - | material factor |
| β | - | slip angle |
| n _i | - | applied number of cycles |
| N_i | - | the predicted number of cycles of failure under stress |
| | | range $\Delta \sigma_i$ |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{f}}$ | - | probability of failure |
| G() | - | limit state function |
| D | - | annual fatigue damage |
| S | - | load |
| R | - | resistance |
| Xi | - | random variable |
| Е | - | modulus of elasticity |
| G | - | shear modulus |
| S_c | - | characteristic strength |
| T_{c-mean} | - | mean tension |
| $T_{c-dynamic}$ | - | dynamic tension |
| \overline{a}_1 | - | a constant relating to the S-N curve for N 10^6 |

| \overline{a}_2 | - | a constant relating to the S-N curve for $N > 10^6$ | |
|-------------------|---|---|--|
| \mathbf{m}_1 | - | the inverse slope of the S-N curve for N 10^6 | |
| m ₂ | - | the inverse slope of the S-N curve for $N > 10^6$ | |
| t | - | thickness through which the potential fatigue crack | |
| | | will grow | |
| t _{ref} | - | reference thickness | |
| k | - | thickness exponent on fatigue strength | |
| F | - | external mooring force | |
| [TF] _N | - | nominal transfer function | |
| Var(x) | - | variance | |
| ABS | - | American Bureau of Shipping | |
| ALS | - | accidental limit state | |
| APL | - | Advanced Production and Loading | |
| CDF | - | cumulative distribution function | |
| COV | - | coefficient of variance | |
| DNV | - | Det Norske Veritas | |
| FDF | - | fatigue design factor | |
| FEA | - | finite element analysis | |
| FORM | - | First Order Second Moment | |
| FSO | - | floating storage and offloading | |
| MBL | - | minimum breaking load | |
| PDF | - | probability distribution function | |
| PFL | - | predicted fatigue life | |
| STL | - | Submerged Turret Loading | |
| SCF | - | stress concentration factor | |
| TF | - | transfer function | |
| ULS | - | ultimate limit state | |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX | TITLE | PAGE |
|----------|---------------------------------------|------|
| | | |
| А | Connecting link drawings | 129 |
| B1 | Table of Standard Normal Distribution | 131 |
| B2 | Table of Standard Chi-Square Test | 133 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General Introduction

Efforts to engineer efficient design methodologies for floating and vessel based offshore structures are one of the greatest challenge for engineers and designers the world over. It has also been a great interest for the oil and gas industry for many years. The current practices on offshore structural design method are largely based on the principle of deterministic methodologies. As a result, the estimation of structural member strength becomes very conservative and uneconomical. Therefore, this research is focused on the development of reliability based optimum design for floating and vessel based offshore structures. Although fixed offshore structures had been a major player in most coastal and shallow water zone of the world, the trend is now is moving towards mobility and reusability of the offshore structures, due to enormous development of marginal fields. As an offshore production system, floating and vessel based offshore structures are also very economical and suitable in the Malaysian shallow waters. This alternative design method will be a supplement method that can be implemented by engineers, owners and certifying bodies to verify the level of optimization on material and cost that the initial design has contributed. Maintaining high reliability of these floating and vessel based offshore structures have become one of the large financial assets for the oil companies, thus an economical design method is required in producing a more

economical structures. The main objective of this research is to develop a comparison design model based on reliability theory, against actual existing deterministic design output.

1.2 Background of Problem

The current trend in the oil and gas industry has evoked more vessel based offshore structures to be developed as Minimal Facilities Mobile Offshore Units (MFMOU). MFMOU concept is seen as one of the most appropriate alternative to engineer any offshore platforms (fixed or floating) on the design stage, by optimization using reliability method. In this study, the optimization using reliability method was motivated by factors like current world economy, safety of structures, and the development of marginal fields.

1.2.1 Current World Economy

The unstable current world economy promises little established profit margin to the oil and gas industry. This is due to the price increase of crude oil and construction materials, particularly steel. Deterministic method of designing offshore platforms would create members with uneconomical sizes, thus contributing to additional weight and cost (Lee, 1990). In addition to that, to obtain and process crude oil or crude gas with uncertain return price conditions is considered a business risk (Offshore Engineer, 2004).

1.2.1.1 Crude Price

Underlying the rising cost of oil in recent years has been strong global demand. The International Energy Agency, based in Paris, reported that the world's thirst for oil would be even greater in the rest of 2004 and in 2005 than it previously forecast, increasing pressure on producers to step up output at a time when rising oil prices might slow world economic recovery (Offshore Engineer, 2004).

World energy markets are so concerned about strong demand and possible disruptions of supplies oil prices. Even though Saudi Arabia announced it had the capacity to pump 1.3 million more barrels a day immediately if needed. Low-sulfur crude oil for delivery in October 2004 settled at USD 44.80 a barrel in the United States (Offshore Engineer, 2004). It is reported that the crude oil current price as of November 2005 is approximately USD 53.18 in the United States (Offshore Engineer, 2005)

1.2.1.2 Construction Material Price

With global steel production and consumption running at all-time record highs, steel prices around the world have been showing prodigious gains, with US hot rolled coil at all-time highs (Offshore Engineer, 2004). The US transaction price for hot rolled steel coil is at over USD 700 per ton - a figure that is more than USD 200 higher than the previous record of USD 495 per ton recorded in the third quarter 1988. Conjoined economic recovery in Japan, Europe and US lies behind the sensational increases that have been seen in global steel prices. Additional factors are surging infrastructure and business developments in China, India and some other countries. The most extreme example of steel price increases has been seen in the US, which has seen quite unheard of gains in prices as steel mills have successfully striven to pass on increases in costs for raw materials, energy and transport to their customers. US coil prices have shot up by more than 125 % in the past 12 months. Since they touched their low point of USD 225 per ton in the third quarter of 2001, coil prices have advanced by a remarkable 215 % (Offshore Engineer, 2004). A proportion is the result of a reduction in import competition. The narrowing of the cost advantage traditionally held by mini-mill sheet producers has resulted in the rise in prices for scrap and the shortage of alternative furnace feeds such as pig iron.

1.2.2 Safety of Structures

Structural reliability theory has been applied to design structural components. A major goal is to achieve a balance between safety and cost (or weight) for a given safety level. It is therefore desirable that the optimum design is achieved through reliability-based procedures.

This study is concerned with the optimum design of connecting link, frequently found in vessel based offshore loading and production system linked to a mooring system, and is based on reliability at the component level. The allowable reliability index and some design requirements drawn from the DNV Recommended Practice RP-C203 – Fatigue Strength Analysis of Offshore Steel Structures (October 2003) design code is used as guidance and main reference. The optimum design derived from a series of fatigue life computation formulae which are used for the design of critical connecting link is shown on a variety of optimum solutions for similar components. The optimum design based on reliability theory is also compared from a safety view point with optimum designs derived by deterministic methods for which there are no safety constraints.

1.2.3 Development of Marginal Field

As a general rule of marginal field development, the budget must be closely controlled. Much has been made in recent years of technologies designed to deliver more oil and gas for less expense. Smaller operators developing marginal fields tend to use what they call as high end technologywith the right price. Shaving costs, of course, is the key to making the most of marginal properties. This includes developing new offshore production system like the sub sea trees and the MFMOU.

The concept of sub sea trees was created as a sort of minimalist approach to field development in which the surface facilities required for conventional dry tree completions are eliminated in order to reduce capital expenditures and make marginal fields more economical (Torgier, Efren, and Wang, 2004). Like the MFMOU, new offshore structures (fixed and floating) can be designed with minimal cost and weight using the probabilistic based design. The least weight platforms would be sought after as the core concept of marginal field is engineer a production facility with the stipulated duration or time frame (Torgier, Efren, and Wang, 2004). For example, based on the rate of production, a typical marginal field would have reserves lasting for about five to ten years. And it is not feasible to design and built an offshore structure similar of the fields with reserves lasting more than twenty years.

1.3 Objective of Study

This research study is of concerned with the optimum design of critical connecting link of the offshore loading system of FSO Navion Saga, based on reliability theory. Similar design requirements drawn from previously referred DNV Recommended Practice RP-C203 – Fatigue Strength Analysis of Offshore Steel Structures (October 2003) is made as the parametric reference to be considered in the optimization procedure. The main objective of this research study is stated below:

The objective of this research study is to develop a comparison design model based on reliability theory, against actual existing design output, to determine the level of on cost and structural safety achieved.

In this research study the cost is composed of structural cost and the expected failure cost. The structural cost was assumed to be proportional to the structural weight. This may not, however, be true in real structures, where the structural cost should include all costs in construction as well as design for a more complete solution. In spite of this, it is expected that the reliability-based optimization design is likely to yield a design with less total cost, while being more uniformly safe, than the rule-based design.

1.4 Scope of Study

1.4.1 Introduction

The scope of study covered the comparison between deterministic design results and probabilistic design results. In particular, the study considered the fatigue life computation for critical connecting link of the offshore loading system of FSO Navion Saga. The deterministic design results of the connecting link for the system was produced by Advanced Production and Loading (APL) Asia Sdn. Bhd., under the following document title:

- 1. 1228-APL-N-FE-0003: Turret Assembly Design Brief
- 2. 1228-APL-W-RA-0008: Marine Load Summary
- 3. 1228-APL-N-CA-0008: Turret Connection Fatigue Analysis

The structural reliability based optimum design methodology is applied to assess the FLF and the PFL for critical connecting link through calculated probability of failure. All parameters required in failure model, which are represented as the statistical probability distributions will be referred to the following design codes:

- DNV Recommended Practice RP-C203 Fatigue Strength Analysis of Offshore Steel Structures (October 2003)
- API Recommended Practice 2A-WSD (RP 2A-WSD) Recommended Practice for Planning, Designing and Constructing Fixed Offshore Platforms – Working Stress Design (December 2000)
- BS 5400 : Steel, Concrete and Composite Bridges, Part 10 : Code of Practice for Fatigue, 1980

ANSYS Structural Version 9.0, a FEA package software is utilized to determine local peak stresses in the connecting link model. A linear static analysis is solved to investigate the structural utilization. Besides that, a transfer function is obtained from the stress plot results.

MATLAB Release 7.0.4 programming software is utilized to run a simulation program based on the Monte Carlo simulation procedure in order to calculate the probability of failure. In the final stage, a reliability-based optimum design's result is compared to the deterministic design methodology's results. Through out the research, Microsoft Excel spreadsheets will also be used to conduct minor computational tasks.

1.4.2 Navion Saga, Submerged Turret Loading (STL) and Volve Field

Owned fully by Statoil of Norway, The Volve field is situated in the North Sea approximately 200 km west of Stavanger, Norway as a part of the Sleipner PL046 licence. The water depth at the field is 90.5 meters.

The Volve Field will be developed from a Maersk rig of the XL type equipped with production facilities. The stabilised crude oil will be exported via a flexible flow line to the Floating, Storage and Offloading (FSO), Navion Saga for temporary storage. This is done through the STL System provided by APL. This STL system provides a mooring system for the FSO which allow the ship to weathervane with no restrictions, while loading oil through the flow line in the buoy. The FSO will be permanently moored.

The oil will be evacuated from the FSO to tandem moored shuttle tankers, which will further transport the crude oil to the final market place. The FSO is considered a passive vessel, thus no thrusters available for positioning.

The facilities shall be designed to stay on location and maintain production in all environmental conditions up to the 100 year return period without causing damage to any part of the system. The design life for the STL System shall be 10 years.

1.4.3 Fatigue Life Computation

A fatigue life analysis is carried out for the critical connecting link. All these components connect the mooring line to the STL turret structure. These structural components are examined with respect to fatigue durability based on the local peak stresses.

The load spectrum is determined from time series simulations and introduced to the mooring lines. The hot spots (HS) are obtained from the FEA stress plots. The main input to the fatigue life computation is however, given by Transfer Function (TF), defined as the ratio between the maximum principal stress and the corresponding external force.

The fatigue life is predicted by the S-N approach using the Miner linear summation rule for the damage contribution.

The shortest allowable PFL is 100 years, corresponding to FDF of 10 for Target Service Life (TSL) of 10 years.

1.5 Importance of Study

This research study will show an alternative design method using the reliability based optimum design. As mentioned earlier, the study will emphasize in critical connecting link component of the STL system that will be installed within the hull of Navion Saga. The proposed reliability-based optimum design would offer a variety of approach from data statistical analysis, correction method for the errors

of the critical connecting link to be designed. The findings from this research are capable in reducing the uncertainties associated with structural failures, enhancing structural strength and improving cost of vessel based offshore structures.

Besides that, the reliability based design approach, and the vessel based offshore structures' potential growth in the near future can be implemented in Malaysia. The proven weathervane capability would make this a cost effective offshore production and loading system, during the monsoon season in offshore Peninsular Malaysia. The flexibility in shallow and deep water can be fully exploited when mobilization is critical. This application is suitable in the Malaysian waters of Sabah and Sarawak.

A probabilistic design method that has proved to be more economical and safe could be established, by using appropriate uncertainty parameters from reliable resources to compute fatigue life estimation for the critical connecting link.

6.2 Further Work

Further research study can be carried out to enhance the findings of this research. The scope of research under structural fatigue reliability is a broad topic. Therefore, several suggestions can be made for the research work in the future.

- 1. To establish and determine the reliability parameters (variables) for engineering and construction based material, prone to fatigue failure.
- 2. Strain based fatigue assessment using probabilistic method.
- 3. Fatigue crack propagation using probabilistic method, covering aspects of fracture mechanics.

REFERENCES

Abraham, B (1999). "Mengenali Petronas-Kemajuan 25 Tahun Bersama Rakyat Untuk Negara." Petroliam Nasional Berhad (PETRONAS).

Ahammed, M (1998). "Probabilistic Estimation of Remaining Life of a Pipeline in the Presence of Active Corrosion Defects". International Journal of Pressure Vessels and Piping.

APL (Advanced Production and Loading) (2005). "1228-APL-N-FE-0003: Turret Assembly – Design Report". Arendal, Norway.

APL (Advanced Production and Loading) (2005). "1228-APL-W-RA-0008: Marine Load Summary Report". Arendal, Norway.

APL (Advanced Production and Loading) (2005). "1228-APL-N-CA-0008: Turret Connection – Fatigue Analysis". Arendal, Norway

APL (Advanced Production and Loading) (2005). "1228-APL-N-CA-0007: Turret Connection – Strength Analysis". Arendal, Norway

Arief, A and Wells, R (1985). "A Report on the Malaysian Petroleum Industry". Australia: Rosecons East Balmain N.S.W.

Bailey, SF and Rolf, B (1999). "Site Specific Probability Distribution of Extreme Traffic Action Effects". Probabilistic Engineering Mechanics.

Bailey, SF (2000). "Basic Principals of Load Models for the Structural Safety Evaluation of Existing Road Bridges". Ecole Polytechnique Federale De Lausanne: PhD Thesis.

Bryan, FJ (1991). "Randomization and Monte Carlo Methods in Biology". London: Chapman and Hall.

Carter, ADS (1986). "Mechanical Reliability, 2nd Edition". Macmillan, London.

Castillo, E (1998). "Extreme Value Theory in Engineering". San Diego, California: Academic Press Inc.

Castillo, E (1993). "Extreme in Engineering Application". Gaithersburg Maryland: Proceedings of the Conference on Extreme Value Theory and Applications.

Chen, D and Martin, P (1988). "A Design Approach to Fatigue Reliability". ICOSSAR89, San Francisco.

Clayton, AM (1982). "Assessment of UKOSRP Crack Growth Data to Investigate the Remaining Life of Offshore Structures After Inspection". UKAKEA, Northern Division Report.

Das, PK and Frieze, PA (1982). "Application of an Advanced Level-II Reliability Analysis Procedure to the Safety Assessment of Stiffened Cylinders". Department of Naval Architect and Ocean Engineering, University of Glasgow Report.

DNV (Det Norske Veritas) (2001). "Recommended Practice RP-C203 Fatigue Strength Analysis of Offshore Steel Structures". Oslo, Norway.

DNV (Det Norske Veritas) (2000). "Offshore Standard DNV-OS-C101 Design of Offshore Steel Structures, General (LRFD Method)". Oslo, Norway.

DNV (Det Norske Veritas) (2004). "Offshore Standard DNV-OS-E301 Position Mooring". Oslo, Norway.

Dodson, B (1994). "Weibull Analysis". ASCQC Quality Press.

Dower, WD and Connolly, M (1985). "Fatigue Fracture Mechanics Assessment of Tubular Welded Y and K Joints". Final Report to SERC.

Dower, WD and Dharmavasan, D (1982). "Fatigue and Fracture Mechanics Analysis of T and Y Joints". OTC Conference, Houston.

EIA (2000). "Annual Report-Country Information on Malaysia". United States of America: Energy Information Administration.

Fang, HC and Jia, XL (1990). "A Novel Fatigue Life Estimation Method of Great Water Depths Structure Members Using Damage Mechanics". Proceedings of the First Pacific/Asia Offshore Mechanics Symposium, Seoul.

Faulkner, D and Warwick, DM (1986). "Predicting the Strength of Welded Stiffened Cylinders". Proceedings of International Symposium on Developments in Deep Waters, RINA, London.

Frangopol, DM (1985). "Structural Optimization Using Reliability Concepts". J. Struct. Engg., ASCE.

Frost, NE, Marsh, KJ and Pook, IP (1984). "Metal Fatigue". Oxford University Press.

Galambos, J (1993). "ExtremeValue Theory for Applications". Gaithersburg Maryland: Proceedings of the Conference on Extreme Value Theory and Applications.

Goel, L, Liang, X, Ou, Y (1999). "Monte-Carlo Simulation-Based Customer Service Reliability Assessment". Electric Power System Research.

Goh, TK (2000). "RBI For Platform Structures" Presentation in PRSS-DNV Strategic Technical Alliance, Operational Cost Reduction and Technical Risk Management. Haldar, A and Mahadevan, S (2000a). "Reliability Assessment Using Stochastic Finite Element Analysis". John Wiley, New York.

Haldar, A and Mahadevan, S (2000b). "Probability, Reliability and Statistical Methods in Engineering Design". John Wiley, New York.

Hamilton, WC (1964). "Statistics in Physical Science, Estimation, Hypothesis Testing and Least Squares". New York: The Ronald Press Company.

Kececioglu, DG, Chester, LB and Gardner, EO (1984). "Sequential Cumulative Fatigue Reliability". Annals of the Reliability and Maintainability Symposium, Los Angeles.

Kececioglu, DB and Chester, LB (1986). "Combined Axial Stress Fatigue Reliability for AISI 4130 and 4340 Steels". Journal of Engineering for Industry, Transactions of ASME.

Lee, JS (1990). "Optimum Design of Stiffened Cylinders Based on Reliability". OTC Conference, Houston.

Lewis, EE (1994). "Introduction to Reliability Engineering", 2nd Edition: John Willey and Sons Inc.

Machida, S, Matoba, M and Hagiwara, Y (1991). "Japanese Research Activities on The Structural Integrity and Reliability of Offshore Structures Particularly for Fatigue and Fracture". International Conference on Evaluation on Materials, Iron and Steel Institute of Japan.

Melchers, RE (1999). "Structural Reliability Analysis and Prediction" 2nd Edition, Chichester, UK: Ellis Herwood.

Melchers, RE (2000). "Discussion on strategies and Value of Risk Based Structural Safety Analysis". *Structural Safety*.

Niu, X, Wickham, A and Frieze, PA (1990). "Reanalysis of Fatigue Surface Crack Growth Data for Tubular Welded Joints Used in Offshore Structures". OTC Conference, Houston.

Offshore Engineer (December 2004). Atlantic Communications LLC, Houston.

Offshore Engineer (November 2005). Atlantic Communications LLC, Houston.

Plane, CA, Cowling, MJ, Nwegbu, V, Burdekin, FM (1990)."The Role of Redundancy in Reliability Based Fatigue Life Assessments in Offshore Structures". OTC Conference, Houston.

Niu, X, Wickham, A and Frieze, PA (1990). "Fracture Mechanics and Simplified Analysis in Probabilistic Remaining Life Calculations for Jacket Structures". OTC Conference, Houston.

Orisamolu, IR, Liu, Q and Chernuka, MW (1995). "Probabilistic Residual Strength Assessment of Corroded Pipelines" The Hague, Netherlands: ISOPE 1995, Proceedings of the 5th International Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference.

Petronas (1984). "Petronas and the Petroleum Industry of Malaysia". Kuala Lumpur: Public Affairs Department, Petronas.

Petronas (1999). "Highlight of 1999". Nada Petronas, Petroliam Nasional Bhd. Issue 3/99.16.

Petronas (2000). "Petronas Awards Blocks SK312 to Sarawak Shell and Petronas Carigali". Nada Petronas, Petroliam Nasional Bhd. Issue 1/2000.6

Petronas (2001). "Petronas – Growing with the Nation". Kuala Lumpur Published Information Poster, Petronas Legal and Corporate Affairs Division.

Reid, RJ, (1998). "World's Pipeline Industry, While Safe and Reliable, Must Learn to Cope with Change". Oil and Gas Journal.

Robert, BA (1996). "The New Weibull Handbook". Second Edition: North Palm Beach.

Ross, SM (2000). "Introduction to Probability Models". 7th Edition, USA: A Harcourt Science And Technology Company.

Rubinsten, RY (1981). "Simulation of Monte Carlo Method". Second Edition, New York : J Willey.

Renganathan, R (1990). "Reliability Analysis and Design of Structures". Tata McGraw Hill, New Delhi.

Socie, DF, (2002). "Probabilistic Aspect of Fatigue". Seminar notes. University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.

Surahman, A and Rojiani, KB (1988). "Reliability Based Optimum Design of Concrete Frames". J. Struct. Engg., ASCE. Vol. 109, No. 3, pp 741-757.

Torgier, M, Efren, AU and Wang, X (2004). "Reliability Based Service Life Assessment of FPSO Structures". American Bureau of Shipping (ABS) Technical Paper.

Tweed, JH and Freeman, JH (1987). "Remaining Life of Defective Tubular Joints – An Assessment Based on Surface Crack Growth in Tubular Joints Fatigue Tests". Department of Energy Offshore Technology Report.

Tweed, JH (1987). "Remaining Life of Defective Tubular Joints: Depth of Crack Growth in UKOSRP II and Implications". Department of Energy Offshore Technology Report.

Wolfram, J (1996). "Fatigue and Failure Through Fracture". Specific academic notes. Heriot-Watt University.

Wylde, JG (1982). "Fatigue Tests on 457 mm Diameter Welded Tubular T-Joints under Out-of-Plane Bending for UKOSRP". The Welding Institute Report.

Wylde, JG (1984). "Fatigue Tests on Welded Tubular K and K-T Joints of 475 mm Diameter under Out-Of-Plane Bending and Axial Loading for UKOSRP". The Welding Institute Report.